

# Divisible design digraphs and association schemes

Hadi Kharaghani\*      Sho Suda†

February 7, 2022

## Abstract

Divisible design digraphs are constructed from skew balanced generalized weighing matrices and generalized Hadamard matrices. Commutative and non-commutative association schemes are shown to be attached to the constructed divisible design digraphs.

## 1 Introduction

Divisible design graphs [5] have been received much attention as a generalization of  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -graphs, due to the important role they play in algebraic graph theory and design theory. The directed version of divisible design graphs, divisible design digraphs, was introduced and studied extensively in establishing their feasible parameters, existence and non-existence results in [2] and in the case of Cayley graphs in [3]. A particular class of divisible design digraphs such that its adjacency matrix  $A$  satisfies the condition  $A + A^\top = J_v - I_m \otimes J_n$  is known to be equivalent to some association schemes with 3 classes, and is studied in a framework of normally regular digraphs in [8]. In this note we deal with divisible design digraphs that do not satisfy the condition  $A + A^\top = J_v - I_m \otimes J_n$ .

Association schemes [1] in a way are a generalization of the centralizer algebra of the transitive permutation groups, and play an important role as the underlying space of coding theory and design theory. On the other hand, various design theoretic objects, such as symmetric designs, linked systems of symmetric designs, symmetric group divisible designs, and Hadamard matrices, have been shown to produce different classes of association schemes [4], [11], [13], [14], [15].

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce different methods of construction for association schemes from divisible design digraphs. More precisely, we will pursue to construct families of divisible design digraphs from skew balanced generalized weighing matrices and generalized Hadamard matrices. These divisible design digraphs are based on the construction in [7], [10], [12], [13]. We then use the constructed families of divisible design digraphs to obtain commutative and non-commutative association schemes.

---

\*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, T1K 3M4, Canada. [kharaghani@uleth.ca](mailto:kharaghani@uleth.ca)

†Department of Mathematics, National Defense Academy of Japan, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 239-8686, Japan. [ssuda@nda.ac.jp](mailto:ssuda@nda.ac.jp)

## 2 Preliminaries

As usual, we use  $I_n, J_n, O_n$  to denote the identity matrix of order  $n$ , the all-ones matrix of order  $n$ , the zero matrix of order  $n$ , respectively. Let  $U_n$  be a circulant matrix of order  $n$  with the first row  $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let  $N_n$  be a negacirculant matrix with the first row  $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  defined by

$$N_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $R_n = (r_{ij})$  be the back diagonal matrix of order  $n$ , that is  $r_{ij} = \delta_{i+j, n+1}$ . We denote by  $[X]_{ij}$  the  $(i, j)$ -block of a block matrix  $X$ .

### 2.1 Divisible design digraphs

A *digraph* is a pair  $\Gamma = (V, E)$ , where  $V$  is a finite nonempty set of vertices and  $E$  is a set of ordered pairs (arcs)  $(x, y)$  with  $x, y \in V, x \neq y$ .

A digraph  $\Gamma$  is called *regular* of degree  $k$  if each vertex of  $\Gamma$  dominates exactly  $k$  vertices and is dominated by exactly  $k$  vertices. A digraph  $\Gamma$  is *asymmetric* if  $(x, y) \in E$  implies  $(y, x) \notin E$ . If  $(x, y)$  is an arc, then we say that  $x$  dominates  $y$  or  $y$  is dominated by  $x$ . The *adjacency matrix* of a digraph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  on  $v$  vertices is a  $v \times v$   $(0, 1)$ -matrix with rows and columns indexed by the elements  $V$  such that for  $x, y \in V$ ,  $A_{xy} = 1$  if  $(x, y) \in E$  and  $A_{xy} = 0$  otherwise. Note that a digraph  $\Gamma$  is asymmetric if and only if  $A + A^\top$  is a  $(0, 1)$ -matrix, and a digraph  $\Gamma$  is regular of degree  $k$  if and only if  $AJ_v = A^\top J_v = kJ_v$  where  $v$  is the number of the vertices.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a regular asymmetric digraph of degree  $k$  on  $v$  vertices. The digraph  $\Gamma$  is called a *divisible design digraph with parameters*  $(v, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, m, n)$  if the vertex set can be partitioned into  $m$  classes of size  $n$ , such that for any two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  from the same class, the number of vertices  $z$  that dominates or being dominated by both  $x$  and  $y$  is equal to  $\lambda_1$ , and for any two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  from different classes, the number of vertices  $z$  that dominates or being dominated by both  $x$  and  $y$  is equal to  $\lambda_2$ .

It is easy to see that a digraph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  is a divisible design digraph if and only if its adjacency matrix  $A$  satisfies that  $A + A^\top$  is a  $(0, 1)$ -matrix and

$$AA^\top = A^\top A = kI_v + \lambda_1(I_m \otimes J_n - I_v) + \lambda_2(J_v - I_m \otimes J_n).$$

### 2.2 Balanced generalized weighing matrices

Let  $G$  be a multiplicatively written finite group. A *balanced generalized weighing matrix with parameters*  $(v, k, \lambda)$  over  $G$ , or a  $BGW(v, k, \lambda; G)$ , is a matrix  $W = (w_{ij})$  of order  $v$  with entries from  $G \cup \{0\}$  such that (i) every row of  $W$  contains exactly  $k$  nonzero entries and (ii) for any distinct  $i, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ , every element of  $G$  is contained exactly  $\lambda/|G|$  times in the multiset  $\{w_{ij}w_{hj}^{-1} \mid 1 \leq j \leq v, w_{ij} \neq 0, w_{hj} \neq 0\}$ . When the group is a cyclic group generated by  $U$ , we write the entries of a BGW as  $U^{w_{ij}}$ . A BGW  $W = (U^{w_{ij}})_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$  with parameters

$(n+1, n, n-1)$  over a cyclic group  $G = \langle U \rangle$  of order  $2m$  with diagonal 0 is said to be *skew* if  $U^{w_{ji}} = U^{w_{ij}+m}$  holds for any distinct  $i, j$ . Skew BGWs will be dealt with in Sections 3, 4. A BGW with  $v = k$  is said to be a *generalized Hadamard matrix*, which will be dealt with in Section 5.

