

# Some Modular Considerations Regarding Odd Perfect Numbers

Jose Arnaldo Bebita Dris, Immanuel Tobias San Diego

July 7, 2021

## Abstract

Let  $p^k m^2$  be an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ . In this article, we provide an alternative proof for the biconditional that  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  holds if and only if  $p \equiv k \pmod{8}$ . We then give an application of this result to the case when  $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$  is a square.

**Keywords:** Sum of divisors, Sum of aliquot divisors, Deficiency, Odd perfect number, Special prime.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11A05, 11A25.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\sigma(z)$  denote the sum of the divisors of  $z \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of positive integers. Denote the deficiency [5] of  $z$  by  $D(z) = 2z - \sigma(z)$ , and the sum of the aliquot divisors [6] of  $z$  by  $s(z) = \sigma(z) - z$ . Note that we have the identity  $D(z) + s(z) = z$ .

If  $n$  is odd and  $\sigma(n) = 2n$ , then  $n$  is said to be an odd perfect number [8]. Euler proved that an odd perfect number, if one exists, must have the form  $n = p^k m^2$ , where  $p$  is the special prime satisfying  $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $\gcd(p, m) = 1$ .

Chen and Luo [2] gave a characterization of the forms of odd perfect numbers  $n = p^k m^2$  such that  $p \equiv k \pmod{8}$ . Starni [7] proved that there is no odd perfect number decomposable into primes all of the type  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  if  $n = p^k m^2$  and  $p \not\equiv k \pmod{8}$ . Starni used a congruence from Ewell [3] to prove this result.

Note that, in general, since  $m^2$  is a square, we get

$$\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

This paper provides an alternative proof for Theorem 3.3, equation 3.1 in Chen and Luo's article titled "Odd multiperfect numbers" [2]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n = \pi^\alpha M^2$  be an odd 2-perfect number, with  $\pi$  prime,  $\gcd(\pi, M) = 1$  and  $\pi \equiv \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then*

$$\sigma(M^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \iff \pi \equiv \alpha \pmod{8}.$$

The method presented in this paper may potentially be used to extend the arguments to consider  $\sigma(m^2)$  modulo 8.

## 2 Preliminaries

Starting from the fundamental equality

$$\frac{\sigma(m^2)}{p^k} = \frac{2m^2}{\sigma(p^k)}$$

(which follows from the facts that  $\sigma(n) = 2n$ ,  $\sigma$  is multiplicative, and  $\gcd(p^k, \sigma(p^k)) = 1$ ) one can derive

$$\frac{\sigma(m^2)}{p^k} = \frac{2m^2}{\sigma(p^k)} = \gcd(m^2, \sigma(m^2))$$

so that we ultimately have

$$\frac{D(m^2)}{s(p^k)} = \frac{2m^2 - \sigma(m^2)}{\sigma(p^k) - p^k} = \gcd(m^2, \sigma(m^2))$$

and

$$\frac{s(m^2)}{D(p^k)/2} = \frac{\sigma(m^2) - m^2}{p^k - \frac{\sigma(p^k)}{2}} = \gcd(m^2, \sigma(m^2)),$$

whereby we obtain

$$\frac{D(p^k)D(m^2)}{s(p^k)s(m^2)} = 2.$$

Note that we also have the following equation:

$$\frac{2D(m^2)s(m^2)}{D(p^k)s(p^k)} = \left( \gcd(m^2, \sigma(m^2)) \right)^2. \quad (*)$$

Lastly, notice that we can easily get

$$\sigma(p^k) \equiv k + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

(since  $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ) so that it remains to consider the possible equivalence classes for  $\sigma(m^2)$  modulo 4. Since  $\sigma(m^2)$  is odd, we only need to consider two.

We ask: Which equivalence class of  $\sigma(m^2)$  modulo 4 makes Equation (\*) untenable?

