

The $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ spin s representations via $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ sigma models

P.P. Goldstein

*National Centre for Nuclear Research,
Pasteur St. 7, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland.**

A.M. Grundland

*Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal,
CP 6128, Succ. Centre-Ville, Montréal (QC) H3C 3J7, Canada,
and Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Université du Québec, CP 500, Trois-Rivières, (QC) G9A 5H7, Canada.†*

A.M. Escobar Ruiz

*Centre de Recherches Mathématiques,
Université de Montréal, CP 6128, Succ. Centre-Ville,
Montréal (QC) H3C 3J7, Canada.‡*

Abstract

We establish and analyze a new relationship between the matrices describing an arbitrary component of a spin s , where $2s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and the matrices of $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ two-dimensional Euclidean sigma models. The spin matrices are constructed from the rank-1 Hermitian projectors of the sigma models or from the antihermitian immersion functions of their soliton surfaces in the $\mathfrak{su}(2s + 1)$ algebra. For the spin matrices which can be represented as a linear combination of the generalized Pauli matrices, we find the dynamics equation satisfied by its coefficients. The equation proves to be identical to the stationary equation of a two-dimensional Heisenberg model. We show that the same holds for the matrices congruent to the generalized Pauli ones by any coordinate-independent unitary linear transformation. These properties open the possibility for new interpretations of the spins and also for application of the methods known from the theory of sigma models to the situations described by the Heisenberg model, from statistical mechanics to quantum computing.

PACS75.10.Hk, 02.30.Ik, 05.90.+m, 11.10.Lm, 67.57.Lm

Keywords: sigma model, projector formalism, spin matrices, Lie algebra, Heisenberg model

INTRODUCTION

The simplest $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ sigma models, $2s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, were invented by Gell-Mann in 1960 [12] and later developed by Callan et al. [5, 6] to explain pion lifetime. They have found many other applications since then, such as [8, 24, 30, 31, 36, 41]. In this paper we consider another possible application of these models. Namely, an appropriate combination of rank-1 projectors, which are the basic building blocks of the models, may be a representation of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ algebra in \mathbb{C}^N and thus describe spin (or isospin) matrices corresponding to the maximal component of a spin $s = (N - 1)/2$. Our objective is to analyze the conditions which make it possible and suggest its applications.

In Section 2 we summarize the basic information about the $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ models. In Section 3 we show that some linear combination of the projectors behaves like a component of a spin vector and is always congruent to the generalized Pauli matrix of the appropriate size. On the other hand, we present a counterexample, demonstrating that these combinations of projectors cannot always be combinations of the generalized Pauli matrices. Finally we show that those matrices which actually are such combinations (or are congruent to them by a coordinate-independent unitary transformation) satisfy a propagation equation of a stationary two-dimensional (2D) Heisenberg model. In Section 4 we recall the results of [7] which state that projectors mapping on the directions of the Veronese vectors always yield spins when combined in the above way. Possible applications of these results, which include quantum computing, are mentioned in Section 5.

BASICS OF THE $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ SIGMA MODELS

The main feature of the nonlinear sigma models in field theory is that the transformed field admits a very simple effective Lagrangian density, defined in \mathbb{C} , assuming values in some manifold [38]

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \phi^T \partial^\mu \phi, \quad (1)$$

with appropriate algebraic constraints on the field ϕ . This way, the complexity of their dynamics relies on the geometry of the target space. Such an approach has found many applications, such as [5, 6, 8, 24, 30, 31, 36, 41]. Even for the very simple $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ models, where the target is a single complex $N - dimensional$ sphere, their properties are highly

nontrivial [9, 10, 13–15, 17, 19, 20, 38, 39]. These models are the starting point of our research.

As a rule, the domain is parametrized in terms of the complex variables $\xi = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$, while the target manifold variables are either vectors z of a complex unit sphere, $z^\dagger \cdot z = 1$, embedded in \mathbb{C}^N , or the Grassmannian homogeneous variables f such that $z = f/(f^\dagger \cdot f)^{1/2}$ (the dagger superscript denotes the Hermitian conjugation). Another convenient choice of the variables may be projectors $P \in GL^N(\mathbb{C})$ mapping on the directions of z (and f), namely

$$P = z \otimes z^\dagger = \frac{f \otimes f^\dagger}{f^\dagger \cdot f}, \quad (2)$$

where \otimes is the tensor product. The last description proves to be simple and fruitful. The action corresponding to the Lagrangian density (1) integrated over the Riemann sphere S^2 (with a constant factor for convenience) becomes