**Lemma 2.2** ([7]). *Let  $q, m$  be positive integers such that  $q$  is an odd prime power,  $(q-1)/m$  is odd. Then there is a skew BGW  $(q+1, q, q-1)$  with zero diagonal over the cyclic group of order  $m$ .*

## 2.3 Association schemes

Let  $d$  be a positive integer. Let  $X$  be a finite set of size  $v$  and  $R_i$  ( $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ ) be a nonempty subset of  $X \times X$ , and  $A_i$  be the adjacency matrix of the graph  $(X, R_i)$ . An *association scheme* with  $d$  classes is a pair  $(X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ , where the corresponding adjacency matrices  $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^d$  to  $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^d$  satisfy:

- (i)  $A_0 = I_v$ .
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=0}^d A_i = J_v$ .
- (iii)  $A_i^\top \in \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d\}$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ .
- (iv) For all  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $A_i A_j$  is a linear combination of  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d$ .

An association scheme is *symmetric* if  $A_i^\top = A_i$  holds for any  $i$ . An association scheme is *commutative* if  $A_i A_j = A_j A_i$  holds for any  $i, j$ , and *non-commutative* otherwise. Note that if the scheme is symmetric, then it is commutative.

We now define *eigenmatrices* for commutative and non-commutative cases. Note that for the non-commutative case, the eigenmatrices are not uniquely determined. See [12] for more detail and its applications to the character table. The vector space spanned by  $A_i$ 's forms an algebra, denoted by  $\mathcal{A}$  and is called the *Bose-Mesner algebra* or *adjacency algebra*.

First, assume the scheme is commutative. There exists a basis of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of primitive idempotents, say  $E_0 = (1/|X|)J_{|X|}, E_1, \dots, E_d$ . Note that  $E_i$  is the projection onto a maximal common eigenspace of  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d$ . Since  $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d\}$  and  $\{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d\}$  are two bases in  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exist the change-of-bases matrices  $P = (p_{ij})_{i,j=0}^d, Q = (q_{ij})_{i,j=0}^d$  so that

$$A_j = \sum_{i=0}^d p_{ij} E_i, \quad E_j = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{i=0}^d q_{ij} A_i.$$

The matrices  $P$  or  $Q$  are said to be *first* or *second eigenmatrices* respectively.

Second, assume that the scheme is non-commutative. The following is due to Higman [6]. Since the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is semisimple, the adjacency algebra is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^n \text{Mat}_{d_k}(\mathbb{C})$  for uniquely determined positive integers  $n, d_1, \dots, d_n$ . We write  $\mathcal{I} = \{(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq d_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  where  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of positive integers, and call the set  $\mathcal{I}$  the *index set of a dual basis*. For  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  let  $\varphi_k$  be an irreducible representation from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\text{Mat}_{d_k}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $E_{i,j}^{(k)}$  ( $(i, j, k) \in \mathcal{I}$ ) be a basis of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\varphi_k(E_{i,j}^{(k)}) \in \text{Mat}_{d_k}(\mathbb{C}), E_{i,j}^{(k)} E_{i',j'}^{(k')} = \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{j,i'} E_{i,j}^{(k)}$  and  $E_{i,j}^{(k)*} = E_{j,i}^{(k)}$ , where  $*$  denotes the conjugate transpose operation. Since

$A_\ell$  ( $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ ) and  $E_{i,j}^{(k)}$  ( $(i, j, k) \in \mathcal{I}$ ) are bases of the adjacency algebra, there exist complex numbers  $p_{(i,j),\ell}^{(k)}$  and  $q_{\ell,(i,j)}^{(k)}$  such that

$$A_\ell = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{I}} p_{(i,j),\ell}^{(k)} E_{i,j}^{(k)}, \quad E_{i,j}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{v} \sum_{\ell=0}^d q_{\ell,(i,j)}^{(k)} A_\ell.$$

For  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , set  $P_k = (p_{(i,j),\ell}^{(k)})$  and  $Q_k = (q_{\ell,(i,j)}^{(k)})$ , where  $(i, j)$  runs over  $\{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq d_k\}$  and  $\ell$  runs over  $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ . Note that the ordering of indices of rows of  $P_k$  and columns of  $Q_k$  is the lexicographical order. We then define  $(d+1) \times (d+1)$  matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ \vdots \\ P_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = (Q_1 \quad Q_2 \quad \cdots \quad Q_n).$$

### 3 Commutative association schemes with 5 classes

Let  $p = 2qn + 1$  be a prime power, where  $q = (p-1)/(2n)$  is an odd integer and  $n \geq 4$  is the order of a Hadamard matrix  $H$ . Normalize  $H$  to have the all-ones vector in the first row. For  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , let  $r_i$  be the  $(i+1)$ -th row of  $H$ , and define  $C_i = r_i^\top r_i$ .

Define an  $n^2 \times n^2$  block matrix  $D$  whose  $(i, j)$ -block of  $D$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix such that

$$[D]_{ij} = \begin{cases} O_n & \text{if } i = j, \\ C_{j-i} & \text{if } i < j, \\ -C_{j-i+n} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,  $D$  is defined as

$$D = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} N_n^k \otimes C_k.$$

Then the following is easy to see.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $DD^\top = nI_n \otimes (nI_n - J_n)$ .

Let  $N$  be an  $n^2 \times n^2$  block negacirculant matrix with the first block row  $(O_n, I_n, O_n, \dots, O_n)$  defined by

$$N = N_n \otimes I_n = \begin{pmatrix} O_n & I_n & O_n & \cdots & O_n \\ O_n & O_n & I_n & \cdots & O_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ O_n & O_n & O_n & \cdots & I_n \\ -I_n & O_n & O_n & \cdots & O_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the order of  $N$  is  $2n$ . Set  $R = R_n \otimes I_n$ .

