

# SUMS OF AVERAGES OF GCD-SUM FUNCTIONS II

LISA KALTENBÖCK, ISAO KIUCHI, SUMAIA SAAD EDDIN, MASAAMI UEDA

ABSTRACT. Let  $\gcd(k, j)$  denote the greatest common divisor of the integers  $k$  and  $j$ , and let  $r$  be any fixed positive integer. Define

$$M_r(x; f) := \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{r+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^r f(\gcd(j, k))$$

for any large real number  $x \geq 5$ , where  $f$  is any arithmetical function. Let  $\phi$ , and  $\psi$  denote the Euler totient and the Dedekind function, respectively. In this paper, we refine asymptotic expansions of  $M_r(x; \text{id})$ ,  $M_r(x; \phi)$  and  $M_r(x; \psi)$ . Furthermore, under the Riemann Hypothesis and the simplicity of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, we establish the asymptotic formula of  $M_r(x; \text{id})$  for any large positive number  $x > 5$  satisfying  $x = [x] + \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let  $\gcd(k, j)$  be the greatest common divisor of the integers  $k$  and  $j$ . The gcd-sum function, which is also known as Pillai's arithmetical function, is defined by

$$P(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \gcd(k, n).$$

This function has been studied by many authors such as Broughan [3], Bordellés [4], Tanigawa and Zhai [18], Tóth [19], and others. Analytic properties for partial sums of the gcd-sum function  $f(\gcd(j, k))$  were recently studied by Inoue and Kiuchi [7, 8]. We recall that the symbol  $*$  denotes the Dirichlet convolution of two arithmetical functions  $f$  and  $g$  defined by  $f * g(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n/d)$ , for every positive integer  $n$ . For any arithmetical function  $f$ , the second author [11] showed, that for any fixed positive integer  $r$  and any large positive number  $x \geq 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_r(x; f) &:= \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{k^{r+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^r f(\gcd(k, j)) \\ (1.1) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f(n)}{n} + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * f(d)}{d} + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * f(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}}. \end{aligned}$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:* 11A25, 11N37.

*Keywords:* GCD-sum functions, Euler totient function, The Dedekind function, Dirichlet divisor problem, The Riemann Hypothesis, simple zeros of the Riemann zeta-function.

Here, as usual, the function  $\mu$  denotes the Möbius function and  $B_m = B_m(0)$  are the Bernoulli numbers, with  $B_m(x)$  being the Bernoulli polynomials defined by the generating function

$$\frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m(x) \frac{z^m}{m!}$$

with  $|z| < 2\pi$ . Many applications of Eq. (1.1) have been given in [10], [12] and [13].

In [11], Eq. (1.1) was used to establish asymptotic formulas for  $M_r(x; f)$  for specific choices of  $f$  such as the identity function  $\text{id}$ , the Euler totient function  $\phi = \text{id} * \mu$  or the Dedekind function  $\psi = \text{id} * |\mu|$ . More precisely, let  $\zeta(s)$  denote the Riemann zeta-function, then for  $f = \text{id}$  it was proved that

$$\begin{aligned} M_r(x; \text{id}) &= \frac{1}{(r+1)\zeta(2)} x \log x + \frac{x}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(r+1)\zeta(2)} \left( 2\gamma - 1 - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \zeta(2m+1) \right) x + K_r(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad K_r(x) = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O_r(\log x).$$

For  $f = \phi$  it was shown that

$$\begin{aligned} M_r(x; \phi) &= \frac{1}{(r+1)\zeta^2(2)} x \log x + \frac{x}{2\zeta(2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(r+1)\zeta^2(2)} \left( 2\gamma - 1 - 2\frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \zeta(2m+1) \right) x + L_r(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad L_r(x) := \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(n)}{n} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O_r((\log x)^2).$$

