

Positive entropy using Hecke operators at a single place

Zvi Shem-Tov*

Abstract

We prove the following statement: Let $X = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and consider the standard action of the diagonal group $A < \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ on it. Let μ be an A -invariant probability measure on X , which is a limit

$$\mu = \lambda \lim_i |\phi_i|^2 dx,$$

where ϕ_i are normalized eigenfunctions of the Hecke algebra at some fixed place p , and $\lambda > 0$ is some positive constant. Then any regular element $a \in A$ acts on μ with positive entropy on almost every ergodic component. We also prove a similar result for lattices coming from division algebras over \mathbb{Q} , and derive a quantum unique ergodicity result for the associated locally symmetric spaces. This generalizes a result of Brooks and Lindenstrauss [2].

1 Introduction

Let Y be a compact manifold of negative sectional curvature, and ϕ_i be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions of the Laplacian with eigenvalues $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$. The Quantum Unique Ergodicity conjecture of Rudnick and Sarnak [14] asserts that the only weak-* limit of the measures $\mu_i = |\phi_i|^2 d\mathrm{vol}_Y$ is $d\mathrm{vol}_Y$. An important special case of this problem is the case of Y a compact quotient of the upper half plane with its usual hyperbolic metric. In fact, if G is a simple Lie group, $K < G$ is a maximal compact, and $\Gamma < G$ is any lattice, then QUE is conjectured to hold true for the locally symmetric space $Y = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ (see e.g. [17, Problem 6.1]). In this case the ϕ_i are

*Mathematics Department, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC, Canada.
zvishem@math.ubc.ca

assumed to be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions of the ring of G -invariant differential operators on G/K , with the eigenvalues with respect to the Casimir operator tending to ∞ in absolute value. If Γ is a congruence lattice, it is natural to consider sequences ϕ_i as above which are also eigenfunctions of the Hecke algebra of Y . In [11], Lindenstrauss made significant progress and established QUE for such sequences ϕ_i on compact hyperbolic surfaces $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$. For non-compact congruence surfaces Lindenstrauss proved the weaker result that any weak- $*$ limit of measures μ_i as above is proportional to the uniform measure. The proof of QUE in this case was later completed by Soundararajan, who ruled out escape of mass [9]. This is known as *Arithmetic* QUE. Lindenstrauss' proof is based on his deep results on the dynamics of diagonal actions on $\Gamma\backslash G$. The link between QUE and dynamics on $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ follows from the following general idea. To each of the measures μ_i on Y , one associates a distribution $\tilde{\mu}_i$ ("microlocal lift") on the unit cotangent bundle S^*Y , which on one hand projects to μ_i on Y , and on the other hand, any weak- $*$ limit of the $\tilde{\mu}_i$ is a probability measure invariant under the geodesic flow on S^*Y . This construction is originally due Shnirelman, Zelditch and Colin de Verdiere ([8], [19], [3]). In the case of a hyperbolic surface $Y = \Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$, the unit cotangent bundle is isomorphic to $X = \Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and under this isomorphism the geodesic flow is given by multiplying by a diagonal matrix on the right. Thus, using an appropriate version of the microlocal lift (compatible with the Hecke operators), the problem can be reduced to the following problem concerning invariant measures on X : *Let ϕ_i be a sequence of normalized Hecke eigenfunctions on X . Suppose that μ is a weak- $*$ limit of the measures $\mu_i = |\phi_i|^2 dx$ on X , invariant under the diagonal action on X . Show that $\mu = dx$.* For more details on this reduction and on the microlocal lift we refer to [17] and [16]. Brooks and Lindenstrauss proved this statement assuming that the ϕ_i are eigenfunctions of only one Hecke operator, for certain co-compact lattices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, coming from a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} [2]. Their main innovation is showing that for any such μ the diagonal group acts with positive entropy on almost every ergodic component. This generalizes the original positive entropy result of Bourgain and Lindenstrauss [1], which uses the full Hecke algebra. Brooks and Lindenstrauss suggested that their results might be generalized to the cases considered by Silberman and Venkatesh in their work on QUE in higher rank [17]. In this paper we generalize the techniques of Brooks and Lindenstrauss to higher rank. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $K = \mathrm{SO}(n)$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $A < G$ the diagonal group, and fix a prime number p . The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ be an A -invariant probability measure on X , which is a weak-* limit*

$$\mu = \lambda \lim_i |\phi_i|^2 dx,$$

where ϕ_i are normalized eigenfunctions of the Hecke algebra at some fixed place p , and $\lambda > 0$ is some positive constant. Then any regular element $a \in A$ acts on μ with positive entropy on almost every ergodic component.

Using the higher rank microlocal lift constructed by Silberman and Venkatesh [18, Theorem 1.6], and the measure rigidity results of Einsiedler, Katok, and Lindenstrauss [4, Corollary 1.4], we conclude the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume n is prime. Let $\phi_i \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/K)$ be a non-degenerate (in the sense of [18]) sequence of joint eigenfunctions of the ring of G -invariant differential operators on G/K and the Hecke operators at some fixed place p . Then any weak-* limit of the measures $|\phi_i|^2 dy$ is proportional to the uniform measure dy on $\Gamma \backslash G/K$.*

We note that this is a version of the main theorem of [17], except with the weaker hypotheses that the ϕ_i are eigenfunctions of the Hecke algebra at only one place. In fact, after some adjustments to our proof of Theorem 1.1, the result holds also when replacing the lattice $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ by lattices coming from a division algebra of prime degree over \mathbb{Q} , and the regular element a by any non-trivial element of A (see Theorem 5.1). Since these lattices are co-compact the constant λ in Theorem 1.1 must be 1. Using Einsiedler and Katok's theorem [5, Theorem 4.1] we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let D be a division algebra of prime degree n over \mathbb{Q} , which splits over \mathbb{R} and over \mathbb{Q}_p , and $\mathcal{O} \subset D$ a maximal order. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma < G$ the lattice of all norm 1 elements of \mathcal{O} . Let ϕ_i be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions of the Hecke algebra at p of $\Gamma \backslash G$, and suppose that μ is an A -invariant probability measure on $\Gamma \backslash G$ which is a weak-* limit,*

$$\mu = \lim_i |\phi_i|^2 dx.$$

Then $\mu = dx$, the normalized Haar measure on $\Gamma \backslash G$.

In the notation of Theorem 1.3, consider the locally symmetric space $Y = \Gamma \backslash G/K$, where K is the maximal compact subgroup $K = \mathrm{SO}(n)$. Using the higher rank microlocal lift constructed by Silberman and Venkatesh [18, Theorem 1.6], we conclude the following QUE result for lattices coming from division algebras of prime degree.

Theorem 1.4. *In the notation above, let $\phi_i \in L^2(Y)$ be a non-degenerate (in the sense of [18]) sequence of joint eigenfunctions of the ring of G -invariant differential operators on G/K and the Hecke operators at some fixed place p . Then the only weak-* limit of ϕ_i is the standard uniform probability measure dy on Y .*

Note that in Theorem 1.2 we have not ruled out escape of mass. In fact, for sequences of eigenfunctions of the Hecke operators at a single place, escape of mass has not been ruled out even in the case where $n = 2$ (see [2]).

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to my advisor Lior Silberman for introducing this problem to me. I thank Elon Lindenstrauss and Lior Silberman for very helpful discussions, suggestions, and comments. This work was partially supported by the ERC grant HomDyn no. 833423.

2 Background on spherical functions on p -adic groups

In this section we review what we need from the theory of spherical functions on p -adic groups. We mostly follow [12]. Another standard reference is [15].

Notation. For two real-valued functions f, g with the same domain, we write $f \ll g$ if there is a positive constant C so that $f \leq Cg$. We say that f and g are *equivalent* if $f \ll g$ and $g \ll f$. We write $f \ll_t g$ if the implied constant C above depends on a parameter t . Fix a prime number p . Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $G_p = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $K_p = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. We denote by $l = n - 1$ the rank of G . Throughout, unless otherwise specified, our constants may depend on G, G_p, K_p but not on anything else.

Recall that K_p is a compact open subgroup of G_p (simply because \mathbb{Z}_p is compact and open in \mathbb{Q}_p). In particular we can fix a Haar measure on G_p normalized so that its restriction to K_p is a probability measure. We recall also that K_p is in fact a *maximal* compact subgroup of G_p . Let $L(G_p, K_p)$ be the \mathbb{C} -algebra of all K_p bi-invariant compactly supported functions on G_p , the product in $L(G_p, K_p)$ being defined by the convolution,

$$f * g(x) = \int_{G_p} f(xy)g(y^{-1})dy.$$

Note that any function in $L(G_p, K_p)$ (or more generally, any K_p right-invariant function on G_p) is automatically continuous, since K_p is open in

G_p . One can view K_p bi-invariant functions as functions on the quotient G_p/K_p which are invariant under the action of K_p on the left. Note here the analogy with the case of a symmetric space G/K , where G is a semisimple Lie group and K a compact subgroup.

A complex valued function ω on G_p is called a *zonal spherical function* (or just spherical function) on G_p relative to K_p if it is K_p -bi-invariant, $\omega(1) = 1$, and for all $f \in L(G_p, K_p)$, ω is an eigenfunction of the integral operator defined by f , i.e. we have

$$f * \omega = \lambda_f \omega, \quad (1)$$

with $\lambda_f \in \mathbb{C}$. For a spherical function ω we denote the scalar λ_f in (1) by $\hat{\omega}(f)$, i.e. we put

$$\hat{\omega}(f) = \int_{G_p} f(g)\omega(g^{-1})dg.$$

Then clearly $\hat{\omega}$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism from $L(G_p, K_p)$ onto \mathbb{C} . Moreover, the correspondence $\omega \mapsto \hat{\omega}$ is a bijection between spherical functions on G_p relative to K_p and non-zero \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphisms $L(G_p, K_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Denote by Ω the set of all spherical functions on G_p relative to K_p . For each $f \in L(G_p, K_p)$ its *spherical transform* $\hat{f} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $\hat{f}(\omega) = \hat{\omega}(f)$. We say that a spherical function ω is *positive definite* if $\omega(g_i g_j^{-1})$ is a positive definite matrix for any $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G_p$, and we denote by Ω^+ the set of all positive definite spherical functions on G_p relative to K_p . Let $L^2(G_p, K_p)$ denote the space of square-integrable functions on G_p which are bi-invariant with respect to K_p .

Theorem 2.1 ([12, Theorem (1.5.1)]). *There is a unique positive measure μ on Ω^+ such that*

1. *If $f \in L(G_p, K_p)$ then $\hat{f} \in L^2(\Omega^+, \mu)$,*
2. *$\int_{G_p} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega^+} |\hat{f}|^2 d\mu(\omega)$ for all $f \in L(G_p, K_p)$.*

Moreover, the mapping $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ extends to an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces $L^2(G_p, K_p) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mu)$.

The measure μ is called the *Plancherel measure* on Ω^+ .