Let  $W = (N^{w_{ij}})$  be a skew  $BGW(p+1, p, p-1)$  with constant diagonal 0 over the cyclic group  $\langle N \rangle$ . Define a  $(p+1)n^2 \times (p+1)n^2$  block matrix  $B$  whose  $(i, j)$ -block is an  $n^2 \times n^2$  matrix such that

$$[B]_{ij} = \begin{cases} O_{n^2} & \text{if } i = j, \\ DN^{w_{ij}}R & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Decompose  $B$  into disjoint  $(0, 1)$ -matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ :  $B = A_1 - A_2$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *The matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are the adjacency matrices of divisible design digraphs with parameters  $(n^2(p+1), \frac{(n^2-n)p}{2}, \frac{(n^2-2n)p}{4}, \frac{(n-1)^2(p-1)}{2}, p+1, n^2)$ .*

*Proof.* First we show that  $B^\top = -B$ . The diagonal blocks of  $B$  is the zero matrix of order  $n^2$ . For distinct  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [B^\top]_{ij} &= [B]_{ji}^\top \\ &= (DN^{w_{ji}}R)^\top \\ &= (D(-N^{w_{ij}})R)^\top \quad (\text{by } W \text{ is a skew BGW and } N^n = -I) \\ &= -R^\top (N^{w_{ij}})^\top D^\top \\ &= -RN^{-w_{ij}}D^\top \quad (\text{by } R^\top = R \text{ and } N^\top = N^{-1}) \\ &= -N^{w_{ij}}RD^\top \quad (\text{by } RN = N^{-1}R) \\ &= -N^{w_{ij}}DR \quad (\text{by } RD^\top = DR) \\ &= -DN^{w_{ij}}R \quad (\text{by } ND = DN) \\ &= -[B]_{ji}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves  $B^\top = -B$ , and thus  $A_1^\top = A_2$ .

We simultaneously calculate  $A_i A_i^\top$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $A_i A_j^\top$  for  $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$ . First, we calculate  $BB^\top = (A_1 - A_2)(A_1^\top - A_2^\top)$  as follows. For  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p+1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [BB^\top]_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (1 - \delta_{i,k})(1 - \delta_{j,k})(DN^{w_{ik}}R)(DN^{w_{jk}}R)^\top \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (1 - \delta_{i,k})(1 - \delta_{j,k})DN^{w_{ik}-w_{jk}}D^\top. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

When  $i = j$ , (1) equals  $npI_n \otimes (nI_n - J_n)$  by Lemma 3.1. When  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (1 - \delta_{i,k})(1 - \delta_{j,k})DN^{w_{ik}-w_{jk}}D^\top &= D\left(\sum_{k \neq i, j} N^{w_{ik}-w_{jk}}\right)D^\top \\ &= D\left(\frac{p-1}{2n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2n-1} N^\ell\right)D^\top \\ &= O_{16n^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used in the second last and last equalities the facts that  $W = (N^{w_{ij}})$  is a BGW over  $\langle N \rangle$  and  $\sum_{\ell=0}^{2n-1} N^\ell = O_{n^2}$  respectively. Putting these into the blocks of  $BB^\top$ , we obtain

$$BB^\top = npI_{p+1} \otimes I_n \otimes (nI_n - J_n). \tag{2}$$

Next we calculate  $(A_1 + A_2)(A_1 + A_2)^\top$  as follows. Then  $A_1 + A_2 = ((1 - \delta_{i,j})(J_n - U_n^{w_{ij}} R_n) \otimes J_n)_{i,j=1}^{p+1}$ . In a similar fashion to (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1 + A_2)(A_1 + A_2)^\top &= npI_{p+1} \otimes (I_n \otimes J_n + (n-2)J_{n^2}) \\ &\quad + (n-1)^2(p-1)(J_{p+1} - I_{p+1}) \otimes J_{n^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Thirdly, it follows from the orthogonality between  $C_i$  and  $J_{4n}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2m \dots, n-1\}$  that

$$(A_1 + A_2)(A_1^\top - A_2^\top) = (A_1 - A_2)(A_1^\top + A_2^\top) = O. \quad (4)$$

Putting (2), (3), and (4) together yields

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 A_1^\top &= A_2 A_2^\top = \frac{1}{4}n^2 p I_{p+1} \otimes I_{n^2} + \frac{1}{4}n(n-2)p I_{p+1} \otimes J_{n^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(n-1)^2(p-1)}{4}(J_{p+1} - I_{p+1}) \otimes J_{n^2}, \\ A_1 A_2^\top &= A_2 A_1^\top = -\frac{1}{4}n^2 p I_{p+1} \otimes I_{n^2} + \frac{1}{4}n(n-2)p I_{p+1} \otimes J_{n^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(n-1)^2(p-1)}{4}(J_{p+1} - I_{p+1}) \otimes J_{n^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}np I_{p+1} \otimes I_n \otimes J_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are the adjacency matrices of divisible design digraphs with the desired parameters.  $\square$

Define  $A_0, A_3, A_4, A_5$  by

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= I_{n^2(p+1)}, \\ A_3 &= (J_{p+1} - I_{p+1}) \otimes J_{n^2} - A_1 - A_2, \\ A_4 &= I_{p+1} \otimes I_n \otimes (J_n - I_n), \\ A_5 &= I_{p+1} \otimes (J_n - I_n) \otimes J_n. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *The set of matrices  $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_5\}$  forms a commutative association scheme with 5 classes.*

*Proof.* The matrix  $A_3$  can be written as

$$A_3 = ((1 - \delta_{i,j})(U_n^{w_{ij}} R_n) \otimes J_n)_{i,j=1}^{p+1},$$

which shows  $A_3^\top = A_3$ .

