

ON AN INVERSE PROBLEM OF ERDŐS, KLEITMAN, AND LEMKE

QINGHAI ZHONG

ABSTRACT. Let $(G, 1_G)$ be a finite group and let $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell$ be a nonempty sequence over G . We say S is a tiny product-one sequence if its terms can be ordered such that their product equals 1_G and $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g_i)} \leq 1$. Let $\text{ti}(G)$ be the smallest integer t such that every sequence S over G with $|S| \geq t$ has a tiny product-one subsequence. The direct problem is to obtain the exact value of $\text{ti}(G)$, while the inverse problem is to characterize the structure of long sequences over G which have no tiny product-one subsequences. In this paper, we consider the inverse problem for cyclic groups and we also study both direct and inverse problems for dihedral groups and dicyclic groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group. By a sequence S over G , we mean a finite unordered sequence with terms from G , where the repetition of elements is allowed. We say that S is a product-one sequence if its terms can be ordered so that their product equals the identity element of G . If G is abelian, a product-one sequence is also called a zero-sum sequence. Suppose $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell$. If $1 \leq \ell \leq \max\{\text{ord}(g) : g \in G\}$, we say S is a short product-one sequence. If $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g_i)} \leq 1$, we say S is a tiny product-one sequence. Clearly, a tiny product-one sequence is a short product-one sequence.

The small Davenport constant $d(G)$ is the maximal integer ℓ such that there is a sequence of length ℓ which has no non-trivial product-one subsequences. We denote by $\eta(G)$ (or $\text{ti}(G)$ respectively) the smallest integer ℓ such that every sequence of length at least ℓ has a short (or tiny respectively) product-one subsequence. Then $d(G) + 1 \leq \eta(G) \leq \text{ti}(G)$. The study of $d(G)$ and $\eta(G)$ is a central topic in zero-sum theory which is a popular branch of combinatorial number theory. For more details, see [11] for a survey and for recent progress, we refer to [13, 32, 12, 33, 5, 20, 22, 21].

The investigations on $\text{ti}(G)$ originate in the following conjecture, addressed by Erdős and Lemke in the late eighties (see [30, Introduction]).

Is it true that out of n divisors of n , repetitions being allowed, one can always find a certain number of them that sum up to n ?

Motivated by this conjecture, Kleitman and Lemke [30, Theorem 1] proved the following stronger result.

For any given integers a_1, \dots, a_n , there is a non-empty subset $I \subset [1, n]$ such that n divides $\sum_{i \in I} a_i$ and $\sum_{i \in I} \gcd(a_i, n) \leq n$. With our notation, this is $\text{ti}(C_n) \leq n$ and it is easy to see that $\text{ti}(C_n) = n$, where C_n is a cyclic group of order n .

In the same paper, they also gave the following conjecture and confirmed the conjecture for elementary p -groups, dihedral groups, dicyclic groups, and groups with $|G| \leq 15$ (see [30, Open Problems]).

Conjecture A. *Let G be a finite group. Then $\text{ti}(G) \leq |G|$.*

This conjecture for all finite abelian groups was proved by Geroldinger [15]. An alternative proof for all finite abelian groups, using graph pebbling, was later found by Elledge and Hurlbert [9, Theorem 2]. For more work on applications of graph pebbling to zero-sum problems we refer to [4, 8, 25, 26]. In 2012, B. Girard [19, Theorem 2.1], using a result of Alon and Dubiner [1], gave a new upper bound of $\text{ti}(G)$ for

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D60, 11B75, 11P70.

Key words and phrases. product-one sequences, zero-sum sequences, cyclic groups, Dihedral groups, Dicyclic groups.

all finite abelian groups G , which provided the right order of magnitude. The exact value of $\text{ti}(G)$ is only known for limited groups. For finite abelian groups G of rank 2, B. Girard conjectured that $\text{ti}(G) = \eta(G)$, which is still wide open, while there are finite abelian groups G of rank 3 such that $\text{ti}(G) > \eta(G)$ (see [10, Theorem 1.3]).

The inverse problem of $\text{ti}(G)$ is to characterize the structure of long sequences S (the extremal case is $|S| = \text{ti}(G) - 1$) which have no tiny product-one subsequences. In this manuscript, we give a first characterization of the inverse problem of $\text{ti}(G)$ for cyclic groups G , where we will use *smooth sequences* (see Definition 3.1).

Theorem 1.1. *For every real $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all cyclic groups G with $|G| \geq N_\epsilon$ and for all sequences S over G with $|S| \geq (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)|G|$, if S has no tiny product-one subsequences, then S is smooth.*

Most of intention is on abelian groups. Now we turn to non-abelian groups. Dihedral groups and dicyclic groups are the most studied non-abelian groups in zero-sum theory (see [3, 2, 14, 27, 28]). By the inverse result of cyclic groups in hand, we can study both the direct and the inverse problems of $\text{ti}(G)$ for dihedral and dicyclic groups G .

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a dihedral group of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$.*

1. $\text{ti}(G) = 2n$.
2. *Let S be a sequence over G with $|S| = 2n - 1$. Then S has no tiny product-one subsequences if and only if there exist $g, h \in G$ with $G = \langle g, h: g^n = h^2 = 1, hg = g^{-1}h \rangle$ such that $S = g^{[n-1]} \cdot h \cdot hg \cdot \dots \cdot hg^{n-1}$.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a dicyclic group of order $4n$ with $n \geq 116$.*

1. $\text{ti}(G) = 2n + 1$.
2. *Let S be a sequence over G with $|S| = 2n$. Then S has no tiny product-one subsequences if and only if there exist $g, h \in G$ with $G = \langle g, h: g^{2n} = 1, h^2 = g^n, \text{ and } hg = g^{-1}h \rangle$ such that $S = g^{[2n-1]} \cdot h$.*

The manuscript is organized as following. In Section 2, we gather all necessary notation and definitions. In Section 3, we focus on the inverse problem for cyclic groups and give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we consider dihedral and dicyclic groups G and the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 will be provided. In addition, we also study both the direct and the inverse problems of $\eta(G)$ (see Theorems 4.3 and 4.4).

2. PRELIMINARIES

We denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers and let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the set of non-negative integers. For non-negative integers $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ be the discrete interval.

