

RATIONAL POINTS ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE FOUR

VLADIMIR MITANKIN AND CECÍLIA SALGADO

ABSTRACT. We study the distribution of the Brauer group and the frequency of the Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle and weak approximation in a family of smooth del Pezzo surfaces of degree four over the rationals.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Description of the Brauer group	5
3. Local points	8
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1	14
5. Surfaces with Brauer group of order 4	15
6. Proof of Theorem 1.2	18
7. Proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4	22
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

A del Pezzo surface of degree four X over \mathbb{Q} is a smooth projective surface in \mathbb{P}^4 given by the complete intersection of two quadrics defined over \mathbb{Q} . Such surfaces have been the object of study of several papers throughout the last half century. A prominent reason for that is the fact that they provide the simplest example of failure of the Hasse Principle for surfaces. We say that an algebraic variety defined over \mathbb{Q} *satisfies the Hasse principle* if it has a \mathbb{Q} -point whenever it has a real point and a point in each field of p -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p . The simplest class of surfaces, namely those with Kodaira dimension $-\infty$, is formed by rational and ruled surfaces. The arithmetic of the latter is determined by that of del Pezzo and conic bundle surfaces. Del Pezzo surfaces see their level of arithmetic and geometric complexity increase inversely to their degrees and those of degree at least

Date: October 30, 2021.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 14G12 (primary), 11G35, 11D09, 14D10, 14G05 (secondary).

5 admitting a rational point are always \mathbb{Q} -rational. Hence quartic del Pezzo surfaces form the first class for which interesting arithmetic phenomena, as for instance failures of the Hasse Principle, can occur. They are the object of study of this paper.

We say that a variety X over \mathbb{Q} *satisfies weak approximation* if the set of its rational points $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in the adèles $X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ of X , that is $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $\prod_{p \in S} X(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for any finite set S of places of \mathbb{Q} . A conjecture of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [CTS80] predicts that all failures of the Hasse principle and weak approximation are explained by the Brauer–Manin obstruction. This is a cohomological obstruction developed by Manin [Man74] which exploits the fact that for a smooth, geometrically irreducible variety X over \mathbb{Q} there is pairing between $X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and the Brauer group $\text{Br } X = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ of X . Manin showed that $X(\mathbb{Q})$ lies inside the left kernel $X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br } X}$ of this pairing. A *Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle* is then present if $X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$ but $X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br } X} = \emptyset$. On the other hand, there is a *Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation* if $X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br } X} \neq X(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Such obstructions may occur only if $\text{Br } X / \text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ is non-trivial.

Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc’s conjecture is established, for a general del Pezzo surface of degree four, under Schinzel’s hypothesis and the finiteness of Tate–Shafarevich groups of elliptic curves by Wittenberg [Wit07, Thm. 3.36.] when $\text{Br } X = \text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ and by Várilly-Alvarado and Viray [VAV14, Thm. 1.5.] when X is of BSD type. The latter corresponds to the complete intersection in \mathbb{P}^4 of the following two quadrics

$$\begin{aligned} cx_3x_4 &= x_2^2 - \varepsilon x_0^2, \\ (x_3 + x_4)(ax_3 + bx_4) &= x_2^2 - \varepsilon x_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ with $(a - b)(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - ab - ac - bc) \neq 0$ and $ab, \varepsilon(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - ab - ac - bc) \notin \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$.

Quartic del Pezzo surfaces of BSD type with an adelic point always have $\text{Br } X / \text{Br } \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In this setting, Jahnel and Schindler [JS17] have shown that all counter-examples to the Hasse principle form a Zariski dense set in the moduli scheme of all del Pezzo surfaces of degree four.

In this paper we consider a different family of del Pezzo surfaces of degree four given as follows. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_4)$ be a quintuple with coprime integer coordinates, we denote the set of such vectors by $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^5$. Then define $X_{\mathbf{a}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^4$ by the complete intersection

$$\begin{aligned} x_0x_1 - x_2x_3 &= 0, \\ a_0x_0^2 + a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + a_3x_3^2 + a_4x_4^2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

We can assume that a_0, \dots, a_4 have no factor in common without any loss of generality, otherwise divide the second equation by that factor. Such $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ are smooth if and only if $(a_0a_1 - a_2a_3) \prod_{i=0}^4 a_i \neq 0$. Here we are interested in the family of all smooth quartic del Pezzo surfaces given by (1.1), that is

$$\mathcal{F} = \{X_{\mathbf{a}} \text{ as in (1.1)} : \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^5 \text{ and } (a_0a_1 - a_2a_3) \prod_{i=0}^4 a_i \neq 0\}.$$

There are numerous reasons behind our choice of this family. Firstly, different from the BSD type family, the Brauer group does witness a variation as \mathbf{a} runs through $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^5$ which makes interesting the problem of studying the frequency of each possible Brauer group. Secondly, surfaces in \mathcal{F} admit two distinct conic bundle structures, making their geometry and hence their arithmetic considerably more tractable. Moreover, for such surfaces Colliot-Thélène and Salberger have independently shown that the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse principle and weak approximation [CT90, Thm 2], [Sal86]. In particular, the conjecture of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc holds unconditionally for $X_{\mathbf{a}}$. Finally, our surfaces can be thought of as an analogue of diagonal cubic surfaces as they also satisfy the interesting equivalence of \mathbb{Q} -rationality and trivial Brauer group. This is shown in our forthcoming work.

We order $X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F}$ with respect to the naive height function $|\mathbf{a}| = \max_{0 \leq i \leq 4} |a_i|$. Recall that since $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is projective we have $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where we have used \mathbb{Q}_{∞} to denote the reals. The coprimality condition of $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^5$ implies that the number of $X \in \mathcal{F}$ of height at most B is asymptotically $32B^5/\zeta(5)$, where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. Our first result shows that a positive proportion of $X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F}$ have points everywhere locally, i.e. $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F} : |\mathbf{a}| \leq B \text{ and } X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset\}}{32B^5/\zeta(5)} = \sigma_{\infty} \prod_p \sigma_p > 0,$$

where $\sigma_p, \sigma_{\infty}$ are local densities whose values are given in Proposition 3.2.

A first natural step towards understanding the frequency of failures of the Hasse principle and weak approximation for $X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F}$ is to understand how often $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ is non-trivial. It is well-understood for quartic del Pezzo surfaces that when non-trivial this quotient is either $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ [Man74], [SD93]. To analyse this for any real $B \geq 1$ let

$$N_{\#\mathcal{A}}(B) = \#\{X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F} : |\mathbf{a}| \leq B, X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathcal{A}\},$$

where \mathcal{A} is either the trivial group, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. Our next result shows that $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ is almost always of order two.

Theorem 1.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} B^3 &\ll N_1(B) \ll B^3(\log B)^4, \\ N_2(B) &\sim \frac{32}{\zeta(5)} \left(\sigma_\infty \prod_p \sigma_p \right) B^5, \\ N_4(B) &= \frac{60}{\pi^2} B^3 + O(B^{5/2}(\log B)^2), \end{aligned}$$

as B goes to infinity.

Theorem 1.2 implies that there are infinitely many $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ with $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ of order four. However, Remark 2.3 shows that such surfaces always have a rational point and thus all failures of the Hasse principle in \mathcal{F} arise when $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Our next result provides an upper bound for the number of such failures and shows that they appear quite rarely in the family \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 1.3. *We have*

$$\#\{X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F} : |\mathbf{a}| \leq B, X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset \text{ but } X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset\} \ll B^{9/2},$$

as B goes to infinity.

Theorem 1.3 together with Theorem 1.1 give us a better understanding of how often varieties in families have a rational point. This question has raised a significant interest lately with studies by numerous authors [Bha14], [BBL16], [BB14] [Lou18], [LS16], [Ser90], [Sof16]. An answer to it in complete generality seems out of reach with current techniques which makes results as in Theorem 1.3 especially valuable.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 yields that 100% of the surfaces in \mathcal{F} satisfy the Hasse principle but yet fail weak approximation. This is made precise in the next theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *We have*

$$\#\{X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F} : |\mathbf{a}| \leq B, X_{\mathbf{a}} \text{ satisfies weak approximation}\} \ll B^{9/2},$$

as B goes to infinity.

It follows from Theorem 1.2 that the quantity in Theorem 1.4 is $\gg B^3$, since rational surfaces satisfy weak approximation. There are general methods for proving results as in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 developed in [BBL16] and [Bri18]. However, these methods would

yield a bound of the shape $O(B^5/(\log B))$. While our idea closely resembles the one used in [BBL16] and [Bri18], the explicit description of certain Brauer group elements here allows us to get a power saving in the upper bounds obtained in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Thus Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 do not follow from the general tools.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we describe explicitly the two conic bundle structures on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ and use them to compute $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}}/\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}$. Section 3 is dedicated to the study of the local points and the local densities σ_p and σ_∞ . In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1. Sections 5 and 6 are dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are contained in Section 7.

