

# NEW SELF-DUAL CODES FROM $2 \times 2$ BLOCK CIRCULANT MATRICES, GROUP RINGS AND NEIGHBOURS OF NEIGHBOURS

J. GILDEA, A. KAYA, R. TAYLOR AND A. TYLYSHCHAK

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we construct self-dual codes from a construction that involves  $2 \times 2$  block circulant matrices, group rings and a reverse circulant matrix. We provide conditions whereby this construction can yield self-dual codes. We construct self-dual codes of various lengths over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$  and  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ . Using extensions, neighbours and neighbours of neighbours, we construct 32 new self-dual codes of length 68.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Self-dual codes (a class of linear block codes) is a vibrant area of Mathematics which was first introduced in the early 1970's ([2, 17, 24, 25]). The double circulant construction (introduced in [5, 20]) is one of the most extensively used techniques to construct self-dual codes. It involves considering generator matrices of the form  $(I|A)$  where  $A$  is a circulant matrix. In [15], the authors consider constructing self-dual codes from generator matrices of the form  $(I|A)$  where  $A$  is a block circulant matrix. In [3], certain well known self-dual codes were constructed from certain group rings. In recent years group rings have been used to construct self-dual codes in [9, 10]. In this article we construct self-dual codes by considering generator matrices that combine  $2 \times 2$  block circulant construction, group rings and reverse circulant matrices. In particular we construct self-dual codes from generator matrices of the form:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} I & A & B+C \\ \hline & B+C & A \end{array} \right]$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are matrices that arise from a group ring construction and  $C$  is a reverse circulant matrix. For the remainder of this section, we will introduce many important concepts and results required for further sections. In section 2, we describe the construction itself. We present the structure of the generator matrix and discuss associated theory in order to put some restrictions on unknowns. These restrictions aim to maximise the practicality of the construction method by reducing the search field. Following the theory, we look at the numerical results from certain groups of order 4, 8 and 17. We then apply extensions and consider neighbours of codes as methods of finding new codes.

Throughout this article, we assume that  $R$  is a finite Frobenius ring of characteristic 2. A code over a finite commutative ring  $R$  is defined as any subset  $C$  of  $R^n$ . An element of  $C$  is called a codeword. If a code satisfies  $C = C^\perp$  then the code  $C$  is said to be self-dual, alternatively if  $C \subseteq C^\perp$  then the code is said to be self-orthogonal. The Hamming weight enumerator of a code is defined as:

$$W_C(x, y) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C} x^{n-wt(\mathbf{c})} y^{wt(\mathbf{c})}.$$

For binary codes, a self-dual code where all weights are congruent to 0 (mod 4) is said to be Type II and the code is said to be Type I otherwise. If a code satisfies  $W_C(x, y) = W_{C^\perp}(x, y)$  then the

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 94B05, 20C05, 16S34, 15B33.

*Key words and phrases.* combinatorial problems; extremal self-dual codes; codes over rings; quadratic residues; quadratic circulant matrices.

code is said to be formally self-dual. The bounds on the minimum distances,  $d(n)$  for Type I and Type II codes respectively, are ([26])

$$d(n) \leq 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4$$

and

$$d(n) \leq \begin{cases} 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4 & \text{if } n \not\equiv 22 \pmod{24} \\ 4 \lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 6 & \text{if } n \equiv 22 \pmod{24} \end{cases}$$

If these bounds are met for self-dual codes, they are called extremal. Although, all of the theoretical results are based around finite Frobenius rings of characteristic 2, all of the numerical results are based on the rings  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$  and  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ .

The first commutative ring that we consider is  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 := \mathbb{F}_2[X]/(X^2)$ , where  $u$  satisfies  $u^2 = 0$ . The elements of the ring may be written as  $0, 1, u$  and  $1 + u$ , where  $1$  and  $1 + u$  are the units of  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ . We also consider  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ ; the commutative binary ring of size 16.  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$  can be viewed as an extension of  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ . Therefore, we can express any element of  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$  in the form  $\omega a + (1 + \omega)b$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ . These rings are generalised in [12] and [13]. The most effective way of displaying these results, is to use the hexadecimal system. This is achieved by use of the ordered basis  $\{u\omega, \omega, u, 1\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leftrightarrow 0000, & 1 &\leftrightarrow 0001, & 2 &\leftrightarrow 0010, & 3 &\leftrightarrow 0011, \\ 4 &\leftrightarrow 0100, & 5 &\leftrightarrow 0101, & 6 &\leftrightarrow 0110, & 7 &\leftrightarrow 0111, \\ 8 &\leftrightarrow 1000, & 9 &\leftrightarrow 1001, & A &\leftrightarrow 1010, & B &\leftrightarrow 1011, \\ C &\leftrightarrow 1100, & D &\leftrightarrow 1101, & E &\leftrightarrow 1110, & F &\leftrightarrow 1111. \end{aligned}$$

The following Gray Maps were introduced in [14, 23] and [8];

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathbb{F}_4} &: a\omega + b\bar{\omega} \mapsto (a, b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \\ \varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2} &: a + bu \mapsto (b, a + b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \\ \psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4} &: a\omega + b\bar{\omega} \mapsto (a, b), \quad a, b \in (\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2)^n \\ \varphi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4} &: a + bu \mapsto (b, a + b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{F}_4^n \end{aligned}$$

These Gray maps preserve orthogonality in the respective alphabets, for the details we refer to [21, 23]. The binary codes  $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2} \circ \psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$  and  $\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4} \circ \varphi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$  are equivalent to each other.