The algebra $L(G_p, K_p)$, as well as the spherical functions on G_p and the Plancherel measure, can be described in terms of an affine root structure on G_p , which we describe below.

Let V be the space of all vectors $v = (v_0, \dots, v_l) \in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^l v_i = 0$, endowed with the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_i u_i v_i$. Let V^* be the dual of V . Then V and V^* are naturally isomorphic through $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For each non-zero $a \in V^*$, let $a^\vee \in V$ be the image of $\frac{2a}{\langle a, a \rangle}$ under this isomorphism. Denote by e_i the i th coordinate function on V , and consider the root system

$$\Sigma_0 = \{e_i - e_j \mid i \neq j\} \subset V^*, \quad (2)$$

as well as a fixed set of simple roots

$$\Pi_0 = \{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\}, \quad (3)$$

where $a_i := e_{i-1} - e_i$. For each $a \in \Sigma_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $a + k$ be the affine function on V given by $v \mapsto a(v) + k$. Let

$$\Sigma = \{a + k \mid a \in \Sigma_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (4)$$

The elements of Σ are called *affine roots*. For each $\alpha \in \Sigma$ let w_α be the orthogonal reflection in the (affine) hyperplane on which α vanishes. The group W generated by the w_α is an infinite group of affine transformations from V to itself, called the *affine Weyl group* of Σ . Let W_0 be the subgroup of W which fixes the point 0; this is the Weyl group of Σ_0 . The set of all translations in W is a free abelian group T of rank l , and we have that W is the semi-direct product

$$W = W_0 \ltimes T \quad (5)$$

of T and W_0 . For each $a \in \Sigma_0$, let

$$t_a = w_a \circ w_{a+1} \in T. \quad (6)$$

The t_a ($a \in \Pi_0$) are a basis of T . We have $t_a(0) = a^\vee$ and the mapping $T \rightarrow V$ defined by $t \mapsto t(0)$ maps T isomorphically onto the lattice L spanned by a^\vee ($a \in \Sigma_0$). It is easy to see that

$$L = \{(n_0, \dots, n_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^{l+1} \mid \sum_i n_i = 0\}. \quad (7)$$

Let $E_i \in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}$ ($i = 0, \dots, l$) be the standard basis. We obtain another system of coordinates for T by sending the basis $E_{i-1} - E_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) of L to the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^l . Namely, $(n_0, \dots, n_l) \mapsto (m_1, \dots, m_l)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} (n_0, \dots, n_l) &= (m_1, m_2 - m_1, m_3 - m_2, \dots, m_l - m_{l-1}, -m_l) \\ (m_1, \dots, m_l) &= (n_0, n_0 + n_1, \dots, n_0 + \dots + n_{l-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Throughout we use both the coordinates (n_0, \dots, n_l) and the coordinates (m_1, \dots, m_l) for T interchangeably.

Let Z be the group of all diagonal matrices in G_p . We have a surjective homomorphism

$$\nu : Z \rightarrow T, \quad (9)$$

which maps $\text{diag}(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_l)$ to the translation by the vector $\sum_{i=0}^l v_p(\lambda_i) E_i \in V$. Here $v_p : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the standard p -adic valuation. Let

$$S = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times). \quad (10)$$

Viewing T as a quotient of Z one constructs for each $s \in S$ a spherical function ω_s , using induction of characters. See [12, (3.3)] for the details and the precise definition of ω_s .

Theorem 2.2 ([12, Theorem 3.3.12]). *Every spherical function is of the form ω_s for some $s \in S$. Furthermore, $\omega_s = \omega_{s'}$ if and only if $s = ws'$ for some $w \in W_0$.*

Let $L(T)$ be the space of compactly supported functions on T . The action of W_0 on T by conjugation (see (5)) induces an action of W_0 on $L(T)$: $(w\phi)(t) = \phi(w^{-1}tw)$ ($\phi \in L(T), t \in T, w \in W_0$). Let $L(T)^{W_0}$ be the space of finitely supported, W_0 -invariant functions on T .

Theorem 2.3 (Satake Isomorphism, [12, Theorem 3.3.6]). *Let $f \in L(G_p, K_p)$. There exists a unique function $\tilde{f} \in L(T)^{W_0}$ such that for any $s \in S$,*

$$\hat{\omega}_s(f) = \sum_{t \in T} \tilde{f}(t) s(t).$$

The map $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras from $L(G_p, K_p)$ to $L(T)^{W_0}$.

The $\tilde{f}(t)$ in Theorem 2.3 should be regarded as the Fourier coefficients of f . Let $U^- < G_p$ be the group of all lower diagonal matrices with 1's on the diagonal. Then U^- is nilpotent, and so it is unimodular. The diagonal group Z normalizes U^- and we have the following formula for the Jacobian of the action of Z on U^- by conjugation [12, Proposition (3.2.4)]. Let Σ_0^+ be the set of positive roots of Σ_0 (i.e. $e_i - e_j$ with $i < j$) and $r = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \Sigma_0^+} a$. For any $t \in T$ and $z \in \nu^{-1}(t)$, let $\Delta(z) = \delta(t) = p^{2r(t(0))}$. Then

$$\frac{dz u^- z^{-1}}{du^-} = \Delta(z)^{-1}.$$

In the notation above we have the following formula ([12, (3.3.4)]) for \tilde{f} .

$$\tilde{f} = \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \int_{U^-} f(zu^-) du^-. \quad (11)$$

Definition 2.4. For $f \in L(G_p, K_p)$ define the *adjoint* of f to be $f^*(g) = \overline{f(g^{-1})}$.

Lemma 2.5. For any $f \in L(G_p, K_p)$, $\tilde{f}(t) = \overline{(\tilde{f}^*)(-t)}$.

Proof. We have by (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{f}^*)(t) &= \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \int_{U^-} f^*(zu^-) du^- \\ &= \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \int_{U^-} \overline{f((u^-)^{-1}z^{-1})} du^- \\ &= \overline{\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \int_{U^-} f((u^-)^{-1}z^{-1}) du^-}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the unimodularity of U^- this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U^-} f((u^-)^{-1}z^{-1}) du^- &= \int_{U^-} f(z^{-1}zu^-z^{-1}) du^- \\ &= \Delta(z) \int_{U^-} f(z^{-1}u^-) du^-, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\overline{(\tilde{f}^*)(t)} = \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \int_{U^-} f(z^{-1}u^-) du^- = \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-t) \int_{U^-} f(z^{-1}u^-) du^- = \tilde{f}(-t),$$

as needed. \square

We denote by \hat{T} the set of all $s \in S$ with $|s(t)| = 1 \forall t \in T$. We say that an element $s \in S$ is *nonsingular* if

$$s(t_a) \neq 1, \quad (12)$$

for all $a \in \Sigma_0$. We call an element s in S *singular* if it is not nonsingular. For $s \in \hat{T}$, write $s(t_{e_i - e_j}) = \xi_i \xi_j^{-1}$ ($\xi_i \in \mathbb{C}$). If s is nonsingular, let

$$c(s) = \prod_{i < j} \frac{\xi_i - p^{-1}\xi_j}{\xi_i - \xi_j}, \quad (13)$$

the *Harish-Chandra function*, where $t_{e_i - e_j}$ is as in (6). Notice that for $s \in \hat{T}$, we must have $|\xi_i| = |\xi_j|$ for all $i < j$, so that the numerator in (13) never vanishes. Thus $c(s)^{-1}$ is well-defined for all $s \in \hat{T}$. Notice that \hat{T} can be identified with the l -dimensional torus $\mathbb{R}^l/\mathbb{Z}^l$, by sending

$$s \in \hat{T} \mapsto (\theta_k \mathbb{Z})_{k=1}^l,$$

if $s(t_{a_k}) = e^{2\pi i \theta_k}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq l$ (see (3)). In terms of this identification $s \mapsto c(s)^{-1}$ is real analytic, due to (13). We will also use the fact that $|c(s)|^{-2}$ is W_0 -invariant, hence

$$|c(s)|^{-2} = (c(ws)c(ws^{-1}))^{-1}, \quad (14)$$

for all $w \in W_0$ ([12, (5.1.4)]).

Theorem 2.6 ([12, Theorem (5.1.2)]). *The Plancherel measure μ on Ω^+ is concentrated on the set $\{\omega_s \mid s \in \hat{T}\}$, and up to normalization is given by*

$$d\mu(\omega_s) = \frac{ds}{|c(s)|^2}.$$

Here ds is the probability Haar measure on the compact group \hat{T} .

By convention we write integrals over Ω^+ with respect to the Plancherel measure as follows: if h is a function on Ω^+ we write

$$\int_{\hat{T}} h(s) d\mu(s),$$

meaning

$$\int_{\hat{T}} h(s) \frac{ds}{|c(s)|^2}.$$

We denote by Z^{++} the elements $\text{diag}(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_l) \in Z$ such that

$$v_p(\lambda_0) \geq v_p(\lambda_1) \geq \dots \geq v_p(\lambda_l),$$

and its image under ν by $T^{++} \subset T$.

Theorem 2.7 (Cartan decomposition, [12, Theorem (2.6.11)]). $G_p = K_p Z^{++} K_p$, and the mapping $t \mapsto K_p \nu^{-1}(t) K_p$ is a bijection of T^{++} onto $K_p \backslash G_p / K_p$.

We recall Macdonald's formula for spherical functions. We first treat the case of nonsingular elements of S (see (12)). Up to normalization we have for any $z_0 \in Z^{++}$ and any nonsingular $s \in S$,

$$\omega_s(z_0^{-1}) = \delta(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{w \in W_0} (ws, t_0) c(ws) \quad (15)$$

([12, Theorem (4.2.1)]). Here (ws, t_0) simply means $ws(t_0)$, and as before $t_0 = \nu(z_0)$. In view of the Cartan decomposition the formula above completely determines ω_s . For a singular $s \in S$ a similar formula can be obtained by taking a limit.

Using the Cartan decomposition we obtain for every $g \in G_p$ a representative $t = t(g) \in T^{++}$. If $t(g)$ is a translation by an element $\sum_{i=0}^l n_i E_i \in L$ we say that p^{-n_i} is the *denominator* of g and we denote it by $\mathbf{d}(g)$.

Lemma 2.8 (Silberman–Venkatesh [17, Lemma 4.3]). $\mathbf{d}(gg') \leq \mathbf{d}(g)\mathbf{d}(g')$ and $\mathbf{d}(g^{-1}) \leq \mathbf{d}(g)^l$.

For every $t \in T$ we denote by $\|t\|$ the norm of $t(0)$ coming from the inner product on V .