Let G be a multiplicatively written finite group with identity element 1_G and let $G_0 \subset G$ be a subset. For an element $g \in G$, we denote by $\text{ord}(g) \in \mathbb{N}$ the order of g and by $\langle G_0 \rangle \subset G$ the subgroup generated by G_0 .

The elements of the free abelian monoid $\mathcal{F}(G)$ will be called *sequences* over G . This terminology goes back to combinatorial number theory. Indeed, a sequence over G can be viewed as a finite unordered sequence with terms from G , where the repetition of elements is allowed. We briefly discuss our notation here which follows the monograph [23, Chapter 10.1]. In order to avoid confusion between multiplication in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and multiplication in G , we denote multiplication in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ by the boldsymbol \cdot and use brackets for all exponentiation in $\mathcal{F}(G)$. Thus a sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ can be written in the form

$$S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell = \prod_{g \in G}^{\bullet} g^{v_g(S)} \in \mathcal{F}(G),$$

where $g_1, \dots, g_\ell \in G$ are the terms of S . For $g \in G$,

- $\mathbf{v}_g(S) = |\{i \in [1, \ell]: g_i = g\}|$ denotes the *multiplicity* of g in S ,
- $\text{supp}(S) = \{g \in G: \mathbf{v}_g(S) > 0\}$ denotes the *support* of S ,
- $\mathbf{h}(S) = \max\{\mathbf{v}_g(S): g \in G\}$ denotes the *height* of S ,
- $|S| = \ell = \sum_{g \in G} \mathbf{v}_g(S)$ denotes the *length* of S , and
- $\mathbf{k}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g_i)}$ denotes the *cross number* of S .

A *subsequence* T of S is a divisor of S in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and we write $T \mid S$. A *proper subsequence* T of S is a sequence of S with $T \neq S$. Let $G_0 \subset G$ be a subset. we denote by S_{G_0} the subsequence of S consisting of all terms from G_0 and if $T \mid S$, we let $S \cdot T^{[-1]}$ be the subsequence of S obtained by removing the terms of T from S .

Let $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_\ell \in G$. We denote by

$$\pi(S) = \{g_{\tau(1)} \dots g_{\tau(\ell)} \in G: \tau \text{ a permutation of } [1, \ell]\} \subset G \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi(S) = \bigcup_{T \neq 1_{\mathcal{F}(G)} \text{ and } T \mid S} \pi(T) \subset G,$$

the *set of products* and *subsequence products* of S . In particular, if $\pi(S)$ has only one element (for example, G is abelian), we denote the singleton by $\sigma(S)$.

Note that if $S = 1_{\mathcal{F}(G)}$ is empty, we use the convention $\pi(S) = \{1_G\}$. The sequence S is called

- a *product-one sequence* if $1_G \in \pi(S)$,
- *product-one free* if $1_G \notin \Pi(S)$,
- a *minimal product-one free* if S is nonempty, $1_G \in \pi(S)$, and $1_G \notin \pi(T)$ for any nonempty proper subsequence T of S , and
- *square-free* if $\mathbf{h}(S) \leq 1$.

If $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell$ is a product-one sequence with $1_G = g_1 \dots g_\ell$, then $1_G = g_i \dots g_\ell g_1 \dots g_{i-1}$ for every $i \in [1, \ell]$. Every group homomorphism $\theta: G \rightarrow H$ can extend to a monoid homomorphism $\theta: \mathcal{F}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$, where $\theta(S) = \theta(g_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \theta(g_\ell)$. Then $\theta(S)$ is a product-one sequence if and only if $\pi(S) \cap \ker(\theta) \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a finite group. We define

- the small Davenport constant of G

$$\mathbf{d}(G) = \sup \{ |S|: S \in \mathcal{F}(G) \text{ is product-one free} \},$$

- the small cross number of G

$$\mathbf{k}(G) = \sup \{ \mathbf{k}(S): S \in \mathcal{F}(G) \text{ is product-one free} \},$$

- the cross number of G

$$\mathbf{K}(G) = \sup \{ \mathbf{k}(S): S \in \mathcal{F}(G) \text{ is a minimal product-one sequence} \}.$$

Note that the definition of $\mathbf{d}(G)$ here is equivalent to the definition in Section 1 and the cross numbers are a crucial tool in the study of combinatorial factorization theory. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{K}(G) > \mathbf{k}(G)$. For more on the small Davenport constant, on the small cross number, on the differences between the (large) Davenport constant and the small davenport constant, and on the differences between the (large) cross number and the small cross number, we refer to [18, 16, 7, 6, 17, 24].

3. ON CYCLIC GROUPS

In this section, we deal with cyclic groups. Note that $\text{ti}(G) = |G|$ if G is a finite cyclic group. We will use this result many times without further mention. To characterize the structure of sequences without tiny product-one subsequences, we need the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a finite cyclic group of order n and let S be a nonempty sequence over G . For every $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n$, we have $S = g^{n_1} \cdot g^{n_2} \cdot \dots \cdot g^{n_\ell}$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in [1, n]$. We say S is g -smooth if $n_1 + \dots + n_\ell < n$ and $\Pi(S) = \{g, g^2, \dots, g^{n_1 + \dots + n_\ell}\}$. We say S is smooth if there exists $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n$ such that S is g -smooth. In particular, every smooth sequence is product-one free and every g -smooth sequence $|S|$ of length $n - 1$ must be the form $S = g^{[n-1]}$. For more on smooth sequences, we refer to [16, Section 5.2].

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a finite cyclic group of order $n \geq 3$ and let $S = g^{n_1} \cdot \dots \cdot g^{n_\ell}$ be a sequence over G , where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_1, \dots, n_\ell \in [1, n]$, and $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n$.

1. $k(S) \leq \frac{n_1 + \dots + n_\ell}{n}$. In particular, if S is smooth, then $k(S) < 1$.
2. If S is a smooth sequence over G and there exists $h \in G$ such that $S \cdot h$ is not product-one free, then $S \cdot h$ has a tiny product-one subsequence.

