

Schmidt's subspace theorem for moving hypersurface targets in subgeneral position with index in algebraic variety

Tingbin Cao* and Nguyen Van Thin†

Abstract

Recently, Xie-Cao [15] obtained a Second Main Theorem for moving hypersurfaces located in subgeneral position with index which is extended the result of Ru [11]. By using some methods due to Son-Tan-Thin [13], Quang [9] and Xie-Cao [15], we shall give a Schmidt's Subspace Theorem for moving hypersurface targets in subgeneral position with index intersecting algebraic variety. Our result is an extension of the Schmidt's Subspace Theorem due to Son-Tan-Thin [13] and Quang [9].

Keywords: Diophantine approximation, Schmidt's Subspace Theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010. 11J68, 11J25, 11J97.

1 Some definitions and result

Let k be an algebraic number field of degree d . Denote $M(k)$ by the set of places (i.e., equivalent classes of absolute values) of k and write $M_\infty(k)$ for the set of Archimedean places. From $v \in M(k)$, we choose the normalized absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ such that $|\cdot|_v = |\cdot|$ on \mathbb{Q} (the standard absolute value) if v is archimedean, whereas for v non-archimedean $|p|_v = p^{-1}$ if v lies above the rational prime p . Denote by k_v the completion of k with respect to v and by $d_v = [k_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]$ the local degree. We put $\| \cdot \|_v = |\cdot|_v^{d_v/d}$. Then norm $\| \cdot \|_v$ satisfies the following properties:

(i) $\|x\|_v \geq 0$, with equality if and only if $x = 0$;

(ii) $\|xy\|_v = \|x\|_v \|y\|_v$ for all $x, y \in k$;

(iii) $\|x_1 + \cdots + x_n\|_v \leq B_v^{n_v} \cdot \max\{\|x_1\|_v, \dots, \|x_n\|_v\}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in k$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

where $n_v = d_v/d$, $B_v = 1$ if v is non-archimedean and $B_v = n$ if v is archimedean.

Moreover, for each $x \in k \setminus \{0\}$, we have the following product formula:

$$\prod_{v \in M(k)} \|x\|_v = 1.$$

*The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (#11871260).

†Corresponding author: Nguyen Van Thin.

For $v \in M(k)$, we also extend $\|\cdot\|_v$ to an absolute value on the algebraic closure \bar{k}_v .

For $x \in k$, the logarithmic height of x is defined by $h(x) = \sum_{v \in M(k)} \log^+ \|x\|_v$, where $\log^+ \|x\|_v = \log \max\{\|x\|_v, 1\}$. For $\mathbf{x} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_M] \in \mathbb{P}^M(k)$, we denote $x = (x_0, \dots, x_M) \in k^{M+1}$. We set $\|x\|_v = \max_{0 \leq i \leq M} \|x_i\|_v$, and define the logarithmic height of \mathbf{x} by

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{v \in M(k)} \log \|x\|_v. \quad (1.1)$$

For a positive integer d , we set

$$\mathcal{T}_d := \{(i_0, \dots, i_M) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{M+1} : i_0 + \cdots + i_M = d\}.$$

Let Q be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in $k[x_0, \dots, x_M]$. We write

$$Q = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} a_I x^I.$$

A (fixed) hypersurface D of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^M(k)$ is the zero set of a homogeneous polynomial Q , that is

$$D = \{(x_0 : \cdots : x_M) \in \mathbb{P}^M(k) : Q(x_0, \dots, x_M) = 0\}.$$

Set $\|Q\|_v := \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} \|a_I\|_v$. The height of Q (or D) is denoted by $h(Q)$ (or $h(D)$) and given by

$$h(Q) := \sum_{v \in M(k)} \log \|Q\|_v.$$

Let D be a hypersurface which is defined by the zero of homogeneous polynomial Q . For each $v \in M(k)$, the Weil function $\lambda_{D,v}$ is defined by

$$\lambda_{D,v}(\mathbf{x}) := \log \frac{\|x\|_v^d \cdot \|Q\|_v}{\|Q(x)\|_v}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{P}^M(k) \setminus D.$$

Let Λ be an infinite index set. We call a moving hypersurface D in $\mathbb{P}^M(k)$ of degree d , indexed by Λ is collection of hypersurfaces $\{D(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ which are defined by the zero of homogeneous polynomials $\{Q(\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ in $k[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ respectively. Then, we can write $Q = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} a_I x^I$, where a_I 's are functions from Λ into k having no common zeros points, and called it by moving homogeneous polynomial.

Throughout this paper, we consider an infinite index Λ ; a set $\mathcal{Q} := \{D_1, \dots, D_q\}$ of moving hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^M(k)$, indexed by Λ , which are defined by the zero of moving homogeneous polynomials $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$ in $k[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ respectively, an arbitrary projective variety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^M(k)$ of dimension n generated by the homogeneous ideal $\mathcal{I}(V)$. We write

$$Q_j = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_{d_j}} a_{j,I} x^I \quad (j = 1, \dots, q), \quad \text{where } d_j = \deg Q_j.$$

Let $A \subset \Lambda$ be an infinite subset and denote by (A, a) each set-theoretic map $a : A \rightarrow k$. Denote by \mathcal{R}_A^0 the set of equivalence classes of pairs (C, a) , where $C \subset A$ is a subset with finite complement and $a : C \rightarrow k$ is a map; and the equivalence relation is defined as follows: $(C_1, a_1) \sim (C_2, a_2)$ if there exists $C \subset C_1 \cap C_2$ such that C has finite complement in A and $a_1|_C = a_2|_C$. Then \mathcal{R}_A^0 has an obvious ring structure. Moreover, we can embed k into \mathcal{R}_A^0 as constant functions.

Definition 1.1. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, we write $\mathcal{T}_{d_j} = \{I_{j,1}, \dots, I_{j,M_{d_j}}\}$, where $M_{d_j} := \binom{d_j+M}{M}$. A subset $A \subset \Lambda$ is said to be coherent with respect to \mathcal{Q} if for every polynomial $P \in k[x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,M_{d_1}}, \dots, x_{q,1}, \dots, x_{q,M_{d_q}}]$ that is homogeneous in $x_{j,1}, \dots, x_{j,M_{d_j}}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, q$, either $P(a_{1,I_{1,1}}(\alpha), \dots, a_{1,I_{1,M_{d_1}}}(\alpha), \dots, a_{q,I_{q,1}}(\alpha), \dots, a_{q,I_{q,M_{d_q}}}(\alpha))$ vanishes for all $\alpha \in A$ or it vanishes for only finitely many $\alpha \in A$.

