

SHARPER BOUNDS FOR THE CHEBYSHEV FUNCTION $\theta(x)$

SAMUEL BROADBENT, HABIBA KADIRI, ALLYSA LUMLEY, NATHAN NG, KIRSTEN WILK

ABSTRACT. In this article, we provide explicit bounds for the prime counting functions $\theta(x)$ for all ranges of x . The bounds for the error term for $\theta(x) - x$ are of the shape εx and $\frac{c_k x}{(\log x)^k}$, for $k = 1, \dots, 5$. Tables of values for ε and c_k are provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **History.** In 1852 Chebyshev [5] proved that if x is large enough, then

$$0.9212 \frac{x}{\log x} \leq \pi(x) \leq 1.1056 \frac{x}{\log x} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\pi(x)$ denotes the number of primes less than or equal to x . It was the first major step towards the prime number theorem. He introduced what are now referred to as the Chebyshev functions:

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \sum_{p^k \leq x, k \geq 1} \log p,$$

and he proved that for all $x \geq 30$

$$Ax - \frac{5}{2} \log x - 1 < \psi(x) < \frac{6}{5}Ax + \frac{5}{4 \log 6}(\log x)^2 + \frac{5}{4} \log x + 1,$$

and

$$Ax - \frac{12}{5}Ax^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{5}{8 \log 6}(\log x)^2 - \frac{15}{4} \log x - 3 < \theta(x) < \frac{6}{5}Ax - Ax^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{5}{4 \log 6}(\log x)^2 + \frac{5}{2} \log x + 2$$

where $A = \log(2^{\frac{1}{2}} 3^{\frac{1}{3}} 5^{\frac{1}{5}} 30^{-\frac{1}{30}}) = 0.9212 \dots$ and $\frac{6}{5}A = 1.1055 \dots$ ¹.

As a consequence, there exists $x_0 > 0$ such that

$$0.9212x \leq \psi(x) \leq 1.1056x \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_0$$

and that there exists $x_1 > 0$ such that

$$0.9212x \leq \theta(x) \leq 1.1056x \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_1.$$

Such bounds are now known as Chebyshev bounds. Over the years, many other elementary arguments have yielded improved bounds:

Author	Bounds for $\psi(x)/x$			Bounds for $\theta(x)/x$		
	upper	lower	range	upper	lower	range
Erdős (1932) [16]	1.38629	-	$x > 0$	-	-	-
Hanson (1972) [22]	-	-	-	1.09861	-	$x > 0$
Grimson & Hanson (1977) [20]	1.09861	-	$x > 0$	1.0508	-	$x > 0$
Deshouillers (1977) [10]	1.07715	-	$x > 0$	-	-	-
	-	0.92129	$x \geq 59$	-	-	-

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11N05, 11M06, 11M26.

Key words and phrases. prime number theorem, $\psi(x)$, $\theta(x)$, explicit formula, zeros of Riemann zeta function.

¹There is a typo in the definition of A in [5] on p. 376. We have given a corrected definition of A .

The Prime Number Theorem, as proven independently by de la Vallée Poussin [51] and Hadamard [21] in 1896, states that the number of primes up to x satisfies

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

It can also be easily reformulated as

$$\psi(x) \sim x \text{ and } \theta(x) \sim x \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The essence of the proof as suggested by Riemann is to relate $\psi(x)$ to the zeta function $\zeta(s)$. This allows one to use the properties of the zeros of ζ , and in particular their location in the complex plane. For instance, Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin proved that $\zeta(s)$ does not vanish on the vertical 1-line. By refining this result, de la Vallée Poussin proved in 1899 that the error term in estimating $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$ (and $\theta(x) - x$ and $\psi(x) - x$) is asymptotically of size $x \exp(-c\sqrt{\log x})$. Between 1941 and 1976, Rosser and Schoenfeld (together or separately) developed a program of determining explicit results for the Chebyshev functions, as well as various finite sums and products over primes, including Mertens sums, and the size of the n^{th} prime. We provide a sample of the numerous inequalities they established:

$$\begin{aligned} 0.980x &\leq \theta(x) \leq 1.019x, \text{ for all } x \geq e^{20} && [40, \text{Equation (12)}], \\ \frac{x}{\log x} &< \pi(x) < 1.25506 \frac{x}{\log x}, \text{ for all } x \geq 17 && [42, \text{Corollary 1}], \\ |\psi(x) - x|, |\theta(x) - x| &< x(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\log x}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \text{ for all } x \geq 2 && [42, \text{Theorem 11}], \\ |\psi(x) - x|, |\theta(x) - x| &< 0.0242269 \frac{x}{\log x}, \text{ for all } x \geq 10^8 && [43, \text{Theorem 7}] \end{aligned}$$

with $R = 17.51 \dots$. Here is a non-exhaustive list that the interested reader can consult: Axler [1], Büthe [4], Costa-Pereira [7], Dusart [15], Faber and Kadiri [17], and Trudgian [50].

1.2. Main Theorem. Our goal is to give a comprehensive and complete description of how to obtain an explicit bound for the error term for $\theta(x)$ of the form $\frac{x}{(\log x)^k}$, no matter the size of x and for values of k that are most widely used.

Theorem 1. *Let k be an integer with $0 \leq k \leq 5$. For any fixed $X_0 \geq 1$, there exists $m_k > 0$ such that, for all $x \geq X_0$*

$$(1.1) \quad x \left(1 - \frac{m_k}{(\log x)^k} \right) \leq \theta(x).$$

For any fixed $X_1 \geq 1$, there exists $M_k > 0$ such that, for all $x \geq X_1$

$$(1.2) \quad \theta(x) \leq x \left(1 + \frac{M_k}{(\log x)^k} \right).$$

In the case $k = 0$ and $X_0, X_1 \geq e^{20}$, we have

$$m_0 = \varepsilon(\log X_0) + 1.03883(X_0^{-1/2} + X_0^{-2/3} + X_0^{-4/5}) \quad \text{and} \quad M_0 = \varepsilon(\log X_1).$$

See Table 14 for values of m_0 and M_0 , and Table 15 for values of m_k and M_k , for $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

Here $\varepsilon(b)$ is a positive constant associated to $\psi(x)$, defined in the next theorem. The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 3. In addition, the reader will find there the first formal algorithm to automatically deduce new bounds for $\theta(x)$ every time new bounds are generated for $\psi(x)$. In particular, we describe how the values for m_k and M_k depend on $\varepsilon(b)$. We have also produced extended versions of Tables 8 - 15 in [2] which will be made available on our personal webpages and on the arXiv.

Büthe's [4, Theorem 2, (1.7)] implies that, for all $x < 10^{19}$, $\theta(x) < x$, giving $M_k = 0$ for all k and x in this range. In addition we did direct calculations for values of x up to $7 \cdot 10^{11}$ (see Table 13). Thus Theorem 2 gives relevant values for m_k, M_k for $X_0, X_1 > 7 \cdot 10^{11} > e^{27}$ as listed in Table 15. For more extensive calculations of m_k, M_k , we refer the reader to Tables in [2]. For instance, we obtain from [2, Table 14 and Table 15] respectively that, for all $x \geq 10^{19}$,

$$1.9338 \cdot 10^{-8} < \frac{\theta(x) - x}{x} < 1.9667 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ and}$$

$$\left| \frac{\theta(x) - x}{x} \right| < \frac{3.79 \cdot 10^{-5}}{(\log x)^2}.$$

The following result gives explicit bounds for $\psi(x)$ and is based on the articles [3], [4] and [37].

Theorem 2 (Büthe, Platt-Trudgian). *Let $b > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon(b)$ such that*

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \frac{\psi(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq \varepsilon(b), \text{ for all } x \geq e^b.$$

We use [3, Theorem 2], [4, Theorem 1] and [37, Theorem 1] to compute a more exhaustive list of values for $\varepsilon(b)$ which we need for our calculations of m_k, M_k . In particular details of the calculations of $\varepsilon(b)$ are provided in Appendix A and tables of values are given in Table 8 of Appendix B. The table lists the best values obtained using either of these techniques. Büthe's uses a smoothing technique as introduced in [17] with a weight arising from the Logan function, while Platt and Trudgian use a truncated Perron's formula combined with the zero density obtained in [25]. Büthe's technique leads to better bounds when $x < e^{2300}$ while Platt and Trudgian's works better for larger values of x . For instance for all $x \geq e^{3000}$, the method from [37] gives $\varepsilon(b) = 4.60 \cdot 10^{-14}$, and for all $x \geq e^{46}$, method from [4] gives $\varepsilon(b) = 6.95 \cdot 10^{-9}$. Improved estimates for $\psi(x)$ may be used to derive new bounds for $\theta(x)$. More precisely, we have

$$|\theta(x) - x| \leq |\psi(x) - x| + \psi(x) - \theta(x),$$

where $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$ introduces an error term of size \sqrt{x} . We study this term in Section 2, and Theorem 5 provides a refinement to [43] and [15]. We give just below a non-exhaustive historical recollection of bounds of the type (1.1) and (1.2). The values in Tables 1-5 make use of explicit formula techniques.

TABLE 1. Case $k = 0$

Author	m_0	X_0	M_0	X_1
Rosser (1941) [40]	-	-	0.0376	1
	0.0393	$e^{13.8}$	0.0376	$e^{13.8}$
	0.0328	e^{15}	0.0321	e^{15}
	0.02	e^{20}	0.0199	e^{20}
Rosser & Schoenfeld (1962) [42]	0.16	101	-	-
	0.05	1 427	-	-
	0.02	7 481	-	-
	-	-	0.01624	1
Rosser & Schoenfeld (1975) [43]	0.015	11 927	-	-
	0.010	32 057	-	-
	0.005	89 387	-	-
	0.002	487 381	-	-
	0.001316	1 319 007	-	-
	-	-	0.001102	1
Schoenfeld (1976) [46]	0.001303	1 155 901	-	-
	-	-	0.001093	1
Platt & Trudgian (2016) [36]	-	-	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	0

In the case $k = 0$ and $X_0 = 1$ of Theorem 1 we are able to reduce the bound $1.75 \cdot 10^{-7}$ due to Platt and Trudgian ([36], see Table 1) to $1.94 \cdot 10^{-8}$:

Corollary 2.1. *We have*

$$\theta(x) \leq (1 + 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8})x \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0$$

Proof. We combine together the fact that $\theta(x) < x$ for $0 < x \leq 10^{19}$ ([4, Theorem 2, (1.7)]) and that $M_0 = \varepsilon(19 \log 10) = 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}$ (from Table 8 with $k = 0$ and $X_1 = 10^{19}$). \square

TABLE 2. Case $k = 1$

Author	m_1	X_0	M_1	X_1
Rosser (1941) [40]	2.85	2	2.85	2
	0.96	e^{2000}	0.96	e^{2000}
Rosser & Schoenfeld (1962) [42]	0.50	563	0.50	1
	0.47	569	0.47	569
Rosser & Schoenfeld (1975) [43]	0.02500	678 407	0.02500	678 407
	0.02424	758 699	0.02424	525 752
Schoenfeld (1976) [46]	0.02400	758 711	0.02400	758 711
Dusart (1999) [12]	$6.788 \cdot 10^{-3}$	10 544 111	$6.788 \cdot 10^{-3}$	10 544 111
Dusart (2010) [13, Table 6.4-6.5]	$3.888 \cdot 10^{-5}$	e^{35}	$3.888 \cdot 10^{-5}$	e^{35}

In the case $k = 1$ and $X_0 = e^{35} \approx 1.586 \cdot 10^{15}$ of Theorem 1, we reduce the bound $3.888 \cdot 10^{-5}$ due to Dusart ([13], see Table 2) to $1.0778 \cdot 10^{-6}$.

TABLE 3. Case $k = 2$

Author	m_2	X_0	M_2	X_1
Rosser and Schoenfeld (1975) [43]	8.6853	1	8.6853	1
Schoenfeld (1976) [46]	8.0720	1	8.0720	1
Dusart (1999) [12]	0.2	3 594 641	0.2	3 594 641
Dusart (2010) [13, Table 6.4-6.5]	$0.140 \cdot 10^{-3}$	e^{35}	$0.140 \cdot 10^{-3}$	e^{35}
Trudgian (2016) [50, Lemma 1]	$0.450 \cdot 10^{-3}$	e^{35}	$0.450 \cdot 10^{-3}$	e^{35}

Note that in the case $k = 2$ and $X_0 = X_1 = e^{35}$, Dusart’s calculation [13] of $0.140 \cdot 10^{-3}$ was based on assuming Wedenevski-Gourdon’s verification of the Riemann Hypothesis up to height 10^{13} [52] while Trudgian’s [50] $0.450 \cdot 10^{-3}$ is “worse” as based on Platt’s rigorous verification at the lower height of $3 \cdot 10^{10}$ [34]. We improve both of these results by obtaining $m_2 = M_2 = 5.9771 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for $X_0 = X_1 = e^{35}$.

TABLE 4. Case $k = 3$

Author	m_3	X_0	M_3	X_1
Rosser and Schoenfeld (1975) [43]	11 762	1	11 762	1
Schoenfeld (1976) [46]	10 644	1	10 644	1
Dusart (1999) [12]	515	1	515	1
Dusart (2010) [13, Table 6.4-6.5]	0.35	e^{30}	0.35	e^{30}

In the case $k = 3$ and $X_0 = X_1 = e^{30}$ of Theorem 1, we obtain $m_3 = M_3 = 0.0244$. Observe that this improves Dusart’s [13] bound of 0.35 (see Table 4 below). In addition, we recover Axler’s [1, Theorem 1.1]: for $x \geq 19\,035\,709\,163 > e^{23}$, $m_3 = 0.15$ and for $x \geq 1$, $M_3 = 0.15$. In particular, 0.15 is attained at the prime $p_{841\,508\,302} = 19\,035\,709\,163$.

TABLE 5. Case $k = 4$

Author	m_4	X_0	M_4	X_1
Rosser and Schoenfeld (1975) [43]	$1.8559 \cdot 10^7$	1	$1.8559 \cdot 10^7$	1
Schoenfeld (1976) [46]	16 570 000	1	16 570 000	1
Dusart (1999) [12]	1 717 433	1	1 717 433	1
Dusart (2010) [13, Tables 6.4-6.5]	1 300	1	1 300	1

In the case $k = 4$ and $X_0 = X_1 = 1$ of Theorem 1, we have $m_4 = M_4 = 151.3$: as noticed by Dusart [15], the value $151.2235\dots$ is a max attained at the prime 1 423. For $X_0 = X_1 = 7 \cdot 10^{11}$, we find $m_4 = M_4 = 57.184$.