Lemma 2.9. *There are constants $C, C' > 0$ such that for any $g \in G_p$ if we let $t = t(g) \in T^{++}$ be the representative of g given by the Cartan decomposition then*

$$p^{C\|t\|} \leq \mathbf{d}(g) \leq p^{C'\|t\|}.$$

Proof. Since everything is defined by taking representatives in T^{++} it suffices to show that there are C, C' such that for every $t = \sum_i n_i e_i$ with $n_0 \geq \dots \geq n_l$,

$$C\|t\| \leq -n_l \leq C'\|t\|.$$

Consider the function on V given by $v = \sum_i v_i E_i \in V \mapsto -\min_i \{v_i\}$. This function is equivalent to the norm on V , given by $v \mapsto \max_i \{|v_i|\}$. Thus identifying T^{++} with a subset of V we can extend $t = \sum_i n_i e_i \in T^{++} \mapsto -\min_i \{n_i\} = -n_l$ to a function which is equivalent to a norm on V . On the other hand $t \in T^{++} \mapsto \|t\|$ extends to a norm on V . Since any two norms on V are equivalent, the result follows. \square

For $t \in T$ with $t(0) = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i a_i^\vee$ we define the *height* of t to be

$$\mathbf{ht}(t) = \sum_i |m_i|.$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let t_1, \dots, t_m be a set of generators of the semigroup T^{++} . Then the length of $t \in T^{++}$ with respect to t_1, \dots, t_m is equivalent to $\mathbf{ht}(t)$. That is, there exist constants $C, C' > 0$ such that if $t = t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k}$ (with minimal k) then*

$$C\mathbf{ht}(t) \leq k \leq C'\mathbf{ht}(t).$$

Proof. Let $M > 0$ be such that the height of any of the t_i 's is less than M . Then the height of $t = t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k}$ is at most kM . The other direction follows from the fact that the height is additive on T^{++} and the height of any of the t_i 's is at least 1. \square

Lemma 2.11. *The height of $t \in T^{++}$ is equivalent to m_1 , where as before $t(0) = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i a_i^\vee$.*

Proof. Write $t(0) = \sum_{i=0}^l n_i E_i$ with $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_l$, $\sum_i n_i = 0$. Then

$$t(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (n_0 + \cdots + n_i)(E_i - E_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^l (n_0 + \cdots + n_{i-1})a_i^\vee,$$

so that $m_i = n_0 + \cdots + n_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{ht}(t) = ln_0 + (l-1)n_1 + \cdots + n_{l-1}$. Thus, since $n_0 = m_1$,

$$m_1 \leq \mathbf{ht}(t) \leq (l + (l-1) + \cdots + 1)m_1.$$

\square

Definition 2.12. Let $t \in T$ and suppose that $t(0) = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i a_i^\vee$ with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $t \geq 0$ if the first non-zero m_i is positive, and $t_1 \geq t_2$ if $t_1 t_2^{-1} \geq 0$.

It is easy to see that \leq is a total ordering on T .

Lemma 2.13. *Let $t, t' \in T^{++}$. Suppose that $t \leq t'$ and the lengths of t, t' , with respect to a set of generators t_1, \dots, t_m of the semigroup T^{++} , are k, k' . Then $k \leq Ck'$ for some constant C (depending only on the set of generators t_1, \dots, t_m).*

Proof. Suppose that $t(0) = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i a_i^\vee$ and $t'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^l m'_i a_i^\vee$. Then $m_1 \leq m'_1$ and so the result follows from Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.10. \square

3 The propagation lemma

3.1 Statement of result

We keep the notation of the previous section. Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\Gamma_p = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$. Identifying Γ_p with its diagonal embedding in $G \times G_p$ we have the isomorphism

$$\Gamma \backslash G \cong \Gamma_p \backslash G \times G_p / K_p, \quad (16)$$

given by $\Gamma x \mapsto \Gamma_p(x, 1)K_p$. Through this isomorphism $L(G_p, K_p)$ acts on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ by

$$(\phi * h)(x) = \int_{G_p} \phi(xy)h(y^{-1})dy \quad (\phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G), h \in L(G_p, K_p)). \quad (17)$$

Here $\phi(xy)$ means applying ϕ to the preimage of $\Gamma_p(x, y)K_p$ under the isomorphism (16). In this way $L(G_p, K_p)$ is viewed as an algebra of operators on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$, called the *Hecke operators at p* . Suppose that $\phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is an $L(G_p, K_p)$ joint eigenfunction. Then ϕ induces a homomorphism $h \mapsto \lambda_h$ from $L(G_p, K_p)$ to \mathbb{C} , defined by $\phi * h = \lambda_h \phi$. Let ω_s be the corresponding spherical function on G_p . We call s the *spectral parameter* of ϕ .

In this section, we construct a convolution kernel $K_N \in L(G_p, K_p)$ which spectrally amplifies a given $L(G_p, K_p)$ -eigenfunction, but still has small norm.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$. For any sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending on ϵ), and any $L(G_p, K_p)$ -eigenfunction $\phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$, there exists a self-adjoint kernel $K_N \in L(G_p, K_p)$ satisfying*

1. K_N is supported on elements with denominator at most p^{rN} , for some positive constant r (depending only on G, G_p, K_p).
2. There exists $\delta > 0$, depending only on ϵ , such that

$$\|K_N\|_\infty \ll e^{-N\delta}.$$

3. ϕ has K_N -eigenvalue $\geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.
4. For every $\psi \in L^2(X)$ we have $\langle \psi * K_N, \psi \rangle \geq -\|\psi\|_2^2$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.1.

3.2 Construction of k_N

For any $L, q \in \mathbb{N}$, let $g_{L,q}(k)$ be the function on \mathbb{Z} defined by

$$\sum_k g_{L,q}(k) e^{kz} = D_L(qz),$$

where $D_L(z) = \sum_{k=-L}^L e^{kz}$ is the Dirichlet kernel. We will later choose the parameters L and q based on ϵ and ϕ from Proposition 3.1. Explicitly, if $q \neq 0$

$$g_{L,q}(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q|k \text{ and } |k| \leq qL, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and if $q = 0$ then

$$g_{L,0}(k) = \begin{cases} 2L + 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We extend the definition of $g_{L,q}$ to l -tuples. If q is a tuple $q = (q_1, \dots, q_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ let

$$g_{L,q}(m_1, \dots, m_l) = \prod_{i=1}^l g_{L,q_i}(m_i),$$

and view it as a function on $T \cong \mathbb{Z}^l$ (see (8)). We define an element $\tilde{f} \in L(T)^{W_0}$ by averaging $g_{L,q}$ over W_0 , and subtracting a multiple of the delta function to have $\tilde{f}(0) = 0$. Explicitly,

$$\tilde{f}_{L,q}(t) = \frac{1}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} g_{L,q}(wtw^{-1}) - g_{L,q}(0)\delta_0(t). \quad (18)$$

Lemma 3.2. *For every $L, q \in \mathbb{N}$, the support of $\tilde{f}_{L,q}$ consists of elements $t \in T$ with $\|t\| \ll \|q\|_\infty L$. Also, $\sup_{t \in T} |\tilde{f}_{L,q}(t)| \ll_L 1$.*

Proof. We claim that there is a positive constant C such that for any $\|t\| > C\|q\|_\infty L$ and $w \in W_0$, $g_{L,q}(wtw^{-1}) = 0$. Indeed, we start with $w = e$. In this case, $g_{L,q}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^l g_{L,q_i}(m_i)$, where $t(0) = \sum_i m_i a_i^\vee$, and if this does not vanish it means that $|m_i| \leq q_i L$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and so $\|(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_l)\|_\infty \leq \|q\|_\infty L$. By equivalence of norms $\|t\| \leq C\|q\|_\infty L$ for some $C > 0$. This proves the claim for the case where $w = e$. Since W_0 acts by isometries on T the claim follows for any $w \in W_0$, which proves the first part of the lemma. The second part is clear from the definition. \square

Fix $L \in \mathbb{N}$, and an $L(G_p, K_p)$ -eigenfunction $\phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ with spectral parameter $s \in S$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, large enough in terms of L , we define $k_N \in L(G_p, K_p)$ through its Fourier coefficients $\tilde{k}_N(t)$ as follows. Select $q_1 = q_1(N) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q := (q_1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^l$ has the following properties.

- (i) $|\hat{\omega}_s(f_{L,q})| \geq L^l$,
- (ii) $N \ll_L q_1 \ll \frac{N}{L}$.

The existence of such q_1 is established in Lemma 3.3 below. Finally define

$$\tilde{k}_N = \tilde{k}_{L,N} = \tilde{f}_{L,q} * \tilde{f}_{L,q}^* - \tilde{f}_{L,q} * \tilde{f}_{L,q}^*(0)\delta_0, \quad (19)$$

where $q = q(N)$ is as above.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists $q_1 = q_1(N) \in \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies properties (i) and (ii) above.*

Proof. To simplify the notation we denote $f = f_{L,q}$, $g = g_{L,q}$, and $g_i = g_{L,q_i}$. We have for $q = (q_1, 0, \dots, 0)$,

$$\hat{\omega}_s(f) = \sum_{t \in T} \tilde{f}(t)s(t) = \frac{1}{|W_0|} \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{w \in W_0} g(wtw^{-1})s(t) - (2L+1)^{l-1},$$

and by reversing the order of summation this is equal to

$$\frac{1}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} \sum_{t \in T} g(t)s(w^{-1}tw) - (2L+1)^{l-1}. \quad (20)$$

For each $w \in W_0$, $t \mapsto s(w^{-1}tw)$ is given by

$$(m_1, \dots, m_l) \mapsto e^{\sum_i m_i z_i^{(w)}},$$

for some $z_1^{(w)}, \dots, z_l^{(w)} \in \mathbb{C}$. In this notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in T} g(t)s(w^{-1}tw) &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_l \in \mathbb{Z}} g(m_1, \dots, m_l) e^{\sum_i m_i z_i^{(w)}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^l \sum_{m_i \in \mathbb{Z}} g_i(m_i) e^{m_i z_i^{(w)}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^l D_L(q_i z_i^{(w)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\hat{\omega}_s(f) = \frac{1}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} \prod_{i=1}^l D_L(q_i z_i^{(w)}) - (2L+1)^{l-1}.$$

Since $q_i = 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, l$ we have

$$\hat{\omega}_s(f) = (2L+1)^{l-1} \left(\frac{1}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} D_L(q_1 z_1^{(w)}) - 1 \right).$$

Let $z_1^{(w)} = x_w + iy_w$. Using a quantitative version of Kronecker theorem (see Lemma B.1) we can find $N \ll_L q_1 \ll \frac{N}{L}$ such that

$$|e^{q_1 i k y_w} - 1| \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

for all $|k| \leq L, w \in W_0$. The lemma now follows since for any such q_1 we have

$$\frac{1}{|W_0|} \left| \sum_{w \in W_0} (D_L(q_1 z_1^{(w)}) - 1) \right| \geq \frac{L}{2}.$$

□

3.3 Spectral properties of k_N

For the rest of this section, we keep the convention from the proof of Lemma 3.3 and denote $f = f_{L,q}$, $g = g_{L,q}$, and $g_i = g_{L,q_i}$ (here $q = (q_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is our fixed choice from (19)).