By [12, Lemma 2.1], there exists an infinite coherent subset $A \subset \Lambda$ with respect to \mathcal{Q} . For each $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, we fix an index $I_j \in \mathcal{T}_{d_j}$ such that $a_{j,I_j} \not\equiv 0$ (this means that $a_{j,I_j}(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all, but finitely many, $\alpha \in A$), then $\frac{a_{j,I}(\alpha)}{a_{j,I_j}(\alpha)}$ defines an element of \mathcal{R}_A^0 for any $I \in \mathcal{T}_{d_j}$. This element given by the following function:

$$\{\alpha \in A : a_{j,I_j}(\alpha) \neq 0\} \rightarrow k, \quad \alpha \mapsto \frac{a_{j,I}(\alpha)}{a_{j,I_j}(\alpha)}.$$

Moreover, by coherent, the subring of \mathcal{R}_A^0 generated over k by such all elements is an integral domain [8, page 3]. We define $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$ to be the field of fractions of that integral domain.

Denote by \mathcal{A} the set of all functions $\{\alpha \in A : a_{j,I_j}(\alpha) \neq 0\} \rightarrow k$, $\alpha \mapsto \frac{a_{j,I}(\alpha)}{a_{j,I_j}(\alpha)}$ and $k_{\mathcal{Q}}$ the set of all formal finite sum $\sum_{m=1}^s t_m \prod_{i=1}^s c_i^{n_i}$, where $t_m \in k$, $c_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Each pair $(\widehat{b}, \widehat{c}) \in k_{\mathcal{Q}}^2$, ($\widehat{c}(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all, but finitely many, $\alpha \in A$) defines a set-theoretic function, denoted by $\frac{\widehat{b}}{\widehat{c}}$, from $\{\alpha : \widehat{c}(\alpha) \neq 0\}$ to k , $\frac{\widehat{b}}{\widehat{c}}(\alpha) := \frac{\widehat{b}(\alpha)}{\widehat{c}(\alpha)}$. Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$ the set of all such functions. Each element $a \in \mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$ is a class of some functions \widehat{a} in $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$. We call that \widehat{a} is a special representative of a . It is clear that for any two special representatives $\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2$ of the same element $a \in \mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$, we have $\widehat{a}_1(\alpha) = \widehat{a}_2(\alpha)$ for all, but finitely many $\alpha \in A$. For a polynomial $P := \sum_I a_I x^I \in \mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$, assume that \widehat{a}_I is a special representative of a_I . Then $\widehat{P} := \sum_I \widehat{a}_I x^I$ is called a special representative of P . For each $\alpha \in A$ such that all functions \widehat{a}_I 's are well defined at α , we set $\widehat{P}(\alpha) := \sum_I \widehat{a}_I(\alpha) x^I \in k[x_0, \dots, x_M]$; and we also say that the special representative \widehat{P} is well defined at α . Note that each special representative \widehat{P} of P is well defined at all, but finitely many, $\alpha \in A$. If $\widehat{P}_1, \widehat{P}_2$ are two special presentatives of P , then $\widehat{P}_1(\alpha) = \widehat{P}_2(\alpha)$ for all, but finitely many α .

Definition 1.2. A sequence of points $x = [x_0 : \dots : x_M] : \Lambda \rightarrow V$ is said to be V -algebraically non-degenerate with respect to \mathcal{Q} if for each infinite coherent subset $A \subset \Lambda$

with respect to \mathcal{Q} , there is no homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M] \setminus \mathcal{I}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}(V)$ such that $\widehat{P}(\alpha)(x_0(\alpha), \dots, x_M(\alpha)) = 0$ for all, but finitely many, $\alpha \in A$ for some (then for all) representative \widehat{P} of P , where $\mathcal{I}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}(V)$ is the ideal in $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ generated by $\mathcal{I}(V)$.

Definition 1.3. Let $m \geq n$ be a positive integer. We say that the family moving hypersurfaces \mathcal{Q} is in m -strictly subgeneral position with respect to V if for any subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$ with $\#I \leq m + 1$,

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i(\alpha) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \leq m - \#I$$

for all, but finitely many $\alpha \in \Lambda$, where \bar{k} is the algebraic closure of k .

From Definition 1.3, we get

Remark 1.4. Let $m \geq n$ be a positive integer. The family moving hypersurfaces \mathcal{Q} is in m -strictly subgeneral position with respect to V implies that for each $1 \leq j_0 < \dots < j_m \leq q$, $q \geq m + 1$, the system of equations

$$Q_{j_i}(\alpha)(x_0, \dots, x_M) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq m$$

has no solution (x_0, \dots, x_M) satisfying $(x_0 : \dots : x_M) \in V(\bar{k})$, for all, but finitely many $\alpha \in \Lambda$. It means that \mathcal{Q} is in m -subgeneral position with respect to V .

Definition 1.5. Let κ be a positive integer such that $\kappa \leq \dim V$. We say that the family moving hypersurfaces \mathcal{Q} is in m -strictly subgeneral position with index κ in V if \mathcal{Q} is in m -strictly subgeneral position with respect to V and for any subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that $\#I \leq \kappa$,

$$\text{codim} \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i(\alpha) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \geq \#I$$

for all, but finitely many $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Here we set $\dim \emptyset = -\infty$.

In 1997, Ru-Vojta [12] established the following Schmidt subspace theorem for the case of moving hyperplanes in projective spaces.

Theorem A. Let k be a number field and let $S \subset M(k)$ be a finite set containing all archimedean places. Let Λ be an infinite index set and let $\mathcal{H} := \{H_1, \dots, H_q\}$ be a set of moving hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^M(k)$, indexed by Λ . Let $\mathbf{x} = [x_0 : \dots : x_M] : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^M(k)$ be a sequence of points. Assume that

- (i) \mathbf{x} is linearly nondegenerate with respect to \mathcal{H} , which mean, for each infinite coherent subset $A \subset \Lambda$ with respect to \mathcal{H} , $x_0|_A, \dots, x_M|_A$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{H}}$,
- (ii) $h(H_j(\alpha)) = o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)))$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $j = 1, \dots, q$ (i.e. for all $\delta > 0$, $h(H_j(\alpha)) \leq \delta h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))$ for all, but finitely many, $\alpha \in \Lambda$).

Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an infinite index subset $A \subset \Lambda$ such that

$$\sum_{v \in S} \max_K \sum_{J \in K} \lambda_{H_j(\alpha), v}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) \leq (M + 1 + \varepsilon)h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))$$

holds for all $\alpha \in A$. Here the maximum is taken over all subsets K of $\{1, \dots, q\}$, $\#K = M + 1$ such that $H_j(\alpha), j \in K$ are linearly independent over k for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

One of the most important developments in recent years in Diophantine approximation is Schmidt's subspace theorems for fixed hypersurfaces of Corvaja-Zannier [4] and Evertse-Ferretti [6, 7]. Motivated by their paper, Ru [10, 11] obtained important results on the Second Main Theorem for fixed hypersurfaces. Later, Dethloff-Tan [5] generalized these Second Main Theorems of Ru to the case of moving results. Basing on method of Dethloff-Tan [5], Chen-Ru-Yan [2] and Le [8] extended Theorem A to the case of moving hypersurfaces in the projective spaces. In 2019, Dethloff-Tan [3] extended the result of Ru [11] for moving target. Via Vojta's dictionary, the Second Main Theorem in Nevanlinna theory corresponds to Schmidt's Subspace Theorem in Diophantine approximation. In 2018, Son-Tan-Thin [13] gave the counterpart of Second Main Theorem due to Dethloff-Tan [3] in Diophantine approximation. In 2018, Quang [9] obtained the Schmidt's subspace theorem for moving hypersurface in subgeneral position. In 2019, Xie-Cao [15] have been obtained the Second Main Theorem for moving hypersurfaces located in subgeneral position with index.

In this paper, by using some methods due to Son-Tan-Thin [13] and Quang [9], Xie-Cao [15], we give a Schmidt's Subspace Theorem for moving hypersurfaces in m -subgeneral position with index κ as follows:

Theorem 1.6. *Let k be a number field and let $S \subset M(k)$ be a finite set containing all archimedean places. Let $\mathbf{x} = [x_0 : \dots : x_M] : \Lambda \rightarrow V$ be a sequence of points. Assume that*

(i) \mathcal{Q} is in m -strictly subgeneral position with index κ in V and \mathbf{x} is V -algebraically nondegenerate with respect to \mathcal{Q} ;

par

(ii) $h(D_j(\alpha)) = o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)))$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $j = 1, \dots, q$ (i.e. for all $\delta > 0$, $h(D_j(\alpha)) \leq \delta h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))$ for all, but finitely many, $\alpha \in \Lambda$).

Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an infinite index subset $A \subset \Lambda$ such that

$$\sum_{v \in S} \sum_{j=1}^q d_j^{-1} \lambda_{D_j(\alpha), v}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) \leq \left(\left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) (n+1) + \varepsilon \right) h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))$$

holds for all $\alpha \in A$.

Remark 1.7. (i) By replacing Q_j by $Q_j^{\frac{d}{d_j}}$, where $d = \text{lcm}\{d_1, \dots, d_q\}$, in Theorem 1.6, we may assume that D_1, \dots, D_q have the same degree d .

(ii) By replacing $Q_j = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} a_{j,I} x^I$ by $Q'_j = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} \frac{a_{j,I}}{a_{j,I_j}} x^I$, in Theorem 1.6, we may assume that $Q_j \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$.

Our result is an extension of the Schmidt's Subspace Theorem due to Son-Tan-Thin [13] and Quang [9].

2 Some Lemmas

We write

$$Q_j = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} a_{jI} x^I, \quad (j = 1, \dots, q).$$

Let $A \subset \Lambda$ be an infinity coherent subset with respect to \mathcal{Q} . For each $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, we fix an index $I_j \in \mathcal{T}_d$ such that $a_{j, I_j} \neq 0$ (this means that $a_{j, I_j}(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all but finitely many $\alpha \in A$), then $\frac{a_{jI}}{a_{jI_j}}$ defines an element of \mathcal{R}_A^0 for any $I \in \mathcal{T}_d$. Set

$$Q'_j = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} \frac{a_{jI}}{a_{jI_j}} x^I, \quad (j = 1, \dots, q).$$

Let $t = (\dots, t_{jI}, \dots)$ be a family of variables. Set

$$\widetilde{Q}_j = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} t_{jI} x^I \in k[t, x].$$

We have $\widetilde{Q}_j(\dots, \frac{a_{jI}}{a_{jI_j}}(\alpha), \dots, x_0, \dots, x_M) = Q'_j(\alpha)(x_0, \dots, x_M)$ for all $\alpha \in A$ outside a finite subset.

Assume that the ideal $\mathcal{I}(V)$ of V is generated by homogeneous polynomials $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s$. Since \mathcal{Q} is in m -subgeneral position on V , for each $J := \{j_0, \dots, j_m\} \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$, there is a subset $A_J \subset A$ with finite complement such that for all $\alpha \in A_J$, the homogeneous polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s, Q'_{j_0}(\alpha), \dots, Q'_{j_m}(\alpha) \in k[x_0, \dots, x_M]$$

have no common non-trivial solutions in \overline{k}^{M+1} . Denote by

$$k[t](\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s, \widetilde{Q}_{j_0}, \dots, \widetilde{Q}_{j_m})$$

the ideal in the ring of polynomials in x_0, \dots, x_M with coefficients in $k[t]$ generated by $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s, \widetilde{Q}_{j_0}, \dots, \widetilde{Q}_{j_m}$. A polynomial \widetilde{R} in $k[t]$ is called an *inertia form* of the polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s, \widetilde{Q}_{j_0}, \dots, \widetilde{Q}_{j_m}$$

if it has the following property:

$$x_i^N \cdot \widetilde{R} \in k[t](\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s, \widetilde{Q}_{j_0}, \dots, \widetilde{Q}_{j_m})$$

for $i = 0, \dots, M$ and for some non-negative integer N (see e.g. [14]). It follows from the definition that the set \mathcal{I} of inertia forms of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s, \tilde{Q}_{j_0}, \dots, \tilde{Q}_{j_m}$ is an ideal in $k[t]$.