1.3. The conjectural size of $\theta(x)$. In this article, we have attempted to establish the best-known Chebyshev-type bounds for $\theta(x)$. Note that de la Vallée Poussin’s proof of the prime number theorem [51] actually yields $\theta(x) = x + O(x \exp(-c(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}))$ for some $c > 0$. Furthermore, Platt and Trudgian [37] have established for $x_0 \geq 1000$, there exist positive constants A, B, C such that for all $x \geq e^{x_0}$

$$(1.4) \quad |\theta(x) - x| \leq A \left(\frac{\log x}{R}\right)^B \exp\left(-C \left(\frac{\log x}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Recently, Büthe [3] has shown that under partial RH (true when $1 \leq |\gamma| \leq T$), then

$$(1.5) \quad |\theta(x) - x| \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{x} (\log x)^2$$

for all $x \geq 599$ satisfying $4.92 \left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq T$. For instance, for Platt's $T = 3.061 \cdot 10^{10}$, (1.5) holds for $599 \leq x \leq 1.89 \cdot 10^{21}$. Conditionally on RH being true, Schoenfeld [46, Theorem 10] has shown that (1.5) holds for all $x \geq 599$. These are effective versions of a theorem of von Koch [26]. To date, the constant $\frac{1}{8\pi}$ has not been improved. It may be asked, what is the true size of the error term on the right hand side of (1.5). The explicit formula of Riemann tells us that on the Riemann hypothesis

$$\frac{\theta(x) - x}{\sqrt{x}} = -1 - 2\Re\left(\sum_{\gamma>0} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma}\right) + \dots$$

where $\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$ ranges through the non-trivial zeros of zeta. It is known under the Linear Independence Hypothesis (LI)² that the distribution of values of $(\theta(x) - x)/\sqrt{x}$ is the same as that of the random variable $X = 2\Re\left(\sum_{\gamma>0} \frac{X_\gamma}{|\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma|}\right)$ where the X_γ are independent random variables, uniformly distributed on the unit circle. By giving sharp estimates for the probability of the tail of X , it may be shown that $\exp(-c_2\sqrt{V}e^{\sqrt{2\pi V}}) \leq P(x \geq V) \leq \exp(-c_1\sqrt{V}e^{\sqrt{2\pi V}})$, for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. This suggests that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(x) - x}{\sqrt{x}(\log \log x)^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(x) - x}{\sqrt{x}(\log \log x)^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}.$$

This conjecture in the case of $\psi(x)$ is due to Montgomery [30].

2. BOUNDING $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$.

In this section we give bounds for $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$ of the shape

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(x) - \theta(x) \leq a_1 x^{\frac{1}{2}} + a_2 x^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_0$$

where a_1 and a_2 depend on x_0 . Rosser and Schoenfeld [43, Theorem 6] established this with $a_1 = 1.001102$, $a_2 = 3$, and $x_0 = 1$. Recently, Dusart [15, Corollary 4.5] improved this bound to $a_1 = 1 + 1.47 \cdot 10^{-7}$ and $a_2 = 1.78$ for $x_0 = 1$. As we apply such a bound to various other values of x_0 , we are able to reduce the values of a_1 and a_2 .

Proposition 3. *Let $x_0 \geq 2^9$. Let $\alpha > 0$ exist such that $\theta(x) \leq (1 + \alpha)x$ for $x > 0$. Then for $x \geq x_0$,*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=3}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \rfloor} \theta(x^{\frac{1}{k}}) \leq \eta x^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \eta = \eta(x_0) = (1 + \alpha) \max(f(x_0), f(2^{\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1}))$$

with

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) := \sum_{k=3}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \rfloor} x^{\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{3}}.$$

²LI is the conjecture that the positive ordinates of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. Let $x \geq x_0 \geq 2^9$. Bounding each $\theta(x^{\frac{1}{k}})$ term of (2.2) by $(1 + \alpha)x^{\frac{1}{k}}$ yields

$$\frac{\psi(x) - \theta(x) - \theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})}{x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq (1 + \alpha) \sum_{k=3}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \rfloor} x^{\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{3}}.$$

Next, we divide the interval $[x_0, \infty)$ as follows. If x_0 is not a power of 2, then $2^{\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1}$ is the least power of 2 in $[x_0, \infty)$. Thus, we have

$$[x_0, \infty) = [x_0, 2^{\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1}) \cup \bigcup_{n=\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} [2^n, 2^{n+1}).$$

Observe that $f(x)$ decreases on $[2^n, 2^{n+1})$ and thus $f(x) \leq f(2^n)$ for every $x \in [2^n, 2^{n+1})$. Note that $f(2^n) = 1 + u_n$ where $u_n = \sum_{k=4}^n 2^{\frac{n+1}{k} - \frac{n+1}{3}}$. We now show that $u_{n+1} < u_n$ for $n \geq 9$. We have

$$(2.5) \quad u_{n+1} - u_n = \sum_{k=4}^n 2^{\frac{n+1}{k} - \frac{n+1}{3}} (1 - 2^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{k}}) + 2^{1 - \frac{n+1}{3}} = 2^{-\frac{n+1}{3}} \left(2 - \sum_{k=4}^n 2^{\frac{n+1}{k}} (2^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{k}} - 1) \right).$$

Observe that if $n \geq 20$, then

$$\sum_{k=4}^n 2^{\frac{n+1}{k}} (2^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{k}} - 1) > 2^{\frac{n+1}{4}} (2^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}} - 1) \geq 2^{\frac{21}{4}} (2^{\frac{1}{12}} - 1) > 2$$

and it follows that $u_{n+1} - u_n < 0$ for $n \geq 20$. Finally, a numerical calculation verifies that the right hand side of (2.5) is negative for $9 \leq n \leq 19$. Therefore it follows that $f(2^n) > f(2^{n+1})$ for $n \geq 9$. Therefore $f(x) \leq f(2^{\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1})$ on $[2^{\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1}, \infty)$. Similarly, we see that $f(x) \leq f(x_0)$ for $x \in [x_0, 2^{\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1})$, since $f(x)$ decreases on $[2^{\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor}, 2^{\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1})$. In summary, $f(x) \leq \max(f(x_0), f(2^{\lfloor \frac{\log x_0}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1}))$. \square

We now apply the case where $x_0 = e^b$ to obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $b \geq 7$. Assume $x \geq e^b$. Then we have*

$$\psi(x) - \theta(x) - \theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \eta x^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \eta = (1 + 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}) \max \left(f(e^b), f(2^{\lfloor \frac{b}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1}) \right)$$

and f is defined by (2.4).

Proof. We apply Proposition 3 with $\alpha = 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}$ from Corollary 2.1 and $x_0 = e^b$ where we observe that $x_0 = e^b \geq e^7 > 2^9$. \square

The next result is a general version of [43, Theorem 6, eq (5.3)] and [15, Corollary 4.5]. The two inputs we take are a Chebyshev Bias constant x_1 such that $\theta(x) < x$ for $x \leq x_1$ and a bound for $|\psi(x) - x|$ for $x \geq y_0$ for every $y_0 > 0$. These are used in conjunction with Corollary 3.1 to prove Theorem 5.

Proposition 4. *Let $b \geq 7$ and assume that for fixed b , there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon(b)$ such that*

$$(2.7) \quad |\psi(x) - x| \leq \varepsilon(b)x \text{ for all } x \geq e^b.$$

Assume there exists $x_1 \geq e^7$ such that

$$\theta(x) < x \text{ for all } x \leq x_1.$$

If $b \leq 2 \log x_1$, then we have

$$(2.8) \quad \theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) < (1 + \varepsilon(\log x_1))x^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } x \geq e^b.$$

If $b > 2 \log x_1$, then we have

$$\theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) < (1 + \varepsilon(b/2))x^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } x \geq e^b.$$

Proof. We bound $\theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})$ by cases depending on the range of x we are considering.

Case 1: $e^b \leq x_1^2$. If $e^b \leq x \leq x_1^2$, then $x^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x_1$, and thus

$$\theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) < x^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } e^b \leq x \leq x_1^2.$$

On the other hand, if $x^{\frac{1}{2}} > x_1 = e^{\log x_1}$, then we have by (2.7)

$$\theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \psi(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon(\log x_1))x^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

since $\log x_1 \geq 7$. The last two inequalities for $\theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})$ combine to establish (2.8).

Case 2: $e^b > x_1^2$. As in the above subcase, we have for $x \geq e^b$

$$\theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \psi(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon(b/2))x^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

since $x^{\frac{1}{2}} > e^{\frac{b}{2}} > x_1 \geq e^7$. □

Using the previous general results we obtain the following explicit bounds for $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$ of the shape (2.1). This generalizes Rosser and Schoenfeld's [43, Theorem 6] and Dusart's [15, Corollary 4.5] results.

Theorem 5. *Let $\alpha > 0$ exist such that*

$$\theta(x) \leq (1 + \alpha)x \text{ for all } x > 0.$$

Assume for every $b \geq 7$ there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon(b)$ such that

$$\psi(x) - x \leq \varepsilon(b)x \text{ for all } x \geq e^b.$$

Assume there exists $x_1 \geq e^7$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad \theta(x) < x \text{ for } x \leq x_1.$$

Let $b \geq 7$. Then, for all $x \geq e^b$ we have

$$\psi(x) - \theta(x) < a_1 x^{\frac{1}{2}} + a_2 x^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

where

$$a_1 = \begin{cases} 1 + \varepsilon(\log x_1) & \text{if } b \leq 2 \log x_1, \\ 1 + \varepsilon(b/2) & \text{if } b > 2 \log x_1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$a_2 = (1 + \alpha) \max \left(f(e^b), f(2^{\lfloor \frac{b}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1}) \right).$$

Proof. We have $\psi(x) - \theta(x) = \theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{k=3}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \rfloor} \theta(x^{\frac{1}{k}})$. For any $b \geq 7$, setting $x_0 = e^b$ in Proposition 4, we bound $\sum_{k=3}^{\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \rfloor} \theta(x^{\frac{1}{k}})$ by $\eta x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ as defined in (2.3). We bound $\theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}})$ with Proposition 4 by taking either $a_1 = 1 + \varepsilon(\log x_1)$ for $b \leq 2 \log x_1$ or $a_1 = 1 + \varepsilon(b/2)$ for $b > 2 \log x_1$. □

Using the best known values for x_1 , α and $\varepsilon(b)$, we have the following Corollary:

Corollary 5.1. *Let $b \geq 7$. Then for all $x \geq e^b$ we have*

$$(2.10) \quad \psi(x) - \theta(x) < a_1 x^{\frac{1}{2}} + a_2 x^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad a_1 = a_1(b) = \begin{cases} 1 + 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8} & \text{if } b \leq 38 \log 10, \\ 1 + \varepsilon(b/2) & \text{if } b > 38 \log 10, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.12) \quad a_2 = a_2(b) = (1 + 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}) \max\left(f(e^b), f(2^{\lfloor \frac{b}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1})\right),$$

where f is defined by (2.4) and values for $\varepsilon(b/2)$ are from Table 8.

Proof. We apply Theorem 5 with $x_0 = e^b$ and $\alpha = 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}$ from Corollary 2.1. Thus we get $a_2 = (1 + 1.15177 \cdot 10^{-8}) \max\left(f(e^b), f(2^{\lfloor \frac{b}{\log 2} \rfloor + 1})\right)$. In Proposition 4, we take $x_1 = 10^{19}$ from the work of Büthe [4, Equation (1.7)], and get $\varepsilon(\log x_1) = 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}$ from Table 8. Thus for $b \leq 38 \log 10$ we have $a_1 = 1 + 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}$ and for $b > 38 \log 10$ we take $\varepsilon(b/2)$ from Table 8. In the case that $b/2$ is not included in the table, we bound $b/2$ by the greatest value smaller than $b/2$. \square

We have the following values for a_2 .

b	20	25	30	35	40	43	50	
a_2	1.4263	1.2196	1.1211	1.07086	1.04320	1.03253	1.01718	
b	100		150		200		300	
a_2	$1 + 2.421 \cdot 10^{-4}$		$1 + 3.749 \cdot 10^{-6}$		$1 + 7.712 \cdot 10^{-8}$		$1 + 2.024 \cdot 10^{-8}$	

3. BOUNDS FOR $\theta(x) - x$ OF THE FORM $\frac{x}{(\log x)^k}$

In this section we prove Theorem 1, our main theorem for giving estimates for $\theta(x) - x$ of size $\frac{x}{(\log x)^k}$. More precisely, we prove that for any $k = 0, \dots, 5$ and any $X_0, X_1 \geq 1$, there exists $m_k, M_k > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad x \left(1 - \frac{m_k}{(\log x)^k}\right) \leq \theta(x) \quad \text{for all } x \geq X_0$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \theta(x) \leq x \left(1 + \frac{M_k}{(\log x)^k}\right) \quad \text{for all } x \geq X_1.$$

The values for X_0, X_1, m_k , and M_k may be found in Table 14 for $k = 0$, and in Table 15 for $k = 1, \dots, 5$. Theorem 1 is a generalization of Axler's [1, Theorem 1]. We separate the cases $k = 0$ and $k = 1, \dots, 5$ (with $k = 0$ being treated at the end of this section). For $k = 1, \dots, 5$, we subdivide the interval $[1, \infty)$ (for the range of x) as follows:

$$[1, \infty) = [1, e^{J_0}) \cup [e^{J_0}, e^J) \cup [e^J, e^K) \cup [e^K, \infty).$$

We now explain how the values of J_0, J and K are chosen. For shorthand, we respectively call $[1, e^J)$, $[e^J, e^K)$, and $[e^K, \infty)$ the “small”, “middle”, and “large” ranges of x .

- In the large range of x , we apply bounds for $\theta(x)$ of the shape $x(\log x)^c \exp(-C\sqrt{\log x})$.
- In the middle range of x , we subdivide the interval $[e^J, e^K)$ into smaller consecutive intervals $[e^b, e^{b'})$. In each such subinterval, we make use of bounds for $\psi(x)$ of the shape $|\psi(x) - x| \leq \varepsilon x$ and for the difference $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$ (established respectively in Appendix A and Section 2). By numerical experimentation we choose

$$K = 25\,000.$$

- In the small range of x , upper bounds for $\theta(x)$ are the result of direct calculations, namely that $\theta(x) < x$ is known for all $x < e^J$. Here Bütthe's [4, Theorem 2] allows us to take

$$J = 19 \log 10 = 43.74\dots$$

- In the small range of x , we subdivide $[1, e^J)$ at e^{J_0} to obtain lower bounds for $\theta(x)$:
 - We do direct calculations up to e^{J_0} where we use

$$J_0 = \log(7 \cdot 10^{11}).$$

- For $x \in [e^{J_0}, e^J)$ we use a little known comparison of $\psi(x)$ with $\theta(x)$ due to Costa Pereira [6], together with numerical bounds for $(\psi(x) - x)/\sqrt{x}$, computed by Bütthe [4].

3.1. Upper and lower bounds for $\theta(x)$ in the large range $x \geq e^K$. The following lemma derives a bound of the form $x/(\log x)^k$ for $\theta(x) - x$ from the classical de la Vallée Poussin-Hadamard bound.

Lemma 6. *Suppose there exists $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad |\theta(x) - x| \leq c_1 x (\log x)^{c_2} \exp(-c_3 (\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \text{ for all } x \geq c_4.$$

Let $k > 0$ and let $b \geq \max(\log c_4, \log(\frac{4(c_2+k)^2}{c_3^2}))$. Then for all $x \geq e^b$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad |\theta(x) - x| \leq \frac{A_k(b)x}{(\log x)^k},$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad A_k(b) = c_1 \cdot b^{c_2+k} e^{-c_3 \sqrt{b}}.$$

Proof. We denote $g(x) = (\log x)^{c_2+k} \exp(-c_3 (\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}})$. By (3.3), $|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{c_1 g(x)x}{(\log x)^k}$ for all $x \geq c_4$. It suffices to bound g : by calculus, $g(x)$ decreases when $x \geq \frac{4(c_2+k)^2}{c_3^2}$. Therefore $|\theta(x) - x| \leq \frac{c_1 g(e^b)x}{(\log x)^k}$. Note that $c_1 g(e^b) = A_k(b)$ and the condition on b follows from the conditions $e^b \geq c_4$ and $e^b \geq \frac{4(c_2+k)^2}{c_3^2}$. □

The current best explicit version of (3.3) is due to Platt and Trudgian. Details are given in Corollary 14.1 in Appendix A.