Lemma 3.4. *The support of \tilde{f} is of size at most $O(L)$.*

Proof. Let $t \in T$, and assume that $\tilde{f}(t) \neq 0$. In particular $t \neq 0$. We claim that there exists a non-zero multiple of q_1 , say $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that t belongs to the orbit of $(y, 0, \dots, 0)$ under W_0 . Indeed, since $\tilde{f}(t) \neq 0$, $g(wtw^{-1}) \neq 0$ for some $w \in W_0$. Let $wtw^{-1} = (m_1, \dots, m_l)$, so that $g_i(m_i) \neq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$). Since $q_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, we have also that $m_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. Hence $wtw^{-1} = (m_1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $m_1 \neq 0$, $q_1 | m_1$, as claimed. Write $y = q_1 y'$. Then we have $|y'| q_1 \leq q_1 L$, and so $|y'| \leq L$. Since there are $2L$ such y' , the support is of size at most $|W_0| 2L$. □

Lemma 3.5. *1. The support of \tilde{k}_N consists of elements $t \in T$ with $N \ll_L \|t\| \ll N$.*

2. $\sup_{t \in T} |\tilde{k}_N(t)| \ll_L 1$.

Proof. For any $t \in T$ we have

$$\tilde{f} * \tilde{f}^*(t) = \sum_{t' \in T} \tilde{f}(t' + t) \overline{\tilde{f}(t')}. \quad (21)$$

Thus if $\tilde{f} * \tilde{f}^*(t) \neq 0$ then there is some $t' \in T$ such that both $\tilde{f}(t') \neq 0$ and $\tilde{f}(t' + t) \neq 0$. Thus if $t = (m_1, \dots, m_l)$, then each of the m_i is a multiple of q_1 . Since at least one of the m_i is not zero it follows that $\|t\| \gg q_1 \gg_L N$. To prove the upper bound, note that we have $\|t'\|, \|t + t'\| \ll q_1 L$ due to Lemma 3.2, and so $\|t\| \ll q_1 L \ll \frac{N}{L} L = N$. This proves the first part of the lemma. To prove the second part, let $t \in T$. If $t = 0$ then $\tilde{k}_N(t) = 0$ and there is nothing to prove. Otherwise $\tilde{k}_N(t)$ is given by (21). Using Lemma 3.4 and the triangle inequality, we see that $|\tilde{k}_N(t)| \ll_L |\tilde{f}(t' + t) \tilde{f}(t')|$ for some $t' \in T$. The result now follows from the fact that $|\tilde{f}| \ll_L 1$, due to Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.6. 1. $\tilde{f} * \tilde{f}^*(0) \ll L^{2l-1}$.

2. $\hat{\omega}_s(k_N) \gg L^{2l}$.

Proof. We have

$$\tilde{f} * \tilde{f}^*(0) = \sum_{t \in T} |\tilde{f}(t)|^2.$$

If $t = (m_1, \dots, m_l)$ is such that $\tilde{f}(t) \neq 0$ then at least one of the m_i 's is not zero and so $g(t) \leq (2L + 1)^{l-1}$. Similarly $g(wtw^{-1}) \leq (2L + 1)^{l-1}$ for each $w \in W_0$ and so $\tilde{f}(t) = \frac{1}{|W_0|} \sum_{w \in W_0} g(wtw^{-1}) \leq (2L + 1)^{l-1}$. Combining this with Lemma 3.4 the first assertion of the lemma follows. To prove the second assertion, recall that we have $|\hat{\omega}_s(f)| \gg L^l$, due to (i). Combining this with the first assertion finishes the proof. \square

3.4 Geometric properties of k_N

We start by bounding k_N . Using Theorem 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
k_N(x) &= k_N * 1_{K_p}(x) \\
&= k_N * \int_{\hat{T}} \omega_s(x) d\mu(s) \\
&= \int_{\hat{T}} \hat{\omega}_s(k_N) \omega_s(x) d\mu(s) \\
&= \int_{\hat{T}} \sum_{t \in T} s(t) \tilde{k}_N(t) \omega_s(x) d\mu(s) \\
&= \sum_{t \in T} \tilde{k}_N(t) \int_{\hat{T}} s(t) \omega_s(x) d\mu(s).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Lemma 3.7. *There exist $C > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that for any $x \in G_p$ we have*

$$\left| \int_{\hat{T}} s(t) \omega_s(x) d\mu(s) \right| \leq C e^{-\kappa \|t\|}.$$

Proof. Let $s \in \hat{T}$ be a nonsingular character (see (12)). Then up to a positive constant we have

$$\omega_s(x) = \omega_s(z_0^{-1}) = \delta(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{w \in W_0} (ws, t_0) c(ws),$$

for every $z_0 \in Z^{++}$ and $t_0 = \nu(z_0)$, due to (15). If s_0 is singular, then $\omega_{s_0}(x)$ is just the limit $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} \omega_s(x)$ over nonsingular s . Since the limit exists, we continue to write the same formula for singular characters as well. By Theorem 2.6 we have

$$\int_{\hat{T}} s(t) \sum_{w \in W_0} (ws, t_0) c(ws) d\mu(s) = \int_{\hat{T}} s(t) \sum_{w \in W_0} (ws, t_0) c(ws) \frac{1}{|c(s)|^2} ds.$$

Fix $w \in W_0$. We have $|c(s)|^2 = c(ws)c(ws^{-1})$, due to (14). Thus

$$\int_{\hat{T}} s(t) (ws, t_0) c(ws) \frac{1}{|c(s)|^2} ds = \int_{\hat{T}} s(t) (ws, t_0) \frac{1}{c(ws^{-1})} ds.$$

The function $s \mapsto (ws, t_0) \frac{1}{c(ws^{-1})}$ is real analytic on \hat{T} (see the discussion following (13)). Thus, viewing it as a function on the torus $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^l$, it can be extended holomorphically to a κ_w -neighborhood U of $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^l$ in $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})^l$ for

some positive constant κ_w , which is clearly independent of t_0 . Thus by the Paley-Wiener Lemma on exponential decay of Fourier coefficients we have

$$\left| \int_{\hat{T}} s(t)(ws, t_0) \frac{1}{c(ws^{-1})} ds \right| \ll C_w(t_0) e^{-\kappa_w \|t\|},$$

where $C_w(t_0) = \sup_{s \in U} (s \mapsto (ws, t_0) \frac{1}{c(ws^{-1})})$. Taking κ_w small enough, we can arrange that $\delta(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_w(t_0)$ is uniformly bounded in terms of t_0 , i.e. in terms of x , and so

$$|\delta(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\hat{T}} s(t)(ws, t_0) \frac{1}{c(ws^{-1})} ds| \ll e^{-\kappa_w \|t\|}.$$

The result now follows since our integral is just a finite sum of such expressions. \square

Corollary 3.8. *There exists a positive constant $\delta = \delta(L) > 0$ such that for all $x \in G_p$ and N large enough,*

$$|k_N(x)| \leq e^{-\delta N}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.5 we have that $\tilde{k}_N(t) \neq 0$ only if $C'N \leq \|t\| \leq CN$ for some constants $C', C > 0$ (depending on L). Note that the number of such $t \in T$ is bounded (up to a constant) by N^l . By Lemma 3.7 we have that for any such $t \in T$

$$\left| \int_{\hat{T}} s(t) \omega_s(x) d\mu(s) \right| \ll e^{-\kappa \|t\|} \leq e^{-\kappa C' N},$$

for some constant $\kappa > 0$. From the second part of Lemma 3.5 we have $\tilde{k}_N(t) \ll_L 1$. Thus we have by (22) that

$$|k_N(x)| \ll_L N^l e^{-C' \kappa N}.$$

The result follows by absorbing the polynomial coefficient N^l into the exponent. \square

Next we estimate the support of k_N .

Let $p_t : \hat{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$p_t(s) = \sum_{w \in W_0} (ws, t).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
k_N(x) &= \sum_{t \in T/W_0} \sum_{w \in W_0} \tilde{k}_N(wt) \int_{\hat{T}} (ws, t) \omega_s(x) d\mu(s) \\
&= \sum_{t \in T/W_0} \tilde{k}_N(t) \int_{\hat{T}} p_t(s) \omega_s(x) d\mu(s).
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Lemma 3.9. *Given any $t_0 \in T$ there exists $k_{t_0} \in L(G_p, K_p)$ such that for all $s \in \hat{T}$*

$$k_{t_0} * \omega_s = p_{t_0}(s) \omega_s.$$

Proof. By the Satake isomorphism it is enough to show that there is an element $\tilde{k}_{t_0} \in L(T)^{W_0}$ such that for all $s \in \hat{T}$ we have

$$p_{t_0}(s) = \sum_t \tilde{k}_{t_0}(t) s(t).$$

It is clear that $\tilde{k}_{t_0}(t) = \delta_{t \in W_0 t_0}$ (here $W_0 t_0$ is the orbit of t_0 under the action of W_0) is such an element. \square

Following [12], we denote the element $\tilde{k}_t = \delta_{W_0 t} \in L(T)^{W_0}$ from Lemma 3.9 by $\langle t \rangle$. We continue to denote by k_t the element of $L(G_p, K_p)$ that corresponds to $\langle t \rangle$. We now consider T^{++} as an ordered set, viewing it as a subset of the ordered set (T, \leq) (see definition 2.12). Then we can write T^{++} as a sequence

$$T^{++} = \{t_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty},$$

with $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots$. Let m be the least integer such that t_1, \dots, t_m generate T^{++} as a semi group (it is in fact easy to see that $m = l$). For each element t in T^{++} , let χ_t denote the characteristic function of $K_p \nu^{-1}(t) K_p$.

Lemma 3.10. *For each $t \in T^{++}$ there is a polynomial P_t in m variables, of total degree $\ll \|t\|$ such that $k_t = P_t(\chi_{t_1}, \dots, \chi_{t_m})$.*

Proof. We shall use the following two facts.

1. For any $t_1, t_2 \in T^{++}$ we have

$$\langle t_1 \rangle * \langle t_2 \rangle = \langle t_1 t_2 \rangle + \sum_{t' \in T^{++}, t' < t_1 t_2} c_{t'} \langle t' \rangle. \tag{24}$$

In fact, for each $t \in T^{++}$ let $\theta_t = \delta(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle t \rangle$, and $M_t = \sum_{t' < t} \mathbb{Z}\theta_{t'}$. It is shown in the proof of [12, (3.3.14)] that

$$\theta_{t_1} * \theta_{t_2} \equiv \theta_{t_1 t_2} \pmod{M_t}.$$

In particular we obtain (24).

2. For any $t \in T^{++}$ we have

$$\tilde{\chi}_t = \delta(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle t \rangle + \sum_{t' < t, t' \in T^{++}} \tilde{\chi}_t(t') \langle t' \rangle$$

([12, (3.3.8')]).