It is well known that $(s + m + 1)$ homogeneous polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_i(x_0, \dots, x_M), \tilde{Q}_j(\dots, t_{jI}, \dots, x_0, \dots, x_M), i \in \{1, \dots, s\},$$

$j \in J$ have no common non-trivial solutions in x_0, \dots, x_M for special values t_{jI}^0 of t_{jI} if and only if there exists an inertia form $\tilde{R}_J^{t_{jI}^0}$ such that $\tilde{R}_J^{t_{jI}^0}(\dots, t_{jI}^0, \dots) \neq 0$ (see e.g. [14, page 254]). For each $\alpha \in A_J$, choose $\tilde{R}_J^\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ with respect to the special values $t_{jI}^\alpha := \frac{a_{jI}}{a_{jI_j}}(\alpha)$. Set $R_J^\alpha := \tilde{R}_J^\alpha(\dots, \frac{a_{jI}}{a_{jI_j}}, \dots)$. Then R_J^α is a special representative of an element $\mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}$. By construction, we have

$$R_J^\alpha(\alpha) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in A_J \text{ (and hence, for all, but finitely many, } \alpha \in A). \quad (2.1)$$

Since $k[t]$ is Noetherian, \mathcal{I} is generated by finite polynomials $\tilde{R}_{J_1}, \dots, \tilde{R}_{J_p}$. For each α , we write $\tilde{R}_J^\alpha = \sum_{\ell=1}^p \tilde{G}_\ell^\alpha \tilde{R}_{J_\ell}$, $\tilde{G}_\ell^\alpha \in k[t]$. We have that $G_\ell^\alpha := \tilde{G}_\ell^\alpha(\dots, \frac{a_{jI}}{a_{jI_j}}, \dots)$, and $R_{J_\ell} := \tilde{R}_{J_\ell}(\dots, \frac{a_{jI}}{a_{jI_j}}, \dots)$ are special representatives of elements in $\mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}$. It is clear that $R_J^\alpha = \sum_{\ell=1}^p G_\ell^\alpha R_{J_\ell}$. Hence, by (2.1), we have

$$0 \neq R_J^\alpha(\alpha) = \sum_{\ell=1}^p G_\ell^\alpha(\alpha) R_{J_\ell}(\alpha)$$

for all, but finitely many $\alpha \in A$. Therefore, there is $\ell_0 \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ such that

$$R_{J_{\ell_0}}(\alpha) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all, but finitely many } \alpha \in A. \quad (2.2)$$

Furthermore, by the definition of the inertia forms, there are a non-negative integer N , polynomials $b_{i\ell} \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ with $\deg b_{ij_k} = N - d$ and $\deg b_{i\ell} = N - \deg P_\ell$ such that

$$R_{J_{\ell_0}} \cdot x_i^N = \sum_{k=0}^m b_{ij_k} \cdot Q'_{j_k} + \sum_{\ell=1}^s b_{i\ell} \cdot \mathcal{P}_\ell, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq M$.

Let $\mathbf{x} : \Lambda \rightarrow V \subset P^M(k)$ be a map. A map $(C, a) \in \mathcal{R}_A^0$ is called small with respect to \mathbf{x} if and only if

$$h(a(\alpha)) = o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))),$$

which means that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a subset $C_\varepsilon \subset C$ with finite complement such that $h(a(\alpha)) \leq \varepsilon h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))$ for all $\alpha \in C_\varepsilon$. We denote by $\mathcal{K}_\mathbf{x}$ the set of all such small

maps. Then, \mathcal{K}_x is subring of \mathcal{R}_A^0 . It is not an entire ring, however, if $(C, a) \in \mathcal{K}_x$ and $a(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all but finitely $\alpha \in C$, then we have $(C \setminus \{\alpha : a(\alpha) = 0\}, \frac{1}{a}) \in \mathcal{K}_x$. Denote by \mathcal{C}_x the set of all positive functions g defined over Λ outside a finite subset of Λ such that

$$\log^+(g(\alpha)) = o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))).$$

Then \mathcal{C}_x is a ring. Moreover, if $(C, a) \in \mathcal{K}_x$, then for every $v \in M(k)$, the function $\|a\|_v : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by $\alpha \mapsto \|a(\alpha)\|_v$ belongs to \mathcal{C}_x . Furthermore, if $(C, a) \in \mathcal{K}_x$ and $a(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all but finitely $\alpha \in C$, the function $g : \{\alpha | a(\alpha) \neq 0\} \mapsto \frac{1}{\|a(\alpha)\|_v}$ also belongs to \mathcal{C}_x .

From (2.2) and (2.3), similarly to Lemma 2.2 in [8], under the assumption of Theorem 1.6 and Remark 1.7, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A \subset \Lambda$ be coherent with respect to \mathcal{Q} . Suppose that \mathcal{Q} is in m -subgeneral position with respect to V . Then for each $J \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$ with $|J| = m + 1$, there are functions $l_{1,v}, l_{2,v} \in \mathcal{C}_x$ such that*

$$l_{2,v}(\alpha) \|x(\alpha)\|_v^d \leq \max_{j \in J} \|Q_j(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \leq l_{1,v}(\alpha) \|x(\alpha)\|_v^d$$

for all $\alpha \in A$ outside finite subset and all $v \in S$.

For each positive integer ℓ and for each vector sub-space W in $k[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ (or in $\mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$), we denote by W_ℓ the vector space consisting of all homogeneous polynomials in W of degree ℓ (and of the zero polynomial).

By the usual theory of Hilbert polynomials, for $N \gg 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_V(N) &:= \dim_k \frac{k[x_0, \dots, x_M]_N}{\mathcal{I}(V)_N} \\ &= \dim_{\bar{k}} \frac{\bar{k}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_N}{\mathcal{I}(V(\bar{k}))_N} = \deg V \cdot \frac{N^n}{n!} + O(N^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the method in the proof of [9, Lemma 3.1] and [15, Lemma 3.3], we get the result as follow:

Lemma 2.2. *Let Q_1, \dots, Q_{m+1} be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda^0[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ of the same degree $d \geq 1$, in m -subgeneral position with index κ in V . Then for an infinitely subset $A \subset \Lambda$ which is coherent with respect to $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_{m+1}\}$, there exists n homogeneous polynomials $P_1 = Q_1, \dots, P_\kappa = Q_\kappa, P_{\kappa+1}, \dots, P_{n+1}$ in $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda^0[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ of the form*

$$P_t = \sum_{j=\kappa+1}^{m-n+t} c_{tj} Q_j, \quad c_{tj} \in k, \quad t = \kappa + 1, \dots, n + 1,$$

such that the system of equations

$$P_t(\alpha)(x_0, \dots, x_M) = 0, \quad 1 \leq t \leq n+1$$

has no solution (x_0, \dots, x_M) satisfying $(x_0 : \dots : x_M) \in V(\bar{k})$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ outside a finite subset of Λ .

