

# LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE FOR A BACKWARD STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION DRIVEN BY $G$ -BROWNIAN MOTION WITH SUBDIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

ABDOULAYE SOUMANA HIMA AND IBRAHIM DAKAOU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study a large deviation principle for the solution of a backward stochastic differential equation driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion with subdifferential operator.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The large deviation principle (LDP in short) characterizes the limiting behavior, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , of family of probability measures  $\{\mu_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$  in terms of a rate function. Several authors have considered large deviations and obtained different types of applications mainly to mathematical physics. General references on large deviations are: [Varadhan \(1984\)](#); [Deuschel and Stroock \(1989\)](#); [Dembo and Zeitouni \(1998\)](#).

Let  $X^{s,x,\varepsilon}$  be the diffusion process that is the unique solution of the following stochastic differential equation (SDE in short)

$$(1.1) \quad X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} = x + \int_s^t \beta(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) dr + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_s^t \sigma(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) dW_r, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$$

where  $\beta$  is a Lipschitz function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\sigma$  is a Lipschitz function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ , and  $W$  is a standard Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  defined on a complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . The existence and uniqueness of the strong solution  $X^{s,x,\varepsilon}$  of (1.1) is standard. Thanks to the work of [Freidlin and Wentzell \(1984\)](#), the sequence  $(X^{s,x,\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$  converges in probability, as  $\varepsilon$  goes to 0, to  $(\varphi_t^{s,x})_{s \leq t \leq T}$  solution of the following deterministic equation

$$\varphi_t^{s,x} = x + \int_s^t \beta(\varphi_r^{s,x}) dr, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$$

and satisfies a LDP.

[Rainero \(2006\)](#) extended this result to the case of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs in short) and [Essaky \(2008\)](#) to BSDEs with subdifferential operator.

[Gao and Jiang \(2010\)](#) extended the work of [Freidlin and Wentzell \(1984\)](#) to stochastic differential equations driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion ( $G$ -SDEs in short). The authors considered the following  $G$ -SDE: for every  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$X_t^{x,\varepsilon} = x + \int_0^t b^\varepsilon(X_r^{x,\varepsilon}) dr + \varepsilon \int_0^t h^\varepsilon(X_r^{x,\varepsilon}) d\langle B, B \rangle_{r/\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \int_0^t \sigma^\varepsilon(X_r^{x,\varepsilon}) dB_{r/\varepsilon}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60F10; Secondary 60H10, 60H30.

*Key words and phrases.* Large deviations, contraction principle, backward stochastic differential equation,  $G$ -Brownian motion, subdifferential operator, variational inequality.

and use discrete time approximation to establish LDP for  $G$ -SDEs.

Hu et al. (2014a) proved the existence and uniqueness of the solutions for BSDEs driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion. Moreover, Hu et al. (2014b) showed the comparison theorem, Feynman-Kac formula, and Girsanov transformation for  $G$ -BSDEs and established the probabilistic interpretation for the viscosity solutions of a class of fully nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs in short).

Yang et al. (2017) proved the existence and uniqueness of a solution for a class of BSDEs driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion with subdifferential operator ( $G$ -MBSDEs in short) and established a probabilistic interpretation for the viscosity solutions of a class of nonlinear variational inequalities.

Recently, Dakaou and Hima (2020) established a LDP for backward stochastic differential equations driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion. More precisely, the authors considered the following forward-backward stochastic differential equation driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion: for every  $s \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{cases} X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} = x + \int_s^t b(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon})dr + \varepsilon \int_s^t h(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon})d\langle B, B \rangle_r + \varepsilon \int_s^t \sigma(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon})dB_r \\ Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} = \Phi(X_T^{s,x,\varepsilon}) + \int_t^T f(r, X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon})dr - \int_t^T Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}dB_r \\ \quad + \int_t^T g(r, X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon})d\langle B, B \rangle_r - (K_T^{s,x,\varepsilon} - K_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}) \end{cases}$$

They studied the asymptotic behavior of the solution of the backward equation and established a LDP for the corresponding process.

Motivated by the aforementioned works, we aim to establish LDP for  $G$ -BSDEs with subdifferential operator. More precisely, we consider the following forward-backward stochastic differential equation driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion with subdifferential operator: for every  $s \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{cases} X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} = x + \int_s^t b(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon})dr + \varepsilon \int_s^t h(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon})d\langle B, B \rangle_r + \varepsilon \int_s^t \sigma(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon})dB_r \\ -dY_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} + \partial\Pi(Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon})dt \ni f(t, X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_t^{s,x,\varepsilon})dt - Z_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}dB_t \\ \quad + g(t, X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_t^{s,x,\varepsilon})d\langle B \rangle_t - dK_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} \\ Y_T^{s,x,\varepsilon} = \Phi(X_T^{s,x,\varepsilon}) \end{cases}$$

where  $K^{s,x,\varepsilon}$  is a decreasing  $G$ -martingale;  $\partial\Pi$  is the subdifferential operator associated with  $\Pi$  which is a lower semicontinuous function.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminaries that are useful in this paper. Section 3 is devoted to the large deviations for stochastic differential equations driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion obtained by Gao and Jiang (2010). The large deviations for backward stochastic differential equations driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion with subdifferential operator are given in Section 4.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We review some basic notions and results about  $G$ -expectation,  $G$ -Brownian motion and  $G$ -stochastic integrals (see Peng, 2010; Hu et al., 2014a; for more details).

