

A variation of q -Wolstenholme's theorem

Ji-Cai Liu

Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, PR China
jcliu2016@gmail.com

Abstract. We investigate a variation of q -Wolstenholme's theorem, which extends the q -analogue of Wolstenholme's theorem due to Shi and Pan [Amer. Math. Monthly 114 (2007), 529–531]. The proof makes use of the Ramanujan sum and higher order logarithmic derivatives of cyclotomic polynomials.

Keywords: Wolstenholme's theorem; Cyclotomic polynomial; Jordan totient function

MR Subject Classifications: 11A07, 11B65, 11T06

1 Introduction

In 1862, Wolstenholme asserted that if $p \geq 5$ is a prime, then the numerator of the fraction

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p-1}$$

written in the reduced form is divisible by p^2 . Nowadays this result is known as the famous Wolstenholme's theorem, which plays an important role in number theory. We refer to [8] for recent development and various extensions of Wolstenholme's theorem.

This paper focuses on q -analogues of the Wolstenholme's theorem. To continue the q -story of Wolstenholme's theorem, we need some necessary notation. For polynomials $A_1(q), A_2(q), P(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, the q -congruence

$$A_1(q)/A_2(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{P(q)}$$

is understood as $A_1(q)$ is divisible by $P(q)$ and $A_2(q)$ is coprime with $P(q)$. In general, for rational functions $A(q), B(q) \in \mathbb{Z}(q)$,

$$A(q) \equiv B(q) \pmod{P(q)} \iff A(q) - B(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{P(q)}.$$

Throughout the paper, let ζ denote a primitive n th root of unity. The q -integers are defined as $[n] = (1 - q^n)/(1 - q)$ for $n \geq 1$, and the n th cyclotomic polynomial is given by

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n, k) = 1}} (x - \zeta^k). \quad (1.1)$$

It is obvious that $\Phi_p(q) = [p]$ for any prime $p \geq 3$ and

$$A(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)} \iff A(\zeta) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

for rational function $A(q)$.

In the past few years, q -analogues of congruences (q -congruence) for indefinite sums of binomial coefficients as well as hypergeometric series attracted many experts' attention (see, for example, [1–4, 7]).

In 2007, Shi and Pan [9] established an interesting q -analogue of Wolstenholme's theorem as follows:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{[k]} \equiv \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2} + \frac{(p^2-1)(1-q)(1-q^p)}{24} \pmod{[p]^2}, \quad (1.3)$$

for any prime $p \geq 5$. Letting $q \rightarrow 1$ on both sides of (1.3), we reach the Wolstenholme's theorem. The proof of (1.3) in [9] makes use of the following essential formula:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{\zeta^k}{(1-\zeta^k)^2} = \frac{1-p^2}{12}. \quad (1.4)$$

The motivation of the paper is to give an extension of (1.4), and utilize this generalized result to obtain another q -analogue of Wolstenholme's theorem, which extends (1.3).

Before stating the main results, we recall some necessary arithmetic functions. The Möbius function $\mu(n)$ is an important multiplicative function in number theory, which is given by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^r & \text{if } n \text{ is the product of } r \text{ different primes,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Euler totient function $\varphi(n)$ is the number of positive integers not greater than n that are coprime to n , and the Jordan totient function $J_k(n)$ is the number of k -tuples of positive integers all less than or equal to n that form a coprime $(k+1)$ -tuple together with n . Note that Jordan totient function is a generalization of Euler totient function:

$$J_1(n) = \varphi(n). \quad (1.5)$$

It is well-known that (see [10, page 91])

$$J_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) d^k.$$

The first aim of the paper is to extend (1.4) as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and ζ be a primitive n th root of unity. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{\zeta^k}{(1-\zeta^k)^2} = -\frac{J_2(n)}{12}. \quad (1.6)$$

The second purpose of the paper is to utilize (1.6) to obtain the following q -congruence, which generalizes (1.3).