Theorem 7. [37, Theorem 1] *Let $x_0 \geq 1000$ and let R be a formal constant such that there exists a zero-free region of the form $\Re(s) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R \log \frac{1}{|\Im(s)|}}$ for $|\Im(s)| \geq 2$. There exist positive constants (A, B, C) such that for all $x \geq e^{x_0}$*

$$|\theta(x) - x| < Ax \left(\frac{\log x}{R}\right)^B \exp\left(-C \left(\frac{\log x}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Using this we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 7.1. *Let $k > 0$, $x_0 \geq 1000$, and $b \geq \max(\log x_0, \log(4R(\frac{B+k}{C})^2))$. Then*

$$|\theta(x) - x| \leq \frac{\mathcal{A}_k(b)x}{(\log x)^k} \quad \text{for all } x \geq e^b$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{A}_k(b) = \frac{A}{R^B} \cdot b^{B+k} \cdot \exp\left(-C \sqrt{\frac{b}{R}}\right)$$

and $R = 5.573412$. Values for $\mathcal{A}_k(b)$ for $1 \leq k \leq 5$ are displayed in Table 9.

Proof. We apply Lemma 6 with $R = 5.573412$ (using [31]), and values from Theorem 7, namely $c_1 = \frac{A}{R^B}$, $c_2 = B$, $c_3 = \frac{C}{\sqrt{R}}$, and $c_4 = x_0$. We complete the proof by noticing

$$\frac{4(c_2 + k)^2}{c_3^2} = 4R \left(\frac{B + k}{C} \right)^2.$$

□

3.2. Upper and lower bounds for $\theta(x)$ in the middle range $e^J \leq x < e^K$. In this range we combine bounds for $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$ established in Corollary 5.1 with the current best known bounds for $\psi(x)$ as derived in Appendix A to produce a bound for $\theta(x)$. We begin with a general result.

Lemma 8. *Let $k = 1, \dots, 5$. Assume there exist a positive integer n , real numbers $a_\ell \geq 0$ for every $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and $x_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(3.7) \quad \psi(x) - \theta(x) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell x^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}} \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_0.$$

Let $b' > b \geq 2k$, $e^b \leq x_0$, and assume that there exists $\varepsilon(b) > 0$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad |\psi(x) - x| \leq \varepsilon(b)x \quad \text{for all } x \geq e^b.$$

Then we have

$$(3.9) \quad |\theta(x) - x| \leq \frac{B_k x}{(\log x)^k} \quad \text{for all } x \in [e^b, e^{b'}]$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad B_k = B_k(b, b') = \max_{x \in [e^b, e^{b'}]} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell (\log x)^k x^{-\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}} + \varepsilon(b) (\log x)^k \right).$$

Note that

$$(3.11) \quad B_k \leq \tilde{B}_k = \tilde{B}_k(b, b') = b^k \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell \exp\left(-\frac{\ell b}{\ell+1}\right) + \varepsilon(b)(b')^k.$$

Remark. Note that the value for B is slightly smaller than \tilde{B} . However, at times we make use of the weaker value given by (3.11).

Proof. By the triangle inequality and the non-negativity of $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$, we have

$$|\theta(x) - x| \leq \psi(x) - \theta(x) + |\psi(x) - x|.$$

Bounding these terms by (3.7) and (3.8), we have for $x \geq e^b$,

$$|\theta(x) - x| \leq \frac{x}{(\log x)^k} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell (\log x)^k x^{-\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}} + \varepsilon(b) (\log x)^k \right).$$

This immediately implies (3.9) holds with (3.10). Observe that since $x \geq e^b > e^{2k} \geq e^{\frac{k(\ell+1)}{\ell}}$, then each $a_\ell (\log x)^k x^{-\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}}$ decreases with x . On the other hand, $\varepsilon(b) (\log x)^k$ increases with x and thus we have the inequality (3.11). □

Corollary 8.1. *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$, and let b and b' be any consecutive entries of column 1 of Table 8 such that $b < b'$. i.e. we assume that there exists $\varepsilon(b) > 0$ such that*

$$|\psi(x) - x| \leq \varepsilon(b)x \quad \text{for all } x \in [e^b, e^{b'}].$$

Thus

$$(3.12) \quad |\theta(x) - x| \leq \frac{B_k(b, b')x}{(\log x)^k} \quad \text{for all } x \in [e^b, e^{b'}],$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad B_k(b, b') = a_1(b)b^k e^{-\frac{b}{2}} + a_2(b)b^k e^{-\frac{2b}{3}} + (b')^k \varepsilon(b),$$

and a_1, a_2 are defined in Corollary 5.1.

In addition, let b_0 be any entry in column 1 of Table 10. Then,

$$(3.14) \quad |\theta(x) - x| \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(b_0)x}{(\log x)^k} \quad \text{for all } x \in [e^{b_0}, e^K]$$

where K is the last entry in Column 1 of Table 10, and

$$(3.15) \quad \mathcal{B}_k(b_0) = \max_{\substack{b, b' \\ b_0 \leq b < b'}} B_k(b, b').$$

Values for $B_k(b, b')$ and $\mathcal{B}_k(b_0)$ are respectively displayed in Tables 10 and 11.

Proof. We apply Lemma 8 with $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $b_0 = b$, and $n = 2$ and obtain (3.13). For we take $B_k(b, b') = \tilde{B}_k(b, b', 2)$ with $a_1 = a_1(b)$ and $a_2 = a_2(b)$ as defined in (2.11) and (2.12) respectively. The inequality (3.14) follows from (3.12) together with the fact that $[e^{b_0}, e^K] = \bigcup_{b \in [b_0, K]} [e^b, e^{b'}]$. \square

Remark 1. Note that $B_k(b, b')$ is essentially $\varepsilon(b)(b')^k$ and thus any refinement on the other terms only brings minor improvements. For instance, Costa-Pereira's [6, Theorem 1] estimates for $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$ affects digits much further than those we display. For every $x > 0$,

$$(3.16) \quad \psi(x) - \psi(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \psi(x^{\frac{1}{3}}) - \psi(x^{\frac{1}{5}}) \leq \theta(x) \leq \psi(x) - \psi(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \psi(x^{\frac{1}{3}}) - \psi(x^{\frac{1}{7}}).$$

2. While we use the bound

$$B_k(b, b') \leq a_1(b)b^k e^{-\frac{b}{2}} + a_2(b)b^k e^{-\frac{2b}{3}} + \varepsilon(b)(b')^k,$$

for any given b and k , a more specific max in (3.13) can be computed exactly using calculus.

3.3. Upper bounds for $\theta(x)$ in the small range $x < e^J$. It has been proven that the first sign change of $x - \theta(x)$ occurs before $1.3972 \cdot 10^{316}$ [45, Lemma 9.4]. In fact, Bütthe [4, Theorem 2, (1.7)] has shown that

$$(3.17) \quad \theta(x) < x - 0.05\sqrt{x} \quad \text{for all } x \leq 10^{19}.$$

It follows that

$$(3.18) \quad \theta(x) - x \leq M_k \quad \text{for all } x \leq e^{J_0}, \quad \text{with } M_k = 0 \quad \text{and } J = 19 \log 10.$$

3.4. Lower bounds for $\theta(x)$ in the small range $e^{J_0} \leq x < e^J$. We provide here an improvement to Bütthe's [4, Lemma 1].

$$-c \leq \frac{x - \psi(x)}{\sqrt{x}} \leq C.$$

Lemma 9. *Let $1 \leq u < v$. Assume there exist $c = c_{u,v} > 0$ and $C = C_{u,v} > 0$ such that*

$$(3.19) \quad -c \leq \frac{x - \psi(x)}{\sqrt{x}} \leq C \quad \text{for every } x \in [u, v].$$

Assume that there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.20) \quad \psi(x) < c_0 x \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

If $u^2 < v$, then

$$(3.21) \quad \theta(x) \geq x - (C+1)x^{\frac{1}{2}} - c_0x^{\frac{1}{3}} - cx^{\frac{1}{4}} - c_0x^{\frac{1}{5}} \quad \text{for all } x \in [u^2, v].$$

Proof. Costa-Pereira [6, Theorem 1, Equation (1)] proved that

$$\psi(x) - \theta(x) \leq \psi(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \psi(x^{\frac{1}{3}}) + \psi(x^{\frac{1}{5}}) \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

Together with (3.20), it follows

$$\psi(x) - \theta(x) \leq \psi(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) + c_0x^{\frac{1}{3}} + c_0x^{\frac{1}{5}} \quad \text{for all } x \in [u, v].$$

This may be rewritten as

$$(3.22) \quad \theta(x) \geq x + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\psi(x) - x}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) - x^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}} - \psi(x^{\frac{1}{2}})}{x^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right) - c_0x^{\frac{1}{3}} - c_0x^{\frac{1}{5}}.$$

We conclude using (3.19): $\frac{\psi(x)-x}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq -C$ and $\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}-\psi(x^{\frac{1}{2}})}{x^{\frac{1}{4}}} \geq -c$ for every $x \in [u^2, v]$. \square

Rosser and Schoenfeld [42, Theorem 12] proved (3.20) with

$$(3.23) \quad c_0 = 1.03883.$$

Note that this value cannot be improved since the bound (3.20) is achieved for $x = 113$. We shall use this value throughout the article. Recently, Bütke has proven many bounds like (3.19). From [4, Equation (6.2), Table 1], we have:

u	v	c	C
100	$5 \cdot 10^{10}$	0.8	0.81
100	$32 \cdot 10^{12}$	0.88	0.86
100	10^{19}	0.94	0.94

In the following corollary, we restrict x to $[e^b, v]$, a subset of $[u^2, v]$.

Corollary 9.1. *Let $(v, c, C) \in \{(5 \cdot 10^{10}, 0.8, 0.81), (32 \cdot 10^{12}, 0.88, 0.86), (10^{19}, 0.94, 0.94)\}$. Let $k \geq 0$ and let b satisfy $\max(10^4, e^{2k}) \leq e^b \leq v$. Then*

$$(3.24) \quad \theta(x) \geq x - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{b,k}x}{(\log x)^k} \quad \text{for all } x \in [e^b, v]$$

where

$$(3.25) \quad \mathcal{C}_{b,k} = b^k((C+1)e^{-b/2} + c_0e^{-2b/3} + ce^{-3b/4} + c_0e^{-4b/5}),$$

and where c_0 is defined in (3.23). Values of $\mathcal{C}_{b,k}$ can be found in Table 12.

Proof. We apply (3.21) with $u = e^{\frac{b}{2}}$:

$$\theta(x) \geq x - (C+1)x^{\frac{1}{2}} - cx^{\frac{1}{4}} - c_0x^{\frac{1}{3}} - c_0x^{\frac{1}{5}} \quad \text{for all } x \in [e^b, v].$$

We now set

$$(3.26) \quad \mathcal{C}_{b,k} = \max_{x \in [e^b, v]} \left\{ (C+1) \frac{(\log x)^k}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}} + c_0 \frac{(\log x)^k}{x^{\frac{2}{3}}} + c \frac{(\log x)^k}{x^{\frac{3}{4}}} + c_0 \frac{(\log x)^k}{x^{\frac{4}{5}}} \right\}.$$

We find that this equals the expression in (3.25) by observing that for $a \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5}\}$, $\frac{(\log x)^k}{x^a}$ is decreasing for $x \geq e^b$ as long as $e^b \geq e^{k/a}$. This last inequality leads to the condition $b \geq 2k$. \square

3.5. **Lower bounds for $\theta(x)$ for $x < e^{J_0}$.** The following lemma gives a condition to obtain a lower bound for $\theta(x)$ for the first values of x .

Lemma 10. *Let $k = 1, \dots, 5$. Let $0 < a < b$ such that $a > e^{k+1}$. Let p_n denote the n -th prime, with p_{n_0} and p_{n_1} being the smallest primes greater than a and b respectively. Let*

$$(3.27) \quad \mathcal{D}_k(a, b) = \max_{n_0 \leq n \leq n_1} \frac{(\log p_n)^k \cdot (p_n - \theta(p_{n-1}))}{p_n}.$$

If

$$(3.28) \quad \mathcal{D}_k(a, b) < (k+1)^{k+1},$$

then

$$(3.29) \quad \theta(x) \geq x - \frac{\mathcal{D}_k(a, b)x}{(\log x)^k} \text{ when } a \leq x \leq b.$$

Proof. Fix $n_0 \leq n \leq n_1$ and set

$$(3.30) \quad D_k(n) = \frac{(\log p_n)^k (p_n - \theta(p_{n-1}))}{p_n}.$$

Let $x \in [p_{n-1}, p_n)$ and observe that

$$\theta(x) = \theta(p_{n-1}) = p_n \left(1 - \frac{D_k(n)}{(\log p_n)^k} \right),$$

and that the function $\varphi(x) = x(1 - c/(\log x)^k)$ increases with x , as long as $c < (k+1)^{k+1}$. This is since $\varphi'(x) = 1 + \frac{c}{(\log x)^{k+1}}(k - \log x)$ and $\varphi''(x) = \frac{ck}{x(\log x)^{k+2}}(\log x - (k+1))$. It follows that φ' has a minimum at $x = e^{k+1}$. Therefore φ is increasing since

$$\varphi'(x) \geq \varphi'(e^{k+1}) = 1 - \frac{c}{(k+1)^{k+1}} > 0,$$

from the assumption $c < (k+1)^{k+1}$. Thus (3.28) ensures that

$$(3.31) \quad \theta(x) \geq x \left(1 - \frac{D_k(n)}{(\log x)^k} \right) \text{ for all } x \in [p_{n-1}, p_n).$$

It follows that (3.29) holds since $[a, b] \subset \bigcup_{n_0 \leq n \leq n_1} [p_{n-1}, p_n)$ and $\mathcal{D}_k(a, b) = \max_{n_0 \leq n \leq n_1} D_k(n)$. \square

Methodology: We use this lemma to obtain a numerical lower bound for $\theta(x)$ on $[1, 7.0 \times 10^{11}]$. We first subdivide into the intervals $I_n = [(n-1) \cdot 10^{10}, n \cdot 10^{10}]$ with n ranging from 1 to 70. We subdivide each I_n further into 100 subintervals, each of length 10^8 . We apply the Lemma 10 to each of these subintervals, recording the corresponding \mathcal{D}_k value. For each n , we take for $D_k(n)$ the largest value among all \mathcal{D}_k 's arising from the 100 subintervals. Values for \mathcal{D}_k for selected ranges in $[1, 7.0 \times 10^{11}]$ are recorded in Table 13.

3.6. **The case $k = 0$.** The upper bound is a direct result of Theorem 2 and the partial verification of $\theta(x) < x$.

Lemma 11. *Let $b > 0$. Assume*

$$(3.32) \quad \theta(x) < x \text{ for all } x \leq e^b,$$

and that there exists $\varepsilon(b) > 0$ such that

$$(3.33) \quad |\psi(x) - x| \leq \varepsilon(b)x \text{ for all } x \geq e^b.$$

Then we have

$$(3.34) \quad \theta(x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon(b))x, \text{ for all } x > 0.$$

In addition

$$(3.35) \quad \left(1 - \varepsilon(b) - c_0(e^{-\frac{b}{2}} + e^{-\frac{2b}{3}} + e^{-\frac{4b}{5}})\right) x \leq \theta(x), \quad \text{for all } x \geq e^b.$$

Proof. Inequality (3.34) follows immediately from Theorem 2 and the fact that $\theta(x) \leq \psi(x)$. Now for every $x > 0$, [6, Theorem 1] asserts that $\psi(x) - \theta(x) \leq \psi(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \psi(x^{\frac{1}{3}}) + \psi(x^{\frac{1}{5}})$. Together with (3.20), we have $\psi(x) - c_0(x^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{3}} + x^{\frac{1}{5}}) \leq \theta(x)$, and we conclude by applying Theorem 2 to $\psi(x)$. \square

3.7. Proof of Theorem 1.

If $k = 0$, we apply Lemma 11 with $b = \log X_1$ for the upper bound and $b = \log X_0$ for the lower bound. We can define:

$$m_0 = \varepsilon(\log X_0) + c_0(X_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} + X_0^{-\frac{2}{3}} + X_0^{-\frac{4}{5}}) \text{ and } M_0 = \varepsilon(\log X_1).$$

For the rest of this section, we assume $k \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$. Throughout this proof we let b_n denote the n -th entry of column 1 of Table 8. Furthermore, let $K = 25000$ be the largest b value in Table 8, and let

$$(3.36) \quad X_0 = e^{u_0} \text{ and } X_1 = e^{u_1}.$$

The proof consists of four cases. We shall divide up the intervals $[X_i, \infty)$ for $i = 0, 1$ into various subintervals. On each of the subintervals we shall apply a combination of Corollary 7.1, Corollary 8.1, Corollary 9.1, and Lemma 10. By combining together our various bounds we shall establish the required bounds (3.1) and (3.2). If $X_0, X_1 \geq e^K$, then only Corollary 7.1 is considered.

