

Dense images of the power maps for a disconnected real algebraic group

Arunava Mandal

December 31, 2021

Abstract

Let G be a complex algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} , which is not necessarily Zariski connected. In this article, we study the density of the images of the power maps $g \rightarrow g^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, on real points of G , i.e., $G(\mathbb{R})$ equipped with the real topology. As a result, we extend a theorem of P. Chatterjee on surjectivity of the power map for the set of semisimple elements of $G(\mathbb{R})$. We also characterize surjectivity of the power map for a disconnected group $G(\mathbb{R})$. The results are applied in particular to describe the image of the exponential map of $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Keywords: Power maps, real algebraic groups, weak exponentiality.

1 Introduction

Let G be a topological group. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_k : G \rightarrow G$ be the k -th power map of G defined by $P_k(g) = g^k$ for all $g \in G$. This article is mainly concerned with the question as to when such a map has a *dense* image or a *surjective* image for real points of an algebraic group.

There is a vast amount of literature with regard to analogous questions in the case of exponential maps of (connected) Lie groups (see [DH], [HM], [HL] and [DT] for example). Moreover, it is known, proved independently by K. Hofmann, J. Lawson [HL] and M. McCrudden [Mc] that the exponential map of a *connected* Lie group G is surjective if and only if $P_k(G) = G$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Recently, it was proved that the exponential map has a dense image in G if and only if the image of the k -th power map is dense for all k (see [BM]). This gives a motivation to study the images of the power maps.

A well-known result of A. Borel states that the image of a word map (and hence in particular a power map) on a semisimple *algebraic group* G is Zariski-dense (see

[B1]). Note that the image of the power map is not always dense for real points of an algebraic group in *real topology*. For example, in case of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, the image of P_2 is not dense in real topology but its image is Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore our aim is to study the density property of P_k for real points of an algebraic group in *real topology*. The density of P_k is well understood for *connected* Lie groups (see [BM], [M]). There has also been a considerable amount of work with regard to the surjectivity of the map for both connected and *disconnected* groups (cf. e.g. [Ch], [Ch1], [Ch2], [St], [DM] and also see references therein). However, the density of the power map is not known for a disconnected (real) algebraic group.

In this context, we obtain results for density of the image of P_k on real points $G(\mathbb{R})$ of a complex algebraic group G , which is defined over \mathbb{R} and is *not necessarily Zariski connected* (see Theorem 1.1). Indeed, Theorem 1.1 provides an extension of [Ch1, Theorem 5.5], which deals with the surjectivity of the power map on the set of semisimple elements of $G(\mathbb{R})$. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we get a characterization of the *surjectivity* of the power map for (possibly disconnected) group $G(\mathbb{R})$ (see Corollary 1.2).

Let G be a complex algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $G(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of \mathbb{R} -points of G . Note that $G(\mathbb{R})$ is equipped with the real topology and has a real manifold structure. We denote the Zariski connected component of identity of G by G^0 . Let $G(\mathbb{R})^*$ be the connected component of identity of $G(\mathbb{R})$ in Hausdorff or real topology. We denote $(a, b) = 1$ if two integers a and b are co-prime. Also, the order of a finite group F is denoted by $\circ(F)$. For a subset A of an algebraic group G , we denote the set of semisimple elements of A by $S(A)$.

The following is the main result of this article. It characterizes the density of the images of the power maps for a disconnected algebraic group which is not necessarily reductive, and provides an extension of [Ch1, Theorem 5.5]. In the context of surjectivity of the power map, an analogous result (corresponding to (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) in Theorem 1.1) was proved by P. Chatterjee (see [Ch1, Theorem 1.8]). Also, he showed (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) in Theorem 1.1 (see [Ch1, Theorem 5.5]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a complex algebraic group, not necessarily Zariski-connected, defined over \mathbb{R} . Let A be a subgroup of G with $G(\mathbb{R})^* \subset A \subset G(\mathbb{R})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. $P_k(A)$ is dense in A .
2. $(k, \circ(A/G(\mathbb{R})^*)) = 1$ and $P_k(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is dense.
3. $(k, \circ(A/G(\mathbb{R})^*)) = 1$ and $P_k : S(G(\mathbb{R})^*) \rightarrow S(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is surjective.
4. $P_k : S(A) \rightarrow S(A)$ is surjective.

In particular, if G is a Zariski connected algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} then for

an odd $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_k(G(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $G(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $P_k(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is dense in $G(\mathbb{R})^*$.

