

# QUASI-ISOMETRIC EMBEDDINGS INAPPROXIMABLE BY ANOSOV REPRESENTATIONS

KONSTANTINOS TSOUVALAS

ABSTRACT. We construct examples of quasi-isometric embeddings of word hyperbolic groups into  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  for  $d \geq 5$  which are not limits of Anosov representations into  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ . As a consequence, we conclude that an analogue of the density theorem for  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  does not hold for  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  when  $d \geq 5$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this short paper we construct the first examples of word hyperbolic and quasi-isometrically embedded subgroups  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  for  $d \geq 5$  which are not limits of Anosov representations of  $\Gamma$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ . More precisely, we prove:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $g \geq 1$  and  $\Gamma$  be the word hyperbolic group with presentation*

$$\left\langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{2g}, b_{2g}, c_1, d_1, \dots, c_{2g}, d_{2g} \left| \begin{array}{l} [a_1, b_1] \dots [a_{2g}, b_{2g}], [c_1, d_1] \dots [c_{2g}, d_{2g}], \\ [a_1, b_1] \dots [a_g, b_g] [c_1, d_1] \dots [c_g, d_g] \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

(i) *For every  $d \geq 5$  there exists a quasi-isometric embedding  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\rho$  is not a limit of Anosov representations of  $\Gamma$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ .*

(ii) *For  $g \geq 4$ , there exists a strongly irreducible, quasi-isometric embedding  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(12, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\rho$  is not a limit of Anosov representations of  $\Gamma$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(12, \mathbb{R})$ .*

The domain group of the representation  $\rho$  in Theorem 1.1 is the fundamental group of a book of I-bundles and by Thurston's Geometrization theorem (see Morgan [Mor84]) it admits a convex cocompact representation into  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . We remark that for  $d \geq 6$  in Theorem 1.1 we can replace  $\Gamma$  with any word hyperbolic 3-manifold group which retracts to a free subgroup of rank at least 8 and is not virtually a free or a surface group. The density conjecture for Kleinian groups established by the work of Brock-Bromberg [BrB04], Brock-Canary-Minsky [BCM12], Namazi-Souto [NS12] and Ohshika [Ohs11] implies that every discrete and faithful representation of a word hyperbolic group into  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is an algebraic limit of Anosov representations. The examples of Theorem 1.1 demonstrate the failure of the density conjecture for the higher rank Lie group  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  for  $d \geq 5$ .

In Theorem 4.1 we produce examples similar to those in Theorem 1.1 (ii) in infinitely many dimensions all of whose elements are semiproximal. Moreover, in Proposition 4.2 we also provide examples of quasi-isometric embeddings of surface and free groups into  $\mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathrm{SL}(6, \mathbb{R})$  which are not in the closure of the space of Anosov representations.

An example of a quasi-isometric embedding of the free group of rank 2 which is not Anosov was constructed by Guichard in [Gui04], see also [GGKW17, Proposition A.1, p. 67]. Moreover, Guichard's example is unstable, i.e. it is a limit of indiscrete representations but also a limit of  $P_2$ -Anosov representations (see Definition 2.1) of the free group of rank 2 into  $\mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ .

For our constructions we shall use the following fact: for a  $P_1$ -Anosov subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $d \geq 4$ , every quasiconvex infinite index subgroup  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$  with connected Gromov boundary contains a finite-index subgroup all of whose elements are positively semiproximal (see Lemma 2.2). It follows that if  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(k, \mathbb{R})$  is a limit of  $P_i$ -Anosov representations, or equivalently  $\wedge^i \rho$  is a limit of  $P_1$ -Anosov representations, then the group  $\wedge^i \rho(\Delta)$  contains a finite index subgroup consisting entirely of positively semiproximal elements. We remark that the connecteness of the Gromov boundary of  $\Delta$  cannot be dropped since every non-cyclic free subgroup of a lift of a Hitchin surface group into  $\mathrm{SL}(2d, \mathbb{R})$  contains an element all of whose eigenvalues are negative.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank my advisor Richard Canary for his support and encouragement and Sara Maloni for motivating discussions which led to the construction of the examples of this paper. I also thank Jeff Danciger, François Labourie and Nicolas Tholozan for helpful discussions and comments. This work was partially supported by grants DMS-1564362 and DMS-1906441 from the National Science Foundation.

## 2. BACKGROUND

In this section we define Anosov representations and prove two lemmas required for our construction. For a transformation  $g \in \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  we denote by  $\ell_1(g) \geq \dots \geq \ell_d(g)$  and  $\sigma_1(g) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_d(g)$  the moduli of the eigenvalues and singular values of  $g$  in decreasing order respectively. We recall that  $\sigma_i(g) = \sqrt{\ell_i(gg^t)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , where  $g^t$  denotes the transpose matrix. For  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ , the matrix  $g \in \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  is called  $P_i$ -proximal if  $\ell_i(g) > \ell_{i+1}(g)$ . In the case  $g$  is  $P_1$ -proximal we denote by  $\lambda_1(g)$  the unique eigenvalue of  $g$  of maximum modulus. The matrix  $g$  is called *semiproximal* if either  $\ell_1(g)$  or  $-\ell_1(g)$  is an eigenvalue of  $g$  and *positively semiproximal* if  $\ell_1(g)$  is an eigenvalue of  $g$ .

**2.1. Anosov representations.** For a finitely generated group  $\Gamma$  we fix a left invariant word metric  $d_\Gamma$  and for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$   $|\gamma|_\Gamma$  denotes the distance of  $\gamma$  from the identity element  $e \in \Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma$  is word hyperbolic,  $\partial_\infty \Gamma$  denotes the Gromov boundary of  $\Gamma$ . A representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  is called a *quasi-isometric embedding* if the orbit map of  $\rho$ ,  $\tau_\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(d)$  where  $\tau_\rho(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)\mathrm{SO}(d)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is a quasi-isometric embedding. In other words, there exist constants  $J, K > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{K} e^{\frac{1}{J}|\gamma|_\Gamma} \leq \frac{\sigma_1(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_d(\rho(\gamma))} \leq K e^{J|\gamma|_\Gamma}$$

for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . For a representation of a finitely generated group a much stronger property than being a quasi-isometric embedding is to be *Anosov*. Anosov representations were introduced by Labourie in [Lab06] in his study of Hitchin representations and further developed by Guichard-Wienhard in [GW12]. We define Anosov representations by using a characterization in terms of gaps between singular values of elements, established by Kapovich-Leeb-Porti in [KLP18] and Bochi-Potrie-Sambarino [BPS19].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group and  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  be a representation. For  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{d}{2}$ , the representation  $\rho$  is called  $P_i$ -Anosov if there exist constants  $C, a > 0$  with the property:

