

THE LOCAL-GLOBAL PROPERTY FOR BITANGENTS OF PLANE QUARTICS

YASUHIRO ISHITSUKA, TETSUSHI ITO, TATSUYA OHSHITA, TAKASHI TANIGUCHI,
AND YUKIHIRO UCHIDA

ABSTRACT. We study the arithmetic of bitangents of smooth quartics over global fields. With the aid of computer algebra systems and using Elsenhans–Jahnel’s results on the inverse Galois problem for bitangents, we show that, over any global field of characteristic different from 2, there exist smooth quartics which have bitangents over every local field, but do not have bitangents over the global field. We give an algorithm to find such quartics explicitly, and give an example over \mathbb{Q} . We also discuss a similar problem concerning symmetric determinantal representations. This paper is a summary of the first author’s talk at the JSIAM JANT workshop on algorithmic number theory in March 2019. Details will appear elsewhere.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth quartic over a field k of characteristic different from 2. It is defined by a homogeneous polynomial $f(X, Y, Z)$ of degree 4:

$$C = \{[X : Y : Z] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid f(X, Y, Z) = 0\}.$$

By Bézout’s theorem, the intersection of C with a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ consists of four points, counted with their multiplicities. A line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is called a *bitangent* of C if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- L tangents to C at two distinct points, or
- L tangents quadruply to C at one point. (In this case, the line L is also called a *hyperflex line* of C .)

It is known that every smooth quartic has exactly 28 bitangents over an algebraic closure of k [2, Chapter 6].

Bitangents play an important role in the study of the arithmetic of quartics. For example, Bruin–Poonen–Stoll gave an application to the calculation of the Mordell–Weil group and the rational points [1]. However, since it involves computations on number fields of degree 28 in general, it remains a difficulty to treat the arithmetic of bitangents explicitly.

In this paper, we shall consider the problem whether a given smooth quartic over a global field K has a bitangent over K . Concerning this problem, a natural theoretical question is whether the bitangents satisfy the *local-global property* (or *Hasse principle*) or not. The aim of this paper is to answer this question *negatively* with the aid of computer algebra systems.

Here is the main theorem of this paper.

Date: April 20, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11G30; Secondary 14H25, 14H50, 14G25, 14Q05.

Key words and phrases. plane quartic, bitangent, local-global property.

Theorem 1.1. Let K be a global field of characteristic different from 2. (It is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} or $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$ with $p \neq 2$.) Then, there exists a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over K such that

- C has a bitangent over K_v for every place v of K (including the infinite places when K is a number field), but
- C does not have a bitangent over K .

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is a combination of certain group theoretic results on $\mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ obtained with the aid of computer algebra systems and the results on the inverse Galois problem for bitangents recently obtained by Elsenhans–Jahnel [3], [4].

Remark 1.2. After this work was completed, Jahnel and Loughran told the authors that it is also possible to construct smooth quartics satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.1 by the results in [10]. (See Remark 6.1.)

Remark 1.3. In this paper, we shall also give an algorithm to find quartics failing the local-global property for bitangents explicitly. (See Section 6.) For a given global field K , the hardest step is to find a certain Galois extension L/K of degree 32. It seems difficult to give a sharp estimate of the time complexity because this step is related to the effective Chebotarev density theorem. For more details, see Appendix B.

2. BITANGENTS AND QUADRATIC FORMS

Let k be a field of characteristic different from 2, and k^{sep} a separable closure of k . Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth quartic over k . The Jacobian variety $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ is an abelian variety of dimension 3. The group $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$ of k^{sep} -rational points on $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ killed by 2 is a 6-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_2 . It is equipped with the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(k^{\mathrm{sep}}/k)$ and the Weil pairing

$$\langle, \rangle: \mathrm{Jac}(C)[2] \times \mathrm{Jac}(C)[2] \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{F}_2.$$

We fix a symplectic basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ of $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$, i.e. $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \langle f_i, f_j \rangle = 0$ and $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. We have an isomorphism $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2] \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6}$. The action of $\mathrm{Gal}(k^{\mathrm{sep}}/k)$ gives a continuous map

$$\rho_C: \mathrm{Gal}(k^{\mathrm{sep}}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2], \langle, \rangle) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

A map $Q: \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is called a *quadratic form with polar form* \langle, \rangle if

$$Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

is satisfied for every $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6}$. The *Arf invariant* of Q is defined by

$$\mathrm{Arf}(Q) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} Q(e_i)Q(f_i) \in \mathbb{F}_2,$$

which is independent of the choice of a symplectic basis.

