

# ON THE BERTINI REGULARITY THEOREM FOR ARITHMETIC VARIETIES

XIAOZONG WANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety equipped with an ample hermitian line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ . We prove that the proportion of global sections  $\sigma$  with  $\|\sigma\|_\infty < 1$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}$  whose divisor does not have a singular point on the fiber  $\mathcal{X}_p$  over any prime  $p < e^{\varepsilon d}$  tends to  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(1 + \dim \mathcal{X})^{-1}$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Arithmetic ampleness	6
3.	Convergence of special values of zeta functions	10
4.	Effective computations on a single fiber	13
5.	Singular points of small residual characteristic	25
6.	Final result	29
Appendix A. Bertini smoothness theorem over finite fields		37
References		48

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The main result of this article is Theorem 1.4.

**1.1. The Bertini smoothness theorem over finite fields.** Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety of dimension  $m$  over an infinite field  $k$ . The classical Bertini theorem states that for any embedding of  $X$  into some projective space  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ , the intersection of  $X$  with a general hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  is smooth of dimension  $m - 1$ . Here general means that the set of hyperplanes satisfying this property is the set of  $k$ -points of an open subscheme of the dual projective space  $(\mathbb{P}_k^n)^\vee$  of  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ . Since  $k$  is an infinite field, such an open subscheme always contains infinitely many  $k$ -points, which means that we have infinitely many hyperplanes whose intersection with  $X$  is smooth of dimension  $m - 1$ . Such an open subscheme of the dual projective space exists for any field  $k$  (not necessarily infinite), but the fact that  $k$  is an infinite field guarantees that this open subscheme has  $k$ -points. We have similar theorems on reducedness, irreducibility, connectedness, etc. A good reference for these results is [Jo83].

When  $k$  is a finite field, this theorem still gives us an open subscheme of  $(\mathbb{P}_k^n)^\vee$  parametrizing hyperplanes with smooth intersection with  $X$ , but may fail to give a such hyperplane as the open subscheme may have no  $k$ -point. In [Po04], B. Poonen proved that we can still find hypersurfaces of sufficiently high degree whose intersection with  $X$  is smooth of dimension  $m - 1$ . In fact, what he proved is that the set of hypersurfaces with smooth intersection has a density in the following sense:

**Theorem 1.1** (Poonen, Theorem 1.1 of [Po04]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  of dimension  $m$ . We have*

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) ; \text{div} \sigma \cap X \text{ is smooth of dimension } m - 1\}}{\#H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}(d))} = \zeta_X(m + 1)^{-1} > 0,$$

where  $\zeta_X$  is the zeta function of  $X$

$$\zeta_X(s) = \prod_{x \in |X|} (1 - q^{-s \deg x})^{-1}.$$

If we take  $X$  to be projective, the theorem is equivalent to the statement that for a very ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ , we have

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \text{div} \sigma \text{ is smooth of dimension } m - 1\}}{\#H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = \zeta_X(m + 1)^{-1}.$$

In fact, this theorem also holds if we assume that the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is only ample. See the Appendix for a proof.

**1.2. Bertini theorem for arithmetic varieties.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an arithmetic variety, i.e. an integral separated scheme which is flat of finite type over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ . In the arithmetic case, we are also interested in an analogous version of Bertini smoothness theorem as in the finite field case. For an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on a projective arithmetic variety  $\mathcal{X}$  which is smooth over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ , we want to define a good density for a subset  $\mathcal{P} \subset \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  so that the density of sections  $\sigma \in \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  whose divisor is smooth is positive. This will imply the existence of global sections  $\sigma$  with smooth divisor  $\text{div } \sigma$  for sufficiently large  $d$ . But as B. Poonen explained in [Po04, Section 5.7], smoothness condition is too strong. We need to consider regularity rather than smoothness in order to get a potentially positive density.

Recall that  $\mathcal{X}$  is *regular at a closed point*  $x$  if we have

$$\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X},x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X},x}^2} = \dim \mathcal{X},$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X},x}$  is the maximal ideal of the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$  of the structure sheaf of scheme  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $x$  and  $\dim \mathcal{X}$  is the dimension of  $\mathcal{X}$  as a scheme;  $\mathcal{X}$  is *singular at*  $x$  if it is not regular at  $x$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is regular at all closed points of  $\mathcal{X}$  it is called *regular*. It is *singular* if not regular. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is singular at  $x$ , we say that  $x$  is a *singular point* of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

We can find at least two approaches for a good density in our setting : one is the density defined by Poonen in [Po04] for a subset of  $\bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ , and the other is the density defined by Bhargava, Shankar and Wang for a subset of the set of monic integer polynomials of one variable.

In Section 5 of [Po04], Poonen established a density for  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_d$  be a subset of  $\bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_d \subset \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ . For any  $d$ , we have a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$  which is composed of all monomials of degree  $d$ . For simplicity of notations we note them by  $f_{d,1}, \dots, f_{d,h_d}$  where  $h_d = h^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ . Any section  $f \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$  can be written as  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{h_d} a_i f_{d,i}$  with  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Poonen defines the upper density of  $\mathcal{P}_d$  as

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{P},d}(\mathcal{P}) = \max_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{h_d}} \limsup_{B_{\tau(1)} \rightarrow \infty} \dots \limsup_{B_{\tau(h_d)} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \left( \mathcal{P}_d \cap \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{h_d} a_i f_{d,i} \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) ; |a_i| \leq B_i, \forall i \right\} \right)}{\# \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{h_d} a_i f_{d,i} \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) ; |a_i| \leq B_i, \forall i \right\}}.$$

Here  $\mathfrak{S}_{h_d}$  is the symmetric group of  $h_d$  symbols. The upper density of  $\mathcal{P}$  is then defined by

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathcal{P}) = \limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{P},d}(\mathcal{P}_d).$$

The lower density of  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined similarly and the density of  $\mathcal{P}$  exists if its upper and lower density coincide. Using this density, Poonen proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2** (Poonen, Theorem 5.1 of [Po04]). *When  $\mathcal{X}$  is a regular subscheme of dimension  $m$  of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ , assuming the abc conjecture and a supplementary conjecture which holds at least when  $\mathcal{X}$  is projective, the density of  $\{f \in \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))\}$  such that  $\text{div } f \cap \mathcal{X}$  is regular of dimension  $m-1$  is  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(m+1)^{-1}$ .*

**Remark.** In Poonen's proof, the *abc* conjecture is used to show that for any fixed  $d$ , the upper density of global sections whose divisor has a singular point on a fiber over a prime number  $p \geq M$  with  $M > 0$  tends to 0 when  $M$  tends to infinity. The proof of this follows the idea of A. Granville in [Gr98] that for a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  we can get an asymptotic control of the prime squarefactors of  $f(n)$  by the norm of  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Poonen generalized this idea to the case of homogeneous multivariable polynomials in [Po03].

Essentially, in each degree  $d$ , we get the density  $\bar{\mu}_d$  by taking the limit of coefficients one by one. The action of symmetric group adds the condition that the sequence of coefficients can be arbitrary. This theorem permits us to find global sections  $f \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$  such that  $\text{div } f \cap \mathcal{X}$  is regular of dimension  $m-1$  for sufficiently high  $d$ .

In [BSW16], Bhargava, Shankar and Wang considered monic integer polynomials of one variable  $f(x) = x^d + a_1 x^{d-1} + \dots + a_d \in V_d^{\text{mon}}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f(x))$  is the ring of integers of the field  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f(x))$ . This condition means exactly that when we homogenize  $f$  to the global section

$$F(X, Y) = X^d + a_1 X^{d-1} Y + \dots + a_d Y^d \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)),$$

$\operatorname{div} F$  is a regular divisor of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ . Indeed, the coefficient of  $X^d$  in  $F(X, Y)$  guaranties that the intersection of  $\operatorname{div} F$  with the infinity divisor  $\infty_{\mathbb{Z}} = \operatorname{div} Y$  is empty, so  $\operatorname{div} F$  is contained in the affine space  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 - \operatorname{div} Y$ . Then we can identify  $\operatorname{div} F$  with  $\operatorname{div} f$  with  $f$  as above, and  $\operatorname{div} F \simeq \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f(x))$ . Note that the scheme  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f(x))$  is regular if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f(x))$  is normal. The regularity of  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f(x))$  is hence equivalent to the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f(x))$  is the ring of integer of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f(x))$ . In their paper, fixing the degree  $d > 1$ , they order the monic integer polynomials  $f(x) = x^d + a_1 x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d$  by a height function

$$H(f) := \max\{|a_i|^{\frac{1}{d}}\},$$

and calculate the density of a subset  $\mathcal{P}_d \subset V_d^{\operatorname{mon}}(\mathbb{Z})$  by

$$\mu_{H,d}(\mathcal{P}_d) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\mathcal{P}_d \cap \{f \in V_d^{\operatorname{mon}}(\mathbb{Z}) ; H(f) \leq R\}\}}{\#\{f \in V_d^{\operatorname{mon}}(\mathbb{Z}) ; H(f) \leq R\}}$$

Identifying  $V_d^{\operatorname{mon}}(\mathbb{Z})$  with the set  $\{F \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)) ; \operatorname{div} F \cap \infty_{\mathbb{Z}} = \emptyset\}$  by homogenization, the density of  $\mathcal{P}_d$  can be understood as

$$\mu_{H,d}(\mathcal{P}_d) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\mathcal{P}_d \cap \{F \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)) ; \operatorname{div} F \cap \infty_{\mathbb{Z}} = \emptyset, H(F) \leq R\}\}}{\#\{F \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)) ; \operatorname{div} F \cap \infty_{\mathbb{Z}} = \emptyset, H(F) \leq R\}}$$

We can then reformulate [BSW16, Theorem 1.2] as follows:

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem 1.2 of [BSW16]). *For a fixed  $d > 1$ , set*

$$\mathcal{P}_d := \{F \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)) ; \operatorname{div} F \cap \infty_{\mathbb{Z}} = \emptyset, \operatorname{div} F \text{ is regular of dimension } 1\}.$$

*Then we have*

$$\mu_{H,d}(\mathcal{P}_d) = \zeta(2)^{-1}.$$

**Remark.** In fact, it is better to express  $\zeta(2)^{-1}$  by some special value of  $\zeta_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1}(s)$ . Since

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1}(s) = \prod_p \zeta_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, p} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{1-s}},$$

we have

$$\zeta(2)^{-1} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-2}) = \zeta_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1}(3)^{-1}.$$

So the theorem tells that, for any  $d > 1$ , the density of the subset  $\mathcal{P}_d$  of

$$\{F \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)) ; \operatorname{div} F \cap \infty_{\mathbb{Z}} = \emptyset\}$$

consisting of sections with regular divisor is equal to

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 - \infty_{\mathbb{Z}}} (1 + \dim(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 - \infty_{\mathbb{Z}}))^{-1} = \zeta_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1}(3)^{-1}.$$

Now this result seems similar to Poonen's theorem in a different setting. But here since we only consider global sections whose divisor is disjoint of  $\infty_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we can not recover Poonen's theorem for  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ . On the other hand, the height function here is useful for Bhargava, Shankar and Wang to get effective estimates, but is not well-behaved if we want to extend it to all sections of  $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathcal{O}(d))$ .

These two kind of densities are defined using a basis of  $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$  (which is  $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathcal{O}(d))$  in the case of Bhargava, Shankar and Wang). In the case of projective spaces we have a natural choice of such a basis, which is the basis consisting of monomials of corresponding degree. But such a canonical basis does not exist for  $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  for a general projective arithmetic variety  $\mathcal{X}$  equipped with an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Moreover, to reflect the arithmetic nature of schemes over  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ , it is not enough to consider ample line bundles. A good analogue of ampleness which induces good finiteness properties is needed. We have a notion of arithmetic ampleness for hermitian line bundles on projective arithmetic varieties developed by Henri Gillet and Christophe Soulé in [GS92] and by Shouwu Zhang in [Zh92] (for arithmetic surfaces) and [Zh95]. Assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a projective arithmetic variety. An ample hermitian line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|)$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  equipped with a hermitian metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on the restriction  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}$  to the fiber  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$  with additional positivity conditions. For such  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , consider the set of *strictly effective* sections

$$\mathbf{H}_{\operatorname{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}) := \{\sigma \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}) ; \|\sigma\|_{\infty} < 1\}$$

as an analogue of the group of global sections of ample line bundles on varieties defined over a field. Here

$$\|\sigma\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})} \|\sigma(z)\|.$$

We will give a precise definition of an ample hermitian line bundle in Section 2. We will also discuss some properties of ample hermitian line bundles there.

For a fixed ample hermitian line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ , we say that a subset  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  has density  $\rho$  for some  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$  if

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}))}{\#\mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} = \rho.$$

We define the upper density and lower density in the same way. When exist, we denote the density, the upper density and the lower density of  $\mathcal{P}$  by  $\mu(\mathcal{P})$ ,  $\overline{\mu}(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\underline{\mu}(\mathcal{P})$ , respectively.

In this paper, we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety of dimension  $n$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . There exists a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that by denoting*

$$\mathcal{P}_{d,p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}} := \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \begin{array}{l} \text{div } \sigma \text{ has no singular point of residual} \\ \text{characteristic smaller than } e^{\varepsilon d} \end{array} \right\}$$

and  $\mathcal{P}_A = \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_{d,p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}}$ , we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}_A) = \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(1+n)^{-1},$$

where  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(s)$  is the zeta function

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(s) = \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}|} (1 - \#\kappa(x)^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Here  $\kappa(x)$  is the residual field of  $x$ , and the residual characteristic of a closed point  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is the characteristic of its residue field.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety of dimension  $n$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Set*

$$\mathcal{P}_d := \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \text{div } \sigma \text{ is regular} \right\}$$

and  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_d$ . We have

$$\overline{\mu}(\mathcal{P}) \leq \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(1+n)^{-1},$$

where  $\overline{\mu}(\mathcal{P})$  is the upper density of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

*Proof.* If a section  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\text{div } \sigma$  is regular, then in particular it has no singular point of residual characteristic smaller than  $e^{\varepsilon d}$  with constant  $\varepsilon$  as in Theorem 1.4. So naturally  $\mathcal{P}_d \subset \mathcal{P}_{d,p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_A$ . Therefore we have

$$\overline{\mu}(\mathcal{P}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{P}_A) = \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(1+n)^{-1}.$$

□

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety of dimension  $n$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . There exists a constant  $c > 1$  such that for any  $R > 1$  we have*

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \begin{array}{l} \|\sigma\|_\infty < R^d, \text{ Sing}(\text{div } \sigma) \text{ has no point} \\ \text{of residual characteristic smaller than } (cR)^{\frac{d}{2}} \end{array} \right\}}{\# \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \|\sigma\|_\infty < R^d \right\}} = \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(1+n)^{-1}$$

**1.3. Method of proof.** The proof of Theorem 1.4 relies on an effective estimate of proportion of global sections whose divisor has no singular point on one single fiber. This estimate can be reduced to computing, for a projective arithmetic variety  $\mathcal{X}$  of dimension  $n$  with an ample line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ , the proportion of  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  such that for any closed point  $x \in \text{div}\sigma$ ,  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} = n - 1$ , where

$$\mathcal{X}_{p^2} = \mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}/p^2.$$

We follow Poonen's proof of the Bertini smoothness theorem over finite fields, in [Po04]. With a choice of positive integers  $r_{p,d}, N(p)$ , where  $r_{p,d}$  depends on  $p, d$  and  $N(p)$  depends only on  $p$ , we give estimates of proportion of sections  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  whose divisor has a singular point of degree smaller than or equal to  $r_{p,d}$ , between  $r_{p,d}$  and  $\frac{d-N(p)}{nN(p)}$  and larger than  $\frac{d-N(p)}{nN(p)}$ , respectively. Then we conclude by putting together these three estimates.

The estimate on one single fiber can be easily extended to finitely many fibers. The effective estimates permit us to show that we can gather all fibers over  $p$  such that  $p < d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$  without ruining the convergence of the proportion of  $\sigma \in H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\text{div}\sigma$  has no singular point on all these fibers.

Then we use a different method to show that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for any prime  $p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}$  with constant  $\varepsilon$  defined in Proposition 2.5 such that the fiber over  $p$  is smooth and irreducible (these two conditions are satisfied by all but finitely many  $p$ ), the proportion of strictly effective global sections whose divisor has singular points on this fiber is smaller than  $cp^{-2}$ . Consequently the proportion of  $\sigma \in H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\text{div}\sigma$  has singular points on the fiber  $\mathcal{X}_p$  for some  $d^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}$  is bounded above by

$$\sum_{d^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}} cp^{-2},$$

which tends to 0 when  $d$  tends to infinity. This together with the above estimate for  $p < d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$  proves Theorem 1.4.

**1.4. Organisation of the paper.** In Section 2 we recall the definition of arithmetic ampleness introduced by Shouwu Zhang in [Zh92] and [Zh95] as well as some properties of arithmetic ample line bundles such as the arithmetic Hilbert-Samuel formula and some results on restrictions to a subscheme in [Ch17]. In Section 3 we gather two results on estimates of the convergences of special values of zeta functions. In Section 4 we estimate how fast the proportion of strictly effective global sections having no singular point on a given special fiber over  $p \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$  tends to  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(1+n)^{-1}$ . In Section 5 we use the results of the previous sections to show that the proportion of strictly effective global sections having no singular point of residual characteristic smaller than  $d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$  tends to  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(1+n)^{-1}$  when  $d$  tends to infinity. In Section 6, we prove that the proportion of sections in  $H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  having singular points on a special fiber over  $p$  can be bounded above by  $cp^{-2}$  with some positive constant  $c$  independent of  $p$  and  $d$  when  $d$  and  $p$  are large. We use this result to prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.6 in the same section. In Appendix A, we give a proof of a generalized Poonen's Bertini smoothness theorem over finite fields where we allow the sheaf to be ample instead of very ample. This theorem is not directly used in the main part of this paper, but some results in the appendix is used in Section 4 and 6.

### 1.5. Notation.

- (1) For a finite set  $S$ , we note  $\#S$  its cardinality.
- (2) For a positive real number  $x$ , we note

$$\lceil x \rceil = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} ; n \leq x\}, \quad \lfloor x \rfloor = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} ; n \geq x\}.$$

- (3) Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two real continuous functions such that  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ . We say  $f = O(g)$  if there exist  $c > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $0 < x < \varepsilon$  we have

$$f(x) \leq c \cdot g(x).$$

We say  $f \sim g$  if  $f = O(g)$  and  $g = O(f)$ .

- (4) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed embedding, we denote it by

$$f : X \hookrightarrow Y.$$

**1.6. Acknowledgement.** The author is very grateful to François Charles for introducing him to the subject, for the various discussions they had and for the helpful guidance the author has received. The author thanks Yang Cao, Étienne Fouvry, Salim Tayou for their useful conversations.

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 715747).

## 2. ARITHMETIC AMPLENESS

In this section, we discuss arithmetic ampleness for arithmetic varieties, i.e. integral separated schemes which are flat and of finite type over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ . This notion is established in [Zh92] and [Zh95].

### 2.1. Basic properties.

**Definition.** Let  $M$  be a complex analytic space. Let  $\overline{L} = (L, \|\cdot\|)$  be a hermitian line bundle on  $M$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is a continuous hermitian metric on  $L$ . Then  $\overline{L}$  is said to be *semipositive* if for any section  $\sigma$  of  $\overline{L}$  on any open subset  $U$  of  $M$ , the function  $-\log \|\sigma\|$  is plurisubharmonic on  $U$ .

**Remark.** If  $M$  is a complex manifold and the hermitian metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\overline{L}$  is of differentiability class  $C^2$ , then saying that  $\overline{L}$  is semipositive is equivalent to saying that for any section  $s$  on any open subset  $U$  of  $M$ , we have that

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(-\log \|s\|)$$

is a non-negative  $(1, 1)$ -form.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a projective arithmetic variety, which means an arithmetic variety projective over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be a hermitian line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . We say that  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is *ample* on  $\mathcal{X}$  if it verifies the following three conditions:

- i)  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- ii)  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is semipositive on the complex manifold  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- iii) for any  $d \gg 0$ ,  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is generated by sections of norm strictly smaller than 1.