Let  $\Omega$  be a complete separable metric space, and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a linear space of real-valued functions defined on  $\Omega$  satisfying: if  $X_i \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then

$$\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the space of real continuous functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that for some  $C > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  depending on  $\varphi$ ,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C(1 + |x|^k + |y|^k)|x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Definition 2.1.** (*Sublinear expectation space*). A sublinear expectation  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a functional  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following properties: for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

- (1) Monotonicity: if  $X \geq Y$ , then  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$ ;
- (2) Constant preservation:  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[c] = c$ ;
- (3) Sub-additivity:  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X + Y] \leq \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$ ;
- (4) Positive homogeneity:  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$ , for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

$(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \widehat{\mathbb{E}})$  is called a *sublinear expectation space*.

**Definition 2.2.** (*Independence*). Fix the sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \widehat{\mathbb{E}})$ . A random variable  $Y \in \mathcal{H}$  is said to be independent of  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $X_i \in \mathcal{H}$ , if for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ ,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, Y)] = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, Y)] \Big|_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}\right].$$

Now we introduce the definition of  $G$ -normal distribution.

**Definition 2.3.** ( *$G$ -normal distribution*). A random variable  $X \in \mathcal{H}$  is called  $G$ -normally distributed, noted by  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, [\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2])$ ,  $0 \leq \underline{\sigma}^2 \leq \overline{\sigma}^2$ , if for any function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R})$ , the function  $u$  defined by  $u(t, x) := \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x + \sqrt{t}X)]$ ,  $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ , is a viscosity solution of the following  $G$ -heat equation:

$$\partial_t u - G(D_x^2 u) = 0, \quad u(0, x) = \varphi(x),$$

where

$$G(a) := \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\sigma}^2 a^+ - \underline{\sigma}^2 a^-).$$

In multi-dimensional case, the function  $G(\cdot) : \mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$G(A) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{tr}(\gamma \gamma^T A),$$

where  $\mathbb{S}_d$  denotes the space of  $d \times d$  symmetric matrices and  $\Gamma$  is a given nonempty, bounded and closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  which is the space of all  $d \times d$  matrices.

Throughout this paper, we consider only the non-degenerate case, i.e.,  $\underline{\sigma}^2 > 0$ .

Let  $\Omega := \mathcal{C}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ , which equipped with the raw filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by the canonical process  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , i.e.,  $B_t(\omega) = \omega_t$ , for  $(t, \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega$ . Let  $\Omega_T := \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  and let us consider the function spaces defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Lip(\Omega_T) &:= \left\{ \varphi(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}}) : n \geq 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq T, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}, \quad \text{for } T > 0, \\ Lip(\Omega) &:= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Lip(\Omega_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.4.** ( *$G$ -Brownian motion and  $G$ -expectation*). On the sublinear expectation space  $(\Omega, Lip(\Omega), \widehat{\mathbb{E}})$ , the canonical process  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is called a  $G$ -Brownian motion if the following properties are verified:

- (1)  $B_0 = 0$
- (2) For each  $t, s \geq 0$ , the increment  $B_{t+s} - B_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, [s\sigma^2, s\bar{\sigma}^2])$  and is independent from  $(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n})$ , for  $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t$ .

Moreover, the sublinear expectation  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}$  is called *G-expectation*.

*Remark 2.5.* For each  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $(\sqrt{\lambda}B_{t/\lambda})_{t \geq 0}$  is also a *G*-Brownian motion. This is the *scaling property* of *G*-Brownian motion, which is the same as that of the classical Brownian motion.

**Definition 2.6.** (*Conditional G-expectation*). For the random variable  $\xi \in Lip(\Omega_T)$  of the following form:

$$\varphi(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}}), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

the conditional *G*-expectation  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_i}[\cdot]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is defined as follows

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_{t_i}[\varphi(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})] = \widetilde{\varphi}(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}),$$

where

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_i) = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_i, B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})].$$

If  $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$ , then the conditional *G*-expectation  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\xi]$  could be defined by reformulating  $\xi$  as

$$\xi = \widehat{\varphi}(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_t - B_{t_i}, B_{t_{i+1}} - B_t, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}}), \quad \widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}).$$

For  $\xi \in Lip(\Omega_T)$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we consider the norm  $\|\xi\|_{L_G^p} := \left(\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^p]\right)^{1/p}$ . Denote by  $L_G^p(\Omega_T)$  the Banach completion of  $Lip(\Omega_T)$  under  $\|\cdot\|_{L_G^p}$ . It is easy to check that the conditional *G*-expectation  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\cdot] : Lip(\Omega_T) \rightarrow Lip(\Omega_t)$  is a continuous mapping and thus can be extended to  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\cdot] : L_G^p(\Omega_T) \rightarrow L_G^p(\Omega_t)$ .

**Definition 2.7.** (*G-martingale*). A process  $M = (M_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  with  $M_t \in L_G^1(\Omega_t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , is called a *G*-martingale if for all  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , we have

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_s[M_t] = M_s.$$

The process  $M = (M_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is called symmetric *G*-martingale if  $-M$  is also a *G*-martingale.