Let Ω^+ (resp. Ω^-) be the subset of Ω consisting of quadratic forms of Arf invariant 0 (resp. 1). The set Ω^+ (resp. Ω^-) has 36 (resp. 28) elements. The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ acts transitively on Ω^+ and Ω^- .

Lemma 2.1 (Mumford). There is a bijection between the set Ω^- and the set of bitangents of C .

See [12], [7, Proposition 6.2] for details. Since $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, every bitangent of C is defined over k^{sep} . The bijection in Lemma 2.1 is equivariant under the action of $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$, where $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ acts on Ω^- through the map $\rho_C: \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Remark 2.2. For a ‘generic’ choice of C , Harris and Shioda proved that the map ρ_C is surjective, at least when $\text{char}(k) \notin \{3, 5, 7, 11, 29, 1229\}$; see [6, p.721], [13, Theorem 7]. For explicit examples of quartics with surjective ρ_C , see [13, p.69, Example], [5, p.26, Corollary 3]. Shioda also constructed smooth quartics over \mathbb{Q} such that all the 28 bitangents are defined over \mathbb{Q} ; see [13, (6.6)].

3. GROUP THEORETIC RESULTS

Let $U_{36} \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the stabilizer of an element of Ω^+ . The action of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6} \setminus \{0\}$ is transitive. The stabilizer of a non-zero vector is denoted by $U_{63} \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$. It is known that U_{36}, U_{63} are maximal subgroups of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$, and every subgroup of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of index 36, 63 is conjugate to U_{36}, U_{63} , respectively.

We shall consider the following condition.

Condition 3.1. Let $G \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be a subgroup. We say G satisfies the *condition* $(*)^+$ (resp. $(*)^-$) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- No element of Ω^+ (resp. Ω^-) is fixed by every element of G .
- For every $g \in G$, the action of g on Ω^+ (resp. Ω^-) has a fixed point.

The following results can be confirmed by GAP. (For a sample source code for GAP, see Appendix A.)

Proposition 3.2. (1) U_{36} has 296 subgroups, up to conjugation. Among them, 35 subgroups satisfy the condition $(*)^-$; all the 35 subgroups are solvable.

(2) U_{63} has 1916 subgroups, up to conjugation. Among them, 548 subgroups satisfy both of the condition $(*)^+$ and $(*)^-$; 536 of the 548 subgroups are solvable.

Proposition 3.3. $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has 6 subgroups isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5}$, up to conjugation. All of them satisfy both of the conditions $(*)^+$ and $(*)^-$.

Remark 3.4. Any subgroup of U_{36} does not satisfy the condition $(*)^+$ since, by definition, it fixes at least one element of Ω^+ .

4. THE INVERSE GALOIS PROBLEMS

The inverse Galois problem asks whether every finite group is realized as the Galois group of a number field over \mathbb{Q} . It is an open problem in general. It was proved by Shafarevich for solvable groups. Sonn observed that Shafarevich’s solution to the inverse Galois problem yields a Galois extension such that every decomposition group is cyclic; see [14, Theorem 2] for details.

Theorem 4.1 (Shafarevich, Sonn). Let G be a finite solvable group, and K a global field. Then there exists a finite Galois extension L/K such that $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is isomorphic to G , and that, for every place v of K , the decomposition group of L/K at v is cyclic.

Recently, Elsenhans–Jahnel studied an analogue of the inverse Galois problem for bitangents of quartics. Let k be a field of characteristic different from 2, and

$$\rho: \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

a continuous homomorphism. They asked whether ρ is realized as the map ρ_C associated with a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over k , up to conjugation by an element of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$. The following result is proved in [3] (resp. [4]) when the image of ρ is contained in a conjugate of U_{36} (resp. U_{63}).