For any hermitian line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|)$  and any real number  $\delta$ , we note  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(\delta)$  the hermitian line bundle  $(\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\| e^{-\delta})$ . If  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is ample, it is easy to see that  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(\delta)$  is also ample for any  $\delta > 0$ .

A useful result concerning ample line bundles is the following proposition, which is a simple version of [Ch17, Prop. 2.3]:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a projective arithmetic variety, and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . There exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_0$  such that for any large enough integer  $d$ ,  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  has a basis consisting of sections with norm smaller than  $e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}$ .*

Now we recall some important results about arithmetic ample line bundles.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a projective arithmetic variety of absolute dimension  $n$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  a hermitian vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . We have*

- i) as  $d$  tends to  $\infty$ ,

$$h_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{M}}) = \frac{r}{n!} \overline{\mathcal{L}}^n d^n + o(d^n);$$

- ii) if the generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is smooth over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}$ , then as  $d$  tends to  $\infty$ ,

$$h_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{M}}) = \frac{r}{n!} \overline{\mathcal{L}}^n d^n + O(d^{n-1} \log d);$$

*Proof.* The first statement can be found in [Yu08, Corollary 2.7 (1)]. We get this by combining two results. The first one is the estimate of  $\chi_{\text{sup}}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{M}})$ , which is the logarithm of the covolume of the lattice  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  for the sup norm, given by Shouwu Zhang in [Zh95, Theorem (1.4)] as

$$\chi_{\text{sup}}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{M}}) = \frac{r}{n!} \overline{\mathcal{L}}^n d^n + o(d^n).$$

The second result is a consequence of [GS91, Theorem 2], which says that

$$|h_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{M}}) - \chi_{\text{sup}}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{M}})| = O(d^{n-1} \log d)$$

The second statement can be found in [Ch17, Theorem 2.10 (ii)]. □

## 2.2. Restriction modulo $N$ of sections.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a projective arithmetic variety, and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . There is a positive integer  $d_0$  such that for any  $d \geq d_0$ ,  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.*

*Proof.* By the flatness of the structure morphism  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ , the Euler characteristic  $\chi(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_p^{\otimes d})$  of the fiber  $\mathcal{X}_p$  satisfies

$$\chi(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_p^{\otimes d}) = \chi(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes d})$$

for any prime  $p$ . We choose a  $d_1$  such that  $h^i(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) = 0$  for any  $d \geq d_1$  and any  $i > 0$ , which implies  $h^i(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $p$ . Let  $d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be such that  $h^i(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) = 0$  for each of the remaining primes  $p$  and any  $i > 0$ . Such a  $d_2$  exists as the restriction sheaf  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}|_{\mathcal{X}_p}$  is ample for any prime  $p$ . Then we can take  $d_0 = \max(d_1, d_2)$ . For any  $d \geq d_0$ , we have

$$h^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) = \chi(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_p^{\otimes d}) = \chi(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes d}) = h^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}).$$

This means that  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is torsion free, as we have

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) / (p \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}))$$

and

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

Therefore  $d_0$  satisfies the conclusion of the lemma.  $\square$

We have two results concerning the restriction modulo  $N$  map.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a projective arithmetic variety, and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$  be a real number. There exists a positive constant  $\eta$  such that for any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  large enough, if  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  is bounded above by  $\exp(d^{\alpha_0})$ , then the following holds:*

i) *the restriction morphism*

$$\psi_{d,N} : H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$$

*is surjective, here  $\mathcal{X}_N = \mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ ;*

ii) *for any two sections  $s, s'$  in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , we have*

$$\frac{|\#\psi_{d,N}^{-1}(s) - \#\psi_{d,N}^{-1}(s')|}{\#\psi_{d,N}^{-1}(s)} \leq e^{-\eta d}.$$

This proposition is a reformulation of [Ch17, Proposition 2.3].

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a projective arithmetic variety, and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\varepsilon_0$  be a constant as in Proposition 2.1, and choose a constant  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ . For a positive integer  $N$ , let*

$$\psi_{d,N} : H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$$

*be the restriction map, where  $\mathcal{X}_N = \mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec } (\mathbb{Z}/N)$ . When  $d$  is large enough, for any odd integer  $N \leq e^{\varepsilon d}$  and for any subset  $E \subset H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , we have*

$$\frac{\#\psi_{d,N}^{-1}(E)}{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \leq 4 \frac{\#E}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}.$$

*Proof.* For simplicity of notation, we write  $h = \text{rk}(H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}))$ . We may assume that  $d$  is large enough so that  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module by Lemma 2.3. Let  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_h)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  such that

$$\|\sigma_j\| < e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, h\}.$$

For an odd integer  $N$  such that  $0 < N \leq e^{\varepsilon d}$  with a fixed  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , we set

$$D_{d,N} = \left\{ \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j \sigma_j ; |\lambda_j| \leq \frac{N}{2}, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subset H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we have

$$D_{d,N} \cap H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) = \left\{ \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j \sigma_j ; -\frac{N-1}{2} \leq \lambda_j \leq \frac{N-1}{2}, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

and

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) + D_{d,N}.$$

Moreover, for any  $\sigma \in D_{d,N}$ , we have a bound for the norm of  $\sigma$

$$\|\sigma\| \leq h \frac{N}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}.$$

In particular, when  $d$  is large enough, as  $N \leq e^{\varepsilon d}$  with  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , any  $\sigma \in D_{d,N}$  satisfies

$$\|\sigma\| \leq \frac{h}{2} e^{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)d} < 1.$$

Note that by the expression of  $D_{d,N} \cap H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , we have a 1-1 correspondence between elements in  $D_{d,N} \cap H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  and elements in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  induced by the restriction modulo  $N$  map. So the map

$$\psi_{d,N} : H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective for such  $N$ .

For any  $R \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we set

$$B_d(R) = \left\{ \sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} ; \|\sigma\| < R \right\}.$$

Then in particular, we have

$$B_d(1) \cap H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) = H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}).$$

For any element  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ , we can find a  $\sigma' \in N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\sigma - \sigma' \in D_{d,N}$ . If moreover  $\sigma \in B_d(1)$ , we have

$$\|\sigma'\| \leq \|\sigma\| + \|\sigma - \sigma'\| < 1 + h \frac{N}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}.$$

Thus we have two inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} B_d(1) &\subset \left( B_d\left(1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}\right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) + D_{d,N}; \\ H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) &\subset \left( B_d\left(1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}\right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) + D_{d,N} \cap H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that any element in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  has exactly one preimage in  $D_{d,N} \cap H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ . The number of sections  $\sigma \in D_{d,N} \cap H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\psi_{d,N}(\sigma) \in E$  is equal to  $\#E$ . Then by the above inclusion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\psi_{d,N}^{-1}(E) &= \#\{\sigma \in H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \psi_{d,N}(\sigma) \in E\} \\ &\leq \# \left( B_d\left(1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}\right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \cdot \#E. \end{aligned}$$

Now we bound  $\# \left( B_d\left(1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}\right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right)$ . If  $\sigma \in B_d\left(1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}\right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , any  $\sigma' \in \sigma + D_{d,N}$  satisfies

$$\|\sigma'\| \leq \|\sigma\| + \|\sigma - \sigma'\| < 1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} = 1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d}.$$

Hence

$$\left( B_d\left(1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}\right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) + D_{d,N} \subset B_d(1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d}),$$

and in particular, we have

$$\text{Vol} \left( \left( B_d\left(1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}\right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) + D_{d,N} \right) \leq \text{Vol} (B_d(1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d})).$$



If  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  are two distinct elements in  $B_d(1 + \frac{Nh}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , the intersection  $(\sigma_1 + D_{d,N}) \cap (\sigma_2 + D_{d,N})$  is either empty or a subset in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  of dimension smaller than  $h$ . In particular, the intersection always has volume 0. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Vol} \left( \left( B_d \left( 1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) + D_{d,N} \right) \\ &= \# \left( B_d \left( 1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \cdot \text{Vol}(D_{d,N}). \end{aligned}$$

From this equality, we can bound  $\# \left( B_d \left( 1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} & \# \left( B_d \left( 1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \\ &= \frac{\text{Vol} \left( \left( B_d \left( 1 + \frac{Nh}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) + D_{d,N} \right)}{\text{Vol}(D_{d,N})} \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Vol} \left( B_d \left( 1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \right)}{\text{Vol}(D_{d,N})} \end{aligned}$$

Now set

$$D_{d,1} = \left\{ \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j \sigma_j ; |\lambda_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subset H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}.$$

We get similarly that for any  $\sigma \in D_{d,1}$ ,  $\|\sigma\| \leq \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}$ . If  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $\|\sigma'\| < 1 - \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}$ , then we can find a section  $\sigma' \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\sigma \in \sigma' + D_{d,1}$ ; moreover, we get

$$\|\sigma'\| \leq \|\sigma\| + \|\sigma - \sigma'\| < 1 - \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} + \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} = 1.$$

Thus we have

$$B_d \left( 1 - \frac{h}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \subset H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) + D_{d,1}.$$

So similarly we have

$$\text{Vol} \left( B_d \left( 1 - \frac{h}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \right) \leq \#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \cdot \text{Vol}(D_{d,1})$$

Note that for any  $R > 0$ ,

$$\text{Vol}(B_d(R)) = R^h \text{Vol}(B_d(1)),$$

and

$$\text{Vol}(D_{d,N}) = N^h \text{Vol}(D_{d,1}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Vol} \left( B_d \left( 1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \right)}{\text{Vol}(D_{d,N})} &= \left( \frac{1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d}}{1 - \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}} \right)^h \frac{\text{Vol} \left( B_d \left( 1 - \frac{h}{2} e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \right) \right)}{N^h \cdot \text{Vol}(D_{d,1})} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d}}{1 - \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}} \right)^h \frac{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \cdot \text{Vol}(D_{d,1})}{N^h \cdot \text{Vol}(D_{d,1})} \\ &= N^{-h} \left( \frac{1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d}}{1 - \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}} \right)^h \#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $N \leq e^{\varepsilon d}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d})^h &= 1 + Nh^2 e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} + O(Nh^3 e^{-2\varepsilon_0 d}) \\ &\leq 1 + h^2 e^{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)d} + O(h^3 e^{(\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon_0)d}) \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and that the rank  $h = \text{rk}(H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}))$  grows polynomially with  $d$ , when  $d$  is sufficiently large,

$$(1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d})^h \leq 1 + 2h^2 e^{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)d} \leq 2.$$

Similarly, we have when  $d$  is sufficiently large,

$$\left(1 - \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}\right)^h = 1 - \frac{h^2}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} + O(h^3 e^{-2\varepsilon_0 d}) \geq 1 - h^2 e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence we have

$$\left(\frac{1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d}}{1 - \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}}\right)^h \leq \frac{1 + 2h^2 e^{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)d}}{1 - h^2 e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}} \leq 4$$

for any  $N < e^{\varepsilon d}$ . Therefore, we can bound  $\# \left( B_d(1 + \frac{Nh}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \# \left( B_d(1 + \frac{Nh}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(B_d(1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d}))}{\text{Vol}(D_{d,N})} \\ & \leq N^{-h} \left( \frac{1 + Nhe^{-\varepsilon_0 d}}{1 - \frac{h}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}} \right)^h \#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \\ & \leq 4N^{-h} \cdot \#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}), \end{aligned}$$

So finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\psi_{d,N}^{-1}(E) & \leq \# \left( B_d(1 + \frac{Nh}{2}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}) \cap N \cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \cdot \#E \\ & \leq 4N^{-h} \cdot \left( \#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \cdot \#E. \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 2.3,  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module when  $d$  is large enough, for such  $d$  we have  $\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) = N^h$ . Hence

$$\frac{\#\psi_{d,N}^{-1}(E)}{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \leq 4 \frac{\#E}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}$$

and we conclude.  $\square$

**Remark.** When  $d$  is large enough,  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module such that

$$h = \text{rk}(H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) = \chi(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

as  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is ample.

The asymptotic Riemann-Roch Theorem tells us then that

$$h = \frac{((\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}})^{n-1})}{(n-1)!} d^{n-1} + O(d^{n-2}),$$

where  $((\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}})^{n-1})$  is the intersection number of  $n-1$  copies of  $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ .

### 3. CONVERGENCE OF SPECIAL VALUES OF ZETA FUNCTIONS

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an arithmetic scheme of absolute dimension  $n$ . We fix from now on a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that for any prime integer  $p$  and any  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,

$$\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) = \#\mathcal{X}_p(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) \leq c_0 p^{(n-1)e},$$

where  $n$  is the absolute dimension of  $\mathcal{X}$  (so  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is of dimension  $n-1$ ). Such a constant exists by the Lang-Weil estimates in [LW54]. A good introduction to the function  $\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e})$  and its properties is Serre's book [Se12].

We know that the zeta function

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(s) = \prod_{x \in |X|} (1 - \#\kappa(x)^{-s})^{-1}$$

is absolutely convergent for any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\text{Re}(s) > n$ . Moreover, the zeta function of  $\mathcal{X}$  is the product of the zeta function of all its fibers, i.e. we have

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(s).$$

For later use, we calculate in this section the speed of convergence of

$$\prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x \leq r} (1 - \#\kappa(x)^{-(n+1)})$$

to  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1}$  when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , and that of  $\prod_{p \leq R} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1}$  to  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1}$  when  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any prime number  $p$  and any positive integer  $e \geq 1$ , we have*

$$-\log(1 - p^{-e}) < 2p^{-e}.$$

*In particular, for any closed point  $x$  on an arithmetic variety  $\mathcal{X}$  and any integer  $e \geq 1$ , we have*

$$-\log(1 - \#\kappa(x)^{-e}) < 2 \cdot \#\kappa(x)^{-e}.$$

*Proof.* For any real number  $0 < t \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ , we have  $-\log(1-t) \leq 2 \log(1+t)$ . Indeed, when  $0 < t \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ , we have

$$-1 < t^2 + t - 1 = \left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{5}{4} \leq 0.$$

Then

$$(1+t)^2(1-t) = 1 - (t^3 + t^2 - t) = 1 - t(t^2 + t - 1) \geq 1,$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{1-t} \leq (1+t)^2,$$

i.e.

$$-\log(1-t) \leq 2 \log(1+t).$$

Since for any  $t > 0$ ,  $\log(1+t) < t$ , we have for  $0 < t \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ ,

$$-\log(1-t) \leq 2t.$$

As  $\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$ , any prime  $p$  and positive integer  $r \geq 1$  satisfy  $p^{-r} \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ . Hence we conclude.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an arithmetic scheme of absolute dimension  $n$ . For any prime number  $p$  and any positive integer  $r \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\left| \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x \leq r} \left(1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x}\right) - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \leq 4c_0 p^{-2(r+1)}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1, for any closed point  $x$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ ,

$$-\log(1 - \#\kappa(x)^{-(n+1)}) < 2 \cdot \#\kappa(x)^{-(n+1)}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x > r} \left(-\log(1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x})\right) &< 2 \sum_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x > r} p^{-(n+1) \deg x} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{e=r+1}^{\infty} \#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) p^{-(n+1)e} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{e=r+1}^{\infty} c_0 p^{(n-1)e} \cdot p^{-(n+1)e} \\ &= 2c_0 \sum_{e=r+1}^{\infty} p^{-2e} \\ &= 2c_0 \frac{p^{-2(r+1)}}{1 - p^{-2}} \\ &< 4c_0 p^{-2(r+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any  $x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|$ ,

$$\left(1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x}\right) < 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x \leq r} (1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x}) - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \\
&= \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x \leq r} (1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x}) \cdot \left( 1 - \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x > r} (1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x}) \right) \\
&< 1 - \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x > r} (1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x}) \\
&= 1 - \exp \left( \sum_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x > r} \log (1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the above computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x \leq r} (1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x}) - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \\
&< 1 - \exp \left( -4c_0 p^{-2(r+1)} \right) \\
&< 4c_0 p^{-2(r+1)}
\end{aligned}$$

as for any  $t > 0$ ,  $e^{-t} > 1 - t$ . Therefore we conclude.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an arithmetic scheme of absolute dimension  $n$ . For any prime number  $p$ , we have*

$$0 < \log \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1) \leq 4c_0 p^{-2}.$$

*Proof.* In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < \log \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1) &= \log \left( \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|} (1 - \#\kappa(x)^{-(n+1)})^{-1} \right) \\
&= (-1) \sum_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|} \log (1 - \#\kappa(x)^{-(n+1)}) \\
&< \left( \sum_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|} 2\#\kappa(x)^{-(n+1)} \right) \\
&< 2 \sum_{e=1}^{\infty} \#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) \cdot p^{-e(n+1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the third line uses Lemma 3.1. By the choice of  $c_0$  at the beginning of this section, for any  $e$ ,

$$\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) = \#\mathcal{X}_p(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) \leq c_0 p^{(n-1)e}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{e=1}^{\infty} \#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) \cdot p^{-e(n+1)} &\leq c_0 \sum_{e=1}^{\infty} p^{-2e} \\
&\leq \frac{c_0 p^{-2}}{1 - p^{-2}} < 2c_0 p^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *When  $R \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  is large enough, we have*

$$\left| \prod_{p \leq R} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \right| < 8c_0 \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \cdot R^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(s) = \prod_p \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(s)$ , for a positive integer  $R$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \prod_{p \leq R} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \right| &= \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \cdot \left| \prod_{p > R} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1) - 1 \right| \\ &= \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \cdot \left| \exp \left( \sum_{p > R} \log \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1) \right) - 1 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 3.3  $0 < \log \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1) \leq 4c_0 p^{-2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \sum_{p > R} \log \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1) &< 4c_0 \sum_{p > R} p^{-2} \\ &< 4c_0 \sum_{k > R} k^{-2} \\ &< 4c_0 \int_R^{\infty} x^{-2} dx \\ &= 4c_0 R^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is sufficiently small, we have  $e^t - 1 < 2t$ . Therefore when  $R$  is sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \prod_{p \leq R} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \right| &= \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \cdot \left| \exp \left( \sum_{p > R} \log \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1) \right) - 1 \right| \\ &< \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \cdot |\exp(4c_0 R^{-1}) - 1| \\ &< 8c_0 R^{-1} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4. EFFECTIVE COMPUTATIONS ON A SINGLE FIBER

In this section, for a regular projective arithmetic variety  $\mathcal{X}$  of dimension  $n$  equipped with an ample line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ , we calculate the density of the set of global sections in  $H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  whose divisor has no singular point lying on a fiber  $\mathcal{X}_p$  for a fixed prime integer  $p$  when  $d \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that this density differs from the density of sections in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  whose divisor is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . This is because when a global section  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\text{div} \sigma$  has no singular point on  $\mathcal{X}_p$ , it is still possible that its image by restriction map  $\phi_{d,p} : H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\text{div} \phi_{d,p}(\sigma)$  is singular.

Indeed, let  $x$  be a closed point on the fiber  $\mathcal{X}_p$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  as a closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{X}$ . We may assume that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The maximal ideal of  $x$  as a closed point of  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x} = \mathfrak{m}_x / (p \cdot \mathfrak{m}_x)$ . For any  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , its divisor  $\text{div} \sigma$  is singular at  $x$  if and only if  $\sigma$  is contained in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_x^2)$ , where we identify  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_x^2)$  with a sub- $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  by regarding  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_x^2$  as a subsheaf of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}$ . This is equivalent to the condition that, denoting  $x'$  the closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{X}$  defined by the ideal sheaf  $\mathfrak{m}_x^2$ , the image of  $\sigma$  by the restriction map  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \rightarrow H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is 0. Similarly, denoting  $x''$  the closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{X}_p$  defined by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x}^2$ ,  $\text{div} \phi_{d,p}(\sigma)$  is singular at  $x$  if and only if the image of  $\sigma$  by the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(x'', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is 0. Note that as  $x$  is a regular point of  $\mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\#H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) = \#H^0(x', \mathcal{O}_{x'}) = p^{1+n}.$$

Similarly, since  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth,

$$\#H^0(x'', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) = \#H^0(x'', \mathcal{O}_{x''}) = p^{1+(n-1)} = p^n.$$

Moreover, by the definition of  $x'$  and  $x''$ , the restriction map  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \rightarrow H^0(x'', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  factors through

$$\#H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(x'', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}).$$

Therefore we have a strict inclusion

$$\text{Ker} \left( H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \right) \subsetneq \text{Ker} \left( H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(x'', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \right)$$

which implies that it is possible that  $\text{div}\phi_{d,p}(\sigma)$  is singular at  $x$  while  $\text{div}\sigma$  is regular at  $x$ .