Let $t = t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k} \in T^{++}$. It follows from (24) that

$$\langle t \rangle = \langle t_{i_1} \rangle * \cdots * \langle t_{i_k} \rangle - \sum_{t' \in T^{++}, t' < t} c_{t'} \langle t' \rangle,$$

and so by induction and by Lemma 2.13 we have that $\langle t \rangle$ is a polynomial in the $\langle t_i \rangle$'s with degree $\ll k$. Applying the second fact above to $t = t_i$ we have that each $\langle t_i \rangle$ is a *linear* polynomial in $\tilde{\chi}_{t_1}, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_{t_m}$. Thus $\langle t \rangle$ is a polynomial of degree $\ll k$ in $\tilde{\chi}_{t_1}, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_{t_m}$. Thus by Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.13 it follows that if $t(0) = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i(t) a_i^\vee$ then the degree is $\ll m_1(t)$. But $t \in T^{++} \mapsto m_1(t)$ is equivalent to $t \mapsto \|t\|$, and so the degree is $\ll \|t\|$. The result now follows by the Satake isomorphism (Theorem 2.3). \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $z_0 \in Z^{++}$ and $t_0 = \nu(z_0)$. If $\|t\| \ll \|t_0\|$ then*

$$\int_{\hat{T}} p_t(s) \omega_s(z_0^{-1}) d\mu(s) = 0,$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\hat{T}} p_t(s) \omega_s(z_0^{-1}) d\mu(s) &= \int_{\hat{T}} k_t * \omega_s(z_0^{-1}) d\mu(s) \\ &= \int_{G_p} k_t(z_0^{-1}g) \int_{\hat{T}} \omega_s(g^{-1}) d\mu(s) dg \\ &= k_t * \chi_0(z_0^{-1}) = k_t(z_0^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.10 we have that k_t is a polynomial of degree $\ll \|t\|$ in $\chi_{t_1}, \dots, \chi_{t_m}$, where t_1, \dots, t_m is a fixed choice of generators of the semigroup T^{++} . For

simplicity write $\chi_{t_i} = \chi_i$. Let $\chi_{i_1} * \cdots * \chi_{i_d}$ one of the monomials of our polynomial. We claim that $\chi_{i_1} * \cdots * \chi_{i_d}(z_0^{-1}) \neq 0$ only if $z_0^{-1} \in \prod_{j=1}^d Kt_{i_j}K$. Indeed, we have

$$\chi_{i_1} * \cdots * \chi_{i_d}(z_0^{-1}) = \int_{G_p} \chi_{i_1} * \cdots * \chi_{i_{d-1}}(g^{-1}) \chi_{i_d}(z_0^{-1}g) dg.$$

For the integrand to not vanish we need $z_0^{-1}g \in Kt_{i_d}K$ and $\chi_{i_1} * \cdots * \chi_{i_{d-1}}(g^{-1}) \neq 0$. Thus by induction $g^{-1} \in \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} Kt_{i_j}K$ and so $z_0^{-1} \in \prod_{j=1}^d Kt_{i_j}K$ as needed. Thus if $\chi_{i_1} * \cdots * \chi_{i_d}(z_0^{-1}) \neq 0$ we have that

$$p^{C'\|t_0\|} \leq \mathbf{d}(z_0^{-1}) \leq \prod_{j=1}^d \mathbf{d}(t_{i_j}) \leq p^{C(\sum_{j=1}^d \|t_{i_j}\|)},$$

by Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9, and so

$$\|t_0\| \ll \sum_{j=1}^d \|t_{i_j}\| \ll d \ll \|t\|.$$

Thus we have that if $\|t\| \ll \|t_0\|$ then $\chi_{t_1}, \dots, \chi_{t_m}(z_0^{-1}) = 0$. Since this holds for each of the monomials we have that $k_t * \chi_0(z_0^{-1}) = 0$ whenever $\|t\| \ll \|t_0\|$, as needed. \square

Corollary 3.12. *k_N is supported on elements $x \in K_p z_0 K_p$ ($z_0 \in Z^{++}$) with $\|\nu(z_0)\| \ll N$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5 there exists $C > 0$ such that $\tilde{k}_N(t) = 0$ whenever $\|t\| \geq CN$. By Lemma 3.11 there exists $C' > 0$ such that $\int_{\hat{T}} p_t(s) \omega_s(x) d\mu(s) = 0$ whenever $\|\nu(z_0)\| > C'\|t\|$. Thus by (23), we have that $k_N(x) = 0$ whenever $\|\nu(z_0)\| \geq CC'N$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $\phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ an $L(G_p, K_p)$ -eigenfunction with spectral parameter $s \in S$. Let $k_N = k_{L,N}$ be the corresponding kernel as defined in (19). By Lemma 3.6 there exists $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[\tilde{f} * \tilde{f}^*(0)]^{-1} \omega_s(k_N) > \frac{1}{\epsilon},$$

whenever N is large enough in terms of ϵ . Let $K_N = [\tilde{f} * \tilde{f}^*(0)]^{-1} k_N$. Then K_N satisfies the last two properties by construction (for the last property we have used in particular that $f * f^*$ acts as a positive operator on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$). The first and second properties follow by Corollary 3.12 and Corollary 3.8 respectively. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

4.1 The partition \mathcal{P}

We keep denoting $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and $X = \Gamma \backslash G$. We fix a left-invariant Riemannian metric on G . Recall our identification (16) between X and the double quotient $\Gamma_p \backslash G \times G_p / K_p$. We use the following notational conventions. If $U \subset G$ is any subset we denote by \overline{U} its image in X under the natural projection. If $U \subset G \times G_p$ we denote by \overline{U} the image of U in $\Gamma_p \backslash G \times G_p / K_p$. Notice that for any $U \subset G$, the sets $\overline{U} \subset \Gamma \backslash G$ and $\overline{U} \times \{1\} \subset \Gamma_p \backslash G \times G_p / K_p$ coincide under our identification (16). Thus if $U \subset G$ then we use the notation \overline{U} for either of these two sets interchangeably. If $x \in G$ and $b \in G_p$ we denote by xb the element $(x, b) \in G \times G_p$. We say that an element a of the diagonal group A is *regular* if it has distinct entries. We consider measures on X invariant under the action of such a . If \mathcal{P} is a partition of X , let \mathcal{P}_N be the (symmetric) N -th refinement of \mathcal{P} under the action of a :

$$\mathcal{P}_N := \bigvee_{i=-N}^N a^i \mathcal{P}.$$

As before we denote $G_p = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $K_p = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and we consider the action of the Hecke algebra $L(G_p, K_p)$ on $L^2(X)$ through the double quotient decomposition (16).

In this section we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a \in A$ be a regular element, and μ an a -invariant probability measure on X . For any compact identity neighborhood $\Omega \subset G$ and $r > 0$ there exists a sequence of identity neighborhoods $B_N \subset G$, and a partition $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega, r)$ of X , satisfying:*

1. *For any $x, y \in \Omega$, the number of cosets bK_p ($b \in G_p$) with denominator $\leq p^{rN}$ such that $x\overline{B_N b} \cap y\overline{B_N} \neq \emptyset$ is at most $N^{O_r(1)}$.*
2. *There exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on r such that the intersection of $\overline{\Omega}$ with any element of \mathcal{P}_{cN} is contained, up to a μ -null set, in a translate $x\overline{B_N}$, $x \in \Omega$.*

Here the implied constants may depend on r and Ω , but not on N .

We remark that the constant r in Lemma 4.1 will be taken to be the constant r from the first item of Proposition 3.1. Thus r , and accordingly

the constant c in the second item of the lemma, should be thought of as an *absolute* constant.

Following [17], our dynamical balls B_N will be thickened compact pieces of A . Given a compact neighborhood of the identity $C \subset A$ let $B(C, \epsilon)$ be an ϵ -neighborhood of C inside G . The proof of Lemma 4.1 is based on the following results.

Lemma 4.2 (Silberman–Venkatesh [17], Lemma 4.4). *Let $\Omega \subset G$ be a compact neighborhood of the identity. For $c > 0$ sufficiently large, depending only on n , and $c' > 0$ sufficiently small, depending on Ω , for any $g \in \Omega$ the set of $\gamma \in \Gamma_p$ such that*

$$\inf\{\text{dist}(\gamma, t) \mid t \in g(A \cap \Omega \Omega^{-1})g^{-1}\} \leq \epsilon, \mathbf{d}(\gamma) \leq M$$

is contained in a \mathbb{Q} -torus $T < SL_n(\mathbb{Q})$, provided that $\epsilon M^c \leq c'$

Lemma 4.3 ([6], (7.51)). *Let a be an element of A and μ an a -invariant probability measure on X . Let $F \subset X$ a compact subset, and $\delta > 0$. There exists a countable partition \mathcal{P} of X with finite entropy, containing $X \setminus F$ as one of its elements, satisfying the following property. For every element $E \subset F$ in the N -th refinement \mathcal{P}_N of \mathcal{P} , there exists $x \in F$ so that up to a μ -null set,*

$$E \subset x \bigcap_{k=-N}^N a^{-k} B_\delta^G a^k.$$

Here $B_\delta^G \subset G$ is the open ball of radius δ around the identity in G .

Lemma 4.4. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ which is small enough in terms of a . Then there exists some $\alpha > 0$, depending only on a such that*

$$\bigcap_{k=-N}^N a^{-k} B_\delta^G a^k \subset B(C, \kappa e^{-\alpha N}),$$

for some $\kappa \ll \delta$, and $C \subset A$ some compact subset with diameter $\ll \delta$.

For the proof of Lemma 4.4 we use the following notation. Define G^- to be the set of all elements $g \in G$ such that $a^i g a^{-i} \rightarrow e$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and G^+ the set of all elements $g \in G$ such that $a^{-i} g a^i \rightarrow e$. Then G^+ and G^- are closed subgroups of G , normalized by a, a^{-1} . If \mathfrak{g}^+ and \mathfrak{g}^- are the Lie algebras corresponding to G^+ and G^- and \mathfrak{a} is the Lie algebra corresponding to the centralizer of a (which is in our case the Lie algebra of A) then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}^-.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Let $\theta : G \rightarrow G$ be conjugation by a . Let $U \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\exp|_U$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Let $\delta > 0$ and set $U' = (\exp|_U)^{-1}(B_\delta^G)$. We choose $\delta > 0$ small enough so that $\theta^{\pm 1}U' \subset U$. Let $g \in \cap_{i=-N}^N \theta^i B_\delta^G$. We claim that $g = \exp(\theta^N Z_N)$ for some $Z_N \in U'$ such that $\theta^N Z_N \in U'$. Indeed, by induction assume that we have

$$g = \exp(Z_0) = \exp(\theta Z_1) = \cdots = \exp(\theta^{N-1} Z_{N-1}),$$

for $Z_i \in U'$ with $\theta^i Z_i \in U'$, as above. Since $g \in \cap_{i=-N}^N \theta^i B_\delta^G$, there exists $Z_N \in U'$ so that $g = \exp(\theta^N Z_N)$. We have $g = \exp(\theta^N Z_N) = \exp(\theta^{N-1} Z_{N-1})$ and so $\exp(\theta Z_N) = \exp(Z_{N-1}) \in B_\delta^G$. On the other hand we have that $\theta Z_N \in U$, since $Z_N \in U'$, and so $\theta Z_N \in U'$. Similarly, $\exp(\theta^N Z_N) = \exp(\theta^{N-2} Z_{N-2})$, and so $\exp(\theta^2 Z_N) \in B_\delta^G$. But $\theta Z_N \in U'$, so that $\theta^2 Z_N \in U$, and so $\theta^2 Z_N \in U'$. By applying this argument inductively it follows that $\theta^N Z_N \in U'$.