Lastly, for  $f = \psi$  it was proved that

$$\begin{aligned} M_r(x; \psi) &= \frac{1}{(r+1)\zeta(4)} x \log x + \frac{\zeta(2)}{2\zeta(4)} x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(r+1)\zeta(4)} \left( 2\gamma - 1 - 2\frac{\zeta'(4)}{\zeta(4)} + \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \zeta(2m+1) \right) x + U_r(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad U_r(x) := \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * |\mu|(n)}{n} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O_r((\log x)^2).$$

The function  $\Delta(x)$  denotes the error term of the Dirichlet divisor problem: Let  $\tau = \mathbf{1} * \mathbf{1}$  be the divisor function, then for any large positive number  $x \geq 2$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n) = x \log x + (2\gamma - 1)x + \Delta(x),$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant and  $\Delta(x)$  can be estimated by  $\Delta(x) = O(x^{\theta+\varepsilon})$ . It is known that one can take  $1/4 \leq \theta \leq 1/3$ .

The first purpose of this paper is to refine the error terms  $K_r(x)$ ,  $L_r(x)$  and  $U_r(x)$  from the above formulas. Therefore, let  $\sigma_u = \text{id}_u * \mathbf{1}$  be the generalized divisor function for any real number  $u$  and let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer. Then for any large positive number  $x \geq 2$ , the function  $\Delta_{-2m}(x)$  denotes the error term of the generalized divisor problem given by

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma_{-2m}(n) = \zeta(1 + 2m)x - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2m) + \Delta_{-2m}(x).$$

We have the following results:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Delta(x)$  and  $\Delta_{-2m}(x)$  be the error terms given by Eqs. (1.5) and (1.6), respectively. For any large positive number  $x > 5$  and fixed positive integer  $r$ , we have*

$$K_r(x) = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O_r(\delta(x) \log x),$$

where the function  $\delta(x)$  is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \delta(x) := \exp\left(-C \frac{(\log x)^{3/5}}{(\log \log x)^{1/5}}\right)$$

with  $C$  being a positive constant.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_r(x) &= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(n)}{n} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(n)}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O_r((\log x)^{2/3} (\log \log x)^{1/3}). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U_r(x) &= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * |\mu|(n)}{n} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * |\mu|(n)}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{4\zeta(2)} \log x + O_r((\log x)^{2/3}). \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 1.1.* It is easily checked that using the weakest estimate  $\Delta_{-2m}(x) = O_m(1)$  in the results Theorem 1.1 yields the previously known formulas for  $K_r(x)$ ,  $L_r(x)$  and  $M_r(x)$  from Eqs. (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4).

Furthermore, even better estimates of  $K_r(x)$  can be achieved by additional assumptions on the Riemann zeta-function. Under the Riemann Hypothesis, a sharper estimate of the partial sum of the Möbius function has been given by Soundararajan [17], who proved that

$$M(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) = O(x^{1/2} \eta(x))$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad \eta(x) := \exp((\log x)^{1/2} (\log \log x)^{14}),$$

for any large positive number  $x > 5$  satisfying  $x = [x] + \frac{1}{2}$ . This result has later been improved by Maier and Montgomery [15] and by Balazard and de Roton [2]. By using the above result on  $M(x)$ , we obtain the next statement.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume the Riemann Hypothesis and let  $\Delta(x)$  and  $\Delta_{-2m}(x)$  be the error terms given by Eqs. (1.5) and (1.6), respectively. Then for any large positive number  $x > 5$  such that  $x = [x] + \frac{1}{2}$  and fixed positive integer  $r$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} K_r(x) &= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O_r\left(\frac{\eta(x) \log x}{x^{1/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For our further considerations, let  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  denote the generic non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. Under the assumption that all zeros  $\rho$  in the critical strip of  $\zeta(s)$  are simple, we are able to prove an additional refinement for the error term  $K_r(x)$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume that the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  are simple. Let  $T_* \geq x^6$  be some positive number satisfying the inequality*