**Example.** Consider  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$  together with the ample line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on it. Then  $X^2 + 5Y^2 - Z^2$  is a global section in  $H^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$ . The restriction  $\phi_{2,5}(X^2 + 5Y^2 - Z^2)$  in  $H^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_5}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$  is equal to  $X^2 - Z^2$ . So  $\text{div}(\phi_{2,5}(X^2 + 5Y^2 - Z^2))$  has a singular point  $P = \overline{[0, 1, 0]} \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_5}^2$ . But  $P$  is not a singular point of  $\text{div}(X^2 + 5Y^2 - Z^2)$ . Indeed, consider the open affine neighbourhood  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 - \text{div}(Y)$  of  $P$ . The ideal sheaf  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2, P}$  of  $P$  in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$  is generated by  $\frac{X}{Y}, \frac{Z}{Y}, 5 \in H^0(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2, P}^2$  is generated by  $\frac{X^2}{Y^2}, \frac{Z^2}{Y^2}, 25, \frac{XZ}{Y^2}, \frac{5X}{Y}, \frac{5Z}{Y}$ . Let  $P'$  be the first order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $P$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ . Then  $P'$  can be regarded as a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$  defined by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2, P}^2$ . Note that

$$X^2 + 5Y^2 - Z^2 = \left(\frac{X^2}{Y^2} - \frac{Z^2}{Y^2} + 5\right)Y^2.$$

The image of  $X^2 + 5Y^2 - Z^2$  in  $H^0(P', \mathcal{O}(2))$  is  $5 \cdot Y^2$ , which is non-zero. So  $P$  is a singular point of  $\text{div}(\phi_{2,5}(X^2 + 5Y^2 - Z^2))$ , but it is not a singular point of  $\text{div}(X^2 + 5Y^2 - Z^2)$

**4.1. Main result.** We write

$$\mathcal{P}_{d,p} := \left\{ \sigma \in H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \text{div } \sigma \text{ has no singular point on } \mathcal{X}_p \right\}.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety of absolute dimension  $n$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . There exists a constant  $C > 1$  such that for any large enough integer  $d$  and any prime number  $p$  verifying  $Cnp^n < d$ , we have*

$$\left| \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{d,p}}{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| = O\left(\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\right),$$

where the constant involved in big  $O$  is independent of  $d, p$ .

To prove the result, it suffices to prove the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.2.** *Define*

$$\mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2} := \left\{ \sigma' \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \forall x \in |\text{div}\sigma'|, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma', x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma', x}^2} = n-1 \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{X}_{p^2} = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then for any prime number  $p$  verifying  $Cnp^n < d$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| = O\left(\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\right),$$

where the constant involved in big  $O$  is independent of  $d, p$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Assuming this proposition, by Proposition 2.4 we can find constants  $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that when  $d$  is large enough, for any prime number  $p$  such that  $p^2 \leq \exp(d^{\alpha_0})$  and any  $\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ ,

$$\frac{\left| \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma'_1) \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) - \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma'_2) \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \right|}{\# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma'_1) \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right)} \leq e^{-\eta d},$$

where  $\phi_{d,p^2} : H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is the restriction map. When we take the sum over all  $\sigma'_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , as

$$\bigcup_{\sigma'_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma'_2) \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) = H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma'_1) \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \cdot \left( \# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) - \# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right| \\
\leq & \sum_{\sigma'_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \left| \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma'_1) \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) - \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma'_2) \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \right| \\
\leq & \sum_{\sigma'_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} e^{-\eta d} \cdot \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma'_1) \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \\
= & e^{-\eta d} \cdot \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma'_1) \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \cdot \# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing both side of the inequality by  $\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , we get

$$\left| \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma') \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) - \frac{\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \right| \leq e^{-\eta d} \cdot \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma') \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right).$$

Since  $\mathcal{P}_{d,p}$  is exactly the preimage of  $\mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}$  in  $H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , summing up over all  $\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \# \mathcal{P}_{d,p} - \frac{\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \cdot \# \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2} \right| \\
= & \left| \# \bigcup_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}} \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma') \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) - \frac{\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \cdot \# \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2} \right| \\
\leq & \sum_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}} \left| \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma') \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) - \frac{\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \right| \\
\leq & \sum_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}} e^{-\eta d} \cdot \# \left( \phi_{d,p^2}^{-1}(\sigma') \cap H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \\
= & e^{-\eta d} \cdot \# \mathcal{P}_{d,p} \leq e^{-\eta d} \cdot \# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}).
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing this inequality by  $\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , we get

$$\left| \frac{\# \mathcal{P}_{d,p}}{\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \frac{\# \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \right| \leq e^{-\eta d}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\# \mathcal{P}_{d,p}}{\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \\
\leq & \left| \frac{\# \mathcal{P}_{d,p}}{\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \frac{\# \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \right| + \left| \frac{\# \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \\
= & O(e^{-\eta d}) + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\right) = O\left(\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The proof of Proposition 4.2 follows the method of Poonen for his proof of the Bertini theorem over finite fields in [Po04].

We will prove Proposition 4.2 through the following steps.

- (1) In Section 4.2 we will calculate the proportion of  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}^2_{\text{div} \sigma, x}} = n-1$  for any closed point  $x$  of degree  $\leq r$  for an integer  $r$ . This proportion equals to

$$\prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}|, \deg x \leq r} (1 - \#\kappa(x)^{-(1+n)})$$

for  $r$  not too big. We will give a bound  $r_d$  for  $r$  depending on  $d$  where this proportion is valide for any  $0 < r \leq r_d$ .

- (2) Then in Section 4.3, we will show that for some integer constant  $N$ , the proportion of  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  such that there exists a closed point  $x$  of degree between  $r_d$  and  $\frac{d-N}{nN}$  where the condition  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} = n-1$  is not satisfied tends to 0 when  $d$  tends to infinity.
- (3) In Section 4.4, we will show the following : there exists a constant  $N(p)$  depending on  $p$  such that the proportion of  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  which satisfy the condition that there exists a closed point  $x$  of degree strictly larger than  $\frac{d-N(p)}{nN(p)}$  where we have  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} \neq n-1$  tends to 0 when  $d$  tends to infinity.
- (4) In Section 4.5, we will put these three estimates together to get an effective estimate of proportion of global sections whose divisor has no singular point on one single fiber.

In the following, we need a relative version of Lemma A.2:

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on a projective scheme  $\mathcal{Y}$  flat over an open subscheme  $S = \text{Spec } R$  of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that*

- i)  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$  is very ample for all  $d \geq N$ ;
- ii) for any  $a, b \geq N$ , the natural morphism of  $R$ -modules

$$H^0(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes a}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes b}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(a+b)})$$

is surjective.

*Proof.* It suffices to take the integer  $N$  such that Lemma A.2 holds for the generic fiber  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and that  $H^0(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is torsion free for any  $d \geq N$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Singular points of small degree.** We need a lemma:

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $Z$  be a closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{X}_{p^2}$  of dimension 0, and let  $N$  be a positive integer such that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes N}$  is very ample. The restriction morphism*

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective when  $d \geq N \cdot (h_0 + 1)$ , where  $h_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C_d$  be the cokernel of the restriction map. Then  $C_d \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$  is the cokernel of

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) = H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p.$$

When  $d \geq N \cdot (h_0 + 1)$ , by Lemma A.3, we have  $C_d \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p = 0$ . Then by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow pC_d \longrightarrow C_d \longrightarrow C_d \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get  $pC_d = C_d$ . Applying Nakayama's lemma to  $C_d$ , considered as a  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ -module, we get  $C_d = 0$ . Thus the surjectivity of the restriction map in the lemma holds when  $d \geq N \cdot (h_0 + 1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Set*

$$\mathcal{P}'_{d, p^2, \leq r} := \left\{ \sigma' \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \forall x \in |\text{div}\sigma'| \text{ of degree } \leq r, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma', x}^{\text{div}\sigma', x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma', x}^2} = n-1 \right\}.$$

For any positive integer  $r$  satisfying  $2c_0 N n r p^{(n-1)r} \leq d$ , we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{d, p^2, \leq r}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x \leq r} (1 - p^{-(n+1)\deg x}).$$

*Proof.* For any closed point  $x \in \mathcal{X}_{p^2}$ , let  $x'$  be the closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{X}_{p^2}$  defined by the square of the maximal ideal of  $x$ . Then  $x'$  is the first order infinitesimal neighborhood of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{p^2}$ . We have  $x' \simeq \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, x} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, x}^2)$ . A section  $\sigma' \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\text{div}\sigma'$  contains  $x$  and that  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma', x}^{\text{div}\sigma', x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma', x}^2} = n$  if and only if the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(x', \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$$

sends  $\sigma'$  to 0. For a positive integer  $r$ , let  $\mathcal{X}'_{p^2, \leq r}$  be the disjoint union

$$\mathcal{X}'_{p^2, \leq r} = \coprod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|, \deg x \leq r} x'.$$



Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}'_{p^2, \leq r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \simeq \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}'_{p^2}|, \deg x \leq r} H^0(x', \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}).$$

A section  $\sigma' \in H^0(\mathcal{X}'_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\text{div} \sigma'$  is regular at all closed points  $x$  of degree  $\leq r$  if and only if its image in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}'_{p^2, \leq r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  lies in the subset which by the above natural isomorphism corresponds to

$$\prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}'_{p^2}|, \deg x \leq r} \left( H^0(x', \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) - \{0\} \right).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^0(\mathcal{X}'_{p^2, \leq r}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'_{p^2, \leq r}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \prod_{\deg x \leq r} \mathcal{O}_{x'}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p \\ &= \sum_{\deg x \leq r} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^0(x', \mathcal{O}_{x'}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p \\ &= \sum_{\deg x \leq r} ((n-1) + 1) \deg x \\ &\leq n \sum_{e=1}^r \# \mathcal{X}_{p^2}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) e \\ &\leq n \sum_{e=1}^r c_0 p^{(n-1)e} \\ &\leq nc_0 r \cdot \sum_{e=1}^r p^{(n-1)e} \\ &\leq nc_0 r \frac{p^{(n-1)(r+1)} - 1}{p^{n-1} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4, when  $d \geq N \cdot (nc_0 r \frac{p^{(n-1)(r+1)} - 1}{p^{n-1} - 1} + 1)$  with  $N$  as in the lemma, the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}'_{p^2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'_{p^2, \leq r}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective. In particular, since we have

$$nc_0 r \frac{p^{(n-1)(r+1)} - 1}{p^{n-1} - 1} + 1 \leq nc_0 r \frac{p^{(n-1)(r+1)}}{\frac{1}{2} p^{n-1}} = 2nc_0 r p^{(n-1)r},$$

the surjectivity of the restriction holds for  $r, d$  verifying

$$2c_0 N n r p^{(n-1)r} \leq d.$$

For such  $r, d$ , we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{d, p^2, \leq r}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x \leq r} \left( 1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x} \right).$$

□

**4.3. Singular points of medium degree.** Let  $N$  be an integer satisfying Lemma 4.3. Set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{d, p^2, r}^{\text{med}} := \left\{ \sigma' \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in |\text{div} \sigma'| \text{ of degree } r < \deg x \leq \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}^2} = n \right\}$$

**Lemma 4.6.** *We have for  $r \geq 1$ ,*

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d, p^2, r}^{\text{med}}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} < 2c_0 p^{-2(r+1)},$$

where the constant  $c_0$  is as defined in Section 3.

*Proof.* For any closed point  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{p^2}$ , applying Lemma 4.4 to the first order infinitesimal neighborhood  $x'$  of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{p^2}$ , we get that the restriction morphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{O}_{x'} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective when

$$N(n \deg x + 1) \leq d,$$

which is when  $\deg x \leq \frac{d-N}{Nn}$ . We can then estimate the proportion of elements in  $\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2,r}^{\text{med}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2,r}^{\text{med}}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} &\leq \sum_{r < \deg x \leq \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor} \#\text{Ker}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{O}_{x'} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})) \\ &\leq \sum_{e=r+1}^{\lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor} \#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) p^{-(n+1)e} \\ &\leq \sum_{e=r+1}^{\infty} c_0 p^{(n-1)e} p^{-(n+1)e} \\ &\leq c_0 \sum_{e=r+1}^{\infty} p^{-2e} \\ &= \frac{c_0 p^{-2(r+1)}}{1-p^{-2}} \\ &< 2c_0 p^{-2(r+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4.4. Singular points of large degree.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Fix a constant  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . There exist positive integers  $N_0, N_1$  only depending on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  such that for any  $p \leq d^\alpha$ , denoting*

$$N(p) = (N_0 + 1)(N_1 + p - 1) + p,$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}} := \left\{ \sigma' \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \bar{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in |\text{div} \sigma'| \text{ of degree } > \frac{d - N(p)}{N(p)n}, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}^2} = n \right\},$$

we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O\left(d^n p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}}\right),$$

where  $c_1$  and the constant involved in big  $O$  are independent of  $d, p$  and  $\alpha$ .

In particular, we have

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = 0.$$

**Remark.** When  $d$  is large enough, for any  $p \leq d^\alpha$ ,

$$d^n p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}} \leq d^n d^{-c_1 \alpha d^{1-\alpha}} = d^{n - c_1 \alpha d^{1-\alpha}}.$$

As  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $d^{n - c_1 \alpha d^{1-\alpha}}$  tends to 0 when  $d$  tends to infinity. So the above proportion  $\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})}$  is always near 0 for any  $p \leq d^\alpha$  when  $d$  is large enough.

Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is regular, so is its generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , which is equivalent to say that  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This implies that we can find an open subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}}$  is smooth over  $\mathcal{S}$ . We will give a uniform control of the proportion of  $\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}$  for primes  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $2c_0 N(p) n p^{n-1} \leq d$ . As  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} - \mathcal{S}$  is a finite scheme, the set of primes  $p$  where the proportion of  $\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}$  is not controlled is finite in number. We then give independent control of the proportion of  $\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}$  for each fiber with constants possibly depending on  $p$ . The finiteness of such  $p$  permits us to get a uniform control for all primes  $p$  verifying  $2c_0 N(p) n p^{n-1} \leq d$ .

Thus Proposition 4.7 is implied by the following two propositions:

**Proposition 4.8.** *Fix a constant  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For any prime  $p \leq d^\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  we have*

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O\left(d^{n-1}p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}}\right),$$

where  $c_1$  and the constant involved in big  $O$  are independent of  $d, p$  and  $\alpha$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** *Fix a constant  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For any prime number  $p \leq d^\alpha$  with possibly singular  $\mathcal{X}_p$ , we have*

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O\left(d^n p^{-c'_1 \frac{d}{p}}\right),$$

where  $c'_1$  and the constant involved in big  $O$  are independent of  $d, \alpha$ , but may depend on  $p$ .

Before proving Proposition 4.8, we need some preparation.

**Lemma 4.10.** *With the same notation as in Proposition 4.8. For an open subscheme  $U$  of  $\mathcal{X}_S$ , set*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,U}^{\text{high}} = \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \text{div} \sigma \text{ has a singular point in } U \cap \text{div} \sigma \text{ of degree} > \frac{d-N}{Nn} \right\}.$$

Then Proposition 4.8 holds if

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,U}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O\left(d^{n-1}p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}}\right),$$

for all  $U$  verifying the following conditions: with a chosen constant  $N_0$  satisfying Lemma 4.3,

1) we can find  $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \in \mathbb{H}^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$  such that

$$\Omega_{U/S}^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_U dt_i;$$

2) there exists a  $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (N_0+1)})$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_S - U = \text{div} \tau_0$ ;

3) there exist  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N_0})$  such that  $U = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq k} (\mathcal{X}_S - \text{div} \tau_j)$ .

*Proof.* As  $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_S/S}^1$  is a locally free sheaf, we can find a finite open covering  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $\mathcal{X}_S$  and sections  $t_{\alpha,1}, \dots, t_{\alpha,n-1} \in \mathbb{H}^0(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha})$  such that

$$\Omega_{U_\alpha/S}^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} dt_{\alpha,i}.$$

For any  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ , we get an open covering  $\{U_{\alpha,p^2}\}$  of  $\mathcal{X}_{p^2}$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  is relatively ample, we may take a positive integer  $N_0 > 0$  such that for any  $d \geq N_0$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}_S - U_\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{L}_S^{\otimes d}$  is globally generated, where  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}_S - U_\alpha}$  is the ideal sheaf of  $\mathcal{X}_S - U_\alpha$ . By possibly taking a smaller open covering and enlarging  $N_0$ , we may assume that conditions (2) and (3) hold for each  $U_\alpha$ . The covering  $U_\alpha$  and sections chosen are independent of  $d$  and  $p$ .

For an open set  $U$  of  $\mathcal{X}_S$  flat over  $\mathcal{S}$ , set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2,U}^{\text{high}} = \left\{ \sigma' \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in |\text{div} \sigma' \cap U| \text{ of degree} > \frac{d-N}{Nn}, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}^2} = n \right\}.$$

To bound  $\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2,U}^{\text{high}}$ , it suffices to bound  $\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2,U_\alpha}^{\text{high}}$  for all  $U_\alpha$  in the covering. Note that for any  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  and any  $x \in |\text{div} \sigma|$ , we have an exact sequence

$$p\mathcal{O}_{\text{div} \sigma} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}^2} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}^2} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\overline{\sigma} = \sigma \bmod p$  is the restriction in  $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  and  $\text{div} \overline{\sigma}$  is the divisor in  $\mathcal{X}_p$ . Therefore

$$\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}^2} \geq \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}^2} - 1$$

In particular, if  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}^2} = n = \dim \mathcal{X}_S$ , then as

$$\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma', x}^2} - 1 \leq \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}^2} \leq \dim \mathcal{X}_p = n - 1,$$

we have  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}^2} = n - 1$ , which means that  $x$  is a singular point of  $\text{div} \overline{\sigma}$ .

Then for a section  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2,U}^{\text{high}}$  implies  $\bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p,U}^{\text{high}}$ . Thus

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2,U}^{\text{high}}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \leq \frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p,U}^{\text{high}}\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,U}^{\text{high}}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})}.$$

It suffices then to bound  $\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,U_\alpha}^{\text{high}}$  for  $U_\alpha$  in the covering. Here all  $U_\alpha$  satisfies the conditions in the lemma.  $\square$

Now for an open subscheme  $U$  as in Lemma 4.10, we get morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_j : H^0(\mathcal{X}_S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) &\longrightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \frac{\sigma \cdot \tau_j^d}{\tau_0^d} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

On the other hand, Lemma A.10 in the appendix tells us that there exists a positive integer  $N_1$  such that for any  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , any  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , the section  $(\partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma)) \cdot \tau_0^{d+\delta}$  extends to a global section of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes (N_0+1)(d+\delta)}$  for any  $\delta \geq N_1$ .