We can write g in the following three forms:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \exp(H_0 + X_0 + Y_0) \\ &= \exp(\theta^N (H_N + X_N + Y_N)) \\ &= \exp(\theta^{-N} (H_{-N} + X_{-N} + Y_{-N})), \end{aligned}$$

where $H_i \in \mathfrak{a}$, $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}^-$, $Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}^+$, and $H_i + X_i + Y_i \in U'$, $\theta^i (H_i + X_i + Y_i) \in U'$ ($i = \pm N, 0$). Since both a and a^{-1} normalize both G^+ and G^- and by the injectivity of $\exp|_U$ we have that $X_0 = \theta^N X_N$ and that $Y_0 = \theta^{-N} Y_{-N}$. Thus there are constants $c, \alpha > 0$ depending only on a such that $\|X_0\|, \|Y_0\| \leq c\delta e^{-\alpha N}$, and $\|H_0\| \leq c\delta$ (see e.g. [6], Lemma 7.29). Thus $H_0 + X_0 + Y_0$ belongs to a $c\delta e^{-\alpha N}$ -neighborhood of a $c'\delta$ -neighborhood of \mathfrak{a} (where c' is some positive constant depending only on a). The claim for neighborhoods in the group G follows from the fact that \exp is c'' -Lipschitz, for some $c'' > 0$, on U . \square

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let $\delta > 0$. By Lemma 4.3 there exists a partition \mathcal{P} containing $X \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ so that for every $E \in \mathcal{P}_N$, $E \subset \overline{\Omega}$, there exists $x \in \Omega$ such that, up to a null set,

$$E \subset x \overline{\bigcap_{k=-N}^N \theta^k B_\delta^G}.$$

Here θ stands for conjugation by a . Taking δ small enough to satisfy Lemma

4.4 it follows that there exists $\alpha > 0$ depending only on a so that

$$\bigcap_{k=-N}^N \theta^k B_\delta^G \subset B(C, \kappa e^{-\alpha N}),$$

where $\kappa \ll \delta$ and $C \subset A$ with diameter $\ll \delta$. Thus we have a partition $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\delta)$, and $\alpha > 0$ depending only on a such that for every $c \in \mathbb{N}$, for every $E \subset \overline{\Omega}$ in the cN -th refinement of \mathcal{P} ,

$$E \subset \overline{x B(C, \kappa e^{-\alpha c N})}$$

for some $x \in \Omega$, $C \subset A$ of diameter $\ll \delta$, and $\kappa \ll \delta$. Thus it suffices to show that δ and c can be chosen in a way that if we define $\epsilon_N := \kappa e^{-\alpha c N}$, then for every $x, y \in \Omega$,

$$\overline{x B(C, \epsilon_N) b} \cap \overline{y B(C, \epsilon_N)} \neq \emptyset \quad (25)$$

for at most $N^{O(r(1))}$ cosets bK_p ($b \in G_p$) with $\mathbf{d}(b) \leq p^{rN}$. Suppose that the intersection above is non-empty for such cosets $b_1 K_p, \dots, b_k K_p$. Denote $B = B(C, \epsilon_N)$. Then from (25) we have that for each i there exists $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_p$ such that

$$\gamma_i x B b_i \cap y B \neq \emptyset, \quad (26)$$

in $G \times G_p/K_p$. By (26) we have that $\gamma_i b_i \in K_p$. Thus by replacing b_i by an appropriate representative for $b_i K_p$, we may assume that $\gamma_i b_i = 1$. So we have $\gamma_i \in y B B^{-1} x^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{d}(\gamma_i) \leq p^{O(rN)}$. Let $s_i = \gamma_i \gamma_1^{-1}$ ($i = 2, \dots, n$), then $s_i \in y B B^{-1} B B^{-1} y^{-1} \cap \Gamma_p$. Taking c sufficiently large and δ sufficiently small it follows from Lemma 4.2 that the s_i are all lying on $T \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, for some torus $T < \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$. On the other hand the s_i still have denominator $\leq p^{O(rN)}$. Thus taking δ sufficiently small (not depending on T) the result follows by Lemma 4.5 below. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $T < \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be a torus (that is, a commutative subgroup all elements of which are diagonalizable over \mathbb{C}). Let $U \subset G$ be an identity neighborhood. Assume that for every $g \in U^{-1}U$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ an eigenvalue of g , we have $|\lambda - 1| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then there are at most $N^{O(1)}$ elements $s \in U \cap T \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ with denominator $\mathbf{d}(s) \leq p^N$.*

Proof. Let L be the splitting field of T over \mathbb{Q} , and \mathcal{O}_L its ring of integers. Denote $T(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) := T \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$. Then there exists a matrix $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ such that for every $s \in T(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ we have

$$\sigma s \sigma^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \quad (27)$$

where $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(s) \in L^\times$ are the eigenvalues of s . This gives an embedding of $T(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ into $(L^\times)^n$, sending s to $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Consider the map which takes $s \in U \cap T(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ to the tuple $(\lambda_1 \mathcal{O}_L, \dots, \lambda_n \mathcal{O}_L)$ of fractional ideals of \mathcal{O}_L . We claim that this map is injective. Indeed, let $s, s' \in U \cap T(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, and let $\theta_i = \lambda_i^{-1} \lambda'_i$. If

$$\lambda_1 \mathcal{O}_L, \dots, \lambda_n \mathcal{O}_L = \lambda'_1 \mathcal{O}_L, \dots, \lambda'_n \mathcal{O}_L,$$

then $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$. Note that by (27), we have that $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ are the eigenvalues of $s^{-1} s' \in U^{-1} U \cap T(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$. Since $s^{-1} s' \in U^{-1} U$, our assumption on U implies that for each i ,

$$|\theta_i - 1| < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (28)$$

Note also that since $s^{-1} s' \in T(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, we have in particular that the characteristic polynomial of $s^{-1} s'$ is a monic polynomial with rational coefficients. Since $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ are the roots of this polynomial, we have that for each i , all of the conjugates of θ_i are contained in $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$. Thus, since any element of $\mathcal{O}_L \setminus \{1\}$ has at least one conjugate at distance $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ from 1 (for example by looking at the discriminant), it follows from (28) that $\theta_i = 1$. This proves the claim. Thus it remains to show that there are at most $N^{O(1)}$ tuples of fractional ideals corresponding to elements $s \in U \cap T(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ with denominator at most p^N . For this notice first that if we write $\lambda_i \mathcal{O}_L$ as a reduced quotient of integral ideals of \mathcal{O}_L , then since λ_i belongs to the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ in \mathcal{O}_L , any prime ideal that appears in the denominator must divide p . Also since the denominator of s is at most p^N , any such prime ideal appears with multiplicity at most $O(N)$. Since $\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$, it follows that the ideals appearing in the nominator must satisfy these properties as well. Since there are at most $O(1)$ prime ideals dividing p (note that $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$ is at most $O_n(1)$), we have at most $N^{O(1)}$ choices for our fractional ideal at each coordinate, hence at most $N^{O(1)}$ tuples of such ideals. \square

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We keep the notation of the previous section. Instead of working with the definition of entropy we use the following proposition which gives a criterion for positive entropy on almost every ergodic component. The reader who is not familiar with entropy can take this as a definition. See Appendix A (Proposition A.4) for the necessary background and a proof.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $a : X \rightarrow X$ be a measurable map. Let μ be an a -invariant probability measure on X . Then a acts with positive entropy on almost every ergodic component if for any $\eta > 0$ there exists a partition \mathcal{P} of X satisfying the following condition for some constants $\delta > 0, c \in \mathbb{N}$: For any N large enough, if $J \subset X$ is a union of d elements of the cN -th refinement \mathcal{P}_{cN} of \mathcal{P} (under a), of total measure $\mu(J) > \eta$, then $d > e^{\delta N}$.*

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on analyzing an expression of the form

$$\langle \phi 1_J * K_N, \phi 1_J \rangle, \quad (29)$$

for a subset $J \subset X$ as in Proposition 4.6, $\phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ a suitable $L(G_p, K_p)$ -eigenfunction, and K_N the kernel constructed in section 3.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $E, E' \subset G$ be two measurable subsets of G , contained in some fixed fundamental domain F for $\Gamma \backslash G$. Let $k \in L(G_p, K_p)$, and let $v = v(k)$ be the number of cosets bK_p ($b \in G_p$) such that*

1. $K_p b^{-1} K_p$ is in the support of k ,
2. $\overline{E' b} \cap \overline{E} \neq \emptyset$ in X .

Then for any $f \in L^2(X)$ we have

$$|\langle f 1_{\overline{E}} * k, f 1_{\overline{E'}} \rangle| \leq v \|k\|_{\infty} \|f\|_{L^2(\overline{E})} \|f\|_{L^2(\overline{E'})}. \quad (30)$$

Proof. For any function $h \in L^2(X)$ we have

$$h * k(x) = \int_{G_p} k(y^{-1}) h(xy) dy,$$

where for any $x = \overline{(x_{\infty}, 1)} \in X$ with $x_{\infty} \in F$, $y \in G_p$, $xy = \overline{(x_{\infty}, y)}$. The union of the supports of k and $g \mapsto k(g^{-1})$ is a finite union of double cosets ξ_1, \dots, ξ_M , $\xi_i = K_p z_i K_p$, and so we can decompose the integral above as follows.

$$h * k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{\xi_i} k(y^{-1}) h(xy) dy = \sum_{i=1}^M k(z_i^{-1}) \int_{\xi_i} h(xy) dy.$$

Each of the double cosets ξ_i is a finite union of disjoint right cosets

$$\xi_i = \bigcup_{b \in B_{\xi_i}} b K_p,$$

and so

$$h * k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^M k(z_i^{-1}) \sum_{b \in B_{\xi_i}} h(xb).$$

Applying this equality to $h = f1_{\bar{E}}$ it follows that

$$|\langle f1_{\bar{E}} * k, f1_{\bar{E}'} \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^M |k(z_i^{-1})| \sum_{b \in B_{\xi_i}} \int_X |f(xb)| |f(x)| 1_{\bar{E}}(xb) 1_{\bar{E}'}(x) dx.$$