**Proposition 1.1.** ([23]) *Let  $C$  be a code over  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ . If  $C$  is self-orthogonal, so are  $\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$  and  $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ .  $C$  is a Type I (resp. Type II) code over  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$  if and only if  $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$  is a Type I (resp. Type II)  $\mathbb{F}_4$ -code, if and only if  $\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$  is a Type I (resp. Type II)  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ -code. Furthermore, the minimum Lee weight of  $C$  is the same as the minimum Lee weight of  $\psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$  and  $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ .*

**Corollary 1.2.** *Suppose that  $C$  is a self-dual code over  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$  of length  $n$  and minimum Lee distance  $d$ . Then  $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2} \circ \psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$  is a binary  $[4n, 2n, d]$  self-dual code. Moreover,  $C$  and  $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2} \circ \psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$  have the same weight enumerator. If  $C$  is Type I (Type II), then so is  $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2} \circ \psi_{\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4}(C)$ .*

**Theorem 1.3.** ([22]) *Let  $C$  be a self-dual code of length  $n$  over a commutative Frobenius ring with identity  $R$  and  $G = (r_i)$  be a  $k \times n$  generator matrix for  $C$ , where  $r_i$  is the  $i$ -th row of  $G$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Let  $c$  be a unit in  $R$  such that  $c^2 = -1$  and  $X$  be a vector in  $S^n$  with  $\langle X, X \rangle = -1$ . Let  $y_i = \langle r_i, X \rangle$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . The following matrix*

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & X \\ y_1 & cy_1 & r_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_k & cy_k & r_k \end{array} \right],$$

*generates a self-dual code  $D$  over  $R$  of length  $n + 2$ .*

Two self-dual binary codes of length  $2n$  are said to be neighbours of each other if their intersection has dimension  $n - 1$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n} - \mathcal{C}$  then  $\mathcal{D} = \langle \langle x \rangle^\perp \cap \mathcal{C}, x \rangle$  is a neighbour of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The definitions surrounding group rings are as follows: Let  $G$  be a finite group of order  $n$ , then the group ring  $RG$  consists of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i$ ,  $\alpha_i \in R$ ,  $g_i \in G$ . Addition in the group ring is done by coordinate addition:

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i + \beta_i) g_i.$$

The product of two elements in a group ring is defined as:

$$(2) \quad \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j g_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j g_i g_j.$$

It follows, that the coefficient of  $g_i$  in the product is  $\sum_{g_i g_j = g_k} \alpha_i \beta_j$ . Note that,  $e_G$  denotes the identity element of any group  $G$ .

The following construction of a matrix was first given for codes over fields by Hurley, [18], and extended to rings in [10]. Let  $R$  be a finite commutative Frobenius ring and let  $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$  be the elements of a group of order  $n$  in a given listing. Let  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{g_i} \in RG$ . Define the matrix  $\sigma(v) \in M_n(R)$  to be  $\sigma(v) = (\alpha_{g_i^{-1} g_j})$  where  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

The main group discussed in this work is the cyclic group. A circulant  $n \times n$  matrix is denoted  $cir(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , where each row vector is rotated one element to the left relative to the preceding row vector [7]. Additionally, a reverse circulant  $n \times n$  matrix is denoted  $rcir(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , where each row vector is rotated one element to the right relative to the preceding row vector. The notation  $CIR(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m)$  denotes the block circulant matrix where the first row of block matrices are  $A_1, \dots, A_m$ .

If  $v = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i x^i \in RC_n$ , then  $\sigma(v) = circ(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  where  $\alpha_i \in R$ . We will now look at the structure of the matrix  $\sigma(v)$  where  $v$  is an element of  $C_{2p}$ .

Let  $C_{2p} = \langle x \mid x^{2p} = 1 \rangle$  and

$$v = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_{i+pj+1} x^{2i+j} \in RC_{2p}$$

then,

$$\sigma(v) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A'_2 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_j = cir(\alpha_{(j-1)p+1}, \alpha_{(j-1)p+2}, \dots, \alpha_{jp})$  and  $A'_j = rcir(\alpha_{jp}, \alpha_{(j-1)p+1}, \dots, \alpha_{jp-1})$ .