$$\frac{\sigma_i(\rho(\gamma))}{\sigma_{i+1}(\rho(\gamma))} \geq C e^{a|\gamma|_\Gamma}$$

for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

In addition, it was proved in [KLP18] and [BPS19] that a finitely generated group which admits an Anosov representation into  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  is necessarily word hyperbolic. We call a representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  Anosov if it is  $P_i$ -Anosov for some  $i$ . Note that  $\rho$  is  $P_i$ -Anosov if and only if the exterior power  $\wedge^i \rho$  is  $P_1$ -Anosov. The property of being Anosov is stable, i.e. for every  $P_i$ -Anosov representation  $\rho$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\rho$  in  $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R}))$  consisting entirely of  $P_i$ -Anosov (see [Lab06] and [GW12, Theorem 5.14]). Examples of Anosov representations include quasi-isometrically embedded subgroups of simple real rank 1 Lie groups and their small deformations into higher rank Lie groups, Hitchin representations and holonomies of strictly convex projective structures on closed manifolds.

For  $1 \leq m \leq d-1$ , denote by  $\mathrm{Gr}_m(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the Grassmannian of  $m$ -planes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Every  $P_i$ -Anosov representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  admits a pair of continuous,  $\rho$ -equivariant maps  $\xi_\rho^i : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\xi_\rho^{d-i} : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{d-i}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  called the *Anosov limit maps*. We refer the reader to [GW12] and [GGKW17] for a careful discussion of Anosov limit maps and their properties. We mention here some of their main properties:

- (i) The maps  $\xi_\rho^i$  and  $\xi_\rho^{d-i}$  are *compatible*, i.e. for every  $x \in \partial_\infty \Gamma$ ,  $\xi_\rho^i(x) \subset \xi_\rho^{d-i}(x)$ .
- (ii) For every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  non-trivial,  $\rho(\gamma)$  is  $P_i$  and  $P_{d-i}$ -proximal where  $\xi_\rho^i(\gamma^+)$  and  $\xi_\rho^{d-i}(\gamma^+)$  are the attracting fixed points of  $\rho(\gamma)$  in  $\mathrm{Gr}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathrm{Gr}_{d-i}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  respectively.
- (iii) The maps  $\xi_\rho^i$  and  $\xi_\rho^{d-i}$  are *transverse*, i.e. for every  $x, y \in \partial_\infty \Gamma$  with  $x \neq y$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^d = \xi_\rho^i(x) \oplus \xi_\rho^{d-i}(y)$ .

The key property of Anosov representations that we use for our construction is that when  $\Gamma$  is neither a free or a surface group, then for a  $P_1$ -Anosov representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ , the image  $\rho(\Gamma)$  contains many elements with positive first eigenvalue. The following lemma is essential for the construction of our examples. For a finitely generated

group  $\Gamma$  we denote by  $\Gamma_2$  the intersection of all finite-index subgroups of  $\Gamma$  of index at most 2. An open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called *properly convex* if it is bounded and convex in an affine chart of  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a word hyperbolic group and  $\Delta$  a quasiconvex and infinite index subgroup of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\partial_\infty \Delta$  is connected. Let  $d \geq 4$  and  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  be a representation. Suppose that there exists a sequence of  $P_1$ -Anosov representations  $\{\rho_n : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\lim_n \rho_n = \rho$ . Then every  $\delta \in \Delta_2$  is positively semiproximal.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\rho_0$  is a  $P_1$ -Anosov representation with Anosov limit map  $\xi_{\rho_0}^1 : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, since  $\Delta$  has infinite index and is quasiconvex in  $\Gamma$ , we may find  $\delta_0 \in \Gamma$  with  $\delta_0^\pm \notin \partial_\infty \Delta$ . By transversality, the affine chart  $A \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by the  $(d-1)$ -plane  $\xi_{\rho_0}^{d-1}(\delta_0^+)$  contains the connected compact set  $\mathcal{C}_\Delta = \xi_{\rho_0}^1(\partial_\infty \Delta)$ . Let  $V = \langle u : [u] \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta \rangle$ . Note that  $\rho_0(\Delta)$  preserves  $\mathcal{C}_\Delta$  and the restriction of  $\rho_0|_\Delta$  on  $V$  is  $P_1$ -Anosov since  $\mathcal{C}_\Delta$  contains the attracting fixed point of  $\rho_0(\delta)$  for every  $\delta \in \Delta$ . The connected set  $\mathcal{C}_\Delta$  also lies in the affine chart  $A \cap \mathbb{P}(V)$  of  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  and the convex hull  $\mathrm{Conv}_{A \cap \mathbb{P}(V)}(\mathcal{C}_\Delta)$  is preserved by  $\rho_0|_V(\Delta)$ . In particular, the properly convex subset  $\mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{Conv}_{A \cap \mathbb{P}(V)}(\mathcal{C}_\Delta))$  is preserved by  $\rho_0|_V(\Delta)$ . We obtain a representation  $\tilde{\rho}_0 : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  whose image preserves a properly convex open cone  $C \subset V$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_0(\delta) = \rho_0|_V(\delta)$  for every  $\delta \in \Delta_2$ . Note that the attracting fixed point of  $\delta \in \Delta_2$  is always in  $V$  and  $\lambda_1(\tilde{\rho}_0(\delta)) = \lambda_1(\rho_0(\delta)) = \lambda_1(\rho_0|_V(\delta))$ . By [Ben05, Lemma 3.2] we have  $\lambda_1(\rho_0(\delta)) > 0$  and hence  $\rho_0(\delta)$  is positively proximal. Now let  $\delta \in \Delta_2$ . By the previous arguments, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda_1(\rho_n(\delta)) > 0$  and there exists unit vector  $u_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\rho_n(\delta)u_n = \lambda_1(\rho_n(\delta))u_n$ . Up to passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $\lim_n \lambda_1(\rho_n(\delta))$  exists and has to be an eigenvalue (not necessarily unique) of  $\lim_n \rho_n(\delta) = \rho(\delta)$  of maximum modulus. The conclusion follows.  $\square$

