

GALOIS GROUPS OVER RATIONAL FUNCTION FIELDS OVER SKEW FIELDS

GIL ALON, FRANÇOIS LEGRAND, AND ELAD PARAN

ABSTRACT. Let H be a skew field of finite dimension over its center k . We solve the Inverse Galois Problem over the field of fractions $H(X)$ of the ring of polynomial functions over H in the variable X , if k contains an ample field.

RÉSUMÉ. Soit H un corps gauche de dimension finie sur son centre k . Nous résolvons le Problème Inverse de Galois sur le corps des fractions $H(X)$ de l'anneau des fonctions polynomiales en la variable X et à coefficients dans H , si k contient un corps ample.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Inverse Galois Problem over a field k asks whether every finite group occurs as the Galois group of a Galois field extension of k . Hilbert showed in 1892, via his celebrated irreducibility theorem, that this problem over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers is equivalent to the same problem over the field $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ of rational functions over \mathbb{Q} . While the problem is wide open over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, it is known to have an affirmative answer over many other function fields, e.g., over the field $\mathbb{C}(t)$ of complex rational functions, as a consequence of Riemann's Existence Theorem.

The aim of this note is to contribute to inverse Galois theory over skew fields, following a first work on this topic by Deschamps and Legrand (see [DL19]). In this more general context, given skew fields (equivalently, division rings) $H \subseteq M$, the extension M/H is said to be *Galois* if every element of M which is fixed under any automorphism of M fixing H pointwise lies in H . See [Coh95, §3.3] for more on Galois theory over skew fields.

Let H be a skew field, and let $H[X]$ denote the ring of all polynomial functions over H in the variable X . That is, $H[X]$ is the ring of all functions from H to H that can be expressed by sums and products of the variable X and elements of H . We observe that, if H is of finite dimension over its center k and if k is infinite, then $H[X]$ has a classical (right) field of fractions, denoted by $H(X)$. See §2 for more details.

In the sequel, we solve the Inverse Galois Problem over the skew field $H(X)$, if the center of H contains an ample field:

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be a skew field of finite dimension over its center k . If k contains an ample field, then every finite group is the Galois group of a Galois extension of $H(X)$.*

Recall that a field k is *ample* (or *large*) if every smooth geometrically irreducible k -curve has either zero or infinitely many k -rational points. Ample fields, which were introduced by Pop in [Pop96] (and which are necessarily infinite), include algebraically closed fields, some complete valued fields (e.g., \mathbb{Q}_p , \mathbb{R} , $\kappa((T))$), the field \mathbb{Q}^{tr} of all totally real algebraic numbers, etc. See [Jar11], [BSF13], and [Pop14] for more details. Consequently, a special (but fundamental) case of Theorem 1.1 is that the Inverse Galois Problem has an affirmative answer over $\mathbb{H}(X)$, where \mathbb{H} denotes the skew field of Hamilton's quaternions.

Given a skew field H of finite dimension over its center k , with k infinite, the ring $H[X]$ is one possible natural generalization of the usual polynomial ring in one variable over an infinite field. Another one is the polynomial ring $H_c[t]$, where t is a central indeterminate,

commuting with the coefficients^{1 2}. While these rings are isomorphic in the special case $H = k$, it is not clear that such an isomorphism exists if H is non-commutative. This suggests that the Inverse Galois Problem over the field of fractions $H_c(t)$ of $H_c[t]$, which is studied by Deschamps and Legrand, and the same problem over $H(X)$ are a priori independent. In particular, although the Inverse Galois Problem over $H_c(t)$ has a positive answer if k contains an ample field (see [DL19, Théorème B]), Theorem 1.1 has its own merits and, as [DL19, Théorème B], extends the deep result of Pop solving the Inverse Galois Problem over the field $k(t)$, if k contains an ample field.

We prove Theorem 1.1 in §3, by reducing it to the case settled by Deschamps and Legrand. The main observation needed is that the ring $H[X]$ is isomorphic to the ring $H_c[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ of polynomials over H in n central variables, where n denotes the dimension of H over its center (see Proposition 2.3). This follows from a theorem of Wilczynski [Wil14, Theorem 4.1]. We also make use of the general observation that the Inverse Galois Problem over skew fields is “algebraic”; see Proposition 3.1.