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(\sigma + iT_*)} \ll T_*^\varepsilon$$

for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 2$ . For any large positive number  $x > 5$  with  $x = [x] + \frac{1}{2}$  we then have

$$\begin{aligned} K_r(x) &= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \\ &+ \frac{2\gamma + C_{\text{odd}}(r) - 1}{r+1} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{(\rho-2)\zeta'(\rho)} - \frac{C_{\text{even}}(r)}{2(r+1)} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{(\rho-1)\zeta'(\rho)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{(\rho-2)^2 \zeta'(\rho)} + O_r(x^{-3}), \end{aligned}$$

where the functions  $C_{\text{odd}}(r)$  and  $C_{\text{even}}(r)$  are given by

$$C_{\text{odd}}(r) := \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \zeta(2m+1),$$

and

$$C_{\text{even}}(r) := \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \zeta(2m)$$

for any fixed positive integer  $r$ .

Finally, define the sum

$$J_{-\lambda}(T) := \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \frac{1}{|\zeta'(\rho)|^{2\lambda}}$$

which is intimately connected to Mertens function. Assuming the simplicity of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ , Gonek [5] and Hejhal [6] independently conjectured that for any real number  $\lambda < 3/2$ , we have

$$(1.9) \quad J_{-\lambda}(T) \asymp T(\log T)^{(\lambda-1)^2}$$

We use this conjecture to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume that the Riemann Hypothesis and Gonek-Hejhal conjecture. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} K_r(x) &= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \\ &\quad + O_r\left(\frac{(\log x)^{5/4}}{x^{1/2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for any large positive number  $x > 5$  satisfying  $x = [x] + \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

In order to prove our main results, we first show some necessary lemmas.

### 2.1. Auxiliary lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any large positive number  $x > 5$ , we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(\frac{\delta(x)}{x}\right),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} \log n = \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)} + O\left(\frac{\delta(x)}{x}\right),$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = O(\delta(x)),$$

where  $\delta(x)$  is given by Eq. (1.7). Assume that  $x = [x] + \frac{1}{2}$ . Under the Riemann Hypothesis we have

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(\frac{\eta(x)}{x^{3/2}}\right),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} \log n = \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)} + O\left(\frac{\eta(x) \log x}{x^{3/2}}\right),$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{\eta(x)}{x^{1/2}}\right).$$

for any large positive number  $x > 5$ . Here  $\eta(x)$  is given by Eq. (1.8).

*Proof.* Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) follow from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 in [16]. The proof of Eq. (2.3) can be found in [9]. The formulas (2.4)–(2.6) follow from Lemma 3.1 in [7].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** For any large positive number  $x > 5$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n} = \frac{x}{\zeta(2)} + O((\log x)^{2/3}(\log \log x)^{1/3}).$$

*Proof.* For any large positive number  $x \geq 5$ , we use the result of Liu in [14]

$$\sum_{\ell \leq x} \frac{\mu(\ell)}{\ell} \vartheta\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right) = O((\log x)^{2/3}(\log \log x)^{1/3}),$$

the fact that  $\phi = \text{id} * \mu$ , and Eqs. (2.1), (2.3) to obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n} &= \sum_{\ell \leq x} \frac{\mu(\ell)}{\ell} \left( \frac{x}{\ell} - \vartheta\left(\frac{x}{\ell}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{x}{\zeta(2)} + O((\log x)^{2/3}(\log \log x)^{1/3}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\vartheta(x)$  is the oscillatory function defined by  $x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** For any large positive number  $x > 5$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = \frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(4)} x - \frac{1}{2\zeta(2)} \log x + O((\log x)^{2/3}).$$

*Proof.* The proof can be found in [20, Satz 3].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** For any large positive number  $x > 5$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(n)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta^2(2)} + O\left(\frac{\delta(x)}{x}\right),$$

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(n)}{n^2} \log n = 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^3(2)} + O\left(\frac{\delta(x)}{x}\right),$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(n)}{n} = O(\delta(x)).$$