In fact, this integer  $N_1$  is such that every  $t_i \cdot \tau_0^{N_1}$  extends to a global section. So  $N_1$  is again independent of  $d$  and  $p$ . We enlarge  $N_0$  if necessary so that it verifies the following conditions:

- 1)  $N_0 + 1$  is a prime number ;
- 2) for any  $d \geq N_0$ ,  $(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_S})^{\otimes d}$  is very ample;
- 3) for any  $a, b \geq N_0$ , we have a surjective morphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes a}) \otimes_{H^0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}_S)} H^0(\mathcal{X}_S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes b}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (a+b)}).$$

We will prove the following result:

**Lemma 4.11.** *For any prime  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ , take*

$$N(p) = (N_0 + 1)(N_1 + p - 1) + p = p(N_0 + 2) + (N_0 + 1)(N_1 - 1).$$

*With notation as in Proposition 4.7, if  $p$  and  $N(p)$  satisfy  $2c_0 N(p) n p^{n-1} \leq d$ , then we have*

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,U}^{\text{high}}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O\left(d^{n-1} p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}}\right),$$

*where  $c_1$  and the constant involved in big  $O$  are independent of  $d, p$ .*

By Lemma 4.10, this implies Proposition 4.8.

*Proof of Lemma 4.11.* For each  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ , if  $d \geq N(p)$ ,  $d$  has a unique decomposition

$$d = pk_{p,d} + (N_0 + 1)l_{p,d}$$

with  $N_1 \leq l_{p,d} \leq N_1 + p$ . We have a surjective map

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d}) \right) \times H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{k_d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

which sends  $(\sigma_0, (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}), \gamma)$  to

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d},$$

where  $\tau_{0,p}$  is the restriction of  $\tau_0$  modulo  $p$  in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (N_0+1)})$ . Thus

$$\Phi_{j,p}(\sigma) = \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Phi_{j,p}(\beta_i)^p t_i \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d} + \Phi_{j,p}(\gamma)^p \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d}$$

in  $H^0(U \cap \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{O}_{U \cap \mathcal{X}_p})$ , where  $\Phi_{j,p} = \Phi_j|_{U \cap \mathcal{X}_p}$ . Since  $\tau_{0,p}$  is nowhere zero on  $U$ , the singular locus of  $\text{div} \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma)$  is the intersection of the singular locus of  $\text{div} \sigma$  with  $U \cap \mathcal{X}_p$ . Since

$$\partial_i[\Phi_{j,p}(\beta_i)^p t_i \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d}] = \Phi_{j,p}(\beta_i)^p \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d} + l_d \Phi_{j,p}(\beta_i)^p t_i \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d-1} \cdot \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p}),$$

and for any  $i' \neq i$ ,

$$\partial_{i'}[\Phi_{j,p}(\beta_i)^p t_i \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d}] = l_d \Phi_{j,p}(\beta_i)^p t_i \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d-1} \cdot \partial_{i'} \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma) &= \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma_0) + \sum_{i'=1}^{n-1} \partial_i [\Phi_{j,p}(\beta_{i'})^p t_{i'} \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d}] + \partial_i [\Phi_{j,p}(\gamma)^p \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d}] \\
&= \left[ \sum_{i'=1}^{n-1} l_d \Phi_{j,p}(\beta_{i'})^p t_{i'} \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d-1} + l_d \Phi_{j,p}(\gamma)^p \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d-1} \right] \cdot \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p}) \\
&\quad + \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma_0) + \Phi_{j,p}(\beta_i)^p \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d} \\
&= \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma_0) + \frac{l_d(\Phi_{j,p}(\sigma) - \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma_0))}{\Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})} \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p}) + \Phi_{j,p}(\beta_i)^p \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d}
\end{aligned}$$

Now set

$$g_{p,j,i}(\sigma_0, \beta_i) = \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma_0) - \frac{l_d \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma_0)}{\Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})} \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p}) + \Phi_{j,p}(\beta_i)^p \Phi_{j,p}(\tau_{0,p})^{l_d},$$

and

$$W_{p,j,i} := \mathcal{X}_p \cap U \cap \{g_{p,j,1} = \dots = g_{p,j,i} = 0\}.$$

Then for any  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$ , comparing the expressions of  $g_{p,j,i}$  and  $\partial_i \Phi_{j,p}$  we have

$$g_{p,j,i}(\sigma_0, \beta_i) |_{\text{div } \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma)} = \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma) |_{\text{div } \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma)}.$$

Moreover, any section  $g_{p,j,i}(\sigma_0, \beta_i) \cdot \tau_{0,p}^{d+\delta} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p \cap U, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (N_0+1)(d+\delta)})$  can be extended to a global section in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (N_0+1)(d+\delta)})$  for any  $\delta \geq N_1$ .

**Lemma 4.12.** *When  $d$  is sufficiently large, the proportion of*

$$(\sigma_0, (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}), \gamma) \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d}) \right) \times H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{k_d})$$

such that for  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$ ,

$$\text{div } \sigma \cap W_{p,n-1,j} \cap \left\{ x \in |\mathcal{X}_p| ; \deg x > \frac{d - N(p)}{N(p)n} \right\} = \emptyset,$$

is

$$1 - O\left(d^{n-1} p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}}\right),$$

with a constant  $c_1$  depending only on  $N_0$  and  $N_1$ .

*Proof.* Apply Lemma A.11 to the case  $Y = \mathcal{X}_p$  and  $X = U \cap \mathcal{X}_p$ . We obtain that for  $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ , with a fixed choice of  $\sigma_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_i$  such that  $\dim W_{p,i,j} \leq n-1-i$ , the proportion of  $\beta_{i+1}$  in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$  such that  $\dim W_{p,i+1,j} \leq n-2-i$  is  $1 - O(d^i \cdot p^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}})$ , where the constant involved depends only on the degree of  $\mathcal{X}_p$  when embedded in  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{L}_p^{\otimes (N_0+1)})^\vee)$  (this degree is independent of  $p$ ), hence is independent of  $d, p$ . In particular, the proportion of  $(\sigma_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$  such that  $W_{p,n-1,j}$  is finite is

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \left( 1 - O(d^i \cdot p^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}}) \right) = 1 - O(d^{n-2} \cdot p^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}}).$$

And then Lemma A.12 tells us that for fixed  $(\sigma_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$  making  $W_{p,n-1,j}$  finite, the proportion of  $\gamma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$  such that for  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$ ,

$$\text{div } \sigma \cap W_{p,n-1,j} \cap \left\{ x \in |\mathcal{X}_p| ; \deg x > \frac{d - N(p)}{N(p)n} \right\} = \emptyset,$$

is

$$1 - O\left(d^{n-1} p^{-\frac{d-N(p)}{nN(p)}}\right),$$

where the constant involved is independent of  $d, p$ .

Therefore for large enough  $d$ , the proportion of

$$(\sigma_0, (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}), \gamma) \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d}) \right) \times H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{k_d})$$

such that

$$\operatorname{div}\sigma \cap W_{p,n-1,j} \cap \left\{ x \in |\mathcal{X}_p| ; \deg x > \frac{d-N(p)}{N(p)n} \right\} = \emptyset,$$

where  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$ , is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \left( 1 - O\left( d^i \cdot p^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}} \right) \right) \right) \cdot \left( 1 - O\left( d^{n-1} p^{-\frac{d-N(p)}{nN(p)}} \right) \right) \\ &= \left( 1 - O\left( d^{n-2} \cdot p^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}} \right) \right) \cdot \left( 1 - O\left( d^{n-1} p^{-\frac{d-N(p)}{nN(p)}} \right) \right) \\ &= \left( 1 - O\left( d^{n-2} \cdot p^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}} \right) \right) \cdot \left( 1 - O\left( d^{n-1} p^{-\frac{d}{nN(p)}} \right) \right) \\ &= 1 - O\left( \max\left( d^{n-2} p^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}}, d^{n-1} p^{-\frac{d}{nN(p)}} \right) \right) \\ &= 1 - O\left( d^{n-1} p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with a constant  $c_1$  depending only on  $N_0$  and  $N_1$ . □

On the other hand, for such  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Sing}(\operatorname{div}\sigma) \cap U &\subset \operatorname{Sing}(\operatorname{div}\Phi_{j,p}(\sigma)) \\ &= \operatorname{div}\Phi_{j,p}(\sigma) \cap \{\partial_1 \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma) = \dots = \partial_{n-1} \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma) = 0\} \\ &= \operatorname{div}\Phi_{j,p}(\sigma) \cap \{g_{p,j,1}(\sigma_0, \beta_1) = \dots = g_{p,j,n-1}(\sigma_0, \beta_{n-1}) = 0\} \\ &= \operatorname{div}\Phi_{j,p}(\sigma) \cap W_{p,n-1,j} \\ &= \operatorname{div}\sigma \cap W_{p,n-1,j} \end{aligned}$$

as

$$g_{p,j,i}(\sigma_0, \beta_i)|_{\operatorname{div}\Phi_{j,p}(\sigma)} = \partial_i \Phi_{j,p}(\sigma)|_{\operatorname{div}\Phi_{j,p}(\sigma)}.$$

Since the homomorphism of groups

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d}) \right) \times H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{k_d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

sending  $(\sigma_0, (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}), \gamma)$  to  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$  is surjective, Lemma 4.12 implies that

$$\frac{\#\left\{ \sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \operatorname{Sing}(\operatorname{div}\sigma) \cap U \cap \left\{ x \in |\mathcal{X}_p| ; \deg x > \frac{d-N(p)}{N(p)n} \right\} = \emptyset \right\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = 1 - O\left( d^{n-1} p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}} \right).$$

which means that the proportion of  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\operatorname{div}\sigma$  has no singular point of degree strictly larger than  $\frac{d-N(p)}{N(p)n}$ , that is elements not contained in  $\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,U}^{\text{high}}$  is  $1 - O\left( d^{n-1} p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}} \right)$  with a constant  $c_1$  depending only on  $N_0$  and  $N_1$ . We therefore conclude that

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,U}^{\text{high}}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O\left( d^{n-1} p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}} \right).$$

with a possibly smaller  $c_1$ .

Now that we have proved Lemma 4.11 except for the prime number  $p = N_0 + 1$ , we can run the same processus with another constant  $N'_0$  which is prime to  $N_0 + 1$ . We get a control for the proportion of  $\mathcal{Q}_{d,(N_0+1),U}^{\text{high}}$  with different constants. But by modifying the constant  $c_1$  and the constant involved in the big  $O$ , this case can be included in the uniform control. Therefore we proved Lemma 4.11. □

Now we proceed to prove the Proposition 4.9.

*Proof of Proposition 4.9.* The main problem for controlling the proportion of  $\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}$  for  $p \notin \mathcal{S}$  is that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  over  $p$  might be singular. We decompose  $\mathcal{X}_p$  into regular and singular part:

$$\mathcal{X}_p = U_p \cup Z_p$$

where  $Z_p = \text{Sing}(\mathcal{X}_p)$  is the singular locus of  $\mathcal{X}_p$  and  $U_p = \mathcal{X}_p - Z_p$ . As  $\mathcal{X}$  is regular, for a closed point  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}_p$ , if  $x \in U_p$ ,  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x}^2 = n - 1$ ; if  $x \in Z_p$ ,  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x}^2 = \dim_{\kappa(x)} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}, x} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}, x}^2 = n$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{d, U_p}^{\text{high}} &= \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in |\text{div} \sigma \cap U_p| \text{ of degree } > \frac{d - N(p)}{N(p)n}, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}^2} = n - 1 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{d, Z_p}^{\text{high}} &= \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in |\text{div} \sigma \cap Z_p| \text{ of degree } > \frac{d - N(p)}{N(p)n}, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}^2} = n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a section  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , assume that  $\text{div} \sigma$  contains a closed point  $x$  with  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}^2} = n$ . Let  $\overline{\sigma} = \sigma \bmod p$  be its image in  $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ . Then if  $x \in U_p$ ,  $x$  is also a singular point of  $\text{div} \overline{\sigma} \cap U_p$ , i.e.  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}^2} = n - 1$ ; if  $x \in Z_p$ , we have then  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \overline{\sigma}, x}^2} = n$ . So we have

$$\{\overline{\sigma} ; \sigma \in \mathcal{Q}_{d, p^2}^{\text{high}}\} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{d, U_p}^{\text{high}} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{d, Z_p}^{\text{high}},$$

hence

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d, p^2}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \leq \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d, U_p}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} + \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d, Z_p}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}$$

We can bound the first term  $\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d, U_p}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}$  by exactly the same method as in the proof of the Proposition 4.11. The second term can be bounded by a slightly different way.

As now  $(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_p/\mathbb{F}_p}^1)|_{Z_p}$  is locally free of rank  $n$ , we cover an open neighbourhood of  $Z_p$  by open subschemes  $V_{Z_p, \alpha}$  such that there exists  $t_{\alpha, 1}, \dots, t_{\alpha, n} \in \mathbb{H}^0(V_{Z_p, \alpha}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{Z_p, \alpha}})$  such that the image  $\overline{dt}_i$  of  $dt_i$  in  $(\Omega_{V_{Z_p, \alpha}/\mathbb{F}_p}^1)|_{V_{Z_p, \alpha} \cap Z_p}$  satisfies

$$(\Omega_{V_{Z_p, \alpha}/\mathbb{F}_p}^1)|_{V_{Z_p, \alpha} \cap Z_p} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{V_{Z_p, \alpha} \cap Z_p} \overline{dt}_i.$$

Then the same process as in the proof of the Proposition 4.11 gives us that the proportion of  $\mathcal{Q}_{d, Z_p}^{\text{high}}$  is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \left( \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - O(d^i \cdot p^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}})) \right) \cdot \left( 1 - O(d^n p^{-\frac{d-N'(p)}{nN'(p)}}) \right) \\ &= 1 - \left( 1 - O(d^n \cdot p^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}}) \right) \cdot \left( 1 - O(d^n p^{-\frac{d-N'(p)}{nN'(p)}}) \right) \\ &= O\left(d^n p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

with different constants. Thus Proposition 4.9 is proved.  $\square$

#### 4.5. Proof of Proposition 4.2.

*Proof.* As in the previous section, let  $N_0$  be an integer verifying the following conditions:

- 1)  $N_0 + 1$  is a prime number ;
- 2) for any  $d \geq N_0$ ,  $(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_S})^{\otimes d}$  is relatively very ample;
- 3) for any  $a, b \geq N_0$ , we have a surjective morphism

$$\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes a}) \otimes_{\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}_S)} \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes b}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (a+b)}).$$

Let  $N_1$  be such that for any  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , any  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the section  $(\partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma)) \cdot \tau_0^{d+\delta}$  extends to a global section of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes (N_0+1)(d+\delta)}$  for any  $\delta \geq N_1$ . For each  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ , take

$$N(p) = (N_0 + 1)(N_1 + p - 1) + p = p(N_0 + 2) + (N_0 + 1)(N_1 - 1).$$

In particular,  $N(p)$  also satisfies the above 3 conditions. By Lemma 4.5, for any positive integer  $r$  which satisfies  $2c_0 N(p) n r p^{(n-1)r} \leq d$ , we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{d, p^2, \leq r}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} = \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x \leq r} \left( 1 - p^{-(n+1) \deg x} \right).$$

Let  $r_{p,d}$  be the largest  $r$  verifying this condition. In order to have  $r_{p,d} \geq 1$ , we need

$$2c_0N(p)np^{n-1} \leq d.$$

Let  $C = 2c_0(N_0 + 3)$ . When  $d$  is larger than  $2c_0n(N_0 + 3)(N_0 + 1)(N_1 - 1)$ , if  $p$  satisfies  $Cnp^n < d$ , then either  $p < (N_0 + 1)(N_1 - 1)$ , which implies  $2c_0N(p)np^{n-1} \leq d$ ; or  $(N_0 + 1)(N_1 - 1) \leq p < Cnp^n < d$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_0N(p)np^{n-1} &= 2c_0[p(N_0 + 2) + (N_0 + 1)(N_1 - 1)]np^{n-1} \\ &\leq 2c_0(N_0 + 3)pn^{n-1} \\ &= Cnp^n < d. \end{aligned}$$

So the above condition is satisfied, hence  $r_{p,d} \geq 1$ .

Since

$$\mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2} \subset \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2, \leq r_{p,d}} \subset \mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2, r_{p,d}}^{\text{med}} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} - \frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2, \leq r_{p,d}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \right| + \left| \frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2, \leq r_{p,d}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2, r_{p,d}}^{\text{med}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} + \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} + \left| \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} - \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|, \deg x \leq r_{p,d}} (1 - p^{-(n+1)\deg x}) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.6,

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2, r_{p,d}}^{\text{med}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} < 2c_0p^{-2(r_{p,d}+1)}$$

By the choice of  $r_{p,d}$ , we have

$$2c_0N(p)n(r_{p,d} + 1) \cdot p^{(n-1)(r_{p,d}+1)} > d.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-(r_{p,d}+1)} &= \left( p^{n(r_{p,d}+1)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \\ &< \left( (r_{p,d} + 1)p^{(n-1)(r_{p,d}+1)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \\ &< \left( \frac{d}{2c_0N(p)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= O\left( \left( \frac{d}{p} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2, r_{p,d}}^{\text{med}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O\left( \left( \frac{d}{p} \right)^{-\frac{2}{n}} \right),$$

where the coefficient involved in is independent of  $d, p$ .

By Proposition 4.7, we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O\left( d^n p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}} \right),$$

where again the coefficient involved in is independent of  $d, p$ .

Note that Lemma 3.2 shows

$$\left| \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|, \deg x \leq r_{p,d}} (1 - p^{-(n+1)\deg x}) - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \leq 4c_0p^{-2(r_{p,d}+1)} = O\left( \left( \frac{d}{p} \right)^{-\frac{2}{n}} \right).$$



Finally, by putting together all these three inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{d,p^2}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \\
& \leq \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2,r_{p,d}}^{\text{med}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} + \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} + \left| \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} - \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|, \deg x \leq r_{p,d}} (1 - p^{-(n+1)\deg x}) \right| \\
& = O\left(\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\right) + O\left(d^n p^{-c_1 \frac{d}{p}}\right) + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\right) \\
& = O\left(\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficient involved in is independent of  $d, p$ , which is what we need to show. Hence we conclude.  $\square$

## 5. SINGULAR POINTS OF SMALL RESIDUAL CHARACTERISTIC

In this section, we will show the following result :

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety of absolute dimension  $n$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Set*

$$\mathcal{P}_{d,p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} := \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \begin{array}{l} \text{div } \sigma \text{ has no singular point of residual} \\ \text{characteristic smaller than } d^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

When  $d$  is sufficiently large, we have

$$\left| \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{d,p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}}{\#\mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \right| = O(d^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}).$$

Here the constant involved in the big  $O$  depends only on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

In particular, denoting  $\mathcal{P}_B = \bigcup_{d>0} \mathcal{P}_{d,p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}$ , we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}_B) = \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1}.$$

**5.1. Union of a finite number of fibers.** Let  $p, q$  be two different prime numbers. Then we have

$$\mathcal{X}_{p^2 q^2} = \mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^2 q^2 \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathcal{X}_{p^2} \amalg \mathcal{X}_{q^2}.$$

For any  $d \geq 0$ , we have an isomorphism

$$\lambda_{p^2 q^2} : \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2 q^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{q^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}).$$

For any  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_{p,d} \cap \mathcal{P}_{q,d}$  if and only if the restriction map

$$\psi_{d,p^2 q^2} : \mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2 q^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$$

sends  $\sigma$  to an element in the set  $\lambda_{p^2 q^2}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}'_{p^2,d} \times \mathcal{P}'_{q^2,d})$ . Therefore we have

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#(\mathcal{P}_{p,d} \cap \mathcal{P}_{q,d})}{\#\mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} = \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_q}(n+1)^{-1}.$$

More generally, for any finite set  $I$  of prime numbers  $p$ , we have

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#(\bigcap_{p \in I} \mathcal{P}_{p,d})}{\#\mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} = \prod_{p \in I} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we may only consider  $d > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is free. Then for any positive integer  $N$ , we have

$$\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) / (N \cdot \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}))$$

By Proposition 2.4, we can find a positive constant  $\alpha_0 < 1$  such that when  $d$  is large enough, for any  $N < e^{d^{\alpha_0}}$ , the map

$$\psi_{d,N} : \mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective and there exists a positive constant  $\eta$  with

$$\frac{|\#\psi^{-1}(\sigma) - \#\psi^{-1}(\sigma')|}{\#\psi^{-1}(\sigma)} \leq e^{-\eta d}.$$

for any two sections  $\sigma, \sigma'$  in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_N, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ .

For a positive integer  $r$ , take  $N_r = \prod_{p \leq r} p^2$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $C$  be the constant in Theorem 4.1. For any large enough integer  $d$ , and for any integer  $r$  verifying  $Cnr^n < d$  with  $n = \dim \mathcal{X}$  and  $N_r < e^{d^{\alpha_0}}$ , we have*

$$\left| \frac{\# \left( \prod_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p} \right)}{\#\mathbf{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \prod_{p \leq r} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| = O\left( \frac{\sum_{p \leq r} p^{\frac{2}{n}}}{d^{\frac{2}{n}}} \right).$$

*Proof.* The Chinese remainder theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) &\simeq H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/N_r\mathbb{Z} \\ &\simeq H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \left( \prod_{p \leq r} \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \right) \\ &\simeq \prod_{p \leq r} H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have  $\mathcal{X}_{N_r} = \prod_{p \leq r} \mathcal{X}_{p^2}$ . Set

$$E_{d,r} := \{ \sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \forall x \in |\text{div} \sigma|, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div} \sigma, x}^2} = n-1 \}.$$

Then a section  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  is contained in  $E_{d,r}$  if and only if for any  $p \leq r$ , its restriction  $\sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{P}'_{p^2,d}$ . In particular, a section  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  satisfies  $\psi_{d,N_r}(\sigma) \in E_{d,r}$  if and only if  $\psi_{d,p^2}(\sigma) \in \mathcal{P}'_{p^2,d}$ , for all  $p \leq r$ . But that means exactly that this  $\sigma$  is contained in  $\prod_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p}$ . On the other hand, still by the Chinese remainder theorem,

$$\frac{\#E_{d,r}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/N_r\mathbb{Z}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} = \prod_{p \leq r} \frac{\#\mathcal{P}'_{p^2,d}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}$$

As said above, with some positive constant  $\eta$ , we have

$$\frac{|\#\psi^{-1}(\sigma) - \#\psi^{-1}(\sigma')|}{\#\psi^{-1}(\sigma)} \leq e^{-\eta d},$$

with  $\sigma, \sigma'$  in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ . Fixing one  $\sigma$ , we can sum up for all  $\sigma' \in E_{d,r}$  and get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \#E_{d,r} - \frac{\# \left( \prod_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p} \right)}{\#\psi^{-1}(\sigma)} \right| \\ &= \frac{|(\#E_{d,r} \cdot \#\psi^{-1}(\sigma)) - \#\psi^{-1}(E_{d,r})|}{\#\psi^{-1}(\sigma)} \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma' \in E_{d,r}} \frac{|\#\psi^{-1}(\sigma) - \#\psi^{-1}(\sigma')|}{\#\psi^{-1}(\sigma)} \\ &\leq \#E_{d,r} \cdot e^{-\eta d}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Proposition 2.4. This can also be written as

$$\left| \# \prod_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p} - (\#E_{d,r} \cdot \#\psi^{-1}(\sigma)) \right| \leq (\#E_{d,r} \cdot \#\psi^{-1}(\sigma)) e^{-\eta d}.$$

Now we take the sum for all  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  and get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left( \# \bigcap_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p} \right) \cdot \# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) - \left( \# E_{d,r} \cdot \# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \left( \# \bigcap_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p} - (\# E_{d,r} \cdot \# \psi^{-1}(\sigma)) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \left| \left( \# \bigcap_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p} - (\# E_{d,r} \cdot \# \psi^{-1}(\sigma)) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} (\# E_{d,r} \cdot \# \psi^{-1}(\sigma)) e^{-\eta d} \\
&= \left( \# E_{d,r} \cdot \# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) e^{-\eta d} \\
&\leq \left( \# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \cdot \# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \right) e^{-\eta d}.
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing both side by  $\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \cdot \# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ , we get

$$\left| \frac{\# \bigcap_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p}}{\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \frac{\# E_{d,r}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \right| \leq e^{-\eta d}.$$

Since we already know that

$$\frac{\# E_{d,r}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} = \prod_{p \leq r} \frac{\# \mathcal{P}'_{p^2,d}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})},$$

the inequality can be written as

$$\left| \frac{\# \bigcap_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p}}{\# H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \prod_{p \leq r} \frac{\# \mathcal{P}'_{p^2,d}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \right| \leq e^{-\eta d}.$$

Thus it suffices to show that we have

$$\left| \prod_{p \leq r} \frac{\# \mathcal{P}'_{p^2,d}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} - \prod_{p \leq r} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(1+n)^{-1} \right| = O\left(\frac{\sum_{p \leq r} p^{\frac{2}{n}}}{d^{\frac{2}{n}}}\right).$$

By the Lemma 4.2, for any prime number  $p$  verifying  $Cnp^n < d$ , we have

$$\frac{\# \mathcal{P}'_{p^2,d}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(1+n)^{-1} + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\right)$$

with the constant involved in big  $O$  independent of  $p$  and  $d$ . Therefore we can calculate the product as

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{p \leq r} \frac{\# \mathcal{P}'_{p^2,d}}{\# H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} &= \prod_{p \leq r} \left( \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(1+n)^{-1} + O\left(\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n}}\right) \right) \\
&= \prod_{p \leq r} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(1+n)^{-1} + \sum_{p \leq r} O\left(\left(\frac{p}{d}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}\right) \\
&= \prod_{p \leq r} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(1+n)^{-1} + O\left(\frac{\sum_{p \leq r} p^{\frac{2}{n}}}{d^{\frac{2}{n}}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows the result.  $\square$

**5.2. Bound on number of fibers.** Now we try to find how large  $r$  can be.

**Lemma 5.3.** *For large enough integer  $d$ , we have*

$$\left| \frac{\#\left(\bigcap_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \mathcal{P}_{d,p}\right)}{\#\mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \prod_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| = O(d^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}).$$

*Proof.* Since we have  $N_r = \prod_{p \leq r} p^2$  and that by the prime number theorem, asymptotically the  $k$ -th prime number  $p_k$  satisfies

$$p_k \sim k \log k,$$

we can choose a big enough constant  $c_2$  such that  $p_k \leq c_2 k \log k$  for any  $k$ , and then estimate  $N_r$  by

$$N_r \leq \prod_{k \log k \leq r} (c_2 k \log k)^2 = c_2^2 \prod_{k \log k \leq r} k^2 \log^2 k.$$

For  $r > [c_2 + 2]$ ,  $k \log k$  can not take both value  $[c_2]$ ,  $[c_2 + 1]$ . Thus we have for  $r > [c_2 + 2]$ ,

$$c_2^2 \prod_{k \log k \leq r} k^2 \log^2 k < \prod_{k \leq r} k^2 = (r!)^2.$$

Moreover,  $r! < r^r = \exp(r \log r)$ . Therefore if  $r \log r < d^{\alpha_0}$ , we get  $N_r < \exp(d^{\alpha_0})$ , and by Proposition 2.4 the restriction morphism

$$\psi_{d, N_r} : \mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{N_r}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective. If moreover  $r$  satisfies  $Cnr^n < d$  as in Theorem 4.1, then by Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\left| \frac{\#\left(\bigcap_{p \leq r} \mathcal{P}_{d,p}\right)}{\#\mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \prod_{p \leq r} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| = O\left(\frac{\sum_{p \leq r} p^{\frac{2}{n}}}{d^{\frac{2}{n}}}\right).$$

Now as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq r} p^{\frac{2}{n}} &\leq \sum_{k \log k \leq r} (c_2 k \log k)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &< \sum_{k \leq r} c_2^{\frac{2}{n}} k^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &< r \cdot c_2^{\frac{2}{n}} r^{\frac{2}{n}} = c_2^{\frac{2}{n}} r^{\frac{n+2}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $r = d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$  we have

$$\sum_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} p^{\frac{2}{n}} < c_2^{\frac{2}{n}} d^{\frac{n+2}{n(n+1)}} = O(d^{\frac{n+2}{n(n+1)}}).$$

It's easy to see that  $r = d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$  also satisfies conditions  $r \log r < d^{\alpha_0}$ ,  $Cnr^n < d$  for large  $d$ . For this  $r$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\#\left(\bigcap_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \mathcal{P}_{d,p}\right)}{\#\mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \prod_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| = O\left(\frac{\sum_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} p^{\frac{2}{n}}}{d^{\frac{2}{n}}}\right) = O(d^{\frac{n+2}{n(n+1)} - \frac{2}{n}}) = O(d^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}).$$

□

### 5.3. Proof of Proposition 5.1.

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 3.4 and take  $R = d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ . We get

$$\left| \prod_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \right| = O(d^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}).$$

Combining this with Lemma 5.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\# \left( \bigcap_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \mathcal{P}_{d,p} \right)}{\# \mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \\
& \leq \left| \frac{\# \left( \bigcap_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \mathcal{P}_{d,p} \right)}{\# \mathbb{H}_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} - \prod_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} \right| + \left| \prod_{p \leq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}_p}(n+1)^{-1} - \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1} \right| \\
& = O(d^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}) + O(d^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}) = O(d^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves Proposition 5.1.  $\square$

## 6. FINAL RESULT

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4. The main step is to show the following proposition:

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety of dimension  $n$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for any  $d \gg 0$  and any prime number  $p$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth and irreducible, denoting*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2} := \left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} = n \right\},$$

we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \leq c \cdot p^{-2}.$$

### 6.1. Divisors with higher dimensional singular locus.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an irreducible projective scheme of dimension  $n$  over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . For any large enough  $d$  and any prime number  $p$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , if  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)$  is finite, then*

$$\#\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) = O(d^{n-1}),$$

where the constant involved does not depend on  $d$  or  $p$ .

*Proof.* We take the construction in Section 4.4. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be an open subscheme of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}$  is smooth over  $\mathcal{S}$ . We may assume that there exists a positive integer  $N$  and an open cover of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}}$  by  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$  making the following conditions valide:

- (1) the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$  is very ample for any  $d \geq N$  ;
- (2) there exists  $\tau_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N+1)})$  such that

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}} - U_{\alpha} = \text{div}\tau_{\alpha} ;$$

- (3) there exist  $\tau_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha,k_{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N})$  such that

$$U_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq k_{\alpha}} (\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}} - \text{div}\tau_{\alpha,j}) ;$$

- (4) for any  $\alpha \in A$ , there exist  $t_{\alpha,1}, \dots, t_{\alpha,n-1} \in \mathbb{H}^0(U_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha}})$  such that

$$\Omega_{U_{\alpha}/\mathcal{S}}^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha}} dt_{\alpha,i},$$

We note  $\partial_{\alpha,i} \in \text{Der}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha}}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha}}) \simeq \text{Hom}(\Omega_{U_{\alpha}/\mathcal{S}}^1, \mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha}})$  the dual of  $dt_{\alpha,i}$ .

Now we take one arbitrary  $U$  among the  $U_{\alpha}$ 's in the open cover, and we drop the subscript  $\alpha$  for simplicity of notation. For any  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , we have the restriction morphism

$$\Phi_j : \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$$

sending  $\sigma$  to  $\frac{\sigma \tau_j^d}{\tau_j^d}$ . Then for any  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ ,  $\partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma)$  is a section in  $\mathbb{H}^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ . For any  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\Phi_j$  induces

$$\Phi_{p,j} : \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(U_p, \mathcal{O}_{U_p})$$

such that for any  $\sigma' \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ ,  $\partial_i \Phi_{p,j}(\sigma')$  is a section in  $H^0(U_p, \mathcal{O}_{U_p})$ . Here  $U_p = U \cap \mathcal{X}_p$ . Then Lemma A.10 tells that we can find a positive integer  $N_1$  such that for any  $\delta \geq N_1$ , any  $p \in \mathcal{S}$  and any  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , the section  $(\partial_i \Phi_{p,j}(\sigma)) \cdot \tau^{d+\delta}$  extends to a global section in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N+1)(d+\delta)})$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N+1)}$  is very ample,  $(\partial_i \Phi_{p,j}(\sigma)) \cdot \tau^{d+\delta}$  can also be regarded as a global section of

$$H^0\left(\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N+1)})^\vee), \mathcal{O}(d+\delta)\right).$$

Then since  $\tau$  is nowhere 0 on  $U \supset (\mathcal{X}_S - \text{div}\tau_{\alpha,j})$ , for any  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{X}_S - \text{div}\tau_{\alpha,j}) \cap \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \\ &= \text{Sing}(\text{div}\Phi_j(\sigma)) \\ &= \text{div}\Phi_j(\sigma) \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{div}(\partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma)) \right) \\ &= (\mathcal{X}_S - \text{div}\tau_{\alpha,j}) \cap \text{div}(\sigma^{N+1}) \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{div}(\partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma) \cdot \tau^{d+N_1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sigma^{N+1} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N+1)})^\vee), \mathcal{O}(d)).$$

Denote the degree of  $\mathcal{X}_p$  as a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N+1)})^\vee)$  by  $\deg_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N+1)}}(\mathcal{X}_p)$ . If  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)$  is finite, then  $(\mathcal{X}_S - \text{div}\tau_{\alpha,j}) \cap \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)$  is finite and we can find  $n-1$  divisors among the  $n$  ones appeared in the above intersection such that the intersection of these  $n-1$  divisors and  $\mathcal{X}_S - \text{div}\tau_{\alpha,j}$  is finite. Obviously this intersection contains  $(\mathcal{X}_S - \text{div}\tau_{\alpha,j}) \cap \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)$ . Applying refined Bézout's theorem [Fu84, Theorem 12.3], we get

$$\#(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \cap (\mathcal{X}_S - \text{div}\tau_{\alpha,j})) \leq (\deg_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N+1)}}(\mathcal{X}_p))(d+N_1)^{n-1} = O(d^{n-1}),$$

where coefficients involved in  $O(d^i)$  is independent of  $p$  when  $d$  is large enough ( $\deg_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N+1)}}(\mathcal{X}_p)$  is independent of  $p$ ). Therefore we have

$$\#\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} \sum_{j=1}^{k_\alpha} \#(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \cap (\mathcal{X}_S - \text{div}\tau_{\alpha,j})) = O(d^{n-1}).$$

If  $p \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} - \mathcal{S}$  is such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we may assume that there exists a positive integer  $N'$  depending on  $p$  and an open cover of  $\mathcal{X}_p$  by  $\mathcal{X}_p = \bigcup_{\beta \in B} V_\beta$  making the following conditions valide:

- (1) the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$  is very ample for any  $d \geq N'$ ;
- (2) there exists  $\tau'_\beta \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N'+1)})$  such that

$$\mathcal{X}_p - V_\beta = \text{div}\tau'_\beta;$$

- (3) there exist  $\tau'_{\beta,1}, \dots, \tau'_{\beta,k_\beta} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N'})$  such that

$$V_\beta = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq k_\beta} (\mathcal{X}_p - \text{div}\tau'_{\beta,j});$$

- (4) for any  $\beta \in B$ , there exist  $t'_{\beta,1}, \dots, t'_{\beta,n-1} \in H^0(V_\beta, \mathcal{O}_{V_\beta})$  such that

$$\Omega_{V_\beta/\mathbb{F}_p}^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_{V_\beta} dt'_{\beta,i},$$

Running the same argument as above, we get the same result

$$\#\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) = O(d^{n-1})$$

where the constant may be different from the one for all primes  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ . But since the set of primes in  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} - \mathcal{S}$  is finite, we can find a constant which is valide for all  $p \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Hence we conclude.  $\square$

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 5.9 in [Po04], where Poonen shows that for an integral quasi-projective scheme  $X$  smooth over  $\mathbb{Z}$  equipped with a very ample line bundle inducing an immersion  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , if the generic fiber  $\overline{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of the Zariski closure  $\overline{X}$  of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$  has at most isolated singular points, then there exists  $c > 0$  such that if  $d, p$  are sufficiently large, then

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_p^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_p})) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathbb{P}_p^n, \mathcal{O}(d))} < \frac{c}{p^2}.$$

We prove the same conclusion for the case when  $X$  is projective and equipped with an ample line bundle, in place of a very ample line bundle.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a integral scheme of dimension  $n$  which is projective and generically smooth over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then there exists a constant  $c_H > 0$  such that for any  $d \gg 0$  and any prime number  $p$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth and irreducible, we have*

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \leq c_H \cdot p^{-2}.$$

**Remark.** If the prime  $p$  is fixed, Corollary A.13 tells us that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O(d^{n-1} \cdot p^{-c\frac{d}{p}}).$$

When  $d$  is sufficiently large, we deduce from it that

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \leq p^{-2}.$$

So Corollary A.13 gives a better bound on the proportion of sections whose divisor has positive dimensional singular locus. But this bound is well behaved only when  $d$  is much larger than the prime  $p$ . In this lemma, the bound we give is independent of the choice of  $p$ . In particular, it is valide even when  $p$  is much bigger than  $d$ .

*Proof.* We choose a constant  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  verifying Lemma 4.3. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is of dimension 1, then  $\dim(\mathcal{X}_p) = 0$  for any prime  $p$  and the conclusion holds automatically. When  $\mathcal{X}$  is of dimension 2, for  $p$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth and irreducible, if  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0$ , then  $\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) = \mathcal{X}_p$ , which is impossible unless  $\sigma = 0$ . This means in the case of dimension 1, when  $d$  is large enough we always have

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = \frac{1}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = p^{-h^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} < p^{-2}.$$

So the lemma is true when  $\dim \mathcal{X} \leq 1$ . We prove the higher dimensional case by induction. Assume that for any integral scheme  $\mathcal{Y}$  of dimension smaller than  $n$  which is projective and generically smooth over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$  and which is equipped with an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{M}$ , there exists a constant  $c_{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{M}} > 0$  such that for any  $d \gg 0$  and any prime  $p$  such that  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  is smooth and irreducible, we have

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{Y}_p, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{Y}_p, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes d})} \leq c_{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{M}} \cdot p^{-2}.$$

By the classical Bertini theorem over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we can find a section  $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N})$  whose divisor  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a smooth and irreducible divisor of  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . By possibly replacing  $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  by a multiple of it, we may assume that  $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  is in fact a section of  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N})$  and that  $\mathcal{D} = \text{div}\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  is an irreducible divisor of  $\mathcal{X}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{D}$  has no vertical component. Moreover,  $\mathcal{D}$  has no singular point on the generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . So there exists an non-empty open subscheme  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{S}}$  is smooth over  $\mathcal{S}$ . By restricting to a smaller open subscheme of  $\mathcal{S}$ , we may assume that for any  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_p$  and  $\mathcal{D}_p$  are both smooth and irreducible. For the rest of the proof, we fix the divisor  $\mathcal{D}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{D}$  together with the restriction sheaf  $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{D}}$  also verifies the assumption of the lemma.