Recall the canonical involution  $*$  :  $RG \rightarrow RG$  on a group ring  $RG$  is given by  $v^* = \sum_g \alpha_g g^{-1}$ , for  $v = \sum_g \alpha_g g \in RG$ . If  $v$  satisfies  $vv^* = 1$ , then we say that  $v$  is a unitary unit in  $RG$ . We also note that  $\sigma(v^*) = \sigma(v)^T$ .

## 2. CONSTRUCTION

Consider the following matrix  $M(\sigma)$ , where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are distinct group ring elements from the same group ring  $RG$  where  $R$  is a finite Frobenius commutative ring of characteristic 2 and  $G$  is a finite group of order  $n$ .  $\sigma(v)$  is a matrix generated from a group ring element and  $A$  denotes a reverse circulant matrix.

$$M(\sigma) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} I_{2n} & \sigma(v_1) & \sigma(v_2) + A \\ \hline & \sigma(v_2) + A & \sigma(v_1) \end{array} \right]$$

Let  $C_\sigma$  be the code generated by the matrix  $M(\sigma)$ . Clearly,  $C_\sigma$  has length  $4n$ . We will now establish conditions when  $C_\sigma$  generates a self-dual code. We will also create a link between unitary units in  $RG$  and the above construction yielding self-dual codes.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative Frobenius ring of characteristic 2 and let  $B$  and  $C$  be  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$ . Then, the matrix*

$$M = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} & B & C \\ \hline I_{2n} & C & B \end{array} \right]$$

*generates a self-dual code iff  $(B + C)(B + C)^T = I_n$  and  $BC^T = CB^T$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly, the code generated by  $M$  has free rank  $2n$ , as the left-hand side of the matrix  $M$  is the  $2n \times 2n$  identity matrix. The code generated by  $M$  is self-dual iff the code generated by  $M$  is self-orthogonal. Now,

$$MM^T = I_{2n} + \begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B^T & C^T \\ C^T & B^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n + BB^T + CC^T & BC^T + CB^T \\ CB^T + BC^T & I_n + CC^T + BB^T \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $MM^T = 0$  iff  $I_n + BB^T + CC^T = 0$  and  $BC^T + CB^T = 0$ . Adding these equations, we obtain

$$I_n + BB^T + CC^T + BC^T + CB^T = 0 \iff (B + C)(B + C)^T = I_n. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative Frobenius ring of characteristic 2 and let  $G$  be a finite group of order  $n$ . Then,  $C_\sigma$  generates a self-dual code of length  $4n$  iff  $(\sigma(v_1 + v_2) + A)(\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*) + A) = I_n$  and  $\sigma(v_1)(\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*) + A) = (\sigma(v_1 + v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1^*)$ .*

**Proof.** By the previous result,  $C_\sigma$  generates a self-dual code iff

$$(\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)(\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)^T = I_n \text{ and } \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_2) + A)^T = (\sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1)^T.$$

Now,  $\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A = \sigma(v_1 + v_2) + A$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)^T &= \sigma(v_1)^T + \sigma(v_2)^T + A^T \\ &= \sigma(v_1^*) + \sigma(v_2^*) + A \\ &= \sigma(v_1^* + v_2^*) + A \\ &= \sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*) + A. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_2) + A)^T = (\sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1)^T$  is equivalent to

$$\sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_1)^T + \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_2) + A)^T = \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_1)^T + (\sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1)^T.$$

Considering the left- and right-hand sides separately, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_1)^T + \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_2) + A)^T &= \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_1^*) + \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_2)^T + A^T) \\ &= \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_1^*) + \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2^*) + \sigma(v_1)A \\ &= \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_1^*) + \sigma(v_2^*) + A) \\ &= \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_1^* + v_2^*) + A) \\ &= \sigma(v_1)(\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*) + A). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1)^T + \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_1)^T &= \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_1^*) + (\sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1^*) \\ &= \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_1^*) + \sigma(v_2)\sigma(v_1^*) + A\sigma(v_1^*) \\ &= (\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1^*) \\ &= (\sigma(v_1 + v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1^*). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative Frobenius ring of characteristic 2,  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  reverse circulant over  $R$  and  $V$  be an  $n \times n$  circulant matrix over  $R$ . Then,*

$$(3) \quad AV^T + VA^T = 0.$$

**Proof.** Let  $V = \text{circ}(v_1, v_n, v_{n-1}, \dots, v_3, v_2)$ . Clearly,  $V = v_1 I_n + v_2 P + v_3 P^2 + \dots + v_n P^{n-1}$  where  $P = \text{circ}(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$  and  $A = \text{rcirc}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n)$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} V^T &= v_1 I_n^T + v_2 P^T + v_3 (P^2)^T + \dots + v_n (P^{n-1})^T \\ &= v_1 I_n + v_2 P^T + v_3 (P^T)^2 + \dots + v_n (P^T)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $A = A^T$ , it remains to show that  $AP^T + PA = 0$ . Finally,

$$PA = \text{circ}(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \cdot \text{rcirc}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) = \text{rcirc}(a_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$$

and

$$AP^T = \text{rcirc}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) \cdot \text{circ}(0, 1, \dots, 0, 0) = \text{rcirc}(a_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}).$$