### 3 Discussion and Results

We know that the answer to the question we posed in the previous section must somehow depend on the equivalence class of  $p$  and  $k$  modulo 8, but as we only know that  $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we need to consider the following cases separately and thereby prove the corresponding results:

**Remark 3.1.** *Suppose that  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ . We claim the truth of the following propositions, which we will need to treat separately later:*

1. *If  $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is impossible.*
2. *If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is impossible.*
3. *If  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is impossible.*
4. *If  $p \equiv k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is impossible.*

First, we prove the following lemmas:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ .*

1. *If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(p^k) \equiv k + 1 \pmod{8}$ .*
2. *If  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(p^k) \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ .*
3. *If  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(p^k) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = p^k m^2$  be an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ . It follows that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

We consider two cases:

**Case 1:**  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  We obtain

$$\sigma(p^k) = \sum_{i=0}^k p^i \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k p^i \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k 1^i \equiv k + 1 \pmod{8},$$

as desired.

**Case 2:**  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  We get

$$\sigma(p^k) = \sum_{i=0}^k p^i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^k 5^i \equiv \begin{cases} 6 \pmod{8}, & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 2 \pmod{8}, & \text{if } k \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

□

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ .*

1. *If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $D(p^k) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ .*
2. *If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $D(p^k) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ .*
3. *If  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $D(p^k) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ .*
4. *If  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $D(p^k) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is trivial and follows directly from Lemma 3.2, using the formula  $D(p^k) = 2p^k - \sigma(p^k)$ . □

**Lemma 3.4.** *Suppose that  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ .*

1. *If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $s(p^k) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .*
2. *If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $s(p^k) \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .*
3. *If  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $s(p^k) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .*
4. *If  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $s(p^k) \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is trivial and follows directly from Lemma 3.3, using the formula  $s(p^k) = p^k - D(p^k)$ . □

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose that  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ .*

1. *If  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $D(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .*
2. *If  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $D(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is trivial and follows directly from the fact that  $m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  (since  $m$  is odd), using the underlying assumptions and the formula  $D(m^2) = 2m^2 - \sigma(m^2)$ . □

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose that  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ .*

1. *If  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $s(m^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .*
2. *If  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is trivial and follows directly from Lemma 3.5, using the formula  $s(m^2) = m^2 - D(m^2)$ . □

We are now ready to prove our main result.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose that  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ .*

1. *If  $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is impossible.*
2. *If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is impossible.*
3. *If  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is impossible.*
4. *If  $p \equiv k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is impossible.*

*Proof.* Let  $n = p^k m^2$  be an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ .

Notice that the right-hand side of Equation (\*)

$$\frac{2D(m^2)s(m^2)}{D(p^k)s(p^k)} = \left( \gcd(m^2, \sigma(m^2)) \right)^2. \quad (*)$$

is odd. (Furthermore, it is congruent to 1 modulo 8.)

First, suppose that  $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and assume to the contrary that  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  holds. By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Thus, from Equation (\*) we obtain (symbolically)

$$2(4a_1 + 3)(4b_1 + 2) = (8x_1 + 1)(8c_1)(8d_1 + 1)$$

which does not have any integer solutions.

Next, suppose that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , and assume to the contrary that  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  holds. By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Thus, from Equation (\*) we obtain (symbolically)

$$2(4a_2 + 1)(4b_2) = (8x_2 + 1)(8c_2 + 4)(8d_2 + 5)$$

which does not have any integer solutions.

Now, suppose that  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and assume to the contrary that  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  holds. By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Thus, from Equation (\*) we obtain (symbolically)

$$2(4a_3 + 1)(4b_3) = (8x_3 + 1)(8c_3 + 4)(8d_3 + 1)$$

which does not have any integer solutions.

Finally, suppose that  $p \equiv k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , and assume to the contrary that  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  holds. By Lemma 3.3,  $D(p^k) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $D(m^2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $s(p^k) \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $s(m^2) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Thus, from Equation (\*) we obtain (symbolically)

$$2(4a_4 + 3)(4b_4 + 2) = (8x_4 + 1)(8c_4)(8d_4 + 5)$$

which does not have any integer solutions.

This concludes the proof. □

**Remark 3.8.** To summarize, Theorem 3.7 just states that if  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ , then  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  holds if and only if  $p \equiv k \pmod{8}$ . Our argument provides an alternative proof for Theorem 3.3, equation 3.1 in [2] (as reproduced above in Theorem 1.1).