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} d\xi d\bar{\xi} \operatorname{tr} (\partial P \cdot \bar{\partial} P), \quad (3)$$

under the idempotency condition

$$P^2 - P = 0, \quad P^\dagger = P, \quad \operatorname{tr} P = 1, \quad (4)$$

where ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ are the derivatives with respect to ξ and $\bar{\xi}$ respectively. The Euler-Lagrange (E-L) equations are simply [38]

$$[P, \partial \bar{\partial} P] = 0, \quad (5)$$

where the square bracket denotes the commutator. Their solutions satisfying the condition (4) may be obtained by a recurrence procedure. Namely, it was proven in [9, 10] that all solutions corresponding to the finite action (3), expressed in terms of the homogeneous variables f_k , result from a holomorphic solution f_0 (any) by consecutive application of a raising operator

$$f_{k+1} = \mathcal{P}^+ f_k = \left(\mathbb{I}_{2s+1} - \frac{f_k \otimes f_k^\dagger}{f_k^\dagger \cdot f_k} \right) \cdot \partial f_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, 2s, \quad (6)$$

where \mathbb{I}_N is the $N \times N$ unit matrix.

The last nontrivial vector f_{k+1} is the antiholomorphic solution f_{2s} (the action of \mathcal{P}^+ on an antiholomorphic vector obviously yields a zero vector). Similarly all solutions can be obtained from an antiholomorphic solution by an analogous lowering operator \mathcal{P}^- .

These raising and lowering operators have their counterparts for projectors [14, 15], namely for $k = 0, \dots, 2s$

$$P_{k+1} = \Pi^+(P_k) = t_{k+1} \partial P_k \cdot P_k \cdot \bar{\partial} P_k, \quad P_{k-1} = \Pi^-(P_k) = t_k \bar{\partial} P_k \cdot P_k \cdot \partial P_k, \quad (7)$$

where the real scalars

$$t_j(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = [\text{tr}(\bar{\partial} P_j \cdot P_j \cdot \partial P_j)]^{-1} = [\text{tr}(\partial P_{j-1} \cdot P_{j-1} \cdot \bar{\partial} P_{j-1})]^{-1} \quad (8)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, 2s$, while $t_0 = t_{2s+1} = 0$.

The $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ sigma models with finite action are completely integrable [10]. Furthermore, the E-L equations can be written in the form of a conservation law

$$\partial [\bar{\partial} P, P] + \bar{\partial} [\partial P, P] = 0, \quad (9)$$

which shows that a total differential can be constructed out of the commutators (9). The integral of the total differential over any contour γ (with the constant of integration ensuring tracelessness) is an antihermitian immersion function $X_k(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ of a two-dimensional (2D) surface in a $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ Lie algebra. Moreover, these immersion functions can explicitly be expressed as linear combinations of the projectors P_k , $k = 0, \dots, 2s$, [20]. The definition and the explicit form of the immersion functions are

$$X_k = i \int_{\gamma} (-[\partial P, P] d\xi + [\bar{\partial} P, P] d\bar{\xi}) = -i \left(P_k + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_j \right) + \frac{i(1+2k)}{2s+1} \mathbb{I}_{2s+1}. \quad (10)$$

They describe 2D soliton surfaces whose conditions of immersion are the E-L equations (5). These surfaces have no common points, except for $\mathbb{C}P^1$, where the only two surfaces X_0 and X_1 coincide [16].

The immersion function matrices X_k span a Cartan subalgebra of the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra.

From the fact that the P_k are mutually orthogonal projectors of rank 1, it follows that each of them has only one nonzero eigenvalue equal to 1 and together they constitute a partition of unity. Hence an appropriate linear combination of these projectors may have any required set of eigenvalues. A matrix corresponding to a component of a spin vector, say S^z , has eigenvalues $-s, -s+1, \dots, s$ with s being a positive integer or half-integer ($2s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$), with the exception of the trivial case $s = 0$. This way, a spin matrix may be constructed from $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ projectors as

$$S^z = \sum_{k=0}^{2s} (k-s) P_k. \quad (11)$$

Up to a constant factor, this combination is equal to the sum of the immersion functions of the disjoint soliton surfaces X_k

$$S^z = (-i/2) \sum_{k=0}^{2s} X_k, \quad (12)$$

which can provide an interpretation of the spin as a composite phenomenon.

We will refer to the matrices which may describe components of spins as *spin matrices*.

SPIN MATRICES

Before proceeding to our main results, we summarize some properties of the spin matrices associated with the CP^{2s} models expressed in terms of rank-1 Hermitian projectors P_k

Properties of the spin matrices

Note that all the discussed properties of the spin matrices S^z will follow from the defining relation (11) and from the fact that the Hermitian matrices P_k map onto one-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^N .

Property 1. If a Hermitian rank-1 projector P_k maps onto a one-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{C}^N , $N = 2s + 1$, then the trace and the rank of the spin matrix S^z (11) are

$$\text{tr } S^z = 0, \quad \text{rank } S^z = \begin{cases} N & \text{for } N = 2n, \\ N - 1 & \text{for } N = 2n + 1, \end{cases} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (13)$$

The fact that, for even N , the ranks of the matrices S^z are equal to N , while for odd N , the ranks of the matrices S^z are equal to $N - 1$ is due to the presence of a zero eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector P_k , where $k = s = \frac{1}{2}(N - 1)$.

Property 2. The quadratic form corresponding to the Killing form in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ for the matrix S^z is constant and may be defined as

$$\langle S^z, S^z \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \text{tr}(S^z \cdot S^z) = \frac{N^2 - 1}{12} = \frac{s(s + 1)}{3}, \quad (14)$$

which corresponds to the expected value for the length of one (say z) component of a quantum-mechanical spin vector.

Property 3. The spin matrix S^z satisfies an algebraic condition determined by the characteristic polynomial corresponding to the eigenvalue problem

$$(S^z - \lambda_j \mathbb{I}_N) P_j = \mathbf{0}. \quad (15)$$

has the form

$$F(S^z) \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (S^z - s I_N) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (16)$$

where I_N is the $N \times N$ identity matrix. As all eigenvalues are different, (16) is the minimal polynomial.

Property 4. According to our earlier result (eq. (47) of [15]) if rank-1 projectors P_k satisfy the E-L equations (5), then any linear combination of these projectors is also a solution of (5) (this fact is nontrivial, because the E-L equations are nonlinear). Hence the spin matrices S^z (11) also satisfy the same E-L equations (5), except that the constraint is (16) (instead of $P^2 = P$).

Property 5. It follows from Property 4 that the spin matrices S^z are conditional stationary points of the same action integral (3) as the projectors, but the condition is (16) (rather than $P^2 = P$).

S^z matrices as spins

A 3-dimensional (3D) basis for spin matrices describing a system of spin $s = (N - 1)/2$ are three $N \times N$ generalized Pauli Hermitian matrices whose elements read [27]

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma^x)_{mn} &= (\delta_{m,n+1} + \delta_{m+1,n}) \sqrt{s(m+n+1) - mn} \\ (\sigma^y)_{mn} &= i(\delta_{m,n+1} - \delta_{m+1,n}) \sqrt{s(m+n+1) - mn} \\ (\sigma^z)_{mn} &= 2(s-m)\delta_{mn}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $0 \leq m, n \leq N - 1$. These matrices generate an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ in \mathbb{C}^N .

We know from the proof of [7] that a special role is played by the solutions of the $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ sigma models which stem from the Veronese sequence of holomorphic functions

$$f_0(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = \sum_{j=0}^{2s} \binom{2s}{j}^{1/2} \xi^j. \quad (18)$$

The functions f_0 (18) and f_k , $k = 1, \dots, 2s$, obtained from f_0 by the recurrence formulae (6), define the corresponding rank-1 projectors by means of (2). The spin matrix S^z obtained from these projectors (11) is tridiagonal and can be uniquely decomposed into a linear combination of matrices $\sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z$ (17). These solutions will be discussed in detail in the next section. Unfortunately, not all spin matrices (11) have such a property.

Example A simple counterexample may be constructed from the recurrence formulae applied e.g. to the following holomorphic vector of a $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$

$$f_0(\xi) = (1, \xi, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^{2s}). \quad (19)$$

The resulting spin matrix S^z is obtained from the projectors P_k (2) as their linear combination (11) where the projectors follow from the recurrence formulae (7) applied to $P_0 = f_0 \otimes f_0^\dagger / (f_0^\dagger \cdot f_0)$. This matrix does not have to be tridiagonal, whereas any combination of the diagonal σ^z and tridiagonal σ^x, σ^y has to be tridiagonal as its components are. In the simplest case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, the 3×3 S^z matrix has a nonzero element

$$(S^z)_{13} = -3\xi\xi^{\bar{3}} [(\xi^2\xi^{\bar{2}} + \xi\xi^{\bar{1}} + 1)(\xi^2\xi^{\bar{2}} + 4\xi\xi^{\bar{1}} + 1)]^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

Obviously, $(S^z)_{31}$ is also nonzero as its complex conjugate. Hence the matrix is not tridiagonal.

On the other hand, it is evident that any diagonalizable $N \times N$ matrix having the proper eigenvalues is congruent to $\sigma^z/2$ (and also to $\sigma^x/2$ or $\sigma^y/2$, by different congruency transformations). The spin-like linear combination of projectors (11) is Hermitian, so it is diagonalizable by a unitary matrix. Let U be the unitary diagonalizing matrix for S^z (11), both S^z and U being functions of $(\xi, \bar{\xi})$. Then

$$(a) \quad \sigma^z = 2U^{-1} \cdot S^z \cdot U, \quad (b) \quad S^z = \frac{1}{2}U \cdot \sigma^z \cdot U^{-1}. \quad (21)$$

If U acts on σ^x and σ^y in the same way, we obtain three matrices which constitute a basis for another irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ in \mathbb{C}^N (it is straightforward to show that they span a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(N)$).

In the context of (21b), the whole dynamics of the spin matrix lies in the unitary transformation U . On the other hand, in some situations, we can analyze the dynamics of spin matrices without referring to the transformation (21).

Let us start with the matrices S^z which are combinations of the generalized Pauli matrices (17)

$$S^z(\xi, \bar{\xi}) = \alpha^x(\xi, \bar{\xi})\sigma^x + \alpha^y(\xi, \bar{\xi})\sigma^y + \alpha^z(\xi, \bar{\xi})\sigma^z, \quad (\alpha^x)^2 + (\alpha^y)^2 + (\alpha^z)^2 = 1/4. \quad (22)$$

The coefficients in (22) are the coordinates of the spin vector in the basis (17). For such matrices S^z we have

Proposition 1. *Let be a vector whose components are the α^x , α^y , α^z of equation (22). Then it satisfies the equation*

$$\alpha \times \alpha_{\xi\bar{\xi}} = 0, \quad (23)$$

where \times denotes the usual vector product in \mathbb{C}^3 . The vector α is subject to the normalization condition

$$4\alpha \cdot \alpha - 1 = 0. \quad (24)$$

Equation (23) is a counterpart of the E-L equations (5) in terms of the vector α .

Proof. According to Property 4, the spin matrices S^z satisfy the E-L equations (5). Substituting (22) into those equations, we obtain the coordinates of (23) in the basis (17). \square \square

Equation (23), together with the constraint (24) describe stationary states of the 2D Heisenberg model (see Appendix).

The E-L equations for the S^z matrices follow from the same action integral (3) as the equations for the projectors (5) determining the conditional stationary point of the action integral (3) under the condition (16). Similarly, the spin-dynamic equations (23) can be derived as conditional stationary points of the action integral over the Riemann sphere

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \int_{S^2} d\xi d\bar{\xi} [\alpha_\xi \cdot \alpha_{\bar{\xi}} - \mu(\xi, \bar{\xi}) (4\alpha \cdot \alpha - 1)]. \quad (25)$$

The Lagrange multiplier $\mu = \mu^\dagger \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ in the action integral has been introduced to comply with the constraint (24). Then under the variation of the action (25), we have the following

Proposition 2. *The spin dynamic equations (23) are defined by the stationary points of the action integral (25).*

Proof. The proof is straightforward if we take the Frechet derivative of (25) with respect to $\alpha(\xi, \bar{\xi})$. This yields

$$\alpha_{\xi\bar{\xi}} - 4\mu\alpha = 0. \quad (26)$$

On the vector multiplication of both sides by α , we obtain (23). \square \square

Corollary. *It is evident from the above Proof that equation (23) is merely the necessary condition for α to follow spin dynamics. It has to be supplemented by the normalization condition (24). For the action (25), we can get the complete E-L equations by the scalar multiplication of (26) with α , which allows us to calculate the multiplier μ explicitly from the α -normalization condition (24), thus getting $\mu = \alpha \cdot \alpha_{\xi\bar{\xi}}$. Substituting this value into (26), we obtain*

$$(\mathbb{I}_3 - 4\alpha \otimes \alpha) \cdot \alpha_{\xi\bar{\xi}} = 0, \quad (27)$$

where \mathbb{I}_3 is the 3D identity tensor.

This result can be generalized to the matrices congruent to $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$ by a coordinate-independent unitary transformation, namely

Proposition 3. *Let*

$$S^z = \alpha \cdot s := \sum_{k \in \{x, y, z\}} \alpha^k s^k, \quad (28)$$

where $\alpha^k \in \mathbb{R}$, the Euclidean norm $|\alpha| = 1/2$, while s^k are congruent to σ^k by a constant unitary matrix U

$$s^k = U \cdot \sigma^k \cdot U^{-1}, \quad k \in \{x, y, z\}. \quad (29)$$

Then if the commutator $[S^z, (S^z)_{\xi\bar{\xi}}]$ vanishes, the vector α satisfies equation (23).

A simple proof follows from the fact that all commutators $[s^k, s^m]$, $k, m \in \{x, y, z\}$ are congruent to $[\sigma^k, \sigma^m]$ by the same transformation matrix U .

Remark 1. Proposition 3 is trivial for $s = \frac{1}{2}$ (i.e. $N = 2$) due to the isomorphism of $SU(2)$ with $SO(3)$, which makes the transformation a rotation of the vector α by a constant angle. It is nontrivial for higher spins ($N > 2$) as the set of constant U transformations is much richer.

Remark 2. In the general case, the Proposition 3 is not true if the transformation matrix depends on the coordinates. In all the proven cases, the S^z matrix depends on $\xi, \bar{\xi}$ through

the coefficients $\alpha^x, \alpha^y, \alpha^z$. Only two of these coefficients are algebraically independent (note the normalization condition (24)). In general, the system (5) can have more degrees of freedom.

SPINS FROM THE VERONESE VECTORS

The E-L equations (5) expressed in terms of the homogeneous variables f_k , $k = 0, \dots, 2s$ have the form

$$\left(\mathbb{I}_{2s+1} - \frac{f_k \otimes f_k^\dagger}{f_k^\dagger \cdot f_k} \right) \cdot \left[\partial \bar{\partial} f_k - \frac{1}{f_k^\dagger \cdot f_k} \left((f_k^\dagger \cdot \bar{\partial} f_k) \partial f_k + (f_k^\dagger \cdot \partial f_k) \bar{\partial} f_k \right) \right] = 0, \quad (30)$$

One of the most useful holomorphic solutions (i.e. for $k = 0$) is given by

$$f_0 = \left(1, \binom{2s}{1}^{1/2} \xi, \dots, \binom{2s}{r}^{1/2} \xi^r, \dots, \xi^{2s} \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2s+1} \setminus \{\emptyset\}. \quad (31)$$

Starting from this solution, a sequence of solutions for $k = 1, \dots, 2s$ may be obtained from the recurrence relations (6). The sequence $\{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{2s}\}$ is called the Veronese sequence [3].

In this section we consider the $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ models in which the vectors f_0, \dots, f_{N-1} make a Veronese sequence. All these vectors f_0, \dots, f_{N-1} , $N = 2s + 1$, may be expressed in terms of the Krawchouk orthogonal polynomials [7].

$$(f_k)_j = \frac{(2s)!}{(2s-k)!} \left(\frac{-\bar{\xi}}{1 + \xi \bar{\xi}} \right)^k \binom{2s}{j}^{1/2} \bar{\xi}^j K_j(k; p; 2s), \quad 0 \leq k, j \leq 2s, \quad (32)$$

with the stereographic projection variable p

$$0 < p = \frac{\xi_+ \xi_-}{1 + \xi_+ \xi_-} < 1. \quad (33)$$

Here $(f_k)_j$ is the j th component of the vector $f_k \in \mathbb{C}^{2s+1} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and $K_j(k; p; 2s)$ are the Krawtchouk polynomials for which we use the convention that for $k = 0$

$$K_j(0; p, 2s) = 1. \quad (34)$$

The Krawtchouk polynomials can be expressed in terms of the hypergeometric functions [22]

$$K_j(k; p, 2s) = {}_2F_1(-j, -k; -2s; 1/p), \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2s. \quad (35)$$

The element in the i -th row and j -th column of the rank-1 Hermitian projector P_k as given by (2) has the form [7]

$$(P_k)_{ij} = \binom{2s}{k} \frac{(\xi\bar{\xi})^k}{(1+\xi\bar{\xi})^{2s}} \xi^i \bar{\xi}^j \sqrt{\binom{2s}{i} \binom{2s}{j}} K_i(k) K_j(k), \quad (36)$$

where we have omitted the dependence of K_i on p and $2s$.

For the Veronese sequence solutions of the $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ models, the analytic recurrence relations can be replaced by simpler algebraic ones. It is convenient to use the combinations of the x and y components of the spin matrices $S^\pm = S^x \pm i S^y$ and $\sigma^\pm = \sigma^x \pm i \sigma^y$, rather than the components themselves. The spin matrix S^z may be simply represented by a combination of the diagonal σ^z and tridiagonal σ^+ , σ^- , namely [7]

$$S^z = \frac{1}{2(1+\xi\bar{\xi})} [(\xi\bar{\xi} - 1)\sigma^z - \xi\sigma^- - \bar{\xi}\sigma^+]. \quad (37)$$

The $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ commutation relations

$$[S^z, S^\pm] = \pm S^\pm, \quad [S^+, S^-] = 2S^z \quad (38)$$

(identical to the relations satisfied by the respective combinations σ^\pm), suggest the following form of the components S^+ and S^-

$$\begin{aligned} S^+ &= \frac{1}{2(1+\xi\bar{\xi})} (2\bar{\xi}\sigma^z + \bar{\xi}^2\sigma^+ - \sigma^-), \\ S^- &= (S^+)^\dagger = \frac{1}{2(1+\xi\bar{\xi})} (2\xi\sigma^z - \sigma^+ + \xi^2\sigma^-) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

(our σ^z and σ^\pm are twice as large as those of [7] to comply with their notion as the generalized Pauli matrices (17)).

It is easy to check that the components of the spin S^z , S^\pm indeed satisfy the commutation relations (38). Moreover [7], S^\pm play the role of the creation and annihilation operators for f_k , namely

$$S^+ f_k = -(1+\xi\bar{\xi}) f_{k+1}, \quad (40)$$

$$S^- f_k = \frac{k(k-1-2s)}{1+\xi\bar{\xi}} f_{k-1}, \quad (41)$$

where by convention $f_{-1} = f_{2s+1} = \mathbf{0}$ (see [7] for the proof).

Similarly, we get the algebraic recurrence relations for the projectors, namely

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k+1} &= \frac{S^+ P_k S^-}{\text{tr}(S^+ P_k S^-)}, \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, 2s-1 \\ P_{k-1} &= \frac{S^- P_k S^+}{\text{tr}(S^- P_k S^+)}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, 2s. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Consequently, the algebraic recurrence relations for the immersion functions X_k satisfy the algebraic conditions

$$X_{k+1} = X_k - i \left(\frac{S^+ P_k S^-}{\text{tr}(S^+ P_k S^-)} + P_k - \frac{2}{2s+1} \mathbb{I}_{2s+1} \right), \quad (43)$$

$$X_{k-1} = X_k + i \left(\frac{S^- P_k S^+}{\text{tr}(S^- P_k S^+)} + P_k - \frac{2}{2s+1} \mathbb{I}_{2s+1} \right). \quad (44)$$

The algebraic recurrence relations allow us to recursively construct the Veronese sequence of solutions f_k (or rank-1 projectors P_k) from the holomorphic solution f_0 (or P_0) in a simpler way than the analytic relations (6).

The spin matrices corresponding to the Veronese vectors look particularly simple if we express them in terms of the spherical coordinates of the vector α from (22). Let

$$\alpha^z = \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta, \quad \alpha^x = \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \quad \alpha^y = \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \quad (45)$$

where, as usually, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$. Then a straightforward calculation leads to

$$\theta = 2 \arctan |\xi|, \quad \varphi = -\arg \xi \pmod{2\pi}. \quad (46)$$

Thus the angle between the vector α and the z -direction depends on the modulus $|\xi|$ only, while a change in the phase of ξ yields an identical rotation of the spin vector about the z axis.

POSSIBLE APPLICATIONS

The results presented here complement our previous studies [13–17] on the theory of 2D Euclidean sigma models. The inclusion of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ spin- s representations may have a significant impact on many problems with physical applications. The equations (23) describe stationary states of the 2D Heisenberg model (see Appendix). The connection which we have found describes this model of ferro-, antiferro- and ferrimagnets in terms of $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ sigma models, interpreting it as their special case. The complete integrability of the $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ sigma models provides a useful tool for solving problems of these magnetic materials, e.g. [25]. In particular, the Veronese solutions of the $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ sigma models allow us to explicitly build the corresponding spin fields.

Our description of the spin- s matrix in terms of the $\mathbb{C}P^{2s}$ projectors is an example of representing an array of functions \mathbb{C} into \mathbb{C} as a finite sum of orthogonal vectors. In the special

case of the Veronese solutions, the vectors were sequences of Krawtchouk polynomials. Such a representation using the Fourier-Krawtchouk transformation was recently introduced in [32] to achieve a quantum information processing in constant time. Moreover, this constant-time signal-evolution analysis works on finite strings with arbitrary length [1, 32]. The transformation represents the transformed function limited to a finite interval in terms of the Krawtchouk polynomials multiplying the Fourier variable $\exp[-i\frac{\pi}{2}(l - k)]$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. It has its 2D counterpart in splitting the spin component S^z into the rank-1 projectors proportional to products of two Krawtchouk polynomials with $\xi\bar{\xi}$ in consecutive powers. Our more general scheme encompasses such a possibility. It is very likely that a discretization (sampling), followed by an appropriate transformations of this kind, may be suitable for efficient quantum computations. Further it is promising for possible applications to digital image processing, in medical image reconstruction and recognition [11, 29, 32, 37, 40].

The research was supported in part by The NSERC of Canada operating grant of one of the authors (AMG). AMER wishes to acknowledge and thank the Centre de Recherche Mathématiques (Université de Montréal) and NSERC of Canada for the financial support provided for his three-year visit to Montreal.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

* piotr.goldstein@ncbj.gov.pl

† grundlan@CRM.UMontreal.CA

‡ escobarr@CRM.UMontreal.CA; The research of AMG and AMER was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada operating grant of one of the authors (AMG).

[1] Atakishiyev, N.M., Wolf, K.B.: Fractional Fourier-Krawtchouk transform. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 14, 1467–1477 (1997)

[2] Bjorken, J. D., Drell, S. D.: *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* McGraw-Hill, New-York (1998)

- [3] Bolton J. et al.: On conformal minimal immersion of S^2 into $\mathbb{C}P^N$. *Math. Ann.* 279, 599–620 (1988)
- [4] Bowden, C.M. et al.: The universality of quantum Fourier transform in forming the basis of quantum computing algorithm. *J. Math. Anal.* 274, 69–80 (2002).
- [5] Callan, C.G. Jr., Coleman, S., Wess J., Zumino, B.: Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. II. *Phys. Rev.* 177, 2247–2250 (1969)
- [6] Coleman, S. Wess, J., Zumino, B.: Structure of phenomenological Lagrangians. I. *Phys. Rev.* 177, 2239–2247 (1969)
- [7] Crampé, N., Grundland A.M.: $\mathbb{C}P^{2S}$ Sigma Models Described Through Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-019-00830-2>
- [8] Davydov, A.: *Solitons in Molecular Systems*. Kluwer, New York (1999)
- [9] Din, A.M., Horvath Z., Zakrzewski, W. J.: The Riemann-Hilbert problem and finite action $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ solutions. *Nucl. Phys. B* 233, 269–299 (1984)
- [10] Din, A.M., Zakrzewski, W. J.: General classical solutions of the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ model. *Nucl. Phys. B* 174, 397–403 (1980)
- [11] Gautam, G. et al.: Facial expression recognition using Krawtchouk moments and support vector machine classifier: Fourth IEEE International Conference on Image Information Processing (ICIIP) 1–16 (2017)
- [12] Gell-Mann M., Lévy, M.: The Axial Vector Current in Beta Decay. *Nuovo Cimento* 16, 1729–1750 (1960)
- [13] Goldstein, P.P., Grundland, A.M.: Invariant description of $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma models, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 168, 939–950 (2011)
- [14] Goldstein, P.P., Grundland, A.M.: Invariant recurrence relations for $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ models. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 43 (26), 265206 (2010)
- [15] Goldstein, P.P., Grundland, A.M.: On a stack of surfaces obtained from the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma models. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 51 (9), 095201 (2018)
- [16] Goldstein, P.P., Grundland, A.M.: On the surfaces associated with $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ models. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 284 (1), 012031 (2011)
- [17] Goldstein, P.P., Grundland, A.M., Post S.: Soliton surfaces associated with $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma models: differential and algebraic aspects. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 45 (39), 395208 (2012)

- [18] Gottesman, D.: Fault-tolerant quantum computation with higher-dimensional systems. *Chaos, Solitons, Fractals* 10, 1749–1758 (1999)
- [19] Grundland, A.M., Strasburger A., Zakrzewski W. J.: Surfaces immersed in $\mathfrak{su}N + 1$ Lie algebras obtained from the CP^N sigma models. *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* 39, 9187–9213 (2005)
- [20] Grundland, A.M., Yurdusen, I.: Surfaces obtained from CP^{N-1} sigma models. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 23, 5137–5157 (2008)
- [21] Hong, C.K., Ou, Z.Y. Mandel, L.: 1987 Measurement of subpicosecond intervals between two photons by interference. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59, 2044–2046 (1987)
- [22] Koornwinder, T. et al.: Krawtchouk polynomials, a unification of two different group theoretic interpretation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 13, 10111023 (1982).
- [23] Landau, L.D., Lifshitz, L.M.: *Quantum Mechanics*. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam (1977)
- [24] Landolfi, G.: On the Canham-Helfrich membrane model, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 36, 4699–4715 (2003)
- [25] Levanyuk, A.P., Garcia, N.: The two-dimensional Heisenberg ferromagnet with various types of interactions: temperature dependence of magnetic parameters. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 4, 10277–10294 (1992)
- [26] Manton, N., Sutcliffe, P.: *Topological Solitons*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004)
- [27] Merzbacher, E. *Quantum Mechanics*. p. 423, Wiley, New York. (1998)
- [28] Mikhailov, A.V.: Integrable magnetic models in: *Solitons (Modern Problems in Condensed Matter vol. 17)* ed S E Trullinger, V E Zakharov and V L Pokrovsky (Amsterdam: North-Holland) pp 623–690 (1986)
- [29] Nielsen M.A., Chuang I.L.: The quantum Fourier transform and its applications. In: Nielsen M.A., Chuang I.L. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* pp. 216–242, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).
- [30] Rajaraman, R.: CP^n solitons in quantum Hall systems. *Eur. Phys. J. B* 29, 157–162 (2002)
- [31] Seiberg, N.: Modifying the Sum Over Topological Sectors and Constraints on Supergravity. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1005.0002.pdf> (2010). Accessed 10 December 2019
- [32] Stobińska, H. et al.: Quantum interference enables constant-time quantum information processing. *Sci Adv.* 5, eaau 9674 (5 pp) (2019)

- [33] Sym, A.: Soliton surfaces and their applications (Soliton geometry from spectral problems). In: Martini, R. (ed.) Geometric Aspects of the Einstein Equations and Integrable systems (Lecture Notes in Physics vol 239) Springer, Berlin 154–231 (1985)
- [34] Sym, A.: Soliton surfaces II: Geometric unification of solvable nonlinearities, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 36, 307–312 (1983)
- [35] Tilma, T., Sudarshan, S.: Usage of an Euler angle parametrization of $SU(N)$ and $U(N)$ for entanglement calculations of a two-qubit system. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 72, 181–184 (2003)
- [36] Viswanathan, K., Parthasarathy, R.: QCD strings as a constrained Grassmannian sigma model. Phys. Rev. D51 5830–5838 (1995)
- [37] Yap, P.T., Paramesran, R., Ong, S.H.: Image analysis by Krawtchouk moments. IEEE Trans. on image processing 2, 1367–1377 (2003)
- [38] Zakrzewski, W.J.: Low Dimensional Sigma Models, pp. 46–74, Adam Hilger, Bristol (1989)
- [39] Zakrzewski, W.J.: Surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{(N^2-1)}$; based on harmonic maps $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$. J. Math. Phys. 48, 113520 (2007)
- [40] Zellinger, A.: Quantum information processing and communication. Eur. Phys. J. D36, 203–228 (2005)
- [41] Zhitnitsky, A. R.: Non-perturbative effects in 2d $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ model and 4d YM theory. A Image N toron approach. Nucl. Phys. B 374, 183–222 (1992)

APPENDIX

Consider the 2D Heisenberg model consisting of spins s_{km} , situated in the positions (x_k, y_m) , $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ of a rectangular lattice whose cell size is $a \times b$, i.e. $x_k = k a$, $y_m = m b$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Its Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \sum_{k,m} [J_1 s_{km} \cdot s_{k+1m} + J_2 s_{km} \cdot s_{km+l} + J_3 (s_{km} \cdot s_{k+1m+1} + s_{k+1m} \cdot s_{km+1})], \quad (47)$$

where $J_1, J_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, are the coupling constants along the x and y directions, respectively, $J_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ is the coupling constant over the cell diagonals; the summation encompasses all nodes of the lattice.

We go to the continuous limit by defining $s_{km} = \alpha(x_k, y_m)$, and expanding the Hamiltonian to second order in the lattice constants a, b . The first order terms vanish due to the

perpendicularity of the spins to their first derivatives. The continuous Hamiltonian, up to a constant, reads

$$H = a^2(J_1 - J_2 - 2J_3)\alpha_x^2 + b^2(-J_1 + J_2 - 2J_3)\alpha_y^2 + (J_1 + J_2 + 2J_3)\alpha \cdot (a^2\alpha_{xx} + b^2\alpha_{yy}), \quad (48)$$

(where the subscripts x, y denote differentiation).

The equations defining a conditional stationary point of the Hamiltonian, with the Lagrange multiplier $\mu(x, y)$ corresponding to the constraint $\alpha^2 = \text{const}$, read

$$a^2(J_2 + 2J_3)\alpha_{xx} + b^2(J_1 + 2J_3)\alpha_{yy} - 2\mu\alpha = 0 \quad (49)$$

The substitution

$$\xi = \frac{x}{a\sqrt{2(J_2 + 2J_3)}} + \frac{iy}{b\sqrt{2(J_1 + 2J_3)}} \quad (50)$$

yields equation (26) and consequently the stationary 2D Heisenberg equation (23) with (24) or (27). \square