Theorem 1.2 *For any positive integer $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{1}{[k]} \equiv \frac{(1-q)\varphi(n)}{2} + \frac{(1-q)(1-q^n)J_2(n)}{24} \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (1.7)$$

The important ingredients in the proof of (1.6) are higher order logarithmic derivatives of cyclotomic polynomials and the following Ramanujan sum:

$$r_j(n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \zeta^{kj},$$

which can also be expressed as

$$r_j(n) = \frac{\varphi(n)\mu(n/(n,j))}{\varphi(n/(n,j))}. \quad (1.8)$$

One refers to [5, Section 16.6] for the proof of (1.8).

We recall an important theorem due to Herrera-Poyatos and Moree [6] and establish a polynomial formula in the next section. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in Section 3.

2 Preliminary results

In order to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we require the following two preliminary results.

Lemma 2.1 *(See [6, Theorem 3.3].) For integers $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\left. \frac{d^k \ln \Phi_n(z)}{dz^k} \right|_{z=1} = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{B_j(1)s(k,j)}{j} J_j(n), \quad (2.1)$$

where the Bernoulli polynomials and Stirling numbers are given by

$$\frac{xe^{tx}}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(t) \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

and

$$x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-k+1) = \sum_{j=0}^k s(k,j)x^j,$$

respectively.

Lemma 2.2 For any positive integer $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu(n/(n, k))}{\varphi(n/(n, k))} z^{k-1} = \frac{z^n - 1}{\varphi(n)} \cdot \frac{d \ln \Phi_n(z)}{dz}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. By (1.1) and the binomial theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln \Phi_n(z)}{dz} &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n, k)=1}} \frac{1}{z - \zeta^k} \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n, k)=1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta^{-k(j+1)} z^j \\ &= - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^j \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n, k)=1}} \zeta^{-k(j+1)} \\ &= - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^j \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n, k)=1}} \zeta^{k(j+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

It follows from (2.3) and (1.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln \Phi_n(z)}{dz} &= -\varphi(n) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n/(n, j+1))}{\varphi(n/(n, j+1))} z^j \\ &= -\varphi(n) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n/(n, j))}{\varphi(n/(n, j))} z^{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z^n - 1}{\varphi(n)} \cdot \frac{d \ln \Phi_n(z)}{dz} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n/(n, j))}{\varphi(n/(n, j))} z^{j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n/(n, j))}{\varphi(n/(n, j))} z^{n+j-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n/(n, j))}{\varphi(n/(n, j))} z^{j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n/(n, n+j))}{\varphi(n/(n, n+j))} z^{n+j-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mu(n/(n, j))}{\varphi(n/(n, j))} z^{j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have utilized the fact $(n, j) = (n, n+j)$ in the second step. \square

3 Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{\zeta^k}{(1 - z\zeta^k)^2}.$$

By the binomial theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(z) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \zeta^k \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-2}{j} (-z\zeta^k)^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1)z^j \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \zeta^{k(j+1)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jz^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \zeta^{kj}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Substituting (1.8) into (3.1) gives

$$f_n(z) = \varphi(n) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n/(n, j))}{\varphi(n/(n, j))} jz^{j-1}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(z) &= \varphi(n) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\mu(n/(n, nj+s))}{\varphi(n/(n, nj+s))} (nj+s)z^{nj+s-1} \\ &= \varphi(n) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} njz^{nj-1} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\mu(n/(n, s))}{\varphi(n/(n, s))} z^s + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^{nj-1} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\mu(n/(n, s))}{\varphi(n/(n, s))} s z^s \right) \\ &= \varphi(n) \left(\frac{nz^{n-1}}{(1-z^n)^2} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\mu(n/(n, s))}{\varphi(n/(n, s))} z^s + \frac{1}{z(1-z^n)} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\mu(n/(n, s))}{\varphi(n/(n, s))} s z^s \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where we have utilized the fact $(n, nj+s) = (n, s)$ in the second step.