Set  $\mathcal{A} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_5\}$ . It suffices to show  $A_i A_j = A_j A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  for any  $i, j$ . The cases that  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  were already shown in Theorem 3.2, and the cases that  $(i, j) \in \{(1, 3), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 3)\}$  follow from  $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = (J_{p+1} - I_{p+1}) \otimes J_{n^2}$ . The cases that  $i, j \in \{4, 5\}$  are obvious and the remaining cases that  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2\} \times \{4, 5\} \cup \{4, 5\} \times \{1, 2\}$  follow from the fact that the product of each block of  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  are multiples of the all-ones matrix.  $\square$

The intersection matrix  $B_1 = (p_{1,j}^k)_{j,k=0}^5$  is

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2(p-1) & \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2(p-1) & \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2(p-1) & \frac{1}{4}n^2p & \frac{1}{4}n(n-2)p \\ \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)p & \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2(p-1) & \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2(p-1) & \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2(p-1) & \frac{1}{4}n(n-2)p & \frac{1}{4}n(n-2)p \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(p-1) & \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(p-1) & \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(p-1) & 0 & \frac{1}{2}np \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}n-1 & \frac{1}{2}n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}n(n-2) & \frac{1}{2}n(n-2) & \frac{1}{2}n(n-2) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus the eigenmatrices  $P, Q$  are obtained as

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)p & \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)p & np & n-1 & n(n-1) \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2}n(n-1) & -\frac{1}{2}n(n-1) & -n & n-1 & n(n-1) \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{p} & \frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{p} & -n\sqrt{p} & n-1 & -n \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{p} & -\frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{p} & n\sqrt{p} & n-1 & -n \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{-p} & -\frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{-p} & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{-p} & \frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{-p} & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p & \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(p+1) & \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(p+1) & \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(p+1) & \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(p+1) \\ 1 & -1 & \frac{p+1}{2\sqrt{p}} & \frac{-p-1}{2\sqrt{p}} & -\frac{\sqrt{-1n(p+1)}}{2\sqrt{p}} & \frac{\sqrt{-1n(p+1)}}{2\sqrt{p}} \\ 1 & -1 & \frac{p+1}{2\sqrt{p}} & \frac{-p-1}{2\sqrt{p}} & \frac{\sqrt{-1n(p+1)}}{2\sqrt{p}} & -\frac{\sqrt{-1n(p+1)}}{2\sqrt{p}} \\ 1 & -1 & -\frac{(n-1)(p+1)}{2\sqrt{p}} & \frac{(n-1)(p+1)}{2\sqrt{p}} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & p & \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(p+1) & \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(p+1) & -\frac{1}{2}n(p+1) & -\frac{1}{2}n(p+1) \\ 1 & p & \frac{1}{2}(-p-1) & \frac{1}{2}(-p-1) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### 4 Non-commutative association schemes with $4m - 1$ classes

In this section, we construct a non-commutative association scheme from any given skew  $BGW(n+1, n, n-1)$  with zero diagonal over a cyclic group. The method described here is a modification of a construction based on a symmetric  $BGW(n+1, n, n-1)$  with zero diagonal over a cyclic group in [12].

Let  $W = (U_{2m}^{w_{ij}})_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$  be any skew  $BGW(n+1, n, n-1)$  with constant diagonal zero over the cyclic group  $\langle U_{2m} \rangle$  of order  $2m$ .

For  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ , define  $N_\ell$  to be a  $2m(n+1) \times 2m(n+1)$   $(0, 1)$ -matrix as an  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  block matrix with  $(i, j)$ -block of order  $2m$  equal to

$$[N_\ell]_{ij} = \begin{cases} O_{2m} & \text{if } i = j, \\ U_{2m}^{w_{ij}+\ell} R_{2m} & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

where recall that  $R_{2m}$  is the back diagonal matrix of order  $2m$ . Note that  $U_{2m}R_{2m} = R_{2m}U_{2m}^{-1}$ . Then the following holds.

**Theorem 4.1.** (1)  $\sum_{\ell=0}^{2m-1} N_\ell = (J_{n+1} - I_{n+1}) \otimes J_{2m}$ .

(2) For  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ ,  $N_{\ell+m}^\top = N_\ell$ , where the indices are taken modulo  $2m$ .

(3) For  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ ,  $N_\ell(I_{n+1} \otimes J_{2m}) = (I_{n+1} \otimes J_{2m})N_\ell = (J_{n+1} - I_{n+1}) \otimes J_{2m}$ .

(4) For  $\ell, \ell' \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ ,

$$N_\ell N_{\ell'}^\top = nI_{n+1} \otimes U_{2m}^{\ell-\ell'} + \frac{n-1}{2m}(J_{n+1} - I_{n+1}) \otimes J_{2m}.$$

In particular,  $N_0, \dots, N_{2m-1}$  are the adjacency matrices of divisible design digraphs with parameters  $(2m(n+1), n, 0, \frac{n-1}{2m}, n+1, 2m)$ .

*Proof.* (1): For distinct  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ , the  $(i, j)$ -block of  $\sum_{\ell=0}^{2m-1} N_\ell$  is

$$\left[ \sum_{\ell=0}^{2m-1} N_\ell \right]_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{2m-1} [N_\ell]_{ij} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{2m-1} U_{2m}^{w_{ij}+\ell} R_{2m} = U_{2m}^{w_{ij}} J_{2m} R_{2m} = J_{2m},$$

which proves (1).

(2): For distinct  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ , the transpose of  $(j, i)$ -block of  $N_{\ell+m}$  is

$$(U_{2m}^{w_{ji}+\ell+m} R_{2m})^\top = (U_{2m}^{w_{ij}+\ell} R_{2m})^\top = R_{2m} U_{2m}^{-w_{ij}-\ell} = U_{2m}^{w_{ij}+\ell} R_{2m},$$

which is equal to the  $(i, j)$ -block of  $N_\ell$ . Thus  $N_{\ell+m}^\top = N_\ell$  holds.

(3): It follows from the fact that each off-diagonal block of  $N_\ell$  is a permutation matrix.