Notation. Throughout this paper we set $d = a_0a_1 - a_2a_3$. For a field k and a variety X over k we use $k(X)$ for the function field of X .

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Tim Browning for many useful comments and for his suggestion on how to improve the upper bound in Proposition 6.1. We also thank Martin Bright, Dan Loughran, Yuri Manin and Bianca Viray for many useful discussions. We thank Pieter Moree for a helpful comment on the analytic part of the paper. We are grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn and the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro for their hospitality while working on this article. Cecília Salgado was partially supported by FAPERJ grant E-26/203.205/2016, the Serrapilheira Institute (grant Serra-1709-17759), Cnpq grant PQ2 310070/2017-1 and the Capes-Humboldt program.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE BRAUER GROUP

This section is dedicated to the study of $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}}/\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}$. We shall give a list of explicit representatives in $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}}$ of the elements generating $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}}/\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}$ in the case of cyclic group. This will later allow us to obtain the upper bounds in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. We follow a classical approach for computing the Brauer group of a conic bundle surface. We begin by embedding $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ in the scroll $\mathbb{F}(1, 1, 0)$ following [Rei97, §2]. A short summary of this is contained in [FLS18, §2]. Our method closely follows [LM20, §3].

As explained in [Bro09, Ch. 2] if one of the quadrics defining $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is of the shape $x_0x_1 - x_2x_3 = 0$, then there is a pair of morphisms $\pi_1 : X_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\pi_2 : X_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defined over \mathbb{Q} each of which endows $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ with a different conic bundle structure. This can be seen in the following way. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}(1, 1, 0) &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4, \\ (s, t; x, y, z) &\mapsto (sx : ty : tx : sy : z) \end{aligned}$$

defines an isomorphism between $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ and

$$(a_0s^2 + a_2t^2)x^2 + (a_3s^2 + a_1t^2)y^2 + a_4z^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{F}(1, 1, 0). \quad (2.1)$$

One can view $\mathbb{F}(1, 1, 0) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ as $((\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0) \times (\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus 0))/\mathbb{G}_m^2$, where the action of \mathbb{G}_m^2 on $(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus 0) \times (\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus 0)$ is described by

$$(\lambda, \mu) \cdot (s, t; x, y, z) = (\lambda s, \lambda t; \frac{\mu}{\lambda}x, \frac{\mu}{\lambda}y, \mu z).$$

Then $\pi_1 : X_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is obtained by projecting to (s, t) . It is now clear that each fibre of π_1 is a conic and thus $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is a conic bundle over the projective line. Similarly, one obtains $\pi_2 : X_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ via the map $(s, t; x, y, z) \mapsto (tx : sy : ty : sx : z)$.

It follows from (2.1) that the conic associated to the generic fibre of π_1 takes the shape $-a_4(a_0s^2 + a_2t^2)x^2 - a_4(a_3s^2 + a_1t^2)y^2 - z^2 = 0$. There is an associated to it quaternion algebra Q in the Brauer group of the function field of \mathbb{P}^1 given by

$$Q = (-a_4(a_0(s/t)^2 + a_2), -a_4(a_3(s/t)^2 + a_1)). \quad (2.2)$$

The quaternion algebra Q has a trivial residue at any closed point of \mathbb{P}^1 corresponding to a non-singular fibre of π_1 . Its residues over the singular fibres of π_1 are described in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *The following holds.*

- (i) *The map $\pi_1 : X_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has 4 singular geometric fibres.*
- (ii) *The bad fibres lie over the zero locus of*

$$\Delta(s, t) = (a_0s^2 + a_2t^2)(a_3s^2 + a_1t^2).$$

- (iii) *Assume that $-a_0a_2, -a_1a_3 \notin \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$. Let T', T'' be the closed points corresponding the zero locus of $a_0s^2 + a_2t^2$ and $a_3s^2 + a_1t^2$, respectively. They have residue fields $\mathbb{Q}(T') = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a_0a_2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(T'') = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a_1a_3})$. The fibres over T', T'' have the following residues:*

$$\text{Res}_{T'}(Q) = -a_0a_4d \in \mathbb{Q}(T')^*/\mathbb{Q}(T')^{*2},$$

$$\text{Res}_{T''}(Q) = -a_1a_4d \in \mathbb{Q}(T'')^*/\mathbb{Q}(T'')^{*2}.$$

Proof. The result follows immediately from the explicit equation (2.1) and a simple calculation. \square

We continue with the structure of $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ given in the next proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(*)$ denote the condition that $-a_0a_4d \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a_0a_2})^{*2}$, $-a_1a_4d \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a_1a_3})^{*2}$ and that one of $-a_0a_2$, $-a_1a_3$ or a_0a_1 is not in \mathbb{Q}^{*2} . Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}}/\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q} = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 & \text{if } a_0a_1, a_2a_3, -a_0a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2} \text{ and } -a_0a_4d \notin \mathbb{Q}^{*2}, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } (*), \\ \{\mathrm{id}\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is worth mentioning that under the assumption $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$ we have $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}}/\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}$ trivial if and only if $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is rational. This is shown in our forthcoming work. We now proceed with the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Proof. The morphism $\pi_1 : X_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defines a pull-back π_1^* on the level of Brauer groups. Thus Faddeev's reciprocity law and Grothendieck's purity theorem give the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}(T) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}[T]/(P(T)), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ & & \downarrow \pi_1^* & & \downarrow \pi_1^* & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}(X_{\mathbf{a}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}(Y), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \end{array} \quad (2.3)$$

where the top sum is taken over all irreducible polynomials $P(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ and the bottom sum is taken over all integral subvarieties Y of $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ of codimension 1.

If $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}}$, then the image of α in the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}(X_{\mathbf{a}})$ of the function field of $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the pull-back π_1^*A of some $A \in \mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}(T)$ by [CTSD94, Thm. 2.2.1]. Proposition 2.2 now follows from Lemma 2.1 and a standard computation of residues. \square

Remark 2.3. Note that in the case when $-a_0a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ there is an obvious rational point $(s, t; x, y, z) = (1 : \sqrt{-a_0/a_2} : 1 : 0 : 0)$ on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$. Thus there is no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the existence of rational points on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ if $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}}/\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}$ is of order four.

2.1. Generators. In order to study the Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle or to weak approximation we can assume that $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ has a non-trivial Brauer group, that is $-a_1a_4d \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a_1a_3})^{*2}$, $-a_0a_4d \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a_0a_2})^{*2}$. By Theorem 1.2 the number of surfaces with Brauer group of order four is $O(B^3)$ which negligible compared to the bounds needed to establish Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Thus we can assume from now on that $\mathrm{Br} X_{\mathbf{a}}/\mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $A \in \mathrm{Br} \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ be given by

$$A = (a_0(s/t)^2 + a_2, -a_0a_4d).$$

It is clear that the image $\alpha = \pi_1^* A \in \text{Br } \mathbb{Q}(X_{\mathbf{a}})$ of A is unramified along each irreducible divisor of $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ except possibly on the irreducible components of $D = \{a_0(s/t)^2 + a_2 = 0\} \subset X_{\mathbf{a}}$. To fix ideas assume that $-a_0a_2$ is not a rational square, hence D is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . A similar analysis yields the same conclusion when $-a_0a_2$ is a square. Along D one has

$$-a_0a_4d = (a_0a_4z/ty)^2.$$

Thus $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}(D)} \alpha = -a_0a_4d \in \mathbb{Q}(D)^*/\mathbb{Q}(D)^{*2}$ is trivial which implies that α is unramified on D . Alternatively, one can check that $\mathbb{Q}(D) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a_0a_2}, \sqrt{-a_0a_4d})(T)$ where $-a_0a_4d$ is clearly a square. Since $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is smooth we can apply Grothendieck's purity theorem (the bottom line of (2.3)) to conclude that α lies inside the image of $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \text{Br } \mathbb{Q}(X_{\mathbf{a}})$. A similar analysis as in Lemma 2.1 (iii) shows that the image of α in $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ is non-trivial and hence it generates $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

3. LOCAL POINTS

3.1. Local solubility. In this subsection we are concerned with the existence of local points on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ given in (1.1). To do so we first define an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^5$ in the spirit of [BBL16, §2]. We say that

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \sim (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)$$

if and only if at least one of the following holds.

- $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (\beta_1, \beta_0, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)$,
- $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_4)$,
- $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ and $\alpha_4 \equiv \beta_4 \pmod{2}$.
- There are $k, \ell, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $k + \ell = m + n$ such that

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (\beta_0 + 2k, \beta_1 + 2\ell, \beta_2 + 2m, \beta_3 + 2n, \beta_4).$$

- There is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (\beta_0 + k, \beta_1 + k, \beta_2 + k, \beta_3 + k, \beta_4 + k).$$

Let p be a prime number and let v_p denote the p -adic valuation of an element of \mathbb{Q}_p . The above equivalence relation has the property that for each $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^5$ with

$$(v_p(a_0), v_p(a_1), v_p(a_2), v_p(a_3), v_p(a_4)) \sim (v_p(a'_0), v_p(a'_1), v_p(a'_2), v_p(a'_3), v_p(a'_4))$$

we have $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $X_{\mathbf{a}'}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$. We will make great use of this fact. Unlike in [BBL16, §2] in our setting when we quotient $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^5$ by the equivalence relation \sim we do

not get a finite list of representatives. Thus we require a more involved approach in order to understand the local solubility of $X_{\mathbf{a}}$. For convenience, if p is an odd prime let

$$\left[\frac{a}{p} \right] = \left(\frac{a/p^{v_p(a)}}{p} \right),$$

where the second entry is the Legendre symbol. We shall give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of local points on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $p \neq 2$ be a place of \mathbb{Q} . Then we have $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \emptyset$ if and only if one of the following holds.*

- (i) $p = \infty$ and all a_i have the same sign,
- (ii) $p = 3$, and the following hold
 - $v_3(a_0) \equiv v_3(a_1) \equiv v_3(a_2) \equiv v_3(a_3) \not\equiv v_3(a_4) \pmod{2}$,
 - $v_3(a_0a_1) = v_3(a_2a_3)$,
 - $\left[\frac{-a_0a_2}{3} \right] = \left[\frac{-a_0a_3}{3} \right] = \left[\frac{-a_1a_2}{3} \right] = \left[\frac{-a_1a_3}{3} \right] = -1$.
- (iii) p is an odd prime, (i, j) is either $(0, 1)$ or $(2, 3)$, we have $\{\ell, m\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j\}$ and the following hold
 - $v_p(a_i) \equiv v_p(a_j) \equiv v_p(a_4) \pmod{2}$,
 - $v_p(a_\ell) \equiv v_p(a_m) \not\equiv v_p(a_i) \pmod{2}$,
 - $v_p(a_i a_j) = v_p(a_\ell a_m)$ and $\left[\frac{-a_i a_4}{p} \right] = \left[\frac{-a_j a_4}{p} \right] = -1$ or $v_p(a_i a_j) > v_p(a_\ell a_m)$ and $\left[\frac{-a_i a_4}{p} \right] = \left[\frac{-a_j a_4}{p} \right] = \left[\frac{-a_\ell a_m}{p} \right] = -1$.
- (iv) p is an odd prime, (i, j, k) is one of $(0, 1, 2)$, $(0, 1, 3)$, $(2, 3, 0)$ or $(2, 3, 1)$ as an ordered triple, $\{\ell\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j, k\}$ and the following hold
 - $v_p(a_i) \equiv v_p(a_j) \equiv v_p(a_k) \pmod{2}$,
 - $v_p(a_\ell) \equiv v_p(a_4) \not\equiv v_p(a_i) \pmod{2}$,
 - $v_p(a_i a_j) > v_p(a_k a_\ell)$,
 - $\left[\frac{-a_i a_k}{p} \right] = \left[\frac{-a_j a_k}{p} \right] = \left[\frac{-a_\ell a_4}{p} \right] = -1$.

Proof. One easily verifies that if $p = \infty$, then $X(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if two of the a_i have different signs since this is equivalent to the bottom quadratic form in (1.1) being indefinite.

Let p be an odd prime now. It is clear that at least three of the a_i have the same parity of their p -adic valuations. Let $\alpha = (v_p(a_0), v_p(a_1), v_p(a_2), v_p(a_3), v_p(a_4))$. We distinguish between the following cases.

- (a) If $v_p(a_0) \equiv v_p(a_2) \equiv v_p(a_4) \pmod{2}$ we set $x_1 = x_3 = 0$. It thus suffices to show that the diagonal projective conic $a_0x_0^2 + a_2x_2^2 + a_4x_4^2 = 0$ has a p -adic point. In view of \sim since $v_p(a_0), v_p(a_2), v_p(a_4)$ have the same parity we can assume that $p \nmid a_0a_2a_4$.

Such conics are known to have a smooth \mathbb{F}_p -point which is easily verified for example by fixing $x_4 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and then counting the number of possible values that $a_0x_0^2$ and $a_2x_2^2 + a_4x_4^2$ can take. Moreover, a smooth \mathbb{F}_p -point on the conic lifts to a \mathbb{Z}_p -point on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ with $x_1 = x_3 = 0$ by Hensel's lemma. Indeed, the Jacobian matrix of $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is

$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_0 & -x_3 & -x_2 & 0 \\ 2a_0x_0 & 2a_1x_1 & 2a_2x_2 & 2a_3x_3 & 2a_4x_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above argument shows that either the minor $J_{1,2}$ or the minor $J_{3,4}$ has a determinant a unit in \mathbb{F}_p when evaluated at \mathbf{x} . The same analysis applies if a_0, a_3, a_4 or a_1, a_2, a_4 or a_1, a_3, a_4 have the same parity of their p -adic valuations.

- (b) If $v_p(a_0) \equiv v_p(a_1) \equiv v_p(a_4) \pmod{2}$ we can assume that $v_p(a_2) \equiv v_p(a_3) \not\equiv v_p(a_0) \pmod{2}$, otherwise we fall into (a). If $p \nmid (a_0, a_1, a_4)$ we can apply a similar argument to the one in (a) by solving $a_0x_0^2 + a_1x_1^2 + a_4x_4^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ first and then taking x_2, x_3 such that $x_2x_3 \equiv x_0x_1 \pmod{p}$. Thus $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $v_p(a_0a_1) < v_p(a_2a_3)$, then $\alpha \sim (0, 0, 2k+1, 1, 0)$ for some $k \geq 0$ and hence $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$.

Assume that $v_p(a_0a_1) \geq v_p(a_2a_3)$. Recall (2.1). Our investigation continues with an analysis of the following system

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(sx)^2 + a_1(ty)^2 + a_4z^2 &= 0, \\ a_2(tx)^2 + a_3(sy)^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ if $\left[\frac{-a_0a_4}{p}\right] = 1$ by setting $t = y = 0$. Similarly, $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ if $\left[\frac{-a_1a_4}{p}\right] = 1$.

If $v_p(a_0a_1) = v_p(a_2a_3)$, then $\alpha \sim (2, 0, 1, 1, 0)$ and hence one can conclude that $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \emptyset$ if $\left[\frac{-a_0a_4}{p}\right] = \left[\frac{-a_1a_4}{p}\right] = -1$ by looking at the p -adic valuation of possible solutions to the system above.

On the other hand, if $v_p(a_0a_1) > v_p(a_2a_3)$, then $\alpha \sim (2m, 2, 1, 1, 2)$ for some $m > 0$ and hence $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ if $\left[\frac{-a_2a_3}{p}\right] = 1$ since this condition implies the existence of a smooth \mathbb{F}_p -point on $X_{\mathbf{a}} \pmod{p}$.

We assume from now on that $v_p(a_0a_1) > v_p(a_2a_3)$ and

$$\left[\frac{-a_0a_4}{p}\right] = \left[\frac{-a_1a_4}{p}\right] = \left[\frac{-a_2a_3}{p}\right] = -1.$$

We claim that $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \emptyset$. If there was a point in $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then by looking at the possible p -adic valuations of its coordinates we see that at least one of

$\left[\frac{-a_0a_4}{p}\right]$, $\left[\frac{-a_1a_4}{p}\right]$ or $\left[\frac{-a_2a_3}{p}\right]$ has to be trivial, a contradiction! We treat the case $v_p(a_2) \equiv v_p(a_3) \equiv v_p(a_4) \pmod{2}$ in a similar way.

- (c) If $v_p(a_0) \equiv v_p(a_1) \equiv v_p(a_2) \not\equiv v_p(a_3) \equiv v_p(a_4) \pmod{2}$, then the analysis is very similar to the one in (b) modulo the fact that there is no symmetry in a_3 and a_4 . Here (2.1) transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(sx)^2 + a_1(ty)^2 + a_2(tx)^2 &= 0, \\ a_3(sy)^2 + a_4z^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Once again we begin by observing that $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ unless

$$\left[\frac{-a_0a_2}{p}\right] = \left[\frac{-a_1a_2}{p}\right] = \left[\frac{-a_3a_4}{p}\right] = -1,$$

which we assume from now on. It is clear that $\alpha \sim (2m, 0, 0, 2\ell + 1, 1)$ for some $m, \ell \geq 0$. If $2m < 2\ell + 1$, then we reduce once more to finding a p -adic point on the conic $a_0u^2 + a_1v^2 + a_2w^2 = 0$ and thus $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, if $2m > 2\ell + 1$, then as in (b) we see that $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \emptyset$. An identical analysis applies in the remaining cases of (v).

- (d) If $v_p(a_0) \equiv v_p(a_1) \equiv v_p(a_2) \equiv v_p(a_3) \not\equiv v_p(a_4) \pmod{2}$, then via the above relation we can assume that $\alpha = (2m, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ for some $m > 0$ if $v_p(a_0a_1) > v_p(a_2a_3)$. Once again by (2.1) we need to analyse

$$a_0(sx)^2 + a_1(ty)^2 + a_2(tx)^2 + a_3(sy)^2 = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

It thus suffices to show that $a_1u^2 + a_2v^2 + a_3w^2 = 0$ has a p -adic point. As explained in (a) this is always the case. A similar argument applies when $v_p(a_0a_1) < v_p(a_2a_3)$.

Assume now that $v_p(a_0a_1) = v_p(a_2a_3)$ and thus we have $\alpha \sim (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. In view of (3.1) there is a smooth \mathbb{F}_p -point on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ if $-a_i a_j \pmod{p} \in \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$ for some $(i, j) \in \{(0, 2), (0, 3), (1, 2), (1, 3)\}$. On the other hand, if the reduction of all of the above $-a_i a_j \pmod{p}$ is a non-square in \mathbb{F}_p , then any \mathbb{F}_p -point must satisfy $tx \neq 0$. The change of variables $s/t = X$, $y/x = Y$ reduces the problem to showing the existence of an \mathbb{F}_p -point on $Y^2 = -(a_0X^2 + a_2)/(a_3X^2 + a_1)$. In fact, our assumptions imply that any \mathbb{F}_p -point on it must be smooth if it exists. Clearly, the existence of such an \mathbb{F}_p -point is equivalent to the existence of an \mathbb{F}_p -point on the genus one curve

$$C : Y^2 = -(a_0X^2 + a_2)(a_3X^2 + a_1).$$

The Hasse–Weil bound implies that $\#C(\mathbb{F}_p) \geq p+1-2\sqrt{p}$. This quantity is clearly positive if $p \geq 5$. On the other hand, if $p = 3$, then the condition $-a_i a_j \pmod p$ is a non-square in \mathbb{F}_p for each $(i, j) \in \{(0, 2), (0, 3), (1, 2), (1, 3)\}$ says that $a_0 \equiv a_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv a_3 \pmod 3$. One easily checks that in this case $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_3) = \emptyset$. This completes the proof. □

3.2. Local densities. We continue with the study of the proportion of everywhere locally soluble $X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F}$. For each place p of \mathbb{Q} let $X_{\mathbf{a},p} = X_{\mathbf{a}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_p &= \{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^5 : p \nmid \mathbf{a}, X_{\mathbf{a},p} \text{ smooth and } X_{\mathbf{a},p}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset \}, \\ \Omega_{\infty} &= \{ \mathbf{a} \in [-1, 1]^5 : X_{\mathbf{a},\infty} \text{ smooth and } X_{\mathbf{a},\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let μ_p be the normalised Haar measure on \mathbb{Z}_p^5 such that $\mu_p(\mathbb{Z}_p^5) = 1$ and let μ_{∞} be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^5 . The local densities σ_p corresponding to $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ are then defined by

$$\sigma_p = \mu_p(\Omega_p), \quad \sigma_{\infty} = \frac{\mu_{\infty}(\Omega_{\infty})}{\mu_{\infty}(\{ \mathbf{a} \in [-1, 1]^5 : X_{\mathbf{a},\infty} \text{ smooth} \})}.$$

We note that this definition of local densities agrees with the one given in [BBL16].

We shall estimate σ_p in the next proposition. For technical reasons we avoid the calculation of σ_2 here. However, it is easy to see that $\sigma_2 > 0$, for example by calculating the proportion of $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^5$ such that a_i are all units in \mathbb{Z}_2 and $a_1 \equiv -a_4 \pmod 8$.

Proposition 3.2. *We have $\sigma_{\infty} = 15/16$ and for each odd prime p the following holds.*

$$\sigma_p = \begin{cases} \frac{63693071}{66355200} & \text{if } p = 3, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2p^2} + \frac{9}{4p^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^4}\right) & \text{if } p > 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. One clearly has

$$\frac{\mu_{\infty}(\{ \mathbf{a} \in [-1, 1]^5 : (a_0 a_1 - a_2 a_3) \prod_{i=0}^4 a_i = 0 \})}{\mu_{\infty}([-1, 1]^5)} = 0,$$

since each of the conditions $a_i = 0$ or $a_0 a_1 - a_2 a_3 = 0$ defines a proper subspace of \mathbb{R}^5 .

Thus

$$\sigma_{\infty} = \frac{\mu_{\infty}(\Omega_{\infty})}{\mu_{\infty}([-1, 1]^5)}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1(i) we have $X_{\mathbf{a},\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$ if and only if all a_i have the same sign. Thus

$$\sigma_\infty = 1 - \frac{\mu_\infty(\{\mathbf{a} \in [-1, 1]^5 : \text{all } a_i \text{ have the same sign}\})}{\mu_\infty([-1, 1]^5)} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^4} = \frac{15}{16},$$

as claimed.

Assume now that p is an odd prime. Once again we have

$$\mu_p(\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^5 : (a_0a_1 - a_2a_3) \prod_{i=0}^4 a_i = 0\}) = 0,$$

which allows us to safely ignore this condition from now on. The conditions on local solubility for $X_{\mathbf{a},p}$ in this case are given in Lemma 3.1(iv), (v) and in (iii) if $p = 3$. We continue with calculating the proportion of surfaces $X_{\mathbf{a},p}$ with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^5$ satisfying each of these conditions.

We shall explain how to compute the contribution coming from Lemma 3.1 (iii), the analysis of the other cases being similar. Firstly, we partition the set $S^{(iii)} = S_1^{(iii)} \cup S_2^{(iii)}$ of all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ satisfying Lemma 3.1(iii) into two disjoint subsets. Here $S_1^{(iii)}$ is the subset of $S^{(iii)}$ consisting of those \mathbf{a} with $v_p(a_4)$ odd and $S_2^{(iii)}$ is its complement in $S^{(iii)}$. To compute the measure of $S_1^{(iii)}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(a_0) &= 2k, & v_p(a_1) &= 2\ell, & v_p(a_2) &= 2m, \\ v_p(a_3) &= 2n, & v_p(a_4) &= 2r + 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that under the above parametrisation the condition $p \nmid \mathbf{a}$ is equivalent to the minimum of k, ℓ, m, n being 0. We have $\left[\frac{-a_0a_2}{3}\right] = \left[\frac{-a_0a_3}{3}\right] = \left[\frac{-a_1a_2}{3}\right] = \left[\frac{-a_1a_3}{3}\right] = -1$ with probability $1/8$. The proportion of $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $v_p(a) = t$ is $p^{-t}(1 - 1/p)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p(S_1^{(iii)}) &= \frac{1}{8} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^5 \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{p^{2r+1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{k, \ell, m, n \geq 0 \\ k + \ell = m + n}} \frac{1}{p^{2k+2\ell+2m+2n}} - \sum_{\substack{k, \ell, m, n > 0 \\ k + \ell = m + n}} \frac{1}{p^{2k+2\ell+2m+2n}} \right) \\ &= \frac{(p-1)^2(p^4+1)^2}{8p^4(p+1)^3(p^2+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the same circle of ideas to $S_2^{(iii)}$ one gets

$$\mu_p(S_2^{(iii)}) = \frac{(p-1)^2(p^4+1)}{8p(p+1)^3(p^2+1)^3}.$$

Thus

$$\mu_p(S^{(iii)}) = \mu_p(S_1^{(iii)}) + \mu_p(S_2^{(iii)}) = \frac{(p-1)^2(p^2-p+1)(p^4+1)(p^4+p^3+p^2+p+1)}{8p^4(p+1)^3(p^2+1)^3}.$$

A similar analysis shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p(S^{(iv)}) &= \frac{(p+1)(4p^4+p^2-2)(p-1)^6+p^4(p^8+6p^6+4p^4+1)}{4p(p^2-1)^4(p^2+1)^3}, \\ \mu_p(S^{(v)}) &= \frac{(p-1)(p^8+4p^6+7p^4+5p^2+3)}{2p(p+1)^4(p^2+1)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

What is left is to take into account that we have the following expression

$$\sigma_p = \begin{cases} 1 - \mu_p(S^{(iii)}) - \mu_p(S^{(iv)}) - \mu_p(S^{(v)}), & \text{if } p = 3, \\ 1 - \mu_p(S^{(iv)}) - \mu_p(S^{(v)}), & \text{if } p > 3. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\sigma_3 = 63693071/66355200$ and if $p > 3$ we get

$$\sigma_p = 1 - \frac{1}{2p^2} + \frac{9}{4p^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^4}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We will now prove Theorem 1.1. It suffices to apply [BBL16, Thm. 1.3] to the morphism $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ which projects each $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ to its coordinate vector \mathbf{a} . In order to do so we need that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset$ and that the fibre of f above each codimension one point of \mathbb{P}^4 is split, i.e., it contains a geometrically integral open subscheme. The former is assured by our arrangements, while for the latter it is enough to check that the singular fibres of f are split. The singular locus is determined by $a_0 \cdots a_4(a_0a_1 - a_2a_3) = 0$. We do a case by case analysis and deal with the following separately.

- (i) $a_0 = 0$,
- (ii) $a_4 = 0$,
- (iii) $a_0a_1 - a_2a_3 = 0$.

Notice that $a_i = 0$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, is analogous to case (i) thanks to the symmetry in the equations defining $X_{\mathbf{a}}$.

For case (i) the fibre is defined via

$$\begin{aligned} x_0x_1 - x_2x_3 &= 0, \\ a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_4x_4^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the chart $x_1 = 1$. In it, x_0 is determined by $x_0 = x_2x_3$ and thus the fibre is birational to a smooth quadric surface in \mathbb{P}^3 which is clearly split. For cases (ii) and (iii), we use the conic bundle representation. The fibres have equations given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii): } & (a_0s^2 + a_2t^2)x^2 + (a_3s^2 + a_1t^2)y^2 = 0, \\ \text{(iii): } & (a_0s^2 + a_2t^2)(x^2 + y^2) + a_4z^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that both are irreducible. Indeed, otherwise the generic fibres of each conic bundle would be reducible and hence singular. This is clearly not the case as both admit smooth fibres. Thus all conditions of [BBL16, Thm. 1.3] are fulfilled and Theorem 1.1 follows from it. We thank Dan Loughran for pointing out the above argument to us. \square

5. SURFACES WITH BRAUER GROUP OF ORDER 4

This section is dedicated to the proof of the asymptotic formula for $N_4(B)$ appearing in Theorem 1.2. Recall that $d = a_0a_1 - a_2a_3$. We first need to understand how often $d = 0$ under the assumptions $a_0a_1, -a_0a_2, a_2a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$. We claim that

$$\# \{(a_0, \dots, a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \cap [-B, B]^4 : a_0a_1, -a_0a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2} \text{ and } d = 0\} \ll B(\log B)^3. \quad (5.1)$$

Indeed, for a quadruple $(a_0, \dots, a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ the conditions $a_0a_1, -a_0a_2, a_2a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ imply that a_0, \dots, a_3 must obey the following factorisation

$$a_0 = mk^2b_0^2, \quad a_1 = mk^2b_1^2, \quad a_2 = -m\ell^2b_2^2, \quad a_3 = -m\ell^2b_3^2,$$

with $(k, \ell) = (b_0, b_1) = (b_2, b_3) = 1$. It suffices to consider the case where $k, \ell, m, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3$ are all positive integers.

The condition $a_0a_1 = a_2a_3$ becomes now $k^2b_0b_1 = \ell^2b_2b_3$ and since $(k, \ell) = 1$ we must have $k^2 \mid b_2b_3$ and $\ell^2 \mid b_0b_1$. Write $k = k_2k_3$ and $\ell = \ell_0\ell_1$ so that $b_0 = \ell_0^2c_0$, $b_1 = \ell_1^2c_1$, $b_2 = k_2^2c_2$ and $b_3 = k_3^2c_3$. Thus $c_0c_1 = c_2c_3$. Writing $c_0 = r_0s_0$ and $c_1 = r_1s_1$ now gives

$$a_0 = mk_2^2k_3^2\ell_0^4r_0^2s_0^2, \quad a_1 = mk_2^2k_3^2\ell_1^4r_1^2s_1^2, \quad a_2 = m\ell_0^2\ell_1^2k_2^4r_0^2r_1^2, \quad a_3 = m\ell_0^2\ell_1^2k_3^4s_0^2s_1^2,$$

with $(k_2k_3, \ell_0\ell_1) = (\ell_0r_0d_0, \ell_1r_1d_1) = (k_2r_0r_1, k_3d_0d_1) = 1$. Forgetting the coprimality conditions and summing over r_0, r_1 first proves the claim. We continue now with the study of $N_4(B)$.

Proposition 5.1. *We have*

$$N_4(B) = \frac{60}{\pi^2}B^3 + O(B^{5/2}(\log B)^2).$$

Proof. Proposition 2.2 implies that the quotient $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ is of order 4 if and only if $a_0a_1, -a_0a_2, a_2a_3$ are all rational squares and $-a_0a_4d \notin \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$. Moreover, if these conditions are met, then $(1 : 0 : \sqrt{-a_0/a_2} : 0 : 0) \in X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and thus we need not worry about local solubility. One easily verifies that a_0, a_1 need to have the same sign, and similarly for a_2, a_3 while a_0, a_2 need to have different signs. Thus we can distinguish between four cases depending on the sign of each a_i , these are

- (i) $a_0, a_1, a_4 > 0, a_2, a_3 < 0$, (iii) $a_0, a_1 > 0, a_2, a_3, a_4 < 0$,
(ii) $a_0, a_1, a_4 < 0, a_2, a_3 > 0$, (iv) $a_0, a_1 < 0, a_2, a_3, a_4 > 0$.

One checks that (i) and (ii) contribute to the same amount in $N_4(B)$ via the map $\mathbf{a} \mapsto -\mathbf{a}$ and so do (iii) and (iv). On the other hand, (i) and (iv) have equal contribution in $N_4(B)$ as seen by the map $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (a_2, a_3, a_0, a_1, a_4)$. Thus if $N_4^{(i)}(B)$ is the contribution in $N_4(B)$ coming from (i), we then clearly have

$$N_4(B) = 4N_4^{(i)}(B). \quad (5.2)$$

We continue with the study of $N_4^{(i)}(B)$. By definition it equals the following quantity

$$\#\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^5 : 0 < a_0, a_1, -a_2, -a_3, a_4 \leq B, a_0a_1, -a_0a_2, a_2a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2} \text{ and } -a_0a_4d \notin \mathbb{Q}^{*2}\}.$$

Let us first make the following change $(a_2, a_3) \mapsto (-a_2, -a_3)$ so that all of the coordinates of \mathbf{a} are positive integers. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} N_4^{(i)}(B) &= \sum_{\substack{a_0, \dots, a_4 \leq B \\ a_0a_1, a_0a_2, a_2a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2} \text{ and } -a_0a_4d \notin \mathbb{Q}^{*2}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_0, \dots, a_4 \leq B \\ a_0a_1, a_0a_2, a_2a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{a_0, \dots, a_4 \leq B \\ -a_0a_4d, a_0a_1, a_0a_2, a_2a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{a_0, \dots, a_4 \leq B \\ d=0, a_0a_1, a_0a_2, a_2a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.1) the last sum is $O(B^2(\log B)^3)$, the extra exponent of B coming from the additional sum over a_4 . We shall soon see that the second sum is also relatively small and thus the main contribution comes from the first sum. To do so we first write

$$N_4^{(i)}(B) = M_4(B) \left(\sum_{a_4 \leq B} 1 - \sum_{\substack{a_4 \leq B \\ -a_0a_4d \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}}} 1 \right) + O(B^2(\log B)^3), \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$M_4(B) = \sum_{\substack{a_0, \dots, a_3 \leq B \\ a_0 a_1, a_0 a_2, a_2 a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}}} 1.$$

We continue with the two sums over a_4 appearing above. Clearly, the first sum inside the brackets in (5.3) is $B + O(1)$. On the other hand, writing $a_4 = tu_4^2$ and $-a_0 d = wu_5^2$ in the second sum with t, w square-free shows that the condition $-a_0 a_4 d \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ is fulfilled only if $t = w$. Hence one obtains

$$\sum_{\substack{a_4 \leq B \\ -a_0 a_4 d \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}}} 1 \ll \sum_{u_4 \leq \sqrt{B}} \sum_{\substack{t \leq B/u_4^2 \\ t=w}} 1 \ll B^{1/2}. \quad (5.4)$$

As in the analysis of (5.1) the conditions $a_0 a_1, a_0 a_2, a_2 a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ in $M_4(B)$ are detected by the factorisation

$$a_0 = mk^2 b_0^2, \quad a_1 = mk^2 b_1^2, \quad a_2 = m\ell^2 b_2^2, \quad a_3 = m\ell^2 b_3^2,$$

with $(k, \ell) = (b_0, b_1) = (b_2, b_3) = 1$. Thus

$$M_4(B) = \sum_{m \leq B} \sum_{\substack{k, \ell \leq \sqrt{B/m} \\ (k, \ell) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{b_0, b_1 \leq \sqrt{B/mk^2} \\ (b_0, b_1) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{b_2, b_3 \leq \sqrt{B/m\ell^2} \\ (b_2, b_3) = 1}} 1. \quad (5.5)$$

A standard argument in analytic number theory shows that for any real $X \geq 1$ the number of coprime $a, b \leq X$ is $6X^2/\pi^2 + O(X \log X)$. We apply this twice in (5.5), once for the sum over b_2, b_3 and once for the sum over b_0, b_1 . This gives

$$M_4(B) = \frac{36}{\pi^4} B^2 \sum_{m \leq B} \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{\substack{k, \ell \leq \sqrt{B/m} \\ (k, \ell) = 1}} \frac{1}{k^2 \ell^2} + O(B^{3/2} (\log B)^2).$$

All of the three sums appearing above are absolutely convergent. We first complete the sum over ℓ , it equals $\zeta(2) \prod_{p|k} (1 - 1/p^2)$. The error in $M_4(B)$ coming from this completion is $O(B^{3/2})$. We do the same for the sum over k . The function inside the sum is multiplicative and hence this sum has an Euler product, it is $\prod_p (1 + 1/p^2)$. The error here is once again negligible compared to $B^{3/2} (\log B)^2$. Lastly, we complete the sum over m to get

$$M_4(B) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2} \right) B^2 + O(B^{3/2} (\log B)^2). \quad (5.6)$$

What is left is to combine (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), (5.6) and to observe that the infinite product above equals $\zeta(2)/\zeta(4) = 15/\pi^2$. This gives

$$N_4(B) = \frac{60}{\pi^2}B^3 + O(B^{5/2}(\log B)^2),$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 5.1. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we first need to show that there are only a few surfaces in \mathcal{F} with trivial $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$. This is done in the next proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *We have*

$$N_1(B) \ll B^3(\log B)^4.$$

Proof. Recall that by Proposition 2.2 we have $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ trivial if and only if one of $-a_0a_4d, -a_1a_4d, a_2a_4d, a_3a_4d$ is a non-zero rational square. Let $N_1^{(0)}(B)$ be the number of those $X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F}$ with $-a_0a_4d \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ and $|\mathbf{a}| \leq B$. Define $N_1^{(1)}(B), N_1^{(2)}(B), N_1^{(3)}(B)$ in a similar fashion according to the conditions $-a_1a_4d, a_2a_4d, a_3a_4d \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$. Forgetting the assumption on the existence of local points everywhere we clearly have

$$N_1(B) \ll N_1^{(0)}(B) + N_1^{(1)}(B) + N_1^{(2)}(B) + N_1^{(3)}(B).$$

We shall now explain how to treat $N_1^{(0)}(B)$, the analysis of the other quantities being similar. Letting

$$a_0 = w_0v_0^2, \quad a_4 = w_4v_4^2, \quad -a_0a_1 + a_2a_3 = w_5v_5^2,$$

with w_0, w_4, w_5 square-free allows us to see that $-a_0a_4d \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ is equivalent to $w_0w_4w_5$ being a non-zero rational square. Thus we must have $w_0 = st, w_4 = sw, w_5 = tw$ with stw square-free. On the other hand, $-stv_0^2a_1 + a_2a_3 = twv_5^2$ implies that $t \mid a_2a_3$. Write $a_2 = t_2b_2$ and $a_3 = t_3b_3$, where $t = t_2t_3$ with $\mu^2(t_2t_3) = 1$. Thus

$$b_2b_3 - a_1v_0^2s - v_5^2w = 0.$$

Let $S, T_2, T_3, W, A_1, B_2, B_3, V_0, V_4, V_5 \gg 1$ run through the powers of 2. We shall also require them to satisfy the following conditions

$$ST_2T_3V_0^2 \ll B, \quad A_1 \ll B, \quad T_2B_2 \ll B, \quad T_3B_3 \ll B, \quad SWV_4^2 \ll B$$

and finally $WV_5^2 \ll B_2B_3 + A_1SV_0^2$. We break the the quantity $N_1^{(0)}(B)$ into sums $\Sigma = \Sigma(S, T_2, T_3, W, B_1, B_2, B_3, V_0, V_4, V_5)$ over the dyadic ranges $s \in (S/2, S]$, $t_2 \in (T_2/2, T_2]$ and so on.

What follows is an application of an upper bound of Heath-Brown [HB84, Lem. 3] which states that if $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ is a integer vector with coprime coordinates, then

$$\#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^3 : |x_i| \leq X_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0\} \ll 1 + \frac{X_1 X_2 X_3}{\max |\alpha_i X_i|}.$$

We choose $\mathbf{x} = (a_1, b_2, w)$ and let $h = \gcd(a_1, b_2, w)$. Thus $X_1 = A_1$, $X_2 = B_2$, $X_3 = W$, $\alpha_1 = -sv_0^2$, $\alpha_2 = b_3$ and $\alpha_3 = v_5^2$. Let $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x}/h$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' = \boldsymbol{\alpha}/\gcd(sv_0^2, b_2, v_5^2)$. Applying Heath-Brown's bound for the number of \mathbf{x}' with coordinates at most X_i/h and satisfying $\mathbf{x}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}' = 0$ now gives

$$\#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : |x_i| \leq X_i \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0\} \ll \sum_{h \leq \min\{A_1, B_2, W\}} \left(1 + \frac{X_1 X_2 X_3 \gcd(sv_0^2, b_3, v_5^2)}{h^2 \max |\alpha_i X_i|} \right).$$

It is clear that when we open the brackets the first sum is $O(W)$. On the other hand, the second sum over h is convergent and the error coming from its tail is negligible. Thus summing over the remaining variables in the first sum and replacing $\max |\alpha_i X_i|$ by $(\alpha_1 X_1 \alpha_2 X_2 \alpha_3 X_3)^{1/3}$ in the second sum gives

$$\Sigma \ll ST_2 T_3 W B_3 V_0 V_4 V_5 + T_2 T_3 (W A_1 B_2)^{2/3} V_4 \sum_{s, b_3, v_0, v_4} \frac{\gcd(sv_0^2, b_3, v_5^2)}{(sb_3 v_0^2 v_5^2)^{1/3}}.$$

Running the same argument with $\mathbf{x} = (a_1, b_3, w)$ and then using the elementary fact that $\min\{B_2, B_3\} \ll (B_2 B_3)^{1/2}$ now gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &\ll ST_2 T_3 W (B_2 B_3)^{1/2} V_0 V_4 V_5 + T_2 T_3 (W A_1 B_3)^{2/3} V_4 \sum_{s, b_2, v_0, v_4} \frac{\gcd(sv_0^2, b_2, v_5^2)}{(sb_2 v_0 v_5)^{1/3}} \\ &\quad + T_2 T_3 (W A_1 B_2)^{2/3} V_4 \sum_{s, b_3, v_0, v_4} \frac{\gcd(sv_0^2, b_3, v_5^2)}{(sb_3 v_0 v_5)^{1/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Our analysis so far showed that

$$\begin{aligned} N_1^{(0)}(B) &\ll \sum_{S, T_2, T_3, W, A_1, B_2, B_3, V_0, V_4, V_5} ST_2 T_3 W (B_2 B_3)^{1/2} V_0 V_4 V_5 \\ &\quad + \sum_{S, T_2, T_3, W, A_1, B_2, B_3, V_0, V_4, V_5} T_2 T_3 (W A_1 B_3)^{2/3} V_4 \sum_{s, b_2, v_0, v_4} \frac{\gcd(sv_0^2, b_2, v_5^2)}{(sb_2 v_0 v_5)^{1/3}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{S, T_2, T_3, W, A_1, B_2, B_3, V_0, V_4, V_5} T_2 T_3 (W A_1 B_2)^{2/3} V_4 \sum_{s, b_3, v_0, v_4} \frac{\gcd(sv_0^2, b_3, v_5^2)}{(sb_3 v_0 v_5)^{1/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let S_1 , S_2 and S_3 denote the first, the second and the third sum above, respectively.

We claim that

$$S_1 \ll B^3(\log B)^3.$$

Indeed, recall the simple bound

$$\sum_{A=2^i \leq X} A^\theta \ll \begin{cases} X^\theta & \text{if } \theta > 0, \\ \log X & \text{if } \theta = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \theta < 0. \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

With the arrangements made above we have guaranteed that

$$U_0 \ll \left(\frac{B}{ST_2T_3} \right)^{1/2}, \quad U_4 \ll \left(\frac{B}{SW} \right)^{1/2}, \quad U_5 \ll \frac{B}{(T_2T_3W)^{1/2}}.$$

Thus applying (6.1) to the sums over B_2, B_3, U_0, U_4, U_5 gives

$$S_1 \ll B^3 \sum_{S, T_2, T_3, W, A_1} \frac{1}{(T_2T_3)^{1/2}}.$$

We then apply (6.1) to the sums over the remaining variables to get

$$S_1 \ll B^3(\log B)^3,$$

which proves the claim.

Finally, we claim that

$$S_2 \ll B^3(\log B)^4, \quad S_3 \ll B^3(\log B)^4.$$

We will prove the above bound for S_2 , the analysis for S_3 being similar. We begin by studying of the sum over s, b_2, v_0, v_4 appearing in S_2 . Write

$$s = ks', \quad b_2 = kmn^2c_2, \quad v_0 = mnu_0, \quad v_5 = kmnu_5.$$

Then $\gcd(sv_0^2, b_2, v_5^2) = kmn^2$ and thus

$$\sum_{s, b_2, v_0, v_4} \frac{\gcd(sv_0^2, b_2, v_5^2)}{(sb_2v_0v_5)^{1/3}} \ll \sum_{k, m, n, s', c_2, u_0, u_5} \frac{1}{(km^2s'c_2u_0^2u_5^2)^{1/3}},$$

where the sum is over $ks' \ll S$, $kmn^2c_2 \ll B_2$, $mnu_0 \ll V_0$ and $kmnu_5 \ll V_5$. Summing over s', u_0, c_2 and u_5 then gives

$$\sum_{s, b_2, v_0, v_4} \frac{\gcd(sv_0^2, b_2, v_5^2)}{(sb_2v_0v_5)^{1/3}} \ll (SB_2)^{2/3}(V_0V_5)^{1/3} \sum_{k, m, n} \frac{1}{(kmn)^2}.$$

The sums over k, m, n are convergent and their tails after completion have only negligible contribution. Thus

$$S_2 \ll \sum_{S, T_2, T_3, W, A_1, B_2, B_3, V_0, V_4, V_5} S^{2/3} T_2 T_3 W^{2/3} (A_1 B_2 B_3)^{2/3} (V_0 V_5)^{1/3} V_4.$$

Proceeding in a similar fashion as in the study of S_1 now gives the claim which completes the proof. \square

We continue with a lower bound for the quantity analysed in the previous proposition.

Proposition 6.2. *We have*

$$N_1(B) \gg B^3.$$

Proof. To show this we shall count the number of $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^5$ coming from the following subfamily

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F} : a_0 a_1 - a_2 a_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^{*2} \text{ and } a_4 = -a_0\}.$$

Clearly, $(1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \in X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q})$ for each $X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F}'$ and thus we need not worry about the existence of local points. Moreover, Proposition 2.2 implies that all varieties in \mathcal{F}' have a trivial Brauer group and thus

$$N_1(B) \gg \#\{X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F}' : |\mathbf{a}| \leq B\}.$$

Let $N'_1(B)$ denote the cardinality of the set on the right hand side above. We then have

$$N'_1(B) = \sum_{k \leq B\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{|a_0|, |a_1|, |a_2|, |a_3| \leq B \\ a_0 a_1 - a_2 a_3 = k^2}} 1 \gg \sum_{k \leq B/2} \sum_{\substack{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 \leq B^2 \\ a_0 a_1 - a_2 a_3 = k^2}} 1.$$

The inner sum in the far right hand side corresponds to the number of matrices in $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with non-zero entries of height at most B with respect to the standard Euclidean norm whose discriminant is equal to k^2 . We shall apply [DRS93, Ex. 1.6] to this sum. Since in [DRS93, Ex. 1.6] quadruples with $a_i = 0$ are allowed we must first guarantee that the contribution from these \mathbf{a} is negligible. Indeed, let $a_0 = 0$. Then a_1 can be chosen almost arbitrarily in the region $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 \leq B^2$ and the choice of a_2 uniquely determined a_3 for each fixed k . Thus

$$\sum_{k \leq B/2} \sum_{\substack{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 \leq B^2 \\ -a_2 a_3 = k^2}} 1 \ll B \sum_{k \leq B/2} \tau(k^2) \ll B^{2+\epsilon},$$

where ε is arbitrarily small positive number and $\tau(k^2)$ denotes the number of divisors of k^2 . Applying [DRS93, Ex. 1.6] and taking the above into account now gives

$$N'_1(B) \gg B^2 \sum_{k \leq B/2} \sum_{d|k^2} \frac{1}{d} \gg B^3.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We are now in position to prove Theorem 1.2. Recall that there are only three possibilities for $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$. With the notation set up earlier in the introduction we then have

$$N_2(B) = \#\{X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F} : |\mathbf{a}| \leq B \text{ and } X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \emptyset\} - N_1(B) - N_4(B).$$

On one hand, $N_4(B) = O(B^3)$ by Proposition 5.1 and $B^3 \ll N_1(B) \ll B^3(\log B)^4$ by Propositions 6.1 and 6.2. On the other hand, the remaining quantity above was studied in Theorem 1.1. This proves Theorem 1.2. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.4

We begin with the following simple lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $p > 7$ be a prime and let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Then there exist $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $u_1^2 + b \in \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$ and $u_2^2 + b \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \setminus \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$. Moreover, $u_1^2 + c$ and $u_2^2 + c$ are both units in \mathbb{F}_p and $a(u_1^2 + b)(u_1^2 + c), a(u_2^2 + b)(u_2^2 + c) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$.*

Proof. Consider the projective curve C defined over \mathbb{F}_p by $v^2 = u^2 + bt^2$. This is a smooth quadric with an \mathbb{F}_p -rational point and thus it is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . Hence $\#C(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$. If $-b \notin \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$, then all \mathbb{F}_p -points on C satisfy $v \neq 0$. Alternatively, if $-b \in \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$ there are two points in $C(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with $v = 0$. Finally, the divisor given by $t = 0$ consists of two \mathbb{F}_p -points. We conclude that

$$\#\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 : v^2 = u^2 + b \neq 0\} = p - 2 - \left(\frac{-b}{p}\right).$$

In particular, there exists $u_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $u_1^2 + b \in \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$ when the above quantity is positive.

To see the existence of u_2 as in the statement we apply a similar argument to show that

$$\#\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 : u^2 + b \neq 0\} = p^2 - \left(1 + \left(\frac{-b}{p}\right)\right)p.$$

Thus we have

$$\#\left(\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 : u^2 + b \neq 0\} \setminus \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 : v^2 = u^2 + b \neq 0\}\right) > p^2 - 3p + 1.$$

It is clear that if $p > 3$, then both $p - 2 - \left(\frac{-b}{p}\right)$ and $p^2 - 3p + 1$ are positive. Moreover, if $p > 7$, then both quantities are at least $(p+1)/2$ and thus we can choose u_1 and u_2 so that the assumptions of the statement are satisfied. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.1. \square

Recall that the Brauer–Manin obstruction is known to be the only obstruction to the Hasse principle and weak approximation for $X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F}$ since such surfaces are conic bundles with four degenerate geometric fibres [CT90, Thm 2], [Sal86]. A Brauer–Manin obstruction to the existence of rational points on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is present only if $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by Remark 2.3. Moreover, we can assume that $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ since by Theorem 1.2 the number of surfaces with $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q} \not\simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is negligible compared to the bounds we need to show in order to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. In this case, $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by

$$(a_0(x_0/x_2)^2 + a_2, -a_0a_4d) = ((x_0/x_2)^2 + a_2/a_0, -a_0a_4d) \in \text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}/\text{Br } \mathbb{Q}.$$

Let $\alpha = ((x_0/x_2)^2 + a_2/a_0, -a_0a_4d)$ as an element of $\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}$.

For a detailed background on the Brauer–Manin obstruction we refer the reader to [CTS19, Ch. 12] or [Poo17, Ch. 8]. What is important for us is that the Brauer–Manin set $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}}$ is determined by the adelic points on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ for which the sum of local invariant maps $\sum_p \text{inv}_p(\text{ev}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_p))$ vanish. Since the value of $\text{inv}_p(\text{ev}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_p))$ is either 0 or $1/2$, if there is a prime p for which this map surjects on $\{0, 1/2\}$ we can modify the adèle (\mathbf{x}_p) at p to get a point inside $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}}$. This shows that there is no Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle in this case. It implies further that $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br } X_{\mathbf{a}}}$ is a strict subset of $X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and thus weak approximation fails.