3.7.1. *Case 1:* $X_0, X_1 \geq e^K$. By Corollary 7.1, we can take

$$m_k = \mathcal{A}_k(u_0) \text{ and } M_k = \mathcal{A}_k(u_1).$$

For the second case, we consider $e^J \leq X_i \leq e^K$. Here we consider Corollary 7.1 and Corollary 8.1. For all following cases, let $B_{i,k} = B_k(b, b')$ where b is the i -th entry in column 1 of Table 10. Validity for all $x \geq X_0$ or $x \geq X_1$ can be established by applying Corollary 8.1 over consecutive intervals, then applying Corollary 7.1 to bound for all x above the end of the intervals. Thus we now have the following case:

3.7.2. *Case 2:* $e^J \leq X_0, X_1 < e^K$. Combining Corollary 7.1 and Corollary 8.1, we may take

$$m_k = \max(\mathcal{A}_k(b_{\ell+1}), \max_{n_0 \leq i \leq \ell} (B_{i,k})) \text{ and } M_k = \max(\mathcal{A}_k(b_{\ell+1}), \max_{n_1 \leq i \leq \ell} (B_{i,k}))$$

where n_0 and n_1 are the greatest natural numbers such that $b_{n_0} \leq u_0$ and $b_{n_1} \leq u_1$ and $\ell \geq n_0$ or $\ell \geq n_1$ is chosen to minimize the values of m_k and M_k .

Remark. Note that whenever possible, the value of ℓ is chosen such that $\mathcal{A}_k(b_{\ell+1}) \leq \max_{n_0 \leq i \leq \ell} (B_{i,k})$.

For the last two cases, we denote j^* the row of Table 10 where $b_{j^*} = J = 19 \log 10$.

3.7.3. *Case 3:* $e^{J_0} \leq X_i \leq e^J$. From Corollary 7.1, Corollary 8.1, (3.18), and Corollary 9.1, we can take

$$m_k = \max(\mathcal{A}_k(b_{\ell+1}), \max_{j^* \leq i \leq \ell} (B_{i,k}), \mathcal{C}_{[u_0],k}) \text{ and } M_k = \max(\mathcal{A}_k(b_{\ell+1}), \max_{j^* \leq i \leq \ell} (B_{i,k}))$$

where $\ell \geq n_0$ or $\ell \geq n_1$ is chosen to minimize the values of m_k and M_k .

3.7.4. *Case 4:* $X_i < e^{J_0}$. By applying Lemma 10 we obtain

$$x \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{D}_k(X_0, e^{J_0})}{(\log x)^k} \right) \leq \theta(x) \text{ when } X_0 \leq x \leq e^{J_0}.$$

We combine this with Corollary 7.1, Lemma 8, Corollary 9.1, and (3.18):

$$m_k = \max(\mathcal{A}_k(b_{\ell+1}), \max_{j^* \leq i \leq \ell} (B_{i,k}), \mathcal{C}_{J_0,k}, \mathcal{D}_k(X_0, e^{J_0})), \text{ and } M_k = \max(\mathcal{A}_k(b_{\ell+1}), \max_{j^* \leq i \leq \ell} (B_{i,k}))$$

where $\ell \geq n_0$ or $\ell \geq n_1$ is chosen to minimize the values of m_k and M_k .

3.8. Computational examples. We now give examples of how to apply Theorem 1 in specific cases. First, we are able to improve the second part of [1, Theorem 1].

Corollary 11.1. *For every $x \geq 19\,035\,709\,163$, we have*

$$(3.37) \quad x \left(1 - \frac{0.15}{(\log x)^3} \right) < \theta(x),$$

and for every $x > 1$ we have

$$(3.38) \quad \theta(x) < x \left(1 + \frac{0.024334}{(\log x)^3} \right).$$

Observe that (3.37) is the same as equation (1.4) in [1] and (3.38) improves the constant in (1.5) of [1] from 0.15 to 0.024334.

Proof. We apply Theorem 1 with $k = 3$, $X_0 = 19\,035\,709\,163$, and $X_1 = 1$. Since $X_0 \in [1, e^{J_0} = e^{27}]$, we proceed as described in Section 3.7.4 and obtain

$$m_3 = \max(\mathcal{A}_3(3\,400), \max_{27 \leq b_i \leq 3\,400} (B_{i^*,3}), \mathcal{C}_{27,3}, \mathcal{D}_3(19\,035\,709\,163, e^{27})).$$

Since $X_1 \leq e^J = 10^{19}$, we proceed as in Section 3.7.3 and obtain

$$M_3 = \max(\mathcal{A}_3(3\,400), \max_{27 \leq b_i \leq 3\,400} (B_{b_i,3})).$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_3(3\,400) = 2.1719 \cdot 10^{-2}$, $\max_{27 \leq b_i \leq 3\,400} (B_{b_i,3}) = B_{J,3} = 2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$, $\mathcal{C}_{27,3} = 5.0536 \cdot 10^{-2}$, and $\mathcal{D}_3(19\,035\,709\,163, e^{27}) = 0.15$, then

$$m_3 = 0.15 \text{ and } M_3 = 2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}.$$

□

In our next examples we provide valid proofs of Theorem 4.2 of [15]. The proof of the main theorem in [15] is incorrect as it applies an incorrect result of Ramaré [39]. The stated leading constant in Theorem 1.1 of [39] is off by a factor of over 100. The results given here are optimal, verifiable through computation.

Corollary 11.2. [15, Theorem 4.2] *We have*

$$(3.39) \quad |\vartheta(x) - x| < M_k \frac{x}{\log^k x} \text{ for } x \geq X_0$$

with

k	0	1	1	2	2	2	2
M_k	1	1.2323	0.001	3.965	0.2	0.05	0.01
X_0	1	2	908 994 923	2	3 594 641	112 568 683	7 713 113 853

and

k	3	3	3	3	3	4
M_k	20.83	10	1	0.78	0.5	151.3
X_0	2	32 321	89 967 803	158 822 621	767 135 587	2

Proof.

- Observe that the results with $x_k \leq 2$ immediately follow from Tables 9, 11, 12, and 13 below.
- For $m_1, M_1 = 0.001$, numerical confirmation gives validity for $x \in [908\ 994\ 923, 10^{10})$. Table 13 then gives validity for $x \in [10^{10}, 7 \cdot 10^{11})$, which Table 12 extends to 10^{19} . From here, combining Table 10 and Table 9 gives validity for all $x \geq 10^{19}$.
- For $m_2, M_2 = 0.2$, numerical confirmation gives validity for $x \in [3\ 594\ 641, 10^8)$. Table 13 then gives validity for $x \in [10^8, 7 \cdot 10^{11})$, which Table 12 extends to 10^{19} . From here, combining Table 10 and Table 9 gives validity for all $x \geq 10^{19}$.
- For $m_3, M_3 = 10$, numerical confirmation gives validity for $x \in [32\ 321, 10^8)$. Table 13 then gives validity for $x \in [10^8, 7 \cdot 10^{11})$, which Table 12 extends to 10^{19} . From here, combining Table 10 and Table 9 gives validity for all $x \geq 10^{19}$.
- For $m_3, M_3 = 1$, numerical confirmation gives validity for $x \in [89\ 967\ 803, 10^8)$. Table 13 then gives validity for $x \in [10^8, 7 \cdot 10^{11})$, which Table 12 extends to 10^{19} . From here, combining Table 10 and Table 9 gives validity for all $x \geq 10^{19}$.
- For $m_3, M_3 = 0.78$, numerical confirmation gives validity for $x \in [158\ 822\ 621, 10^{10})$. Table 13 then gives validity for $x \in [10^{10}, 7 \cdot 10^{11})$, which Table 12 extends to 10^{19} . From here, combining Table 10 and Table 9 gives validity for all $x \geq 10^{19}$.
- For $m_3, M_3 = 0.5$, numerical confirmation gives validity for $x \in [767\ 135\ 587, 10^{10})$. Table 13 then gives validity for $x \in [10^{10}, 7 \cdot 10^{11})$, which Table 12 extends to 10^{19} . From here, combining Table 10 and Table 9 gives validity for all $x \geq 10^{19}$.

□

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by NSERC Discovery grants of authors H.K. and N.N.. S.B. was supported by NSERC USRA grants in Summers 2017, 2018, and 2020, K.W. was supported by an NSERC USRA grant in Summer 2017, and A.L. was supported by a York University graduate fellowship. Thank-you to Alia Hamieh and Peng-Jie Wong for helping with the supervision of S.B. and K.W. during part of this project. Thank-you to Andrew Fiori and Josh Swidinsky for their independent verification of the calculations in Appendix B.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. AXLER, *New estimates for some functions defined over primes*, Integers 18 (2018), Paper No. A52, 21 pp.
- [2] S. BROADBENT, A. FIORI, H. KADIRI, A. LUMLEY, N. NG, J. SWIDINSKY, K. WILK, *Tables of values of the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$* , 37 pages.
- [3] J. BÜTHER, *Estimating $\pi(x)$ and related functions under partial RH assumptions*, Math. Comp. 85 (2016), no. 301, 2483–2498.
- [4] J. BÜTHER, *An analytic method for bounding $\psi(x)$* , Math. Comp. 87 (2018), no. 312, 1991–2009.
- [5] P.L. CHEBYSHEV, *Mémoire sur les nombres premiers*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 17 (1852), p. 366–390.
- [6] N. COSTA PEREIRA, *Estimates for the Chebyshev Function $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$* , Math. Comp. 44 (1985), no. 169, 211–221.
- [7] N. COSTA PEREIRA, *Elementary estimates for the Chebyshev functions $\psi(x)$ and for Möbius function $m(x)$* , Acta Arith 52 (1989), 307–337.
- [8] H. DAVENPORT, *Multiplicative Number Theory: Third Edition*, Springer, New York (2000).
- [9] P. Demichel, Y. Saouter, T. Trudgian, *A still sharper region where $\pi(x) - li(x)$ is positive*, Math. Comp. 84 (2015), no. 295, 2433–2446.
- [10] J. M. DESHOULLERS, *Retour sur la methode de Chebyshev*, Bull. Soc. Math de France 49–50 (1977), 41–45.
- [11] A. W Dudek, *An explicit result for primes between cubes*, Funct. et Approx., 55(2), 177–197 (2016).

- [12] P. DUSART, *Inégalités explicites pour $\psi(x), \theta(x), \pi(x)$ et les nombres premiers (Explicit inequalities for $\psi(x), \theta(x), \pi(x)$ and the primes)* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. 21 (1999), no. 2, 53–59.
- [13] P. DUSART, *Estimates of some functions over primes without R.H.*, arXiv:1002.0442 (February 2, 2010).
- [14] P. DUSART, *Estimates of ψ, θ for large values of x without the Riemann Hypothesis*, Math. Comp. 85 (2016), no. 298, 875–888.
- [15] P. DUSART, *Explicit estimates of some functions over primes*, Ramanujan J. 45 (2018), no. 1, 227–251.
- [16] P. ERDÖS, *Beweis eines Satzes von Tschebyschef*, Acta Litt. Sci. Szeged 5 (1932), 194–198.
- [17] L. FABER AND H. KADIRI, *New bounds for $\psi(x)$* , Math. Comp. 84 (2015), no. 293, 1339–1357.
- [18] L. FABER AND H. KADIRI, *Corrigendum to New bounds for $\psi(x)$* , Math. Comp. 87 (2018), no. 311, 1451–1455.
- [19] X. GOURDON, *The 10^{13} First Zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function, and Zeros Computation at Very Large Height*, <http://numbers.computation.free.fr/Constants/Miscellaneous/zetazeros1e13-1e24.pdf>
- [20] W. E. L. GRIMSON AND D. HANSON, *Estimates for the product of the primes not exceeding x* , Univ. Manitoba, Winnipeg, Man. (1977), 407–416.
- [21] J. HADAMARD, *Sur la distribution des zéros de la fonction $\zeta(s)$ et ses conséquences arithmétiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 24 (1896), 199–220.
- [22] D. HANSON, *On the product of primes*, Canad. Math. Bull 15 (1972), 33–37.
- [23] H. KADIRI, *Une Région explicite sans zéros pour la fonction ζ de Riemann*, Acta Arith. 117 (2005), no. 4, 303–339.
- [24] H. KADIRI, *A zero density result for the Riemann zeta function*, Acta Arith. 160 (2013), no. 2, 185–200.
- [25] H. KADIRI, A. LUMLEY, AND N. NG, *Explicit zero-density for the Riemann zeta function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 465 (2018), no. 1, 22–46.
- [26] H. VON KOCH, *Sur la distribution des nombres premiers*, Acta Math. 24 (1901), no. 1, 159–182.
- [27] D.N. LEHMER, *List of prime numbers from 1 to 10 006 721*, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Publication No. 165, 1914.
- [28] B. F. LOGAN, *Bounds for the tails of sharp-cutoff filter kernels*, SIAM J. Math. Anal 19 (1988), no.2, 372–376.
- [29] J. VAN DE LUNE, H. J. J. TE RIELE, D. T. WINTER *On the zeros of the Riemann zeta function in the critical strip. IV*, Math. Comp. 46 (1986), no. 174, 667–681.
- [30] H.L. MONTGOMERY, *The zeta function and prime numbers*, Proceedings of the Queen’s Number Theory Conference, 1979, Queen’s Univ., Kingston, Ont., 1980, 1–31.
- [31] M. MOSSINGHOFF AND T. TRUDGIAN, *Nonnegative trigonometric polynomials and a zero-free region for the Riemann zeta-function*, Journal of Number Theory 157 (2015) 329–349.
- [32] J. PINTZ, *On the remainder term of the prime number formula II. On a theorem of Ingham*, Acta. Arith. 37 (1980), 209–220.
- [33] D.J. PLATT, *Computing degree 1 L-functions rigorously*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bristol (2011).
- [34] D.J. PLATT, *Computing $\pi(x)$ analytically*, Math. Comp. 84 (2015), no. 293, 1521–1535.
- [35] D.J. PLATT, *Isolating some non-trivial zeros of zeta*, Math. Comp. 86 (2017), no. 307, 2449–2467.
- [36] D.J. PLATT, T.S. TRUDGIAN, *On the first sign change of $\theta(x) - x$* . Math. Comp. 85 (2016), no. 299, 1539–1547.
- [37] D. Platt and T. Trudgian, *The error term in the prime number theorem*, Math. Comp. 90 (2021), no. 328, 871–881.
- [38] D. Platt and T. Trudgian, *The Riemann hypothesis is true up to $3 \cdot 10^{12}$* , to appear in Bulletin of London Mathematical Society, <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12460>.
- [39] O. RAMARÉ, *An explicit density estimate for Dirichlet L-series*, Math. Comp. 85 (2016), 325–356.
- [40] J.B. ROSSER, *Explicit bounds for some functions of prime numbers*, Amer. J. Math. 63 (1941), 211–232.
- [41] J.B. ROSSER, *Explicit remainder terms for some asymptotic series*, Journal of Rational Mechanics and Analysis (J. Math. Mech.), vol. 4 (1955), pp. 595–626
- [42] J.B. ROSSER AND L. SCHOENFELD, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois. J. Math. 6 (1962), 64–94.
- [43] J.B. ROSSER AND L. SCHOENFELD, *Sharper bounds for Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ I*, Math. Comp. 29 (1975), 243–269.
- [44] M. RUBINSTEIN AND P. SARNAK, *Chebyshev’s bias*, Experiment. Math. 3 (1994), no. 3, 173–197.
- [45] Y. SAOUTER, T. TRUDGIAN, D. PATRICK, *A still sharper region where $\pi(x) - li(x)$ is positive*, Math. Comp. 84 (2015), no. 295, 2433–2446.
- [46] L. SCHOENFELD, *Sharper bounds for Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ II*, Math. Comp. 30 (1976), 337–360.
- [47] S.B. STECHKIN *Zeros of the Riemann zeta-function*, Math. Notes 8 (1970) 706–711.
(Translated from Matematicheskie Zametki, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 419–429, October, 1970.)
- [48] T. TRUDGIAN *An Improved Upper Bound for the argument of the Riemann zeta function on the critical line*. Math. Comp. 81 (2012), 1053–1061.