The connection of the power maps with weak exponentiality is discussed in §4 and §5. The next result (see §5) characterizes the surjectivity of the power map for a disconnected group $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be an algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} , which is not necessarily Zariski connected. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $P_k : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ is surjective if and only if $P_k(Z_{G(\mathbb{R})}(u))$ is dense in $Z_{G(\mathbb{R})}(u)$ for any unipotent element $u \in G(\mathbb{R})$.*

An application of Corollary 1.2 is given in Corollary 5.1, which characterizes the exponentiality of $G(\mathbb{R})$. Corollary 1.2 can also be thought as an extension of [Ch1, Theorem 1.7].

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a complex algebraic group. Let G^0 denote the Zariski-connected component of the identity of G . The maximal, Zariski connected, Zariski closed, normal unipotent subgroup of G is called the unipotent radical of G and is denoted by $R_u(G)$. Note that $R_u(G) = R_u(G^0)$.

We now include the following results which will be used subsequently:

Theorem 2.1. ([HP, Theorem 8.9(c)]) *Let G be a complex algebraic group, which is not necessarily connected. Suppose the connected component G° is reductive. Then for each $a \in G$ the set of semisimple elements $S(G) \cap G^\circ a$ is a non-empty Zariski open subset of the coset $G^\circ a$. In particular $S(G)$ is Zariski dense in G .*

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a complex algebraic group, not necessarily Zariski connected, defined over \mathbb{R} such that G^0 is reductive. Then $S(G(\mathbb{R}))$ contains an open dense subset of $G(\mathbb{R})$ in the Hausdorff topology of $G(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Since $G(\mathbb{R})/G^0(\mathbb{R})$ embeds in G/G^0 , it follows that $G(\mathbb{R})/G^0(\mathbb{R})$ is a finite group. Let $g_1, \dots, g_p \in G(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$G(\mathbb{R}) = g_1 G^0(\mathbb{R}) \cup \dots \cup g_p G^0(\mathbb{R}).$$

It is enough to prove that for all $i = 1, \dots, p$, the set $S(g_i G^0(\mathbb{R}))$ contains an open dense subset of $g_i G^0(\mathbb{R})$ in the Hausdorff topology of $G(\mathbb{R})$. By Theorem 2.1, there is a Zariski open set W of $g_i G^0$ consisting of semisimple elements of G .

Let X_{sm} be the set of smooth points of an irreducible affine variety X defined over \mathbb{R} , and $M := X_{\text{sm}}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $W \neq \emptyset$ be a Zariski open set of X . Then $W \cap M$ is an open dense subset of M in the Hausdorff topology of M .

Now observe that $g_i G^0_{\text{sm}}(\mathbb{R}) = g_i G^0(\mathbb{R})$. Hence by the above fact, it follows that $W \cap g_i G^0(\mathbb{R})$ is open dense in $g_i G^0(\mathbb{R})$. \square

We recall that for a connected Lie group G , an element $g \in G$ is said to be *regular* if the nilspace $N(\text{Ad}_g - I)$ is of minimal dimension (see [Bou]). An element g is *P_k -regular* if $(dP_k)_g$ is non-singular.

Remark 2.3. *Let G be a complex algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} . Then any element $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ can be written as $g = g_s g_u$ where $g_s, g_u \in G(\mathbb{R})$ and g_s is semisimple, g_u is unipotent, and g, g_s, g_u commute with each other. Then we have $\text{Ad}_g = \text{Ad}_{g_s} \text{Ad}_{g_u}$. Since all the eigenvalues of Ad_{g_u} are 1, the generalized eigenspace for eigenvalue 1 of Ad_g is the same as that of Ad_{g_s} . Note that $N(\text{Ad}_{g_s} - I) = \ker(\text{Ad}_{g_s} - 1)$ as Ad_{g_s} is semisimple. The dimension of $\ker(\text{Ad}_{g_s} - 1)$ is equal to the dimension of the centralizer $Z_{G(\mathbb{R})}(g_s)$. So g is regular in $G(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $Z_{G(\mathbb{R})}(g_s)$ has minimal dimension. In particular, g is regular in $G(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if g_s is regular in $G(\mathbb{R})$.*