Proof. We assume that Q_i ($1 \leq i \leq m+1$) has the following form:

$$Q_i = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{T}_d} a_{i,I} x^I.$$

By the definition of m -strictly subgeneral position, there exists an infinite subset A' of A with finite complement such that, for all $\alpha \in A'$, the system of equations

$$Q_i(\alpha)(x_0, \dots, x_M) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m+1,$$

has only the trivial solution $(0, \dots, 0)$ in \bar{k} . We note that A' is coherent with respect to $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{m+1}$. Replacing A by A' if necessary, we may assume that $A' = A$. We fix $\alpha_0 \in A$. For each homogeneous polynomial $Q \in k[x_0, \dots, x_M]$, we will denote

$$D^* = \{(x_0 : \dots : x_M) \in \mathbb{P}^M(\bar{k}) : Q(x_0, \dots, x_M) = 0\}.$$

Setting $P_1 = Q_1, \dots, P_\kappa = Q_\kappa$, we build $P_{\kappa+1}, \dots, Q_{n+1}$ as follows. First we see that

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^t D_i^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \leq m - t \text{ for } t = m - n + \kappa + 1, \dots, m + 1,$$

where $\dim \emptyset = -\infty$ and for any $1 \leq l \leq \kappa$, we have

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^l D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) = n - l.$$

Indeed, it is obvious $\dim \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^\kappa D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \geq n - \kappa$, and by the definition of m -strictly subgeneral position with index κ , we get $\dim \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^l D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \leq n - l$. In particular, we have

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^\kappa D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) = n - \kappa.$$

Step 1. We will construct $P_{\kappa+1}$ as follows. For each irreducible component Γ of dimension $n - \kappa$ of $\bigcap_{j=1}^\kappa D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k})$, we put

$$V_{\Gamma} = \left\{ c = (c_{\kappa+1}, \dots, c_{m-n+\kappa+1}) \in k^{m-n+1}, \Gamma \subset D_c^*(\alpha_0), \text{ where } Q_c = \sum_{j=\kappa+1}^{m-n+\kappa+1} c_j Q_j \right\}.$$

Then $V_{1\Gamma}$ is a subspace of k^{m-n+1} . Since

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m-n+\kappa+1} D_i^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \leq n - \kappa - 1,$$

there exists $i \in \{\kappa+1, \dots, m-n+\kappa+1\}$ such that $\Gamma \not\subset D_i^*(\alpha_0)$. Since the set of irreducible components of dimension $n - \kappa$ of $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\kappa} D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k})$ is at most countable, we have

$$k^{m-n+\kappa} \setminus \bigcup_{\Gamma} V_{1\Gamma} \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence there exists $(c_{1(\kappa+1)}, \dots, c_{1(m-n+\kappa+1)}) \in k^{m-n+1}$ such that $\Gamma \not\subset D_{\kappa+1}^*(\alpha_0)$ for all irreducible components of dimension $n - \kappa$ of $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\kappa} D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k})$, where

$$P_{\kappa+1} = \sum_{j=\kappa+1}^{m-n+\kappa+1} c_{1(j)} Q_j.$$

This clearly implies that

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\kappa+1} D_i^*(\alpha) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \leq n - \kappa - 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Certainly, we have

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\kappa+1} D_i^*(\alpha) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \geq n - \kappa - 1. \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\kappa+1} D_i^*(\alpha) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) = n - \kappa - 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Step 2. We will construct $P_{\kappa+2}$ as follows. For each irreducible component Γ' of dimension $n - \kappa - 1$ of $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\kappa+1} D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k})$, we put

$$V_{1\Gamma'} = \left\{ c = (c_{\kappa+1}, \dots, c_{m-n+\kappa+2}) \in k^{m-n+2}, \Gamma' \subset D_c^*(\alpha_0), \text{ where } Q_c = \sum_{j=\kappa+1}^{m-n+\kappa+2} c_j Q_j \right\}.$$

Then $V_{1\Gamma'}$ is a subspace of k^{m-n+2} . Since

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m-n+\kappa+2} D_i^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \leq n - \kappa - 2,$$

there exists $i \in \{\kappa+1, \dots, m-n+\kappa+2\}$ such that $\Gamma \not\subset D_i^*(\alpha_0)$. Since the set of irreducible components of dimension $n - \kappa - 1$ of $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\kappa+1} D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k})$ is at most countable, we have

$$k^{m-n+\kappa+1} \setminus \bigcup_{\Gamma'} V_{1\Gamma'} \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence there exists $(c_{2(\kappa+1)}, \dots, c_{2(m-n+\kappa+2)}) \in k^{m-n+2}$ such that $\Gamma' \not\subset D_{\kappa+2}^*(\alpha_0)$ for all irreducible components of dimension $n - \kappa - 1$ of $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\kappa} D_j^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k})$, where

$$P_{\kappa+2} = \sum_{j=\kappa+1}^{m-n+\kappa+2} c_{2(j)} Q_j.$$

This clearly implies that

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\kappa+2} D_i^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \leq n - \kappa - 2$$

and we also have

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\kappa+2} D_i^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) = n - \kappa - 2.$$

Repeat again the above steps, after $(n + 1 - \kappa)$ -th step, we get the hypersurface $P_{\kappa+1}, \dots, P_{n+1}$ satisfying

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^t D_i^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) \right) \leq n - t$$

for all $t = \kappa + 1, \dots, n + 1$. In particular,

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} D_i^*(\alpha_0) \cap V(\bar{k}) = \emptyset. \quad (2.7)$$

We denote R by the inertia form of $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s, \tilde{P}_1, \dots, \tilde{P}_{n+1}$. As in (2.2) and (2.7), we see that $R(\alpha_0) \neq 0$. By the property coherent of A , it implies that $R(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in A$ outside a finite set. By property of inertia form, we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} D_i^*(\alpha) \cap V(\bar{k}) = \emptyset \quad (2.8)$$

for all $\alpha \in A$ outside a finite set. \square

Note that c_{tj} does not depend on Λ for all $t = 2, \dots, n + 1$ and $j = 2, \dots, m + 1$, then $P_t[x_0, \dots, x_M] \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ for $t = 1, \dots, n + 1$. We denote $\mathcal{I}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}(V)$ the ideal in $\mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ generated by the elements in $\mathcal{I}(V)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{I}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}(V)$ is also the sub-vector space of $\mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ generated by $\mathcal{I}(V)$.

We use the lexicographic order in \mathbb{N}_0^n and for $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$, set $\|I\| := i_1 + \dots + i_n$.