- [49] T. TRUDGIAN *An Improved Upper Bound for the argument of the Riemann zeta function on the critical line II*, J. Number Theory 134 (2014), 280–292.
- [50] T. TRUDGIAN, *Updating the error term in the prime number theorem*, Ramanujan J. 39 (2016), no. 2, 225–234.
- [51] C.J. DE LA VALLÉE POUSSIN *Recherches analytiques sur la théorie des nombres premiers*, Ann. Soc. Scient. Bruxelles, deuxième partie 20, (1896), pp. 183–256
- [52] S. WEDENIWSKI - ZETAGRID, *Computational verification of the Riemann hypothesis*, Conference in Number Theory in Honour of Professor H.C. Williams, Alberta, Canada, May 2003.
<http://www.zetagrid.net/zeta/math/zeta.result.100billion.zeros.html>

APPENDIX A. SHARPER BOUNDS FOR $\psi(x)$: $|\psi(x) - x| < \varepsilon x$ WHERE ε IS COMPUTABLE FOR
 $x \geq x_0$ FIXED

In this section we explain how to bound $E(x) := (\psi(x) - x)/x$. A classic explicit formula that relates prime numbers to the non-trivial zeros of ζ is given by [8, §17, (1)]:

$$(A.1) \quad \psi(x) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - x^{-2}),$$

when x is not a prime power. The sum over the zeros is not absolutely convergent, hence it is difficult to directly use this formula to bound $E(x)$. Rosser [40], [41] introduced an averaging technique to give bounds for $\psi(x)$. The averaging produces a formula like (A.1), but with an absolutely convergent sum over zeros of ζ . He later joined forces with Schoenfeld [43] and they streamlined and improved his arguments. Since their last article in 1975 improvements to their work have been based on the following:

1. An improved verification of the partial Riemann hypothesis $\text{RH}(H)$: namely all the non-trivial zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of the Riemann zeta function which have imaginary part $|\gamma| \leq H$ lie on the $1/2$ -line.
2. An improved zero-free region for $\zeta(s)$: the zeta function has no zeros in the complex region $\{\sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R \log |t|}, |t| \geq 2\}$.
3. A better smooth weight: $|\psi(x) - \psi_{\varphi}(x)|$ is small, where $\psi_{\varphi}(x)$ is a smooth variant of $\psi(x)$.
4. An explicit zero-density result: for σ and T fixed, we define

$$(A.2) \quad N(\sigma, T) = \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma \mid \beta \geq \sigma \text{ and } 0 \leq \gamma \leq T\},$$

the number of non-trivial zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of zeta with $\beta \geq \sigma$ and imaginary part γ between 0 and T . We have an explicit bound of the form $N(\sigma, T) \leq c(\sigma)T^{a(\sigma)}(\log T)^{b(\sigma)}$, where a, b and c are certain functions of σ .

In recent years there has been a lot of activity on bounding $E(x)$ (see [3], [4], [13], [14], [15], [17], [37]). In 2015 the first theoretical improvements to Rosser and Schoenfeld's method were provided by Faber and Kadiri [17]. They introduced the idea of smoothing to the problem and it was demonstrated that the averaging technique used in [40] and [43] could be interpreted as a particular case of smoothing. In addition, the use of an explicit zero-density result was first applied in [17]. Faber and Kadiri's smooth functions gave better results for $x \geq x_0$ for any $x_0 \leq e^{4000}$ (as compared with the Rosser and Schoenfeld method used in [14]). In 2016, Büthe [3] used a different smoothing: he introduced the Logan function which puts more weight on the first zeros for which RH has been verified. This method did not appeal to a zero-free region or zero-density and it worked better than [17] for $e^{50} \leq x_0 \leq e^{3000}$. In addition, for $x \leq 10^{19}$ Büthe [4, Theorem 2] gave an explicit numerical bound for $(\psi(x) - x)/\sqrt{x}$. In 2018 Dusart [15] used the Faber-Kadiri method along with a recent zero-density result of Ramaré [39]. It provided new bounds for $\psi(x)$, $\theta(x)$, and other prime counting sums³. Recently, Platt and Trudgian employed Perron's formula along with the zero-density result of [25] to give the best results in the range $x \geq X_0 := e^{2314}$. Currently, the results of [4], [3], and [37] provide the best explicit bounds for $E(x)$ in the ranges $[1, 10^{19}]$, $[10^{19}, X_0]$, and $[X_0, \infty)$ respectively. In this section, we apply the techniques of these articles to bound $E(x)$.

The table below displays some of the historical improvements concerning the zeros of zeta that have been applied in obtaining sharper bounds for $\psi(x)$, $\theta(x)$, and $\pi(x)$.

³Unfortunately the main theorem of [39] is incorrect and thus bounds claimed in [15] are likely affected, in particular Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and Table 1 for bounds for $\psi(x)$, and consequently Theorem 4.2 and Table 2 for $\theta(x)$. In addition this unfortunately affects the main theorem [1, Theorem 1.1].

TABLE 6

Author	H	R	$\varepsilon(100)$	$\varepsilon(6\,000)$
Rosser & Schoenfeld (1962) [42]	$e^{9.99} \simeq 21\,807$ [27]	17.52 [42]	$9.97 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.92 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Rosser & Schoenfeld (1975) [43]	1 894 438 [43]	9.65 [47] [43]	$1.70 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.67 \cdot 10^{-9}$
Dusart (1999) [12]	545 439 823 [29]	9.65 [47] [43]	$9.00 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$2.41 \cdot 10^{-9}$
Faber & Kadiri (2015) [17] [18]	2 445 999 556 030 [52]	5.70 [23]	$2.42 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$9.68 \cdot 10^{-14}$
Dusart (2016) [14]	2 445 999 556 030 [52]	5.58 [31]		$6.77 \cdot 10^{-14}$
Büthe (2016) [3]	-	-	$2.46 \cdot 10^{-12}$	

Remark. In Table 8 we recorded the best values for $\varepsilon(b)$ that we computed using all various methods known up to today. We found that [3] works best for all $b < 2400$ at which point [37] works best. For instance, it gives $\varepsilon(6\,000) = 6.45 \cdot 10^{-16}$, while using Büthe’s theorem gives $1.91 \cdot 10^{-12}$.

A.1. Zeros of the Riemann zeta function. We list here the effective results currently available for the zeros of the Riemann zeta function and which we use to obtain Theorem 2.

A.1.1. *Partial verification of RH.* In this article, we use the verification up to

$$(A.3) \quad H = 2\,445\,999\,556\,030$$

announced by Gourdon [19] and Wedeniwski [52]. In [35] the value of $H = 3.061 \cdot 10^{10}$ was established and this was considered the most rigorous verification of RH and a number of articles preferred to use this value instead of the value in (A.3). After this paper was submitted, an article of Platt and Trudgian [38] appeared which now verifies that $H = 3000175332800$ is valid. This work makes use of the more rigorous techniques as in [35] and thus confirms the earlier calculations in [19] and [52]. However, the focus of this paper is about the method of computation, and as Theorem 2 was calibrated so that the verification height for RH is a parameter, we can obtain results regardless of which height is used. Work in progress, will establish new bounds for $\psi(x)$ making use of the new values of H from [38]. Moreover, Andrew Fiori and Habiba Kadiri have developed a webpage which provides bounds for $\psi(x)$ and $\theta(x)$ given any set of parameters H, R .

A.1.2. *Explicit zero-free region.* We use the following type of zero-free region for $\zeta(s)$: Assume there exists $R \geq 1$ such that $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ does not vanish when

$$(A.4) \quad \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R \log |t|}, \quad \text{for every } |t| \geq 2.$$

We use this with $R = 5.573412$ as established in [31, Theorem 1].

A.1.3. *Explicit zero-density for zeta.* We recall that $N(\sigma, T)$ is the number of non-trivial zeros in the region $\sigma \leq \Re(s) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \Im(s) \leq T$. In [25, Theorem 1.1] the following explicit upper bounds for $N(\sigma, T)$ were established.

Theorem 12. [25, Theorem 1.1] *Let $\frac{10^9}{H} \leq k \leq 1, d > 0, Y \in [1002, H), \alpha > 0, \delta \geq 1, \eta_0 = 0.23622\dots, 1 + \eta_0 \leq \mu \leq 1 + \eta$, and $\eta \in (\eta_0, \frac{1}{2})$ be fixed. Let $\sigma > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{d}{\log H}$. Then there exist $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 > 0$ such that, for any $T \geq H$,*

$$(A.5) \quad \begin{aligned} N(\sigma, T) &\leq \frac{(T - Y)(\log T)}{2\pi d} \log \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 (\log(kT))^{2\sigma} (\log T)^{4(1-\sigma)} T^{\frac{8}{3}(1-\sigma)}}{T - Y} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{C}_2}{2\pi d} (\log T)^2, \\ N(\sigma, T) &\leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_1}{2\pi d} (\log(kT))^{2\sigma} (\log T)^{5-4\sigma} T^{\frac{8}{3}(1-\sigma)} + \frac{\mathcal{C}_2}{2\pi d} (\log T)^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1(\alpha, d, \delta, k, Y, \sigma)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_2(d, \eta, k, Y, \mu, \sigma)$ are defined in [25, Lemma 4.14].

From this we have the following corollary.

Corollary 12.1. *Let $\sigma \in [0.75, 1)$. Then there exist $c_1(\sigma), c_2(\sigma) > 0$ such that*

$$(A.6) \quad N(\sigma, T) \leq c_1(\sigma)T^{8(1-\sigma)/3}(\log T)^{5-2\sigma} + c_2(\sigma)(\log T)^2$$

where c_1, c_2 are given in the table below:

TABLE 7. The bound $N(\sigma, T) \leq c_1 T^{\frac{8}{3}(1-\sigma)}(\log T)^{5-2\sigma} + c_2(\log T)^2$.

σ_0	μ	α	δ	d	$c_1 = \frac{\mathcal{C}_1}{2\pi d}$	$c_2 = \frac{\mathcal{C}_2}{2\pi d}$
0.75	1.245	0.189	0.3030	0.338	5.277	4.403
0.80	1.245	0.160	0.3030	0.337	6.918	3.997
0.85	1.245	0.133	0.3030	0.336	8.975	3.588
0.86	1.245	0.127	0.3030	0.335	9.441	3.514
0.87	1.245	0.122	0.3030	0.335	9.926	3.430
0.88	1.245	0.116	0.3030	0.335	10.431	3.346
0.89	1.245	0.111	0.3030	0.335	10.955	3.262
0.90	1.245	0.105	0.3030	0.334	11.499	3.186
0.91	1.245	0.100	0.3030	0.334	12.063	3.102
0.92	1.245	0.095	0.3030	0.334	12.646	3.017
0.93	1.245	0.089	0.3030	0.333	13.250	2.941
0.94	1.245	0.084	0.3030	0.333	13.872	2.856
0.95	1.245	0.079	0.3030	0.333	14.513	2.772
0.96	1.245	0.074	0.3030	0.332	15.173	2.694
0.97	1.245	0.069	0.3030	0.332	15.850	2.609
0.98	1.245	0.064	0.3030	0.331	16.544	2.532
0.99	1.245	0.060	0.3030	0.331	17.253	2.446

A.2. Platt and Trudgian's bounds for $\psi(x)$:

A.2.1. *The Prime Number Theorem with a small constant error term.* In [37], Platt and Trudgian use an explicit version of Perron's formula proven by Dudek [11, Theorem 1.3]: Let $x \geq e^{1000}$ and T satisfies $50 < T \leq x$. Then

$$(A.7) \quad \frac{\psi(x) - x}{x} = \sum_{|\gamma| < T} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{\rho} + \mathcal{O}^*\left(\frac{2(\log x)^2}{T}\right)$$

where $A = \mathcal{O}^*(B)$ means $|A| \leq B$. Writing $b = \log x$, we denote

$$(A.8) \quad s_0(b, T) = \frac{2b^2}{T}.$$

The sum over the zeros is then split vertically at a fixed value $1 - \delta$ with $0.001 \leq \delta \leq 0.025$.

$$(A.9) \quad \sum_{|\gamma| < T} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{\rho} = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2, \quad \text{with } \Sigma_1 = \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq T \\ \beta < 1-\delta}} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{\rho}, \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq T \\ \beta \geq 1-\delta}} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{\rho}.$$

The first sum Σ_1 is evaluated in [9, Lemma 2.10] by

$$(A.10) \quad |\Sigma_1| \leq x^{-\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} (\log(T/2\pi))^2 + 1.8642 \right).$$

We denote

$$(A.11) \quad s_1(b, \delta, T) = e^{-\delta b} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} (\log(T/2\pi))^2 + 1.8642 \right).$$

To estimate Σ_2 , an argument of Pintz [32] is employed. The interval $[0, T]$ is split into subintervals $\left[\frac{T}{\lambda^{k+1}}, \frac{T}{\lambda^k} \right]$ where $\lambda > 1$, $0 \leq k \leq K-1$, and $K = \left\lfloor \frac{\log \frac{T}{H}}{\log \lambda} \right\rfloor + 1$. Using the zero-free region (A.4) to bound $\Re(\rho)$ we find

$$(A.12) \quad |\Sigma_2| \leq 2 \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \frac{\lambda^{k+1} x^{-\frac{1}{R \log(T/\lambda^k)}}}{T} N \left(1 - \delta, \frac{T}{\lambda^k} \right).$$

Inserting (A.6) we obtain the following:

$$(A.13) \quad |\Sigma_2| \leq 2 \frac{\lambda}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \lambda^k x^{-\frac{1}{R \log(T/\lambda^k)}} \left(c_1 \left(\frac{T}{\lambda^k} \right)^{\frac{8\delta}{3}} (\log(T/\lambda^k))^{3+2\delta} + c_2 (\log(T/\lambda^k))^2 \right).$$

We denote

$$(A.14) \quad s_2(b, \lambda, K, T) = 2 \frac{\lambda}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \exp \left(k \log \lambda - \frac{b}{R(\log T - k \log \lambda)} \right) \left(c_1 \left(\frac{T}{\lambda^k} \right)^{\frac{8\delta}{3}} (\log(T/\lambda^k))^{3+2\delta} + c_2 (\log(T/\lambda^k))^2 \right).$$

Finally, putting together (A.7), (A.9), (A.10), and (A.13) gives the following result.