Theorem 4.2 (Elsenhans–Jahnel). If the image of ρ is contained in a conjugate of U_{36} or U_{63} , there exists a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over k such that the maps ρ, ρ_C are conjugate by an element of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Theorem 1.1 is proved by combining Lemma 2.1, Proposition 3.2, Theorem 4.1, and Theorem 4.2.

- (1) Take a solvable subgroup

$$G \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

contained in U_{36} or U_{63} which satisfies the condition $(*)^-$. Such a subgroup exists by Proposition 3.2.

- (2) Take a finite Galois extension L/K such that

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong G$$

and, for every place v of K , the decomposition group of L/K at v is cyclic. Such an extension exists by Theorem 4.1.

- (3) Consider the composite of the following maps:

$$\rho: \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K) \cong G \hookrightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Take a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over K such that the maps ρ, ρ_C are conjugate by an element of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Such a quartic exists by Theorem 4.2.

- (4) By Lemma 2.1, there is a $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ -equivariant bijection between the set Ω^- and the set of bitangents of C over K^{sep} . For a place v of K , $\text{Gal}(K_v^{\text{sep}}/K_v)$ is embedded into $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$. The image

$$G_v := \rho(\text{Gal}(K_v^{\text{sep}}/K_v))$$

is a cyclic group by (2). Let g_v be a generator of G_v . Since G satisfies the condition $(*)^-$ by (1), there is an element of Ω^- fixed by g_v . Hence the quartic C has a bitangent over K_v . Moreover, since no element of Ω^- is fixed by every element of G , the quartic C does not have a bitangent over K . Therefore, the quartic C satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.1.

6. DESCRIPTION OF ALGORITHM

Here we shall give an algorithm obtaining smooth quartics failing the local-global property for bitangents.

We take a subgroup $G \subset U_{63} \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5}$. We can use Proposition 3.3 for such a subgroup. Explicitly, the group U_{63} is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{F}_2 \wr S_6) \cap A_{12}$; see [4, Corollary 2.19]. The wreath product $\mathbb{F}_2 \wr S_6$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6}$.

Let G be the kernel of the sum $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$. Then G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5}$, and we have an embedding

$$G \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2 \wr S_6) \cap A_{12} \cong U_{63}.$$

Our algorithm consists of 3 steps.

Step 1 (Find a Galois extension L/K .) Take a Galois extension L/K such that

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5}$$

and that every decomposition group is cyclic. (The existence of such L/K is guaranteed by Theorem 4.1.)

Assume that L is described as

$$L = K(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{a_3}, \sqrt{a_4}, \sqrt{a_5}).$$

for some $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \in K$. We put $a_6 = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 u^2$ for some $u \in K^\times$ and set

$$F(S, T) = (a_1 S - T)(a_2 S - T) \cdots (a_6 S - T).$$

By construction, the splitting field of $F(1, T^2)$ is L and $F(1, 0) = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_5 u)^2$.

Step 2 (Construct a conic bundle $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.) There exists a unique pair of binary quadratic forms $g(S, T), h(S, T)$ satisfying

$$\det M(S, T) = -F(S, T) \quad \text{and} \quad g(1, 0) = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 u,$$

where

$$M(S, T) = \begin{pmatrix} -ST + T^2 & ST & g(S, T) \\ ST & S^2 & T^2 \\ g(S, T) & T^2 & h(S, T) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ be the hypersurface defined by

$$(X \ Y \ Z) M(S, T) \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Here $[S : T]$ is the projective coordinate of \mathbb{P}^1 and $[X : Y : Z]$ is that of \mathbb{P}^2 . The first projection $\text{pr}_1 : B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defines a conic bundle structure with six degenerate fibers with prescribed Galois action; see [4, Proposition 3.5].

Step 3 (Calculate the branching locus.) The composite of the embedding

$$\iota : B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$$

and the projection

$$\text{pr}_2 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

is a double cover. Its ramification locus is the desired quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. (If C is not smooth, then take other parameters a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5 and u , and calculate the quartic C again. For a ‘generic’ choice of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5 and u , the quartic C is smooth; see [4, Proposition 3.5].)