2. POLYNOMIAL RINGS AND FIELDS OF FRACTIONS

2.1. Polynomial rings. For this subsection, let H be a skew field.

The *polynomial ring* $H_c[t]$ in the *central* variable t is the set of all sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of H such that $a_n = 0$ for all but finitely many n . As in the commutative setting, the addition is defined componentwise and the multiplication is defined by $(a_n)_n \cdot (b_n)_n = (c_n)_n$, where $c_n = \sum_{l+m=n} a_l b_m$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Setting $(a_n)_n = \sum_n a_n t^n$, one has $at = ta$ for every $a \in H$, thus justifying the terminology “central”. If H is a field, then $H_c[t]$ is nothing but the usual polynomial ring in the variable t over H . In the sense of Ore [Ore33], $H_c[t]$ is the skew polynomial ring $H[t, \alpha, \delta]$ in the variable t , where the automorphism α is the identity of H and the derivation δ is 0. One can iteratively construct rings of polynomials in several central variables over H , by putting $H_c[t_1, t_2] = (H_c[t_1])_c[t_2]$, $H_c[t_1, t_2, t_3] = (H_c[t_1, t_2])_c[t_3]$, and so on. Since the variables are all central, the order in which they are added does not change the ring obtained, up to isomorphism.

On the other hand, let $H\langle X \rangle$ be the free algebra in one symbol X over H . That is, $H\langle X \rangle$ is the algebra spanned by all words whose letters are elements of H or X . For an element $a \in H$ and $f(X) \in H\langle X \rangle$, the substitution $f(a) \in H$ is defined in the obvious way, by replacing each occurrence of X in $f(X)$ by a , and computing the resulting value in H . For a fixed $a \in H$, the map $f(X) \mapsto f(a)$ is a homomorphism from $H\langle X \rangle$ to H . We say that f *vanishes* at a if $f(a) = 0$. Let I be the (two-sided) ideal of $H\langle X \rangle$ which consists of all $f(X) \in H\langle X \rangle$ that vanish at all $a \in H$. Then the ring $H[X]$ is defined as the quotient $H\langle X \rangle / I$, and it is isomorphic to the ring of polynomial functions over H . Note that, if H is an infinite field, then this definition coincides with the usual definition of the polynomial ring in the variable X over H .

2.2. Classical fields of fractions. For this subsection, let R be a non-zero ring, not necessarily commutative. Recall that R is an *integral domain* if, for all $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and $s \in R \setminus \{0\}$, one has $sr \neq 0$ and $rs \neq 0$. From now on, suppose R is an integral domain.

A *classical right quotient ring* for R is an overring $S \supseteq R$ such that every non-zero element of R is invertible in S , and such that every element of S can be written as ab^{-1}

¹We adopt a different notation from that of [DL19], where this ring is denoted by $H[t]$, in order to distinguish between the cases of central variables and non-central ones. We note that there are alternative notations for this ring in the literature, such as $H[x, \text{id}, 0]$ in [Ore33], or $H_L[t]$ in [GM65].

²Throughout this note, we use upper-case letters to denote non-central variables and lower case-letter to denote central ones, to add a visual distinction between the two.

for some $a \in R$ and some $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$. We say that R is a *right Ore domain* if, for all non-zero elements x and y of R , there exist r and s in R such that $xr = ys \neq 0$. By [GW04, Theorem 6.8], if R is a right Ore domain, then R has a classical right quotient ring H which is a skew field and, by [Coh95, Proposition 1.3.4], H is unique up to isomorphism. We then say that H is the *classical right field of fractions* of R .

If H denotes an arbitrary skew field, then the polynomial ring $H_c[t]$ in the central variable t over H is an integral domain, since the degree is additive on products. Moreover, $H_c[t]$ is a right Ore domain, by [GW04, Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 6.7]. By an easy induction, given a positive integer n , the polynomial ring $H_c[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ in n central variables over H has a classical right field of fractions, which we denote by $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let H be a skew field and $n \geq 2$. Then the equality $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}))_c(t_n)$ holds.*

Proof. First, it is clear that the inclusion $H_c[t_1, \dots, t_n] \subseteq (H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}))_c(t_n)$ holds. As every element of $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ can be written as fg^{-1} with f and g in $H_c[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, we actually have $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n) \subseteq (H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}))_c(t_n)$.