Theorem 13. *Let b_1, b_2 satisfy $1000 \leq b_1 < b_2$. Let $0.001 \leq \delta \leq 0.025$, $\lambda > 1$, $H < T < e^{b_1}$, and $K = \left\lfloor \frac{\log \frac{T}{H}}{\log \lambda} \right\rfloor + 1$. Then for all $x \in [e^{b_1}, e^{b_2}]$*

$$(A.15) \quad \left| \frac{\psi(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq s_0(b_2, T) + s_1(b_1, \delta, T) + s_2(b_1, \delta, \lambda, K, T),$$

where s_0, s_1, s_2 are respectively defined in (A.8), (A.11), and (A.14).

A.2.2. The Prime Number Theorem with an error term of the form (3.3). Let $x_0 \geq 1000$ be fixed, and let R be a constant such that Riemann zeta function does not vanish in the region (A.4). We define

$$(A.16) \quad k(\sigma, x_0) = \left(\exp \left(\left(\frac{10-16\sigma}{3} \right) \left(\frac{\log x_0}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left(\sqrt{\frac{\log x_0}{R}} \right)^{5-2\sigma} \right)^{-1},$$

$$(A.17) \quad c_3(\sigma, x_0) = 2 \exp \left(-2 \left(\frac{\log x_0}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) (\log x_0)^2 k(\sigma, x_0),$$

$$(A.18) \quad c_4(\sigma, x_0) = x_0^{\sigma-1} \left(\frac{2 \log x_0}{\pi R} + 1.8642 \right) k(\sigma, x_0),$$

$$(A.19) \quad c_5(\sigma, x_0) = 8.01 \cdot c_2(\sigma) \exp \left(-2 \left(\frac{\log x_0}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{\log x_0}{R} k(\sigma, x_0).$$

With the constants defined, we now set

$$(A.20) \quad A(\sigma, x_0) = 2.0025 \cdot 2^{5-2\sigma} \cdot c_1(\sigma) + c_3(\sigma, x_0) + c_4(\sigma, x_0) + c_5(\sigma, x_0),$$

$$(A.21) \quad B = \frac{5}{2} - \sigma, \text{ and } C = \frac{16\sigma}{3} - \frac{10}{3}.$$

Then we have the following result:

Theorem 14. *Let $x_0 \geq 1000$ and let $\sigma \in [0.75, 1)$. For all $x \geq e^{x_0}$,*

$$(A.22) \quad \left| \frac{\psi(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq A \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^B \exp \left(-C \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

where A, B , and C are defined in (A.20) and (A.21).

From this and Corollary 5.1 we deduce

Corollary 14.1. *Let $x_0 \geq 1000$. For all $x \geq e^{x_0}$,*

$$(A.23) \quad \left| \frac{\theta(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq A' \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^B \exp\left(-C \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

where B and C are defined in (A.21) and

$$(A.24) \quad A' = A \left(1 + \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{R}{x_0} \right)^B \exp\left(C \sqrt{\frac{x_0}{R}}\right) \left(a_1(x_0) \exp\left(\frac{-x_0}{2}\right) + a_2(x_0) \exp\left(\frac{-2x_0}{3}\right) \right) \right),$$

where a_1 and a_2 are defined in Corollary 5.1.

Proof. Let $x \geq e^{x_0}$. By writing $\theta(x) - x = \psi(x) - x + \theta(x) - \psi(x)$, applying the triangle inequality, and invoking Corollary 5.1, it follows that

$$(A.25) \quad \left| \frac{\theta(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq A \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^B \exp\left(-C \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + a_1(x_0) x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + a_2(x_0) x^{-\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$\leq A \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^B \exp\left(-C \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{a_1(x_0) \exp\left(C \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{R}}\right)}{A \sqrt{x} \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^B} + \frac{a_2(x_0) \exp\left(C \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{R}}\right)}{A x^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{\log x}{R} \right)^B} \right).$$

It may be checked the function in brackets decreases for $x \geq e^{x_0}$ with $x_0 \geq 1000$ and thus we obtain (A.23) with A' given by (A.24). \square

A.3. Bounding $\psi(x)$ using Bütche's methods. For the range $[0, e^{2313}]$, the best bounds for $|\psi(x) - x|$ are based on two arguments of Bütche [3], [4]. First, for $100 \leq x \leq 10^{19}$ Bütche [4, Theorem 2] developed an analytic algorithm to compute $\psi(x)$. Using this, he showed that

$$(A.26) \quad -0.94 \leq \frac{x - \psi(x)}{\sqrt{x}} \leq 0.94.$$

This yields sharp bounds for $|\psi(x) - x|$ in the range $x \leq 10^{19}$. In [4], Bütche used a smoothing argument similar to [17]. However, instead he used Logan's function, which works extremely well in the range $[10^{19}, e^{2314})$.

First, we give a general statement for an application of general bounds of the type (A.26).

Lemma 15. *Let B_0, B , and c be positive constants such that*

$$(A.27) \quad \left| \frac{x - \psi(x)}{\sqrt{x}} \right| \leq c \quad \text{for all } B_0 < x \leq B$$

is known. Furthermore, assume for every $b_0 > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon(b_0) > 0$ such that

$$(A.28) \quad |\psi(x) - x| \leq \varepsilon(b_0) x \quad \text{for all } x \geq e^{b_0}.$$

Let b be positive such that $e^b \in (B_0, B]$. Then, for all $x \geq e^b$ we have

$$(A.29) \quad \left| \frac{\psi(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{c}{e^{\frac{b}{2}}}, \varepsilon(\log B) \right\}.$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (A.27) by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ gives

$$\left| \frac{\psi(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq \frac{c}{e^{\frac{b}{2}}} \quad \text{for all } e^b \leq x \leq B$$

as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \leq \frac{1}{e^{\frac{b}{2}}}$. Then, for $x \geq B$ we apply (A.28) with $b_0 = \log B$. Combining these bounds, we derive (A.29). \square

Using [4, (1.5)], we have

Corollary 15.1. *Let b be a positive constant such that $\log 11 < b \leq 19 \log(10)$. Then we have*

$$(A.30) \quad \left| \frac{\psi(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{0.94}{e^{\frac{b}{2}}}, \varepsilon(19 \log 10) \right\} \quad \text{for all } x \geq e^b.$$

Note that by Table 8, we have $\varepsilon(19 \log 10) = 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}$.

Proof. By Büthe [4, (1.5)], (A.27) holds with $B_0 = 11$, $B = 10^{19}$, and $c = 0.94$. Thus we may apply Lemma 15 with $B_0 = 11$, $B = 10^{19}$, and $c = 0.94$ from [4, (1.5)] to obtain (A.30). \square

We now describe the main theorem in [3]. Like [17], this a smoothing argument. Büthe considers the Fourier transform of Logan's function which is a sharp cut-off filter kernel described in [28]:

$$\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\xi) = \frac{c}{\sinh c} \frac{\sin(\sqrt{(\xi\varepsilon)^2 - c^2})}{\sqrt{(\xi\varepsilon)^2 - c^2}}.$$

Our computations require more values than those provided in [3], so we use his method to compute more values in these ranges.

Theorem 16. [3, Theorem 1] *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 10^{-3}$, $c \geq 3$, $x_0 \geq 100$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that the inequality*

$$B_0 := \frac{\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon} x_0 |\nu_c(\alpha)|}{2(\mu_c)_+(\alpha)} > 1$$

holds. We denote the zeros of the Riemann zeta function by $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ with $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, if $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ holds for $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon}$, the inequality

$$|\psi(x) - x| \leq x e^{\varepsilon\alpha} (\mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_3)$$

holds for all $x \geq e^{\varepsilon\alpha} x_0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1 &= e^{2\varepsilon} \log(e^\varepsilon x_0) \left[\frac{2\varepsilon |\nu_c(\alpha)|}{\log B_0} + \frac{2.01\varepsilon}{\sqrt{x_0}} + \frac{\log \log(2x_0^2)}{2x_0} \right] + e^{\varepsilon\alpha} - 1,^4 \\ \mathcal{E}_2 &= 0.16 \frac{1 + x_0^{-1}}{\sinh c} e^{0.71\sqrt{c\varepsilon}} \log\left(\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\right), \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{E}_3 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{x_0}} \sum_{0 < \gamma < \frac{c}{\varepsilon}} \frac{\ell_{c,\varepsilon}(\gamma)}{\gamma} + \frac{2}{x_0}. \end{aligned}$$

The $\nu_c(\alpha) = \nu_{c,1}(\alpha)$ and $\mu_c(\alpha) = \mu_{c,1}(\alpha)$ where $\nu_{c,\varepsilon}(\alpha)$ and $\mu_{c,\varepsilon}(\alpha)$ are defined by [3, p. 2490].

⁴This term is written without the $e^{\varepsilon\alpha} - 1$ in [3], during personal communication with the author A.L. discovered this error and are updating the theorem statement to reflect this.

APPENDIX B. USEFUL TABLES

The tables in this appendix are subsets of longer tables that can be found in the accompanying document [2]. Several results in this article make use of the longer tables. All the values are tabulated using gp-pari and the underlying c libraries. The reported values here are displayed using a function printf which has automatically chosen to round the values. This rounding is done differently depending on the compiler used but it effects only the last digit.

B.1. **Table for $\psi(x)$: $|\psi(x) - x| < \varepsilon(b, b')x$ for every $e^b \leq x \leq e^{b'}$.** Here b' is the entry following b in the table below. The result in the last row is valid in the interval $[e^{25000}, e^{26000}]$. The calculations conducted here are using Wedeniwski's partial verification of the Riemann Hypothesis [52]: $H_0 = 2\,445\,999\,556\,030$. Values for $b \in \{20 \dots 2000\}$ are computed using the method of Büthe [3], and values for $b \in \{2500 \dots 25000\}$ are computed as in Theorem 13 using the method of Platt-Trudgian [37].

TABLE 8. $|\psi(x) - x| < \varepsilon(b, b')x$ for every $e^b \leq x \leq e^{b'}$.

b, b'	$\varepsilon(b, b')$	b, b'	$\varepsilon(b, b')$	b, b'	$\varepsilon(b, b')$
Computed as in [3, Theorem 1]		Computed as in Theorem 13		Computed as in Theorem 13	
20	$4.26760 \cdot 10^{-5}$	2500	$9.06304 \cdot 10^{-13}$	14000	$1.22655 \cdot 10^{-35}$
21	$2.58843 \cdot 10^{-5}$	3000	$4.59972 \cdot 10^{-14}$	15000	$4.10696 \cdot 10^{-37}$
22	$1.56996 \cdot 10^{-5}$	3500	$2.48641 \cdot 10^{-15}$	16000	$1.51402 \cdot 10^{-38}$
23	$9.52229 \cdot 10^{-6}$	4000	$1.42633 \cdot 10^{-16}$	17000	$6.20397 \cdot 10^{-40}$
24	$5.77556 \cdot 10^{-6}$	4500	$8.68295 \cdot 10^{-18}$	18000	$2.82833 \cdot 10^{-41}$
25	$3.50306 \cdot 10^{-6}$	5000	$5.63030 \cdot 10^{-19}$	19000	$1.36785 \cdot 10^{-42}$
30	$2.87549 \cdot 10^{-7}$	5500	$3.91348 \cdot 10^{-20}$	20000	$7.16209 \cdot 10^{-44}$
35	$2.36034 \cdot 10^{-8}$	6000	$2.94288 \cdot 10^{-21}$	21000	$4.11842 \cdot 10^{-45}$
40	$1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}$	6500	$2.38493 \cdot 10^{-22}$	22000	$2.43916 \cdot 10^{-46}$
45	$1.09073 \cdot 10^{-8}$	7000	$2.07655 \cdot 10^{-23}$	23000	$1.56474 \cdot 10^{-47}$
50	$1.11990 \cdot 10^{-9}$	7500	$1.96150 \cdot 10^{-24}$	24000	$1.07022 \cdot 10^{-48}$
100	$2.45299 \cdot 10^{-12}$	8000	$1.97611 \cdot 10^{-25}$	25000	$7.57240 \cdot 10^{-50}$
200	$2.18154 \cdot 10^{-12}$	8500	$2.12970 \cdot 10^{-26}$		
300	$2.09022 \cdot 10^{-12}$	9000	$2.44532 \cdot 10^{-27}$		
400	$2.03981 \cdot 10^{-12}$	9500	$2.97001 \cdot 10^{-28}$		
500	$1.99986 \cdot 10^{-12}$	10000	$3.78493 \cdot 10^{-29}$		
600	$1.98894 \cdot 10^{-12}$	10500	$5.10153 \cdot 10^{-30}$		
700	$1.97643 \cdot 10^{-12}$	11000	$7.14264 \cdot 10^{-31}$		
800	$1.96710 \cdot 10^{-12}$	11500	$1.04329 \cdot 10^{-31}$		
900	$1.95987 \cdot 10^{-12}$	12000	$1.59755 \cdot 10^{-32}$		
1000	$1.94751 \cdot 10^{-12}$	12500	$2.53362 \cdot 10^{-33}$		
1500	$1.93677 \cdot 10^{-12}$	13000	$4.13554 \cdot 10^{-34}$		
2000	$1.92279 \cdot 10^{-12}$	13500	$7.21538 \cdot 10^{-35}$		

B.2. Sharper bounds for $\theta(x)$: x in a large range ($x \geq e^{2000}$).

TABLE 9. $|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{\mathcal{A}_k(b)x}{(\log x)^k}$, for all $x \geq e^b$, where \mathcal{A}_k is defined in Corollary 7.1.

b	$\mathcal{A}_1(b)$	$\mathcal{A}_2(b)$	$\mathcal{A}_3(b)$	$\mathcal{A}_4(b)$	$\mathcal{A}_5(b)$
1000	$1.1919 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.1919 \cdot 10^1$	$1.1919 \cdot 10^4$	$1.1919 \cdot 10^7$	$1.1919 \cdot 10^{10}$
2000	$1.6685 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.3369 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.6738 \cdot 10^0$	$1.3348 \cdot 10^4$	$2.6696 \cdot 10^7$
3000	$1.3504 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$4.0512 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.2154 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.6460 \cdot 10^1$	$1.0938 \cdot 10^5$
4000	$2.9283 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$1.1713 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$4.6852 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.8741 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$7.4963 \cdot 10^2$
5000	$4.8831 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$2.4416 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$1.2208 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6.1039 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.0520 \cdot 10^0$
6000	$2.5350 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.5210 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$9.1257 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$5.4755 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.2853 \cdot 10^{-2}$
7000	$2.1571 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$1.5100 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$1.0570 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$7.3987 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$5.1791 \cdot 10^{-4}$
8000	$2.4895 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$1.9916 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.5933 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$1.2747 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0197 \cdot 10^{-5}$
9000	$3.6935 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$3.3241 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$2.9917 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$2.6926 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$2.4233 \cdot 10^{-7}$
10000	$6.7772 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$6.7772 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$6.7772 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$6.7772 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$6.7772 \cdot 10^{-9}$
11000	$1.4933 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$1.6426 \cdot 10^{-22}$	$1.8068 \cdot 10^{-18}$	$1.9875 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$2.1862 \cdot 10^{-10}$
12000	$3.8597 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$4.6316 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$5.5579 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$6.6694 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$8.0033 \cdot 10^{-12}$
13000	$1.1488 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$1.4934 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$1.9414 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$2.5238 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$3.2809 \cdot 10^{-13}$
14000	$3.8771 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$5.4279 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$7.5990 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$1.0639 \cdot 10^{-18}$	$1.4894 \cdot 10^{-14}$
15000	$1.4652 \cdot 10^{-32}$	$2.1978 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$3.2967 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$4.9450 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$7.4175 \cdot 10^{-16}$
16000	$6.1341 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$9.8146 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$1.5704 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$2.5126 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$4.0201 \cdot 10^{-17}$
17000	$2.8193 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$4.7928 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$8.1478 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$1.3852 \cdot 10^{-22}$	$2.3547 \cdot 10^{-18}$
18000	$1.4115 \cdot 10^{-36}$	$2.5406 \cdot 10^{-32}$	$4.5731 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$8.2315 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$1.4817 \cdot 10^{-19}$
19000	$7.6449 \cdot 10^{-38}$	$1.4526 \cdot 10^{-33}$	$2.7599 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$5.2437 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$9.9630 \cdot 10^{-21}$
20000	$4.4536 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$8.9071 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$1.7815 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$3.5629 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$7.1257 \cdot 10^{-22}$
21000	$2.7759 \cdot 10^{-40}$	$5.8293 \cdot 10^{-36}$	$1.2242 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$2.5708 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$5.3985 \cdot 10^{-23}$
22000	$1.8427 \cdot 10^{-41}$	$4.0538 \cdot 10^{-37}$	$8.9184 \cdot 10^{-33}$	$1.9621 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$4.3165 \cdot 10^{-24}$
23000	$1.2974 \cdot 10^{-42}$	$2.9839 \cdot 10^{-38}$	$6.8629 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$1.5785 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$3.6305 \cdot 10^{-25}$
24000	$9.6521 \cdot 10^{-44}$	$2.3165 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$5.5596 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$1.3344 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$3.2024 \cdot 10^{-26}$
25000	$7.5635 \cdot 10^{-45}$	$1.8909 \cdot 10^{-40}$	$4.7272 \cdot 10^{-36}$	$1.1818 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$3.0000 \cdot 10^{-27}$