For any prime  $p \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} - \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth and irreducible, we can find a constant  $c$  by Corollary A.13, such that

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O(d^{n-1} \cdot p^{-c\frac{d}{p}}).$$

So when  $d$  is sufficiently large, the right side can be bounded above by  $p^{-2}$ . Since  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} - \mathcal{S}$  is a finite scheme, when  $d$  is sufficiently large, for any  $p \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} - \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth and irreducible, we have

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \leq p^{-2}.$$

Hence it suffices to prove the lemma for primes  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Now let  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ . If a section  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is such that

$$\dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0,$$

then as  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is irreducible and projective by the assumption on  $\mathcal{S}$ , we have

$$\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}_p \neq \emptyset.$$

By induction hypothesis, we know that there exists a constant  $c_{\mathcal{D}} > 0$  such that if  $d$  is sufficiently large, then for any  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ , we have

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{D}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma)) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{D}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \leq c_{\mathcal{D}} \cdot p^{-2}.$$

As  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample on  $\mathcal{X}$ , when  $d$  is large enough, the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective. So for such  $d$ , the morphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{D}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective for any  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ , and hence

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma \cap \mathcal{D}_p)) > 0\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \leq c_{\mathcal{D}} \cdot p^{-2}.$$

We need to bound sections  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}_p$  is finite and non-empty. Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is of dimension  $n - 1$ , let  $c'_0 > 0$  be a constant such that

$$\#\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) \leq c'_0 p^{(n-2)e}$$

for any prime number  $p$  and any integer  $e \geq 1$ . For any closed point  $x \in |\mathcal{D}_p|$  of degree  $e \leq \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor$ , we have by Lemma A.5, the proportion of  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\text{div}\sigma$  is singular at  $x$  is  $p^{-ne}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in |\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}_p|, \deg x \leq \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \\ & \leq \sum_{x \in |\mathcal{D}_p|, \deg x \leq \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor} p^{-n \deg x} \\ & < \sum_{e=1}^{\lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor} \#\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) p^{-ne} \\ & \leq \sum_{e=1}^{\lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor} c'_0 p^{(n-2)e} \cdot p^{-ne} \\ & = \frac{c'_0 p^{-2}}{1 - p^{-2}} < 2c'_0 p^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

If a section  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  whose divisor has positive dimensional singular locus is not included in the above two cases, then it satisfies :

- $\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma \cap \mathcal{D}_p)$  is a finite set;
- if  $x$  is a closed point of  $\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}_p \subset \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma \cap \mathcal{D}_p)$ , then  $\deg x > \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor$ .

Then to finish the proof, it suffices to show that we can find a constant  $c_3 > 0$  such that when  $d$  is large enough, for any  $p \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma \cap \mathcal{D}_p) \text{ finite, } \exists x \in \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}_p, \deg x > \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} < c_3 p^{-2}.$$



For large enough  $d$ , consider the surjective morphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

which sends  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})$  to the section  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ . For any  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , the singular locus of  $\text{div}\sigma \cap \mathcal{D}_p$  is independent of  $\sigma_1$ , i.e.

$$\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma \cap \mathcal{D}_p) = \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma_0 \cap \mathcal{D}_p).$$

If  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})$  is such that  $\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma_0 \cap \mathcal{D}_p)$  is finite, we assume that  $\text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma_0 \cap \mathcal{D}_p) = \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ . Applying Lemma 6.2 to  $\mathcal{D}$ , we have  $l = O(d^{n-2})$  with coefficients depending on  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  but not  $p$ . For a fixed  $\sigma_0$  and any  $x_i \in \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma_0 \cap \mathcal{D}_p)$ , let  $x'_i$  be the first order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $x_i$  in  $\mathcal{X}_p$ . If  $\text{div}(\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \sigma_{\mathcal{D}_p})$  is singular at  $x_i$ , then the image of  $\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \sigma_{\mathcal{D}_p}$  in  $H^0(x'_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  by the natural restriction morphism is 0. Let  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}$  be the ideal sheaf of  $x_i$  in  $\mathcal{X}_p$ . We have a natural exact sequence of sheaves on  $\mathcal{X}_p$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the morphism  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$  is the multiplication by  $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$ . Restricting this exact sequence of sheaves to the closed subscheme  $x'_i$ , we get a right exact sequence

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i} + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}})^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the ideal sheaf of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Note that the sheaf  $\frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)}$  is contained in the kernel of the first morphism as  $x_i \in \mathcal{D}$ . So the above right exact sequence induces the following right exact sequence

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i} + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}})^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is nonsingular at  $x_i$ , the multiplication by  $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  morphism

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$$

is not a zero map. This implies that the induced morphism

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$$

is not zero either. As the left term is a  $\kappa(x)$  linear space of dimension 1, this morphism is in fact injective and hence we have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i} + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}})^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now, with the same notation as above,  $x_i \in \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma_0 \cap \mathcal{D}_p)$  means that the image of the restriction of  $\sigma_0$  in  $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i} + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}})^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$  is 0. If  $x_i \in \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma_0) \cap \mathcal{D}_p$ , then  $\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \sigma_{\mathcal{D}}$  has image 0 by the restriction to  $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_p}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{X}_p, x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$ . By the above exact sequence, this is a condition on  $\sigma_1(x_i) \in H^0(x_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})$ . By the exactness of the sequence, there is only one value of  $\sigma_1(x_i) \in H^0(x_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})$  which makes  $\text{div}(\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \sigma_{\mathcal{D}})$  singular at  $x_i$ . If moreover we have  $\deg x_i > \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor$ , then for any  $\beta \in H^0(x_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})$ , by Lemma A.8 the number of sections in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})$  whose image in  $H^0(x_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})$  by the restriction map is  $\beta$  is bounded above by

$$p^{-\lfloor \frac{d-N}{N} \rfloor} \cdot \#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)}) \leq p^{2-\frac{d}{N}} \cdot \#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\#\left\{\sigma \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \begin{array}{l} \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma \cap \mathcal{D}_p) \text{ finite,} \\ \exists x \in \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}_p, \deg x > \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor \end{array} \right\}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \\
&= \frac{\#\left\{(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) ; \begin{array}{l} \text{Sing}(\text{div}(\sigma_0 + \sigma_1\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}) \cap \mathcal{D}_p) \text{ finite,} \\ \exists x \in \text{Sing}(\text{div}(\sigma_0 + \sigma_1\sigma_{\mathcal{D}})) \cap \mathcal{D}_p, \deg x > \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor \end{array} \right\}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \cdot \#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})} \\
&\leq \frac{\#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \cdot \left[O(d^{n-2})p^{2-\frac{d}{N}}\#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})\right]}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \cdot \#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-N)})} \\
&= O(d^{n-2})p^{2-\frac{d}{N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the last term is bounded above by  $p^{-2}$  when  $d$  is large enough. Hence we finish the proof.  $\square$

## 6.2. Proof of Proposition 6.1.

*Proof.* We fix a positive integer  $N$  that verifies Lemma 4.3 for any  $p$ . Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is smooth and irreducible. By Lemma 4.4, for any closed point  $x \in |\mathcal{X}_p|$  verifying  $d \geq N(n \deg x + 1)$ , i.e.  $\deg x \leq \frac{d-N}{Nn}$ , the restriction morphism

$$\phi_{p^2, x} : \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}),$$

is surjective, where  $x'$  is the first order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Therefore the proportion of global sections in  $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  whose divisor satisfies  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} = n$  is equal to

$$\frac{\#\text{Ker } \phi_{p^2, x}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = p^{-(n+1)\deg x}.$$

Then with the constant  $c_0$  defined in Section 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\#\left\{\sigma \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|, \deg x \leq \frac{d-N}{Nn}, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} = n \right\}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \\
&\leq \sum_{x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|, \deg x \leq \frac{d-N}{Nn}} p^{-(n+1)\deg x} \\
&\leq \sum_{e=1}^{\lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor} \#\mathcal{X}_{p^2}(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}) p^{-(n+1)e} \\
&\leq \sum_{e=1}^{\lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor} c_0 p^{(n-1)e} \cdot p^{-(n+1)e} \\
&\leq \sum_{e=1}^{\infty} c_0 p^{-2e} = c_0 p^{-2} + \sum_{e=2}^{\infty} c_0 p^{-2e} \leq 2c_0 p^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that Lemma 6.3 tells us that in  $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , the proportion of sections whose divisor has positive dimensional singular locus is bounded above by  $c_H p^{-2}$ . Consequently, as the restriction

$$\psi_{d, p} : \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective, the proportion of sections  $\sigma \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  such that

$$\dim \text{Sing}(\text{div}(\sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_p})) > 0$$

is also bounded above by  $c_H p^{-2}$ . To finish the proof, it suffices to bound the proportion of sections in the set

$$\left\{ \sigma \in \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \text{Sing}(\text{div}\sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_p}) \text{ finite, } \exists x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|, \deg x > \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} = n \right\}$$

Now we take a subset  $E_{d, p} \subset \mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  such that the restriction map  $\psi_{d, p}$  induces a bijection from  $E_{d, p}$  to  $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ . For example, if we choose a  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathbf{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , we can take  $E_{d, p}$  to be

the set of sections having coefficients in  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$  when written as linear combination of sections in this basis. Then any section  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  can be written uniquely as

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 + p\sigma_2$$

for some  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in E_{d,p}$ . Then  $\text{Sing}(\text{div}(\sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_p})) = \text{Sing}(\text{div}(\sigma_1|_{\mathcal{X}_p}))$ . Now let  $\sigma$  be a section in  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\text{Sing}(\text{div}(\sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_p}))$  is finite. We may assume that  $|\text{Sing}(\text{div}(\sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_p}))| = \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ . Then by Lemma 6.2, we have

$$l = O(d^{n-1}).$$

Moreover, for  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ ,  $\text{div}(\sigma_1 + p\sigma_2)$  is singular at  $x_i$  if and only if the image of  $\sigma_1 + p\sigma_2$  in  $H^0(x'_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is 0, where  $x'_i$  is the first order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $x_i$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{p^2}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}$  be the ideal sheaf of  $x_i$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{p^2}$ . Then  $x'_i$  is defined by the ideal sheaf  $\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2$ . Now we have a right exact sequence of sheaves

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{(\mathfrak{m}_{x_i} + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}})^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the first morphism is the multiplication by  $p$ . Note that  $\frac{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \subset \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$  is contained in the kernel of the second morphism, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{(\mathfrak{m}_{x_i} + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}})^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{X}$  is regular. The multiplication by  $p$  map

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$$

cannot be zero. So is  $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$ . But if this morphism is not zero, it must be injective as  $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$  is in fact a sheaf supported on  $x_i$  where its stalk is a  $\kappa(x_i)$ -vector space of dimension 1. Hence we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{x_i}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}}}{(\mathfrak{m}_{x_i} + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{p^2}})^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since these sheaves are all supported on  $x_i$ , this sequence induces the following exact sequence of groups

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(x_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(x'_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(x'_i \cap \mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It tells us that there is only one value of  $H^0(x_i, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  for  $\sigma_2(x_i)$  which makes  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} = n$  for  $\sigma = \sigma_1 + p\sigma_2$ . Note that Lemma A.8 tells us that when  $d$  is large enough, for any  $x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|$  verifying  $\deg x > \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor$  and any value  $s \in H^0(x, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , we have

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \sigma(x) = s\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \leq p^{1-\frac{d}{N}}.$$

Since  $\psi_{d,p}$  induces a bijection between  $E$  and  $H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , we have

$$\#\{\sigma \in E_{d,p} ; \sigma(x) = s\} \leq p^{1-\frac{d}{N}} \cdot \#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}).$$

Therefore, when  $d$  is large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\#\left\{ \sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \begin{array}{l} \text{Sing}(\text{div}(\sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_p})) \text{ finite, } \exists x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|, \\ \deg x > \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor, \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} = n \end{array} \right\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \\ &= \frac{\#\left\{ (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in E_{d,p} \times E_{d,p} ; \begin{array}{l} \text{Sing}(\text{div}(\sigma_1|_{\mathcal{X}_p})) \text{ finite, } \exists x \in |\mathcal{X}_{p^2}|, \deg x > \lfloor \frac{d-N}{Nn} \rfloor, \\ \dim_{\kappa(x)} \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^{\text{div}\sigma, x}}{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{div}\sigma, x}^2} = n, \text{ with } \sigma = \sigma_1 + p\sigma_2 \end{array} \right\}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \\ &\leq \frac{\#E_{d,p} \cdot \left[ O(d^{n-1})p^{1-\frac{d}{N}} \#H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \right]}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{p^2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \\ &= O(d^{n-1})p^{1-\frac{d}{N}} \leq p^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Note that in Section 5 we have shown that the proportion of sections in  $H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  whose divisor has no singular point of residual characteristic smaller than  $d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$  tends to  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(n+1)^{-1}$  already. Theorem 1.4 can be reduced to the following :

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety of absolute dimension  $n$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be the constant defined in Proposition 6.1 and set*

$$\mathcal{Q}_d^m := \left\{ \sigma \in H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \begin{array}{l} \text{div } \sigma \text{ has a singular point of residual} \\ \text{characteristic between } d^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \text{ and } e^{\varepsilon d} \end{array} \right\}.$$

When  $d$  is sufficiently large, we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_d^m}{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} = O(d^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}).$$

Here the constant involved in the big  $O$  depends only on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

In particular, denoting  $\mathcal{Q}^m = \bigcup_{d>0} \mathcal{Q}_d^m$ , we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{Q}^m) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since for any  $\sigma \in H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$ ,  $\text{div } \sigma$  has a singular point on the fiber  $\mathcal{X}_p$  implies  $\sigma \bmod p^2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}$ , we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_d^m}{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \leq \sum_{d^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}} \frac{\#\{\sigma \in H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \sigma \bmod p^2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}\}}{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})}.$$

As  $p \geq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$  implies that  $p^2$  is odd, we can apply Proposition 2.5 to the case  $N = p^2$  and  $E = \mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}$ , and obtain that there exists a constant  $\varepsilon' > 0$  such that when  $d$  is large enough and  $p^2 \leq e^{\varepsilon' d}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\#\{\sigma \in H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \sigma \bmod p^2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}\}}{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \\ & \leq 4p^{-2\text{rk}(H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}))} \cdot \#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2} \\ & = 4 \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is a regular arithmetic variety, it is irreducible and generically smooth. So if  $d$  is large enough, for any prime number  $p \geq d^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is irreducible and smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then Proposition 6.1 tells us that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \leq cp^{-2}.$$

Therefore by setting  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon'$ , we conclude with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_d^m}{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} & \leq \sum_{d^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}} \frac{\#\{\sigma \in H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d}) ; \sigma \bmod p^2 \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}\}}{\#H_{\text{Ar}}^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})} \\ & \leq \sum_{d^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}} 4 \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,p^2}}{\#H^0(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \\ & \leq \sum_{d^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq p \leq e^{\varepsilon d}} 4cp^{-2} \\ & = 4c \left( \sum_{d^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq p \leq \infty} p^{-2} \right) \\ & < 4cd^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the statement of the proposition.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Since  $\mathcal{P}_A \subset \mathcal{P}_B \subset \mathcal{P}_A \cup \mathcal{Q}^m$ , we get that

$$|\mu(\mathcal{P}_A) - \mu(\mathcal{P}_B)| \leq \mu(\mathcal{Q}^m) = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}_A) = \mu(\mathcal{P}_B) = \zeta_X(1+n)^{-1}.$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

#### 6.4. Proof of Corollary 1.6.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety of dimension  $n$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  be an ample line bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$ . By Proposition 2.1, there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_0$  such that for any large enough integer  $d$ ,  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes d})$  has a basis consisting of sections with norm smaller than  $e^{-\varepsilon_0 d}$ . Choose the constant  $c$  to be a real number satisfying  $1 < c < e^{\varepsilon_0}$ . For any  $R > 1$ , set  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}' = (\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|')$  where  $\|\cdot\|' = \|\cdot\|R^{-1}$ . Since  $(\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|e^{-\delta})$  is ample for any  $\delta > 0$ , the hermitian line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}'$  is also ample. Then by construction, for any large enough integer  $d$ ,  $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}'^{\otimes d})$  has a basis consisting of sections with norm smaller than  $R^{-d}e^{-\varepsilon_0 d} = e^{-(\varepsilon_0 + \log R)d}$ . Set  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \log(cR)$ . Then we have

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\log c + \log R) < \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_0 + \log R),$$

and we can apply Theorem 1.4 to  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}'$  with constant  $\varepsilon$  chosen as above. Then the density result is exactly what we need to prove.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. BERTINI SMOOTHNESS THEOREM OVER FINITE FIELDS

In the Appendix, we prove a slightly generalized version of B. Poonen's Bertini theorem over finite fields. The precise statement is the following:

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $Y$  be a projective scheme of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $X$  a smooth subscheme of  $Y$  of dimension  $m$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $Y$ . Assume that there exists a smooth open subscheme  $U$  in  $Y$  containing  $X$ . Set*

$$\mathcal{P}_d := \{\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \text{div} \sigma \cap X \text{ is smooth of dimension } m-1\}$$

and  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_d$ . We have

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_d}{\#H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = \zeta_X(m+1)^{-1} > 0.$$

Here  $\zeta_X$  is the zeta function

$$\zeta_X(s) = \prod_{x \in |X|} (1 - q^{-s \deg x})^{-1}$$

**Remark.** If we take  $Y = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ , we get Poonen's theorem.

Note that for a  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ ,  $\text{div} \sigma \cap X$  is smooth if and only if it is non-singular at every closed point of  $\text{div} \sigma \cap X$ . To prove this theorem, we class the closed points of  $X$  by their degree, so that for each degree there exist only finitely many closed points. In Poonen's proof, he classifies the closed points into three parts for each  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$ , which are the following: closed points of degree smaller than or equal to a chosen positive integer  $r$ , closed points of degree between  $r$  and  $\frac{d}{m+1}$ , and closed points of degree bigger than  $\frac{d}{m+1}$ . Then he estimates the number of sections in  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  whose divisor has singular points in these parts, respectively.

Our proof follows his method in a faithful way. But we need more explicite bounds for bad sections, so as to get the speed of convergence for the final limit. For technical reasons, we need the following result:

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on a projective scheme  $Y$  over a field  $k$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that*

- i)  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$  is very ample for all  $d \geq N$ ;
- ii) for any  $a, b \geq N$ , the natural morphism

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes a}) \otimes H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes b}) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(a+b)})$$

is surjective.

This is a classical result. The first statement is part of [La04, Theorem 1.2.6], and the second statement can be deduced directly from [La04, Theorem 1.8.3].

We choose a positive integer  $r$ , an integer  $N$  satisfying this lemma and depending possibly on  $q$ , and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{d,\leq r} &= \{\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \forall x \in X, \deg x \leq r, \operatorname{div} \sigma \cap X \text{ is smooth of dimension } m-1 \text{ at } x\} \\ \mathcal{Q}_{d,>r}^{\text{med}} &= \{\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in X, r < \deg x \leq \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}, \operatorname{div} \sigma \cap X \text{ is singular at } x\} \\ \mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}} &= \{\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in X, \deg x > \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}, \operatorname{div} \sigma \cap X \text{ is singular at } x\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly

$$\mathcal{P}_d \subset \mathcal{P}_{d,\leq r} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{d,>r}^{\text{med}} \cup \mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}.$$

We give bound for the proportion of these three sets.

### A.1. Singular points of small degree.

**Lemma A.3.** *Let  $Y$  be a projective scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  an ample line bundle over  $Y$ . Let  $Z$  be a finite sub-scheme of  $Y$ . Let  $N$  be a positive integer satisfying Lemma A.2. Then the restriction morphism*

$$\phi_{d,Z} : H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective for all  $d \geq N(h_Z + 1)$ , where  $h_Z = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{L}$  is very ample, by [Po04, Lemma 2.1],  $\phi_{d,Z}$  is surjective when  $d \geq h_Z - 1$ , and this lemma is also true. When  $\mathcal{L}$  is only ample, for any  $\delta_0 \geq N$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes \delta_0}$  is very ample and  $\phi_{d\delta_0,Z}$  is surjective for any  $d \geq h_Z - 1$ . Now for any  $d \geq N(h_Z + 1)$ , we can find  $s_d \geq h_Z - 1$  and  $N \leq r_d \leq 2N$  such that  $d = s_d N + r_d$ . By Lemma A.2, we have a surjection

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes s_d N}) \otimes H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d}) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}).$$

Moreover, since  $Z$  is finite, for all  $d \geq 0$ , we have  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \simeq H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ . These isomorphisms are not canonical, but can give us an isomorphism

$$H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes s_d N}) \otimes_{H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)} H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes s_d N}) \otimes H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes s_d N}) \otimes_{H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)} H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \end{array}$$

Thus it suffices to show that the left vertical morphism is surjective. Since  $Y$  is projective,  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d})$  is of finite  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -dimension. Let  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d}) = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{F}_q t_i$ . As  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d}$  is very ample, it is globally generated. So for each  $z \in |Z|$ , we can find one  $t_i$  in the set of generators such that  $t_i(z) \neq 0$ . Since surjectivity is stable under field base change, replacing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by an algebraic field extension, we can assume that there exists a linear combination  $t = \sum_i a_i t_i$  such that  $t(z) \neq 0$  for any  $z \in |Z|$ . Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes s_d N}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_q t & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes s_d N}) \otimes H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes s_d N}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} t|_Z & \longrightarrow & H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes s_d N}) \otimes_{H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)} H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d}) \end{array}$$

By our construction, the section  $t$  trivializes  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r_d})$ . So the bottom morphism is an isomorphism. Hence the right vertical morphism is surjective. By the commutativity of the first diagram, the morphism

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is also surjective, which is what we need to show.  $\square$

With this lemma, we can control the proportion of  $\mathcal{P}_{d,\leq r}$ .