Definition 2.3. For each $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $N \geq d\|I\|$, denote by \mathcal{L}_N^I the set of all $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_{N-d\|I\|}$ such that

$$P_1^{i_1} \dots P_n^{i_n} \gamma - \sum_{E=(e_1, \dots, e_n) > I} P_1^{e_1} \dots P_n^{e_n} \gamma_E \in \mathcal{I}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}(V)_N.$$

for some $\gamma_E \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_{N-d\|E\|}$.

Denote by \mathcal{L}^I the homogeneous ideal in $\mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ generated by $\bigcup_{N \geq d\|I\|} \mathcal{L}_N^I$.

Remark 2.4. *i) \mathcal{L}_N^I is a $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$ -vector sub-space of $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_{N-d\|I\|}$, and*

$$(\mathcal{I}(V), P_1, \dots, P_n)_{N-d\|I\|} \subset \mathcal{L}_N^I,$$

where $(\mathcal{I}(V), P_1, \dots, P_n)$ is the ideal in $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ generated by $\mathcal{I}(V) \cup \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$.

ii) For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_N^I$ and $P \in \mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_k$, we have $\gamma \cdot P \in \mathcal{L}_{N+k}^I$

iii) $\mathcal{L}^I \cap \mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_{N-d\|I\|} = \mathcal{L}_N^I$.

iv) $\frac{\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]}{\mathcal{L}^I}$ is a graded module over the graded ring $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$.

v) If $I_1 - I_2 = (i_{1,1} - i_{2,1}, \dots, i_{1,n} - i_{2,n}) \in N_0^n$, then $\mathcal{L}_N^{I_2} \subset \mathcal{L}_{N+d\|I_1\|-d\|I_2\|}^{I_1}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}^{I_2} \subset \mathcal{L}^{I_1}$.

Using Definition 2.3, by arguments as [13], we get the following result.

Lemma 2.5. [13] *For all $N \gg 0$ divisible d , there are homogeneous polynomials $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{H_V(N)}$ in $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_N$ such that they form a basis of the $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$ -vector space $\frac{\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_N}{\mathcal{I}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}(V)_N}$, and*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{H_V(N)} \phi_j - (P_1 \cdots P_n)^{\frac{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}}{d \cdot (n+1)!} - u(N)} \cdot P \in \mathcal{I}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}(V)_N,$$

where $u(N)$ is a function satisfying $u(N) \leq O(N^n)$, $P \in \mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ is a homogeneous polynomials of degree

$$N \cdot H_V(N) - \frac{n \cdot \deg V \cdot N^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} + u(N) = \frac{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} + O(N^n).$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.6

Proof. By [2, Lemma 2.1], there exists an infinite index subset $A \subset \Lambda$ which is coherent with respect to \mathcal{Q} . By Remark 1.7, we may assume that the polynomials Q_j 's have the same degree $d \geq 1$ and their coefficients belong to the field $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$. By the fact that for any infinite subset B of A , then B is also coherent with respect to \mathcal{Q} and $\mathcal{R}_{B,\mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$, in our proof, we may freely pass to infinite subsets. For simplicity, we still denote these infinite subsets by A . We set

$$\mathcal{J} = \{(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}); 1 \leq i_j \leq q \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m+1, i_1, \dots, i_{m+1} \text{ distinct}\}.$$

For each $J = (i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) \in \mathcal{J}$, we denote by $P_{J,1}, \dots, P_{J,n+1}$ the moving homogeneous polynomials obtained in Lemma 2.2 with respect to the family of moving hypersurfaces $\{Q_{i_1}, \dots, Q_{i_{m+1}}\}$. It is easy to see that, for each $v \in S$, there exists a positive function $h_v \in \mathcal{C}_x$ such that

$$\|P_{J,t}(x(\alpha))\|_v \leq h_v(\alpha) \max_{1 \leq j \leq m-n+t} \|Q_{i_j}(x(\alpha))\|_v \quad (3.1)$$

for all $\alpha \in A$ outside a finite subset, for all $t = \kappa + 1, \dots, n + 1$. Here, the function h_v may choose common for all $J \in \mathcal{J}$. From the assumption, for each $a \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}$, and $v \in M(k)$, we have, for all $\alpha \in A$,

$$\log \|a(\alpha)\|_v \leq \sum_{v \in M(k)} \log^+ \|a(\alpha)\|_v = h(a(\alpha)) \leq o(h(x(\alpha))). \quad (3.2)$$

For each $v \in S$, and $\alpha \in A$, there exist a subset $J(v, \alpha) = \{i_1(v, \alpha), \dots, i_q(v, \alpha)\}$ of $\{1, \dots, q\}$ such that

$$\|Q_{i_1(v, \alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \leq \|Q_{i_2(v, \alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \dots \leq \|Q_{i_q(v, \alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v.$$

We have $J(v, \alpha) = (i_1(v, \alpha), \dots, i_{m+1}(v, \alpha)) \subset \mathcal{J}$. Denote by $P_{J(v, \alpha), 1}, \dots, P_{J(v, \alpha), n+1}$ by the moving homogeneous polynomials obtained in Lemma 2.2 and by $D_{J(v, \alpha), j}$ the hypersurface defined by $P_{J(v, \alpha), j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n + 1$. Note that, we may freely pass to infinite subsets, then we may assume $D_{J(v, \alpha), 1}, \dots, D_{J(v, \alpha), n+1}$ are in general position with respective V . Apply to Lemma 2.1 for $\mathcal{Q} = \{D_{J(v, \alpha), 1}, \dots, D_{J(v, \alpha), n+1}\}$, and using (3.1), there exist functions $g_{0, v}^{J(v, \alpha)}, g_v^{J(v, \alpha)} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{x}}$ (depend on $l_{2, v}$) such that

$$\|x(\alpha)\|_v^d \leq g_{0, v}^{J(v, \alpha)}(\alpha) \max_{1 \leq j \leq n+1} \|P_{J(v, \alpha), j}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \leq g_v^{J(v, \alpha)}(\alpha) \|Q_{i_{m+1}}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, from (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^q \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^d}{\|Q_{i_j}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \\ & \leq (g_v^{J(v, \alpha)})^{q-m}(\alpha) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^d}{\|Q_{i_j(v, \alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \\ & = (g_v^{J(v, \alpha)})^{q-m}(\alpha) \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^{md}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} \|Q_{i_j(v, \alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \prod_{j=\kappa+1}^{m-n+\kappa} \|Q_{i_j(v, \alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{\prod_{j=m-n+\kappa+1}^m \|Q_{i_j(v, \alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \\ & \leq (g_v^{J(v, \alpha)})^{q-m}(\alpha) h_v^{n-\kappa-1}(\alpha) \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^{md}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \|P_{J(v, \alpha), j}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \prod_{j=\kappa+1}^{m-n+\kappa} \|Q_{i_j(v, \alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