(4): Let  $\ell, \ell' \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ . For  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ , we calculate the  $(i, j)$ -block of  $N_\ell N_{\ell'}^\top$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [N_\ell N_{\ell'}^\top]_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (1 - \delta_{i,k})(1 - \delta_{j,k}) U_{2m}^{w_{ik}+\ell} R_{2m} (U_{2m}^{w_{jk}+\ell'} R_{2m})^\top \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (1 - \delta_{i,k})(1 - \delta_{j,k}) U_{2m}^{w_{ik}-w_{jk}} U_{2m}^{\ell-\ell'} \\ &= \begin{cases} nU_{2m}^{\ell-\ell'} & \text{if } i = j, \\ \frac{n-1}{2m} J_{2m} & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired equality.  $\square$

We now construct an association scheme from the divisible design digraphs  $N_\ell$  ( $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ ). Define

$$A_{\ell,0} = I_{n+1} \otimes U_{2m}^\ell, \quad A_{\ell,1} = N_\ell$$

for  $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ . Then the following is the main theorem in this section.

**Theorem 4.2.** *The set of matrices  $\{A_{\ell,0}, A_{\ell,1} \mid \ell = 0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$  is a non-commutative association scheme with  $4m-1$  classes.*

*Proof.* The conditions (i)–(iii) are satisfied by Theorem 4.1 (1), (2). We need to show the condition (iv) is satisfied. It is easy to see that

$$A_{\ell,0} A_{\ell',0} = A_{\ell+\ell',0}, \quad A_{\ell,0} A_{\ell',1} = A_{\ell+\ell',1}, \quad A_{\ell,1} A_{\ell',0} = A_{\ell-\ell',1},$$

where the addition and subtraction of indices are taken modulo  $2m$ . Finally for  $\ell, \ell' \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m-1\}$ , Theorem 4.1 (4) reads

$$A_{\ell,1} A_{\ell',1} = nA_{\ell-\ell',0} + \frac{n-1}{2m}(A_{0,1} + \dots + A_{2m-1,1}),$$

where the subtraction of indices are taken modulo  $2m$ . Thus the condition (iv) is satisfied.  $\square$



We view the cyclic group  $\langle U_{2m} \rangle$  of order  $2m$  as the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}_{2m}$ . Let  $w = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/(2m)}$ . For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$ , the irreducible character denoted  $\chi_\beta$  is  $\chi_\beta(\alpha) = w^{\alpha\beta}$ . The character table  $K$  of the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}_{2m}$  is a  $2m \times 2m$  matrix with rows and columns indexed by the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{2m}$  with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -entry equal to  $\chi_\beta(\alpha)$ . Note that  $\chi_\beta(\alpha) = \chi_\alpha(\beta)$ . Then the Schur orthogonality relation shows  $KK^\top = 2mI_{2m}$ .

Define  $F_{\alpha,0}, F_{\alpha,1}$  as

$$F_{\alpha,0} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}} \chi_\alpha(\gamma) A_{\gamma,0}, \quad F_{\alpha,1} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}} \chi_\alpha(\gamma) A_{\gamma,1}.$$

Using the intersection numbers described in Theorem 4.2, we are able to conclude the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The matrices  $F_{\alpha,0}, F_{\alpha,1}$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$ ) satisfy the following equations; for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\alpha,0}F_{\beta,0} &= 2\delta_{\alpha,\beta}mF_{\alpha,0}, \\ F_{\alpha,1}F_{\beta,1} &= 2\delta_{\alpha,-\beta}nmF_{\alpha,0} + 2\delta_{\alpha,0}\delta_{\beta,0}m(n-1)F_{0,1}, \\ F_{\alpha,0}F_{\beta,1} &= 2\delta_{\alpha,\beta}mF_{\alpha,1}, \\ F_{\alpha,1}F_{\beta,0} &= 2\delta_{\alpha,-\beta}mF_{\alpha,1}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, j, k \in \{1, 2\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$ , let  $E_i, E_{j,k}^{(\alpha)}$  be

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \frac{1}{2(n+1)m} J_{2(n+1)m}, \\ E_1 &= \frac{1}{2(n+1)m} (nF_{0,0} - F_{0,1}), \\ E_2 &= \frac{1}{4m} (F_{m,0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}F_{m,1}), \\ E_3 &= \frac{1}{4m} (F_{m,0} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}F_{m,1}), \\ E_{1,1}^{(\alpha)} &= \frac{1}{2m} F_{\alpha,0}, \quad E_{2,2}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2m} F_{-\alpha,0}, \quad E_{1,2}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2m\sqrt{n}} F_{\alpha,1}, \quad E_{2,1}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2m\sqrt{n}} F_{-\alpha,1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.4.** *The matrices  $E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3, E_{1,1}^{(\alpha)}, E_{1,2}^{(\alpha)}, E_{2,1}^{(\alpha)}, E_{2,2}^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ , provide the Wedderburn decomposition of the adjacency algebra of the non-commutative association scheme in Theorem 4.2.*

*Proof.* It readily follows from Lemma 4.3. □

*Remark 4.5.* In Theorem 4.4, the matrix  $Q$  is determined by the matrices  $Q_k$  below. The adjacency algebra is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{4+(m-1)} \text{Mat}_{d_k}(\mathbb{C})$  where  $(d_k)_{k=1}^{4+(m-1)} = (1, 1, 1, 1, 2, \dots, 2)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 \\ \chi_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} n\chi_0 \\ -\chi_0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_3 &= \frac{n+1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_m \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_4 = \frac{n+1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_m \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_m \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_{k+4} &= (n+1) \begin{pmatrix} \chi_k & \mathbf{0} & \chi_{-k} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_k & \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_{-k} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ , where  $\mathbf{0}$  denotes the column zero vector.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} B_{0,0} &= A_{0,0}, & B_{\alpha,0} &= A_{\alpha,0} + A_{-\alpha,0}, & B_{m,0} &= A_{m,0}, \\ B_{0,1} &= A_{0,1}, & B_{\alpha,1} &= A_{\alpha,1} + A_{-\alpha,1}, & B_{m,1} &= A_{m,1}, \\ G_0 &= E_0, & G_1 &= E_1, & G_2 &= E_2, & G_3 &= E_3, \\ G_{\beta,4} &= E_{1,1}^{(\beta)} + E_{2,2}^{(\beta)} + E_{1,2}^{(\beta)} + E_{2,1}^{(\beta)}, \\ G_{\beta,5} &= E_{1,1}^{(\beta)} + E_{2,2}^{(\beta)} + E_{1,2}^{(\beta)} + E_{2,1}^{(\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}$ . Then the following is similarly shown.