Since the last integral vanishes unless $\bar{E} \cap \bar{E}'b \neq \emptyset$, applying Cauchy-Schwartz yields (30). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will prove that the criterion in Proposition 4.6 is satisfied. To prove this, let $0 < \eta < 1$. We first use Lemma 4.1 to obtain a partition of X . Let $\Omega \subset G$ be a compact identity neighborhood of measure $\mu(\bar{\Omega}) \geq 1 - \frac{\eta}{2}$. Let r be a constant as in the first item of Proposition 3.1. Recall that r depends only on G , G_p and K_p , so we view it as an absolute constant. Applying Lemma 4.1 with these Ω and r we obtain a partition $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega, r)$ of X , and a sequence of identity neighborhoods $B_N \subset G$ satisfying the two properties from that lemma. Let $J \subset X$ be a union

$$J = \bigcup_{j=1}^d E_j$$

of elements $E_1, \dots, E_d \in \mathcal{P}_{cN}$ of the cN -refinement of \mathcal{P} , with total mass $\mu(J) > \eta$. Here c is the constant from the second item of Lemma 4.1. Recall that c depends only on r so we view c as an absolute constant as well. Put $J' = J \cap \bar{\Omega}$ and $E'_j = E_j \cap \bar{\Omega}$. Since $\mu(\bar{\Omega}) > 1 - \frac{\eta}{2}$, we have $\mu(J') > \frac{\eta}{2}$, and so there exists i_0 such that $\lambda \mu_{i_0}(J') > \frac{\eta}{2}$ as well. Let $K_N \in L(G_p, K_p)$ be the kernel from Proposition 3.1 corresponding to $\epsilon := \frac{\eta}{2\lambda}$ and ϕ_{i_0} . Consider the inner product

$$\langle \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'} * K_N, \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'} \rangle = \sum_{j,k} \langle \phi_{i_0} 1_{E'_j} * K_N, \phi_{i_0} 1_{E'_k} \rangle. \quad (31)$$

By the first property of Lemma 4.1 we have that up to a null set, each E'_j is contained in a translate $\overline{xB_N}$ ($x \in \Omega$). Thus for any $1 \leq j, k \leq d$, there exist $x, y \in \Omega$ such that

$$|\langle 1_{E'_j} \phi_{i_0} * K_N, 1_{E'_k} \phi_{i_0} \rangle| \leq \left\langle (1_{E'_j} \cap \overline{xB_N} |\phi_{i_0}|) * |K_N|, 1_{E'_k} \cap \overline{yB_N} |\phi_{i_0}| \right\rangle.$$

By proposition 3.1 we have that $\|K_N\|_\infty \leq e^{-\delta N}$, and that $b \mapsto K_N(b^{-1})$ is supported on elements with denominator at most p^{rN} . Thus it follows from Lemma 4.7 that the last expression is bounded (up to a uniform constant) by

$$ve^{-\delta N} \|\phi_{i_0}\|_{L^2(E'_k)} \|\phi_{i_0}\|_{L^2(E'_j)},$$

where v is the number of cosets bK_p ($b \in G_p$) with denominator at most p^{rN} , such that $\overline{xB_N b} \cap \overline{yB_N} \neq \emptyset$. But by the second property of Lemma 4.1 there are at most $N^{O(1)}$ such cosets, and so

$$|\langle (1_{E'_j} \phi_{i_0}) * K_N, 1_{E'_k} \phi_{i_0} \rangle| \ll N^{O(1)} e^{-\delta N} \|\phi_{i_0}\|_{L^2(E'_k)} \|\phi_{i_0}\|_{L^2(E'_j)}.$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz this yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'} * K_N, \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'} \rangle| &\ll e^{-N\delta} N^{O(1)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \|\phi_j\|_{L^2(E_j)} \right)^2 \\ &\leq e^{-N\delta} N^{O(1)} d \sum_{j=1}^d \|\phi_j\|_{L^2(E_j)}^2 \\ &= e^{-N\delta} N^{O(1)} d. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

To bound the left-hand side of (31) below, we decompose

$$\phi_{i_0} 1_{J'} = \langle \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'}, \phi_{i_0} \rangle \phi_{i_0} + R.$$

We have

$$\langle \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'}, \phi_{i_0} \rangle = \|\phi_{i_0} 1_{J'}\|_2^2 \geq \frac{\eta}{2},$$

and so

$$\|R\|_2^2 = \|\phi_{i_0} 1_{J'}\|_2^2 - |\langle \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'}, \phi_{i_0} \rangle|^2 \leq \|\phi_{i_0} 1_{J'}\|_2^2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{2}\right).$$

Thus by the last two properties of K_N in Proposition 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'} * K_N, \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'} \rangle &= |\langle \phi_{i_0} 1_{J'}, \phi_{i_0} \rangle|^2 \langle \phi_{i_0} * K_N, \phi_{i_0} \rangle + \langle R * K_N, R \rangle \\ &\geq \|\phi_{i_0} 1_{J'}\|_2^4 \frac{2}{\eta} - \|R\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\eta}{2} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right) = \left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (32) yields

$$d \gg \frac{\epsilon^2}{N^{O(1)}} e^{\delta N}.$$

By absorbing the coefficient $\frac{\epsilon^2}{N^{O(1)}}$ into the exponent we see that the condition of Proposition 4.6 is satisfied, finishing the proof of the theorem. \square

5 Adjustments for the case of division algebras

Let D be a division algebra over \mathbb{Q} of degree n , which splits over \mathbb{R} . Let \mathcal{O} be a maximal order in D , and Γ the group of all norm 1 elements of \mathcal{O} . Since D is \mathbb{R} -split we can view Γ as a subgroup of $G := \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. It is well-known that Γ is a co-compact lattice in G . Assume that D splits over \mathbb{Q}_p as well (this is true for all but finitely many primes). Let u_i ($i = 1, \dots, n^2$) be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{O} . Using this basis we can embed D in $M_{n^2}(\mathbb{Q})$ by mapping any element $x \in D$ to the multiplication-by- x map,

$$m_x y = xy.$$

More precisely, we send x to the representative matrix of m_x with respect to the basis u_i . If R is any subring of \mathbb{Q} , we let $D_R := m^{-1}(M_{n^2}(R))$, and D_R^1 the norm 1 elements of D_R . In particular, $D_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathcal{O}$, and $D_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 = \Gamma$. Also for any place v we put $D_v := D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_v$, and D_v^1 the norm 1 elements of D_v . If $v = p$, then the completion $\mathcal{O}_p := \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \mathbb{Z}_p u_i$ is a maximal order in D_p ([13, Corollary 11.6]). Let \mathcal{O}_p^1 be the group of all norm 1 elements in \mathcal{O}_p . For $v = \infty, p$, fix isomorphisms

$$\varphi_v : D_v^1 \rightarrow G_v := \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_v). \quad (33)$$

Notice that φ_p can be chosen so that the image of \mathcal{O}_p^1 is $K_p := \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Indeed, since D splits over \mathbb{Q}_p we have an isomorphism $\Phi_p : D_p \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Since \mathcal{O}_p is a maximal order in D_p its image under Φ_p is a maximal order in $M_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. As such, this image is conjugate to $M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ ([13, Theorem 18.7]). Thus we can assume that $\Phi_p(\mathcal{O}_p) = M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Restricting Φ_p to D_p^1 yields an isomorphism φ_p with the required property.

Let now $\Gamma_p = D_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]}^1$. Then similarly to (16), we have the following double quotient decomposition.

$$\Gamma \backslash G \cong \Gamma_p \backslash G_\infty \times G_p / K_p. \quad (34)$$

Here Γ_p is identified with its diagonal copy in $G_\infty \times G_p$ using (33). As before, through this isomorphism we view $L(G_p, K_p)$ as an algebra of operators acting on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ by convolution on the right coordinate. In this setting, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, any non-trivial element $a \in A$ acts on μ with positive entropy on almost every ergodic component.*

The proof of Theorem 5.1 proceeds along the lines of the proof of Theorem 1.1. The kernel K_N is constructed exactly as in Section 3, and Lemma 4.1 is replaced by the following similar lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $a \in A$ be any non-trivial element, and μ an a -invariant probability measure on X . For any $r > 0$ there exist a partition \mathcal{P} of X , and a sequence of identity neighborhoods $B_N \subset G$ satisfying:*

1. *There exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on r such that any element of \mathcal{P}_{cN} is contained in a translate $x\overline{B_N}$, $x \in G$.*
2. *For any $x, y \in G$, the number of cosets $bK_p \in G_p/K_p$ with denominator $\leq p^{rN}$ such that $\overline{x\overline{B_N}b} \cap \overline{y\overline{B_N}} \neq \emptyset$ is at most $N^{O_r(1)}$.*

Here the implied constants may depend on r , but not on N .

Fix a euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$, and use it to define a norm on the Lie algebra of G and thus a left-invariant Riemannian metric on G . We have the following three lemmas, similar to Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, and Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 5.3 (Silberman-Venkatesh [17], Lemma 4.9). *Let $S \subset D_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper subalgebra. For $c > 0$ sufficiently large (in fact depending only on d) and for $c' > 0$ sufficiently small (in fact depending only on D , $D_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\|\cdot\|$), the set of $x \in D$ satisfying*

$$\|x\| \leq R, \inf_{s \in S} \|x - s\| \leq \epsilon, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}(x) \leq M, \quad (35)$$

is contained in a proper subalgebra $F \subset D$ as long as

$$\epsilon R^c M^c < c'. \quad (36)$$

Here $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}(x) = \inf\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid mx \in D_{\mathbb{Z}}\}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let a be an element of A and μ an a -invariant probability measure on X . Let $\delta > 0$. There exists a countable partition \mathcal{P} of X with finite entropy, such that for every element E in the N -th refinement \mathcal{P}_N of \mathcal{P} , there exists $x \in X$ so that up to a μ -null set,*

$$E \subset x \bigcap_{k=-N}^N a^{-k} B_{\delta}^G a^k.$$

Here $B_{\delta}^G \subset G$ is the open ball of radius δ around the identity in G .

Let a be a non-trivial element of A . We extend the definition of the dynamical balls $B(C, \epsilon)$ from Section 4.1 to non-regular elements as well. Let $H := C_G(a)$ be the centralizer of a in G (if a is regular then $C_G(a) = A$). For any relatively compact neighborhood of the identity in H , let $B(C, \epsilon)$ an ϵ -neighborhood of C in G . Define G^+ , G^- as in Section 4.1, and let \mathfrak{h} be the Lie algebra of H . Then we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}^-$, and the following version of Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ which is small enough in terms of a . Then there exists some $\alpha > 0$, depending only on a such that*

$$\bigcap_{k=-N}^N a^{-k} B_\delta^G a^k \subset B(C, \kappa e^{-\alpha N}),$$

for some $\kappa \ll \delta$, and $C \subset H$ some compact subset with diameter $\ll \delta$.

Using these three results, and noticing that since D has prime degree any proper subalgebra of D is a field, Lemma 5.2 can be proved along the lines of the proof of Lemma 4.1.