*Proof.* Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) follow from Eqs. (1.13) and (1.14) in [8], respectively. For Eq. (2.9), we use Eq. (2.3) to get

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(n)}{n} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\ell \leq x/d} \frac{\mu(\ell)}{\ell} = O(\delta(x)).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any large positive number  $x > 5$ , we have*

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(4)} + O\left(\frac{\delta(x)}{x}\right),$$

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n^2} \log n = 2 \frac{\zeta'(4)}{\zeta^2(4)} + O\left(\frac{\delta(x)}{x}\right),$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} + O(\delta(x)).$$

*Proof.* Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) follow from Eqs. (1.17) and (1.18) in [8], respectively. It is known that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)},$$

Now, we write our sums as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n} - \sum_{n > x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} - \sum_{n > x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, it remains to estimate the last sum above. Notice that

$$\sum_{n > x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n} = \int_x^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{x < n \leq t} |\mu| * \mu(n)}{t^2} dt$$

and that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |\mu| * \mu(n) = \sum_{d \leq x} |\mu(d)| \sum_{\ell \leq x/d} \mu(\ell) = O\left(x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{d} \delta\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\right) = O(x\delta(x)).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n>x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(n)}{n} &= O\left(\int_x^\infty \frac{t\delta(t)}{t^2} dt\right) + O(\delta(x)) \\ &= O(\delta(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and Eq. (2.12) is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *For any large positive number  $x > 5$ , we have*

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\phi(d)}{d} &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} x \log x + \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left(2\gamma - 1 - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) x \\ &\quad + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O(\delta(x) \log x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{d\ell \leq x} \frac{\phi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}} = \frac{\zeta(1+2m)}{\zeta(2)} x + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O_m(\delta(x))$$

for any positive integer  $m$ . Suppose that  $x = [x] + \frac{1}{2}$ . Under the Riemann Hypothesis, we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\phi(d)}{d} &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} x \log x + \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left(2\gamma - 1 - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) x \\ &\quad + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O\left(\frac{\eta(x) \log x}{x^{1/2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{d\ell \leq x} \frac{\phi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}} = \frac{\zeta(1+2m)}{\zeta(2)} x + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O_m\left(\frac{\eta(x)}{x^{1/2}}\right)$$

*Proof.* We recall the identity  $\frac{\phi}{\text{id}} * \mathbf{1} = \frac{\mu}{\text{id}} * \tau$ . Using Eqs. (1.5), (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\phi(d)}{d} &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\ell \leq x/d} \tau(\ell) \\ &= x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1) \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} - x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \log d + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} x \log x + \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left(2\gamma - 1 - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right) x + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O(\delta(x) \log x), \end{aligned}$$



which completes the proof of Eq. (2.13). Further, we recall the identity  $\frac{\phi}{\text{id}} * \text{id}_{-2m} = \frac{\mu}{\text{id}} * \sigma_{-2m}$ , and use Eqs. (1.6), (2.1) and (2.3) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d\ell \leq x} \frac{\phi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}} &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\ell \leq x/d} \sigma_{-2m}(\ell) \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \left( \zeta(1+2m) \frac{x}{d} - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(2m) + \Delta_{-2m} \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\zeta(1+2m)}{\zeta(2)} x + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta_{-2m} \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) + O_m(\delta(x)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Eq. (2.14). Similarly, we use Eqs. (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) to deduce Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *For any large positive number  $x > 5$ , we have*

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu * \phi(d)}{d} &= \frac{1}{\zeta^2(2)} x \log x + \frac{1}{\zeta^2(2)} \left( 2\gamma - 1 - 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) x \\ &\quad + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(d)}{d} \Delta \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) + O(\delta(x) \log x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad \sum_{d\ell \leq x} \frac{\mu * \phi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}} = \frac{\zeta(1+2m)}{\zeta^2(2)} x + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(d)}{d} \Delta_{-2m} \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) + O_m(\delta(x))$$

for any positive integer  $m$ .

