

GENERALIZING SEPARABILITY, PRECOMPACTNESS AND NARROWNESS IN TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

TARAS BANAKH, IGOR GURAN, ALEX RAVSKY

ABSTRACT. We discuss various modifications of separability, precompactness and narrowness in topological groups and test those modifications in the permutation groups $S(X)$ and $S_{<\omega}(X)$.

In this paper we define and analyze various properties related to separability and narrowness in topological groups and test these properties for the permutation groups $S(X)$ and $S_{<\omega}(X)$. All topological groups in this paper are Hausdorff.

For a set X by $S(X)$ we denote the permutation group of X , and by $S_{<\omega}(X)$ the normal subgroup of X , consisting of permutations $f : X \rightarrow X$ having finite support $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq x\}$. The groups $S(X)$ and $S_{<\omega}(X)$ carry the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e., the topology inherited from the Tychonoff power X^X of the discrete space X .

For subsets A, B of a group G let $AB = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}$ be their pointwise product in G . For a topological group (G, τ) by τ_* we denote the family of open neighborhoods of the identity 1_G in G .

By ω and ω_1 we denote the smallest infinite and uncountable cardinals, respectively. For a set X and a cardinal κ , let $[X]^{<\kappa} = \{A \subseteq X : |A| < \kappa\}$. Therefore, $[X]^{<\omega}$ and $[X]^{<\omega_1}$ are the families of finite and countable subsets of X , respectively.

As a motivation of subsequent definitions, let us consider characterizations of separable and precompact topological groups.

A topological space X is *separable* if it contains a countable dense subset of X . Separable topological groups admit the following (trivial) characterization.

Theorem 1. *For any topological group G the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) G is separable;
- (2) $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\omega_1} \forall U_2 \in \tau_*$ such that $S_1 U_2 = G$;
- (3) $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\omega_1} \forall U_2 \in \tau_*$ such that $U_2 S_1 = G$;
- (4) $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\omega_1} \forall U_2 \in \tau_*$ such that $U_2 S_1 U_2 = G$.

Following [2], we define a topological group G to be *duoseparable* if G contains a countable subset S such that $SUS = G$ for every neighborhood $U \subseteq G$ of the unit. By [2], every topological group is a subgroup of a duoseparable topological group, which means that the duoseparability is a strictly weaker property than the separability.

Next, we discuss (Roelcke) precompact and (Roelcke) narrow topological groups. A topological group G is *precompact* (resp. *narrow*) if for any neighborhood U of the neutral element 1_G there is a finite (resp. countable) subset $S \subseteq G$ such that $SU = G = US$. It is well-known [1, 3.7.17] that a topological group G is precompact if and only if G is a subgroup of a compact topological group. By [4] (see also [1, 3.4.23]), a topological group is narrow if and only

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22A05; 54D65.

Key words and phrases. topological group, separable, narrow, precompact, cardinal.

if it is topologically isomorphic to a subgroup of the Tychonoff product of second-countable topological groups.

Precompact topological groups admit the following characterization (see [6, 15.81] or [3, 4.3]), which resembles the characterization of separability in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *For any topological group G the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) G is precompact;
- (2) $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\omega}$ such that $U_1 S_2 = G$;
- (3) $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\omega}$ such that $S_2 U_1 = G$;
- (4) $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\omega}$ such that $S_2 U_1 S_2 = G$.

A topological group G is called *Roelcke precompact* (resp. *Roelcke narrow*) if for any neighborhood U of the neutral element 1_G there is a finite (resp. countable) subset $S \subseteq G$ such that $USU = G$. By [6], every topological group is a subgroup of a Roelcke precompact group, which implies that the Roelcke precompactness is a strictly weaker property than the precompactness and the Roelcke narrowness is strictly weaker than the narrowness.

Theorems 1 and 2 motivate the following definitions that fit into a general scheme. We start with properties that generalize (Roelcke) precompactness and (Roelcke) narrowness.