Applying the above algorithm, we can construct a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over K such that the map ρ_C is conjugate to the composite of the following maps:

$$\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K) \cong G \hookrightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

The smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 by Proposition 3.3.

Remark 6.1 (Jahnel–Loughran). Here is another construction of smooth quartics satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1 by the results in [10]. Take two closed points P, Q of the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 over K (as a K -scheme) of degree 3, 4, respectively, such that

- the union $P \sqcup Q$ lies in general position, and
- the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 along Q is a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 which does not satisfy the local-global property for lines; see [10, Section 3.6].

Let X be the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 along $P \sqcup Q$. The branching locus $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of the anticanonical map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a smooth quartic. We have a $2 : 1$ map from the 56 lines on X to the 28 bitangents of C . For every place v of K , X has a line over K_v by [10, Lemma 3.9]. Hence C has a bitangent over K_v . Moreover, C does not have a bitangent over K . In fact, if $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ were a bitangent over K , its inverse image $\pi^{-1}(L) = L_1 \cup L_2$ is a union of two lines on X . The lines on X are classified into the types (i)-(iv) in [4, Remark 2.8]. Since L_1, L_2 have different types and the type of a line is Galois invariant, both of L_1, L_2 are defined over K . It contradicts [10, Lemma 3.8].

7. AN EXAMPLE

We consider the case $K = \mathbb{Q}$. We put

$$b_1 = -1, \quad b_2 = 17, \quad b_3 = 89, \quad b_4 = 257, \quad b_5 = 769,$$

and put $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b_i})$ for every $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Then, for every $1 \leq i \leq 5$, only one prime number is ramified in K_i/\mathbb{Q} . For every $1 \leq i, j \leq 5$ with $i \neq j$, the prime number p_i splits in K_j/\mathbb{Q} . (Here p_i is the unique prime number ramified in K_i/\mathbb{Q} .) We put

$$L = K_1 K_2 K_3 K_4 K_5,$$

and

$$a_1 = b_1 b_5, \quad a_2 = b_2 b_4, \quad a_3 = b_3, \quad a_4 = b_4, \quad a_5 = b_5, \quad u = -b_4^{-1} b_5^{-1}.$$

Then L/K satisfies the conditions in Step 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F(S, T) &= (-769S - T)(4369S - T)(89S - T) \\ &\quad (257S - T)(769S - T)(-1513S - T), \\ g(S, T) &= \frac{1}{8}(2392149832S^2 + 35008837ST + 12804T^2), \\ h(S, T) &= -\frac{1}{64}(251582881045706064S^2 \\ &\quad + 1084638148302617ST + 594847875240T^2). \end{aligned}$$

The quartic is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4096X^4 - 16384X^3Y - 9869943810048X^3Z \\
& + 143396196352X^2YZ - 52445184XY^2Z - 32768Y^3Z \\
& + 64826445425191482752X^2Z^2 \\
& - 277686962456893696XYZ^2 \\
& + 152281056061440Y^2Z^2 \\
& - 917870567374331469445024XZ^3 \\
& + 128810435095401504768YZ^3 \\
& + 577825743806146102974275227249Z^4 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It gives an example of smooth quartics over \mathbb{Q} failing the local-global property for bitangents.

8. THE LOCAL-GLOBAL PROPERTY FOR SYMMETRIC DETERMINANTAL REPRESENTATIONS

We say a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over a field k admits a *symmetric determinantal representation* over k if there exist symmetric matrices $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in \text{Mat}_4(k)$ of size 4×4 such that the equation

$$\det(XM_1 + YM_2 + ZM_3) = 0$$

defines the quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. (For explicit examples, see [9].)

By the same method as above, it is possible to obtain smooth quartics failing the local-global property for symmetric determinantal representations.

Theorem 8.1. For any global field K of characteristic different from 2, there exists a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over K such that

- C admits a symmetric determinantal representation over K_v for every place v of K , but
- C does not admit a symmetric determinantal representation over K .