Let $L_n(z)$ denote the left-hand side of (2.2):

$$L_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mu(n/(n, k))}{\varphi(n/(n, k))} z^{k-1}.$$

It follows from (1.5), (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$L_n(z)|_{z=1} = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$L'_n(z)|_{z=1} = \frac{n}{2}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$L''_n(z)|_{z=1} = \frac{n(n-3)}{2} + \frac{nJ_2(n)}{6\varphi(n)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Furthermore, we rewrite (3.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(z) &= \varphi(n) \left(\frac{nz^n L_n(z)}{(1-z^n)^2} + \frac{(zL_n(z))'}{1-z^n} \right) \\ &= \varphi(n) \cdot \frac{((n-1)z^n + 1)L_n(z) + z(1-z^n)L'_n(z)}{(1-z^n)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By using the L'Hospital's rule and (3.3)–(3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} f_n(z) &= \varphi(n) \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \frac{((n-1)z^n + 1)L_n(z) + z(1-z^n)L'_n(z)}{(1-z^n)^2} \\ &= -\frac{\varphi(n)}{2n} \left(n(n-1) \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \frac{L_n(z)}{1-z^n} + 2L'_n(z)|_{z=1} + L''_n(z)|_{z=1} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\varphi(n)}{2n} \left((1-n)L'_n(z)|_{z=1} + 2L'_n(z)|_{z=1} + L''_n(z)|_{z=1} \right) \\ &= -\frac{J_2(n)}{12}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{\zeta^k}{(1-\zeta^k)^2} = -\frac{J_2(n)}{12},$$

as desired. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$(n, k) = 1 \iff (n, n-k) = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{1}{[k]} &= (1-q) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{1}{1-q^k} \\
&= \frac{1-q}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q^k} + \frac{1}{1-q^{n-k}} \right) \\
&= \frac{1-q}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \left(\frac{1}{1-q^k} + \frac{1}{1-q^{n-k}} - 1 \right) + \frac{(1-q)\varphi(n)}{2} \\
&= \frac{(1-q)(1-q^n)}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{1}{(1-q^k)(1-q^{n-k})} + \frac{(1-q)\varphi(n)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]}$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{1}{[k]} \equiv -\frac{(1-q)(1-q^n)}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{q^k}{(1-q^k)^2} + \frac{(1-q)\varphi(n)}{2} \pmod{[n]^2}. \quad (3.7)$$

From (1.2) and (1.6), we deduce that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{q^k}{(1-q^k)^2} \equiv -\frac{J_2(n)}{12} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (3.8)$$

It follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (n,k)=1}} \frac{1}{[k]} \equiv \frac{(1-q)\varphi(n)}{2} + \frac{(1-q)(1-q^n)J_2(n)}{24} \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)},$$

as desired. □

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11801417).

References

- [1] V.J.W. Guo, Proof of a generalization of the (B.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme through a q -microscope, Adv. in Appl. Math. 116 (2020), 102016.

- [2] V.J.W. Guo and M. J. Schlosser, Some new q -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series: even powers, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Art. 1.
- [3] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some q -supercongruences from transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series, *Constr. Approx.*, to appear.
- [4] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A q -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* 346 (2019), 329–358.
- [5] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford University Press, 1979.
- [6] A. Herrera-Poyatos and P. Moree, Coefficients and higher order derivatives of cyclotomic polynomials: old and new, preprint (2018), arXiv:1805.05207.
- [7] J.-C. Liu and F. Petrov, Congruences on sums of q -binomial coefficients, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 116 (2020), 102003.
- [8] R. Meštrović, Wolstenholme’s theorem: Its Generalizations and Extensions in the last hundred and fifty years (1862–2012), preprint (2011), arXiv:1111.3057.
- [9] L.-L. Shi and H. Pan, A q -analogue of Wolstenholme’s harmonic series congruence, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 114 (2007), 529–531.
- [10] R. Sivaramakrishnan, *Classical theory of arithmetic functions*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics 26, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [11] J. Wolstenholme, On certain properties of prime numbers, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 5 (1862), 35–39.