■

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $G = \{g_1 = e, \dots, g_n\}$  be a finite group of order  $n > 1$ . The  $\sigma(v)$  is symmetric for any  $v \in RG$  if and only if  $G$  is abelian group of exponent 2.*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\sigma(v)$  is symmetric for any  $v \in RG$  if and only if  $\alpha_{g_i^{-1}g_j} = \alpha_{g_j^{-1}g_i}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) for any  $v = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in RG$ . Furthermore, we have  $g_i^{-1}g_j = g_j^{-1}g_i$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) or  $xy = y^{-1}x^{-1}$  for any  $x, y \in G$ . Note that for an abelian group of exponent 2,  $yxy = x^{-1}$  or  $xyxy = e$  or  $(xy)^2 = e$  for any  $x, y \in G$ . Therefore, we have that  $g^2 = e$  for any  $g \in G$ ; thus,  $G$  has exponent 2.

It is interesting to note that any group of exponent 2 is abelian because  $xyxy = e$  and  $xyxy = ee = e$  since  $x$  and  $y$  are commutative for any  $x, y \in G$ . ■

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. An  $n \times n$ -matrix  $X$  satisfies  $XA = AX^T$  for any  $n \times n$  reverse circulant matrix  $A$  over  $R$  if and only if  $X$  is a circulant matrix.*

**Proof.** This proof follows from lemma 2.3. Let  $X$  be an  $n \times n$ -matrix which satisfies  $XA = AX^T$ . Then

$$XA = A^T X^T$$

and

$$XA = (XA)^T$$

for any  $n \times n$  reverse circulant matrix  $A$  over  $R$ . This implies that  $XA$  is symmetric. Let  $D =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rcirc}(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1), \quad X = (x_{i,j}).$$

Clearly, we have  $D^2 = I_n$  and  $XDDA$  is

symmetric for any  $n \times n$  reverse circulant matrix  $A$  over  $R$ . Therefore,  $(x_{i,n-j})DA$  is symmetric.

So we have  $(x_{i,n-j})B$  is symmetric for any  $n \times n$  circulant matrix  $B$  over  $R$ . This is equivalent to the fact that  $(x_{i,n-j})P^k$  is symmetric for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $n \times n$  matrix  $P = \text{circ}(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . Thus,  $(x_{i,(k-j) \bmod n+1})$  is symmetric for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We have

$$x_{i,(k-j) \bmod n+1} = x_{j,(k-i) \bmod n+1} \quad i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

It is easy to see that  $j' = (k - j) \bmod (n + 1)$  equivalent to  $j = (k - j') \bmod (n + 1)$  where  $i, j, j', k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . So

$$x_{i,j'} = x_{(k-j') \bmod n+1, (k-i) \bmod n+1} \quad i, j', k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

Thus  $((k - j') \bmod (n + 1)) - ((k - i) \bmod (n + 1)) \equiv i - j \pmod{n}$ . Therefore, we have that  $x_{i,j'}$  is constant if  $(i - j) \bmod n$  is fixed. Thus,  $X$  is circulant. ■

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative Frobenius ring of characteristic 2 and let  $G$  be a finite abelian group of order  $n$  of exponent 2. Then,  $C_\sigma$  generates a self-dual code of length  $4n$  if  $\sigma(v_1), \sigma(v_2)$  are circulant matrices,  $\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^2) + A^2 = I_n$ .*

**Proof.** We note that  $A\sigma(v_1^*) = \sigma(v_1)A$ ,  $A\sigma(v_2^*) = \sigma(v_2)A$  by lemma 2.3. By lemma 2.4 for any  $v \in RG$   $\sigma(v)$  is symmetric, so  $\sigma(v^*) = \sigma(v)^T = \sigma(v)$ . We also know by theorem 2.2 that  $C_\sigma$  generates a self-dual code iff

$$(\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)(\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)^T = I_n \text{ and } \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_2) + A)^T = (\sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1)^T.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)(\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)^T &= (\sigma(v_1 + v_2) + A)(\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*) + A) \\ &= \sigma(v_1 + v_2)\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*) + [\sigma(v_1 + v_2)A + A\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*)] + A^2 \\ &= \sigma((v_1 + v_2)(v_1 + v_2)^*) + A^2 = \sigma((v_1 + v_2)^2) + A^2 = I_n. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_2) + A)^T + (\sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1)^T &= \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2^*) + [\sigma(v_1)A + A\sigma(v_1^*)] + \sigma(v_2)\sigma(v_1^*) \\ &= \sigma(v_1v_2) + \sigma(v_2v_1) \\ &= \sigma(v_1v_2) + \sigma(v_1v_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a finite commutative Frobenius ring of characteristic 2 and let  $G$  be a finite cyclic group of order  $n$ . Then,  $C_\sigma$  generates a self-dual code of length  $4n$  iff  $\sigma((v_1 + v_2)(v_1 + v_2)^*) + A^2 = I_n$  and  $v_1v_2^* = v_2v_1^*$ .*