Definition 1. Let κ, λ be infinite cardinals. A topological group G is called

- $u_1 s_2^\kappa$ if $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $U_1 S_2 = G$;
- $s_2^\kappa u_1$ if $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $S_2 U_1 = G$;
- $s_2^\kappa u_1 s_2^\kappa$ if $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $S_2 U_1 S_2 = G$;
- $u_1 s_2^\kappa u_1$ if $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $U_1 S_2 U_1 = G$;
- $u_1 s_2^\kappa u_1 s_3^\lambda u_1$ if $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \exists S_3 \in [G]^{<\lambda}$ such that $U_1 S_2 U_1 S_3 U_1 = G$;
- $u_1 s_2^\kappa u_3$ if $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \forall U_3 \in \tau_*$ such that $U_1 S_2 U_3 = G$;
- $u_1 s_2^\kappa u_3 s_4^\lambda$ if $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \forall U_3 \in \tau_* \exists S_4 \in [G]^{<\lambda}$ such that $U_1 S_2 U_3 S_4 = G$;
- $s_2^\kappa u_1 s_3^\lambda u_4$ if $\forall U_1 \in \tau_* \exists S_2 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \exists S_3 \in [G]^{<\lambda} \forall U_4 \in \tau_*$ such that $S_2 U_1 S_3 U_4 = G$.

Observe that the properties (2),(3),(4) of Theorem 2 coincide with the properties $u_1 s_2^\omega$, $s_2^\omega u_1$, $s_2^\omega u_1 s_2^\omega$, respectively. The narrowness is equivalent to the conditions $u_1 s_2^{\omega_1}$ and $s_2^{\omega_1} u_1$, but is strictly stronger than $s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_2^{\omega_1}$, see Theorem 3.

Next, we introduce some generalizations of the (duo)separability.


Definition 2. Let κ, λ be infinite cardinals. A topological group G is called

- $s_1^\kappa u_2$ if $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \forall U_2 \in \tau_*$ such that $S_1 U_2 = G$;
- $u_2 s_1^\kappa$ if $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \forall U_2 \in \tau_*$ such that $U_2 S_1 = G$;
- $s_1^\kappa u_2 s_1^\kappa$ if $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \forall U_2 \in \tau_*$ such that $S_1 U_2 S_1 = G$;
- $s_1^\kappa u_2 s_1^\kappa u_2$ if $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \forall U_2 \in \tau_*$ such that $S_1 U_2 S_1 U_2 = G$;
- $u_2 s_1^\kappa u_2$ if $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \forall U_2 \in \tau_*$ such that $U_2 S_1 U_2 = G$;
- $s_1^\kappa u_2 s_3^\lambda$ if $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \forall U_2 \in \tau_* \exists S_3 \in [G]^{<\lambda}$ such that $S_1 U_2 S_3 = G$;
- $s_1^\kappa u_2 s_3^\lambda u_4$ if $\exists S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \forall U_2 \in \tau_* \exists S_3 \in [G]^{<\lambda} \forall U_4 \in \tau_*$ such that $S_1 U_2 S_3 U_4 = G$.

Observe that the conditions (2), (3), (4) of Theorem 1 coincide with the properties $s_1^{\omega_1} u_2$, $u_2 s_1^{\omega_1}$, $u_2 s_1^{\omega_1} u_2$, respectively. The duoseparability coincides with $s_1^{\omega_1} u_2 s_1^{\omega_1}$.

Following the general scheme we could introduce infinitely many properties extending those in Definitions 1 and 2. But we wrote down only the properties that will appear in Theorem 3 and Example 2, which are the main results of this paper.

For any topological group we have the following implications (for the unique nontrivial implication $s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_3^\omega \Rightarrow u_1 s_2^{\omega_1}$, see Lemma 3.31 in [5]).



The implications which are not labeled by the question mark cannot be reversed, which is either obvious or witnessed by the examples constructed in Theorem 3 and Examples 1, 2. A property in the diagram is drawn with

- the **red** color if it holds for both groups $S_{<\omega}(\omega_1)$ and $S(\omega_1)$;
- the **green** color if it holds for $S_{<\omega}(\omega_1)$ but not for $S(\omega_1)$;
- the **blue** color if it holds for $S(\omega_1)$ but not for $S_{<\omega}(\omega_1)$;
- the **black** color if it does not hold neither for $S_{<\omega}(\omega_1)$ nor for $S(\omega_1)$.

Theorem 3. For a set X of infinite cardinality κ , the topological group

- (1) $S_{<\omega}(X)$ is $u_1 s_2^\omega u_1$, $s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_2^{\omega_1}$, $u_1 s_2^{\omega_1} u_3 s_4^\omega$, but is neither $s_1^\kappa u_2 s_3^\kappa u_4$ nor $u_2 s_1^\kappa u_2 s_3^\kappa$;
- (2) $S(X)$ is $u_1 s_2^\omega u_1$, $s_1^{\omega_1} u_2 s_1^{\omega_1} u_2$, $u_2 s_1^{\omega_1} u_2 s_3^\omega$, but not $s_2^\kappa u_1 s_2^\kappa$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem will be divided into a series of lemmas.