Remark 2.4. *The definition of a regular element, mentioned above, coincide with the definition of a regular element in §12.2 of [B] for the algebraic case. In literature, there is another notion of regular element of an algebraic group (see [S]). Let G be an algebraic group over an algebraically closed field. An element $g \in G$ is called regular, if $\dim Z_G(g) \leq \dim Z_G(x)$ for all $x \in G$. Note that according to this definition, a unipotent element may be a regular element; in fact, if G is connected and semisimple, then it admits a regular unipotent element (see [S]). However, our notion of regular element is different from that. For a semisimple group G as above, it is easy to see that unipotent elements are not regular in our sense. Indeed, let u be a unipotent element of a semisimple algebraic group G , then Ad_u is unipotent, and hence the nilspace $N(\text{Ad}_u - I)$ is the whole space, which is not of minimal dimension.*

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a complex algebraic group, not necessarily Zariski-connected, defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. $P_k(S(\text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R})^*))) \supset S(\text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R})^*))$.
2. $P_k : S(G(\mathbb{R})^*) \rightarrow S(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is surjective.
3. The image of the map $P_k : G(\mathbb{R})^* \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is dense.

Proof. First, we will show that each of (1) and (2) implies (3). In view of [BM, Theorem 1.1], it is enough to show that $\text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R})^*) \subset P_k(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$. Let $g \in \text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$. Let $g = g_s g_u$ where g_s and g_u are respectively the semisimple part and unipotent part of the Jordan decomposition of g . By Remark 2.3, g is regular if and only if g_s is regular. Hence, either (1) or (2) implies that there exists $h_s \in S(\text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R})^*))$ ($h_s \in S(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$) such that $h_s^k = g_s$. Since g_u is unipotent,

there exists a unique unipotent element h_u such that $h_u^k = g_u$. As the Zariski closure of the cyclic subgroups generated by g_u and h_u are the same, g_s commutes with h_u .

Since h_u is unipotent, there exists a unique nilpotent element $X \in \text{Lie}(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ such that $h_u = \exp X$. As g_s commutes with h_u , we have $g_s \exp X g_s^{-1} = \exp X$, which gives

$$\exp(\text{Ad}_{g_s}(X)) = \exp X.$$

Now by the uniqueness of the element $X \in \text{Lie}(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$, we get $\text{Ad}_{g_s}(X) = X$. Therefore, $\text{Ad}_{h_s^k}(X) = X$. By [BM, Lemma 2.1], h_s is regular and P_k -regular. This implies $\text{Ad}_{h_s}(X) = X$, which in turn shows that h_s commutes with h_u . Hence, we have

$$g = g_s g_u = h_s^k h_u^k = (h_s h_u)^k.$$

Now suppose that (3) holds. Let $g \in S(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ or $S(\text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R})^*))$. Then there exists a Cartan subgroup $C \subset G(\mathbb{R})^*$ such that $g \in C$. By [BM, Theorem 1.1], we have $P_k(C) = C$. Thus there exists $h \in C$ such that $h^k = g$. Since g is semisimple (regular), h is also semisimple (regular), which proves the lemma. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be a complex reductive algebraic group, not necessarily Zariski-connected, defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *The image of $P_k : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ is dense.*
2. *$P_k : G(\mathbb{R})/G(\mathbb{R})^* \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})/G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is surjective and the image of $P_k : G(\mathbb{R})^* \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is dense.*
3. *$P_k : G(\mathbb{R})/G^0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})/G^0(\mathbb{R})$ is surjective and the image of $P_k : G^0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G^0(\mathbb{R})$ is dense.*

Proof. This follows by applying [Ch1, Theorem 5.5] and Lemma 2.5 so we omit the details. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We use the following additional lemma to prove Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a complex reductive algebraic group, not necessarily Zariski-connected, defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $G(\mathbb{R})^* \subset A \subset G(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $P_k(A)$ is dense in A . Then for any $s \in S(A)$ there exists a closed abelian subgroup B_s (containing s) of A with finitely many connected components such that $P_k : B_s \rightarrow B_s$ is surjective.*

Proof. In view of Propositions 2.2 and 2.6, we note that $P_k(A)$ is dense in A if and only if $P_k : S(A) \rightarrow S(A)$ is surjective. By [Ch1, Theorem 5.5], $P_k : S(A) \rightarrow S(A)$ is surjective if and only if k is co-prime to the order of $A/G(\mathbb{R})^*$ and $P_k : S(G(\mathbb{R})^*) \rightarrow S(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is surjective. We conclude our assertion by following the arguments of the proof of [Ch1, Theorem 5.5(1)].