A Entropy

In this appendix we review what we need from ergodic theory and entropy theory, and we prove a criterion for positive entropy on almost every ergodic component (Proposition A.4). The references are drawn from the book in progress [7].

In what follows we work with locally compact second countable metric spaces. We refer to such a space, equipped with its Borel σ -algebra as *standard Borel space*. We recall the following theorem regarding existence and uniqueness of conditional measures.

Theorem A.1 (Conditional measure, [7, Theorem 2.2]). *Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a probability space with (X, \mathcal{B}) standard Borel space. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a sub- σ -algebra. Then there exists a subset $X' \subset X$ of full measure, belonging to \mathcal{A} , and Borel probability measures $\mu_x^{\mathcal{A}}$ for $x \in X'$ such that for every $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ we have $E(f \mid \mathcal{A})(x) = \int f(y) d\mu_x^{\mathcal{A}}(y)$ for almost every x . In particular the right hand side is \mathcal{A} -measurable as a function of x . Moreover, the family of conditional measures $\mu_x^{\mathcal{A}}$ is almost everywhere uniquely determined by this relationship to the conditional expectation. The map $x \in X' \rightarrow \mu_x^{\mathcal{A}}$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable on X' .*

Let X be a standard Borel space and μ a probability measure on X . If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a measure preserving map and \mathcal{E} is the σ -algebra of T invariant sets, then (almost) every $\mu_x^\mathcal{E}$ is ergodic and we have the *ergodic decomposition*

$$\mu = \int \mu_x^\mathcal{E} d\mu(x).$$

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) an invertible measure preserving system with X being a standard Borel space and μ a probability measure. Let \mathcal{P} be a finite or countable partition of X . The *static entropy* of \mathcal{P} is defined to be

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) = - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mu(P) \log \mu(P),$$

which in the case where \mathcal{P} is countable may be finite or infinite. Define $\mathcal{P}_N = \bigvee_{i=-N}^N T^{-i}\mathcal{P}$ the N -th refinement of \mathcal{P} . The ergodic theoretic entropy $h_\mu(T)$ is defined using the entropy function H_μ as follows:

Definition A.2. Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of X with $H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) < \infty$. Define

$$h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} H_\mu(\mathcal{P}_N).$$

The *ergodic theoretic entropy* is defined to be

$$h_\mu(T) = \sup_{H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) < \infty} h_\mu(T, \mathcal{P}).$$

If \mathcal{P} is a partition of X denote by $[x]_N$ the atom containing x of \mathcal{P}_N .

Theorem A.3 (Relative Shannon–McMillan–Brieman, [7, Theorem 3.2]). Let \mathcal{P} be a countable partition with $H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) < \infty$. Then for almost every $x \in X$ we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{N} \log \mu([x]_N) = h_{\mu_x^\mathcal{E}}(T, \mathcal{P}).$$

As a corollary we have the following proposition which we use in order to show positive entropy on almost every ergodic component.

Proposition A.4. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be a measure preserving system with X being a standard Borel probability space. Suppose that for any $\eta > 0$ there exists a countable partition \mathcal{P} with finite entropy and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any N sufficiently large, if J is a collection of elements of the N -th refinement \mathcal{P}_N of \mathcal{P} with total measure $> \eta$, then J has cardinality $\geq e^{\delta N}$. Then for almost every $x \in X$, $h_{\mu_x^\mathcal{E}}(T) > 0$.

Proof. Let B be the set of $x \in X$ such that $h_{\mu_x^\varepsilon}(T) = 0$ and assume $\mu(B) > \eta > 0$. Then by assumption there exist a countable partition \mathcal{P} with finite entropy, $\delta > 0$, and a positive integer N_0 , such that for any $N > N_0$ if J is a collection of elements of the N -th refinement \mathcal{P}_N of \mathcal{P} with total measure $> \eta$, then J has cardinality $\geq e^{\delta N}$. Let $0 < \epsilon < \delta$, and let C_ϵ^N be the subset of all $x \in X$ such that $|\log \frac{1}{N} \mu([x]_N) - h_{\mu_x^\varepsilon}(T, \mathcal{P})| > \epsilon$. From Theorem A.3 it follows that $\mu(C_\epsilon^N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus for N large enough $\mu(B \setminus C_\epsilon^N) > \eta$. Take N large enough so that both $\mu(B \setminus C_\epsilon^N) > \eta$, and $N > N_0$. Let J_N be the collection of all the partition elements of \mathcal{P}_N that intersect $B \setminus C_\epsilon^N$ non-trivially. Then J_N has total measure $> \eta$ and so $|J_N| \geq e^{\delta N}$. In other words there are at least $e^{\delta N}$ partition elements of \mathcal{P}_N of the form $[x]_N$ for some $x \in B \setminus C_\epsilon^N$. But for any such partition element we have $|\log \frac{1}{N} \mu([x]_N)| \leq \epsilon$, and so $\mu([x]_N) \geq e^{-\epsilon N}$. Thus for any N large enough we found a collection J_N of partition elements of \mathcal{P}_N of cardinality at least $e^{\delta N}$ and each of the elements of J_N has measure $\geq e^{-\epsilon N}$. Thus J_N has total measure $\geq e^{(\delta-\epsilon)N}$. Taking $N \rightarrow \infty$ we have that $\mu(X) = \infty$ which is a contradiction. \square

B Diophantine approximation

Fix a positive integer m . For any $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$ let $\|\alpha\| = \max_i \|\alpha_i\|$, where $\|\alpha_i\|$ is the minimal distance to an integer. In this appendix we prove the following result.

Lemma B.1. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist constants $C, C' > 0$ so that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C'N \leq q \leq CN$ and $\|q\alpha\| < \epsilon$.*

For a positive integer N we let $[N] := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq n \leq N\}$. Let \mathbb{T}^m be the m dimensional torus $\mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$, dx the usual probability measure on it, and $d(x, y)$ the usual distance, induced from \mathbb{R}^m . Given $N > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, a finite sequence $(a_n)_{n \in [N]} \subset \mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$ is said to be δ -*equidistributed* if we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} F(a_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m} F(x) dx \right| \leq \delta \|F\|_{\text{Lip}},$$

for all Lipschitz functions $F : \mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where

$$\|F\|_{\text{Lip}} := \|F\|_\infty + \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m, x \neq y} \frac{|F(x) - F(y)|}{d(x, y)}.$$

For the proof of Lemma B.1 we use the following version of Kronecker's theorem.

Theorem B.2 ([10, Proposition 3.1]). *For any $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$ if the sequence $(n\alpha)_{n \in [N]}$ is not δ -equidistributed then there are $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ with $|k_i| = O_{\delta, m}(1)$ such that $\|\alpha \cdot k\| = O_{\delta, m}(\frac{1}{N})$.*

Proof of Lemma B.1. The proof is by induction on m . The case where $m = 1$ follows immediately from Dirichlet's approximation theorem. Assume $m > 1$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $l = l(\epsilon, m) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, if $(n\alpha)_{n \in [lN]}$ is δ -equidistributed then there exists $C_1 N \leq q \leq C_2 N$ with $\|q\alpha\| < \epsilon$, for some C_1, C_2 depending only on ϵ and m . If $(n\alpha)_{n \in [lN]}$ is not δ -equidistributed then by Theorem B.2 there are k_1, \dots, k_m (not all of them are zero), $|k_i| = O_{\epsilon, m}(1)$ such that $\|\sum k_i \alpha_i\| \leq D \frac{1}{lN}$, for some $D = D(\epsilon, m)$. Assume without loss of generality that $k_m \neq 0$. By induction we have $C'(\epsilon', m-1)N \leq q \leq C(\epsilon', m-1)N$ such that $\|q\alpha_i\| \leq \epsilon'$, for any $\epsilon' > 0$. Since the k_i are bounded in terms of m, ϵ we can choose $\epsilon' = \epsilon'(\epsilon, m)$ and $l = l(\epsilon, m)$ so that $\|q\alpha_m\| < \epsilon$ and so we are done. \square

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, E. Lindenstrauss, *Entropy of quantum limits*, Comm. Math. Phys. 233 (2003), 153171.
- [2] S. Brooks, E. Lindenstrauss, *Joint quasimodes, positive entropy, and quantum unique ergodicity*, Invent. Math. 198 (2014), 219-259.
- [3] Y. Colin de Verdiere, *Ergodicite et fonctions propres du laplacien*, Comm. Math. Phys., 102(3):497502, 1985.
- [4] M. Einsiedler, A. Katok, E. Lindenstrauss, *Invariant measures and the set of exceptions to Littlewood's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. 164 (2006), 513-560.
- [5] M. Einsiedler, A. Katok, *Invariant measures on G/Γ for split simple Lie groups G* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56 (2003), 11841221.
- [6] M. Einsiedler, E. Lindenstrauss, *Diagonal actions on locally homogeneous spaces*, Homogeneous flows, moduli spaces and arithmetic, 155241, Clay Math. Proc., 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

- [7] M. Einsiedler, E. Lindenstrauss, T. Ward, *Entropy in ergodic theory and homogeneous dynamics*, work in progress, <https://toward0.wixsite.com/books/entropy>
- [8] A. I. Shnirelman, *Ergodic properties of eigenfunctions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. 180 (1974), 181-182.
- [9] K. Soundararajan, *Quantum unique ergodicity for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$* , Ann. of Math. 172 (2010), 1529-1538.
- [10] B. Green B, T. Tao, *The quantitative behaviour of polynomial orbits on nilmanifolds*, Ann. of Math. 175 (2012), 465-540.
- [11] E. Lindenstrauss, *Invariant measures and arithmetic quantum unique ergodicity*, Ann. of Math. 163 (2006), 165-219.
- [12] I. G. Macdonald, *Spherical functions on a group of p -adic type*, Publications of the Ramanujan Institute, No. 2. Ramanujan Institute, Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras, Madras, 1971.
- [13] I. Reiner, *Maximal orders*, Corrected reprint of the 1975 original. With a foreword by M. J. Taylor. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 28. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003. xiv+395 pp. ISBN: 0-19-852673-3 16H05 (11R54 16K20)
- [14] Z. Rudnick Z, P. Sarnak, *The behaviour of eigenstates of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds* Comm. Math. Phys. 161 (1994), 195-213.
- [15] I. Satake, *Theory of spherical functions on reductive algebraic groups over p -adic fields*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. (1963), 5-69.
- [16] L. Silberman, *Quantum unique ergodicity on locally symmetric spaces: the degenerate lift*, Canad. Math. Bull. 58 (2015), no. 3, 632650.
- [17] L. Silberman, A. Venkatesh, *Entropy bounds and quantum unique ergodicity for Hecke eigenfunctions on division algebras*, Probabilistic methods in geometry, topology and spectral theory, 171197, Contemp. Math., 739, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019.
- [18] L. Silberman, A. Venkatesh A, *On quantum unique ergodicity for locally symmetric spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 17 (2007), 960-998.
- [19] S. Zelditch, *Pseudodifferential analysis on hyperbolic surfaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 68(1):72105, 1986.