Lemma 1. The topological groups $S_{<\omega}(X)$ and $S(X)$ are $u_1 s_2^\omega u_1$.

Proof. Let G denote the group $S(X)$ or $S_{<\omega}(X)$.

Given any neighborhood $U_1 \in \tau_*$ of the identity 1_G , find a finite subset $A \subset X$ such that $U_1 \supseteq V = \{f \in G : \forall a \in A \ f(a) = a\}$. Let $B \subset X \setminus A$ be any set with $|B| = |A|$. Let S_2 be any finite subset of G such that for each injective function $g : A \rightarrow A \cup B$ there exists a permutation $f \in S_2$ such that $f \upharpoonright A = g$. We claim that $U_1 S_2 U_1 = G$. Given any $h \in G$, find a permutation $v \in V$ such that $v(h(A) \setminus A) \subseteq B$. Then $v \circ h(A) = v(h(A) \cap A) \cup v(h(A) \setminus A) \subseteq A \cup B$. The choice of S_2 yields a permutation $s \in S_2$ such that $s \upharpoonright A = v \circ h \upharpoonright A$. It follows that the permutation $u = s^{-1} \circ v \circ h$ belongs to the set V and hence $h = v^{-1} \circ s \circ u \in U_1 S_2 U_1$, witnessing that G is $u_1 s_2^\omega u_1$. \square

Lemma 2. The topological group $G = S_{<\omega}(X)$ is $s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_2^{\omega_1}$.

Proof. Given any neighborhood $U_1 \in \tau_*$ of the identity of G , find a finite set $A \subset X$ such that the subgroup $\{f \in G : \forall a \in A \ f(a) = a\}$ is contained in U_1 . Choose a countable infinite set $S_2 \subseteq G$ such that the family $(s(A))_{a \in S_2}$ consists of pairwise disjoint sets and $s = s^{-1}$ for every $s \in S_2$. We claim that $G = \bigcup_{s \in S_2} sU_1s^{-1}$. Indeed, given any permutation $h \in G$ find $s \in S_2$ such that $s(A)$ is disjoint with the finite set $\text{supp}(h)$. Then the permutation $u = s^{-1} \circ h \circ s$ belongs to the set U_1 and hence $h \in sU_1s^{-1} = sU_1s \subseteq S_2U_1S_2$, which means that G is $s_2^{\omega_1}u_1s_2^{\omega_1}$. \square

Lemma 3. *The topological group $G = S_{<\omega}(X)$ is $u_1s_2^{\omega_1}u_3s_4^{\omega}$.*

Proof. Given any neighborhood $U_1 \in \tau_*$ of the identity in G , find a finite set $A_1 \subset X$ such that $U_1 \supset V_1 := \{f \in G : \forall a \in A_1 \ f(a) = a\}$. Let \mathcal{A}_1 be an arbitrary countably infinite family of mutually disjoint subsets of X such that $|A| = |A_1|$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Let $S_2 = S_2^{-1} \subset G$ be a countable set such that for each $A'_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and each bijection $f_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A'_1$ there exists a permutation $f_2 \in S_2$ such that $f_2|_{A_1} = f_1$. Next, let $U_3 \in \tau_*$ be any neighborhood of the identity in $G = S_{<\omega}(X)$. Then there exists a finite subset $A_3 \subset X$ such that $U_3 \supseteq V_3 := \{f \in S_{<\omega}(X) : \forall a \in A_3 \ f(a) = a\}$. Let \mathcal{A}_3 be a finite family of pairwise disjoint subsets of X such that $|\mathcal{A}_3| > |A_1|$ and $|A| = |A_3|$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}_3$. Finally choose a finite subset $S_4 = S_4^{-1}$ of $S_{<\omega}(X)$ such that for each $A'_3 \in \mathcal{A}_3$ there exists $f_4 \in S_4$ such that $f_4(A_3) = A'_3$. We claim that $S_{<\omega}(X) = U_1S_2U_3S_4$. Indeed, let h be any element of G . Since $|\mathcal{A}_3| > |A_1|$, there exists a set $A'_3 \in \mathcal{A}_3$ such that $A'_3 \cap h^{-1}(A_1) = \emptyset$. Pick $f_4 \in S_4$ such that $f_4(A_3) = A'_3$. Then $f_4^{-1}h^{-1}(A_1) \cap A_3 = \emptyset$. Choose a set $A'_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ such that $A'_1 \cap A_3 = \emptyset$. Pick an arbitrary $v_3 \in V_3$ such that $v_3f_4^{-1}h^{-1}(A_1) = A'_1$. There exists $f_2 \in S_2$ such that $f_2(a) = v_3f_4^{-1}h^{-1}(a)$ for each $a \in A_1$. Then $f_2^{-1}v_3f_4^{-1}h^{-1}(a) = a$ for each $a \in A_1$ and hence the permutation $u_1 = f_2^{-1}v_3f_4^{-1}h^{-1}$ belongs to $V_1 \subseteq U_1$. Then $h = u_1^{-1}f_2^{-1}v_3f_4^{-1} \in U_1S_2U_3S_4$ and hence $S_{<\omega}(X)$ is $u_1s_2^{\omega_1}u_3s_4^{\omega}$. \square