Let $s \in S(A)$. If the order of $A/G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is m then $s^m \in G(\mathbb{R})^*$. On page-230 of [Ch1, Theorem 5.5], it is shown that there exists a maximal \mathbb{R} -torus T of $G' = Z_G(s^m)$ such that $sTs^{-1} = T$ and $P_k : Z_{T(\mathbb{R})^*}(s) \rightarrow Z_{T(\mathbb{R})^*}(s)$ is surjective. Moreover, it is shown in Case 1 and Case 2 of [Ch1, Theorem 5.5] that $s = (t^c s^d)^k$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $t \in Z_{T(\mathbb{R})^*}(s)$. Also, $s^n \in Z_{T(\mathbb{R})^*}(s)$ for some positive integer n .

Now we consider the subgroup H of A generated by $Z_{T(\mathbb{R})^*}(s)$ and the element s . Then H is a closed abelian subgroup of A and H/H^* is finite. Further, $P_k : H \rightarrow H$ is surjective as $P_k : Z_{T(\mathbb{R})^*}(s) \rightarrow Z_{T(\mathbb{R})^*}(s)$ is surjective and $s = (t^c s^d)^k \in H$. Now set $B_s := H$. \square

Next we state a result from [DM] (see also [Da] and [Da1]) which we use in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let G be a Lie group and N be a simply connected nilpotent normal subgroup of G . Let $A = G/N$ be abelian (not necessarily connected). Let $N_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, r$, be closed connected normal subgroups of G contained in N such that

$$N = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset \dots \supset N_r = \{e\}$$

with $[N, N_j] \subset N_{j+1}$. For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$, let $V_j = N_j/N_{j+1}$. Then V_j is a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} for each j and the G -action on N by conjugation induces an action on V_j for all j . Moreover, the restriction of the action to N is trivial, and hence it induces an action of G/N on each $V_j, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$. The existence of such a series can be ensured by taking the central series of N . We refine the sequence N_j by inserting more terms in between if necessary, and assume that the G -action (and hence the G/N -action) on N_j/N_{j+1} is irreducible for all j .

Theorem 3.2. ([DM]) Let G, N, A be as above. Let $N = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset \dots \supset N_r = \{e\}$ be a series of closed connected normal subgroups N_j of N as above such that the action of $A = G/N$ on the vector space $V_j = N_j/N_{j+1}$ (induced by conjugation) is irreducible for all $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$. Let $x \in G$ and $a = xN \in A$. Suppose there exists $b \in A$ with $b^k = a$ such that for any V_j , if the action of a on V_j is trivial, then the action of b on V_j is trivial. Then for $n \in N$ there exists $y \in G$ such that $yN = b$ and $y^k = xn$.

The proof is immediate from Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 1.1(i) of [DM].

We now give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: (1) \Rightarrow (2) : This is obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : We first give a brief outline of the proof and later explain the steps. In step 1, we assume $G(\mathbb{R}) = L(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes R_u(G)(\mathbb{R})$ for some Levi subgroup L (of G) defined over \mathbb{R} and consider a dense set $D = S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))R_u(G)(\mathbb{R})$ of A . By using the hypothesis, we see that $P_k : S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))$ is surjective, i.e., $P_k(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense. In step 2, to each element $x_0 \in S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))$ we associate a subgroup B_{x_0} of A such that B_{x_0} is abelian with finitely many components and $P_k : B_{x_0} \rightarrow B_{x_0}$ is surjective. Then we construct a solvable subgroup G_{x_0} of A given by $G_{x_0} = B_{x_0} \rtimes R_u(G)(\mathbb{R})$. We show there exists a dense open set W_{x_0} of G_{x_0} such that each element of W_{x_0} has k -th root in G_{x_0} (and hence in A). In step 3, we give an existence of a dense set W (of A) whose elements have k -th roots in A as required.

Step 1: Since $S(L(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $L(\mathbb{R})$ by Proposition 2.2, and $A \cap L(\mathbb{R})$ is open in $L(\mathbb{R})$, $S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $A \cap L(\mathbb{R})$. Since $G(\mathbb{R})^* \subset A \subset G(\mathbb{R})$, we have $L(\mathbb{R})^* \subset A \cap L(\mathbb{R}) \subset L(\mathbb{R})$. Note that if $(k, \circ(A/G(\mathbb{R})^*)) = 1$, then $(k, \circ((A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))/L(\mathbb{R})^*)) = 1$. Also $P_k(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is dense in $G(\mathbb{R})^*$, which implies that $P_k(L(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is dense in $L(\mathbb{R})^*$. Now by Lemma 2.5 applied to the reductive group $L(\mathbb{R})^*$, we have $P_k : S(L(\mathbb{R})^*) \rightarrow S(L(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is surjective. So $P_k : S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))$ is surjective by [Ch1, Theorem 5.5]. Hence $P_k(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $A \cap L(\mathbb{R})$.