**Proposition A.4.** *Let  $Y$  be a projective scheme of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  equipped with an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $X$  be a subscheme of dimension  $m$  of  $Y$  smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Note*

$$\mathcal{P}_{d,\leq r} = \{\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \forall x \in X, \deg x \leq r, \operatorname{div} \sigma \cap X \text{ is smooth of dimension } m-1 \text{ at } x\},$$

and  $\mathcal{P}_{\leq r} = \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{P}_{d,\leq r}$ . We have

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}_{\leq r}) = \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{d,\leq r}}{\#H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = \prod_{\deg x \leq r} \left(1 - q^{-(m+1)\deg x}\right)$$

In fact, with a positive integer  $N$  satisfying Lemma A.2, for any  $d \geq N(1 + \sum_{\deg x \leq r} (1+m)\deg x)$ , we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{d,\leq r}}{\#H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = \prod_{\deg x \leq r} \left(1 - q^{-(m+1)\deg x}\right).$$

*Proof.* For any closed point  $x$  of  $X$ , let  $x'$  be the closed subscheme in  $X$  defined by  $\mathfrak{m}_x^2$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  is the ideal sheaf of  $x$  in  $X$ . Then  $x'$  is the first order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Let  $X'_{\leq r}$  be the union of the closed subschemes  $x'$  for all  $x \in X$  with  $\deg x \leq r$ . Note that the number of closed points of  $X$  with degree smaller than or equal to  $r$  is finite. This union is a disjoint finite union. So  $X'_{\leq r}$  is a finite subscheme of  $X$  defined by the ideal sheaf  $\prod_{\deg x \leq r} \mathfrak{m}_x^2$ . Hence

$$H^0(X'_{\leq r}, \mathcal{O}_{X'_{\leq r}}) = H^0(X'_{\leq r}, \prod_{\deg x \leq r} \mathcal{O}_{x'}) = \prod_{\deg x \leq r} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathfrak{m}_x^2).$$

Since  $X$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , for any closed point  $x$ , we have

$$\dim_{\kappa(x)} H^0(Y, \mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2) = m.$$

Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathfrak{m}_x^2) = (1+m)\deg x,$$

and we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^0(X'_{\leq r}, \mathcal{O}_{X'_{\leq r}}) = \sum_{\deg x \leq r} (1+m)\deg x$$

Apply Lemma A.3 to the case  $Z = X'_{\leq r}$ . We get that the morphism

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(X'_{\leq r}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective if  $d \geq N(1 + \sum_{\deg x \leq r} (1+m)\deg x)$ .

Note that the divisor  $\operatorname{div} \sigma$  of a section  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is singular at a closed point  $x \in X$  if and only if the image of  $\sigma$  in  $H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  by the restriction map

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is zero. So  $\operatorname{div} \sigma$  has no singular point of degree smaller than or equal to  $r$  if and only if its restriction to  $H^0(X'_{\leq r}, \mathcal{O}_{X'_{\leq r}}) \simeq \prod_{\deg x \leq r} H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  lies in the subset  $\prod_{\deg x \leq r} (H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) - \{0\})$ . So for any  $d \geq N(1 + \sum_{\deg x \leq r} (1+m)\deg x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{d,\leq r}}{\#H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} &= \frac{\#\prod_{\deg x \leq r} (H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathfrak{m}_x^2) - \{0\})}{\#\prod_{\deg x \leq r} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{\deg x \leq r} (q^{(m+1)\deg x} - 1)}{\prod_{\deg x \leq r} q^{(m+1)\deg x}} \\ &= \prod_{\deg x \leq r} \left(1 - q^{-(m+1)\deg x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the result.  $\square$

## A.2. Singular points of medium degree.

**Lemma A.5.** *Let  $Y$  be a projective scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension  $n$  and  $X$  a smooth subscheme of  $Y$  of dimension  $m$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle of  $Y$ . Let  $N$  be a positive integer verifying Lemma A.2. For a fixed  $d$ , let  $x \in X$  be a closed point of  $X$  of degree  $e$  such that*

$$e \leq \lfloor \frac{d-N}{N(m+1)} \rfloor.$$

*Then the proportion of  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\text{div} \sigma \cap X$  is not smooth of dimension  $m-1$  at  $x$  is  $q^{-(m+1)e}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x'$  be the first order infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Apply Lemma A.3 to the case  $Z = x'$ . We obtain that the restriction map

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is surjective when

$$N(h^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) + 1) = N((m+1) \deg x + 1) \leq d,$$

that is, when

$$\deg x \leq \lfloor \frac{d-N}{N(m+1)} \rfloor.$$

Since a section  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\text{div} \sigma \cap X$  is singular at  $x$  if and only if the image of  $\sigma$  in  $H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is 0. Hence when the degree condition for  $x$  is satisfied, the proportion of such sections is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\#H^0(x', \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = q^{-(m+1)e},$$

Thus we get the result.  $\square$

**Proposition A.6.** *Let  $Y$  be a projective scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension  $n$  and  $X$  a smooth subscheme of  $Y$  of dimension  $m$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle of  $Y$ . Let  $N$  be a positive integer verifying Lemma A.2. Set*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{d,>r}^{\text{med}} = \{\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in X, r < \deg x \leq \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}, \text{div} \sigma \cap X \text{ is singular at } x\}.$$

*Then there exists a constant  $c_0$  such that*

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,>r}^{\text{med}}}{\#H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \leq 2c_0q^{-r}.$$

*In particular,*

$$\bar{\mu}(\mathcal{Q}_{d,>r}^{\text{med}}) \leq 2c_0q^{-r}.$$

*Proof.* Identifying  $Y$  to a closed subscheme of a projective space, we can see  $X$  as a subscheme of the same projective space. By [LW54], we can find a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that for any  $e \geq 1$ ,

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}) \leq c_0q^{me}.$$

Let  $N$  be the positive integer as in the previous lemma. Then the lemma tells us that if  $x \in X$  is a closed point of degree  $e \leq \lfloor \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N} \rfloor$ , the proportion of sections  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  such that  $\text{div} \sigma \cap X$  is singular at  $x$  is  $q^{-(m+1)e}$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d,>r}^{\text{med}}}{\#H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \\ & \leq \sum_{e=r+1}^{\lfloor \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N} \rfloor} [\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}) - \#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{e-1}})] \cdot q^{-(m+1)e} \\ & < \sum_{e=r}^{\infty} \#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}) q^{-(m+1)e} \\ & \leq \sum_{e=r}^{\infty} c_0q^{em} \cdot q^{-(m+1)e} = \sum_{e=r}^{\infty} c_0q^{-e} = \frac{c_0q^{-r}}{1-q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q \geq 2$ , we have  $1 - q^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{c_0q^{-r}}{1-q^{-1}} \leq 2c_0q^{-r}$ . Hence we get  $\bar{\mu}(\mathcal{Q}_{d,>r}^{\text{med}}) \leq 2c_0q^{-r}$ . This implies our result.  $\square$



### A.3. Singular points of high degree.

**Lemma A.7.** *Let  $Y$  be a projective scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of dimension  $n$ . Let  $Z$  be a finite closed subscheme of  $Y$  whose support is included in the smooth locus of  $Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle over  $Y$  and let  $N$  be a positive integer satisfying Lemma A.2. After replacing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if needed, we can find a linear subspace  $V \subset H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^N)$  of dimension  $n + 1$  such that the rational map*

$$\varphi : Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^\vee),$$

with  $V^\vee$  the dual space of  $V$ , is dominant, and that  $\varphi$  induces a closed embedding of  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $|Z| = \{z_1, \dots, z_l\}$ . It suffices to find a linear subspace  $V \subset H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^N)$  of dimension  $n + 1$  such that the induced rational map

$$\varphi : Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$$

is defined and étale on a neighbourhood of  $Z$  in  $Y$ , and satisfies the condition that  $\varphi(z_i) \neq \varphi(z_j)$  for any  $z_i \neq z_j \in |Z|$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes N}$  is very ample on  $Y$ , we can first embed  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{P}^K = \mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N})^\vee)$ . Replacing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by a larger finite field if needed, we can find a section  $s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N})$  which is non-zero at any point of  $|Z|$ . Note  $U_1 := Y - \text{div}_s$ . The embedding of  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{P}^K$  induces an embedding of  $U_1$  in  $\mathbb{A}^K$ . The hyperplane  $\mathbb{P}^K - \mathbb{A}^K$  is defined by the section  $s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^K, \mathcal{O}(1)) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N})$ . Moreover, the scheme  $Z$ , being a closed subscheme of  $U_1$ , is also embedded in  $\mathbb{A}^K$ . To finish the proof, we only need to find a projection  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  which is étale when restricted to a neighbourhood of  $Z$  in  $U_1$  and injective when restricted to  $Z$ . In fact, we show that a general projection satisfies these two conditions. Here general means all projections  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  contained in a non-empty open subscheme of  $\text{Gr}(n, K)$ , which is the moduli space of such projections.

For a general projection  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ , the composition  $\varphi : U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  is étale on a neighbourhood of  $Z$  in  $U_1$ . To see this, we show that for a general projection  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ ,  $\varphi : U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  is étale at any point of  $Z$ . For  $z_i \in Z$ , the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{U_1/\mathbb{A}^K, z_i} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{A}^K, z_i} \longrightarrow \Omega_{U_1, z_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

splits, and  $\Omega_{U_1, z_i}$  is free of rank  $n$  by hypothesis. Therefore for any projection  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ , the composition  $\varphi : U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  induces a morphism on differential sheaves

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^n, \varphi(z_i)} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{A}^K, z_i} \longrightarrow \Omega_{U_1, z_i}.$$

By the Jacobian criterion, a general projection  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  induces an isomorphism

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^n, \varphi(z_i)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{U_1, z_i}.$$

As  $Z$  is a finite scheme, a general projection  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  is étale at any point of  $Z$ . Moreover, if a  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  sends two different points  $z_i, z_j$  of  $Z$  to the same point, then it contracts the  $\mathbb{A}^1$  containing  $z_i, z_j$ . Projections contracting a certain fixed line is contained in a strictly closed subscheme of the moduli space of projections  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ . Thus a general projection do not contract this line. Since  $Z$  is finite, there are only finitely many lines in  $\mathbb{A}^K$  joining two of points in  $Z$ . Therefore a general projection  $\mathbb{A}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  sends the set of points  $|Z|$  injectively to  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , hence injective when restricted to  $Z$ . Such a projection induces a rational map  $\mathbb{P}^K \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ , which shows our result.  $\square$

**Lemma A.8.** *Let  $Y, Z, \mathcal{L}, N$  be as in the above lemma. Set  $h_Z = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ . Then for any  $d > 2N$  the proportion of global sections  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  which are sent to 0 by the restriction morphism*

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

is at most  $q^{-\min(\lfloor \frac{d}{N} \rfloor, h_Z)}$ .

*Proof.* By the previous lemma, we can find a finite extension  $\mathbb{F}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and a subspace  $V \subset H^0(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N})$  of dimension  $n + 1$  which induces a dominant rational map

$$\varphi : Y_{\mathbb{F}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$$

such that  $\varphi|_{Z_{\mathbb{F}}}$  is injective. Now we use this rational map to show that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Im} (H^0(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow H^0(Z_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})) \geq \min(\lfloor \frac{d}{N} \rfloor, h_Z).$$

As the dimension of the image is invariant under field base change, we then get

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \text{Im} (\mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})) \geq \min(\lfloor \frac{d}{N} \rfloor, h_Z).$$

Let  $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n)$  be a base of  $V$  and  $H_0 = \text{div} \sigma_0$  in  $Y_{\mathbb{F}}$ . The sections  $\sigma_i$  can also be regarded as global sections of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ . This way we can identify  $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee) - \text{div} \sigma_0$  with  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with coordinates  $x_1 = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}, \dots, x_n = \frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma_0}$ . Then the rational map  $\varphi$  can be represented by a morphism

$$\varphi : Y_{\mathbb{F}} - H_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n.$$

Moreover, we can assume that  $Z_{\mathbb{F}}$  is a closed subscheme of  $Y_{\mathbb{F}} - H_0$ .

For all  $r > 0$  with  $(r+1)N < d$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes d} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-rH_0) \simeq \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(d-rN)}$  is very ample on  $Y_{\mathbb{F}}$ . So we can find a section  $\sigma_{H_0} \in \mathbb{H}^0(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  which vanishes of order  $r$  along  $H_0$  but does not vanish identically on  $Y_{\mathbb{F}}$ . Let  $P \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial of total order smaller than or equal to  $r$ . Then the section  $\varphi^*(P) \cdot \sigma_{H_0} \in \mathbb{H}^0(Y_{\mathbb{F}} - H_0, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  extends to a global section on  $Y_{\mathbb{F}}$ . As  $\varphi$  is dominant, linearly independent polynomials of degree smaller than or equal to  $r$  induce linearly independent sections in  $\mathbb{H}^0(Y_{\mathbb{F}} - H_0, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , hence in  $\mathbb{H}^0(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ . Thus we get a injective homomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\leq r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}).$$

Moreover, we can choose an isomorphism  $\mathbb{H}^0(Z_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^0(Z_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathbb{F}}})$  so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\leq r} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{H}^0(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{H}^0(\varphi(Z_{\mathbb{F}}), \mathcal{O}_{\varphi(Z_{\mathbb{F}})}) & & \mathbb{H}^0(Z_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \\ & \searrow \sim & \swarrow \sim \\ & \mathbb{H}^0(Z_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\mathbb{F}}}) & \end{array}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Im} (\mathbb{H}^0(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(Z_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})) \\ & \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Im} (\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\leq r} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(\varphi(Z_{\mathbb{F}}), \mathcal{O}_{\varphi(Z_{\mathbb{F}})})) \\ & \geq \min(h_Z, r+1) = \min(h_Z, \lfloor \frac{d}{N} \rfloor), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 2.5 of [Po04]. This induces, as said above, that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \text{Im} (\mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})) \geq \min(\lfloor \frac{d}{N} \rfloor, h_Z).$$

Therefore the proportion of global sections  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  which are sent to 0 by the restriction morphism  $\mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is at most  $q^{-\min(\lfloor \frac{d}{N} \rfloor, h_Z)}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.9.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $Y$  be a projective scheme of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $X$  a smooth subscheme of  $Y$  of dimension  $m$ . Assume that there exists a smooth open subscheme  $U$  in  $Y$  containing  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an ample line bundle on  $Y$ , and let  $N$  be a sufficiently large integer. Set*

$$\mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}} = \{ \sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \exists x \in X, \deg x > \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}, \text{div} \sigma \cap X \text{ is singular at } x \}$$

and  $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{high}} = \bigcup_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}$ . There exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $d, q$  such that

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O(d^m \cdot q^{-c \frac{d}{p}}),$$

the constant involved is independent of  $d, q$ . In particular,

$$\overline{\mu}(\mathcal{Q}^{\text{high}}) = \limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = 0.$$

We need some reduction before proving this proposition.

1. If  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is a finite open covering of  $U$ , then  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} X \cap U_\alpha$ . If the proposition is true for all  $X \cap U_\alpha$ , then it is also true for  $X$ . Thus we may replace  $X$  by one of the  $X \cap U_\alpha$ . In particular, we may assume that the smooth open subscheme  $U$  containing  $X$  in the statement satisfies the following condition: there exist  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  such that  $X$  is defined by  $t_{m+1} = \dots = t_n = 0$ , and that

$$\Omega_{U/\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_U dt_i, \quad \Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_X dt_i.$$

2. Note  $D = Y - U$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_D$  its ideal sheaf on  $Y$ . Let  $N_0$  be an integer satisfying Lemma A.2 and that  $\mathcal{I}_D \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}$  is globally generated for all  $d \geq N_0$ . Then in particular we can find sections  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_s \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{I}_D \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N_0}) \subset H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes N_0})$  such that  $D = \bigcap_{j=1}^s \text{div}(\tau_j)$ . Hence  $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^s (Y - \text{div}(\tau_j))$ . By restricting to a smaller  $U$ , we may assume that there exists  $\tau \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes (N_0+1)})$  such that  $U = Y - \text{div}(\tau)$ . We note  $U_j$  the open subscheme  $Y - \text{div}(\tau_j)$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .

For any  $d > 0$  and any  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , consider the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_j : H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) &\longrightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \frac{\sigma \cdot \tau_j^d}{\tau^d}. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity of notations, we don't distinguish morphisms  $\Phi_j$  for different  $d$ . This will not cause any confusion as the source of  $\Phi_j$  will be clear by the context. Then for any  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , we have

$$\text{div} \sigma \cap U = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq s} \text{div} \Phi_j(\sigma).$$

Let  $\partial_i \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{O}_U, \mathcal{O}_U) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\Omega_{U/\mathbb{F}_q}^1, \mathcal{O}_U)$  be the dual of  $t_i \in H^0(U, \Omega_{U/\mathbb{F}_q}^1)$ . Then a global section  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  is such that  $\text{div} \sigma$  is singular at a closed point  $x \in X$  if and only if for a  $U_j$  containing  $x$ , we have

$$\Phi_j(\sigma)(x) = (\partial_1 \Phi_j(\sigma))(x) = \dots = (\partial_m \Phi_j(\sigma))(x) = 0.$$

**Lemma A.10.** *There exists a positive integer  $N_1$  such that for any  $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , the section  $(\partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma)) \cdot \tau^{d+\delta}$  extends to a global section of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes (N_0+1)(d+\delta)}$  for any  $\delta \geq N_1$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $Y$  is irreducible. We can identify  $\mathcal{L}$  as a subscheme of the constant sheaf  $K(Y)$  on  $Y$  with coefficient  $K(Y)$  the function field of  $Y$ .