Here is a sketch of the proof. For a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ with a K -rational point, it admits a symmetric determinantal representation over K if and only if there exists a $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ -invariant quadratic form in Ω^+ ; see [7, Theorem 2.2, Corollary 6.3]. Taking a subgroup $G \subset U_{63}$ satisfying the condition $(*)^+$, we find smooth quartics over K satisfying the conditions of Theorem 8.1 by the same way as in the case of bitangents.

Example 8.2. Quartics constructed by the algorithm in Section 6 always have the \mathbb{Q} -rational point $[0 : 1 : 0]$. By Proposition 3.3, the example of quartic in Section 7 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 8.1. (But the quartics constructed by the method described in Remark 6.1 do not satisfy these conditions.)

Remark 8.3. In [7], it is proved that smooth quartics over number fields do not satisfy the local-global property for symmetric determinantal representations. The quartics constructed in [7] are defined over number fields of large degree. For quartics over \mathbb{Q} , this problem was stated in [7, Problem 1.6 (1)], but not answered there.

Remark 8.4. Theorem 8.1 does not hold in characteristic 2. In fact, over a global field of characteristic 2, any smooth plane curve of any degree satisfies the local-global property for symmetric determinantal representations; see [8] for details.

APPENDIX A. SAMPLE SOURCE CODES FOR GAP AND SAGEMATH

We proved Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 by GAP. Here is a sample source code for GAP (Version 4.10.2) which performs necessary calculation.

```

1 G := PSp(6,2);;
2 C := List(ConjugacyClassesMaximalSubgroups(G), Representative);;
3 # G = Sp_6(F_2) has 8 maximal subgroups, with order
4 # 51840 40320 23040 12096 10752 4608 4320 1512
5
6 U28 := C[1];;
7 if Size(G)/Size(U28) = 28 then Print("OK. U28 has index 28.\n");
8   else Print("Error: U28 does not have index 28.\n"); fi;
9
10 U36 := C[2];;
11 if Size(G)/Size(U36) = 36 then Print("OK. U36 has index 36.\n");
12   else Print("Error: U36 does not have index 36.\n"); fi;
13
14 U63 := C[3];;
15 if Size(G)/Size(U63) = 63 then Print("OK. U63 has index 63.\n");
16   else Print("Error: U63 does not have index 63.\n"); fi;
17
18 count_solvable_32 := function(GroupList)
19   local count_solvable, count_32;
20   count_solvable := 0;
21   count_32 := 0;
22   for K in GroupList do
23     if IsSolvable(K) = true
24       then count_solvable := count_solvable + 1; fi;
25     if IsomorphismGroups(K, ElementaryAbelianGroup(32)) <> fail
26       then count_32 := count_32 + 1; fi;
27   od;
28   Print("The number of solvable subgroups: ", count_solvable, "\n");
29   Print("The number of subgroups isomorphic to (F_2)^5: ", count_32, "\n");
30 end;
31
32 count_cond := function(GroupList)
33   local count_plus, count_plus_solvable, count_plus_32,
34     count_minus, count_minus_solvable, count_minus_32,
35     count_both, count_both_solvable, count_both_32;
36   count_plus := 0;
37   count_plus_solvable := 0;
38   count_plus_32 := 0;
39   count_minus := 0;
40   count_minus_solvable := 0;
41   count_minus_32 := 0;
42   count_both := 0;
43   count_both_solvable := 0;
44   count_both_32 := 0;
45
46   for K in GroupList do
47     # Condition +