Step 2: For a given $x_0 \in S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))$, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a closed abelian subgroup B_{x_0} of $A \cap L(\mathbb{R})$ containing x_0 and $P_k(B_{x_0}) = B_{x_0}$. Also, B_{x_0} has finitely many connected components in real topology. Now consider the subgroup $G_{x_0} = B_{x_0} \rtimes R_u(G)(\mathbb{R})$ of A . Note that the unipotent radical $N = R_u(G)(\mathbb{R})$ is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group. Let

$$N = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset \cdots \supset N_r = \{e\}$$

be the series as in Theorem 3.2. Let $V_j := N_j/N_{j+1}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$. As N is simply connected, V_j 's are all finite dimensional real vector spaces, and B_{x_0} -action on V_j 's are irreducible.

Now for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$, let F_j be the set of elements of B_{x_0} which act trivially on V_j under conjugation action. Note that F_j is a closed subgroup of B_{x_0} for all j . We shall consider the following two cases separately.

- i) $\dim(F_j) < \dim(B_{x_0})$ for all j ,
- ii) for some j , $\dim(F_j) = \dim(B_{x_0})$.

Suppose (i) holds. Then $U' := B_{x_0} - \cup_j F_j$ is a dense open set in B_{x_0} . Therefore all elements in U' act non trivially on all V_j 's, and hence by Theorem 3.2, $gN \subset$

$P_k(G_{x_0})$ for all $g \in U'$. If we take $W_{x_0} = U' \times N$, then W_{x_0} is a dense open subset of G_{x_0} such that $W_{x_0} \subset P_k(G_{x_0})$.

Now suppose (ii) holds. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ be the set of indices such that $\dim(F_j) = \dim(B_{x_0})$ for all $j \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $\cup_{i \notin \mathcal{I}} F_i$ is a proper closed analytic subset of B_{x_0} of smaller dimension. Note that $B_{x_0} \setminus \cup_{i \notin \mathcal{I}} F_i$ is a dense open set in B_{x_0} .

Claim: the coset $xN \subset P_k(G_{x_0})$ for all $x \in B_{x_0} \setminus \cup_{i \notin \mathcal{I}} F_i$.

If $\dim(F_j) = \dim(B_{x_0})$, then F_j is the union of some connected components of B_{x_0} (containing the identity component of B_{x_0}). Fix $j \in \mathcal{I}$. We will show that for any $x \in F_j \setminus \cup_{i \notin \mathcal{I}} F_i$ the coset $xN \subset P_k(G_{x_0})$.

It might happen that x belongs to F_s (for $s \in \mathcal{I}$) other than F_j . Let $\mathcal{J} = \{m \in \mathcal{I} | x \in F_m\}$. Clearly, $j \in \mathcal{J}$ and $x \in \cap_{i \in \mathcal{J}} F_i \subset F_j$. To prove the coset xN has a k -th root, we will apply Theorem 3.2, and for that we will show the existence of a k -th root of x in $\cap_{i \in \mathcal{J}} F_i$.

Since $P_k(B_{x_0}) = B_{x_0}$, we get $P_k : B_{x_0}/B_{x_0}^* \rightarrow B_{x_0}/B_{x_0}^*$ is surjective, and hence k is co-prime to the order of the component group $\Gamma = B_{x_0}/B_{x_0}^*$. Let the cardinality of Γ be n . Then there exist integers a and b such that $ak + bn = 1$. Without loss of generality, we can choose a to be a positive integer. Note that $x = x^{ak+bn} = (x^a)^k (x^n)^b$ where $x^n \in B_{x_0}^*$ and so does $(x^n)^b$. As $B_{x_0}^*$ is a connected abelian group, it is divisible. So there exists $x' \in B_{x_0}^*$ such that $x'^k = (x^n)^b$. This gives $x = (x^a)^k x'^k = (x^a x')^k$ as both x^a and x' commute with each other. Clearly $x^a x' \in \cap_{i \in \mathcal{J}} F_i \subset F_j$. This implies that for $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$, whenever the action of x on V_l is trivial there exists a k -th root of x (namely $x^a x'$) which acts trivially on V_l . Therefore by Theorem 3.2 we conclude that $xn \in P_k(G_{x_0})$ for all $n \in N$.