**Theorem 2.8.** (*Representation theorem of G-expectation, see Hu and Peng, 2009; Denis et al., 2011*). There exists a weakly compact set  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}_1(\Omega_T)$ , the set of probability measures on  $(\Omega_T, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_T))$ , such that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi] := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[\xi] \quad \text{for all } \xi \in L_G^1(\Omega_T).$$

$\mathcal{P}$  is called a set that represents  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a weakly compact set that represents  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}$ . For this  $\mathcal{P}$ , we define the *capacity* of a measurable set  $A$  by

$$\widehat{C}(A) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_T).$$

A set  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_T)$  is a polar if  $\widehat{C}(A) = 0$ . A property holds *quasi-surely* (q.s.) if it is true outside a polar set.

An important feature of the  $G$ -expectation framework is that the quadratic variation  $\langle B \rangle$  of the  $G$ -Brownian motion is no longer a deterministic process, which is given by

$$\langle B \rangle_t := \lim_{\delta(\pi_t^N) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (B_{t_{j+1}^N} - B_{t_j^N})^2,$$

where  $\pi_t^N = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N\}$ ,  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ , are refining partitions of  $[0, t]$ . By Peng (2010), for all  $s, t \geq 0$ ,  $\langle B \rangle_{t+s} - \langle B \rangle_t \in [s\underline{\sigma}^2, s\bar{\sigma}^2]$ ,  $q.s.$

Let  $M_G^0(0, T)$  be the collection of processes in the following form: for a given partition  $\pi_T^N := \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N\}$  of  $[0, T]$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \eta_t(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{[t_j, t_{j+1})}(t),$$

where  $\xi_i \in Lip(\Omega_{t_i})$ , for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ . For  $p \geq 1$  and  $\eta \in M_G^0(0, T)$ , let  $\|\eta\|_{H_G^p} := \left( \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \left( \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] \right)^{1/p}$ ,  $\|\eta\|_{M_G^p} := \left( \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \int_0^T |\eta_s|^p ds \right] \right)^{1/p}$  and denote by  $H_G^p(0, T)$ ,  $M_G^p(0, T)$  the completions of  $M_G^0(0, T)$  under the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{H_G^p}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{M_G^p}$  respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{S}_G^0(0, T) := \{h(t, B_{t_1 \wedge t}, B_{t_2 \wedge t} - B_{t_1 \wedge t}, \dots, B_{t_n \wedge t} - B_{t_{n-1} \wedge t}) : 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq T, h \in \mathcal{C}_{b, Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})\}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_{b, Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  is the collection of all bounded and Lipschitz functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . For  $p \geq 1$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_G^0(0, T)$ , we set  $\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{S}_G^p} := \left( \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\eta_t|^p \right] \right)^{1/p}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}_G^p(0, T)$  the completion of  $\mathcal{S}_G^0(0, T)$  under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_G^p}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** For  $\eta \in M_G^0(0, T)$  of the form (2.1), the Itô integral with respect to  $G$ -Brownian motion is defined by the linear mapping  $\mathcal{I} : M_G^0(0, T) \rightarrow L_G^2(\Omega_T)$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}(\eta) := \int_0^T \eta_t dB_t = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k (B_{t_{k+1}} - B_{t_k}),$$

which can be continuously extended to  $\mathcal{I} : H_G^1(0, T) \rightarrow L_G^2(\Omega_T)$ . On the other hand, the stochastic integral with respect to  $\langle B \rangle_t$  is defined by the linear mapping  $\mathcal{Q} : M_G^0(0, T) \rightarrow L_G^1(\Omega_T)$ ,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\eta) := \int_0^T \eta_t d\langle B \rangle_t = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k (\langle B \rangle_{t_{k+1}} - \langle B \rangle_{t_k}),$$

which can be continuously extended to  $\mathcal{Q} : H_G^1(0, T) \rightarrow L_G^1(\Omega_T)$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** (BDG type inequality, see Gao, 2009; Theorem 2.1). Let  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\eta \in H_G^p(0, T)$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & c_p \underline{\sigma}^p \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \left( \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] \\ & \leq \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \eta_r dB_r \right|^p \right] \leq C_p \bar{\sigma}^p \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \left( \int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < c_p < C_p < \infty$  are constants independent of  $\eta$ ,  $\underline{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$ .

For  $\xi \in Lip(\Omega_T)$ , let

$$\mathcal{E}(\xi) := \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\xi] \right).$$

$\mathcal{E}$  is called the  $G$ -evaluation.

For  $p \geq 1$  and  $\xi \in Lip(\Omega_T)$ , define

$$\|\xi\|_{p, \mathcal{E}} := (\mathcal{E}[|\xi|^p])^{1/p}$$

and denote by  $L_{\mathcal{E}}^p(\Omega_T)$  the completion of  $Lip(\Omega_T)$  under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p, \mathcal{E}}$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** (See [Song, 2011](#)). For any  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we have  $L_G^{\alpha+\delta}(\Omega_T) \subset L_{\mathcal{E}}^{\alpha}(\Omega_T)$ . More precisely, for any  $1 < \gamma < \beta := (\alpha + \delta)/\alpha$ ,  $\gamma \leq 2$  and for all  $\xi \in Lip(\Omega_T)$ , we have

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_t[|\xi|^{\alpha}] \right] \leq C \left\{ (\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^{\alpha+\delta}])^{\alpha/(\alpha+\delta)} + (\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^{\alpha+\delta}])^{1/\gamma} \right\},$$

where

$$C = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \left( 1 + 14 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-\beta/\gamma} \right).$$

*Remark 2.12.* By  $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\delta} < \frac{1}{\gamma} < 1$ , we have

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_t[|\xi|^{\alpha}] \right] \leq 2C \left\{ (\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^{\alpha+\delta}])^{\alpha/(\alpha+\delta)} + \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^{\alpha+\delta}] \right\}.$$

Set

$$C_1 = 2 \inf \left\{ \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \left( 1 + 14 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-\beta/\gamma} \right) : 1 < \gamma < \beta, \gamma \leq 2 \right\},$$

then

$$(2.2) \quad \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_t[|\xi|^{\alpha}] \right] \leq C_1 \left\{ (\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^{\alpha+\delta}])^{\alpha/(\alpha+\delta)} + \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^{\alpha+\delta}] \right\},$$

where  $C_1$  is a constant only depending on  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$ .