## 4 An Application

Let  $n = p^k m^2$  be an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ , and let  $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$  be a square. Since  $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$  is odd, it follows that  $\sigma(m^2)/p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . But it is known that  $p \equiv k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . In particular, we know that  $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . This implies that  $\sigma(m^2) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , if  $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$  is a square. By Theorem 3.7, we know that  $p \equiv k \pmod{8}$ .

Moreover, Broughan, Delbourgo, and Zhou proved in [1] (Lemma 8, page 7) that if  $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$  is a square, then  $k = 1$  holds.

Thus, under the assumption that  $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$  is a square, we have

$$p \equiv k = 1 \pmod{8}.$$

This implies that the lowest possible value for the special prime  $p$  is 17.

We state this result as our next theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that  $n = p^k m^2$  is an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ . If  $\sigma(m^2)/p^k$  is a square, then  $p \geq 17$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Let  $n = p^k m^2$  be an odd perfect number with special prime  $p$ .

Note that if

$$\frac{\sigma(m^2)}{p^k} = \frac{m^2}{\sigma(p^k)/2}$$

is a square, then  $k = 1$  and  $\sigma(p^k)/2 = (p + 1)/2$  is also a square.

The possible values for the special prime satisfying  $p < 100$  and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  are 17, 41, 73, 89, and 97.

For each of these values:

$$\frac{p_1 + 1}{2} = \frac{17 + 1}{2} = 9 = 3^2.$$

$$\frac{p_2 + 1}{2} = \frac{41 + 1}{2} = 21 \text{ which is not a square.}$$

$$\frac{p_3 + 1}{2} = \frac{73 + 1}{2} = 37 \text{ which is not a square.}$$

$$\frac{p_4 + 1}{2} = \frac{89 + 1}{2} = 45 \text{ which is not a square.}$$

$$\frac{p_5 + 1}{2} = \frac{97 + 1}{2} = 49 = 7^2.$$

A quick way to rule out 41, 73 and 89, as remarked by Ochem [4] over at Mathematics StackExchange, is as follows: “If  $(p + 1)/2$  is an odd square, then  $(p + 1)/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , so that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ . This rules out 41, 73, and 89.”

## 5 Conclusion

Additional tools are required if we are to push the analysis from  $\sigma(m^2)$  modulo 4 to consider  $\sigma(m^2)$  modulo 8. The authors have tried to check Equation (\*) by considering  $m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , and the various corresponding cases for  $\sigma(m^2)$  modulo 8 (which are determined by Theorem 3.7), but so far all their attempts have not resulted in any contradictions.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the anonymous referees whose valuable feedback improved the overall presentation and style of this manuscript.

## References

- [1] Broughan, K. A., Delbourgo, D., & Zhou, Q. (2013) Improving the Chen and Chen result for odd perfect numbers, *Integers*, 13, Article #A39.
- [2] Chen, S.-C., & Luo, H. (2013) Odd multiperfect numbers, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 88, 1, 56–63.
- [3] Ewell, J. A. Jr. (1980) On the multiplicative structure of odd perfect numbers, *Journal of Number Theory*, 12, 339–342.
- [4] Ochem, P. (2019) Answer to a question of the first author in Mathematics StackExchange, <https://math.stackexchange.com/a/3151412/28816>.
- [5] Sloane, N. J. A., OEIS sequence A033879 - Deficiency of  $n$ , or  $2n - \sigma(n)$ , <https://oeis.org/A033879>.

- [6] Sloane, N. J. A., & Guy, R. K., OEIS sequence A001065 - Sum of proper divisors (or aliquot parts) of  $n$ : sum of divisors of  $n$  that are less than  $n$ , <https://oeis.org/A001065>.
- [7] Starni, P. (1991) On the Euler's factor of an odd perfect number, *Journal of Number Theory*, 37, 366–369.
- [8] Various contributors, Wikipedia page on perfect numbers, [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Perfect\\_number&oldid=886493275](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Perfect_number&oldid=886493275).