*Proof.* We use the identity  $\frac{\mu * \phi}{\text{id}} * \mathbf{1} = \frac{\mu * \mu}{\text{id}} * \tau$ , Eqs. (1.5), (2.7), and (2.8) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \leq x} \sum_{d|k} \frac{\mu * \phi(d)}{d} &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\ell \leq x/d} \tau(\ell) \\ &= x (\log x + 2\gamma - 1) \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(d)}{d^2} - x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(d)}{d^2} \log d + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(d)}{d} \Delta \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta^2(2)} x \log x + \frac{1}{\zeta^2(2)} \left( 2\gamma - 1 - 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) x + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(d)}{d} \Delta \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) + O(\delta(x) \log x), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Eq. (2.17). By using the fact that  $\frac{\mu * \phi}{\text{id}} * \text{id}_{-2m} = \frac{\mu * \mu}{\text{id}} * \sigma_{-2m}$ , together with Eqs. (1.6), (2.7), and (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d\ell \leq x} \frac{\mu * \phi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}} &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\ell \leq x/d} \sigma_{-2m}(\ell) \\ &= \frac{\zeta(1+2m)}{\zeta^2(2)} x + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(d)}{d} \Delta_{-2m} \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) + O_m(\delta(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Eq. (2.18) is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *For any large positive number  $x > 5$ , we have*

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu * \psi(d)}{d} &= \frac{1}{\zeta(4)} x \log x + \frac{1}{\zeta(4)} \left( 2\gamma - 1 - 2 \frac{\zeta'(4)}{\zeta(4)} \right) x \\ &\quad + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(d)}{d} \Delta \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) + O(\delta(x) \log x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad \sum_{d\ell \leq x} \frac{\mu * \psi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}} = \frac{\zeta(1+2m)}{\zeta(4)} x + \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|\mu| * \mu(d)}{d} \Delta_{-2m} \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) - \frac{\zeta(2m)}{2\zeta(2)} + O_m(\delta(x))$$

for any positive integer  $m$ .

*Proof.* From the identity  $\frac{\mu * \psi}{\text{id}} * \mathbf{1} = \frac{\mu * |\mu|}{\text{id}} * \tau$ , we have

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \sum_{d|k} \frac{\mu * \psi(d)}{d} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * |\mu|(d)}{d} \sum_{\ell \leq x/d} \tau(\ell).$$

Using Eqs. (1.5), (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain the formula Eq. (2.19). Now, we use the identity  $\frac{\mu * \psi}{\text{id}} * \text{id}_{-2m} = \frac{\mu * |\mu|}{\text{id}} * \sigma_{-2m}$  to write our second sums as follows

$$\sum_{d\ell \leq x} \frac{\mu * \psi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * |\mu|(d)}{d} \sum_{\ell \leq x/d} \sigma_{-2m}(\ell).$$

Again, we use Eq. (1.6) to get

$$\sum_{d\ell \leq x} \frac{\mu * \psi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * |\mu|(d)}{d} \left( \zeta(1+2m) \frac{x}{d} - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(2m) + \Delta_{-2m} \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) \right).$$

Applying Eqs. (2.10) and (2.12) to the above, we deduce the desired result.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove our main theorems.

## 2.2. Proofs of the Theorems.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First, we take  $f = \text{id}$  into Eq. (1.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_r(x; \text{id}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} 1 + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \text{id}(d)}{d} + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \text{id}(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}} \\
 (2.21) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} 1 + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\phi(d)}{d} + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\phi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Eqs. (2.13) and (2.14) above yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_r(x) &= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O_r(\delta(x) \log x),
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result. We take  $f = \phi$  into Eq. (1.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_r(x; \phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n)}{n} + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu * \phi(d)}{d} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \phi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, as well as Eqs. (2.17) and (2.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_r(x) &= \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(n)}{n} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * \mu(n)}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O_r((\log x)^{2/3} (\log \log x)^{1/3}),
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Taking  $f = \psi$  into Eq. (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_r(x; \psi) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \psi(d)}{d} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \psi(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, as well as Eqs. (2.19) and (2.20) in the above formula yields