For the converse, take a polynomial $f = \sum_{l=0}^m a_l t_n^l$ with $a_l \in H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$ for every $l \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. As before, we can write $a_l = b_l c_l^{-1}$ with $b_l \in H_c[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}]$ and $c_l \in H_c[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}] \setminus \{0\}$, for every $l \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. Since $H_c[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}] \subseteq H_c[t_1, \dots, t_n] \subseteq H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and $t_n \in H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, we get that $f = \sum_{l=0}^m b_l c_l^{-1} t_n^l$ is in $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. This shows the desired inclusion $(H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}))_c(t_n) \subseteq H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, since every element of $(H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}))_c(t_n)$ can be written as fg^{-1} with f and g in $(H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}))_c[t_n]$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Let H be a skew field of center k and let n be a positive integer. The center of $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ equals $k(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Moreover, if the dimension of H over k is finite, then the equality $\dim_{k(t_1, \dots, t_n)} H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \dim_k H$ holds.*

Proof. By, e.g., [Coh95, Proposition 2.1.5], if K is an arbitrary skew field of center C , then $C(t)$ is the center of $K_c(t)$. Hence, by iterating Proposition 2.1, the center of $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ equals $k(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Now, suppose $\dim_k H$ is finite. Then, by [DL19, Proposition 9], we have $H_c(t_1) \cong H \otimes_k k(t_1)$. Consequently, $\dim_{k(t_1)} H_c(t_1)$ is finite and equals $\dim_k H$. As before, it remains to iterate Proposition 2.1 to conclude the proof. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let H be a skew field of finite dimension n over its center k . Assume k is infinite. Then the ring $H[X]$ is isomorphic to $H_c[t_1, \dots, t_n]$.*

Proof. The existence of such an isomorphism follows from [Wil14, Theorem 4.1]. See also [AP19, Theorem 5] for a different, more explicit proof. For the convenience of the reader, we include an elementary proof in the special case $H = \mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{H} is the skew field of Hamilton's quaternions.

One has the following classical identity for each $a \in \mathbb{H}$:

$$\operatorname{Re}(a) = \frac{1}{4}(a - iai - jaj - kak),$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(a)$ is the real component of a . More generally, putting

$$y_1 = \frac{1}{4}(X - iXi - jXj - kXk),$$

$$y_2 = \frac{1}{4}(jXk - Xi - iX - kXj),$$

$$y_3 = \frac{1}{4}(kXi - Xj - jX - iXk),$$

$$y_4 = \frac{1}{4}(iXj - Xk - kX - jXi),$$

the functions $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \in \mathbb{H}[X]$ obtain real values only, and one has $X = y_1 + iy_2 + jy_3 + ky_4$. In particular, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 belong to the center of $\mathbb{H}[X]$, and we may then define a homomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{H}_c[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}[X]$ by $\phi(t_l) = y_l$, $1 \leq l \leq 4$, and $\phi(a) = a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{H}$. The equality $X = y_1 + iy_2 + jy_3 + ky_4$ implies that ϕ is surjective.

Let $p = p(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \in \mathbb{H}_c[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]$. By decomposing the coefficients of p into their real, i , j , and k components, we may present p in the form $p = p_1 + p_2i + p_3j + p_4k$ with $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]$. If $p \neq 0$, then $p_l \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq l \leq 4$. Then there exists a non-zero tuple $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ such that $p_l(a) \neq 0$. Hence, $\phi(p)$ does not vanish at $X = a_1 + a_2i + a_3j + a_4k$, thus showing that ϕ is also injective. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let H be a skew field of finite dimension n over its center k . Assume k is infinite. Then the ring $H[X]$ has a classical right field of fractions, denoted by $H(X)$, which is isomorphic to $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$.*

Proof. As recalled, the ring $H_c[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is a right Ore domain. Since $H[X]$ is isomorphic to $H_c[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ by Proposition 2.3, $H[X]$ is also a right Ore domain and so has a classical right field of fractions. Finally, [Coh95, §1.3] shows that the isomorphism $H[X] \cong H_c[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ from Proposition 2.3 extends to an isomorphism $H(X) \cong H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first make the general observation that the Inverse Galois Problem over skew fields is an “algebraic problem”. More precisely:

Proposition 3.1. *Let H_1 and H_2 be isomorphic skew fields and let G be a finite group. Then there exists a Galois extension of H_1 of group G if and only if there exists a Galois extension of H_2 of group G .*

Proof. Let $\varphi: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an isomorphism. Suppose there exists a Galois extension K_1/H_1 of group G .

By the exchange principle³, there exists a set C such that $C \cap H_2 = \emptyset$ and $|C| = |K_1 \setminus H_1|$. Let $f: K_1 \setminus H_1 \rightarrow C$ be a bijection. Then set $K_2 = C \cup H_2$ and consider the well-defined map $\psi: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ given by $\psi(x) = \varphi(x)$ if $x \in H_1$ and $\psi(x) = f(x)$ if $x \in K_1 \setminus H_1$. The map ψ is surjective and, as $C \cap H_2 = \emptyset$, it is also injective. Now, define the ring operations on K_2 as inherited from K_1 via ψ :

$$\forall x, y \in K_2, \quad x \cdot y = \psi(\psi^{-1}(x) \cdot \psi^{-1}(y)), \quad x + y = \psi(\psi^{-1}(x) + \psi^{-1}(y)).$$

Then K_2 is isomorphic to K_1 via ψ and, in particular, K_2 is a skew field containing H_2 .