Lemma 4. *The topological group $G = S(X)$ is $u_2s_1^{\omega_1}u_2s_3^{\omega}$.*

Proof. Fix a permutation $h \in S(X)$ such that for every $x \in X$ the points $h^n(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are pairwise distinct. Let $S_1 = \{h^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Given any neighborhood $U_2 \subseteq G$ of the identity, find a finite set $A \subset X$ such that $U_2 \supseteq \{g \in G : \forall a \in A \ g(a) = a\}$. Choose a finite family $S_3 \in [G]^{<\omega}$ such that $|S_3| = |A| + 1$ and the family $(s(A))_{s \in S_3}$ consists of pairwise disjoint sets and $s = s^{-1}$ for every $s \in S_3$.

We claim that $U_2S_1U_2S_3 = G$. Given any permutation $g \in G$, find $s_3 \in S_3$ such that $s_3(A) \cap g^{-1}(A) = \emptyset$. Such permutation s_3 exists since the family $(s(A))_{s \in S_3}$ consists of $|g^{-1}(A)| + 1$ many pairwise disjoint sets. Then $gs_3(A) \cap A = \emptyset$. The choice of the permutation h ensures that $s_1(A) \cap A = \emptyset$ for some $s_1 \in S_1$.

Since the set $gs_3(A) \cup s_1(A)$ is disjoint with the set A , we can find a permutation $u_2 \in U_2$ such that $u_2s_1|_A = gs_3|_A$. Then the permutation $u'_2 = s_1^{-1}u_2^{-1}gs_3$ belongs to the neighborhood U_2 and hence $g = u_2s_1u'_2s_3^{-1} = u_2s_1u'_2s_3 \in U_2S_1U_2S_3$. \square

Lemma 5. *The topological group $G = S_{<\omega}(X)$ is not $s_1^\kappa u_2 s_3^\kappa u_4$, where $\kappa = |X|$.*

Proof. We should prove that $\forall S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \exists U_2 \in \tau_* \forall S_3 \in [G]^{<\kappa} \exists U_4 \in \tau_*$ such that $S_1U_2S_3U_4 \neq G$.

Given any $S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ find a point $a \in X \setminus \bigcup_{s \in S_1} \text{supp}(s)$ and consider the open neighborhood $U_2 = \{f \in G : f(a) = a\}$. For any set $S_3 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$, we can find a point $b \in X \setminus \{f^{-1}(a) : f \in S_3\}$ and consider the neighborhood $U_4 = \{f \in G : f(b) = b\} \in \tau_*$.

We claim that for any $f \in S_1U_2S_3U_4$, we have $f(b) \neq a$. Find $s_1 \in S_1, u_2 \in U_2, s_3 \in S_3, u_4 \in U_4$ such that $f = s_1u_2s_3u_4$. The choice of $a \notin \text{supp}(s_1)$ ensures that $s_1u_2(a) = s_1(a) = a$ and the choice of b guarantees that $b \neq s_3^{-1}(a)$ and hence $s_3(b) \neq a$. Then $f(b) = s_1u_2s_3(b) \neq a$ and $S_1U_2S_3U_4 \neq G$. \square

Lemma 6. *The topological group $G = S_{<\omega}(X)$ is not $u_2s_1^\kappa u_2s_3^\kappa$, where $\kappa = |X|$.*