**Proof.** We note that  $A\sigma(v^*) = \sigma(v)A$  for all  $v \in RG$  by the previous result. We also know that  $C_\sigma$  generates a self-dual code iff

$$(\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)(\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)^T = I_n \text{ and } \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_2) + A)^T = (\sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1)^T.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)(\sigma(v_1) + \sigma(v_2) + A)^T &= (\sigma(v_1 + v_2) + A)(\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*) + A) \\ &= \sigma(v_1 + v_2)\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*) + [\sigma(v_1 + v_2)A + A\sigma((v_1 + v_2)^*)] + A^2 \\ &= \sigma((v_1 + v_2)(v_1 + v_2)^*) + A^2 = I_n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(v_1)(\sigma(v_2) + A)^T + (\sigma(v_2) + A)\sigma(v_1)^T &= \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2^*) + [\sigma(v_1)A + A\sigma(v_1^*)] + \sigma(v_2)\sigma(v_1^*) \\ &= \sigma(v_1v_2^*) + \sigma(v_2v_1^*) \\ &= \sigma(v_1v_2^* + v_2v_1^*). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,  $\sigma(v_1v_2^* + v_2v_1^*) = 0$  iff  $v_1v_2^* = v_2v_1^*$ . ■

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $R$  is a finite commutative Frobenius ring of characteristic 2 and let  $G$  be a finite abelian group of order  $n$ . Let  $C_\sigma$  be self-dual. If  $A = 0$ , then  $v_1 + v_2$  is unitary.*

**Proof.** If  $C_\sigma$  is self-dual and  $A = 0$ , then  $\sigma((v_1 + v_2)(v_1 + v_2)^*) = I_n$  and  $(v_1 + v_2)(v_1 + v_2)^* = 1$ . ■

This concludes the theoretical part of this paper. We will now show the numerical results.

### 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we construct 32 new self-dual codes of length 68. We begin with the construction of self-dual codes of length 64 from groups of order 4 and 8. Using Theorem 1.3, we construct new self-dual codes of length 68. Next, we construct codes of length 68 from groups of order 17. We then find new self-dual codes of length 68 by finding neighbours of these codes and neighbours of these neighbours. Magma ([4]) was used to construct all of the codes throughout this section.

The possible weight enumerators for a self-dual Type I  $[64, 32, 12]$ -code are given in [6, 11] as:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{64,1} &= 1 + (1312 + 16\beta)y^{12} + (22016 - 64\beta)y^{14} + \dots, 14 \leq \beta \leq 284, \\ W_{64,2} &= 1 + (1312 + 16\beta)y^{12} + (23040 - 64\beta)y^{14} + \dots, 0 \leq \beta \leq 277. \end{aligned}$$

Extremal singly even self-dual codes with weight enumerators  $W_{64,1}$  are known ([1, 16, 27]):

$$\beta \in \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 32, 34, \\ 35, 36, 38, 39, 44, 46, 49, 53, 54, 58, 59, 60, 64, 74 \end{array} \right\}$$

and extremal singly even self-dual codes with weight enumerator  $W_{64,2}$  are known for:

$$\beta \in \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0, \dots, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57, \\ 58, 60, 62, 64, 69, 72, 80, 88, 96, 104, 108, 112, 114, 118, 120, 184 \end{array} \right\} \setminus \{31, 39\}.$$

The weight enumerator of a self-dual  $[68, 34, 12]_2$  code is in one of the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{68,1} &= 1 + (442 + 4\beta)y^{12} + (10864 - 8\beta)y^{14} + \dots, \\ W_{68,2} &= 1 + (442 + 4\beta)y^{12} + (14960 - 8\beta - 256\gamma)y^{14} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are parameters and  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 9$ .

The existence of codes in  $W_{68,1}$  are known for ([9])  $\beta = 104, 105, 112, 115, 117, 119, 120, 122, 123, 125, \dots, 284, 287, 289, 291, 294, 301, 302, 308, 313, 315, 322, 324, 328, \dots, 336, 338, 339, 345, 347, 350, 355, 379$  and 401.