```



```

48  A := Action(K, RightCosets(G, U36), OnRight);
49  cond_plus := 0;
50  if NrMovedPoints(A) < 36 then cond_plus := 1;
51  else
52    for x in A do if NrMovedPoints(x) = 36 then cond_plus := 1; fi; od;
53  fi;
54
55  # Condition -
56  A := Action(K, RightCosets(G, U28), OnRight);
57  cond_minus := 0;
58  if NrMovedPoints(A) < 28 then cond_minus := 1;
59  else
60    for x in A do if NrMovedPoints(x) = 28 then cond_minus := 1; fi; od;
61  fi;
62
63  if cond_plus = 0 then
64    count_plus := count_plus + 1;
65    if IsSolvable(K) = true then
66      count_plus_solvable := count_plus_solvable + 1; fi;
67    if IsomorphismGroups(K, ElementaryAbelianGroup(32)) <> fail then
68      count_plus_32 := count_plus_32 + 1; fi;
69  fi;
70
71  if cond_minus = 0 then
72    count_minus := count_minus + 1;
73    if IsSolvable(K) = true then
74      count_minus_solvable := count_minus_solvable + 1; fi;
75    if IsomorphismGroups(K, ElementaryAbelianGroup(32)) <> fail then
76      count_minus_32 := count_minus_32 + 1; fi;
77  fi;
78
79  if cond_plus = 0 and cond_minus = 0 then
80    count_both := count_both + 1;
81    if IsSolvable(K) = true then
82      count_both_solvable := count_both_solvable + 1; fi;
83    if IsomorphismGroups(K, ElementaryAbelianGroup(32)) <> fail then
84      count_both_32 := count_both_32 + 1; fi;
85  fi;
86  od;
87
88  Print("Condition_plus: ", count_plus, "\n");
89  Print("Condition_plus(solvable): ", count_plus_solvable, "\n");
90  Print("Condition_plus((F_2)^5): ", count_plus_32, "\n");
91
92  Print("Condition_minus: ", count_minus, "\n");
93  Print("Condition_minus(solvable): ", count_minus_solvable, "\n");
94  Print("Condition_minus((F_2)^5): ", count_minus_32, "\n");
95
96  Print("Both: ", count_both, "\n");
97  Print("Both(solvable): ", count_both_solvable, "\n");
98  Print("Both((F_2)^5): ", count_both_32, "\n\n");
99  end;
100
101  GroupList := List(ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(G), Representative);;
102  Print("Sp(6,2) has ", Size(GroupList), " subgroups, up to conjugation.\n");

```

```

103 count_solvable_32(GroupList);
104 count_cond(GroupList);
105
106 GroupList := List(ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(U28), Representative);
107 Print("U28 has ", Size(GroupList), " subgroups, up to conjugation.\n");
108 count_solvable_32(GroupList);
109 count_cond(GroupList);
110
111 GroupList := List(ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(U36), Representative);
112 Print("U36 has ", Size(GroupList), " subgroups, up to conjugation.\n");
113 count_solvable_32(GroupList);
114 count_cond(GroupList);
115
116 GroupList := List(ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(U63), Representative);
117 Print("U63 has ", Size(GroupList), " subgroups, up to conjugation.\n");
118 count_solvable_32(GroupList);
119 count_cond(GroupList);

```

If the above code is executed successfully, it outputs as follows.

```

1 OK. U28 has index 28.
2 OK. U36 has index 36.
3 OK. U63 has index 63.
4 Sp(6,2) has 1369 subgroups, up to conjugation.
5 The number of solvable subgroups: 1301
6 The number of subgroups isomorphic to (F_2)^5: 6
7 Condition plus: 411
8 Condition plus (solvable): 399
9 Condition plus ((F_2)^5): 6
10 Condition minus: 371
11 Condition minus (solvable): 359
12 Condition minus ((F_2)^5): 6
13 Both: 240
14 Both (solvable): 228
15 Both ((F_2)^5): 6
16 U28 has 350 subgroups, up to conjugation.
17 The number of solvable subgroups: 331
18 The number of subgroups isomorphic to (F_2)^5: 0
19 Condition plus: 22
20 Condition plus (solvable): 22
21 Condition plus ((F_2)^5): 0
22 Condition minus: 0
23 Condition minus (solvable): 0
24 Condition minus ((F_2)^5): 0
25 Both: 0
26 Both (solvable): 0
27 Both ((F_2)^5): 0
28 U36 has 296 subgroups, up to conjugation.
29 The number of solvable subgroups: 268
30 The number of subgroups isomorphic to (F_2)^5: 0
31 Condition plus: 0
32 Condition plus (solvable): 0
33 Condition plus ((F_2)^5): 0
34 Condition minus: 35
35 Condition minus (solvable): 35
36 Condition minus ((F_2)^5): 0