Finally, since $j \in \mathcal{I}$ is arbitrary, this proves the claim. Hence, there exists a dense open set W' in B_{x_0} such that $W'_{x_0} \subset P_k(G_{x_0})$ where $W'_{x_0} = W' \times N$. Thus for both cases we have a dense open set W_{x_0} in G_{x_0} such that each element of W_{x_0} has a k -th root in G_{x_0} , and hence in A .

Step 3: We note that $S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))N = \cup_{x_0 \in S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))} G_{x_0}$. Now set

$$W = \cup_{x_0 \in S(A \cap L(\mathbb{R}))} W_{x_0}.$$

Then W is a dense set in A such that each element of W has a k -th root in A , which proves (2) implies (1).

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) Follows from Lemma 2.5.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4) Follows from [Ch1, Theorem 5.5].

A. Borel and Tits showed that if G is a Zariski connected algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} , then either $G(\mathbb{R}) = G(\mathbb{R})^*$ or $G(\mathbb{R})/G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is a direct product of

cyclic groups of order two (see [BT, Theorem 14.4]). Hence, the result follows immediately from the above. \square

Remark 3.3. *Let G be an algebraic group over \mathbb{C} , which is not necessarily Zariski-connected. Let $G(\mathbb{C})$ denote the complex point of G . Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it is immediate that $P_k(G(\mathbb{C}))$ is dense in $G(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if k is co-prime to the order of G/G^0 .*

Theorem 1.1 asserts the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be as in Theorem 1.1. Let $G(\mathbb{R}) = L(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes R_u(G)(\mathbb{R})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $P_k(G(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense if and only if $P_k(L(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense.*

Proof. The proof follows using the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 applied to the group $A = G(\mathbb{R})$. \square

4 Application to weak exponentiality

For a Lie group G with Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(G)$, let $\exp : \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow G$ be the exponential map of G . We recall that G is *weakly exponential* if $\exp(\text{Lie}(G))$ is dense in G . The group G is said to be *exponential* if $\exp(\text{Lie}(G)) = G$.

Remark 4.1. *In view of (1) \Rightarrow (2) in Theorem 1.1, we observe that $P_k(A)$ is dense implies k is co-prime to the order of $A/G(\mathbb{R})^*$ and $P_k(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is dense. By [BM, Corollary 1.3], it follows that $P_k(A)$ is dense for all k if and only if A is weakly exponential.*

Remark 4.1 can be thought of as the analogous result of Hofmann and Lawson [HL, Proposition 1], which states the following: For a closed subgroup H (possibly disconnected) of a connected Lie group, H is exponential if and only if H is divisible, i.e., $P_k(H) = H$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 4.2. *Let G be a Zariski-connected complex algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} . Then the following are equivalent: (i) the map $P_2 : S(G(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow S(G(\mathbb{R}))$ is surjective, (ii) the image of the map $P_2 : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ is dense, (iii) $G(\mathbb{R})$ is weakly exponential. This can be seen from [Ch1, Theorem 1.6] and the fact that $G(\mathbb{R})/G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is a group of order 2^m for some m . It can also be deduced from Theorem 1.1. Indeed, by [Ch1, Theorem 5.5] and Lemma 2.5, statement (i) implies 2 is co-prime to the order of the group $G(\mathbb{R})/G(\mathbb{R})^*$ and $P_2(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is dense. Thus by Theorem 1.1, $P_2(G(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense. Now (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) follows from Remark 4.1.*

Note that if we replace $G(\mathbb{R})$ by $G(\mathbb{R})^*$ in statements (i)-(iii) of Remark 4.2, then the equivalence follows from [Ch1, Theorem 1.6]. Also, Remark 4.2 generalizes

the result for a connected linear group (see [BM, Corollary 1.5]). Note that [BM, Corollary 1.5] (see Proposition 4.3 below) can be proved using results different from theorems in [BM]. For example, we now provide a proof which is due to P. Chatterjee.