B.3. Sharper bounds for $\theta(x)$: x in a middle range ($e^{20} \leq x \leq e^{25000}$). We use Corollary 8.1:

TABLE 10. Values for $B_k(b, b')$ in $|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{B_k(b, b')x}{(\log x)^k}$ calculated using the method described in Corollary 8.1. Each $B_k(b, b')$ is valid for $e^b \leq x \leq e^{b'}$. The last line is valid for $e^{24000} \leq x \leq e^{25000}$.

b	$B_1(b, b')$	$B_2(b, b')$	$B_3(b, b')$	$B_4(b, b')$	$B_5(b, b')$
20	$1.8077 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.6154 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$7.2309 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.4462 \cdot 10^1$	$2.9160 \cdot 10^2$
21	$1.1458 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.4062 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.0530 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.0611 \cdot 10^1$	$2.2284 \cdot 10^2$
22	$7.2527 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.5956 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.5103 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$7.7226 \cdot 10^0$	$1.6990 \cdot 10^2$
23	$4.5848 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.0545 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.4254 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.5783 \cdot 10^0$	$1.2830 \cdot 10^2$
24	$2.8945 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6.9468 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.6672 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$4.0013 \cdot 10^0$	$9.6032 \cdot 10^1$
25	$1.8251 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.5626 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.1407 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.8516 \cdot 10^0$	$7.1291 \cdot 10^1$
26	$1.1493 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.9882 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.7694 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.0200 \cdot 10^0$	$5.2521 \cdot 10^1$
27	$7.2293 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.9519 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.2702 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.4229 \cdot 10^0$	$3.8419 \cdot 10^1$
28	$4.5421 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.2718 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.5610 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$9.9708 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.7918 \cdot 10^1$
29	$2.8507 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$8.2670 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.3974 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.9525 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.0162 \cdot 10^1$
30	$1.7873 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.3619 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.6086 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.8257 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.4477 \cdot 10^1$
\vdots					
43	$8.5986 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7618 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.6458 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.2000 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.1500 \cdot 10^0$
19 log 10	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7978 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.6711 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.3526 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.2352 \cdot 10^0$
44	$7.8162 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.5173 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.5828 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.1225 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.2052 \cdot 10^0$

Continued on next page

Table 10 – continued from previous page

b	$B_1(b, b')$	$B_2(b, b')$	$B_3(b, b')$	$B_4(b, b')$	$B_5(b, b')$
45	$5.0646 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.3297 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.0717 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.9297 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.2677 \cdot 10^0$
46	$3.2935 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.5479 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$7.2752 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.4194 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.6071 \cdot 10^0$
47	$2.1307 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.0228 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4.9092 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.3564 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.1311 \cdot 10^0$
\vdots					
54	$9.8777 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$5.4328 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.9880 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.6434 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$9.0388 \cdot 10^{-2}$
55	$6.3417 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$3.5514 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.9888 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.1137 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.2367 \cdot 10^{-2}$
56	$4.0668 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$2.3181 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.3213 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$7.5315 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.2929 \cdot 10^{-2}$
\vdots					
2275	$4.4153 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0155 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.3357 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.3721 \cdot 10^1$	$1.2356 \cdot 10^5$
2300	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4124 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.6088 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3040 \cdot 10^5$
2325	$4.4062 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0355 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4333 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3438 \cdot 10^5$
2350	$4.2245 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0033 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.3829 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.6593 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
2375	$4.0498 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$9.7196 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.3327 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.5985 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3436 \cdot 10^5$
2400	$3.8820 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$9.4139 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.2829 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.5360 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3425 \cdot 10^5$
\vdots					
9800	$8.4841 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$8.3992 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$8.3152 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$8.2321 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$8.1497 \cdot 10^{-9}$
9900	$5.7395 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$5.7395 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$5.7395 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$5.7395 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$5.7395 \cdot 10^{-9}$
10000	$3.8228 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$3.8610 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$3.8996 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$3.9386 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$3.9780 \cdot 10^{-9}$
11100	$5.4156 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$6.0654 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$6.7933 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$7.6085 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$8.5215 \cdot 10^{-11}$
12000	$1.9330 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$2.3390 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$2.8302 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$3.4245 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$4.1436 \cdot 10^{-12}$
13000	$5.5830 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$7.5370 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$1.0175 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$1.3736 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.8544 \cdot 10^{-13}$
14000	$1.8398 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$2.7597 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$4.1396 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$6.2094 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$9.3141 \cdot 10^{-15}$
15000	$6.5711 \cdot 10^{-33}$	$1.0514 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$1.6822 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$2.6915 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$4.3065 \cdot 10^{-16}$
16000	$2.5738 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$4.3755 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$7.4384 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$1.2645 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$2.1497 \cdot 10^{-17}$
17000	$1.1167 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$2.0101 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$3.6182 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$6.5127 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$1.1723 \cdot 10^{-18}$
18000	$5.3738 \cdot 10^{-37}$	$1.0210 \cdot 10^{-32}$	$1.9400 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$3.6859 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$7.0032 \cdot 10^{-20}$
19000	$2.7357 \cdot 10^{-38}$	$5.4714 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$1.0943 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$2.1886 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$4.3771 \cdot 10^{-21}$
20000	$1.5040 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$3.1585 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$6.6328 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$1.3929 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$2.9251 \cdot 10^{-22}$
21000	$9.0605 \cdot 10^{-41}$	$1.9933 \cdot 10^{-36}$	$4.3853 \cdot 10^{-32}$	$9.6476 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$2.1225 \cdot 10^{-23}$
22000	$5.6101 \cdot 10^{-42}$	$1.2903 \cdot 10^{-37}$	$2.9677 \cdot 10^{-33}$	$6.8258 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$1.5699 \cdot 10^{-24}$
23000	$3.7554 \cdot 10^{-43}$	$9.0129 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$2.1631 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$5.1914 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$1.2460 \cdot 10^{-25}$
24000	$2.6755 \cdot 10^{-44}$	$6.6888 \cdot 10^{-40}$	$1.6722 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$4.1805 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$1.0451 \cdot 10^{-26}$

TABLE 11. Supplement for Table 10. Values for $\mathcal{B}_k(b_0)$ in $|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(b_0)x}{(\log x)^k}$ calculated using the method described in Corollary 8.1 and $\mathcal{B}_k(b_0)$ is defined in (3.15). Each $\mathcal{B}_k(b_0)$ is valid for $x \in [e^{b_0}, e^{25000}]$.

b_0	$\mathcal{B}_1(b_0)$	$\mathcal{B}_2(b_0)$	$\mathcal{B}_3(b_0)$	$\mathcal{B}_4(b_0)$	$\mathcal{B}_5(b_0)$
20	$1.6844 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.3688 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.7375 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
21	$1.0684 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.2435 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.7114 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
22	$6.7654 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.4884 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.2746 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
23	$4.2780 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$9.8392 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.2631 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
24	$2.7011 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6.4827 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.5559 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
25	$1.7500 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.3750 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.0938 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
26	$1.1022 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.8655 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.4503 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
27	$6.9322 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.8717 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.0536 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
28	$4.3555 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.2196 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.4148 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
29	$2.7336 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$7.9272 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
30	$1.7139 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.1415 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
\vdots					

Continued on next page

Table 11 – continued from previous page

b_0	$\mathcal{B}_1(b_0)$	$\mathcal{B}_2(b_0)$	$\mathcal{B}_3(b_0)$	$\mathcal{B}_4(b_0)$	$\mathcal{B}_5(b_0)$
43	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
43	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
44	$7.8163 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.5174 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
45	$5.0646 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.3298 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
46	$3.2935 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.5480 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
47	$2.1308 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
\vdots					
54	$9.8778 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
55	$6.3417 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
56	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
\vdots					
2275	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
2300	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
2325	$4.4063 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0355 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
2350	$4.2245 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0034 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.3829 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.6594 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
2375	$4.0499 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$9.7196 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.3328 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.5985 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3437 \cdot 10^5$
2400	$3.8821 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$9.4139 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.2829 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.5360 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3425 \cdot 10^5$
\vdots					
9800	$8.4841 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$8.3993 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$8.3153 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$8.2321 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$8.1498 \cdot 10^{-9}$
9900	$5.7396 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$5.7396 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$5.7396 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$5.7396 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$5.7396 \cdot 10^{-9}$
10000	$3.8228 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$3.8610 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$3.8997 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$3.9387 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$3.9780 \cdot 10^{-9}$
\vdots					
11000	$7.9284 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$8.8005 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$9.7685 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$1.0844 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$1.2036 \cdot 10^{-10}$
12000	$1.9331 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$2.3390 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$2.8302 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$3.4245 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$4.1437 \cdot 10^{-12}$
13000	$5.5830 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$7.5371 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$1.0175 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$1.3737 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.8544 \cdot 10^{-13}$
14000	$1.8399 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$2.7598 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$4.1396 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$6.2094 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$9.3141 \cdot 10^{-15}$
15000	$6.5712 \cdot 10^{-33}$	$1.0514 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$1.6823 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$2.6916 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$4.3065 \cdot 10^{-16}$
16000	$2.5739 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$4.3756 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$7.4384 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$1.2646 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$2.1497 \cdot 10^{-17}$
17000	$1.1168 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$2.0101 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$3.6182 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$6.5127 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$1.1723 \cdot 10^{-18}$
18000	$5.3739 \cdot 10^{-37}$	$1.0211 \cdot 10^{-32}$	$1.9400 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$3.6860 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$7.0033 \cdot 10^{-20}$
19000	$2.7357 \cdot 10^{-38}$	$5.4714 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$1.0943 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$2.1886 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$4.3772 \cdot 10^{-21}$
20000	$1.5041 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$3.1585 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$6.6329 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$1.3929 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$2.9251 \cdot 10^{-22}$
21000	$9.0606 \cdot 10^{-41}$	$1.9934 \cdot 10^{-36}$	$4.3853 \cdot 10^{-32}$	$9.6477 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$2.1225 \cdot 10^{-23}$
22000	$5.6101 \cdot 10^{-42}$	$1.2904 \cdot 10^{-37}$	$2.9678 \cdot 10^{-33}$	$6.8258 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$1.5700 \cdot 10^{-24}$
23000	$3.7554 \cdot 10^{-43}$	$9.0129 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$2.1631 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$5.1915 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$1.2460 \cdot 10^{-25}$
24000	$1.3804 \cdot 10^{-43}$	$3.4508 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$8.6269 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$2.1568 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$5.3919 \cdot 10^{-26}$
25000	$1.3804 \cdot 10^{-43}$	$3.4508 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$8.6269 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$2.1568 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$5.3919 \cdot 10^{-26}$

B.4. Lower bound for first values of x ($x \leq 10^{19}$).⁵

B.4.1. Lower bound for first values of $x \in [e^{J_0}, 10^{19}]$.

TABLE 12. $\theta(x) - x > -\frac{\mathcal{C}_{b,k}x}{(\log x)^k}$ for all $x \in [e^b, 10^{19})$, where $\mathcal{C}_{b,k}$ is defined in (3.25).

b	$\mathcal{C}_{b,1}$	$\mathcal{C}_{b,2}$	$\mathcal{C}_{b,3}$	$\mathcal{C}_{b,4}$	$\mathcal{C}_{b,5}$
Calculated using $c = 0.8$, $C = 0.81$, each value valid up to $5 \cdot 10^{10} \simeq e^{24.635}$.					
20	$1.68440 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.36880 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.73750 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.34750 \cdot 10^1$	$2.69500 \cdot 10^2$
21	$1.06840 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.24350 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.71140 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$9.89390 \cdot 10^0$	$2.07780 \cdot 10^2$

Continued on next page

⁵ $10^{19} \simeq e^{43.749}$

Table 12 – continued from previous page

b	$\mathcal{C}_{b,1}$	$\mathcal{C}_{b,2}$	$\mathcal{C}_{b,3}$	$\mathcal{C}_{b,4}$	$\mathcal{C}_{b,5}$
22	$6.76540 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.48840 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.27450 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$7.20380 \cdot 10^0$	$1.58490 \cdot 10^2$
23	$4.27800 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$9.83920 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.26310 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.20500 \cdot 10^0$	$1.19720 \cdot 10^2$
24	$2.70120 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6.48290 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.55590 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.73410 \cdot 10^0$	$8.96190 \cdot 10^1$
Calculated using $c = 0.88$, $C = 0.86$, each value valid up to $32 \cdot 10^{12} \simeq e^{31.097}$.					
$\log(5 \cdot 10^{10})$	$2.01560 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.96540 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.22330 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.01350 \cdot 10^0$	$7.42380 \cdot 10^1$
25	$1.70330 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.25830 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.06460 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.66140 \cdot 10^0$	$6.65350 \cdot 10^1$
26	$1.10220 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.86560 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.45050 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.93720 \cdot 10^0$	$5.03650 \cdot 10^1$
27	$6.93270 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.87190 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.05400 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.36460 \cdot 10^0$	$3.68430 \cdot 10^1$
28	$4.35580 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.21970 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.41500 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$9.56180 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.67730 \cdot 10^1$
29	$2.73380 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$7.92780 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.29910 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.66730 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.93360 \cdot 10^1$
30	$1.71400 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.14180 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.54260 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.62760 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.38830 \cdot 10^1$
31	$1.07350 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.32790 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.03170 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.19810 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$9.91400 \cdot 10^0$
Calculated using $c = C = 0.94$, each value valid up to $10^{19} \simeq e^{43.749}$.					
$\log(3.2 \cdot 10^{13})$	$1.02600 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.19040 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$9.92090 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.08510 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$9.59360 \cdot 10^0$
32	$6.71750 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.14960 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6.87870 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.20120 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$7.04380 \cdot 10^0$
33	$4.38000 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.44540 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.76990 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.57410 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.19440 \cdot 10^0$
34	$2.73610 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$9.30270 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.16300 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.07540 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.65640 \cdot 10^0$
35	$1.70780 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$5.97730 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.09210 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.32220 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.56280 \cdot 10^0$
36	$1.06520 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.83460 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.38050 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.96960 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.78910 \cdot 10^0$
37	$6.63850 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.45630 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$9.08810 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.36260 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.24420 \cdot 10^0$
38	$4.13450 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.57120 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.97020 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.26870 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$8.62100 \cdot 10^{-1}$
39	$2.57330 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.00360 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.91400 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.52650 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.95320 \cdot 10^{-1}$
40	$1.60060 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6.40240 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.56100 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.02440 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.09750 \cdot 10^{-1}$
41	$9.94970 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$4.07940 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.67260 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6.85740 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.81160 \cdot 10^{-1}$
42	$6.18140 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$2.59620 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.09040 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.57970 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.92350 \cdot 10^{-1}$
43	$3.83820 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.65050 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$7.09680 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.05170 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.31220 \cdot 10^{-1}$

B.4.2. Numerical Verification.