On the other hand, the image of Anosov representations might contain elements which are not positively proximal. In fact, this is the case for Fuchsian representations into  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $F_k$  denote the free group on  $k \geq 2$  generators. Let  $j : F_k \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  be a quasi-isometric embedding and  $F$  be a free subgroup of  $F_k$  of rank at least 2. Then for every  $a \in F_k$  with  $a \notin F$ , there exists  $w \in [F, F]$  such that  $\lambda_1(j(wa)) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $j([F, F])$  is discrete in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , hence by [CG93, Lemma 2] (see also [Ben00, Theorem 1.6]), there exists  $w_0 \in [F, F]$  such that  $\lambda_1(j(w_0)) < 0$ . Then, we may write

$$j(w_0) = h \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(j(w_0)) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda_1(j(w_0))} \end{bmatrix} h^{-1}$$

Since  $\{w_0^+, w_0^-\} \cap \{a^+, a^-\}$  is empty and  $j$  is  $P_1$ -Anosov, by transversality we have that the line  $j(w_0)\xi_1^j(a^\pm) = \xi_1^j(w_0 a^\pm)$  is different from  $\xi_1^j(a^+), \xi_1^j(a^-)$  and hence  $\langle h^{-1}j(a)he_1, e_1 \rangle$  is not zero. Then we notice that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_1(j(w_0^n a))}{\lambda_1(j(w_0^n))} = \langle h^{-1}j(a)he_1, e_1 \rangle \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_1(j(w_0^{2n+1} a))}{\lambda_1(j(w_0^{2n} a))} = \lambda_1(j(w_0)) < 0$$

For large enough  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the numbers  $\lambda_1(j(w_0^{2n} a))$  and  $\lambda_1(j(w_0^{2n+1} a))$  have opposite signs and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

We also need the following observation:

**Observation 2.4.** *Let  $F_2$  be the free group on  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\rho : F_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  be a quasi-isometric embedding. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\phi_k : F_2 \rightarrow F_2$  be the monomorphism defined by  $\phi_k(a) = b^k a b^k$  and  $\phi_k(b) = a^k b a^k$ . There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\ell_1(\rho(\phi_k(\gamma))) \geq \ell_1(\rho(\gamma))^{C^k}$  for every  $\gamma \in F_2$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

### 3. THE CONSTRUCTION

By using Lemma 2.2 and 2.3 we construct representations of the fundamental group  $\Gamma$  of a book of I-bundles which are not limits of Anosov representations of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  for  $d \geq 5$ . We recall that given a group  $K$  and a subgroup  $H$  of  $H$ , a homomorphism  $r : K \rightarrow H$  is called a *retract* if  $r(h) = h$  for every  $h \in H$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $\Delta = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{2g}, b_{2g} \rangle$  and  $F = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \rangle$  be the subgroup of  $\Delta$  which is free on  $2g$  generators. Note that there exists a retract of  $\Gamma$  onto the surface subgroup  $\Delta$ . Then  $\Delta$  retracts onto  $F$ , by sending  $a_i \mapsto a_i, b_i \mapsto b_i, a_{g+i} \mapsto b_{g-i+1}$  and  $b_{g+i} \mapsto a_{g-i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq g$ . We finally obtain a retract  $R : \Gamma \rightarrow F$ .

We first construct reducible examples in all dimensions greater than or equal to 5. By [CMT99] (see Section 4 page 26), there exists a convex cocompact representation  $i : \Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\Delta$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $S : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_0(3, 1)$  be the spin homomorphism such that  $S(\mathrm{diag}(a, \frac{1}{a}))$  is conjugate to  $\mathrm{diag}(a^2, 1, 1, 1, \frac{1}{a^2})$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . By composing  $i$  with  $S$  we obtain a quasi-isometric embedding  $\rho_0 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3, 1)$  which is a  $P_1$ -Anosov representation regarded as a representation into  $\mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ . Note that for every  $\gamma \in \Delta$ , the matrix  $\rho_0(\gamma)$  is positively proximal.

(i) Suppose that  $d = 5$ . By postcomposing  $i$  with the irreducible representation  $\tau_2 : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ , where

$$\tau_2(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Re}(g) & -\mathrm{Im}(g) \\ \mathrm{Im}(g) & \mathrm{Re}(g) \end{bmatrix}, \quad g \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}),$$

we obtain a  $P_2$ -Anosov representation  $\rho_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\rho_1(\Delta)$  is a subgroup of  $\tau_2(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ . By Lemma 2.3, we can find  $w \in [F, F] \subset \Delta_2$  such that  $\lambda_1(\varphi(wa_1^2)) < 0$ . Now we consider a non-trivial map  $\varepsilon : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\varepsilon(wa_1^2) = \varepsilon(a_1^2) = x$  with  $x^{5/4} > \ell_1(\rho(wa_1^2))$ . We consider the representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(5, \mathbb{R})$  defined as:

$$\rho(\gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon(\gamma)}} \rho_1(\gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon(R(\gamma)) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma$$

Notice that the first 3 eigenvalues of the matrix  $\rho(wa_1^2)$  are  $x, x^{-1/4} \lambda_1(\rho_1(wa_1^2))$  and  $x^{-1/4} \lambda_1(\rho_1(wa_1^2))$ . The matrix  $\wedge^2 \rho(wa_1^2)$  is not positively semiproximal. Since  $wa_1^2 \in \Delta_2$ , by Lemma 2.2 the representation  $\rho$  cannot be a limit of  $P_2$ -Anosov representations of  $\Gamma$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ . Note also that  $\ker(\varepsilon) \cap \Delta_2$  contains a free subgroup and  $\varphi(\ker(\varepsilon) \cap \Delta_2)$  is discrete subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.3, there exists  $h \in \Delta_2$  with  $\varepsilon(h) = 1$  and  $\lambda_1(\rho_1(h)) = \lambda_1(\varphi(h)) < 0$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.2  $\rho$  is not a limit of  $P_1$ -Anosov representations of  $\Gamma$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(5, \mathbb{R})$ .

We now assume that  $d = 6$ . We can find a quasi-isometric embedding  $j : F \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\ell_1(j(\gamma)) \geq \ell_1(\rho_0(\gamma))^2$  for every  $\gamma \in F$ . Now we consider the representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(6, \mathbb{R})$  defined as follows:

$$\rho(\gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_0(\gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & j(R(\gamma)) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma$$

By Lemma 2.3, we can find  $w \in F \cap \Delta_2$  such that  $\lambda_1(j(w)) < 0$ . Since  $\ell_1(j(w)) > \ell_1(\rho_0(w))$ , the matrix  $\rho(w)$  is both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ -proximal,  $\lambda_1(\rho(w)) < 0$  and  $\lambda_1(\wedge^2 \rho(w)) < 0$ . Moreover, the matrix  $\wedge^3 \rho(w)$  has the number  $\lambda_1(j(w)) \ell_1(\rho_0(w)) < 0$  as an eigenvalue of maximum modulus and multiplicity two. It follows by the previous lemma that  $\rho, \wedge^2 \rho$  and  $\wedge^3 \rho$  cannot be a limit of  $P_1$ -Anosov representations. This completes the proof of this case.