Let  $N_1$  be such that  $t_i \tau^{N_1}$  extends to a global section on  $Y$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . We show that this  $N_1$  satisfies the condition in the lemma. By construction,  $\text{div} \tau = \sum_{\beta} a_{\beta} Z_{\beta}$  where  $Z = \bigcup_{\beta} Z_{\beta}$  is a decomposition of  $Z$  into prime divisors and  $a_i$  positive integers. Let  $a = \max_{\beta} (a_{\beta})$ . Then for any  $f \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ ,  $f \tau^d$  extends to a global section if and only if the divisor

$$\text{div}(f \tau^d) = \text{div} f + d \sum_{\beta} a_{\beta} Z_{\beta}$$

is effective. Since  $\partial_i$ 's are defined on  $H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  and that  $K(Y) = \text{Frac}(H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_Y))$ , we can extend the  $\partial_i$  to the derivations

$$\partial_i : K(Y) \longrightarrow K(Y).$$

As the divisor  $\text{div} t_i + N_1 \sum_{\beta} a_{\beta} Z_{\beta}$  is effective,  $t_i$  has at most a pole of order  $a_{\beta} N_1$  along  $Z_{\beta}$ . So if  $f \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  is such that  $Z_{\beta}$  is a pole of order  $k$ , then  $Z_{\beta}$  is a pole of order at most  $k + a_{\beta} N_1$  of  $\partial_i f$ . Moreover, the poles of  $\partial_i f$  are supported by  $Z$ . For  $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  and any  $1 \leq j \leq s$ ,  $\Phi_j(\sigma)$  is a section such that  $\Phi_j(\sigma) \cdot \tau^d$  extends globally. This means that if  $Z_{\beta}$  is a pole of  $\Phi_j(\sigma)$ , it is of order at most  $a_{\beta} d$ , and thus it is a pole of order at most  $a_{\beta} (d + N_1)$  of  $\partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma)$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Therefore  $(\partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma)) \cdot \tau_0^{d+\delta}$  extends to a global section of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes (N_0+1)(d+\delta)}$  for any  $\delta \geq N_1$ .  $\square$

Now we assume that  $p$  is the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and that  $(N_0 + 1, p) = 1$ . Take an integer  $N \geq (N_0 + 1)(N_1 + p - 1) + p$ . For any  $d \geq N$ , there exists a  $N_1 \leq l_d \leq N_1 + p$  such that

$$d \bmod p \equiv (N_0 + 1) l_d \bmod p.$$

Note  $k_d = \frac{1}{p}[d - (N_0 + 1)l_d]$ . Then for any  $d \geq N$ , fixing extensions of  $t_i\tau^{l_d}$  to global sections for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we can construct morphisms of groups

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{k_d}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^d) \\ \beta &\longmapsto \beta^p \cdot t_i\tau^{l_d} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{k_d}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^d) \\ \gamma &\longmapsto \gamma^p \cdot \tau^{l_d}. \end{aligned}$$

We construct a surjective morphism

$$\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d}) \right) \times \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{k_d}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$$

which sends  $(\sigma_0, (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m), \gamma)$  to

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i^p t_i \tau^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau^{l_d}$$

Naturally, this morphism commutes with all  $\Phi_j$ :

$$\Phi_j(\sigma) = \Phi_j(\sigma_0) + \sum_{i=1}^m \Phi_j(\beta_i)^p t_i \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d} + \Phi_j(\gamma)^p \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d}.$$

Since

$$\partial_i[\Phi_j(\beta_i)^p t_i \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d}] = \Phi_j(\beta_i)^p \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d} + l_d \Phi_j(\beta_i)^p t_i \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d-1} \cdot \partial_i \Phi_j(\tau),$$

and for  $i' \neq i$ ,

$$\partial_i[\Phi_j(\beta_{i'})^p t_{i'} \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d}] = l_d \Phi_j(\beta_{i'})^p t_{i'} \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d-1} \cdot \partial_i \Phi_j(\tau),$$

the differential of  $\Phi_j(\sigma)$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma) &= \left[ \sum_{i'=1}^m l_d \Phi_j(\beta_{i'})^p t_{i'} \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d-1} + l_d \Phi_j(\gamma)^p \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d-1} \right] \cdot \partial_i \Phi_j(\tau) \\ &\quad + \partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma_0) + \Phi_j(\beta_i)^p \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d} \\ &= \partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma_0) + \frac{l_d(\Phi_j(\sigma) - \Phi_j(\sigma_0))}{\Phi_j(\tau)} \partial_i \Phi_j(\tau) + \Phi_j(\beta_i)^p \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d} \end{aligned}$$

To prove Proposition A.9, for a section  $\sigma \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$ , we need to study the singular locus of  $\mathrm{div} \sigma \cap X$ . Since

$$\mathrm{div} \sigma \cap U = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s} (U_j \cap \mathrm{div} \Phi_j(\sigma)),$$

and  $X \subset U$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Sing}(\mathrm{div} \sigma \cap X) \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s} (U_j \cap \mathrm{Sing}(\mathrm{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap X))$$

for any  $1 \leq j \leq s$ . Note that for a  $\sigma \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ ,  $\mathrm{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap X$  is singular at a point  $x \in X$  if and only if

$$\Phi_j(\sigma)(x) = \partial_1 \Phi_j(\sigma)(x) = \dots = \partial_m \Phi_j(\sigma)(x) = 0$$

by conditions on  $\partial_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{Sing}(\mathrm{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap X) = \mathrm{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap \mathrm{div}(\partial_1 \Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap \dots \cap \mathrm{div}(\partial_m \Phi_j(\sigma))$$

in  $U$ .

Now for any  $(\sigma_0, (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m), \gamma) \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times (\prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})) \times \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{k_d})$ , set

$$g_{j,i}(\sigma_0, \beta_i) = \partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma_0) - \frac{l_d \Phi_j(\sigma_0)}{\Phi_j(\tau)} \partial_i \Phi_j(\tau) + \Phi_j(\beta_i)^p \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d},$$

and

$$W_{j,i} := X \cap U_j \cap \{g_{j,1} = \dots = g_{j,i} = 0\}.$$

Then for any  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$ , comparing the expressions of  $g_{j,i}$  and  $\partial_i \Phi_j$  we have

$$g_{j,i}(\sigma_0, \beta_i)|_{\text{div } \Phi_j(\sigma)} = \partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma)|_{\text{div } \Phi_j(\sigma)}.$$

Moreover, any section  $g_{j,i}(\sigma_0, \beta_i) \cdot \tau^{d+\delta} \in H^0(U, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N_0+1)(d+\delta)})$  can be extended to a global section in  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N_0+1)(d+\delta)})$  for any  $\delta \geq N_1$ .

**Lemma A.11.** *For  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , with a fixed choice of  $\sigma_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_i$  such that  $\dim W_{j,i} \leq m-i$ , the proportion of  $\beta_{i+1}$  in  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$  such that  $\dim W_{j,i+1} \leq m-i-1$  is  $1 - O(d^i \cdot q^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}})$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and the constant involved is independent of  $d, q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V_1, \dots, V_s$  be the  $(m-i)$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -irreducible components of the reduced scheme  $(W_{j,i})_{\text{red}}$ . The closure of the  $V_i$ 's in  $Y$  are contained in the set of  $(m-i)$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -irreducible components of  $\overline{X} \cap \text{div } g_{j,1} \tau^{d+N_1} \cap \dots \cap \text{div } g_{j,i} \tau^{d+N_1}$ . Since the sections  $g_{j,l} \tau^{d+N_1}$  are global sections of  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N_0+1)(d+N_2)})$ , and that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N_0+1)}$  induces a closed embedding of  $Y$  into  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N_0+1)})^\vee)$ , the sections  $g_{j,l} \tau^{d+N_1}$  can be extended uniquely to sections of  $H^0(\mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(N_0+1)})^\vee), \mathcal{O}(d+N_1))$ . Applying refined Bézout's theorem (see [Fu84, Theorem 12.3] for a precise statement), we get

$$s \leq (\deg \overline{X})(\deg g_{j,1} \tau^{d+N_1}) \dots (\deg g_{j,i} \tau^{d+N_1}) = (\deg \overline{X})(d+N_1)^i = O(d^i),$$

where coefficients involved in  $O(d^i)$  is independent of  $q$ . Since for  $1 \leq e \leq s$  we have  $\dim V_e \geq 1$ , so for each  $V_e$  there exists a  $t_i$  such that  $t_i|_{V_e}$  is not constant. We want to bound

$$G_{e,j}^{\text{bad}} := \{\beta_{i+1} \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d}) ; g_{j,i+1}(\sigma_0, \beta_{i+1}) \text{ is identically 0 on } V_e\}.$$

Note that if  $\beta_{i+1}, \beta'_{i+1} \in G_{e,j}^{\text{bad}}$ , then  $\beta_{i+1} - \beta'_{i+1}$  is identically 0 on  $V_e$ . In fact, as on  $V_e$

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{j,i+1}(\sigma_0, \beta_{i+1}) - g_{j,i+1}(\sigma_0, \beta'_{i+1}) \\ &= \Phi_j(\beta_{i+1})^p \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d} - \Phi_j(\beta'_{i+1})^p \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d} \\ &= \Phi_j(\beta_{i+1} - \beta'_{i+1})^p \Phi_j(\tau)^{l_d}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Phi_j(\tau)$  is everywhere non-zero, we have that if  $G_{e,j}^{\text{bad}} \neq \emptyset$ , then it is a coset of the subspace of sections of  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$  which vanishes on  $V_e$ . When  $d$  is large, we can decompose  $k_d$  by  $k_d = k_{d,1}(N_0+1) + k_{d,2}N_0$  with  $k_{d,1}, k_{d,2} \geq 0$  and  $k_{d,2}$  minimal among all the decompositions. Then the sections

$$\tau^{k_{d,1}} \tau_j^{k_{d,2}}, t_i \tau^{k_{d,1}} \tau_j^{k_{d,2}}, \dots, t_i^{\lfloor \frac{k_{d,1}}{N_1} \rfloor} \tau^{k_{d,1}} \tau_j^{k_{d,2}} \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$$

restricting to  $V_e$  are linearly independent. So the codimension of the subspace of sections in  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$  vanishing on  $V_e$  is bigger than or equal to  $\lfloor \frac{k_{d,1}}{N_1} \rfloor + 1 = \lceil \frac{k_{d,1}}{N_1} \rceil$ . This implies that the probability that  $g_{j,i+1}$  vanishes on one of the  $V_e$ 's is at most

$$s \cdot q^{-\lceil \frac{k_{d,1}}{N_1} \rceil} = O(d^i q^{-\frac{k_d}{N_1(N_0+1)}}) = O(d^i q^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}}),$$

where the constant involved in is independent of  $d$  and  $q$ . Since  $\dim W_{j,i+1} \leq m-i-1$  is  $1 - O(d^i \cdot q^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p(N_0+1)}})$  if and only if  $g_{j,i+1}$  does not vanishing on any  $V_e$ , we get the result.  $\square$

**Lemma A.12.** *With a fixed choice of  $\sigma_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$  such that  $W_{j,m}$  is finite, we have for  $d$  sufficiently large, the proportion of  $\gamma$  in  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$  such that*

$$\text{div } \sigma \cap W_{j,m} \cap \{x \in |X| ; \deg x > \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}\} = \emptyset$$

is

$$1 - O(d^m q^{-\frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}}),$$

where  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$  and the constant involved is independent of  $d, q$ .

*Proof.* Applying once more Bézout's theorem, we obtain that

$$\#W_{j,m} = O(d^m)$$

with constant involved independent of  $q$ . For any  $x \in W_{j,m}$ , the set  $H^{\text{bad}}$  of sections  $\gamma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$  such that  $x$  is contained in  $\text{div } \sigma$  with  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$  is a coset of

$$\text{Ker}(\text{ev}_x \circ \Phi_j : H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d}) \rightarrow \kappa(x)),$$

where  $\kappa(x)$  is the residual field of  $x$  and  $\text{ev}_x$  is the evaluation at  $x$ . If moreover we have  $\deg x > \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}$ , Lemma A.8 tells us that

$$\frac{\#H^{\text{bad}}}{\#H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})} \leq q^{-\min(\lfloor \frac{k_d}{N_0} \rfloor, \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N})}.$$

Thus when  $d$  tends to infinity, the proportion of sections  $\gamma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$  such that for  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$ ,

$$\text{div} \sigma \cap W_{j,m} \cap \{x \in |X| ; \deg x > \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}\} \neq \emptyset,$$

is bounded above by

$$\#W_{j,m} \cdot q^{-\min(\lfloor \frac{k_d}{N_0} \rfloor, \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N})} = O(d^m q^{-\min(\lfloor \frac{k_d}{N_0} \rfloor, \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N})}),$$

where the constant involved is independent of  $d, q$ . Since  $k_d = \frac{1}{p}[d - (N_0 + 1)l_d]$  with  $N_1 \leq l_d \leq N_1 + p$ , we have  $k_d \geq \frac{1}{p}[d - (N_0 + 1)(N_1 + p)]$ . Then

$$\lfloor \frac{k_d}{N_0} \rfloor \geq \frac{d - (N_0 + 1)(N_1 + p)}{N_0 p} - 1 \geq \frac{d - (N_0 + 1)(N_1 + 2p)}{N_0 p} \geq \frac{d}{2N_0 p}$$

for large  $d$ . However, since  $N \geq (N_0 + 1)(N_1 + p - 1) + p$ , we have

$$\frac{d-N}{(m+1)N} \leq \frac{d}{(m+1)(N_0+1)(N_1+p-1)+p} \leq \frac{d}{2(N_0+1)(N_1+p-1)}.$$

When  $d$  is large, clearly

$$\frac{d}{2(N_0+1)(N_1+p-1)} \leq \frac{d}{2N_0 p}.$$

Therefore  $\lfloor \frac{k_d}{N_0} \rfloor \geq \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}$ , and the proportion of sections  $\gamma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d})$  such that for  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$ ,

$$\text{div} \sigma \cap W_{j,m} \cap \{x \in |X| ; \deg x > \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}\} = \emptyset,$$

is

$$1 - O(d^m q^{-\frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}}),$$

where the constant involved is independent of  $d, q$ . □

*Proof of Proposition A.9.* Choose

$$(\sigma_0, (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m), \gamma) \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^m H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d}) \right) \times H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{k_d})$$

uniformly at random. Lemma A.11 and A.12 show that as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ , writing

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d},$$

the proportion of  $(\sigma_0, (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m), \gamma)$  such that

$$\dim W_{j,i} = m - i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq m$$

and

$$\text{div} \sigma \cap W_{j,m} \cap \left\{ x \in |X| ; \deg x > \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N} \right\} = \emptyset,$$

is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( 1 - O(d^i \cdot q^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p (N_0 + 1)}}) \right) \right] \cdot \left( 1 - O(d^m q^{-\frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}}) \right) \\ &= \left( 1 - O(d^{m-1} \cdot q^{-\frac{d}{N_1 p (N_0 + 1)}}) \right) \cdot \left( 1 - O(d^m q^{-\frac{d-N}{(m+1)N}}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since for  $d$  large,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N} &\leq \frac{d}{(m+1)N} \\ &\leq \frac{d}{(m+1)(N_0+1)(N_1+p-1)} \\ &\leq \frac{d}{N_0p} \end{aligned}$$

and clearly  $\frac{d}{N_1p(N_0+1)} \leq \frac{d}{N_0p}$ , the probability above can be written as

$$(1 - O(d^{m-1} \cdot q^{-\frac{d}{N_0p}})) \cdot (1 - O(d^m \cdot q^{-\frac{d}{N_0p}})) = 1 - O(d^m \cdot q^{-\frac{d}{N_0p}}).$$

On the other hand, for  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$ , as

$$g_{j,i}(\sigma_0, \beta_i)|_{\text{div } \Phi_j(\sigma)} = \partial_i \Phi_j(\sigma)|_{\text{div } \Phi_j(\sigma)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Sing}(\text{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap X) \cap U_j \\ &= \text{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap U_j \cap \{\partial_1 \Phi_j(\sigma) = \dots = \partial_m \Phi_j(\sigma) = 0\} \\ &= \text{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap U_j \cap \{g_{j,1}(\sigma_0, \beta_1) = \dots = g_{j,m}(\sigma_0, \beta_m) = 0\} \\ &= \text{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap W_{j,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i^p t_i \tau_{0,p}^{l_d} + \gamma^p \tau_{0,p}^{l_d}$  defines a surjective homomorphism of groups

$$\mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k_d}) \right) \times \mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{k_d}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}),$$

we obtain that when  $d \rightarrow \infty$ , the proportion of  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})$  such that

$$\text{Sing}(\text{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap X) \cap U_j \cap \left\{ x \in |X| ; \deg x > \frac{d-N}{(m+1)N} \right\} = \emptyset$$

is

$$1 - O(d^m \cdot q^{-\frac{d}{N_0p}}).$$

Since

$$\text{Sing}(\text{div} \sigma \cap X) \subset \bigcup_j (U_j \cap \text{Sing}(\text{div}(\Phi_j(\sigma)) \cap X)),$$

setting  $c = \frac{1}{N_0}$ , we proved the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary A.13.** *In the same setting as in Proposition A.9, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $d, q$  such that*

$$\frac{\#\{\sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div} \sigma \cap X)) > 0\}}{\#\mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O(d^m \cdot q^{-c\frac{d}{p}}),$$

the constant involved is independent of  $d, q$ .

*Proof.* This follows directly from Proposition A.9 once we notice that

$$\{\sigma \in \mathbb{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}) ; \dim(\text{Sing}(\text{div} \sigma \cap X)) > 0\} \subset \mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}$$

$\square$

#### A.4. Proof of Bertini smoothness theorem over finite fields.

*Proof of Theorem A.1.* The zeta function  $\zeta_X(s)$  is convergent for  $s > \dim X$ . So in particular  $\zeta_X(m+1)^{-1} = \prod_{x \in |X|} (1 - q^{-(m+1)\deg x})$  is convergent. By Proposition A.4,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathcal{P}_{\leq r}) = \zeta_X(m+1)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, by construction of  $\mathcal{P}_{d, \leq r}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{d, > r}^{\text{med}}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{P}_d \subset \mathcal{P}_{d, \leq r} \subset \mathcal{P}_d \cup \mathcal{Q}_{d, > r}^{\text{med}} \cup \mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_d}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} - \frac{\#\mathcal{P}_{d, \leq r}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} \right| \leq \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d, > r}^{\text{med}}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} + \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})}.$$

When  $d \rightarrow \infty$ , by Proposition A.6 and A.9 we have

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_{d, > r}^{\text{med}}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} + \frac{\#\mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}}{\#\mathbf{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d})} = O(q^{-r}) + O(d^m \cdot q^{-c\frac{d}{p}}).$$

Hence  $\bar{\mu}(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\underline{\mu}(\mathcal{P})$  differ from  $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{\leq r})$  by at most  $\bar{\mu}(\mathcal{Q}_{> r}^{\text{med}}) + \bar{\mu}(\mathcal{Q}_d^{\text{high}}) = O(q^{-r})$ . So letting  $r$  tend to  $\infty$ , we get

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathcal{P}_{\leq r}) = \zeta_X(m+1)^{-1}.$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [BSW16] Manjul Bhargava, Arul Shankar and Xiaoheng Wang. Squarefree values of polynomial discriminants I, *arXiv preprint*, arXiv: 1611.09806v2, 2016.
- [Ch17] François Charles. Arithmetic ampleness and an arithmetic Bertini theorem, *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:1703.02481, 2017.
- [Fu84] William Fulton. *Introduction to intersection theory in algebraic geometry*, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1984.
- [Fu89] William Fulton. *Algebraic curves: an introduction to algebraic geometry*, Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., Advanced Book Program, 1989.
- [Gr98] Andrew Granville. ABC allows us to count squarefrees, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, 19:991-1009, 1998.
- [GS91] Henri Gillet and Christophe Soulé. On the number of lattice points in convex symmetric bodies and their duals. *Israel J. Math.* 74(2-3):347-357, 1991.
- [GS92] Henri Gillet and Christophe Soulé. An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem. *Invent. Math.* 110:473-543, 1992.
- [Jo83] Jean-Pierre Jouanolou. *Théorèmes de Bertini et applications*, volume 42 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1983 (French).
- [La04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [LW54] Serge Lang and André Weil. Number of points of varieties in finite fields, *Amer. J. Math.* 76:819-827, 1954.
- [Po03] Bjorn Poonen. Squarefree values of multivariable polynomials, *Duke Math. J.* 118:353-373, 2003.
- [Po04] Bjorn Poonen. Bertini theorems over finite fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 160(3):1099-1127, 2004.
- [Se12] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Lectures on  $N_X(p)$* , volume 11 of *Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2012.
- [Yu08] Xinyi Yuan. Big line bundles over arithmetic varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 173(3):603-649, 2008.
- [Zh92] Shouwu Zhang. Positive line bundles on arithmetic surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 136(3):569-587, 1992.
- [Zh95] Shouwu Zhang. Positive line bundles on arithmetic varieties. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(1):187-221, 1995.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD, BÂTIMENT 307, 91400 ORSAY, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* xiaozong.wang@u-psud.fr