The first examples of codes with a  $\gamma = 7$  in  $W_{68,2}$  are constructed in [28]. Together with these, the existence of the codes in  $W_{68,2}$  is known for the following parameters (see [16, 28]):

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma = 0, \beta \in \{2m \mid m = 0, 7, 11, 14, 17, 21, \dots, 99, 102, 105, 110, 119, 136, 165\}; \text{ or} \\ &\beta \in \{2m + 1 \mid m = 3, 5, 8, 10, 15, 16, 17, 20, \dots, 82, 87, 93, 94, 101, 104, 110, 115\}; \\ &\gamma = 1, \beta \in \{2m \mid m = 19, 22, \dots, 99\}; \text{ or } \beta \in \{2m + 1 \mid m = 24, \dots, 85\}; \\ &\gamma = 2, \beta \in \{2m \mid m = 29, \dots, 100, 103, 104\}; \text{ or } \beta \in \{2m + 1 \mid m = 32, \dots, 81, 84, 85, 86\}; \\ &\gamma = 6 \text{ with } \beta \in \{2m \mid m = 69, 77, 78, 79, 81, 88\} \\ &\gamma = 7 \text{ with } \beta \in \{7m \mid m = 14, \dots, 39, 42\}. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we construct self-dual codes of length 64 from  $C_4$  (over  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$ ),  $C_{4,2}$  (over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ ) and  $C_8$  (over  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ ). We then construct three self-dual codes of length 68 (Table 4) by applying theorem 1.3 to the codes constructed in Tables 1, 2 and 3. We replace  $1 + u \in \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$  with 3 to save space.

TABLE 1. Self-dual code over  $\mathbb{F}_4 + u\mathbb{F}_4$  of length 32 from  $C_4$  and  $C_4$ .

$A_i$	$v \in C_4$	$v \in C_4$	$r_A$	$ Aut(A_i) $	$\beta$
1	(8966)	(0000)	(A617)	$2^4$	0

TABLE 2. Self-dual code over  $R_1$  of length 64 from  $C_8$  and  $C_8$ .

$B_i$	$v \in C_8$	$v \in C_8$	$r_A$	$ Aut(B_i) $	$\beta$
1	(uuu10311)	(uu011uu0)	(u0300013)	$2^3$	0

TABLE 3. Self-dual code over  $R_1$  of length 64 from  $C_{42}$  and  $C_{42}$ .

$C_i$	$v \in C_{42}$	$v \in C_{42}$	$r_A$	$ Aut(C_i) $	$\beta$
1	(uu01u0u1)	(u0u11u31)	(u3u3u3u0)	$2^4$	48

TABLE 4. Self-dual code of length 68 from extensions of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ .

$D_i$	Code	$c$	$X$	$\gamma$	$\beta$	$ Aut(E_i) $
1	$A_1$	1	(0133010303011u1001333u01031uuu1u)	4	113	2
2	$B_1$	$u+1$	(013011030003013301111030uuu13u10)	<b>2</b>	<b>61</b>	2
3	$C_1$	$u+1$	(0u10303u110333001103u00130103303)	<b>1</b>	<b>179</b>	2

TABLE 5. Self-dual codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  of length 68 ( $W_{68,2}$ ) from  $C_{17}$  and  $C_{17}$ .

$E_i$	$v_1 \in C_{17}$	$v_2 \in C_{17}$	$r_A$	$ Aut(D_i) $	$\gamma$	$\beta$
1	(0000000000011011)	(0000000000000000)	(00100110010110111)	$2^2 \cdot 17$	0	238
2	(00000000110001111)	(0000000000000000)	(00100100101010101)	$2^2 \cdot 17$	0	272

We now construct two self-dual codes of length 68 using  $C_{17}$  (Table 5). We let  $v_2 = 0 \in RC_{17}$ . We note that in this case, the construction is equivalent to the usual four circulant construction. We now construct neighbours of these codes and neighbours of these neighbours.

TABLE 6. New codes of length 68 from neighbours of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$

$F_i$	$E_i$	$(x_{35}, x_{36}, \dots, x_{68})$	$ Aut(F_i) $	$\gamma$	$\beta$	Type
1	2	(0111011100100011000001001000100110)	2	<b>0</b>	<b>208</b>	$W_{68,2}$
2	2	(1110000011111000011000011110011000)	1	<b>0</b>	<b>214</b>	$W_{68,2}$
3	2	(0001000100001110111100001010011010)	2	<b>1</b>	<b>191</b>	$W_{68,2}$
4	2	(0010111111111110001111001010111001)	2	<b>1</b>	<b>202</b>	$W_{68,2}$
5	1	(1001101111101110011000101000010110)	1	<b>1</b>	<b>210</b>	$W_{68,2}$
6	2	(0101001000111001100011110011000101)	1	<b>1</b>	<b>211</b>	$W_{68,2}$
7	2	(001010110101010011110000001010001)	1	<b>1</b>	<b>229</b>	$W_{68,2}$
8	2	(1111111111111111111101110111011111111)	1		<b>317</b>	$W_{68,1}$