Proof. 1. For every $i \in [1, \ell]$, we have $\text{ord}(g^{n_i}) = \frac{n}{\gcd(n_i, n)}$ and hence $\frac{1}{\text{ord}(g^{n_i})} = \frac{\gcd(n_i, n)}{n} \leq \frac{n_i}{n}$. Therefore $k(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g^{n_i})} \leq \frac{n_1 + \dots + n_\ell}{n}$. In particular, if S is smooth, to simplify the notation, we may assume that S is g -smooth and hence $n_1 + \dots + n_\ell < n$. Then the assertion follows.

2. To simplify the notation, we may assume S is g -smooth. There exists $m \in [1, n]$ such that $h = g^m$. Since S is product-one free, there exists a subset $I \subset [1, \ell]$ such that $g^m \cdot \prod_{i \in I} g^{n_i}$ is a minimal product-one sequence, whence $m + \sum_{i \in I} n_i = n$. In view of $k(g^m \cdot \prod_{i \in I} g^{n_i}) \leq \frac{m}{n} + \sum_{i \in I} \frac{n_i}{n} = 1$, we obtain $S \cdot h$ has a tiny product-one subsequence. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a cyclic group with order $n \geq 3$ and let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ with $|S| \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$. If S is product-one free, then S is smooth. In particular, if $|S| = n - 1$, then $S = g^{[n-1]}$ for some $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n$.

Proof. This was proved independently by Savchev-Chen [31] and by Yuan [34]. A proof is also provided in the book [16, Theorem 5.1.8]. \square

Lemma 3.4. Let G be a cyclic group with order $n = p^\ell \geq 3$ is a prime power.

1. $K(G) = 1$ and hence every minimal product-one sequence is a tiny product-one sequence.
2. Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ with $|S| \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$. If S has no tiny product-one sequence, then S is smooth.
3. Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ with $k(S) \geq t$, where $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then S has at least t pairwise disjoint tiny product-one subsequences.

Proof. 1. follows from [16, Theorem 5.1.14] and the definition of tiny product-one sequences.

2. If S has no tiny product-one subsequences, then by 1. S has no product-one subsequences. The assertion follows by Theorem 3.3.

3. Suppose $S = T_1 \cdot T_2 \cdot \dots \cdot T_s \cdot T'$, where $T_i, i \in [1, s]$ are tiny product-one subsequences of S and T' is a subsequence that has no tiny product-one subsequences. It follows by 1. that $k(T_i) \leq 1$ for all $i \in [1, s]$ and T' is product-one free, whence $k(S) \leq s + k(T') < s + 1$. The assertion follows by $k(S) \geq t$. \square

Theorem 3.5. Let G be a cyclic group of order $n = mq^r \geq 3$, where $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and q is a prime with $\gcd(m, q) = 1$, and let S be a sequence of length $|S| \geq \frac{n+1}{2} + (r+1)(m-1) = \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{r+1}{q^r}n - (r+1)$. If S has no tiny product-one subsequences, then S is smooth.

Proof. Suppose $m = q_1^{r_1} \dots q_t^{r_t}$, where $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r_1, \dots, r_t \in \mathbb{N}$, and q_1, \dots, q_t are pairwise distinct primes. If $t = 0$, then $m = 1$ and hence the assertion follows by Lemma 3.4.2.

Suppose $t \geq 1$ and suppose $G \cong H_1 \oplus H_2$, where H_1, H_2 are cyclic subgroups with $|H_1| = m$ and $|H_2| = q^r$. Let $\phi_1: G \rightarrow H_1$ and $\phi_2: G \rightarrow H_2$ be projections from G to H_1 and H_2 . Then the sequence S has a decomposition

$$S = T_0 \cdot S_0 \cdot S_1 \cdot \dots \cdot S_r,$$

where S_0 consists by terms g with $\phi_1(g) = 0$, T_0 consists by terms g with $\phi_2(g) = 0$, and S_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, consists by terms g with $\text{ord}(\phi_2(g)) = q^i$. For each $i \in [1, r]$ we choose all pairwise disjoint tiny product-one subsequences $\phi_1(W_{i,1}), \dots, \phi_1(W_{i,k_i})$ of $\phi_1(S_i)$. Then $\phi_1(T_i) = \phi_1(S_i \cdot (W_{i,1} \dots W_{i,k_i})^{[-1]})$ has no tiny product-one subsequences, whence $|T_i| = |\phi_1(T_i)| \leq m - 1$. Since T_0 is a sequence over H_1 and has no tiny product-one subsequence, we obtain $|T_0| \leq m - 1$. Let

$$W = S_0 \cdot W_{1,1} \dots W_{1,k_1} \dots W_{r,1} \dots W_{r,k_r} \in \mathcal{B}(G),$$

and $W' = S_0 \cdot \sigma(W_{1,1}) \dots \sigma(W_{1,k_1}) \dots \sigma(W_{r,1}) \dots \sigma(W_{r,k_r}) \in \mathcal{B}(H_2)$.

Then $|W| \geq |S| - (r+1)(m-1) \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$ and $|W'| \geq \left\lceil \frac{|W|}{m} \right\rceil \geq \frac{q^r+1}{2}$. Note that for each $(i, j) \in \{(i, j_i) : i \in [1, r] \text{ and } j_i \in [1, k_i]\}$, we have

$$k(W_{i,j}) = \frac{k(\phi_1(W_{i,j}))}{q^i} \leq \frac{1}{q^i} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(W_{i,j})} = k(\sigma(W_{i,j})).$$

If W' has a minimal product-one subsequence W'_0 , it follows by Lemma 3.4.1 that W'_0 is a tiny product-one subsequence over H_2 , say $W'_0 = V_0 \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in I} \sigma(W_{i,j})$, where V_0 is a subsequence of S_0 and $I \subset \{(i, j_i) : i \in [1, r] \text{ and } j_i \in [1, k_i]\}$. Then $W_0 = S_0 \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in I} W_{i,j}$ is a product-one subsequence of S and $k(W_0) \leq k(W'_0) \leq 1$, a contradiction.