Proof. Assuming that G is $u_2s_1^\kappa u_2s_3^\kappa$, find a set $S_1 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that for any neighborhood $U_2 \subseteq G$ of the identity there exists a set $S_3 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $U_2S_1U_2S_3 = G$. Choose any point $a \in X \setminus \bigcup_{s \in S_1} \text{supp}(s)$ and consider the neighborhood $U_2 = \{g \in G : g(a) = a\}$ of the identity in G . The choice of S_1 yields a set $S_3 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $G = U_2S_1U_2S_3$. Choose any permutation $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}(a) \notin \{s^{-1}(a) : s \in S_3\}$. Since $g \in G = U_2S_1U_2S_3$, there are permutations $u_2, u'_2 \in U_2, s_1 \in S_1, s_3 \in S_3$ such that $g = u_2s_1u'_2s_3$. Then for the point $b = s_3^{-1}(a)$, we get $g(b) = u_2s_1u'_2s_3(b) = u_2s_1u'_2(a) = a$ and hence $g^{-1}(a) = b \in \{s^{-1}(a) : s \in S_3\}$, which contradicts the choice of g . \square

Lemma 7. *The topological group $S(X)$ is $s_1^{\omega_1}u_2s_1^{\omega_1}u_2$.*

Proof. Choose a permutation $h \in S(X)$ such that for every $x \in X$ the points $h^n(x), n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are pairwise distinct. Consider the countable subset $S_1 = \{h^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of the group $S(X)$. We claim that $S_{<\omega}(X) \subseteq S_1U_2S_1$ for every neighborhood $U_2 \in \tau_*$ of the identity in $S(X)$.

Given any $U_2 \in \tau_*$, find a finite set $A \subset X$ such that the subgroup $V_2 = \{f \in S(X) : \forall a \in A f(a) = a\}$ is contained in U_2 . The choice of the permutation h guarantees that for any permutation $f \in S_{<\omega}(X)$, there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h^n(A) \cap \text{supp}(f) = \emptyset$. This implies $h^{-n} \circ f \circ h^n \in V_2$ and hence $f \in h^nV_2h^{-n} \subseteq S_1U_2S_1$. The density of the subgroup $S_{<\omega}(X) \subseteq S_1U_2S_1$ in $S(X)$ implies that $S_1U_2S_1U_2 = S(X)$. \square

Lemma 8. *The topological group $G = S(X)$ is not $s_2^\kappa u_1 s_2^\kappa$.*

Proof. Fix any point $a \in X$ and consider the neighborhood $U_1 = \{f \in G : f(a) = a\}$. Assuming that G is $s_2^\kappa u_1 s_2^\kappa$, we can find a set $S_2 \in [G]^{<\kappa}$ such that $G = S_2U_1S_2$. Choose any permutation $g \in S(X)$ such that $g(\{t^{-1}(a) : t \in S_2\}) \cap \{s(a) : s \in S_2\} = \emptyset$. Find permutations $s, t \in S_2$ and $u \in U_1$ such that $g = sut$ and observe that $gt^{-1}(a) = su(a) = s(a)$, which contradicts the choice of g . This contradiction shows that G is not $s_2^\kappa u_1 s_2^\kappa$. \square

In the following examples by \mathbb{Z} we denote the additive group of integers, endowed with the discrete topology.

Example 1. In the Tychonoff power \mathbb{Z}^{ω_1} of \mathbb{Z} , consider the dense subgroup

$$G = \{(x_i)_{i \in \omega_1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\omega_1} : |\{i \in \omega_1 : x_i \neq 0\}| < \omega\}$$

and observe that G is narrow but not $s_1^{\omega_1}u_2s_1^{\omega_1}u_2$ and not $s_1^{\omega_1}u_2s_3^\omega$.

Example 2. Let X be a nonseparable Banach space and $X \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ be the product $X \times \mathbb{Z}$ endowed with the group operation $*$ defined by

$$(x, n) * (y, m) = (x + 2^n y, n + m).$$

The topological group $X \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is $s_1^{\omega_1}u_2s_1^{\omega_1}$ but not $u_1s_2^{\omega_1}u_1s_3^\omega u_1$.

Proof. Identify X and \mathbb{Z} with the subgroups $X \times \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of the semidirect product $G = X \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that the binary operation $*$: $G \times G \rightarrow G$ is continuous and so is the inversion $(\cdot)^{-1} : G \rightarrow G$, $(\cdot)^{-1} : (x, n) \mapsto (-2^{-n}x, -n)$. Therefore, $(G, *)$ is a topological group. It can be shown (see [2]) that $G = \mathbb{Z} * U * \mathbb{Z}$ for any neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of zero, which means that G is $s_1^{\omega_1} u_2 s_1^{\omega_1}$.