TABLE 13. $\theta(x) - x > -\frac{\mathcal{D}_k(a,b)x}{(\log x)^k}$ for $x \in [a, b)$, where $\mathcal{D}_k(a, b)$ is defined in (3.29).

a	b	$\mathcal{D}_0(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_1(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_2(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_3(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_4(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_5(a, b)$
1	$1 \cdot 10^5$	$1.00000 \cdot 10^0$	$1.23228 \cdot 10^0$	$3.96481 \cdot 10^0$	$2.08282 \cdot 10^1$	$1.51224 \cdot 10^2$	$1.30475 \cdot 10^3$
$1 \cdot 10^5$	$5 \cdot 10^5$	$4.73131 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.53160 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.46725 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$7.56118 \cdot 10^0$	$8.93458 \cdot 10^1$	$1.07895 \cdot 10^3$
$5 \cdot 10^5$	$1 \cdot 10^6$	$1.99799 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.67236 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.57434 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$4.78076 \cdot 10^0$	$6.39437 \cdot 10^1$	$8.55260 \cdot 10^2$
$1 \cdot 10^6$	$5 \cdot 10^6$	$1.67162 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.32393 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.23081 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$4.49158 \cdot 10^0$	$6.24434 \cdot 10^1$	$8.68209 \cdot 10^2$
$5 \cdot 10^6$	$1 \cdot 10^7$	$6.56794 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.02357 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.59515 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.48592 \cdot 10^0$	$3.87413 \cdot 10^1$	$6.03754 \cdot 10^2$
$1 \cdot 10^7$	$5 \cdot 10^7$	$5.24943 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$8.47248 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.36744 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.20703 \cdot 10^0$	$3.56210 \cdot 10^1$	$5.74917 \cdot 10^2$
$5 \cdot 10^7$	$1 \cdot 10^8$	$2.16306 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.85492 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.87010 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.22436 \cdot 10^0$	$2.18201 \cdot 10^1$	$3.88870 \cdot 10^2$
$1 \cdot 10^8$	$1 \cdot 10^9$	$1.48989 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.74568 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.06111 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$9.4259 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.74450 \cdot 10^1$	$3.26946 \cdot 10^2$
$1 \cdot 10^9$	$1 \cdot 10^{10}$	$4.57993 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$9.59129 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.00861 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.20644 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$8.80913 \cdot 10^0$	$1.84481 \cdot 10^2$
$1 \cdot 10^{10}$	$2 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.63137 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.77870 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$8.75253 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.02733 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$4.69587 \cdot 10^0$	$1.08770 \cdot 10^2$
19 035 709 163	$2 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.13110 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.67726 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6.33697 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.49993 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.55028 \cdot 10^0$	$8.40336 \cdot 10^1$
$2 \cdot 10^{10}$	$5 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.03687 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.46002 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$5.83648 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.38472 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.28531 \cdot 10^0$	$7.79452 \cdot 10^1$
$5 \cdot 10^{10}$	$10 \cdot 10^{10}$	$7.53086 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.85559 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.57216 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.12657 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.77586 \cdot 10^0$	$6.83969 \cdot 10^1$
$10 \cdot 10^{10}$	$20 \cdot 10^{10}$	$5.26640 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.33915 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.40521 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.65882 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.20178 \cdot 10^0$	$5.59872 \cdot 10^1$

Continued on next page

Table 13 – continued from previous page

a	b	$\mathcal{D}_0(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_1(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_2(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_3(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_4(a, b)$	$\mathcal{D}_5(a, b)$
$20 \cdot 10^{10}$	$30 \cdot 10^{10}$	$3.00664 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$7.86826 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.05910 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.38859 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.41018 \cdot 10^0$	$3.69038 \cdot 10^1$
$30 \cdot 10^{10}$	$40 \cdot 10^{10}$	$2.41963 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$6.39936 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.69249 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.47624 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.18386 \cdot 10^0$	$3.16250 \cdot 10^1$
$40 \cdot 10^{10}$	$50 \cdot 10^{10}$	$2.62662 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$7.01926 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.87579 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.01279 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.33959 \cdot 10^0$	$3.57987 \cdot 10^1$
$50 \cdot 10^{10}$	$60 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.89356 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$5.10206 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.37472 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.70409 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$9.98044 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.68917 \cdot 10^1$
$60 \cdot 10^{10}$	$70 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.75478 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$4.78305 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.30373 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.55359 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$9.68610 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.64016 \cdot 10^1$

B.5. Final results for Theorem 1.

B.5.1. Values for Theorem 1 for $k = 0$.

TABLE 14. $(1 - m_0)x < \theta(x) < (1 + M_0)x$ for all $x > X_0 = X_1$, where m_0 and M_0 are defined in Section 3.6.

$\log X_0 = \log X_1$	M_0	m_0	$\log X_0 = \log X_1$	M_0	m_0
20	$4.2676 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$9.1639 \cdot 10^{-5}$	700	$1.9764 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$1.9765 \cdot 10^{-12}$
25	$3.5031 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$7.4366 \cdot 10^{-6}$	1000	$1.9475 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$1.9476 \cdot 10^{-12}$
30	$2.8755 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6.0751 \cdot 10^{-7}$	2000	$1.9228 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$1.9228 \cdot 10^{-12}$
35	$2.3603 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$4.9766 \cdot 10^{-8}$	3000	$4.5997 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$4.5998 \cdot 10^{-14}$
40	$1.9338 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$2.1482 \cdot 10^{-8}$	4000	$1.4263 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$1.4264 \cdot 10^{-16}$
$19 \log 10$	$1.9338 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.9667 \cdot 10^{-8}$	5000	$5.6303 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$5.6303 \cdot 10^{-19}$
45	$1.0907 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.1084 \cdot 10^{-8}$	7000	$2.0765 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$2.0766 \cdot 10^{-23}$
50	$1.1199 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.1344 \cdot 10^{-9}$	10000	$3.7849 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$3.7850 \cdot 10^{-29}$
60	$1.2215 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$1.2312 \cdot 10^{-11}$	11000	$7.1426 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$7.1427 \cdot 10^{-31}$
70	$2.7923 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$2.7930 \cdot 10^{-12}$	12000	$1.5975 \cdot 10^{-32}$	$1.5976 \cdot 10^{-32}$
80	$2.6108 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$2.6108 \cdot 10^{-12}$	13000	$4.1355 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$4.1356 \cdot 10^{-34}$
90	$2.5213 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$2.5213 \cdot 10^{-12}$	13800.7464	$2.5423 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$2.5424 \cdot 10^{-35}$
100	$2.4530 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$2.4530 \cdot 10^{-12}$	15000	$4.1070 \cdot 10^{-37}$	$4.1070 \cdot 10^{-37}$
200	$2.1815 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$2.1816 \cdot 10^{-12}$	17000	$6.2040 \cdot 10^{-40}$	$6.2040 \cdot 10^{-40}$
300	$2.0902 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$2.0903 \cdot 10^{-12}$	20000	$7.1621 \cdot 10^{-44}$	$7.1621 \cdot 10^{-44}$
400	$2.0398 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$2.0399 \cdot 10^{-12}$	22000	$2.4392 \cdot 10^{-46}$	$2.4392 \cdot 10^{-46}$
500	$1.9999 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$1.9999 \cdot 10^{-12}$	25000	$7.5724 \cdot 10^{-50}$	$7.5724 \cdot 10^{-50}$

B.5.2. Values for Theorem 1 for $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

TABLE 15. For $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $-\frac{m_k x}{(\log x)^k} < \theta(x) - x$ for $x > X_0$ and $\theta(x) - x < \frac{M_k x}{(\log x)^k}$ for $x > X_1$, where m_k and M_k are defined in Section 3.

$\log X_0 = \log X_1$	$m_1 = M_1$	$m_2 = M_2$	$m_3 = M_3$	$m_4 = M_4$	$m_5 = M_5$
0	$1.2323 \cdot 10^0$	$3.9649 \cdot 10^0$	$2.0829 \cdot 10^1$	$1.5123 \cdot 10^2$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(10^5)$	$5.5316 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.4673 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$7.5612 \cdot 10^0$	$8.9346 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(5 \cdot 10^5)$	$2.6724 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.5744 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$4.7808 \cdot 10^0$	$6.3944 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(10^6)$	$2.3240 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.2309 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$4.4916 \cdot 10^0$	$6.2444 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(5 \cdot 10^6)$	$1.0236 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.5952 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.4860 \cdot 10^0$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(10^7)$	$8.4725 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.3675 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.2071 \cdot 10^0$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(5 \cdot 10^7)$	$3.8550 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.8701 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.2244 \cdot 10^0$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(10^8)$	$2.7457 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.0612 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$9.4259 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(10^9)$	$9.5913 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.0087 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.2065 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(10^{10})$	$3.7787 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$8.7526 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.0274 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(19035709163)$	$2.6773 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$6.3370 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.5000 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(2 \cdot 10^{10})$	$2.4601 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$5.8365 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.3848 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(5 \cdot 10^{10})$	$1.8556 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.5722 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.1266 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(10^{11})$	$1.3392 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.4053 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.6589 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(2 \cdot 10^{11})$	$7.8683 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.0591 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.3886 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(3 \cdot 10^{11})$	$7.0193 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.8758 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.0536 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(4 \cdot 10^{11})$	$7.0193 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.8758 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.0536 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(5 \cdot 10^{11})$	$6.9322 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.8717 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.0536 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
$\log(6 \cdot 10^{11})$	$6.9322 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.8717 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.0536 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
28	$4.3555 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.2196 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.4148 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
29	$2.7336 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$7.9272 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
30	$1.7139 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.1415 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
31	$1.0735 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.3277 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
32	$7.0053 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.2417 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
33	$4.3798 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.4454 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
34	$2.7360 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$9.3023 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
35	$1.7078 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$5.9771 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
36	$1.0652 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.8345 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
37	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
38	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
39	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
40	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
41	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
42	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
43	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
19 log 10	$8.6315 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.7979 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
44	$7.8163 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.5174 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
45	$5.0646 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.3298 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
46	$3.2935 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.5480 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
47	$2.1308 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
48	$1.3791 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
49	$8.9140 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
50	$5.7545 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
55	$6.3417 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
60	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
65	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
70	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
80	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
90	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$

Continued on next page

Table 15 – continued from previous page

$\log X_0 = \log X_1$	$m_1 = M_1$	$m_2 = M_2$	$m_3 = M_3$	$m_4 = M_4$	$m_5 = M_5$
100	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
200	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
300	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
400	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
500	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
600	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
700	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
800	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
900	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
1000	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
1500	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
2000	$4.4627 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.0376 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4334 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.7184 \cdot 10^1$	$1.3441 \cdot 10^5$
2500	$2.2885 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$5.7783 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.4591 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.6840 \cdot 10^1$	$9.3021 \cdot 10^4$
3000	$1.3915 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$4.2091 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.2733 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.8516 \cdot 10^0$	$1.1651 \cdot 10^4$
3500	$8.7646 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$3.0896 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.0891 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.8390 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.3533 \cdot 10^3$
4000	$5.7410 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$2.3108 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$9.3007 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.7436 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.5068 \cdot 10^2$
5000	$2.8715 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$1.4645 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$7.4687 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$3.8091 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.9426 \cdot 10^0$
6000	$1.7952 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.0951 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$6.6798 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$4.0747 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.4856 \cdot 10^{-2}$
7000	$1.4744 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$1.0468 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$7.4322 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$5.2769 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$3.7466 \cdot 10^{-4}$
8000	$1.6007 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$1.2966 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.0502 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$8.5065 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$6.8903 \cdot 10^{-6}$
9000	$2.2253 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$2.0250 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$1.8428 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$1.6769 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$1.5260 \cdot 10^{-7}$
10000	$3.8228 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$3.8610 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$3.8997 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$3.9387 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$3.9780 \cdot 10^{-9}$
11000	$7.9284 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$8.8005 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$9.7685 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$1.0844 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$1.2036 \cdot 10^{-10}$
12000	$1.9331 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$2.3390 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$2.8302 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$3.4245 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$4.1437 \cdot 10^{-12}$
13000	$5.5830 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$7.5371 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$1.0175 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$1.3737 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.8544 \cdot 10^{-13}$
14000	$1.8399 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$2.7598 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$4.1396 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$6.2094 \cdot 10^{-19}$	$9.3141 \cdot 10^{-15}$
15000	$6.5712 \cdot 10^{-33}$	$1.0514 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$1.6823 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$2.6916 \cdot 10^{-20}$	$4.3065 \cdot 10^{-16}$
16000	$2.5739 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$4.3756 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$7.4384 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$1.2646 \cdot 10^{-21}$	$2.1497 \cdot 10^{-17}$
17000	$1.1168 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$2.0101 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$3.6182 \cdot 10^{-27}$	$6.5127 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$1.1723 \cdot 10^{-18}$
18000	$5.3739 \cdot 10^{-37}$	$1.0211 \cdot 10^{-32}$	$1.9400 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$3.6860 \cdot 10^{-24}$	$7.0033 \cdot 10^{-20}$
19000	$2.7357 \cdot 10^{-38}$	$5.4714 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$1.0943 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$2.1886 \cdot 10^{-25}$	$4.3772 \cdot 10^{-21}$
20000	$1.5041 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$3.1585 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$6.6329 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$1.3929 \cdot 10^{-26}$	$2.9251 \cdot 10^{-22}$
21000	$9.0606 \cdot 10^{-41}$	$1.9934 \cdot 10^{-36}$	$4.3853 \cdot 10^{-32}$	$9.6477 \cdot 10^{-28}$	$2.1225 \cdot 10^{-23}$
22000	$5.6101 \cdot 10^{-42}$	$1.2904 \cdot 10^{-37}$	$2.9678 \cdot 10^{-33}$	$6.8258 \cdot 10^{-29}$	$1.5700 \cdot 10^{-24}$
23000	$3.7554 \cdot 10^{-43}$	$9.0129 \cdot 10^{-39}$	$2.1631 \cdot 10^{-34}$	$5.1915 \cdot 10^{-30}$	$1.2460 \cdot 10^{-25}$
24000	$2.6756 \cdot 10^{-44}$	$6.6889 \cdot 10^{-40}$	$1.6723 \cdot 10^{-35}$	$4.1806 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$1.0452 \cdot 10^{-26}$
25000	$7.5635 \cdot 10^{-45}$	$1.8909 \cdot 10^{-40}$	$4.7272 \cdot 10^{-36}$	$1.1818 \cdot 10^{-31}$	$2.9545 \cdot 10^{-27}$

UNIVERSITY OF LETHBRIDGE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, 4401 UNIVERSITY DRIVE, LETHBRIDGE, AB T1K 3M4, CANADA

Email address: sam.broadbent@uleth.ca

Email address: habiba.kadiri@uleth.ca

Email address: lumley@crm.umontreal.ca

Email address: nathan.ng@uleth.ca

Email address: kirsten.wilk@uleth.ca