If W' is product-one free, it follows by Theorem 3.3 that W' is smooth over H_2 and hence by Lemma 3.2 $k(W') < 1$. Therefore $k(W) \leq k(W') < 1$. If W has a nonempty product-one subsequence W_0 , then $k(W_0) \leq k(W) < 1$ and hence W_0 is a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. If W is product-one free, let U be the maximal product-one free subsequence of S with $W \mid U$. It follows by Theorem 3.3 and $|U| \geq |W| \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$ that U is smooth over G . Assume to the contrary that $U \neq S$. Let $h \in \text{supp}(S \cdot U^{[-1]})$. Then $U \cdot h$ is not product-one free and it follows by Lemma 3.2 that $U \cdot h$ has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose $n = |G| = q_1^{r_1} \dots q_t^{r_t}$, where $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1, \dots, r_t \in \mathbb{N}$, and q_1, \dots, q_t are pairwise distinct primes. Suppose $q^r = \max\{q_1^{r_1}, \dots, q_t^{r_t}\}$ and $m = \frac{n}{q^r}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r+1}{q^r} = 0$, for every real $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N_\epsilon$, we have $\frac{r+1}{q^r} \leq \epsilon$. Now the assertion follows by Theorem 3.5. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a cyclic group of order $n \geq 3$ and let S be a sequence of length $|S| \geq \frac{9n}{10}$. If S has no tiny product-one subsequence, then S is smooth.*

Proof. Suppose S has no tiny product-one subsequence and suppose $n = q_1^{r_1} \dots q_t^{r_t}$, where $t, r_1, \dots, r_t \in \mathbb{N}$, and $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_t$ are pairwise distinct primes. If $q_t \geq 5$, then

$$|S| \geq \frac{9n}{10} = \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{2}{5}n - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{r_t+1}{q_t^{r_t}}n - (r_t+1).$$

It follows by Theorem 3.5 that S is smooth.

Suppose $q_t \leq 3$. Then $t \in [1, 2]$. If $t = 1$, the assertion follows by Lemma 3.4.2. Now we suppose $t = 2$. Then $q_1 = 2$ and $q_2 = 3$.

If $r_2 \geq 2$, then

$$|S| \geq \frac{9n}{10} = \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{2}{5}n - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{2+1}{3^2}n - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{r_2+1}{3^{r_2}}n - (r_2+1).$$

It follows by Theorem 3.5 that S is smooth.

If $r_2 = 1$ and $r_1 \geq 4$, then

$$|S| \geq \frac{9n}{10} = \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{2}{5}n - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{4+1}{2^4}n - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{r_1+1}{2^{r_1}}n - (r_1+1).$$

It follows by Theorem 3.5 that S is smooth.

If $r_2 = 1$ and $r_1 = 3$, then $n = 24$ and $|S| \geq \frac{9n}{10}$ implies that $|S| \geq 22$. Since

$$\frac{n+1}{2} + (r_1+1)(q_2^{r_2}-1) = 25/2 + 4(3-1) = 20.5 \leq 22 \leq |S|,$$

it follows by Theorem 3.5 that S is smooth.

If $r_2 = 1$ and $r_1 = 1$, then $n = 6$ and it follows by $|S| \geq \frac{9n}{10}$ that $|S| \geq 6 = |G|$, whence S has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction.

Now we deal with the only left case that $r_2 = 1$ and $r_1 = 2$, which implies $n = 12$. It follows by $|S| \geq \frac{9n}{10}$ that $|S| \geq 11$. Suppose $G \cong H_1 \oplus H_2$, where H_1, H_2 are cyclic subgroups with $|H_1| = 4$ and $|H_2| = 3$. Let $\phi_1: G \rightarrow H_1$ and $\phi_2: G \rightarrow H_2$ be projections from G to H_1 and H_2 . Then the sequence S has a decomposition

$$S = T_0 \cdot S_0 \cdot S_1,$$

where S_0 consists by terms g with $\phi_1(g) = 0$, T_0 consists by terms g with $\phi_2(g) = 0$, and S_1 consists by terms g with $\phi_1(g) \neq 0$ and $\phi_2(g) \neq 0$. If $|S_0| \geq 3 = |H_2|$, then S_0 has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. If $|T_0| \geq 4 = |H_1|$, then T_0 has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. Therefore $|T_0| \leq 3$ and $|S_0| \leq 2$. We distinguish three cases.

Suppose $|S_0| = 2$. Then $|S_1| \geq 6 \geq |H_1|$ and hence $\phi_1(S_1)$ has a tiny product-one subsequence $\phi_1(W_1)$, whence $k(W_1) \leq \frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{\text{ord}(\sigma(W_1))} = k(\sigma(W_1))$. Since $S_0 \cdot \sigma(W_1)$ is a sequence over H_2 with length $|S_0 \cdot \sigma(W_1)| = 3 \geq |H_2|$, we obtain $S_0 \cdot \sigma(W_1)$ and hence $S_0 \cdot W_1$ have a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction.

Suppose $|S_0| = 1$. Then $|S_1| \geq 7$. If $k(\phi_1(S_1)) \geq 2$, then by Lemma 3.4.3 we can choose two disjoint tiny product-one subsequences $\phi(W_1), \phi(W_2)$ of $\phi_1(S_1)$. Since $k(W_i) \leq k(\sigma(W_i))$ for each $i \in [1, 2]$ and $S_0 \cdot \sigma(W_1) \cdot \sigma(W_2)$ is a sequence over H_2 with length $|S_0 \cdot \sigma(W_1) \cdot \sigma(W_2)| = 3 \geq |H_2|$, we obtain $S_0 \cdot \sigma(W_1) \cdot \sigma(W_2)$ and hence $S_0 \cdot W_1 \cdot W_2$ have a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. Otherwise $k(\phi_1(S_1)) \leq \frac{7}{4}$ and hence $k(S_0 \cdot S_1) \leq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{7}{12} < 1$. If $S_0 \cdot S_1$ is not product-one free, then $S_0 \cdot S_1$ has a nonempty product-one subsequence, which is a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. Therefore $S_0 \cdot S_1$ is product-one free and let U be the maximal subsequence of S such that $S_0 \cdot S_1$ divides U and U is product-one free. It follows by $|U| \geq |S_0 \cdot S_1| \geq 8$ and Theorem 3.3 that U is smooth. Assume to the contrary that $U \neq S$. Let $g \in \text{supp}(S \cdot U^{[-1]})$. Then $U \cdot g$ is not product-one free. It follows by Lemma 3.2 that $U \cdot g$ has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction.