From (3.4), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_{D_{i_j}(\alpha), v}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{D_{J(v, \alpha), j}, v}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-n} \lambda_{D_{J(v, \alpha), j}, v}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))) \quad (3.5)$$

if $m - n \leq \kappa$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_{D_i(\alpha),v}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{D_{J(v,\alpha),j,v}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + \sum_{j=\kappa+1}^{m-n+\kappa} \lambda_{D_{i_j(v,\alpha),v(\alpha)}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{D_{J(v,\alpha),j,v}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + \sum_{j=\kappa+1}^{m-n+\kappa} \lambda_{D_{i_j(v,\alpha),v(\alpha)}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{D_{J(v,\alpha),j,v}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + \frac{m-n}{\kappa} \sum_{j=\kappa+1}^{2\kappa} \lambda_{D_{i_j(v,\alpha),v(\alpha)}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))) \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{D_{J(v,\alpha),j,v}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + \frac{m-n}{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} \lambda_{D_{J(v,\alpha),j,v}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))) \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

if $m - n \geq \kappa$. Combine (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_{D_i(\alpha),v}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{D_{J(v,\alpha),j,v}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))) \\
& \quad + \frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{D_{J(v,\alpha),j,v}}(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)). \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^d}{\|Q_j(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) \log \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^d}{\|P_{J(v,\alpha),j}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log \prod_{j=1}^q \|Q_j(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \tag{3.8} \\
& \geq \left(q - \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) n \right) d \log \|x(\alpha)\|_v \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) \log \prod_{j=1}^n \|P_{J(v,\alpha),j}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, there exist homogeneous polynomials $\phi_1^{J(v,\alpha)}, \dots, \phi_{H_V(N)}^{J(v,\alpha)}$ (depend on $J(v,\alpha)$) in $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_N$ and functions $u(N), v(N)$ (common for all $J(v,\alpha)$) such

that $\{\phi_i^{J(v,\alpha)}\}$ a basis of $\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}$ - vector space $\frac{\mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_N}{\mathcal{I}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}(V)_N}$ and

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)} \phi_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)} - (P_{J(v,\alpha),1} \dots P_{J(v,\alpha),n}) \frac{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}}{d(n+1)!}^{-u(N)} P_{J(v,\alpha)} \in \mathcal{I}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}(V)_N,$$

where $P_{J(v,\alpha)} \in \mathcal{R}_{A,\mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]$ is a homogeneous polynomials of degree $\frac{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} + v(N)$, $v(N) = O(N^n)$. Thus, for all $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in V(k)$, we have

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)} \phi_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha)) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n P_{J(v,\alpha),i}(\alpha)(x(\alpha)) \right) \frac{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}}{d(n+1)!}^{-u(N)} P_{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha)).$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that there exist $h_{J(v,\alpha)} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{x}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P_{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \\ & \leq \|x(\alpha)\|_v^{\deg P_{J(v,\alpha)}} h_{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha) \\ & = \|x(\alpha)\|_v^{\frac{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} + v(N)} h_{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \prod_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)} \|\phi_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}}{d(n+1)!} - u(N) \right) \cdot \log \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n P_{J(v,\alpha),i}(\alpha)(x(\alpha)) \right\|_v \\ & \quad + \log^+ h_{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha) + \left(\frac{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} + v(N) \right) \log \|x(\alpha)\|_v \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there are functions $\omega_1(N), \omega_2(N) \leq O(\frac{1}{N})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n P_{J(v,\alpha),i}(\alpha)(x(\alpha)) \right\|_v \\ & \geq \left(\frac{d(n+1)!}{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}} + \omega_1(N) \right) \cdot \log \prod_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)} \|\phi_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \\ & \quad - \left(\frac{d(n+1)!}{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}} + \omega_1(N) \right) \log^+ h_{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha) - (d + \omega_2(N)) \log \|x(\alpha)\|_v. \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

By (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log \prod_{j=1}^q \|Q_j(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \tag{3.10} \\
& \geq \left(q - \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) (n+1) \right) d \log \|x(\alpha)\|_v \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) \left(\left(\frac{d(n+1)!}{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}} + \omega_1(N) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \times \log \prod_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)} \|\phi_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v \\
& \quad \left. - \left(\frac{d(n+1)!}{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}} + \omega_1(N) \right) \log^+ h_{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha) - \omega_2(N) \log \|x(\alpha)\|_v \right) + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))).
\end{aligned}$$

We fix homogeneous polynomials $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{H_V(N)} \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q} [x_0, \dots, x_M]_N$ such that they form a basis of $\mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}$ -vector space $\frac{\mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[x_0, \dots, x_M]_N}{\mathcal{I}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}(V)_N}$. Then, there exist homogeneous linear polynomials

$$L_1^{J(v,\alpha)}, \dots, L_{H_V(N)}^{J(v,\alpha)} \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}[y_1, \dots, y_{H_V(N)}]$$

such that they are linear independent over $\mathcal{R}_{A, \{Q_j\}_{j=1}^q}$ and

$$\phi_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)} - L_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{H_V(N)}) \in \mathcal{I}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}(V)_N,$$

for all $\ell = 1, \dots, H_V(N)$. It is clear that $h(L_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\beta)) = o(h(x(\beta)))$, $\beta \in A$ and A is coherent with respect to $\{L_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)}$.

We have,

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)} \|\phi_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v = \prod_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)} \|L_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{H_V(N)})(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v. \tag{3.11}$$

We write

$$L_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(y_1, \dots, y_{H_V(N)}) = \sum_{s=1}^{H_V(N)} g_{\ell s} y_s, \quad g_{\ell s} \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}.$$

Since $L_1^{J(v,\alpha)}, \dots, L_{H_V(N)}^{J(v,\alpha)}$ are linear independent over $\mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}$, we have $\det(g_{\ell s}) \neq 0 \in \mathcal{R}_{A, \mathcal{Q}}$. Thus, due to coherent property of A , $\det(g_{\ell s})(\beta) \neq 0$ for all $\beta \in A$, outside a finite subset of A . By passing to an infinite subset if necessary, we may assume that $L_1^{J(v,\alpha)}(\beta), \dots, L_{H_V(N)}^{J(v,\alpha)}(\beta)$ are linearly independent over k for all $\beta \in A$.