TABLE 7. New codes of length 68 from neighbours of  $F_7$  and  $F_8$

$G_i$	$F_i$	$(x_{35}, x_{36}, \dots, x_{68})$	$ Aut(G_i) $	$\gamma$	$\beta$	Type
1	8	(000100110111000000000101011001100)	1	<b>0</b>	<b>218</b>	$W_{68,2}$
2	7	(0110000010001000111000111000100010)	1	<b>1</b>	<b>193</b>	$W_{68,2}$
3	7	(1000100101011000011011110011000000)	1	<b>1</b>	<b>195</b>	$W_{68,2}$
4	7	(0101001010010010000100100101001001)	1	1	233	$W_{68,2}$
5	7	(0111010010001001001000000100101010)	1	<b>2</b>	<b>193</b>	$W_{68,2}$
6	7	(1100010011000010110111011101101111)	1	<b>2</b>	<b>195</b>	$W_{68,2}$

TABLE 8. New codes of length 68 from neighbours of  $G_5$

$H_i$	$G_i$	$(x_{35}, x_{36}, \dots, x_{68})$	$ Aut(H_i) $	$\gamma$	$\beta$	Type
1	5	(0010010110011000000010111001111110)	1	<b>1</b>	<b>197</b>	$W_{68,2}$
2	5	(0100001011001011101010110111011111)	1	<b>1</b>	<b>199</b>	$W_{68,2}$
3	5	(1101001011101101011111101111001111)	1	<b>2</b>	<b>199</b>	$W_{68,2}$
4	5	(0011000011001110011000001100000001)	1	<b>2</b>	<b>191</b>	$W_{68,2}$
5	5	(0001100100110010010101000111100100)	1	<b>2</b>	<b>204</b>	$W_{68,2}$
6	5	(1011101001000001101001010111011101)	1	<b>2</b>	<b>218</b>	$W_{68,2}$



TABLE 9. Code of length 68 from the neighbours of  $D_1$

$I_i$	$D_i$	$(x_{35}, x_{36}, \dots, x_{68})$	$ Aut(I_i) $	$\gamma$	$\beta$	Type
1	1	(1111000110110011110111001010111101)	1	5	133	$W_{68,2}$

TABLE 10. Code of length 68 from the neighbours of  $I_1$

$J_i$	$I_i$	$(x_{35}, x_{36}, \dots, x_{68})$	$ Aut(J_i) $	$\gamma$	$\beta$	Type
1	1	(0000100001011000111001010100001100)	1	6	141	$W_{68,2}$

TABLE 11. New codes of length 68 from the neighbours of  $J_1$

$K_i$	$J_i$	$(x_{35}, x_{36}, \dots, x_{68})$	$ Aut(K_i) $	$\gamma$	$\beta$	Type
1	1	(1111111101001100010100001000010100)	1	<b>6</b>	<b>131</b>	$W_{68,2}$
2	1	(0000001110010111101110011111001111)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>158</b>	$W_{68,2}$

TABLE 12. New codes of length 68 from the neighbours of  $K_2$

$L_i$	$K_i$	$(x_{35}, x_{36}, \dots, x_{68})$	$ Aut(L_i) $	$\gamma$	$\beta$	Type
1	2	(01101111111010100011101010011010101)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>155</b>	$W_{68,2}$
2	2	(0101010101010001001010011101110010)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>156</b>	$W_{68,2}$
3	2	(001001110101010101011101110101010)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>157</b>	$W_{68,2}$
4	2	(1101111110110111001111110101101100)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>159</b>	$W_{68,2}$
5	2	(1001011111000110001111101100101110)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>160</b>	$W_{68,2}$
6	2	(1100000100100000010100101100011010)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>162</b>	$W_{68,2}$
7	2	(1000010000010110000111110010011111)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>164</b>	$W_{68,2}$
8	2	(0100001001101111111010000101010001)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>165</b>	$W_{68,2}$
9	2	(001110100010001101110100111101111)	1	<b>7</b>	<b>167</b>	$W_{68,2}$

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this work, we introduced a new construction that involved both block circulant matrices and a reverse circulant matrix. We demonstrated the relevance of this new construction by constructing many binary self-dual codes, including new self-dual codes of length 68. To summarise the numerical results, we construct the following unknown  $W_{68,1}$  code:

$$\beta = \{317\}.$$

Furthermore, we construct the following unknown  $W_{68,2}$  codes:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\gamma = 0, \quad \beta = \{208, 214, 218\}), \\ &(\gamma = 1, \quad \beta = \{179, 191, 193, 195, 197, 199, 202, 210, 211, 229\}), \\ &(\gamma = 2, \quad \beta = \{61, 191, 193, 195, 199, 204, 218\}), \\ &(\gamma = 6, \quad \beta = \{131\}), \\ &(\gamma = 7, \quad \beta = \{155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 162, 164, 165, 167\}) \end{aligned}$$

Regarding this construction, we were restricted to small group rings due to computational limitations. With a higher computational power, it would be possible to investigate larger group rings which would yield more results. One could also consider other families of rings.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Anev, M. Harada, and N. Yankov, *New extremal singly even self-dual codes of lengths 64 and 66*, J. Algebra Comb. Discrete Struct. Appl. **5** (2018), no. 3, 143–151.
- [2] E. R. Berlekamp, F. Jessie MacWilliams, and Neil J. A. Sloane, *Gleason’s theorem on self-dual codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **IT-18** (1972), 409–414.
- [3] F. Bernhardt, P. Landrock, and O. Manz, *The extended Golay codes considered as ideals*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **55** (1990), no. 2, 235–246.
- [4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).