Suppose $|S_0| = 0$. Then $|S_1| \geq 8$. If $k(\phi_1(S_1)) \geq 3$, then we can choose three disjoint tiny product-one subsequences $\phi(W_1), \phi(W_2), \phi(W_3)$ of $\phi_1(S_1)$. Since $k(W_i) \leq k(\sigma(W_i))$ for each $i \in [1, 3]$ and $\sigma(W_1) \cdot \sigma(W_2) \cdot \sigma(W_3)$ is a sequence over H_2 with length $|\sigma(W_1) \cdot \sigma(W_2) \cdot \sigma(W_3)| = 3 \geq |H_2|$, we obtain $\sigma(W_1) \cdot \sigma(W_2) \cdot \sigma(W_3)$ and hence $W_1 \cdot W_2 \cdot W_3$ have a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. Otherwise $k(\phi_1(S_1)) < 3$ and hence $k(S_1) < 1$. If S_1 is not product-one free, then S_1 has a nonempty product-one subsequence, which is a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. Therefore S_1 is product-one free and let U be the maximal subsequence of S such that S_1 divides U and U is product-one free. It follows by $|U| \geq |S_1| \geq 8$ and Theorem 3.3 that U is smooth. Assume to the contrary that $U \neq S$. Let $g \in \text{supp}(S \cdot U^{[-1]})$. Then $U \cdot g$ is not product-one free and hence by Lemma 3.2 $U \cdot g$ has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let G be a cyclic group of order $n \geq 3$ and let S be a sequence of length $|S| = n - 1$. If S has no tiny product-one subsequence, then $S = g^{[n-1]}$ for some $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n$.*

Proof. Suppose S has no tiny product-one subsequence. If $n \geq 10$, it follows by Proposition 3.6 that S is smooth and hence there exists $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n$ such that $S = g^{[n-1]}$. If $n \in \{3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}$, it follows by Theorem 3.5 that S is smooth and hence there exists $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = n$ such that $S = g^{[n-1]}$.

Now we deal with the only left case that $n = 6$. Suppose $G \cong H_1 \oplus H_2$, where H_1, H_2 are cyclic subgroups with $|H_1| = 2$ and $|H_2| = 3$. Let $\phi_1: G \rightarrow H_1$ and $\phi_2: G \rightarrow H_2$ be projections from G to H_1

and H_2 . Then the sequence S has a decomposition

$$S = T_0 \cdot S_0 \cdot S_1,$$

where S_0 consists by terms g with $\phi_1(g) = 0$, T_0 consists by terms g with $\phi_2(g) = 0$, and S_1 consists by terms g with $\phi_2(g) \neq 0$.

If $|S_0| \geq 3 = |H_2|$, then S_0 has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. If $|T_0| \geq 2 = |H_1|$, then T_0 has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. Therefore $|S_0| \leq 2$ and $|T_0| \leq 1$, which implies that $|S_0 \cdot S_1| \geq 4$. Let W be a subsequence of $S_0 \cdot S_1$ with $|W| = 4$. Then $k(W) \leq \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{6} = 1$. If W is not product-one free, then W has a nonempty product-one subsequence, which is a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. Otherwise W is product-one free and let U be the maximal subsequence of S with $W \mid U$ such that W is product-one free. It follows by $|U| \geq |W| \geq 4$ and Theorem 3.3 that U is smooth. If $U = S$, then there exists $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 6$ such that $S = U = g^{[5]}$. If $U \neq S$, let $g \in \text{supp}(S \cdot U^{[-1]})$ and hence $U \cdot g$ is not product-one free. It follows by Lemma 3.2 that $U \cdot g$ has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. \square

4. ON DIHEDRAL GROUPS AND DICYCLIC GROUPS

In this section, we deal with dihedral and dicyclic groups G and consider both direct and inverse problems of the invariants $\eta(G)$ and $\text{ti}(G)$. We need the following two theorems, which give full answers of the direct and the inverse problems of the small Davenport constant for dihedral and dicyclic groups.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a dihedral group of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$. Then $\text{d}(G) = n$ and if S is a product-one free sequence over G of length n , then one of the following holds.*

1. *There exist $\alpha, \tau \in G$ with $G = \langle \alpha, \tau : \alpha^n = \tau^2 = 1 \text{ and } \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ such that $S = \alpha^{[n-1]} \cdot \tau$.*
2. *$n = 3$ and there exist $\alpha, \tau \in G$ with $G = \langle \alpha, \tau : \alpha^3 = \tau^2 = 1 \text{ and } \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ such that $S = \tau \cdot \alpha\tau \cdot \alpha^2\tau$.*

Proof. The assertion follows by [29] and [3, Theorem 1.3]. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a dicyclic group of order $4n$ with $n \geq 2$. Then $\text{d}(G) = 2n$ and if S is a product-one free sequence over G of length $2n$, then one of the following holds.*

1. *There exist $\alpha, \tau \in G$ with $G = \langle \alpha, \tau : \alpha^{2n} = 1, \tau^2 = \alpha^n, \text{ and } \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ such that $S = \alpha^{[2n-1]} \cdot \tau$.*
2. *$n = 2$ and there exist $\alpha, \tau \in G$ with $G = \langle \alpha, \tau : \alpha^4 = 1, \tau^2 = \alpha^2, \text{ and } \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ such that either $S = \tau^{[3]} \cdot \alpha\tau$ or $S = \tau^{[3]} \cdot \alpha$.*

Proof. The assertion follows by [29] and [3, Theorem 1.4]. \square

Now we study the direct and the inverse problems of $\eta(G)$ for dihedral and dicyclic groups.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a dihedral group of order $2n$ with $n \geq 3$. Then $\eta(G) = n + 1$ and if S is a sequence over G with $|S| = n$ such that S has no short product-one subsequence, then there exist $g, h \in G$ with $G = \langle g, h : g^n = h^2 = 1, hg = g^{-1}h \rangle$ such that $S = g^{[n-1]} \cdot h$ or $S = h \cdot gh \cdot g^2h$ (the latter case can only happen when $n = 3$).*

Proof. Note that $\max\{\text{ord}(g) : g \in G\} = n$. Thus a short sequence over G is a sequence S over G with $1 \leq |S| \leq n$.

1. Clearly Theorem 4.1 implies $n + 1 = \text{d}(G) + 1 \leq \eta(G)$. Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be a sequence of length $|S| = n + 1$. We need to show that there exists a product-one subsequence of S having length at most n . We may assume that $1 \notin \text{supp}(S)$. Let $T \mid S$ be a subsequence of length $|T| = n$. If T has a non-trivial product-one subsequence, then we are done. If T has no non-trivial product-one subsequence, then it is product-one free sequence of length $\text{d}(G) = n$. By Theorem 4.1, we distinguish two cases.

Suppose $n = 3$ and there exist $\alpha, \tau \in G$ with $G = \langle \alpha, \tau: \alpha^3 = \tau^2 = 1, \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ such that $T = \tau \cdot \alpha\tau \cdot \alpha^2\tau$. If $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^r$ for some $r \in [1, 2]$, then $\alpha^r \cdot \tau \cdot \alpha^r\tau$ is a short product-one subsequence of S . If $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^r\tau$ for some $r \in [0, 2]$, then $\alpha^r\tau \cdot \alpha^r\tau$ is a short product-one subsequence of S .

Suppose there exist $\alpha, \tau \in G$ with $G = \langle \alpha, \tau: \alpha^n = \tau^2 = 1, \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ such that $T = \alpha^{[n-1]} \cdot \tau$. If $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^r$ for some $r \in [1, n-1]$, then $\alpha^r \cdot \alpha^{[n-r]}$ is a short product-one subsequence. If $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^r\tau$ for some $r \in [0, n-1]$, then both $\alpha^r\tau \cdot \alpha^{[r]} \cdot \tau$ and $\alpha^{[n-r]} \cdot \alpha^r\tau \cdot \tau$ are product-one subsequences of S . Since

$$|\alpha^r\tau \cdot \alpha^{[r]} \cdot \tau| + |\alpha^{[n-r]} \cdot \alpha^r\tau \cdot \tau| = 1 + r + 1 + n - r + 1 + 1 = n + 4 \leq 2n + 1,$$

we obtain one of them must be a short product-one subsequence.

2. Suppose $|S| = n$ and S has no short product-one subsequence, which means S is product-one free. The assertion follows by Theorem 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a dicyclic group of order $4n$ with $n \geq 2$. Then $\eta(G) = 2n + 1$ and if S is a sequence over G with $|S| = 2n$ such that S has no short product-one subsequence, then there exist $g, h \in G$ with $G = \langle g, h: g^{2n} = 1, h^2 = g^n, hg = g^{-1}h \rangle$ such that $S = g^{[2n-1]} \cdot h$, or $S = g \cdot h^{[3]}$, or $S = gh \cdot h^{[3]}$ (the latter two cases can only happen when $n = 2$).*

Proof. Note that $\max\{\text{ord}(g): g \in G\} = 2n$. Thus a short sequence over G is a sequence S over G with $1 \leq |S| \leq 2n$.

1. Clearly Theorem 4.2 implies that $2n + 1 = d(G) + 1 \leq \eta(G)$. Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be a sequence of length $|S| = 2n + 1$. We need to show that there exists a product-one subsequence of S of length at most $2n$. We may assume that $1 \notin \text{supp}(S)$. Let $T | S$ be a subsequence of length $|T| = 2n$. If T has a nonempty product-one subsequence, then we are done. If not, then it is product-one free sequence of length $d(G) = 2n$. We distinguish three cases depending on Theorem 4.2.

Suppose $n = 2$ and there exist $\alpha, \tau \in G$ with $G = \langle \alpha, \tau: \alpha^4 = 1, \tau^2 = \alpha^2, \text{ and } \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ such that $T = \tau^{[3]} \cdot \alpha\tau$. If $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^r$ for some $r \in [1, 3]$, then one of the sequences $\alpha^r \cdot \alpha\tau \cdot \tau, \alpha^r \cdot \tau^{[2]}, \alpha^r \cdot \tau \cdot \alpha\tau$ must be a short product-one subsequence of S . If $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^r\tau$ for some $r \in [0, 3]$, then one of the sequences $\alpha^r\tau \cdot \tau^{[3]}, \alpha^r\tau \cdot \alpha\tau \cdot \tau^{[2]}, \alpha^r\tau \cdot \tau, \alpha^r\tau \cdot \alpha\tau$ must be a short product-one subsequence of S .

Suppose $n = 2$ and there exist $\alpha, \tau \in G$ with $G = \langle \alpha, \tau: \alpha^4 = 1, \tau^2 = \alpha^2, \text{ and } \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ such that $T = \tau^{[3]} \cdot \alpha$. If $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^r$ for some $r \in [1, 3]$, then one of the sequences $\alpha^r \cdot \alpha \cdot \tau^{[2]}, \alpha^r \cdot \tau^{[2]}, \alpha^r \cdot \alpha$ must be a short product-one subsequence of S . If $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^r\tau$ for some $r \in [0, 3]$, then one of the sequences $\alpha^r\tau \cdot \tau^{[3]}, \alpha \cdot \alpha^r\tau \cdot \tau, \alpha^r\tau \cdot \tau, \alpha^r\tau \cdot \alpha \cdot \tau$ must be a short product-one subsequence of S .

Suppose there exist $\alpha, \tau \in G$ with $G = \langle \alpha, \tau: \alpha^{2n} = 1, \tau^2 = \alpha^n, \text{ and } \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ such that $S = \alpha^{[2n-1]} \cdot \tau$. If $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^x$ for some $x \in [1, 2n-1]$, then $\alpha^x \cdot \alpha^{[2n-x]}$ is a short product-one subsequence of S . Suppose $S \cdot T^{[-1]} = \alpha^y\tau$ for some $y \in [0, 2n-1]$. If $y \leq n$, then $\alpha^{[n-y]} \cdot \alpha^y\tau \cdot \tau$ is a short product-one subsequence. If $y > n$, then $\alpha^y\tau \cdot \alpha^{y-n} \cdot \tau$ is a short product-one subsequence.

2. Suppose S is a sequence over G of length $2n$. If S has no short product-one subsequence, then S is product-one free. The assertion follows by Theorem 4.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose $\alpha, \tau \in G$ such that $G = \langle \alpha, \tau: \alpha^n = \tau^2 = 1, \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$. Let $H = \langle \alpha \rangle$ and $G_0 = G \setminus H$. Set $W = \alpha^{[n-1]} \cdot \tau \cdot \tau\alpha \cdot \dots \cdot \tau\alpha^{n-1}$. Then $|W| = 2n - 1$. If V is a nonempty product-one subsequence of W , then $|V_{G_0}| \geq 2$ and $V \neq V_{G_0}$. Thus $k(V) > k(V_{G_0}) \geq 1$ and hence W has no tiny product-one subsequence. Therefore $\text{ti}(G) \geq 2n$.

1. Let S be a sequence over G of length $2n$. We only need to show that S has a tiny product-one subsequence. If $|S_{G_0}| \geq n + 1$, then there exists $j \in [0, n-1]$ such that $(\alpha^j\tau)^{[2]} | S_{G_0}$, which implies $(\alpha^j\tau)^{[2]}$ is a tiny product-one subsequence of S . Otherwise $|S_H| \geq n = |H|$. Then S_H and hence S have a tiny product-one subsequence.

2. Suppose $|S| = 2n - 1$ and S has no tiny product-one subsequence. We only need to show that S has the desired form. If $\mathfrak{h}(S_{G_0}) \geq 2$, then there exists $g \in G_0$ such that $\mathfrak{v}_g(S_{G_0}) \geq 2$, whence $g^{[2]}$ is a tiny product-one subsequence of S , a contradiction. Therefore S_{G_0} is square free and hence $|S_{G_0}| \leq n$. If $|S_H| \geq n = |H|$, then S_H and hence S have a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. Therefore $|S_H| = n - 1$ and $|S_{G_0}| = n$. The assertion follows by Proposition 3.7 and S_{G_0} is square free. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $G = \langle \alpha, \tau : \alpha^{2n} = 1, \tau^2 = \alpha^n, \text{ and } \alpha\tau = \tau\alpha^{-1} \rangle$ be a dicyclic group of order $4n$ with $n \geq 116$, let $H = \langle \alpha \rangle$, let $G_0 = G \setminus H$, and let S be a sequence over G with $|S| = 2n$ and $|S_{G_0}| \geq 2$. Then S has a tiny product-one subsequence*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that S has no tiny product-one subsequence. We distinguish two cases.

CASE 1. $|S_H| \geq \frac{9n}{5}$.

Since S_H has no tiny product-one subsequence and $|S_H| \geq \frac{9|H|}{10}$, it follows by Proposition 3.6 that S_H is g -smooth for some $g \in H$ with $\text{ord}(g) = 2n$. Then

$$\{g, g^2, \dots, g^{\lceil \frac{9n}{5} \rceil}\} \subset \Pi(S_H).$$

Note that $G_0 = \{g^r\tau : r \in [0, 2n - 1]\}$. Choose $g^i\tau, g^j\tau \in \text{supp}(S_{G_0})$ with $0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2n - 1$ such that $g^i\tau \cdot g^j\tau \in S_{G_0}$. Then $j - i \leq n$ or $2n + i - j \leq n$.

Suppose $j - i \leq n$. Let T be a subsequence of S_H such that $\sigma(T) = g^{j-i}$. Then $T \cdot g^i\tau \cdot g^j\tau$ is a product-one subsequence and it follows by Lemma 3.2 that $\mathfrak{k}(T \cdot g^i\tau \cdot g^j\tau) = \frac{2}{4} + \mathfrak{k}(T) \leq \frac{2}{4} + \frac{i-j}{2n} \leq 1$, whence $T \cdot g^i\tau \cdot g^j\tau$ is a tiny product-one sequence, a contradiction.

Suppose $2n + i - j \leq n$. Let T be a subsequence of S_H such that $\sigma(T) = g^{2n+i-j}$. Then $T \cdot g^i\tau \cdot g^j\tau$ is a product-one subsequence and it follows by Lemma 3.2 that $\mathfrak{k}(T \cdot g^i\tau \cdot g^j\tau) = \frac{2}{4} + \mathfrak{k}(T) \leq \frac{2}{4} + \frac{i-j}{2n} \leq 1$, whence $T \cdot g^i\tau \cdot g^j\tau$ is a tiny product-one sequence, a contradiction.

CASE 2. $|S_{G_0}| \geq \lceil \frac{n}{5} \rceil + 1$.

Suppose $S_{G_0} = \alpha^{r_1}\tau \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha^{r_{|S_{G_0}|}}\tau$, where $r_1, \dots, r_{|S_{G_0}|} \in [0, 2n - 1]$. Since S_{G_0} has no product-one subsequence of length 4, we obtain $r_i + r_j \not\equiv r_s + r_t \pmod{2n}$ for any distinct $i, j, s, t \in [1, |S_{G_0}|]$. Let $W = r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_{|S_{G_0}|}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}(W) \leq 3$ and there are at most one term of W with the multiplicity larger than 1, whence by taking at most two terms out, the remaining sequence W' of W is square free. Since

$$\binom{|W'|}{2} \geq \binom{|S_{G_0}| - 2}{2} \geq \frac{([0.2n] - 1)(0.2n - 2)}{2} \geq 0.1n[0.2n] - 0.3n > 2n = |G_0|,$$

there exist $i, j, s, t \in [1, |S_{G_0}|]$ with $i \neq j, s \neq t, \{i, j\} \neq \{s, t\}, r_i \cdot r_j$ divides W' , and $r_s \cdot r_t$ divides W' , such that $r_i + r_j \equiv r_s + r_t \pmod{2n}$. Therefore $\{i, j\} \cap \{s, t\} \neq \emptyset$, which implies that $\{i, j\} = \{s, t\}$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose $n \geq 116$ and suppose there exist $g, h \in G$ such that $G = \langle g, h : g^{2n} = 1, h^2 = g^n, \text{ and } hg = g^{-1}h \rangle$. Let $W = g^{[2n-1]} \cdot h$. Then it is easy to see that W has no tiny product-one subsequence. Thus $\text{ti}(G) \geq 2n + 1$.

1. Let S be a sequence of length $|S| = 2n + 1$. We only need to show that there exists a tiny product-one subsequence of S . If $|S_H| \geq 2n = |H|$, then S has a tiny product-one sequence. Otherwise $|S_{G_0}| \geq 2$. It follows by Lemma 4.5 that S has a tiny product-one subsequence.

2. Suppose $|S| = 2n$ and S has no tiny product-one subsequence. We only need to show that S has the desired form. If $|S_H| = 2n = |H|$, then S has a tiny product-one sequence, a contradiction. If $|S_{G_0}| \geq 2$, it follows by Lemma 4.5 that S has a tiny product-one subsequence, a contradiction. Therefore $|S_{G_0}| = 1$ and $|S_H| = 2n - 1$. Since S_H has no tiny product-one subsequence, the assertion follows by Proposition 3.7. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alon and M. Dubiner, *A lattice point problem and additive number theory*, *Combinatorica* **15** (1995), 301-309.
- [2] J. Bass, *Improving the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem for some non-abelian groups*, *J. Number Theory* **126** (2007), 217 – 236.
- [3] F.E. Brochero Martínez and S. Ribas, *Extremal product-one free sequences in Dihedral and Dicyclic Groups*, *Discrete Math.* **341** (2018), 570 – 578.
- [4] F. Chung, *Pebbling in hypercubers*, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **2** (1989), 467-472.
- [5] F. Chen and S. Savchev, *Long minimal zero-sum sequences in the groups $C_2^{r-1} \oplus C_{2k}$* , *Integers* **14** (2014), Paper A23.
- [6] K. Cziszter, M. Domokos, and A. Geroldinger, *The interplay of invariant theory with multiplicative ideal theory and with arithmetic combinatorics*, in *Multiplicative Ideal Theory and Factorization Theory*, Springer, 2016, pp. 43 – 95.
- [7] K. Cziszter, M. Domokos, and I. Szöllösi, *The Noether number and the Davenport constants of the groups of order less than 32*, *J. Algebra* **510** (2018), 513 – 541.
- [8] T. Denley, *On a result of Lemke and Kleitman*, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **6** (1997), 39-43.
- [9] S. Elledge, G.H. Hurlbert, *An application of graph pebbling to zero-sum sequences in Abelian groups*, *Integers* **5** (2005), #A17.
- [10] Y. Fan, W. Gao, J. Peng, L. Wang, and Qinghai Zhong, *Remarks on Tiny Zero-sum Sequences*, *Integers* **13** (2013), #A52.
- [11] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, *Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey*, *Expo. Math.* **24** (2006), 337 – 369.
- [12] W. Gao, A. Geroldinger, and D.J. Grynkiewicz, *Inverse zero-sum problems III*, *Acta Arith.* **141** (2010), 103 – 152.
- [13] W. Gao, A. Geroldinger, and W.A. Schmid, *Inverse zero-sum problems*, *Acta Arith.* **128** (2007), 245 – 279.
- [14] W. Gao and Z.P. Lu, *The Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem for dihedral groups*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 311 – 319.
- [15] A. Geroldinger, *On a conjecture of Kleitman and Lemke*, *J. Number Theory* **44** (1993), 60 – 65.
- [16] A. Geroldinger, *Additive group theory and non-unique factorizations*, *Combinatorial Number Theory and Additive Group Theory*, *Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona*, Birkhäuser, 2009, pp. 1 – 86.
- [17] A. Geroldinger and D.J. Grynkiewicz, *The large Davenport constant I: Groups with a cyclic index 2 subgroup*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **217** (2013), 863 – 885.
- [18] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [19] B. Girard, *On a combinatorial problem of Erdős, Kleitman and Lemke*, *Adv. Math.*, **231** (2012), 1843 - 1857.
- [20] B. Girard, *An asymptotically tight bound for the Davenport constant*, *J. Ec. polytech. Math.* **5** (2018), 605 – 611.
- [21] B. Girard and W.A. Schmid, *Inverse zero-sum problems for certain groups of rank three*, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **160** (2020), 229247.
- [22] B. Girard and W.A. Schmid, *Direct zero-sum problems for certain groups of rank three*, *J. Number Theory* **197** (2019), 297 – 316.
- [23] D. Grynkiewicz, *Structural Additive Theory*, *Developments in Mathematics* 30, Springer, Cham, 2013.
- [24] D. Grynkiewicz, *The large Davenport constant II: General upper bounds*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **217** (2013), 2221 – 2246.
- [25] G. Hurlbert, *A survey of graph pebbling*, in: *Proceedings of the Thirtieth Southeastern International Conference on Combinatorics*, in: *Graph Theory and Computing*, **139**(1999), 41-64.
- [26] G. Hurlbert, *Recent progress in graph pebbling*, *Graph Theory Notes N. Y.* **49**(2005), 25-37.
- [27] J.S. Oh and Q. Zhong, *On minimal product-one sequences of maximal length over Dihedral and Dicyclic groups*, *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.* **35** (2020), 83-116.
- [28] J.S. Oh and Q. Zhong, *On Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv inverse theorems for dihedral and dicyclic groups*, *Israel Journal of mathematics*, to appear, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.13171>.
- [29] J.E. Olson, E.T. White, *Sums from a sequence of group elements*, in: H. Zassenhaus (Ed.), *Number Theory and Algebra*, Academic Press, 1977, pp.215222.
- [30] P. Lemke, D. Kleitman, *An addition theorem on the integers modulo n*, *J. Number Theory* **31** (1989), 335 – 345.
- [31] S. Savchev and F. Chen, *Long zero-free sequences in finite cyclic groups*, *Discrete Math.* **307** (2007), 26712679.
- [32] W.A. Schmid, *Inverse zero-sum problems II*, *Acta Arith.* **143** (2010), 333 – 343.
- [33] W. Schmid, *The inverse problem associated to the Davenport constant for $C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$, and applications to the arithmetical characterization of class groups*, *Electron. J. Comb.* **18(1)** (2011), Research Paper 33.
- [34] P. Yuan, *On the index of minimal zero-sum sequences over finite cyclic groups*, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **114** (2007), 15451551.

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, NAWI GRAZ, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: qinghai.zhong@uni-graz.at