Proposition 4.3. *Let G be a connected linear Lie group. Then G is weakly exponential if and only if $P_2(G)$ is dense.*

Proof. We assume that $P_2(G)$ is dense in G . Since G is linear so is $G/\text{Rad}(G)$ (see p. 26. [OV, Proposition 5.2]). Now as $G/\text{Rad}(G)$ is a connected linear semisimple Lie group, it is isomorphic to $A(\mathbb{R})^*$ for some Zarsiki connected (semisimple) algebraic group A defined over \mathbb{R} . Since $P_2(G)$ is dense in G , it follows that $P_2(A(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is dense in $A(\mathbb{R})^*$. Using Theorem 1.1, we see that $P_2 : S(A(\mathbb{R})^*) \rightarrow S(A(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is surjective. Now apply [Ch1, Theorem 1.6] to see that $A(\mathbb{R})^*$ is weakly exponential. Thus $G/\text{Rad}(G)$ is weakly exponential. As $\text{Rad}(G)$ is connected solvable, it is weakly exponential and hence by [HM, Lemma 3.5] G is weakly exponential. The other part is obvious. \square

The following corollary establishes a special behaviour for a dense image of the power map on $G(\mathbb{R})$, analogous to a result of [HM, Corollary 2.1A] for the exponential map. Moreover, it generalizes the result [HM, Corollary 2.1A] and [BM, Proposition 3.3] restricted to the group $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a Zariski-connected complex algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} . Let N be a Zariski-connected, Zariski-closed algebraic normal subgroup of G defined over \mathbb{R} . If both $P_k(G(\mathbb{R})/N(\mathbb{R}))$ and $P_k(N(\mathbb{R}))$ are dense, then $P_k(G(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense.*

Proof. As G is Zariski connected for any odd $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_k(G(\mathbb{R})/N(\mathbb{R}))$, $P_k(N(\mathbb{R}))$ and $P_k(G(\mathbb{R}))$ are dense by Theorem 1.1.

Suppose both the images of $P_2 : G(\mathbb{R})/N(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})/N(\mathbb{R})$ and $P_2 : N(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow N(\mathbb{R})$ are dense. Then by Remark 4.2, $G(\mathbb{R})/N(\mathbb{R})$ and $N(\mathbb{R})$ are weakly exponential and hence connected. Recall that for any closed subgroup L of a topological group H , H is connected if H/L and L are connected. This implies $G(\mathbb{R}) = G(\mathbb{R})^*$. Since both the groups $G(\mathbb{R})^*/N(\mathbb{R})^*$ and $N(\mathbb{R})^*$ are weakly exponential, by [HM, Corollary 2.1A], we have $G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is weakly exponential. Therefore $P_2(G(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense by Remark 4.2. \square

Remark 4.5. *Suppose G is a Zariski connected complex algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then P_k is surjective on $G(\mathbb{R})/G(\mathbb{R})^*$ and $P_k(\text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R})^*)) \supset \text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R})^*)$ together imply $P_k(\text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R}))) \supset \text{Reg}(G(\mathbb{R}))$.*

From Remark 4.5 we have the following.

Remark 4.6. For a Zariski connected complex algebraic group G defined over \mathbb{R} , the density of image $P_k(G(\mathbb{R}))$ is equivalent to the fact that the complement of the image is of measure zero. This follows since the density of image $P_k(G(\mathbb{R}))$ implies $\text{Reg}(G) \cap G(\mathbb{R}) \subset P_k(G(\mathbb{R}))$ ($\text{Reg}(G)$ is non-empty Zariski-open in G).

5 Surjectivity of the power maps

Proof of Corollary 1.2. By [Ch1, Lemma 5.6], $P_k : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$ is surjective if and only if for every unipotent element $u \in G(\mathbb{R})^*$, the map $P_k : S(Z_{G(\mathbb{R})}(u)) \rightarrow S(Z_{G(\mathbb{R})}(u))$ is surjective. Note that $Z_{G(\mathbb{R})}(u) = Z_G(u)(\mathbb{R})$. For each unipotent element $u \in G(\mathbb{R})^*$, let $H_u = Z_G(u)$. Since P_k is surjective on $S(H_u(\mathbb{R}))$, by [Ch1, Theorem 5.5], $P_k : H_u(\mathbb{R})/H_u(\mathbb{R})^* \rightarrow H_u(\mathbb{R})/H_u(\mathbb{R})^*$ and $P_k : S(H_u(\mathbb{R})^*) \rightarrow S(H_u(\mathbb{R})^*)$ are surjective. By Lemma 2.5, $P_k(H_u(\mathbb{R})^*)$ is dense in $H_u(\mathbb{R})^*$, which implies $P_k(H_u(\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $H_u(\mathbb{R})$ by Theorem 1.1. This completes the proof. \square

The following result is well known (see [DT, Theorem 2.2]) and was later deduced by P. Chatterjee (see [Ch1, Corollary 5.7]). Here we prove it as an application of Corollary 1.2.