Now we consider the sequence of points $F(\alpha) = [\Phi_1(x(\alpha)), \dots, \Phi_{H_V(N)}(x(\alpha))]$ from A to $\mathbb{P}^{H_V(N)-1}(k)$ and moving hyperplanes $\mathcal{L} := \{L_1^{J(v,\alpha)}, \dots, L_{H_V(N)}^{J(v,\alpha)}\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{H_V(N)-1}(k)$, indexed

by A . We claim that F is linearly nondegenerate with respect to \mathcal{L} . Indeed, otherwise, then there is a linear form $L \in \mathcal{R}_{B,\mathcal{L}}[y_1, \dots, y_{H_N(V)}]$ for some infinite coherent subset $B \subset A$, such that $L(F)|_B \equiv 0$ in B , which contradicts to the assumption that x is algebraically nondegenerate with respect to \mathcal{Q} .

By Theorem A, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an infinite subset of A (common for all $J(v, \alpha)$), denoted again by A , such that

$$\sum_{v \in S} \log \prod_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)} \frac{\|F(\alpha)\|_v \|L_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)\|_v}{\|L_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)(F(\alpha))\|_v} \leq (H_V(N) + \epsilon)h(F(\alpha)), \quad (3.12)$$

for all $\alpha \in A$.

Combining with (3.10) and (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{j=1}^q \log \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^d}{\|Q_j(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) (n+1)d \sum_{v \in S} \log \|x(\alpha)\|_v + \omega_2(N) \sum_{v \in S} \log \|x(\alpha)\|_v \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{d(n+1)!}{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}} + \omega_1(N) \right) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{v \in S} \log \prod_{\ell=1}^{H_V(N)} \frac{\|F(\alpha)\|_v \|L_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)\|_v}{\|L_\ell^{J(v,\alpha)}(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \\ & \quad - H_V(N) \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{d(n+1)!}{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}} + \omega_1(N) \right) \sum_{v \in S} \log \|F(\alpha)\|_v \\ & \quad + o(h(x(\alpha))). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Since the above inequality is independent of the choice of components of $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$, we can choose the components of $\mathbf{x}(\alpha)$ being S -integers so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in S} \log \|x(\alpha)\|_v &= h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + O(1), \text{ and} \\ \sum_{v \in S} \log \|F(\alpha)\|_v &\leq h(F(\alpha)) + O(1) \leq Nh(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Combining with (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{j=1}^q \log \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^d}{\|Q_j(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) (n+1) dh(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + \omega_2(N) h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{d(n+1)!}{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}} + \omega_1(N) \right) (H_V(N) + \epsilon) h(F(\alpha)) \\
& \quad - H_V(N) \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{d(n+1)!}{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}} + \omega_1(N) \right) h(F(\alpha)) \\
& \quad + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{j=1}^q \log \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^d \|Q_j(\alpha)\|_v}{\|Q_j(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \tag{3.15} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) (n+1) dh(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) + \omega_2(N) h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) \\
& \quad + \epsilon \left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{d(n+1)!}{\deg V \cdot N^{n+1}} + \omega_1(N) \right) h(F(\alpha)) + o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we note that $h(D_j(\alpha)) = o(h(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)))$ and there is only finite points \mathbf{x} such that $h(\mathbf{x})$ is bounded, combining (3.14) and (3.15), for any $\epsilon > 0$, by our choice with ω_1, ω_2 for N large enough, we get

$$\sum_{v \in S} \sum_{j=1}^q \log \frac{\|x(\alpha)\|_v^d \|Q_j(\alpha)\|_v}{\|Q_j(\alpha)(x(\alpha))\|_v} \leq \left(\left(\frac{m-n}{\max\{1, \min\{m-n, \kappa\}\}} + 1 \right) (n+1) + \epsilon \right) dh(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)),$$

for all $\alpha \in A$ outside finite set. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6. \square

References

- [1] Z. Chen, M. Ru, and Q. Yan, *The degenerated second main theorem and Schmidt's subspace theorem*, Sci. China Math. **55** (2012), 1367-1380.
- [2] Z. Chen, M. Ru, and Q. Yan, *Schmidt's subspace theorem with moving targets*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **15**(2015), no. 1, 6305-6329, 2015.
- [3] G. Dethloff, and T. V. Tan, *Holomorphic curves into algebraic varieties intersecting moving hypersurface targets*, To appear in Acta Math. Vietnamica (2019), Doi: 10.1007/s40306-019-00336-3

- [4] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, *On a general Thue's equation*, Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), 1033-1055.
- [5] G. Dethloff and T. V. Tan, *A second main theorem for moving hypersurface targets*, Houston J. Math. **37** (2011), 79-111.
- [6] J. H. Evertse and R. G. Ferretti, *Diophantine inequalities on projective varieties*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **25**(2002), 1295-1330.
- [7] J. H. Evertse and R. G. Ferretti, *A generalization of the subspace theorem with polynomials of higher degree*, Developments in Mathematics, **16** (2008), 175-198, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [8] G. Le, *Schmidt's subspace theorem with moving hypersurfaces*, Int. J. Number Theory **11**(2015), 139-158.
- [9] S. D. Quang, *Schmidt's subspace theorem for moving hypersurface in subgeneral position*, Int. J. Number Theory **14**(2018), 103-121, 2018.
- [10] M. Ru, *A defect relation for holomorphic curves intersecting hypersurfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **126**(2004), 215-226.
- [11] M. Ru, *Holomorphic curves into algebraic varieties*, Ann of Math. **169**(2009), 255-267.
- [12] M. Ru and P. Vojta, *Schmidt's subspace theorem with moving targets*, Invent. Math. **127**(1997), 51-65.
- [13] N. T. Son, T. V. Tan and N. V. Thin, *Schmidt's subspace theorem for moving hypersurface targets*, J. Number Theory **186**(2018), 346-369.
- [14] O. Zariski, *Generalized weight properties of the resultant of $n + 1$ polynomials in n indeterminates*, Trans. AMS **41**(1937), 249-265.
- [15] L. B. Xie and T. B. Cao, *Second Main Theorem for holomorphic curves into algebraic varieties intersecting moving hypersurfaces targets*, Arxiv: 1908.05844v2.

Tingbin Cao
 Department of Mathematics
 Nanchang University
 Jiangxi 330031, P. R. China
 e-mail: tbcao@ncu.edu.cn

Nguyen Van Thin
 Department of Mathematics
 Thai Nguyen University of Education

Luong Ngoc Quyen Street, Thai Nguyen city, Vietnam.
e-mail: thinmath@gmail.com