- [5] C.L. Chen, W.W Peterson, and E.J Weldon, *Some results on quasi-cyclic codes*, Information and Control **15** (1969), 407–423.
- [6] J. H. Conway and Sloane N.J.A, *A new upper bound on the minimal distance of self-dual codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **36** (1990), no. 6, 1319–1333.
- [7] P. J. Davis, *Circulant matrices*, John Wiley & Sons, New York-Chichester-Brisbane, 1979. A Wiley-Interscience Publication; Pure and Applied Mathematics.
- [8] S.T. Dougherty, P. Gaborit, M. Harada, and Patrick Solé, *Type II codes over  $\mathbf{F}_2 + u\mathbf{F}_2$* , IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **45** (1999), no. 1, 32–45.
- [9] S. T. Dougherty, J. Gildea, A. Korban, Abidin Kaya, Alexander Tylyshchak, and Bahattin Yildiz, *Bordered constructions of self-dual codes from group rings and new extremal binary self-dual codes*, Finite Fields Appl. **57** (2019), 108–127.
- [10] S. T. Dougherty, J. Gildea, R. Taylor, and A. Tylyshchak, *Group rings,  $G$ -codes and constructions of self-dual and formally self-dual codes*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **86** (2018), no. 9, 2115–2138.
- [11] S.T. Dougherty, T.A. Gulliver, and M. Harada, *Extremal binary self-dual codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **43** (1997), no. 6, 2036–2047.
- [12] S. T. Dougherty, B. Yildiz, and S. Karadeniz, *Codes over  $R_k$ , Gray maps and their binary images*, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), no. 3, 205–219.
- [13] S. Dougherty, B. Yildiz, and S. Karadeniz, *Self-dual codes over  $R_k$  and binary self-dual codes*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (2013), no. 1, 89–106.
- [14] P. Gaborit, *Quadratic double circulant codes over fields*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **97** (2002), no. 1, 85–107.
- [15] S. D. Georgiou and E. Lappas, *Self-dual codes from circulant matrices*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **64** (2012), no. 1-2, 129–141.
- [16] J. Gildea, A. Kaya, A. Korban, and B. Yildiz, *Constructing Self-Dual Codes from Group Rings and Reverse Circulant Matrices*, to appear in Adv. Math. Commun.
- [17] A. M. Gleason, *Weight polynomials of self-dual codes and the MacWilliams identities*, Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1971, pp. 211–215.
- [18] T. Hurley, *Group rings and rings of matrices*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (2006), no. 3, 319–335. MR2266951,
- [19] S. Karadeniz and B. Yildiz, *New extremal binary self-dual codes of length 66 as extensions of self-dual codes over  $R_k$* , J. Franklin Inst. **350** (2013), no. 8, 1963–1973.
- [20] M. Karlin, *New binary coding results by circulants*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **IT-15** (1969), 81–92.
- [21] A. Kaya, B. Yildiz, and I. Siap, *Quadratic residue codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$  and their Gray images*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014), no. 11, 1999–2011.
- [22] J. L. Kim, *New extremal self-dual codes of lengths 36, 38, and 58*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **47** (2001), no. 1, 386–393.
- [23] S. Ling and P. Solé, *Type II codes over  $\mathbf{F}_4 + u\mathbf{F}_4$* , European J. Combin. **22** (2001), no. 7, 983–997.
- [24] F.J. MacWilliams, C.L Mallows, and N.J.A Sloane, *Generalizations of Gleason’s theorem on weight enumerators of self-dual codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **IT-18** (1972), 794–805.
- [25] F. J. MacWilliam, N.J.A. Sloane, and J.G. Thompson, *Good self dual codes exist*, Discrete Math. **3** (1972), 153–162.
- [26] E. M. Rains, *Shadow bounds for self-dual codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **44** (1998), no. 1, 134–139.
- [27] N. Yankov and D. Anev, *On the self-dual codes with an automorphism of order 5*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00200-019-00403-0> (2019).
- [28] N. Yankov, M. Ivanova, and M.H. Lee, *Self-dual codes with an automorphism of order 7 and  $s$ -extremal codes of length 68*, Finite Fields Appl. **51** (2018), 17–30.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF CHESTER, ENGLAND  
*E-mail address:* j.gildea@chester.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SAMPOERNA UNIVERSITY, 12780, JAKARTA, INDONESIA  
*E-mail address:* nabidin@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF CHESTER, ENGLAND  
*E-mail address:* rhian.taylor@chester.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, UZHGOROD NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, UZHGOROD, UKRAINE  
*E-mail address:* alx1k@bigmir.net