$$U_r(x) = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * |\mu|(n)}{n} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu * |\mu|(n)}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{4\zeta(2)} \log x + O_r((\log x)^{2/3}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By assuming the Riemann Hypothesis, and applying Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16) in Eq. (2.21), we immediately deduce that

$$K_r(x) = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \Delta\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \Delta_{-2m}\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + O_r\left(\frac{\eta(x) \log x}{x^{1/2}}\right).$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.4

To prove Theorems 1.3 we just need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{\rho-2}}{(\rho-2)\zeta'(\rho)} + \frac{\pi^2}{\zeta(3)} x^{-4} + O(x^{-5}),$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} \log \frac{x}{n} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log x - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{\rho-2}}{(\rho-2)^2 \zeta'(\rho)} - \frac{\pi^2}{4\zeta(3)} x^{-4} + O(x^{-5}),$$

and

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{(\rho-1)\zeta'(\rho)} + \frac{4\pi^2}{3\zeta(3)} x^{-3} + O(x^{-5}).$$

*Proof.* The proof of the lemma can be found in [7].  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* We recall that

$$M_r(x; \text{id}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} 1 + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \text{id}(d)}{d} + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu * \text{id}(d)}{d} \frac{1}{\ell^{2m}}.$$

Using the fact that

$$\frac{\mu * \text{id}}{\text{id}} * \mathbf{1} = \frac{\mu}{\text{id}} * \tau, \quad \frac{\mu * \text{id}}{\text{id}} * \text{id}_{-2m} = \frac{\mu}{\text{id}} * \sigma_{-2m},$$

and Eqs. (1.5) and (1.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_r(x, \text{id}) &= \frac{[x]}{2} + \frac{x}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} \log \frac{x}{n} + \frac{x}{r+1} (2\gamma - 1 + C_{\text{odd}}(r)) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{C_{\text{even}}(r)}{2(r+1)} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \Delta \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \Delta_{-2m} \left( \frac{x}{n} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Under the hypotheses of the theorem, we use Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_r(x, \text{id}) &= \frac{[x]}{2} + \frac{1}{(r+1)\zeta(2)} x \log x + \frac{x}{(r+1)\zeta(2)} \left( 2\gamma - 1 - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} + C_{\text{odd}}(r) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \Delta \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{r+1}{2m} B_{2m} \zeta(2m) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \Delta_{-2m} \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} (2\gamma - 1 + C_{\text{odd}}(r)) \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{(\rho-2)\zeta'(\rho)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{(\rho-2)^2 \zeta'(\rho)} - \frac{C_{\text{even}}(r)}{2(r+1)} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{\rho-1}}{(\rho-1)\zeta'(\rho)} + O_r(x^{-3}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* To prove our theorem it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma}}{(-j + i\gamma)\zeta'(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)} = O(x^{-1/2}(\log x)^{5/4})$$

with  $j = 1/2$  and  $3/2$ . We take  $\lambda = -1/2$  into (1.9), then  $J_{-1/2}(T_*) \ll T_*(\log T_*)^{1/4}$ . Using the above and partial summation we have