Assume now that there is a prime $p > 7$ dividing a_4 to an odd power and such that p does not divide $a_0a_1a_2a_3d$. On one hand, the invariant map $\text{inv}_p(\text{ev}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_p))$ depends only on the Hilbert symbol $((x_0/x_2)^2 + a_2/a_0, -a_0a_4d)_p$ as seen by the following formula

$$\text{inv}_p(\text{ev}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_p)) = \frac{1 - ((x_0/x_2)^2 + a_2/a_0, -a_0a_4d)_p}{4}.$$

In view of (2.1) Lemma 7.1 applied with $u = x_0/x_2$, $a = a_0a_3$, $b = a_2/a_0$, $c = a_1/a_3$ and Hensel’s lemma there are $\mathbf{x}'_p, \mathbf{x}''_p \in X_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for which the Hilbert symbol takes both values $-1, 1$. Therefore, in order to obtain the upper bounds in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 it suffices to count the number of $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^5$ of height at most B failing the above assumption.

It is clear that we only need to deal with divisibility conditions on the coordinates of \mathbf{a} . Since the sign of each a_i is irrelevant to such conditions and we aim to prove only upper bounds we can freely assume that $a_i > 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, 4$. Let $k = \text{gcd}(a_4, a_0)$,

$\ell = \gcd(a_4/k, a_1)$, $m = \gcd(a_4/k\ell, a_2)$ and $n = \gcd(a_4/k\ell m, a_3)$. Then write

$$a_0 = kb_0, \quad a_1 = \ell b_1, \quad a_2 = mb_2, \quad a_3 = nb_3, \quad a_4 = 2^{v_2}3^{v_3}5^{v_5}7^{v_7}k\ell mnrsb_4^2, \quad (7.1)$$

with $v_p = v_p(a_4)$ for $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$. We have used rs here for the square-free part of $a_4/2^{v_2}3^{v_3}5^{v_5}7^{v_7}k\ell mn$, where r divides $k\ell mn$ while s is coprime to $k\ell mn$. Our analysis above shows that if there is a Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle, then for every prime $p \mid s$ we must have $k\ell b_0 b_1 - mnb_2 b_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since s is square-free this condition is equivalent to $k\ell b_0 b_1 - mnb_2 b_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{s}$. Therefore, the number of surfaces $X_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{F}$ with a Brauer–Manin obstruction and such that $|\mathbf{a}| \leq B$ is bounded from above by

$$\#\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{prim}}^5 : |a_i| \leq B, \mathbf{a} \text{ satisfies (7.1) and } k\ell b_0 b_1 - mnb_2 b_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{s}\}.$$

Let $N(B)$ denote this quantity. Forgetting the coprimality conditions except those between s and $b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, k, \ell, m, n$ now gives

$$N(B) \ll \sum_{\substack{k, \ell, m, n, r, s, v_i, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_4 \\ (s, b_0 b_1 b_2) = 1}} \mu^2(rs) \sum_{\substack{b_3 \leq B/n \\ b_3 \equiv k\ell b_0 b_1 (mnb_2)^{-1} \pmod{s}}} 1, \quad (7.2)$$

where the summation is taken over $kb_0, \ell b_1, mb_2, nb_3, 2^{v_2}3^{v_3}5^{v_5}7^{v_7}k\ell mnrsb_4^2 \leq B$. We treat the inner sum in a standard way by splitting the interval $[1, B/n]$ into intervals of length s , which gives $\sum_{b_3} 1 = B/ns + O(1)$. Applying this in (7.2), forgetting the remaining coprimality conditions and the squarefreeness of rs and then summing over b_4 shows that

$$N(B) \ll \sum_{k, \ell, m, n, r, s, v_i, b_0, b_1, b_2} \left(\left(\frac{B}{2^{v_2}3^{v_3}5^{v_5}7^{v_7}k\ell mnrs} \right)^{1/2} + O(1) \right) \left(\frac{B}{ns} + O(1) \right).$$

We bound the remainder terms trivially to obtain

$$N(B) \ll B^{3/2} \sum_{k, \ell, m, n, r, s, v_i, b_0, b_1, b_2} \frac{1}{(2^{v_2}3^{v_3}5^{v_5}7^{v_7}k\ell mn^3 r s^3)^{1/2}} + O(B^{4+\varepsilon}),$$

with ε arbitrarily small.

The above sum over s is clearly convergent. Thus summing over s, b_0, b_1, b_2 gives

$$N(B) \ll B^{3/2} \sum_{k, \ell, m, n, r, v_i} \frac{1}{(2^{v_2}3^{v_3}5^{v_5}7^{v_7}k\ell mn^3 r)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{B}{k} + O(1) \right) \left(\frac{B}{\ell} + O(1) \right) \left(\frac{B}{m} + O(1) \right) + O(B^{4+\varepsilon}).$$

Since $r \mid k\ell mn$ it is clear that $N(B) \ll B^{9/2}$. On the other hand, the quantities appearing in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are $O(N(B))$. This proves Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [BB14] R. de la Bretèche and T. D. Browning, *Density of Châtelet surfaces failing the Hasse principle*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **108** (2014), no. 4, 1030–1078.
- [BBL16] M. J. Bright, T. D. Browning, and D. Loughran, *Failures of weak approximation in families*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 7, 1435–1475.
- [Bha14] M. Bhargava, *A positive proportion of plane cubics fail the Hasse principle*, arXiv:1402.1131 (2014).
- [Bri18] M. Bright, *Obstructions to the Hasse principle in families*, Manuscripta Math. **157** (2018), no. 3-4, 529–550.
- [Bro09] T.D. Browning, *Quantitative arithmetic of projective varieties*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 277, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [CT90] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Surfaces rationnelles fibrées en coniques de degré 4*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris 1988–1989, Progr. Math., vol. 91, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 43–55.
- [CTS80] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *La descente sur les variétés rationnelles*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn—Germantown, Md., 1980, pp. 223–237.
- [CTS19] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and A. N. Skorobogatov, *The Brauer-Grothendieck group*, 2019.
- [CTSD94] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Hasse principle and weak approximation for pencils of Severi-Brauer and similar varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **453** (1994), 49–112.
- [DRS93] W. Duke, Z. Rudnick, and P. Sarnak, *Density of integer points on affine homogeneous varieties*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), no. 1, 143–179.
- [FLS18] C. Frei, D. Loughran, and E. Sofos, *Rational points of bounded height on general conic bundle surfaces*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **117** (2018), no. 2, 407–440.
- [HB84] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Diophantine approximation with square-free numbers*, Math. Z. **187** (1984), no. 3, 335–344.
- [JS17] J. Jahnel and D. Schindler, *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree four violating the Hasse principle are Zariski dense in the moduli scheme*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **67** (2017), no. 4, 1783–1807.
- [LM20] D. Loughran and V. Mitankin, *Integral Hasse principle and strong approximation for Markoff surfaces*, IMRN, to appear (2020).
- [Lou18] D. Loughran, *The number of varieties in a family which contain a rational point*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **20** (2018), no. 10, 2539–2588.
- [LS16] D. Loughran and A. Smeets, *Fibrations with few rational points*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 5, 1449–1482.
- [Man74] Yu. I. Manin, *Cubic forms: algebra, geometry, arithmetic*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, 1974, Translated from the Russian by M. Hazewinkel, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 4.
- [Poo17] B. Poonen, *Rational points on varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 186, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.

- [Rei97] M. Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*, Complex algebraic geometry (Park City, UT, 1993), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 3–159.
- [Sal86] P. Salberger, *Sur l'arithmétique de certaines surfaces de Del Pezzo*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **303** (1986), no. 7, 273–276.
- [SD93] P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *The Brauer group of cubic surfaces*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **113** (1993), 449–460.
- [Ser90] J.-P. Serre, *Spécialisation des éléments de $\text{Br}_2(\mathbf{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_n))$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **311** (1990), no. 7, 397–402.
- [Sof16] E. Sofos, *Serre's problem on the density of isotropic fibres in conic bundles*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **113** (2016), no. 2, 261–288.
- [VAV14] A. Várilly-Alvarado and B. Viray, *Arithmetic of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 and vertical Brauer groups*, Adv. Math. **255** (2014), 153–181.
- [Wit07] O. Wittenberg, *Intersections de deux quadriques et pinceaux de courbes de genre 1/Intersections of two quadrics and pencils of curves of genus 1*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1901, Springer, Berlin, 2007.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

Email address: vmitankin@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UFRJ, CENTRO DE TECNOLOGIA - BLOCO C, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA,
ATHOS DA SILVEIRA RAMOS 149, ILHA DO FUNDÃO, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, 21941–909, BRAZIL AND
MAX PLANCK INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

Email address: odaglas.aalicec@gmail.com