Now suppose  $d \geq 7$ . We consider again a convex cocompact representation  $j : \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  which uniformly dominates the restriction  $\rho_0|_{\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle}$ . There exists  $w \in [\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle]$  such that  $\lambda_1(j(\iota_1(wa_1^2))) < 0$ . We consider group homomorphisms  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{d-6} : \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\ell_1(j(wa_1^2)) > \varepsilon_1(a_1^2) > \dots > \varepsilon_{d-6}(a_1^2) > \ell_1(\rho_0(wa_1^2))$ . The representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  defined by the blocks

$$\rho(\gamma) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\rho_0(\gamma), j(R(\gamma)), \varepsilon_1(R(\gamma)), \dots, \varepsilon_{d-6}(R(\gamma))\right)$$

has the property that  $\wedge^i \rho(wa_1^2)$  is proximal but not positively proximal for  $i = 1, \dots, d-4$ . Finally, by Lemma 2.2 it follows that for every  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{d}{2}$ , the representation  $\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\det(\rho(\gamma))}} \rho(\gamma)$  is not a limit of  $P_i$ -Anosov representations.

(ii) Now we construct a strongly irreducible representation of  $\Gamma$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(12, \mathbb{R})$  which is not a limit of  $P_i$ -Anosov representations for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ . We assume that  $g \geq 4$ . By Observation 2.4, we can find quasi-isometric embeddings  $\iota_1 : \langle a_1, b_1, a_2 \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\iota_2 : \langle b_2, a_3, b_3 \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\ell_1(\iota_1(g)) \geq \ell_1(S(g))^3$ , for every  $g \in \langle a_1, b_1, a_2 \rangle$  and  $\ell_1(\iota_2(h)) \geq \ell_1(S(h))^5$  for every  $h \in \langle b_2, a_3, b_3 \rangle$ . By Lemma 2.3, we can find  $w \in \Gamma_2 \cap \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$  such that  $\lambda := \lambda_1(\iota_1(wa_2^2)) < 0$ . Let  $\mu = \lambda_1(S(wa_2^2)) > 0$ . Now consider a non-trivial map  $\varepsilon : \langle a_1, b_1, a_2 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\varepsilon(a_2) = x$  and

$$|\lambda| > x^3 > \frac{|\lambda|}{\mu^2} > 1 > \frac{\mu^2}{|\lambda|}$$

and the representation  $\iota'_1 : \langle a_1, b_1, a_2 \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  defined as follows:

$$\iota'_1(\gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon(\gamma)}} \iota_1(\gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon(\gamma) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma \in \langle a_1, b_1, a_2 \rangle$$

Notice that  $\varepsilon(wa_2^2) = x^2$  and by the choice of  $x$ , the matrix  $\iota'_1(wa_2^2)$  is proximal with eigenvalues in decreasing order  $\frac{\lambda}{x}, x^2, \frac{1}{\lambda x}$ . By Lemma 2.3 we can also find  $z \in [\langle b_2, a_3 \rangle, \langle b_2, a_3 \rangle]$  such that  $s := \lambda_1(\iota_2(zb_3^2)) < 0$ . We consider the representations  $\iota'_2 : \langle b_2, a_3, b_3 \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  defined as follows:

$$\iota'_2(\delta) = \begin{bmatrix} \iota_2(\delta) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \delta \in \langle b_2, a_3, b_3 \rangle$$

and  $A : F \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  defined as follows:

$$A(\gamma) = \iota'_1(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \langle a_1, b_1, a_2 \rangle$$

$$A(\delta) = \iota'_2(\delta), \quad \delta \in \langle b_2, a_3, b_3 \rangle$$

$A(\langle a_3, b_4, \dots, a_g, b_g \rangle)$  is chosen to be Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$

We obtain a Zariski dense representation  $R \circ A : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

We first observe that  $\rho_0 \otimes (A \circ R)$  is strongly irreducible and a quasi-isometric embedding. For every finite-index subgroup  $H$  of  $\Gamma$  the restriction of the product  $\rho_0 \times (A \circ R) : H \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3, 1) \times \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  is Zariski dense. Note that the tensor product representation  $\otimes : \mathrm{SO}(3, 1) \times \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(12, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $(g, h) \mapsto g \otimes h$  is irreducible. Hence, any proper  $(\rho_0 \otimes (A \circ R))(H)$ -invariant subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{12} = \mathbb{R}^4 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^3$  has to be invariant under  $g \otimes h$  for every  $g \in \mathrm{SO}(3, 1)$  and  $h \in \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Therefore,  $V$  is trivial and  $\rho_0 \otimes (A \circ R)$  is strongly irreducible. Moreover, for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\sigma_1(\rho_0(\gamma) \otimes A(R(\gamma))) = \sigma_1(\rho_0(\gamma))\sigma_1(A(R(\gamma)))$  and hence  $\rho_0 \otimes (A \circ R)$  is a quasi-isometric embedding since  $\rho_0$  is  $P_1$ -Anosov.

We claim that the tensor product representation  $\rho_0 \otimes (A \circ R) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(12, \mathbb{R})$  is not a limit of Anosov representations. We consider the element  $wa_2^2 \in \Gamma_2$ . We have  $A(R(wa_2^2)) = A(wa_2^2) = \iota'_1(wa_2^2)$  and the matrix  $\rho_0(wa_2^2) \otimes A(wa_2^2)$  is conjugate to

$$g = \mathrm{diag}\left(\mu^2, 1, 1, \frac{1}{\mu^2}\right) \otimes \mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{\lambda}{x}, x^2, \frac{1}{\lambda x}\right), \quad \lambda = \lambda_1(wa_2^2) < 0$$

By the choice of  $x$ , since  $|\lambda| > x^3 > \frac{|\lambda|}{\mu^2} > 1$ , the first 7 eigenvalues in decreasing order of their moduli are

$$\frac{\lambda}{x}\mu^2, x^2\mu^2, \frac{\lambda}{x}, \frac{\lambda}{x}, x^2, x^2, \frac{\lambda}{x\mu^2}$$

The matrix  $\wedge^i g$  is proximal for  $i = 1, 2, 4, 6$  but not positively proximal. Thus, by Lemma 2.2,  $\rho \otimes A$  is not a limit of  $P_i$ -Anosov representations for  $i = 1, 2, 4, 6$ . The matrix  $\wedge^5 g$  has as an eigenvalue of maximum modulus and multiplicity 2 the number  $\lambda^3 \mu^4 x < 0$ . Therefore,  $\wedge^5 g$  is not positively semiproximal and  $\rho \otimes A$  cannot be a limit of  $P_5$ -Anosov representations, again by Lemma 2.2. Now we consider the element  $zb_3^2 \in \Gamma_2$ . Note that  $R(A(zb_3^2)) = A(zb_3^2) = \iota'_2(zb_3^2)$  and  $\rho_0(zb_3^2) \otimes A(zb_3^2)$  is conjugate to the matrix

$$h = \mathrm{diag}\left(\nu^2, 1, 1, \frac{1}{\nu^2}\right) \otimes \mathrm{diag}\left(s, 1, \frac{1}{s}\right), \quad s = \lambda_1(\iota_2(zb_3^2)) < 0, \quad \nu = \lambda_1(S(zb_3^2))$$

Since  $|s| > \nu^4$ , the first 5 eigenvalues of  $h$  in decreasing order of their moduli are

$$s\nu^2, s, s, \frac{s}{\nu^2}, \nu^2$$

We notice that  $\wedge^3 h$  is proximal with first eigenvalue  $s^3 \nu^2 < 0$ . It follows that  $\rho \otimes A$  is not a limit of  $P_3$ -Anosov representations.  $\square$

**Remark:** The proof of Lemma 2.2 only uses the fact that every  $P_i$ -Anosov representation admits a continuous, dynamics preserving and  $\rho$ -equivariant map  $\xi_\rho^i : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Therefore, in Theorem 1.1 the representation  $\rho$  admits an open neighbourhood  $U \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R}))$  such that for every  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{d}{2}$  and every  $\rho' \in U$  there is no continuous,  $\rho'$ -equivariant and dynamics preserving map  $\xi_{\rho'}^i : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

## 4. ADDITIONAL EXAMPLES

By following similar arguments as in Theorem 1.1 (ii) and by increasing the number of surface vertex groups of the fundamental group of the I-bundle, it is possible to obtain strongly irreducible quasi-isometric embeddings for infinitely many odd dimensions such that every non-trivial element is semiproximal. We denote by  $S : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_0(3, 1)$  the spin homomorphism.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $g \geq 3$  and  $\Gamma$  be as in Theorem 1.1,  $n \geq 5$  and let  $\Delta_n$  be the amalgamated free product of  $2^{n-3} + 1$  copies of  $\Gamma$  along the maximal cyclic subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by the element  $w = [a_1, b_1] \dots [a_g, b_g]$ . For every  $n$  odd there exists a strongly irreducible quasi-isometric embedding  $\tau_n : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3n, \mathbb{R})$  which is not a limit of Anosov representations and for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ ,  $\tau_n(\gamma)$  has all of its eigenvalues of maximum modulus real.*

*Proof.* We first need to find a strongly irreducible representation of  $\Delta_n$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ . We shall use a sequence of Johnson-Millson bending deformations defined in [JM87] to obtain such a representation.

Let  $\Delta = \Gamma_1 *_{\langle a \rangle} \Gamma_2$  be the amalgamated free product of two torsion free word hyperbolic groups  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  along the maximal cyclic subgroup generated by the element  $a \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ . Suppose that  $\rho : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(m, 1)$  is a convex cocompact representation such that  $\rho(\Gamma_i)$  are Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{SO}(m, 1)$ , and  $\rho(a)$  lies in a copy of  $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(m, 1)$ . Then by the work of Johnson-Millson in [JM87] we can find a Zariski dense and convex cocompact deformation  $\rho_t : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(m+1, 1)$  of  $\rho$  (which we identify with  $\mathrm{diag}(1, \rho)$ ). We briefly explain the construction (see also [Kas12, Lemma 6.3]): let  $X$  be a vector in  $\mathfrak{so}(m+1, 1) - \mathfrak{so}(m, 1)$  such that  $\rho(w)X\rho(w)^{-1} = X$ . Then, consider the family of representations  $\rho_t : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(m+1, 1)$  where  $\rho_t(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$  and  $\rho_t(\gamma) = \exp(tX)\rho(\gamma)\exp(-tX)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$ . Note that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(m, 1)$  is self normalizing into  $\mathfrak{so}(m+1, 1)$ . Therefore, for small enough  $t > 0$  the Lie algebra of the Zariski closure of  $\rho_t$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_t$ , strictly contains  $\mathfrak{so}(m, 1)$ . It follows that  $\rho_t$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{SO}(m+1, 1)$ . By the stability of convex cocompact representations into  $\mathrm{SO}(m+1, 1)$ , established by Thurston [Th78, Proposition 8.3.3] (see also [CEG87, Theorem 2.5.1]),  $\rho_t$  can be chosen to be convex cocompact.

Let  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=0}^{2^{n-3}}$  be the vertex groups of  $\Delta_n$  and  $\Delta_0$  be one of the surface vertex groups of  $\Gamma_0$ . By [CMT99] there exists a convex cocompact representation  $\rho_1 : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3, 1)$  such that  $\rho_1|_{\Delta_0}$  is Fuchsian, i.e.  $\rho_1|_{\Gamma_0} = S \circ \rho_0$  for some convex cocompact representation  $\rho_0 : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Notice that since  $\Gamma$  is not a surface group,  $\rho_1(\Gamma_i)$  has to be Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{SO}(3, 1)$ . By the previous remarks we can find a convex cocompact representation  $\rho_2 : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4, 1)$  such that for  $0 \leq i \leq 2^{n-4} - 1$ ,  $\rho_2(\langle \Gamma_{2i+1}, \Gamma_{2i+2} \rangle)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{SO}(4, 1)$  and  $\rho_2(\gamma) = \mathrm{diag}(1, \rho_1(\gamma))$  on  $\gamma \in \Delta_0$ . Now we see  $\Delta_n$  as the amalgamated free product of  $\Gamma_0$  with  $\langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle \Gamma_{2^{n-3}-1}, \Gamma_{2^{n-3}} \rangle$  (each of them isomorphic to  $\Gamma *_{\langle w \rangle} \Gamma$ ) along  $\langle w \rangle$ . Since for every  $i$ ,  $\rho_2(\langle \Gamma_{2i+1}, \Gamma_{2i+2} \rangle)$  is Zariski dense, we can find a convex cocompact representation  $\rho_3 : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5, 1)$  such that for  $0 \leq i \leq 2^{n-5} - 1$ ,  $\rho_3(\langle \Gamma_{4i+1}, \Gamma_{4i+2}, \Gamma_{4i+3}, \Gamma_{4i+4} \rangle)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{SO}(5, 1)$  and  $\rho_3(\gamma) = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \rho_1(\gamma))$ . By continuing similarly we obtain a Zariski dense, convex cocompact representation  $\rho_{n-3} : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n-1, 1)$  with  $\rho_{n-3}(\gamma) = \mathrm{diag}(I_{n-4}, \rho_1(\gamma))$  for  $\gamma \in \Delta_0$ .

Let  $F$  be the free subgroup of  $\Delta_0$  generated by the elements  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g$  and let  $R : \Delta_n \rightarrow F$  be a retract. We may choose a representation  $A : F \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  which is  $P_1$ -Anosov,  $A(\langle a_3, b_3, \dots, a_g, b_g \rangle)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $A(\gamma) = \mathrm{diag}(\rho_0(\gamma), 1)$  for  $\gamma \in \langle a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \rangle$ . Now we consider  $k$  very large and modify  $A$  by considering  $A_k : F \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $A_k(\gamma) = \mathrm{diag}(\rho_0(\phi_k(\gamma)), 1)$  for  $\gamma \in \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle$  and  $A_k(\gamma) = A(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in \langle a_1, b_1, a_3, \dots, b_g \rangle$ . The map  $\phi_k : \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle$  defined as in Observation 2.4 and  $k$  is considered large enough such that  $\ell_1(\rho_0(\phi_k(\gamma))) \geq \ell_1(\rho_1(\gamma))^{10}$  for every  $\gamma \in \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle$ . The image  $A_k(F)$  defines a  $P_1$ -Anosov subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

Now we consider the representation  $\tau_n : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3n, \mathbb{R})$  defined as follows:  $\tau_n(\gamma) = \rho_{n-3}(\gamma) \otimes A_k(R(\gamma))$  for  $\gamma \in \Delta_n$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii),  $\tau_n$  is strongly irreducible since  $\rho_{n-3}$  and  $A \circ R$  have non-isomorphic irreducible Zariski closures. Moreover, all elements of the group  $\tau_n(\Delta_n)$  have all of their eigenvalues of maximum modulus real, since  $A|_F$  and  $\rho_{n-3}$  are  $P_1$ -Anosov into  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  respectively. To see that  $\tau_n$  is not a limit of Anosov representations we may first find  $w \in (\Delta_n)_2 \cap \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$  such that  $s := \lambda_1(\rho_0(w)) < 0$ . Then  $g := \rho_{n-3}(w) \otimes A_k(w)$  is conjugate to  $\mathrm{diag}(s^2, I_{n-2}, \frac{1}{s^2}) \otimes \mathrm{diag}(s, 1, \frac{1}{s})$ . The first  $2n-1$  eigenvalues of  $g$  in decreasing order are

$$s^3, s^2, \underbrace{s, \dots, s}_{n-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}$$

and we see that  $\wedge^i \tau_n(w)$  is not positively semiproximal when  $i$  is even and  $i \leq n+1$  and when  $n+1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$ . We may also find  $w' \in (\Delta_n)_2 \cap \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle$  such that  $q = \lambda_1(\rho_0(\phi_k(w'))) < 0$ , let  $p = \lambda_1(\rho(w'))$  and note that  $|q| > p^{10}$ . The matrix  $h := \rho'_n(w') \otimes A_k(w')$  is conjugate to the matrix  $\mathrm{diag}(p^2, I_{n-2}, \frac{1}{p^2}) \otimes \mathrm{diag}(q, 1, \frac{1}{q})$ . The first  $n+1$  eigenvalues

of this matrix in decreasing order are:

$$qp^2, \underbrace{q, q, \dots, q}_{n-2}, \frac{q}{p^2}, p^2$$

The matrix  $\wedge^i \tau_n(w')$  is not positively semiproximal when  $i$  is odd and  $i \leq n+1$ . The conclusion follows by Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

In contrast to the previous examples, for the construction of quasi-isometric embeddings of surface groups which are not limits of Anosov representations we need to find elements whose eigenvalues are non-real.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $S$  be an orientable closed hyperbolic surface of genus at least 4. There exist quasi-isometric embeddings  $\psi : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(6, \mathbb{R})$  which are not limits of Anosov representations of  $\pi_1(S)$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(4, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathrm{SL}(6, \mathbb{R})$  respectively. Moreover,  $\rho$  is strongly irreducible.*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho_1 : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  be a quasi-isometric embedding and  $\pi : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$  be a retract of  $\pi_1(S)$  onto the free subgroup  $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$  of rank 4. Let  $\lambda := \lambda_1(\rho_1(a_1))$  and  $\mu := \lambda_1(\rho_1(a_2))$  and fix  $\theta \notin \pi\mathbb{Q}$ .

We consider  $x, y > 0$  such that  $x^2 > |\lambda|$ ,  $|\mu| > y^2$  and a homomorphism  $\varepsilon : \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\varepsilon(a_1) = x$  and  $\varepsilon(a_2) = y$ . Let  $R_\theta : \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  be a homomorphism such that  $R_\theta(a_1)$  and  $R_\theta(a_2)$  are conjugate to the irrational rotation of angle  $\theta$ . The representation  $\psi$  is defined as follows

$$\psi(\gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\varepsilon(\gamma)} \rho_1(\gamma) & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon(\gamma) R_\theta(\pi(\gamma)) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma \in \pi_1(S)$$

is a quasi-isometric embedding and not in the closure of Anosov representations. By the choice of  $x, y$ , the matrices  $\psi(a)$  and  $\wedge^2 \psi(b)$  have the numbers  $xe^{i\theta}, xe^{-i\theta}$  and  $\mu e^{i\theta}, \mu e^{-i\theta}$  as their eigenvalues of maximum modulus respectively. The claim follows.

Now we construct the representation  $\rho$ . We consider  $s, t, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $s > |\lambda|^{2/3}$ ,  $|\mu|^{-2/3} < t < 1$  and the representation  $j_{s,t,\theta} : \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$j_{s,t,\theta}(a_1) = \begin{bmatrix} s \cos \theta & -s \sin \theta & 0 \\ s \sin \theta & s \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{s^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad j_{s,t,\theta}(a_2) = \begin{bmatrix} t \cos \theta & -t \sin \theta & 0 \\ t \sin \theta & t \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{t^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $j_{s,t,\theta}(\langle a_3, a_4 \rangle)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . By arguing as in Theorem 1.1, the tensor product  $\rho := \rho_1 \otimes (j_{s,t,\theta} \circ \pi)$  is a quasi-isometric embedding of  $\pi_1(S)$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(6, \mathbb{R})$  and also strongly irreducible. By the choice of  $s$ , the eigenvalues of the matrix  $g := \rho_1(a_1) \otimes j_{s,t,\theta}(\pi(a_1))$  in decreasing order of their moduli are  $\lambda s e^{i\theta}, \lambda s e^{-i\theta}, \frac{s}{\lambda} e^{i\theta}, \frac{s}{\lambda} e^{-i\theta}, \frac{\lambda}{s^2}, \frac{1}{\lambda s^2}$ . The matrices  $g$  and  $\wedge^3 g$  have their eigenvalues of maximum modulus non-real, hence  $\rho_\theta$  is not a limit of  $P_1$  or  $P_3$ -Anosov representations of  $\pi_1(S)$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ . The eigenvalues of the matrix  $h := \rho_1(a_2) \otimes j_{s,t,\theta}(\pi(a_2))$  in decreasing order of their moduli are  $\frac{\mu}{t^2}, \mu t e^{i\theta}, \mu t e^{-i\theta}, \frac{1}{\mu t^2}, \frac{t}{\mu} e^{i\theta}, \frac{t}{\mu} e^{-i\theta}$ . The matrix  $\wedge^2 \rho_\theta(b)$  has its eigenvalues of maximum modulus non real, therefore  $\wedge^2 \rho_\theta$  is not a limit of  $P_1$ -Anosov representations of  $\pi_1(S)$ . It follows that  $\rho$  satisfies the required properties.  $\square$

**Remarks:** (i) The construction in Proposition 4.2 works also for free groups.

(ii) We note that it is possible to describe the proximal limit set of the irreducible examples we have constructed. For a subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , the proximal limit set  $\Lambda_H^{\mathbb{P}}$  is defined to be the closure of the attracting fixed points of  $P_1$ -proximal elements of  $H$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a non-elementary word hyperbolic group and suppose that  $\phi_1 : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\phi_2 : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(m, \mathbb{R})$  are two irreducible representations such that  $\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2$  is irreducible,  $\phi_1$  is  $P_1$ -Anosov and  $\phi_2$  is either non-faithful or non-discrete. We claim that  $\Lambda_{(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{P}}$  is homeomorphic to  $\Lambda_{\phi_1(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{P}} \times \Lambda_{\phi_2(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{P}}$ . We may assume that  $e_1 \otimes e_1$  is in  $\Lambda_{(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{P}}$  and  $[e_1] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the attracting eigenline of  $\phi_1(w_1)$ . Let  $w_0 \in \Delta$  be a non-trivial element such that  $\phi_2(w_0) = I_m$ . For  $x, y \in \partial_\infty \Delta$  with  $\{x, y\} \cap \{w_0^+, w_0^-, w_1^+, w_1^-\}$  empty we may find a sequence  $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $\Delta$  with  $x = \lim_n \gamma_n$  and  $y = \lim_n \gamma_n^{-1}$ . Then,  $\lim_n (\gamma_n w_0 \gamma_n^{-1}) w_1^+ = x$  and hence  $\lim_n (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\gamma_n w_0 \gamma_n^{-1}) [e_1 \otimes e_1] = [u_x \otimes e_1]$  where  $\xi_{\phi_1}^1(x) = [u_x]$ . It follows that  $\Lambda_{(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{P}}$  contains  $[u_z \otimes e_1]$ ,  $\xi_{\phi_1}^1(z) = [u_z]$ , for every  $z \in \partial_\infty \Delta$ . Now since  $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\Delta)$  and  $\phi_2(\Delta)$  act minimally on  $\Lambda_{(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{P}}$  and  $\Lambda_{\phi_2(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{P}}$  respectively (see [Ben00, Lemma 2.5]), we conclude that  $\Lambda_{(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{P}} = \{[u_1 \otimes u_2] : [u_i] \in \Lambda_{\phi_i(\Delta)}^{\mathbb{P}}, i = 1, 2\}$ . We work similarly when  $\phi_2$  is non-discrete. In particular, we deduce:

- (a) In the construction of  $\rho$  in Theorem 1.1 (ii) the representation  $A : F \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  can be chosen to be non-discrete and hence the proximal limit set of  $\rho(\Gamma)$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{12})$  is homeomorphic to  $\partial_\infty \Gamma \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .
- (b) In Theorem 4.1, the proximal limit set of  $\tau_n(\Delta_n)$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$  is homeomorphic to  $\partial_\infty \Delta_n \times C$ , where  $C$  is a Cantor set.
- (c) In Proposition 4.2, for  $s, t > 0$  generic, the image of the representation  $j_{s,t,\theta}$  is dense in  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  hence the proximal limit set of  $\rho(\pi_1(S))$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^6)$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1 \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be two semisimple real algebraic Lie groups of real rank at least 2 and  $\iota : G \hookrightarrow G'$  be an injective Lie group homomorphism. For an Anosov representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ , the composition  $\iota \circ \rho$  need not be Anosov into  $G'$  with respect to any pair of opposite parabolic subgroups of  $G'$ . The failure of being Anosov under composing with a Lie group embedding has already been exhibited by Guichard-Wienhard, see the discussion in [GW12, Section 4, p. 22]. Our examples are not limits of Anosov representations of their domain group into the bigger special linear group, but are Anosov when considered as representations into their Zariski closure. Let  $P_i^+$  and  $P_i^-$  be the subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  defined as the stabilizers of a  $i$ -plane and a complementary  $(d-i)$ -plane of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $G = \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(k, \mathbb{R})$  and denote by  $\otimes : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(kd, \mathbb{R})$  the tensor product representation. Let  $\rho_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\rho_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(k, \mathbb{R})$  be two representations such that  $\rho_1$  is  $P_i^-$ -Anosov. The product  $\rho_1 \times \rho_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow G$  is Anosov with respect to the opposite parabolic subgroups  $P_i^+ \times \mathrm{SL}(k, \mathbb{R})$  and  $P_i^- \times \mathrm{SL}(k, \mathbb{R})$  of  $G$ , while the tensor product  $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow \otimes(G)$  is Anosov with respect to the pair of opposite parabolic subgroups  $\otimes(P_i^+ \times \mathrm{SL}(k, \mathbb{R}))$  and  $\otimes(P_i^- \times \mathrm{SL}(k, \mathbb{R}))$  of  $\otimes(G)$ .

By following the lines of the proof of Theorem 4.1, we obtain examples of discrete and faithful representations which are not Anosov into their Zariski closure and not a limit of Anosov representations into  $\mathrm{SL}(15, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $M^3$  be a closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold which is a surface bundle over the circle (with fibers homeomorphic to  $S$ ) and contains a totally geodesic closed surface  $S'$ . By using the Klein combination theorem we may find a convex cocompact representation  $\rho : \pi_1(M^3) * F_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4, 1)$  whose restriction to the free factor  $F_2$  is Zariski dense and  $\rho|_{\pi_1(M^3)} = \mathrm{diag}(1, \rho_0)$  (here  $\rho_0 : \pi_1(M^3) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3, 1)$  denotes the holonomy representation associated to  $M^3$ ). Since the quotient of  $\pi_1(M^3)$  by the normal subgroup  $\pi_1(S)$  is cyclic, the intersection  $H = \pi_1(S) \cap \pi_1(S')$  is a non-cyclic, normal free subgroup of  $\pi_1(S')$ . Let  $F = \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$  be a free subgroup of  $H$  of rank  $r \geq 4$ . We may find a finite cover  $\hat{S}$  of  $S$  such that  $F \subset \pi_1(\hat{S})$  and there exists a retract  $R : \pi_1(\hat{S}) \rightarrow F$  (see [LR08, Theorem 1.6]) which we extend to a retract  $R : \pi_1(\hat{S}) * F_2 \rightarrow F$ . Since  $S'$  is totally geodesic in  $M^3$  and  $F$  is quasi-convex in  $\pi_1(S')$ , there exists a convex cocompact representation  $\rho_1 : F \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\rho_0|_F = S \circ \rho_1$ . As in Theorem 4.1, we consider  $k$  very large and  $A_k : F \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  a Zariski dense representation, such that  $A_k(\gamma) = \mathrm{diag}(1, \rho_1(\gamma))$  for  $\gamma \in \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$  and  $A_k(\gamma) = \mathrm{diag}(1, \rho_1(\phi_k(\gamma)))$  for  $\gamma \in \langle a_3, a_4 \rangle$ . The representation  $\rho' : \pi_1(\hat{S}) * F_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(15, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\rho'(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma) \otimes A_k(R(\gamma))$  for  $\gamma \in \pi_1(\hat{S}) * F_2$ , is discrete and faithful (since  $\rho$  is) and not in the closure of Anosov representations of  $\pi_1(\hat{S}) * F_2$  into  $\mathrm{SL}(15, \mathbb{R})$ . We note that since  $A_k \circ R$  is not faithful and  $\pi_1(S)$  is normal in  $\pi_1(M^3)$ , the representations  $A_k \circ R$  and  $\rho|_{\pi_1(\hat{S})}$  are not quasi-isometric embeddings into  $\mathrm{SO}(4, 1)$  and  $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  respectively. In particular,  $\rho \times (A_k \circ R)$  is not Anosov with respect to any pair of opposite parabolic subgroups of  $\mathrm{SO}(4, 1) \times \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . The Zariski closure of  $\rho'$  is  $\otimes(\mathrm{SO}(4, 1) \times \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R}))$  and it follows (see for example [GW12, Corollary 3.6]) that  $\rho \otimes (A_k \circ R)$  is not Anosov in its Zariski closure.

## REFERENCES

- [Ben00] Y. Benoist, “Automorphismes des cônes convexes,” *Invent. Math.* **141**(2000) 149-193.
- [Ben05] Y. Benoist, “Convexes divisibles III,” *Ann. Sci. de l'É.N.S* **38**(2005), 793-832.
- [BPS19] J. Bochi, R. Potrie and A. Sambarino, “Anosov representations and dominated splittings,” *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **21**(2019), 3343-3414.
- [BrB04] J. Brock and K. Bromberg, “On the density of geometrically finite hyperbolic 3-manifolds,” *Acta Math.* **192**(2004), 33-93.
- [BCM12] J. Brock, R. Canary and Y. Minsky “The classification of Kleinian surface groups II: the ending lamination conjecture,” *Ann. Math.* **176**(2012), 1-149.
- [CEG87] , R. D. Canary, D. B. A. Epstein and P. Green, “Notes on notes of Thurston,” in *Analytical and Geometric Aspects of Hyperbolic Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1987, 3-92.
- [CMT99] R. Canary, Y. Minsky and E. Taylor, “Spectral theory, Hausdorff dimension and the topology of hyperbolic 3-manifolds,” *J. Geom. Anal.* **9**(1999), 17-40.
- [CG93] S. Choi and W. Goldman, “Convex projective structures on closed surfaces are closed,” *Proc. Math. Soc.* **118**(1993), 657-661.



- [GGKW17] F. Guéritaud, O. Guichard, F. Kassel and A. Wienhard, “Anosov representations and proper actions,” *Geom. Top.* **21**(2017), 485–584.
- [Gui04] O. Guichard, “Déformation de sous-groupes discrets de groupes de rang un,” *PhD Thesis*, Université Paris 7, December 2004.
- [GW12] O. Guichard and A. Wienhard, “Anosov representations: Domains of discontinuity and applications,” *Invent. Math.* **190**(2012), 357–438.
- [JM87] D. Johnson and J. Millson, “Deformation spaces associated to compact hyperbolic manifolds,” in *Discrete groups in geometry and analysis*, Progr. Math. vol. 67 (1987), Birkhäuser 48–106.
- [KLP18] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb and J. Porti, “A Morse Lemma for quasigeodesics in symmetric spaces and Euclidean buildings,” *Geom. Top.* **22**(2018), 3827–3923.
- [Kas12] F. Kassel, “Deformations of proper actions on homogeneous spaces,” *Math. Ann.* **352**(2012), 599–632.
- [Lab06] F. Labourie, “Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space,” *Invent. Math.* **165**(2006), 51–114.
- [LR08] D. Long, A. Reid, “Subgroup separability and virtual retractions of groups,” *Topology* **47**(2008), 137–159.
- [Mor84] J. Morgan, “On Thurston’s uniformization theorem for three-dimensional manifolds” in *The Smith Conjecture, Pure Appl. Math.* **112**, Academic Press, 1984, 37–125.
- [NS12] H. Namazi and J. Souto, “Non-realizability and ending laminations: proof of the density conjecture”, *Acta Math.* **209**(2012), 323–395.
- [Ohs11] K. Ohshika, “Realising end invariants by limits of minimally parabolic, geometrically finite groups”, *Geom. Top.* **15**(2011), 827–890.
- [Th78] W. Thurston, “Geometry and topology of 3-manifolds”, Notes from Princeton University, Princeton, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 530 CHURCH STREET, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109, USA  
*E-mail address:* `tsouvkon@umich.edu`