### 3. LARGE DEVIATIONS FOR $G$ -SDEs

In this section, we present the large deviations for  $G$ -SDEs obtained by [Gao and Jiang \(2010\)](#). The authors use discrete time approximation to obtain their results.

First, we recall the following notations on large deviations under a sublinear expectation.

Let  $(\chi, d)$  be a Polish space. Let  $(U^\varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0)$  be a family of measurable maps from  $\Omega$  into  $(\chi, d)$  and let  $\delta(\varepsilon), \varepsilon > 0$  be a positive function satisfying  $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

A nonnegative function  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\chi$  is called to be (good) *rate function* if  $\{x : \mathcal{I}(x) \leq \alpha\}$  (its level set) is (compact) closed for all  $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ .

$\left\{ \widehat{C}(U^\varepsilon \in \cdot) \right\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  is said to satisfy large deviation principle with speed  $\delta(\varepsilon)$  and with rate function  $\mathcal{I}$  if for any measurable closed subset  $\mathcal{F} \subset \chi$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta(\varepsilon) \log \widehat{C}(U^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}) \leq - \inf_{x \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{I}(x),$$

and for any measurable open subset  $\mathcal{O} \subset \chi$ ,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta(\varepsilon) \log \widehat{C}(U^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}) \geq - \inf_{x \in \mathcal{O}} \mathcal{I}(x).$$

In [Gao and Jiang \(2010\)](#), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the authors considered the following random perturbation SDEs driven by  $d$ -dimensional  $G$ -Brownian motion  $B$

$$X_t^{x,\varepsilon} = x + \int_0^t b^\varepsilon(X_r^{x,\varepsilon})dr + \varepsilon \int_0^t h^\varepsilon(X_r^{x,\varepsilon})d\langle B, B \rangle_{r/\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \int_0^t \sigma^\varepsilon(X_r^{x,\varepsilon})dB_{r/\varepsilon}$$

where  $\langle B, B \rangle$  is treated as a  $d \times d$ -dimensional vector,

$$b^\varepsilon = (b_1^\varepsilon, \dots, b_n^\varepsilon)^\tau : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \sigma^\varepsilon = (\sigma_{i,j}^\varepsilon) : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$$

and  $h^\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d^2}$ .

Consider the following conditions:

**(H1):**  $b^\varepsilon, \sigma^\varepsilon$  and  $h^\varepsilon$  are uniformly bounded;

**(H2):**  $b^\varepsilon, \sigma^\varepsilon$  and  $h^\varepsilon$  are uniformly Lipschitz continuous;

**(H3):**  $b^\varepsilon, \sigma^\varepsilon$  and  $h^\varepsilon$  converge uniformly to  $b := b^0, \sigma := \sigma^0$  and  $h := h^0$  respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  be the space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued continuous functions  $\varphi$  on  $[0, T]$  and  $\mathcal{C}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued continuous functions  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $[0, T]$  with  $\tilde{\varphi}_0 = 0$ .

Define

$$\mathbb{H}^d := \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) : \phi \text{ is absolutely continuous and} \right.$$

$$\left. \|\phi\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 := \int_0^T |\phi'(r)|^2 dr < +\infty \right\},$$

$$\mathbb{A} := \left\{ \eta = \int_0^t \eta'(r)dr; \eta' : [0, T] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \text{ Borel measurable and} \right. \\ \left. \eta'(t) \in \Sigma \text{ for all } t \in [0, T] \right\}.$$

We recall the following result of a joint large deviation principle for  $G$ -Brownian motion and its quadratic variation process.

**Theorem 3.1.** (See [Gao and Jiang, 2010](#); p. 2225).  $\left\{ \widehat{C}((\varepsilon B_{t/\varepsilon}, \varepsilon \langle B \rangle_{t/\varepsilon}) |_{t \in [0, T]} \in \cdot) \right\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  satisfies large deviation principle with speed  $\varepsilon$  and with rate function

$$J(\phi, \eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle \phi'(r), (\eta'(r))^{-1} \phi'(r) \rangle dr, & \text{if } (\phi, \eta) \in \mathbb{H}^d \times \mathbb{A}, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any  $(\phi, \eta) \in \mathbb{H}^d \times \mathbb{A}$ , let  $\Psi(\phi, \eta) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  be the unique solution of the following ordinary differential equation (ODE in short)