To see that G is not $u_1 s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_3^{\omega} u_1$, let U_1 be the open unit ball $\{x \in X : \|x\| < 1\}$ of the Banach space X . Assuming that G is $u_1 s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_3^{\omega} u_1$, we can find sets $S_2 \in [G]^{<\omega_1}$ and $S_3 \in [G]^{<\omega}$ such that $U_1 S_2 U_1 S_3 U_1 = G$. Find a separable Banach subspace H of X such that $S_2 S_3 \subseteq H \times \mathbb{Z}$. Since the Banach space X is not separable, $X \neq H$. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exists a linear continuous functional $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(H) = \{0\}$ and $\|f\| = 1$. Find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_3 \subset X \times [-m, m]$ and choose a point $x \in X$ with $|f(x)| > 2 + 2^m$. Find elements $u_1, u'_1, u''_1 \in U_1$, $s_2 \in S_2$, $s_3 \in S_3$ such that $x = u_1 s_2 u'_1 s_3 u''_1$. Then $x = u_1 s_2 s_3 s_3^{-1} u'_1 s_3 u''_1$. Write the elements $s_2 s_3 \in H \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $s_3 \in X \times [-m, m]$ as $s_2 s_3 = (h_2, n_2)$, $s_3 = (h_3, n_3)$ for some $h_2, h_3 \in X$ and $n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $h_2 \in H$ and $n_3 \in [-m, m]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x, 0) &= (u_1, 0) * (h_2, n_2) * (h_3, n_3)^{-1} * (u'_1, 0) * (h_3, n_3) * (u''_1, 0) = \\ &= (u_1 + h_2, n_2) * (-2^{-n_3} h_3, -n_3) * (u'_1, 0) * (h_3, n_3) * (u''_1, 0) = \\ &= (u_1 + h_2, n_2) * (2^{-n_3} u'_1, 0) * (u''_1, 0) = (u_1 + h_2 + 2^{n_2 - n_3} u'_1 + 2^{n_2} u''_1, n_2) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $n_2 = 0$ and $x = u_1 + h_2 + 2^{-n_3} u'_1 + u''_1$. Then

$$|f(x)| \leq |f(u_1)| + |f(h_2)| + 2^{-n_3} |f(u'_1)| + |f(u''_1)| \leq 1 + 0 + 2^{-n_3} \cdot 1 + 1 \leq 2 + 2^m,$$

which contradicts the choice of x . This contradiction shows that G is not $u_1 s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_3^{\omega} u_1$. \square

We finish this paper by a problem suggested by implications with question marks in the diagram.

Problem 1. *Is there a $u_1 s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_3^{\omega} u_1$ topological group which is neither $u_1 s_2^{\omega_1} u_1$ nor $u_1 s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_4^{\omega} u_1$?*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors express their sincere thanks to Jan Pachl for his valuable comment on the implication $s_2^{\omega_1} u_1 s_3^{\omega} \Rightarrow u_1 s_2^{\omega_1}$ (proved in Lemma 3.31 of his book [5]).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arhangel'skii, M. Tkachenko, *Topological groups and related structures*, Atlantis Press, Paris; World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [2] T. Banakh, I. Guran, A. Ravsky, *Each topological group embeds into a duoseparable topological group*, preprint (arxiv.org/abs/2002.06232).
- [3] A. Bouziad, J.-P. Troallic, *A precompactness test for topological groups in the manner of Grothendieck*, Topology Proc. **31**:1 (2007), 19–30.
- [4] I.I. Guran, *Topological groups similar to Lindelöf groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **256**:6 (1981), 1305–1307.
- [5] J. Pachl, *Uniform spaces and measures*, Fields Institute Monographs, 30. Springer, 2013.
- [6] V.V. Uspenskij, *On subgroups of minimal topological groups*, Topology Appl. **155**:14 (2008), 1580–1606.

T.BANAKH: IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV (UKRAINE), AND JAN KOCHANOWSKI UNIVERSITY IN KIELCE (POLAND)

E-mail address: t.o.banakh@gmail.com

I.GURAN: IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV (UKRAINE)

E-mail address: igor-guran@ukr.net

O.RAVSKY: PIDSTRYHACH INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED PROBLEMS OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UKRAINE

E-mail address: `alexander.ravsky@uni-wuerzburg.de`