Corollary 5.1. *Let G be a connected real algebraic group. Then $G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is exponential if and only if $Z_{G(\mathbb{R})^*}(u)$ is weakly exponential for all unipotent elements $u \in G(\mathbb{R})^*$.*

Proof. By McCrudden's criterion, $G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is exponential if and only if $P_k : G(\mathbb{R})^* \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is surjective for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, by Corollary 1.2, $P_k : G(\mathbb{R})^* \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R})^*$ is surjective if and only if $P_k(Z_{G(\mathbb{R})^*}(u))$ is dense in $Z_{G(\mathbb{R})^*}(u)$ for all unipotent elements $u \in G(\mathbb{R})^*$. Now by Remark 4.1, we conclude that $Z_{G(\mathbb{R})^*}(u)$ is weakly exponential. \square

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank P. Chatterjee for raising the question about dense image of the power map for a disconnected group, especially the statement of Theorem 1.1. He has also provided various comments and suggestions for other parts of the paper, and communicated the proof of Proposition 2.2. I thank U. Hartl for pointing out the reference [HP]. I would also like to thank S. G. Dani and Riddhi Shah for many comments on the manuscript. I sincerely appreciate the anonymous referee for useful comments and suggestions. I would like to thank Indian Statistical Institute Delhi, India for a post doctoral fellowship while most of this work was done.

References

- [B] Borel, A. *Linear algebraic groups*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 126. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [B1] Borel, A. *On free subgroups of semisimple groups*, *Enseign. Math.*, **29**, (1983) 151–164.
- [Bou] Bourbaki, N. *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 7–9*.
- [BM] Bhaumik, S., Mandal, A. *On the density of images of the power maps in Lie groups*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 110 (2018), no. 2, 115–130.
- [BT] Borel, A., Tits, J. *Groupes réductifs*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 27, 55–150 (1965)
- [Ch] Chatterjee, P. *On surjectivity of the power maps of algebraic groups in characteristic zero*, *Math. Res. Letters* (2002), no. 9, 741 - 756.
- [Ch1] Chatterjee, P. *Automorphism invariant Cartan subgroups and power maps of disconnected groups*, *Math. Z.* 269 (2011), no. 4, 221–233.
- [Ch2] Chatterjee, P. *Surjectivity of power maps of real algebraic groups*, *Adv. Math.* 226 (2011), no. 6, 4639–4666.
- [Da] Dani, S. G. *On the radicals of exponential Lie groups*, *preprint* (2016), arXiv:1605.07758.
- [Da1] Dani, S. G. *Roots of elements in Lie groups and the exponential maps*. Contributions in algebra and algebraic geometry, *Contemp. Math.*, 738, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2019), 13–22.
- [DH] Djokovic, D. Z., Hofmann, K.H. *The surjectivity questions for the exponential function of real Lie groups: a status report*, *J. Lie Theory* 7, 171–197 (1997)
- [DM] Dani, S. G., Mandal, A. *On the surjectivity of the power maps of a class of solvable groups*, *J. Group Theory* 20 (2017), no. 6, 1089–1101.
- [DT] Djokovic, D. Z., Thang, N. Q. *On the exponential map of almost simple real algebraic groups*, *J. Lie Theory* 5, 275–291 (1996)
- [HM] Hofmann, K. H., Mukherjea, A. *On the density of the image of the exponential function*, *Math. Ann.* 234 (1978), no. 3, 263–273.
- [HL] Hofmann, K.H., Lawson, J. D. *Divisible subsemigroups of Lie groups*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 27 (1983), 427–437.

- [HP] Hartl, U., Pal, A. *Crystalline Chebotarëv density theorems*(2020)
arXiv:1811.07084
- [M] Mandal, A. *Dense images of the power maps in Lie groups and minimal parabolic subgroups*, New York J. Math. 24 (2018), 201–209.
- [Mc] McCrudden, M. *On n th roots and infinitely divisible elements in a connected Lie group*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 89 (1981), no. 2, 293–299.
- [OV] Onishchik, A. L., Vinberg, E. B. *Lie groups and Lie algebras III, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 41*, Berlin etc.: Springer, 1994
- [S] Steinberg, R. *Regular elements of semisimple algebraic groups*, Publ. Math. IHES 25 (1965), 49–80.
- [St] Steinberg, R. *On the power maps in algebraic groups*, Math. Res. Letters, (2003), no. 10, 621–624.

Arunava Mandal
 Theoretical Statistics and Mathematics Unit,
 Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore Centre,
 Bengaluru 560059, India.
 E-mail: a.arunavamandal@gmail.com