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\phi, \eta)(t) &= x + \int_0^t b(\Psi(\phi, \eta)(r))dr + \int_0^t \sigma(\Psi(\phi, \eta)(r))\phi'(r)dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^t h(\Psi(\phi, \eta)(r))\eta'(r)dr. \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  given and  $n \geq 1$ , for each  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ , set

$$\|\psi\|_\alpha := \sup_{s, t \in [0, T]} \frac{|\psi(s) - \psi(t)|}{|s - t|^\alpha}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_0^\alpha([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) : \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{|s-t| < \delta} \frac{|\psi(s) - \psi(t)|}{|s-t|^\alpha} = 0, \|\psi\|_\alpha < \infty \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** (See [Gao and Jiang, 2010](#); p. 2227). Let  $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$  and let (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. Then for any closed subset  $\mathcal{F}$  and any open subset  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $(\mathcal{C}_0^\alpha([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \widehat{C}((X_t^{x, \varepsilon} - x) |_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{F}) \leq - \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{F}} I(\psi),$$

and

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \widehat{C}((X_t^{x, \varepsilon} - x) |_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{O}) \geq - \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{O}} I(\psi),$$

where

$$I(\psi) = \inf \left\{ J(\phi, \eta) : \psi = \Psi(\phi, \eta) - x \right\}.$$

We immediately have the following result.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. Then for any closed subset  $\mathcal{F}$  and any open subset  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \widehat{C}((X_t^{x, \varepsilon} - x) |_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{F}) \leq - \inf_{\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{F}} \Lambda(\tilde{\varphi}),$$

and

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \widehat{C}((X_t^{x, \varepsilon} - x) |_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{O}) \geq - \inf_{\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{O}} \Lambda(\tilde{\varphi}),$$

where

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\varphi}) = \inf \left\{ J(\phi, \eta) : x + \tilde{\varphi} = \Psi(\phi, \eta) \right\}.$$

#### 4. LARGE DEVIATIONS FOR $G$ -BSDEs WITH SUBDIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

We consider the  $G$ -expectation space  $(\Omega_T, L_G^1(\Omega_T), \widehat{\mathbb{E}})$  with  $\Omega_T = \mathcal{C}_0([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  and  $\bar{\sigma}^2 = \widehat{\mathbb{E}}(B_1^2) \geq -\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(-B_1^2) = \underline{\sigma}^2 > 0$ .

**4.1. Assumptions and problem formulation.** [Yang et al. \(2017\)](#) obtained the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the following backward stochastic differential equation driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion with subdifferential operator

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} -dY_t + \partial\Pi(Y_t)dt \ni f(t, Y_t, Z_t)dt - Z_t dB_t + g(t, Y_t, Z_t)d\langle B \rangle_t - dK_t \\ Y_T = \xi \end{cases}$$

where

**(A1):**  $\Pi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is a proper lower semicontinuous (l.s.c. in short) convex function such that  $\Pi(y) \geq \Pi(0) = 0$ , for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dom}(\Pi) &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \Pi(y) < \infty\}, \\ \partial\Pi(y) &= \{u \in \mathbb{R} : \langle u, v - y \rangle + \Pi(y) \leq \Pi(v), \forall v \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ \text{Dom}(\partial\Pi) &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \partial\Pi(y) \neq \emptyset\}, \\ (y, u) \in \text{Gr}(\partial\Pi) &\iff y \in \text{Dom}(\partial\Pi), u \in \partial\Pi(y). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the subdifferential operator  $\partial\Pi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is a maximal monotone operator, that is

$$\langle y - y', u - u' \rangle \geq 0, \forall (y, u), (y', u') \in \text{Gr}(\partial\Pi).$$

**(A2):** For any  $y, z, f(\omega, \cdot, y, z), g(\omega, \cdot, y, z) \in M_G^2(0, T)$ .

**(A3):** The functions  $f: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $y, y', z, z' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, y', z')| + |g(t, x, y, z) - g(t, y', z')| \leq L(|y - y'| + |z - z'|).$$

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\xi \in L_G^2(\Omega_T)$ , the solution of the  $G$ -MBSDE (4.1) is a quadruple of processes  $(Y, Z, K, U)$  such that

- (1)  $Y \in \mathcal{S}_G^2(0, T)$ ,  $Z \in H_G^2(0, T)$ ,  $K$  is a decreasing  $G$ -martingale with  $K_0 = 0$ ,  $K_T \in L_G^2(\Omega_T)$  and  $U \in H_G^2(0, T)$ ;
- (2)

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\int_0^T \Pi(Y_r) dr\right) < +\infty;$$

- (3) For every  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t + \int_t^T U_r dr &= \xi + \int_t^T f(r, Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T g(r, Y_r, Z_r) d\langle B \rangle_r \\ &\quad - \int_t^T Z_r dB_r - (K_T - K_t), \text{ q.s.}; \end{aligned}$$

- (4)  $(Y_t, U_t) \in \text{Gr}(\partial\Pi)$ , q.s. on  $\Omega_T \times [0, T]$ .