It remains to show that K_2/H_2 is Galois of group G . To that end, note that the isomorphism $\psi: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$, whose restriction to H_1 equals φ , induces an isomorphism $\phi: \text{Aut}(K_1/H_1) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K_2/H_2)$ (namely, $\phi(\sigma) = \psi \circ \sigma \circ \psi^{-1}$ for every $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K_1/H_1)$). Finally, if x is any element of K_2 such that $\sigma(x) = x$ for every $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K_2/H_2)$, then we have $\tau(\psi^{-1}(x)) = \psi^{-1}(x)$ for every $\tau \in \text{Aut}(K_1/H_1)$. As K_1/H_1 is Galois, we then have $\psi^{-1}(x) \in H_1$, and so $x \in H_2$, thus showing that K_2/H_2 is Galois. This concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Corollary 2.4, we have $H(X) \cong H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where n denotes the dimension of H over k . Moreover, by Proposition 2.2, the center of $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$ equals $k(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$ and the dimension of $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$ over $k(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$ is finite.

³which asserts that, given two sets A and B , there exists a set C such that $A \cap C = \emptyset$ and $|C| = |B|$ (see, e.g., [Vau95, page 31]).

Finally, $k(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$ contains an ample field. Hence, by [DL19, Théorème B], the Inverse Galois Problem has an affirmative answer over the skew field $(H_c(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}))_c(t_n)$, that is, over $H_c(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ by Proposition 2.1. It then remains to apply Proposition 3.1 to get that the Inverse Galois Problem also has an affirmative answer over $H(X)$, thus concluding the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. Similarly, we have this result, which follows from [DL19, Proposition 12] as Theorem 1.1 follows from [DL19, Théorème B]:

Let G be a finite group and H a skew field of finite dimension n over its center k . In each of the following cases, G occurs as the Galois group of a Galois extension of $H(X)$:

- (1) G is abelian and k is infinite,
- (2) $G = S_m$ ($m \geq 3$) and k is infinite,
- (3) $G = A_m$ ($m \geq 4$) and k has characteristic zero,
- (4) G is solvable, $n \geq 2$, and k has positive characteristic.

REFERENCES

- [AP19] Gil Alon and Elad Paran. A quaternionic Nullstellensatz. *Manuscript*, 2019. arXiv:1911.10595.
- [BSF13] Lior Bary-Soroker and Arno Fehm. Open problems in the theory of ample fields. In *Geometric and differential Galois theories*, volume 27 of *Sémin. Congr.*, pages 1–11. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2013.
- [Coh95] Paul Moritz Cohn. *Skew fields. Theory of general division rings*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 57. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. xvi + 500 pp.
- [DL19] Bruno Deschamps and François Legrand. Le problème inverse de Galois sur les corps des fractions tordus à indéterminée centrale. (French). 2019. To appear in *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2019.106240>.
- [GM65] Basil Gordon and Theodore S. Motzkin. On the zeros of polynomials over division rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 116:218–226, 1965.
- [GW04] Kenneth R. Goodearl and Jr. Warfield, Robert Breckenridge. *An Introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 61. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. Second edition. xxiv+344 pp.
- [Jar11] Moshe Jarden. *Algebraic patching*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. xxiv + 290 pp.
- [Ore33] Oystein Ore. Theory of non-commutative polynomials. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 34(3):480–508, 1933.
- [Pop96] Florian Pop. Embedding problems over large fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 144(1):1–34, 1996.
- [Pop14] Florian Pop. Little survey on large fields - old & new. In *Valuation theory in interaction*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 432–463. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2014.
- [Vau95] Robert L. Vaught. *Set theory. An introduction*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1995. Second edition. x+167 pp.
- [Wil14] Dariusz M. Wilczynski. On the fundamental theorem of algebra for polynomial equations over real composition algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 218:1195–1205, 2014.

E-mail address: gilal@openu.ac.il

E-mail address: francois.legrand@tu-dresden.de

E-mail address: paran@openu.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, THE OPEN UNIVERSITY OF ISRAEL, RA'ANANA 4353701, ISRAEL

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRA, FACHRICHTUNG MATHEMATIK, TU DRESDEN, 01062 DRESDEN, GERMANY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, THE OPEN UNIVERSITY OF ISRAEL, RA'ANANA 4353701, ISRAEL