```

```

37 Both: 0
38 Both (solvable): 0
39 Both ((F_2)^5): 0
40 U63 has 1916 subgroups, up to conjugation.
41 The number of solvable subgroups: 1880
42 The number of subgroups isomorphic to (F_2)^5: 13
43 Condition plus: 856
44 Condition plus (solvable): 844
45 Condition plus ((F_2)^5): 13
46 Condition minus: 711
47 Condition minus (solvable): 699
48 Condition minus ((F_2)^5): 13
49 Both: 548
50 Both (solvable): 536
51 Both ((F_2)^5): 13

```

Here is a sample source code for SageMath (Version 8.9) which calculates quartics by the algorithm described in Section 6. It also checks the smoothness of the output. The example in Section 7 is calculated by this code.

```

1 P.<X, Y, Z, S, T> = PolynomialRing(QQ)
2
3 b1, b2, b3, b4, b5 = -1, 17, 89, 257, 769
4 a1, a2, a3, a4, a5, u = b1*b5, b2*b4, b3, b4, b5, -b4^(-1)*b5^(-1)
5
6 a6 = a1*a2*a3*a4*a5*u^2
7 c = a1*a2*a3*a4*a5*u
8
9 F = (a1*S-T)*(a2*S-T)*(a3*S-T)*(a4*S-T)*(a5*S-T)*(a6*S-T)
10 g0 = (-F.coefficient({S:1, T:5}) -1)/2
11 g1 = (-F.coefficient({S:2, T:4}) + g0^2)/2
12 g2 = c
13 g = g0*T^2 + g1*S*T + g2*S^2
14 h = (T^5*S - (T^3 - g*S)^2 + F)/(S^3*T)
15 #print(factor(g))
16 #print(factor(h))
17
18 M = matrix([[ -S*T+T^2, S*T, g], [S*T, S^2, T^2], [g, T^2, h]])
19 #print(det(M).factor()) ## check det(M) = -F(S,T)
20 v = matrix([X,Y,Z])
21 Biquad = (v*M*v.transpose())[0][0]
22 Biquad = P(Biquad)
23 #print(factor(Biquad))
24
25 q0 = Biquad.coefficient({S:2, T:0})
26 q1 = Biquad.coefficient({S:1, T:1})
27 q2 = Biquad.coefficient({S:0, T:2})
28 Quart = q1^2-4*q0*q2
29 #print(factor(Quart))
30
31 PP.<X, Y, Z> = ProjectiveSpace(QQ, 2)
32 R = PP.subscheme([Quart])
33 R.is_smooth()

```

APPENDIX B. COMPLEXITY OF OUR ALGORITHM

In Section 6, we give an algorithm to obtain smooth quartics over global fields failing the local-global property for bitangents explicitly. Our algorithm is fast, in practice. But it seems difficult to analyze the time complexity by the following reasons.

For a given global field K , the hardest step in our algorithm is to find a Galois extension L/K with $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5}$ such that every decomposition group is cyclic; see Step 1 in Section 6. (For example, when $K = \mathbb{Q}$, the extension

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{17}, \sqrt{89}, \sqrt{257}, \sqrt{769})/\mathbb{Q}$$

satisfies this condition. From this, we get the smooth quartic over \mathbb{Q} in Section 7.)

In practice, it is easy to find an extension L/K . But it is not easy to give a sharp estimate of the time complexity. Assume the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) for simplicity. Then, for a finite Galois extension M/K and a conjugacy class $C \subset \text{Gal}(M/K)$, by the effective Chebotarev density theorem, we can find a prime \mathfrak{p} of K such that the Frobenius element at \mathfrak{p} belongs to C and

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq c(\log d_M)^2(\log \log d_M)^4.$$

Here d_M is the absolute value of the discriminant of M , and c is an effectively computable absolute constant; see [11, Corollary 1.2]. From this, we can estimate the time complexity to find an extension L/K by a polynomial function with respect to $\log d_K$. (Without assuming GRH, we can estimate the time complexity by an exponential function with respect to $\log d_K$.)

Once the extension L/K is found, the rest is a simple calculation of the determinant, which can be done in $O(1)$ arithmetic operations in the number field K . (See the sample source code for SageMath in Appendix A.) However, note that the quartic obtained by our algorithm might be singular. If it is singular, we may take other parameters randomly and calculate the quartic again. The quartic obtained by our algorithm is smooth if parameters are chosen generically; see [4, Proposition 3.5].

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Jörg Jahnel and Daniel Loughran for explaining how to construct quartics failing the local-global property for bitangents by the results in [10]; see Remark 6.1. The authors would like to thank the referee for comments and constructive advice. Y. I.'s work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K17572. T. I.'s work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20674001 and 26800013. T. O.'s work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26800011 and 18H05233. T. T.'s work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 17H02835. Y. U.'s work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K03517. This work was supported by the Sumitomo Foundation FY2018 Grant for Basic Science Research Projects (Grant Number 180044). Most of calculations were done with the aid of GAP [15], Maxima [16], Sage [17].

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bruin, B. Poonen and M. Stoll, *Generalized explicit descent and its application to curves of genus 3*, Forum Math. Sigma 4 (2016), e6, 80 pp.
- [2] I. Dolgachev, *Classical Algebraic Geometry. A modern view*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [3] A-S. Elsenhans and J. Jahnel, *On plane quartics with a Galois invariant Cayley octad*, Eur. J. Math. 5 (2019), 1156–1172.

- [4] A-S. Elsenhans and J. Jahnel, *Plane quartics with a Galois-invariant Steiner hexad*, Int. J. Number Theory **15** (2019), 1075–1109.
- [5] R. Ern e, *Construction of a del Pezzo surface with maximal Galois action on its Picard group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **97** (1994), 15–27.
- [6] J. Harris, *Galois groups of enumerative problems*, Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), 685–724.
- [7] Y. Ishitsuka and T. Ito, *The local-global principle for symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves*, Ramanujan J. **43** (2017), 141–162.
- [8] Y. Ishitsuka and T. Ito, *The local-global principle for symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves in characteristic two*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **221** (2017), 1316–1321.
- [9] Y. Ishitsuka, T. Ito and T. Ohshita, *On algorithms to obtain linear determinantal representations of smooth plane curves of higher degree*, JSIAM Letters **11** (2019), 9–12.
- [10] J. Jahnel and D. Loughran, *The Hasse principle for lines on del Pezzo surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2015** (2015), 12877–12919.
- [11] J. C. Lagarias and A. M. Odlyzko, *Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem*, Algebraic number fields: *L*-functions and Galois properties (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1975), pp. 409–464, Academic Press, London, 1977.
- [12] D. Mumford, *Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve*, Ann. Sci.  cole Norm. Sup. (4) **4** (1971), 181–192.
- [13] T. Shioda, *Plane Quartics and Mordell–Weil Lattices of Type E_7* , Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **42** (1993), 61–79.
- [14] J. Sonn, *Polynomials with roots in \mathbb{Q}_p for all p* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 1955–1960.
- [15] The GAP Group, GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.10.2, 2019, <https://www.gap-system.org>.
- [16] Maxima.sourceforge.net. Maxima, a Computer Algebra System (Version 5.41.0), 2017, <http://maxima.sourceforge.net>.
- [17] SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.9), The Sage Developers, 2019, <http://www.sagemath.org>.

CENTER FOR SCIENCE ADVENTURE AND COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH ADVANCEMENT, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: yasu-ishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE TEAM, RIKEN CENTER FOR ADVANCED INTELLIGENCE PROJECT (AIP), 1-4-1 NIHONBASHI CHUO-KU, TOKYO 103-0027, JAPAN

E-mail address: tetsushi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KEIO UNIVERSITY, 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU YOKOHAMA-SHI, KANAGAWA 223-8522, JAPAN

E-mail address: ohshita@math.keio.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KOBE UNIVERSITY, KOBE 657-8501, JAPAN

E-mail address: tani@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, 1-1 MINAMI-OSAWA, HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN

E-mail address: yuchida@tmu.ac.jp