To establish large deviation principle, we consider the following forward-backward stochastic differential equation driven by  $G$ -Brownian motion with subdifferential operator: for every  $s \leq t \leq T$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} = x + \int_s^t b(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) dr + \int_s^t \varepsilon h(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) d\langle B \rangle_r + \int_s^t \varepsilon \sigma(X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) dB_r \\ -dY_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} + \partial\Pi(Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}) dt \ni f(t, X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}) dt - Z_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} dB_t \\ \quad + g(t, X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}) d\langle B \rangle_t - dK_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} \\ Y_T^{s,x,\varepsilon} = \Phi(X_T^{s,x,\varepsilon}) \end{cases}$$

where  $\Pi$  is a proper l.s.c. convex function such that  $\Pi(y) \geq \Pi(0) = 0$ , for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$b, h, \sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \quad \Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \quad f, g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are deterministic functions and satisfy the following assumptions:

**(B1):**  $b, \sigma$  and  $h$  are bounded, i.e., there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \max \left\{ |b(x)|, |\sigma(x)|, |h(x)| \right\} \leq L,$$

**(B2):**  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous in  $t$ ;

**(B3):** There exist a constant  $L > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |b(x) - b(x')| + |h(x) - h(x')| + |\sigma(x) - \sigma(x')| &\leq L|x - x'|, \\ |\Phi(x) - \Phi(x')| &\leq L|x - x'|, \\ |f(t, x, y, z) - f(t, x', y', z')| &\leq L(|x - x'| + |y - y'| + |z - z'|), \\ |g(t, x, y, z) - g(t, x', y', z')| &\leq L(|x - x'| + |y - y'| + |z - z'|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [Yang et al. \(2017\)](#) that, under the assumptions **(B1)** – **(B3)**, the  $G$ -MBSDE (4.2) has a unique solution  $\{(Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, K_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, U_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}) : s \leq t \leq T\}$  such that



- (1) Let  $p \geq 2$ . For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a constant  $C_p > 0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$(4.7) \quad \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left( \sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \varphi_t^{s,x}|^p \right) \leq C_p \varepsilon^p.$$

- (2) Moreover,  $\left\{ \widehat{C} \left( (X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - x) \mid_{t \in [s,T]} \in \cdot \right) \right\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  satisfies a large deviation principle with speed  $\varepsilon$  and with rate function

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\varphi}) = \inf \left\{ J(\phi, \eta) : x + \tilde{\varphi} = \widehat{\Psi}(\phi, \eta) \right\},$$

where  $\widehat{\Psi}(\phi, \eta) \in \mathcal{C}([s, T], \mathbb{R})$  be the unique solution of the following ODE

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\phi, \eta)(t) = x + \int_s^t b(\widehat{\Psi}(\phi, \eta)(r)) dr.$$

We recall a very important result in large deviation theory, used to transfer a LDP from one space to another.

**Lemma 4.3.** (*Contraction principle*). Let  $\{\mu_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  be a family of probability measures that satisfies the large deviation principle with a good rate function  $\Lambda$  on a Hausdorff topological space  $\chi$ , and for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , let  $f_\varepsilon : \chi \rightarrow \Upsilon$  be continuous functions, with  $(\Upsilon, d)$  a metric space. Assume that there exists a measurable map  $f : \chi \rightarrow \Upsilon$  such that for any compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subset \chi$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{K}} d(f_\varepsilon(x), f(x)) = 0.$$

Suppose further that  $\{\mu_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  is exponentially tight. Then the family of probability measures  $\{\mu_\varepsilon \circ f_\varepsilon^{-1}\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  satisfies the LDP in  $\Upsilon$  with the good rate function

$$\Lambda'(y) = \inf \left\{ \Lambda(x) : x \in \chi, y = f(x) \right\}.$$

The proofs of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 can be found in [Dakaou and Hima \(2020\)](#).

**Theorem 4.4.** Let **(B1)** – **(B3)** hold. For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left( \sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x}|^2 \right) \leq C \varepsilon^2.$$

*Proof.* We consider the following  $G$ -BSDE: for every  $s \leq t \leq T$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_t &= \Phi(\varphi_T^{s,x}) + \int_t^T f(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, Y_r, Z_r) dr - \int_t^T U_r dr \\ &+ \int_t^T g(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, Y_r, Z_r) d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_t^T Z_r dB_r - (K_T - K_t). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to equation (4.6) and the uniqueness of the solution of the  $G$ -MBSDEs, it is easy to check that  $\{(\psi_t^{s,x}, 0, M_t^{s,x}, U_t^{s,x}) : s \leq t \leq T\}$  is the solution of the

$G$ -MBSDE (4.8). So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x} &= \Phi(X_T^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - \Phi(\varphi_T^{s,x}) - \int_t^T \{U_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - U_r^{s,x}\} dr \\
&+ \int_t^T \{f(r, X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - f(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0)\} dr \\
&+ \int_t^T \{g(r, X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - g(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0)\} d\langle B \rangle_r \\
&- \int_t^T Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} dB_r - (K_T^{s,x,\varepsilon} - K_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}) + (M_T^{s,x} - M_t^{s,x}).
\end{aligned}$$

For  $\gamma > 0$ , by Itô's formula applied to  $e^{\gamma t} |Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x}|^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\gamma t} |Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x}|^2 + \gamma \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}|^2 dr + \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}|^2 d\langle B \rangle_r \\
&= e^{\gamma T} |\Phi(X_T^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - \Phi(\varphi_T^{s,x})|^2 - 2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} \langle Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}, U_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - U_r^{s,x} \rangle dr \\
&+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} \langle Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}, f(r, X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - f(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0) \rangle dr \\
&+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} \langle Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}, g(r, X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - g(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0) \rangle d\langle B \rangle_r \\
&- \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} \langle Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}, Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} \rangle dB_r - 2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} \{Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}\} dK_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} \\
&+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} \{Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}\} dM_r^{s,x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\langle Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}, U_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - U_r^{s,x} \rangle \geq 0,$$

$$-2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} \{Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}\}^+ dK_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - 2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} \{Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}\}^- dM_r^{s,x} \geq 0.$$

Using Young's inequality and Lipschitz conditions of  $f$  and  $g$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{\gamma t} |Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x}|^2 + \gamma \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}|^2 dr + \underline{\sigma}^2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}|^2 dr + J_T - J_t \\
\leq & e^{\gamma T} |\Phi(X_T^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - \Phi(\varphi_T^{s,x})|^2 \\
& + 2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}| |f(r, X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - f(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0)| dr \\
& + 2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}| |g(r, X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - g(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0)| d\langle B \rangle_r \\
\leq & e^{\gamma T} |\Phi(X_T^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - \Phi(\varphi_T^{s,x})|^2 \\
& + 2L(1 + \bar{\sigma}^2) \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}| \{ |X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \varphi_r^{s,x}| + |Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}| + |Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}| \} dr \\
\leq & e^{\gamma T} |\Phi(X_T^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - \Phi(\varphi_T^{s,x})|^2 + L(1 + \bar{\sigma}^2) \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \varphi_r^{s,x}|^2 dr \\
& + L(1 + \bar{\sigma}^2) \left( 2 + \frac{L(1 + \bar{\sigma}^2)}{\underline{\sigma}^2} \right) \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}|^2 dr + \underline{\sigma}^2 \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}|^2 dr.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_t &= \int_0^t e^{\gamma r} Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} \{Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}\} dB_r \\
&+ 2 \int_0^t e^{\gamma r} \{Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}\}^+ dK_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} + 2 \int_0^t e^{\gamma r} \{Y_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_r^{s,x}\}^- dM_r^{s,x}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have, by setting  $\gamma = L(1 + \bar{\sigma}^2) \left( 2 + \frac{L(1 + \bar{\sigma}^2)}{\underline{\sigma}^2} \right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
|Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x}|^2 + J_T - J_t &\leq e^{\gamma T} |\Phi(X_T^{s,x,\varepsilon}) - \Phi(\varphi_T^{s,x})|^2 \\
&+ L(1 + \bar{\sigma}^2) \int_t^T e^{\gamma r} |X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \varphi_r^{s,x}|^2 dr \\
&\leq e^{\gamma T} (L^2 + L(1 + \bar{\sigma}^2)T) \sup_{s \leq r \leq T} |X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \varphi_r^{s,x}|^2 \\
&\leq C \sup_{s \leq r \leq T} |X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \varphi_r^{s,x}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $J$  is a  $G$ -martingale, taking conditional  $G$ -expectation, we get

$$|Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x}|^2 \leq C \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[ \sup_{s \leq r \leq T} |X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \varphi_r^{s,x}|^2 \right].$$

Thus we obtain

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x}|^2 \right] \leq C \widehat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \sup_{s \leq r \leq T} |X_r^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \varphi_r^{s,x}|^2 \right].$$

So, by virtue of (4.7), the proof is complete.  $\square$

We have an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4.

**Corollary 4.5.** *For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  and all  $x$  in a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $s, x$  and  $\varepsilon$ , such that*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x}|^2\right) \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let (B1) – (B3) hold. For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_s^T |Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}|^2 dr\right] + \widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left(\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |K_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - M_t^{s,x}|^2\right) \leq C\varepsilon^2,$$

where  $M^{s,x}$  is the following decreasing  $G$ -martingale:

$$M_t^{s,x} = \int_s^t g(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0) d\langle B \rangle_r - 2 \int_s^t G(g(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0)) dr.$$

*Remark 4.7.* As a consequence of Theorems 4.4 and 4.6, we get

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - \psi_t^{s,x}|^2 + \int_s^T |Z_r^{s,x,\varepsilon}|^2 dr + \sup_{s \leq t \leq T} |K_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - M_t^{s,x}|^2\right] \leq C\varepsilon^2,$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant and then the solution  $\{(Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, Z_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, K_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}, U_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}) : s \leq t \leq T\}$  of the  $G$ -MBSDE (4.4) converges to  $\{(\psi_t^{s,x}, 0, M_t^{s,x}, U_t^{s,x}) : s \leq t \leq T\}$  where  $\psi^{s,x}$  is the solution of the following backward ODE:

$$\psi_t^{s,x} = \Phi(\varphi_T^{s,x}) + \int_t^T f(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0) dr - \int_t^T U_r^{s,x} dr + 2 \int_t^T G(g(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0)) dr,$$

and  $M^{s,x}$  is the following decreasing  $G$ -martingale:

$$M_t^{s,x} = \int_s^t g(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0) d\langle B \rangle_r - 2 \int_s^t G(g(r, \varphi_r^{s,x}, \psi_r^{s,x}, 0)) dr.$$

We now want to prove that the process  $Y^{s,x,\varepsilon}$  satisfies a LDP. For that reason, we recall the link between Variational Inequality (VI in short) and  $G$ -MBSDEs. For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we consider the following VI

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u^\varepsilon + \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(D_x^2 u^\varepsilon, D_x u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon, x, t) \in \partial \Pi(u^\varepsilon(t, x)), \\ u^\varepsilon(T, x) = \Phi(x), x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(D_x^2 u^\varepsilon, D_x u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon, x, t) &= G(H(D_x^2 u^\varepsilon, D_x u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon, x, t)) + \langle b(x), D_x u^\varepsilon \rangle \\ &\quad + f(t, x, u^\varepsilon, \langle \varepsilon \sigma(x), D_x u^\varepsilon \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H(D_x^2 u^\varepsilon, D_x u^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon, x, t) &= D_x^2 u^\varepsilon \varepsilon^2 \sigma \sigma^\top + 2 \langle D_x u^\varepsilon, \varepsilon h(x) \rangle \\ &\quad + 2g(t, x, u^\varepsilon, \langle \varepsilon \sigma(x), D_x u^\varepsilon \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$(4.10) \quad u^\varepsilon(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x,\varepsilon}, (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(4.11) \quad u^0(t, x) = \psi_t^{t,x}, (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

In Yang et al. (2017) it is shown that  $u^\varepsilon$  is a viscosity solution of VI (4.9) and we have

$$(4.12) \quad Y_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} = u^\varepsilon(t, X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon}), \forall t \in [s, T].$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_{0,s}([s, T], \mathbb{R})$  be the space of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued continuous functions  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $[s, T]$  with  $\tilde{\varphi}_s = 0$ .

Let  $s \in [0, T]$  and  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . We define the mapping  $F^\varepsilon : \mathcal{C}_{0,s}([s, T], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([s, T], \mathbb{R})$  by

$$(4.13) \quad F^\varepsilon(\tilde{\varphi}) = [t \mapsto u^\varepsilon(t, x + \tilde{\varphi}_t)], \quad s \leq t \leq T, \quad \tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}_{0,s}([s, T], \mathbb{R}),$$

where  $u^\varepsilon$  is given by (4.10) and  $u^0$  by (4.11).

By virtue of (4.13) and (4.12), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $Y^{s,x,\varepsilon} = F^\varepsilon(X^{s,x,\varepsilon} - x)$ .

We have the following result of large deviations

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let (B1) – (B3) hold. Then for any closed subset  $\mathcal{F}$  and any open subset  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathcal{C}([s, T], \mathbb{R})$ ,*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \widehat{C}(Y^{s,x,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{F}) \leq - \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{F}} \Lambda'(\psi),$$

and

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \widehat{C}(Y^{s,x,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{O}) \geq - \inf_{\psi \in \mathcal{O}} \Lambda'(\psi),$$

where

$$\Lambda'(\psi) = \inf \left\{ \Lambda(\tilde{\varphi}) : \psi_t = F^0(\tilde{\varphi})(t) = u^0(t, x + \tilde{\varphi}_t), t \in [s, T], \tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}_{0,s}([s, T], \mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Since the family  $\left\{ \widehat{C}((X_t^{s,x,\varepsilon} - x) |_{t \in [s, T]} \in \cdot) \right\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  is exponentially tight (see Lemma 3.4 p. 2235 in Gao and Jiang (2010)), by virtue of Lemma 4.3 (contraction principle) and Lemma 4.2, we just need to prove that  $F^\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  are continuous and  $\{F^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  converges uniformly to  $F^0$  on every compact subset of  $\mathcal{C}_{0,s}([s, T], \mathbb{R})$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $u^\varepsilon$  is continuous, it is not hard to prove that  $F^\varepsilon$  is also continuous. The uniform convergence of  $\{F^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$  is a consequence of Corollary 4.5.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- I. Dakaou and A. S. Hima. Large Deviations for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations Driven by  $G$ -Brownian Motion. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, pages 1–23, 2020. ISSN 0894-9840. doi: 10.1007/s10959-020-01005-0.
- A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*. Springer, Berlin, 2nd edition, 1998.
- L. Denis, M. Hu, and S. Peng. Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to  $G$ -brownian motion paths. *Potential Anal.*, 34:139–161, 2011.
- J.-D. Deuschel and D. W. Stroock. *Large Deviations*. Academic Press Inc., Boston, 1989.
- E. H. Essaky. Large deviation principle for a backward stochastic differential equation with subdifferential operator. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 346:75–78, 2008.
- M. I. Freidlin and A. D. Wentzell. *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems*. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- F. Gao. Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by  $G$ -brownian motion. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 119:3356–3382, 2009.
- F. Gao and H. Jiang. Large deviations for stochastic differential equations driven by  $G$ -brownian motion. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 120:2212–2240, 2010.

- M. Hu and S. Peng. On representation theorem of  $G$ -expectations and paths of  $G$ -Brownian motion. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series*, 25: 539–546, 2009.
- M. Hu, S. Ji, S. Peng, and Y. Song. Backward stochastic differential equations driven by  $G$ -brownian motion. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 124: 759–784, 2014a.
- M. Hu, S. Ji, S. Peng, and Y. Song. Comparison theorem, Feynman-Kac formula and Girsanov transformation for BSDEs driven by  $G$ -brownian motion. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 124:1170–1195, 2014b.
- S. Peng. *Nonlinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty*. arXiv:1002.4546v1 [math.PR], 2010.
- S. Rainero. Un principe de grandes déviations pour une équation différentielle stochastique progressive rétrograde. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 343:141–144, 2006.
- Y. Song. Some properties on  $G$ -evaluation and its applications to  $G$ -martingale decomposition. *SCIENCE CHINA Mathematics*, 54 No. 2:287–300, 2011.
- S. R. S. Varadhan. *Large Deviations and Applications*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, 1984.
- F. Yang, Y. Ren, and L. Hu. Multi-valued backward stochastic differential equations driven by  $G$ -brownian motion and its applications. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 40:4696–4708, 2017.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DAN DICKO DANKOULO DO DE MARADI, BP 465, MARADI, NIGER

*E-mail address*, A. S. Hima: `soumanahima@yahoo.fr`

*E-mail address*, I. Dakaou: `idakaou@yahoo.fr`