**Theorem 4.6.** *The set of matrices  $\{B_{\alpha,0}, B_{\alpha,1} \mid \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, m\}$  forms a commutative association scheme and then the set of matrices  $\{G_i, G_{\beta,4}, G_{\beta,5} \mid i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 5\}, \beta \in \{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}\}$  with the second eigenmatrix  $Q$  given as*

$$Q = \begin{matrix} & G_0 & G_1 & G_2 & G_3 & G_{\beta,4} & G_{\beta,5} \\ \begin{matrix} B_{\alpha,0} \\ B_{\alpha,1} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & \frac{n+1}{2}\chi_m(\alpha) & \frac{n+1}{2}\chi_m(\alpha) & (n+1)\chi_\beta(\alpha) & (n+1)\chi_\beta(\alpha) \\ 1 & -1 & \frac{n+1}{2\sqrt{n}}\chi_m(\alpha) & -\frac{n+1}{2\sqrt{n}}\chi_m(\alpha) & \frac{n+1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_m(\beta) & -\frac{n+1}{\sqrt{n}}\chi_m(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

where  $\alpha$  runs over the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$  and  $\beta$  runs over the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}$ .

## 5 Commutative association schemes with $3q - 2$ classes

In this section, we modify the construction of divisible design graphs in [12] to obtain divisible design digraphs attached to the finite fields of odd characteristic, and show that they provide commutative association schemes.

Let  $q = p^m$  be an odd prime power with  $p$  an odd prime. We denote by  $\mathbb{F}_q$  the finite field of  $q$  elements, and  $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $H_q$  be the multiplicative table of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , i.e.,  $H_q$  is a  $q \times q$  matrix with rows and columns indexed by the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -entry equal to  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ . Then the matrix  $H_q$  is a generalized Hadamard matrix with parameters  $(q, 1)$  over the additive group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Let  $\phi$  be a permutation representation of the additive group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined as follows. Since  $q = p^m$ , we view the additive group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  as  $\mathbb{F}_p^m$ . Set a group homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{F}_p^m \rightarrow GL_q(\mathbb{R})$  as  $\phi((x_i)_{i=1}^m) = \otimes_{i=1}^m U_p^{x_i}$ .

From the generalized Hadamard matrix  $H_q$  and the permutation representation  $\phi$ , we construct  $q^2$  auxiliary matrices; for each  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , define a  $q^2 \times q^2$   $(0, 1)$ -matrix  $C_{\alpha, \alpha'}$  to be

$$C_{\alpha, \alpha'} = (\phi(\alpha(-\beta + \beta') + \alpha'))_{\beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{F}_q}.$$

Letting  $x$  be an indeterminate, we define  $C_{x, \alpha}$  by

$$C_{x, \alpha} = \phi(\alpha) \otimes J_q$$

for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

It is known that a symmetric Latin square of order  $v$  with constant diagonal exists for any positive even integer  $v$ , see [9]. Let  $L = (L(a, a'))_{a, a' \in S}$  be a symmetric Latin square of order

$q + 1$  on the symbol set  $S = \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{x\}$  with constant diagonal  $x$ . Write  $L$  as  $L = \sum_{a \in S} a \cdot P_a$ , where  $P_a$  is a symmetric permutation matrix of order  $q + 1$ . Note that  $P_x = I_{q+1}$ .

From the  $(0, 1)$ -matrices  $C_{\alpha, \alpha'}$ 's and the Latin square  $L$ , it is possible to construct divisible design digraphs as follows. For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , we define<sup>1</sup> a  $(q + 1)q^2 \times (q + 1)q^2$   $(0, 1)$ -matrix  $N_\alpha$

$$N_\alpha = (C_{L(a, a'), \alpha})_{a, a' \in S} = I_{q+1} \otimes \phi(\alpha) \otimes J_q + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_a \otimes C_{a, \alpha}.$$

In order to show that each  $N_\alpha$  is the adjacency matrix of a divisible design digraph and study more properties, we prepare a lemma on  $C_{\alpha, \alpha'}$  and  $P_a$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** (1) For  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $C_{\alpha, \alpha'}^\top = C_{\alpha, -\alpha'}$ .

(2) For  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} C_{a, \alpha} = J_{q^2}$ .

(3) For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} C_{a, \alpha} = qI_q \otimes \phi(\alpha) + (J_q - I_q) \otimes J_q$ .

(4) For  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $C_{a, \alpha} C_{a, \alpha'} = qC_{a, \alpha + \alpha'}$ .

(5) For distinct  $a, a' \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $C_{a, \alpha} C_{a', \alpha'} = J_{q^2}$ .

(6) For  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $(\phi(\alpha) \otimes J_q) C_{a, \alpha'} = C_{a, \alpha'} (\phi(\alpha) \otimes J_q) = J_{q^2}$ .

(7)  $\sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q, a \neq b} P_a P_b = (q - 1)(J_{q+1} - I_{q+1})$ .

*Proof.* (1): For  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$[C_{\alpha, \alpha'}]_{\beta\beta'}^\top = \phi(\alpha(-\beta + \beta') + \alpha')^\top = \phi(\alpha(-\beta' + \beta) - \alpha') = [C_{\alpha, -\alpha'}]_{\beta'\beta}.$$

Thus  $C_{\alpha, \alpha'}^\top = C_{\alpha, -\alpha'}$  holds.

(2): For  $\beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$[\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} C_{a, \alpha}]_{\beta\beta'} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} [C_{a, \alpha}]_{\beta\beta'} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi(a(\beta - \beta') + \alpha) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \phi(\alpha) = J_q.$$

Thus  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} C_{a, \alpha} = J_{q^2}$  holds. For the proof (3)-(7), see [12, Lemma 6.1]. □

We are now ready to focus on  $N_\alpha$ 's properties.

**Theorem 5.2.** (1)  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} N_\alpha = J_{(q+1)q^2}$ .

(2) For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $N_\alpha^\top = N_{-\alpha}$ .

(3) For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

$$N_\alpha N_\beta = q^2 I_{q+1} \otimes I_q \otimes \phi(\alpha + \beta) + q I_{q+1} \otimes (\phi(\alpha + \beta) - I_q) \otimes J_q \\ + q I_{q+1} \otimes J_{q^2} + (q + 1) J_{(q+1)q^2}.$$

In particular,  $N_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ) is the adjacency matrix of a divisible design digraph with parameters  $((q + 1)q^2, (q + 1)^2, 2q + 1, q + 1, q + 1, q^2)$ , and  $N_0$  is that of a divisible design graph with the same parameters.

---

<sup>1</sup>In [12], the back diagonal matrix  $R_{q^2}$  is post-multiplied with  $C_{a, \alpha}$  so that the matrix  $N_\alpha$  becomes symmetric.

*Proof.* (1): It follows from Lemma 5.1.

(2): It follows from Lemma 5.1 and the property that the matrices  $P_a$  are symmetric for  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

(3): We use Lemma 5.1 to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_\alpha N_\beta &= (I_{q+1} \otimes \phi(\alpha) \otimes J_q + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_a \otimes C_{a,\alpha})(I_{q+1} \otimes \phi(\beta) \otimes J_q + \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_b \otimes C_{b,\beta}) \\
&= qI_{q+1} \otimes \phi(\alpha + \beta) \otimes J_q + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_a \otimes C_{a,\alpha}(\phi(\beta) \otimes J_q) + \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_b \otimes (\phi(\alpha) \otimes J_q)C_{b,\beta} \\
&\quad + \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_a P_b \otimes C_{a,\alpha} C_{b,\beta} \\
&= qI_{q+1} \otimes \phi(\alpha + \beta) \otimes J_q + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_a \otimes J_{q^2} + \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_b \otimes J_{q^2} + \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_a P_b \otimes C_{a,\alpha} C_{b,\beta} \\
&= qI_{q+1} \otimes \phi(\alpha + \beta) \otimes J_q + 2(J_{q+1} - I_{q+1}) \otimes J_{q^2} + \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_a P_b \otimes C_{a,\alpha} C_{b,\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

The third term of the above is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} P_a^2 \otimes C_{a,\alpha} C_{a,\beta} + \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q, a \neq b} P_a P_b \otimes C_{a,\alpha} C_{b,\beta} \\
&= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} I_{q+1} \otimes qC_{a,\alpha+\beta} + \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q, a \neq b} P_a P_b \otimes J_{q^2} \\
&= qI_{q+1} \otimes (qI_q \otimes \phi(\alpha + \beta) + (J_q - I_q) \otimes J_q) + (q-1)(J_{q+1} - I_{q+1}) \otimes J_{q^2} \\
&= q^2 I_{q+1} \otimes I_q \otimes \phi(\alpha + \beta) + I_{q+1} \otimes J_{q^2} - qI_{q+1} \otimes I_q \otimes J_q + (q-1)J_{(q+1)q^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_\alpha N_\beta &= q^2 I_{q+1} \otimes I_q \otimes \phi(\alpha + \beta) + qI_{q+1} \otimes (\phi(\alpha + \beta) - I_q) \otimes J_q \\
&\quad + qI_{q+1} \otimes J_{q^2} + (q+1)J_{(q+1)q^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

We define  $(0, 1)$ -matrices  $A_{\alpha,i}$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ) as

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\alpha,0} &= I_{q(q+1)} \otimes \phi(\alpha), \\
A_{\alpha,1} &= I_{q+1} \otimes \phi(\alpha) \otimes J_q, \\
A_{\alpha,2} &= N_\alpha - I_{q+1} \otimes \phi(\alpha) \otimes J_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $A_{0,0} = I_{(q+1)q^2}$  and  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} A_{\alpha,0} = A_{0,1}$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *The set of matrices  $\{A_{\alpha,0}, A_{\beta,1}, A_{\alpha,2} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$  forms a commutative association scheme with  $3q - 2$  classes.*

*Proof.* The matrices  $A_{\alpha,0}$ 's and  $A_{\alpha,1}$ 's are non-zero  $(0, 1)$ -matrices. By the definition of  $N_\alpha$ ,  $A_{\alpha,2}$ 's are non-zero  $(0, 1)$ -matrices. And it holds that  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} (A_{\alpha,0} + A_{\alpha,2}) + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} A_{\beta,1} = J_{(q+1)q^2}$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $A_{\alpha,i}^\top = A_{-\alpha,i}$ . Next we will show that  $\mathcal{A} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{A_{\alpha,i} \mid$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  is closed under the matrix multiplication and the matrices are commuting.<sup>2</sup> For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , the following are easy to see:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha,0}A_{\beta,0} &= A_{\beta,0}A_{\alpha,0} = A_{\alpha+\beta,0}, \\ A_{\alpha,0}A_{\beta,1} &= A_{\beta,1}A_{\alpha,0} = A_{\beta,1}, \\ A_{\alpha,0}A_{\beta,2} &= A_{\beta,2}A_{\alpha,0} = A_{\alpha+\beta,2}, \\ A_{\alpha,1}A_{\beta,1} &= qA_{\alpha+\beta,1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1(6),

$$A_{\alpha,1}A_{\beta,2} = A_{\beta,2}A_{\alpha,1} = (J_{q+1} - I_{q+1}) \otimes J_{q^2}.$$

Finally by Theorem 5.2(3),

$$N_\alpha N_\beta = q^2 A_{\alpha+\beta,0} + q(A_{\alpha+\beta,1} - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} A_{\alpha,0}) + q \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} A_{\alpha,1} + (q+1)J_{(q+1)q^2}.$$

Now we calculate  $A_{\alpha,2}A_{\beta,2}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha,2}A_{\beta,2} &= (N_\alpha - A_{\alpha,1})(N_\beta - A_{\beta,1}) \\ &= N_\alpha N_\beta - N_\alpha A_{\beta,1} - A_{\alpha,1} N_\beta + A_{\alpha,1} A_{\beta,1} \\ &= N_\alpha N_\beta - 2(J_{q+1} - I_{q+1}) \otimes J_{q^2} + A_{\alpha+\beta,1}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it holds that  $A_{\alpha,2}A_{\beta,2} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under matrix multiplication and the matrices are commuting. This completes the proof.  $\square$

To describe the primitive idempotents, let  $F_{\alpha,i}$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ) be

$$F_{\alpha,i} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_\alpha(\gamma) A_{\gamma,i}.$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *The following hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\alpha,0}F_{\beta,0} &= \delta_{\alpha,\beta} q F_{\alpha,0}, \\ F_{\alpha,0}F_{\beta,1} &= F_{\beta,1}F_{\alpha,0} = \delta_{\alpha,0} q F_{\beta,1}, \\ F_{\alpha,0}F_{\beta,2} &= F_{\beta,2}F_{\alpha,0} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} q F_{\alpha,2}, \\ F_{\alpha,1}F_{\beta,1} &= \delta_{\alpha,\beta} q^2 F_{\alpha,1}, \\ F_{\alpha,1}F_{\beta,2} &= F_{\beta,2}F_{\alpha,1} = \delta_{\alpha,0} \delta_{\beta,0} q^2 F_{0,2}, \\ F_{\alpha,2}F_{\beta,2} &= \delta_{\alpha,\beta} q^3 F_{\alpha,0} + \delta_{\alpha,0} \delta_{\beta,0} q^2 (-qF_{0,0} + qF_{0,1} + (q-1)F_{0,2}). \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Note that we involve  $A_{0,1}$  in the space  $\mathcal{A}$  so that the description of the proof of (iv) becomes easier.

For  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , let  $E_i, E_{\alpha,j}$  be

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \frac{1}{(q+1)q^2} J_{(q+1)q^2} = \frac{1}{(q+1)q^2} (F_{0,1} + F_{0,2}), \\ E_1 &= \frac{1}{(q+1)q^2} (qF_{0,1} - F_{0,2}), \\ E_{\beta,2} &= \frac{1}{(q+1)q^2} (q+1)F_{\beta,1}, \\ E_{\beta,3} &= \frac{1}{(q+1)q^2} \left( \frac{q(q+1)}{2} F_{\beta,0} + \frac{q+1}{2} F_{\beta,2} \right), \\ E_{\beta,4} &= \frac{1}{(q+1)q^2} \left( \frac{q(q+1)}{2} F_{\beta,0} - \frac{q+1}{2} F_{\beta,2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.5.** *The matrices  $E_0, E_1, E_{\beta,2}, E_{\beta,3}, E_{\beta,4}$  ( $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ) are the primitive idempotents of the adjacency algebra of the association scheme.*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 5.4. □

The second eigenmatrix  $Q$  is written as

$$Q = \begin{matrix} & E_0 & E_1 & E_{\beta,2} & E_{\beta,3} & E_{\beta,4} \\ \begin{matrix} A_{\alpha,0} \\ A_{\alpha',1} \\ A_{\alpha,2} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q & q+1 & \frac{q(q+1)}{2}\chi_{\alpha}(\beta) & \frac{q(q+1)}{2}\chi_{\alpha}(\beta) \\ 1 & q & (q+1)\chi_{\alpha'}(\beta) & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & \frac{q+1}{2}\chi_{\alpha}(\beta) & \frac{-q-1}{2}\chi_{\alpha}(\beta) \end{pmatrix}, \end{matrix}$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\alpha', \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ .

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading and helpful comments. Hadi Kharaghani is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). Sho Suda is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K03395.

## References

- [1] E. Bannai, T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [2] D. Crnković and H. Kharaghani, Divisible design digraphs, Algebraic design theory and Hadamard matrices, 43–60, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 133, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [3] D. Crnković and H. Kharaghani, and A. Švob, Divisible design Cayley digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **343** (2020), no. 4, 111784, 8 pp.
- [4] E. van Dam, Three-class association schemes, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **10** (1999), 69–107.
- [5] W.H. Haemers, H. Kharaghani, and M. Meulenber, Divisible design graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118** (2011) 978–992.

- [6] D. G. Higman, Coherent configurations, Part 1: Ordinary representation theory, *Geom. Dedicata* **4** (1975), 1–32.
- [7] Yury J. Ionin and H. Kharaghani, Doubly regular digraphs and symmetric designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **101** (2003) 35–48.
- [8] L. K. Jørgensen, Normally regular digraphs, *Elec. J. Combin.* **22** (2015), P4.21.
- [9] H. Kharaghani, New class of weighing matrices, *Ars. Combin.* **19** (1985), 69–72.
- [10] H. Kharaghani, S. Sasani and S. Suda, A strongly regular decomposition of the complete graph and its association scheme, *Finite Fields Appl.* **48** (2017), 356–370.
- [11] H. Kharaghani and S. Suda, Linked systems of symmetric group divisible designs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **47** (2017), no. 2, 319–343.
- [12] H. Kharaghani and S. Suda, Non-commutative association schemes and their fusion association schemes, *Finite Fields Appl.* **52** (2018), 108–125.
- [13] H. Kharaghani and S. Suda, Linked system of symmetric group divisible designs of type II, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **87** (2019), no. 10, 2341–2360.
- [14] H. Kharaghani and S. Suda, Commutative association schemes obtained from twin prime powers, Fermat primes, Mersenne primes, *Finite Fields Appl.* **63** (2020), 101631, 22 pp.
- [15] R. Mathon, The systems of linked 2-(16, 6, 2) designs, *Ars Combin.* **11** (1981), 131–148.