$$\sum_{|\gamma| \leq T_*} \frac{1}{\gamma|\zeta'(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)|} \ll \left[ \frac{J_{-1/2}(t)}{t} \right]_{14}^{T_*} + \int_{14}^{T_*} \frac{J_{-1/2}(t)}{t^2} dt \ll (\log T_*)^{5/4},$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Project F5507-N26, which is part of the Special Research Program ‘‘Quasi Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications’’. The third author is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): Projects F5507-N26 and F5505-N26, which are part of the Special Research Program ‘‘Quasi Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications’’.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, 1976.
- [2] M. Balazard and A. de Roton, Notes de lecture de l'article "Partial sums of the Möbius function" de Kannan Soundararajan, arXiv: 0810.3587v1 [math.NT] 20 Oct 2008.
- [3] K. A. Broughan, The average order of the Dirichlet series of the gcd-sum function, *J. Integer Sequences* **10** (2007), Article 07.4.2.
- [4] O. Bordellés, The composition of the gcd and certain arithmetic functions, *J. Integer Sequences* **13** (2010), Article 10.7.1.
- [5] S. M. Gonek, On negative moments of the Riemann zeta-function, *Mathematika*. **36** (1989), 71–88
- [6] D. Hejhal, On the distribution of  $\log |\zeta'(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$ , *Number theory, trace formula and discrete groups, Symposium in Honor of Atle Selberg, Oslo, Norway, July 14-21, 1987*, ( edited by K.E. Aubert, E. Bombieri, and D. Goldfeld), Academic Press, San Diego, 1989, 343–370.
- [7] S. Inoue and I. Kiuchi, On sums of gcd-sum functions, preprint.
- [8] S. Inoue and I. Kiuchi, On sums of gcd-sum functions II, preprint.
- [9] R. Q. Jia, Estimation of partial sums of series  $\sum \mu(n)/n$ , *Kexue Tongbao*. **30** (1985), 575–578.
- [10] I. Kiuchi, On sums of averages of generalized Ramanujan sums, *Tokyo J. Math.* **40** (2017), 255–275.
- [11] I. Kiuchi, Sums of averages of gcd-sum functions, *J. Number Theory* **176** (2017), 449–472.
- [12] I. Kiuchi, Sums of averages of generalized Ramanujan sums, *J. Number Theory* **180** (2017), 310–348.
- [13] I. Kiuchi and S. Saad eddin, Sums of weighted averages of gcd-sum functions, *International J. Number Theory* **14** (2018), 2699–2728.
- [14] H.-Q. Liu, On Euler's function, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* **146** (2016), 769–775.
- [15] H. Maier and H. L. Montgomery, On the sum of the Möbius function, *Bull. London. Math. Soc* **41** (2009), 213–226.
- [16] R. Sitaramachandra Rao and D. Suryanarayana, The number of pairs of integers with  $L. C. M. \leq x$ , *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **21** (1970), 490–497.
- [17] K. Soundararajan, Partial sums of the Möbius function, *J. reine angew. Math.* **631** (2009), 141–152.
- [18] Y. Tanigawa and W. Zhai, On the gcd-sum functions, *J. Integer Sequences* **11** (2008), Article 08.2.3.
- [19] L. Tóth, A survey of gcd-sum functions, *J. Integer Sequences* **13** (2010), Article 10.8.1.
- [20] A. Walfisz, *Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der Neueren Zahlentheorie*, Veb Deutscher Verlag Der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1963.

Lisa Kaltenböck: Institute of Financial Mathematics and Applied Number Theory, Johannes Kepler University, Altenbergerstrasse 69, 4040 Linz, Austria.

e-mail: [lisa.kaltenböck@jku.at](mailto:lisa.kaltenböck@jku.at)

Isao Kiuchi: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Yamaguchi University, Yoshida 1677-1, Yamaguchi 753-8512, Japan.

e-mail: [kiuchi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp](mailto:kiuchi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp)

Sumaia Saad Eddin: Institute of Financial Mathematics and Applied Number Theory, Johannes Kepler University, Altenbergerstrasse 69, 4040 Linz, Austria.

e-mail: [sumaia.saad\\_eddin@jku.at](mailto:sumaia.saad_eddin@jku.at)

Masaaki Ueda: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Yamaguchi University, Yoshida 1677-1, Yamaguchi 753-8512, Japan.

e-mail: [i001wb@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp](mailto:i001wb@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp)