

FINITE ELEMENTS FOR DIVDIV-CONFORMING SYMMETRIC TENSORS

LONG CHEN AND XUEHAI HUANG

ABSTRACT. Two types of finite element spaces on triangles are constructed for div-div conforming symmetric tensors. Besides the normal-normal continuity, the stress tensor is continuous at vertices and another trace involving combination of derivatives of stress is identified. Polynomial complex, finite element complex, and Hilbert complex are presented and a commuting diagram between them is given. The constructed div-div conforming elements are exploited to discretize the mixed formulation of the biharmonic equation. Optimal order and superconvergence error analysis is provided. By rotation, finite elements for rot-rot conforming symmetric strain are also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we shall construct finite element spaces of symmetric stress tensor conforming to the $\mathbf{div} \mathbf{div}$ operator and also present the Hilbert complex for finite element spaces.

Let Ω be a bounded polygon in \mathbb{R}^2 . In [5], we have found the Hilbert complexes and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathbf{RT} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} & \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{div} \mathbf{div}} & H^{-1}(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow I_h & & \downarrow \Pi_h & & \downarrow Q_h \\
 \mathbf{RT} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \mathcal{S}_h & \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} & \mathcal{V}_h & \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{div} \mathbf{div})_h} & \mathcal{P}_h \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}
 ,$$

where \mathbf{RT} is the lowest order Raviart-Thomas element on Ω [24], \mathcal{S}_h is the vector Lagrange element of degree $k+1$, \mathcal{V}_h is the Hellan-Herrmann-Johnson (HHJ) (cf. [14, 15, 19]) element of degree k , and \mathcal{P}_h is the scalar Lagrange space of degree $k+1$ based on a shape regular triangulation \mathcal{T}_h of Ω . Details on the spaces and interpolation operators can be found in [5, Section 2.2]. The negative Sobolev space

$$\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}) := \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) : \mathbf{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau} \in H^{-1}(\Omega)\}$$

with squared norm $\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{div} \mathbf{div})}^2 := \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\mathbf{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{-1}^2$, where \mathbb{S} is the space of all symmetric 2×2 tensor. We refer to [25, 21, 22, 20, 6, 23] for details on the space $\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$. It is very difficult to construct $\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{div} \mathbf{div})$ -conforming but $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{div})$ -nonconforming symmetric tensor, cf. [25, Remark 3.33], [21, Remark 2.1] and [22, page 108]. Alternatively, with the help of the physical quantities normal bending moment, twisting moment and effective transverse shear force, hybridized mixed methods can be employed to discretize the mixed formulation

The first author was supported by NSF DMS-1913080.

The second author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Project 11771338 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities 2019110066.

of the Kirchhoff-Love plate bending problem, such as the hybridized discontinuous Galerkin method in [17] and the discontinuous Petrov-Galerkin method in [11].

A more natural Sobolev space for div div operator is

$$\mathbf{H}(\text{div div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}) := \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) : \text{div div } \boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

with squared norm $\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\text{div div})}^2 := \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_0^2 + \|\text{div div } \boldsymbol{\tau}\|_0^2$. The corresponding Hilbert complex is

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{RT} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} \mathbf{H}(\text{div div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Conforming finite element spaces for $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ are relatively easy to construct and the natural finite element space for $L^2(\Omega)$ is discontinuous polynomial spaces. The following question arises quite naturally: can we construct conforming finite element spaces for $\mathbf{H}(\text{div div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ such that the complex (1) is preserved in the discrete case? The purpose of this paper is to give a positive answer. We will answer this question by constructing two types of finite element spaces on triangles which resembles the RT and BDM spaces for $\mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$ [3] in the vector case. Furthermore we shall construct the following commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{RT} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} & \mathbf{H}(\text{div div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}) & \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} & L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow I_h & & \downarrow \Pi_h & & \downarrow Q_h \\ \mathbf{RT} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{V}_{\ell+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell,k} & \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} & \mathcal{Q}_h \longrightarrow 0 \end{array},$$

where the domain of the interpolation operators are smoother subspaces of the spaces in the top complex. Details of spaces and operators can be found in Sections 2 and 3, respectively.

We give a glimpse on the conforming space of stress. Let K be a triangle. The set of edges of K is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(K)$ and the vertices by $\mathcal{V}(K)$. The shape function space is simply $\mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S})$. The degree of freedom are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\tau}(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K), \\ & (\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, q)_e \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(e), e \in \mathcal{E}(K), \\ & (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \text{div } \boldsymbol{\tau}, q)_e \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(e), e \in \mathcal{E}(K), \\ & (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \oplus \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)). \end{aligned}$$

By rotation, we obtain conforming finite elements for $\mathbf{H}(\text{rot rot}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ with the shape functions being polynomials. The conforming finite element strain complex and the corresponding commutative diagram are also constructed. Some lower-order $\mathbf{H}(\text{rot rot})$ -conforming finite elements are advanced in [7], whose shape functions are piecewise polynomials based on the Clough-Tocher split of the triangle.

Then the $\mathbf{H}(\text{div div})$ -conforming finite elements are exploited to discretize the mixed formulation of the biharmonic equation. The discrete inf-sup condition follows from the commutative diagram for the div-div complex, and we derive the optimal convergence of the mixed finite element methods. Furthermore, the discrete inf-sup condition based on mesh-dependent norms is established, by which we acquire a third or fourth order higher superconvergence of $|Q_h u - u_h|_{2,h}$ than the optimal one. With the help of this superconvergence, a new superconvergent

discrete deflection is devised by postprocessing. Hybridization is also provided for the easy of implementation.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the Green's identity for div-div operator and analyze the trace of the Sobolev space $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$. In Section 3, the conforming finite elements for $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ and $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{rot}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$, the finite element div-div complex and the finite element strain complex are constructed. Mixed finite element methods for the biharmonic equation are developed and analyzed in Section 4.

2. DIV-DIV CONFORMING SYMMETRIC TENSOR SPACE

In this section, we shall study the Sobolev space $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ for div-div operator. We first present a Green's identity based on which we can characterize the trace of $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ on polygons and give a sufficient continuity condition for a piecewise smooth function to be in $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$.

2.1. Notation. Denote the space of all 2×2 matrix by \mathbb{M} , all symmetric 2×2 matrix by \mathbb{S} , and all skew-symmetric 2×2 matrix by \mathbb{K} . Given a bounded domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and a non-negative integer m , let $H^m(G)$ be the usual Sobolev space of functions on G , and $\mathbf{H}^m(G; \mathbb{X})$ be the usual Sobolev space of functions taking values in the finite-dimensional vector space \mathbb{X} for \mathbb{X} being \mathbb{M} , \mathbb{S} , \mathbb{K} or \mathbb{R}^2 . The corresponding norm and semi-norm are denoted respectively by $\|\cdot\|_{m,G}$ and $|\cdot|_{m,G}$. If G is Ω , we abbreviate them by $\|\cdot\|_m$ and $|\cdot|_m$, respectively. Let $H_0^m(G)$ be the closure of $C_0^\infty(G)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{m,G}$. $\mathbb{P}_m(G)$ stands for the set of all polynomials in G with the total degree no more than m , and $\mathbb{P}_m(G; \mathbb{X})$ denotes the tensor or vector version. Let Q_m^G be the L^2 -orthogonal projection operator onto $\mathbb{P}_m(G)$. For G being a polygon, denote by $\mathcal{E}(G)$ the set of all edges of G , $\mathcal{E}^i(G)$ the set of all interior edges of G and $\mathcal{V}(G)$ the set of all vertices of G .

Let $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$ be a regular family of polygonal meshes of Ω . Our finite element spaces are constructed for triangles but some results, e.g., traces and Green's formulae etc, hold for general polygons. For each element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, denote by $\mathbf{n}_K = (n_1, n_2)^\top$ the unit outward normal to ∂K and write $\mathbf{t}_K := (t_1, t_2)^\top = (-n_2, n_1)^\top$, a unit vector tangent to ∂K . Without causing any confusion, we will abbreviate \mathbf{n}_K and \mathbf{t}_K as \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{t} respectively for simplicity. Let \mathcal{E}_h , \mathcal{E}_h^i , \mathcal{V}_h and \mathcal{V}_h^i be the union of all edges, interior edges, vertices and interior vertices of the partition \mathcal{T}_h , respectively. For any $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$, fix a unit normal vector $\mathbf{n}_e := (n_1, n_2)^\top$ and a unit tangent vector $\mathbf{t}_e := (-n_2, n_1)^\top$.

For a column vector function $\boldsymbol{\phi} = (\phi_1, \phi_2)^\top$, differential operators for scalar functions will be applied row-wise to produce a matrix function. Similarly for a matrix function, differential operators for vector functions are applied row-wise. For a scalar function ϕ , $\operatorname{curl} \phi := (\partial_{x_2} \phi, -\partial_{x_1} \phi)^\top$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)^\top$ and for a vector function \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{curl} is applied row-wise and the result $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}$ is a matrix, whose symmetric part is denoted by $\operatorname{sym} \mathbf{curl}$. That is $\operatorname{sym} \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} := (\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v})^\top)/2$.

2.2. Green's identity. We start from the Green's identity for smooth functions but on polygons.

Lemma 2.1 (Green's identity). *Let K be a polygon, and let $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{C}^2(K; \mathbb{S})$ and $v \in H^2(K)$. Then we have*

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_K &= (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \nabla^2 v)_K - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(K)} \sum_{\delta \in \partial e} \operatorname{sign}_{e, \delta}(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n})(\delta) v(\delta) \\ &\quad - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(K)} [(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, \partial_n v)_e - (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_e], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\operatorname{sign}_{e, \delta} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \delta \text{ is the end point of } e, \\ -1, & \text{if } \delta \text{ is the start point of } e. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We start from the standard integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_K &= -(\mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, \nabla v)_K + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(K)} (\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_e \\ &= (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \nabla^2 v)_K - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(K)} (\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, \nabla v)_e + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(K)} (\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_e. \end{aligned}$$

Now we expand $(\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, \nabla v)_e = (\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, \partial_n v)_e + (\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, \partial_t v)_e$ and apply integration by parts on each edge to the second term

$$(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, \partial_t v)_e = \sum_{\delta \in \partial e} \operatorname{sign}_{e, \delta}(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n})(\delta) v(\delta) - (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}), v)_e$$

to finish the proof. \square

In the context of elastic mechanics [10], $\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}$ and $\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ are called normal bending moment and effective transverse shear force respectively for $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ being a moment.

For a scalar function ϕ , due to the rotation relation, $\mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{curl} \phi = \mathbf{t}^\top \operatorname{grad} \phi = \partial_t \phi$ and $\mathbf{t}^\top \operatorname{curl} \phi = -\mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{grad} \phi = -\partial_n \phi$. For vector and matrix functions, we have the following relations.

Lemma 2.2. *When $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \operatorname{sym} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}$, we have the following identities*

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}^\top \partial_t \mathbf{v},$$

$$(4) \quad \partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = \partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \partial_t \mathbf{v}).$$

Proof. The first one is a straight forward calculation using $(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{n} = \partial_t \mathbf{v}$. We now focus on the second one. Since $\mathbf{div} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v} = 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v})^\top = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}.$$

As $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \mathbf{v})$ is invariant to the rotation, we can write it as

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{t}^\top \nabla \mathbf{v} \mathbf{t} + \mathbf{n}^\top \nabla \mathbf{v} \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{t}^\top \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{n}^\top \partial_n \mathbf{v}.$$

Then

$$\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t[\mathbf{t}^\top \partial_t \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{n}^\top \partial_n \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}] = \partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \partial_t \mathbf{v}),$$

i.e. (4) holds. \square

2.3. Traces. Next we recall the trace of the space $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ on the boundary of polygon K . Detailed proofs of the following trace operators can be found in [1, Theorem 2.2] for 2-D domains and [12, Lemma 3.2] for both 2-D and 3-D domains. The normal-normal trace of $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ can be also found in [25, 22].

Define trace space

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n,0}^{1/2}(\partial K) &:= \{\partial_n v|_{\partial K} : v \in H^2(K) \cap H_0^1(K)\} \\ &= \{g \in L^2(\partial K) : g|_e \in H_{00}^{1/2}(e) \ \forall e \in \mathcal{E}(K)\} \end{aligned}$$

with norm

$$\|g\|_{H_{n,0}^{1/2}(\partial K)} := \inf_{\substack{v \in H^2(K) \cap H_0^1(K) \\ \partial_n v = g}} \|v\|_2.$$

Let $H_n^{-1/2}(\partial K) := (H_{n,0}^{1/2}(\partial K))'$. Note that for a 2D polygon K , and $v \in H^2(K) \cap H_0^1(K)$, the normal derivative $\partial_n v|_e \in H_{00}^{1/2}(e)$ for boundary edge $e \in \partial K$ can be derived from the compatible condition for traces on polygonal domains [13, Theorem 1.5.2.8].

Lemma 2.3. *For any $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$, it holds*

$$\|\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}\|_{H_n^{-1/2}(\partial K)} \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div})}.$$

Conversely, for any $g \in H_n^{-1/2}(\partial K)$, there exists some $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ such that

$$\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}|_{\partial K} = g, \quad \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div})} \lesssim \|g\|_{H_n^{-1/2}(\partial K)}.$$

The hidden constants depend only the shape of the domain K .

We then consider another part of the trace involving combination of derivatives. Define trace space

$$H_{e,0}^{3/2}(\partial K) := \{v|_{\partial K} : v \in H^2(K), \partial_n v|_{\partial K} = 0, v(\delta) = 0 \text{ for each vertex } \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K)\}$$

with norm

$$\|g\|_{H_{e,0}^{3/2}(\partial K)} := \inf_{\substack{v \in H^2(K) \\ \partial_n v = 0, v = g}} \|v\|_2.$$

Let $H_e^{-3/2}(\partial K) := (H_{e,0}^{3/2}(\partial K))'$. Note that since we consider polygon domains, we explicitly impose the condition $v(\delta) = 0$ for each vertex of the polygon.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$, it holds*

$$(5) \quad \|\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{H_e^{-3/2}(\partial K)} \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div})}.$$

Conversely, for any $g \in H_e^{-3/2}(\partial K)$, there exists some $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ such that

$$(6) \quad \partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = g, \quad \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div})} \lesssim \|g\|_{H_e^{-3/2}(\partial K)}.$$

The hidden constants depend only the shape of the domain K .

2.4. Continuity across the boundary. We then present a sufficient continuity condition for piecewise smoothing functions to be in $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$. Recall that \mathcal{T}_h is a shape regular polygonal mesh of Ω .

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$ such that*

- (i) $\boldsymbol{\tau}|_K \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$ for each polygon $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$;
- (ii) $(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n})|_e \in L^2(e)$ is single-valued for each $e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i$;
- (iii) $(\partial_{t_e}(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}_e^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau})|_e \in L^2(e)$ is single-valued for each $e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i$;
- (iv) $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\delta)$ is single-valued for each $\delta \in \mathcal{V}_h^i$,

then $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$.

Proof. For any $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, it follows from the Green's identity (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}, \nabla^2 v \rangle &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_K + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}^i(K)} \sum_{\delta \in \partial e \cap \Omega} \operatorname{sign}_{e, \delta}(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n})(\delta) v(\delta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}^i(K)} [(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, \partial_n v)_e - (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_e]. \end{aligned}$$

As each interior edge is repeated twice in the summation with opposite orientation and the trace of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and vertex value $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is single valued, we get

$$\langle \operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v \rangle = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_K,$$

which ends the proof. \square

Besides the continuity of the trace, we also impose the continuity of stress at vertices which is a sufficient but not necessary condition for functions in $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$. For example, by the complex (1) and Lemma 2.2, for $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \operatorname{sym} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}$ with \mathbf{v} being a Lagrange element function, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ but is not continuous at vertices. Physically, $\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}$ represents the torsional moment which may have jump at vertices; see [10, §3.4] and [18, §3.4]. Sufficient and necessary conditions are presented in [12, Proposition 3.6].

The continuity of stress at vertices is crucial for us to construct $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ conforming element in the classical triple [9], which resembles the $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ conforming Hu-Zhang element for linear elasticity [16].

3. CONFORMING FINITE ELEMENT SPACES AND COMPLEX

In this section we construct conforming finite element spaces for $H(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ on triangles. We first present two polynomial complexes and reveal some decompositions of polynomial tensor and vector spaces. Then we construct the finite element space and prove the unisolvence. We further link standard finite element spaces to construct finite element div-div complex. Finally we extend the construction to the strain complex.

3.1. Polynomial complexes. In this subsection, we shall consider polynomial spaces on a simply connected domain D . Without loss of generality, we assume $(0, 0) \in D$.

Lemma 3.1. *The polynomial complex*

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{RT} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. For any skew-symmetric $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{C}^2(D; \mathbb{K})$, it can be written as $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi \\ -\phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then we have $\text{div div } \boldsymbol{\tau} = \text{div curl } \phi = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div div sym curl } \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) &= \text{div div curl } \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) = 0, \\ \text{div div } \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) &= \text{div div } \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{M}) = \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore by direct calculation

$$\dim \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) = \dim \text{sym curl } \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) + \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D),$$

thus the complex (7) is exact. \square

Define operator $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT} : \mathcal{C}^1(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{RT}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT} \mathbf{v} := \mathbf{v}(0, 0) + \frac{1}{2}(\text{div } \mathbf{v})(0, 0) \mathbf{x}.$$

The following complex is the generalization of the Koszul complex for vector functions. For linear elasticity, it can be constructed based on Poincaré operators found in [8]. Here we give a straightforward proof.

Lemma 3.2. *The polynomial complex*

$$(8) \quad 0 \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top} \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}^\perp} \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT}} \mathbf{RT} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. Since $(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top) \mathbf{x}^\perp = \mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT}(\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{x}^\perp) = \mathbf{0}$ for any $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S})$, thus (8) is a complex. For any $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S})$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{x}^\perp = \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{x}^\top$. By the symmetry of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$,

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{x}^\perp) = (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}^\top) \mathbf{x}^\perp = (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{x}^\top)^\top \mathbf{x}^\perp = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}^\perp = \mathbf{0},$$

which indicates $\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{x}^\perp = 0$. Thus there exists $q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D)$ satisfying $\mathbf{v} = q \mathbf{x}$. Hence $\boldsymbol{\tau} = q \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top$.

Next we show $\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap \ker(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT}) = \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) \mathbf{x}^\perp$. For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap \ker(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT})$, since $\mathbf{v}(0, 0) = \mathbf{0}$, there exist $\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \in \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S})$ and $q \in \mathbb{P}_k(D)$ such that

$$\mathbf{v} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \mathbf{x}^\perp + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^\perp = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \mathbf{x}^\perp + q \mathbf{x}.$$

Noting that $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT}(\boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \mathbf{x}^\perp) = \mathbf{0}$, we also have $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT}(q \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$. This means

$$(\text{div}(q \mathbf{x}))(0, 0) = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad q(0, 0) = 0.$$

Thus there exists $\mathbf{q}_1 \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $q = \mathbf{q}_1^\top \mathbf{x}^\perp$. Now take $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 + 2 \text{sym}(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{q}_1^\top) \in \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S})$, then

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{x}^\perp = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \mathbf{x}^\perp + (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{q}_1^\top + \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{x}^\top) \mathbf{x}^\perp = \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 \mathbf{x}^\perp + \mathbf{x} q = \mathbf{v}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap \ker(\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT}) = \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) \mathbf{x}^\perp$ holds.

Apparently the operator $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT} : \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{RT}$ is surjective as

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{RT} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{RT}.$$

□

Those two complexes (7) and (8) are connected as

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{RT} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{x}} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c}} \end{array} \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{x}^\perp} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} \end{array} \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{xx}^\top} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} \end{array} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\supset} \\ \xrightarrow{\supset} \end{array} 0.$$

Unlike the Koszul complex for vectors functions, we do not have the identity property applied to homogenous polynomials. Fortunately decomposition of polynomial spaces using Koszul and differential operators still holds.

First of all, we have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S})\mathbf{x}^\perp \oplus \mathbf{RT}.$$

Let

$$\mathbb{C}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) := \text{sym curl } \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2), \quad \mathbb{C}_k^\oplus(D; \mathbb{S}) := \mathbf{xx}^\top \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D).$$

The dimensions are

$$\dim \mathbb{C}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) = k^2 + 5k + 3, \quad \dim \mathbb{C}_k^\oplus(D; \mathbb{S}) = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1).$$

The following decomposition for the polynomial symmetric tensor is indispensable for our construction of div-div conforming finite elements.

Lemma 3.3. *It holds*

$$\mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{C}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) \oplus \mathbb{C}_k^\oplus(D; \mathbb{S}).$$

And $\text{div div} : \mathbb{C}_k^\oplus(D; \mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$ is a bijection.

Proof. Assume $q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D)$ satisfies $\mathbf{xx}^\top q \in \mathbb{C}_k(D; \mathbb{S})$, which means

$$\text{div div}(\mathbf{xx}^\top q) = 0.$$

Since $\text{div}(\mathbf{xx}^\top q) = (\text{div}(\mathbf{x}q) + q)\mathbf{x}$, we get

$$\text{div}(\mathbf{x}q) + q = 0.$$

Then

$$\text{div}((x_1 + x_2)\mathbf{x}q) = (x_1 + x_2)(\text{div}(\mathbf{x}q) + q) = 0,$$

which indicates $q = 0$. Hence $\mathbb{C}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) \cap \mathbb{C}_k^\oplus(D; \mathbb{S}) = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore we obtain the decomposition by the fact $\dim \mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) = \dim \mathbb{C}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) + \dim \mathbb{C}_k^\oplus(D; \mathbb{S})$.

To prove the second result, we shall show a stronger result

$$(10) \quad \text{div div}(\mathbf{xx}^\top q) = (k+3)(k+2)q, \quad q \in \mathbb{H}_k(D).$$

By Euler's formula for homogenous polynomial, we obtain $\text{div}(\mathbf{x}q) = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla)q + 2q = (k+2)q$. Then $\text{div}(\mathbf{xx}^\top q) = (\text{div}(\mathbf{x}q) + q)\mathbf{x} = (k+3)q\mathbf{x}$. Computing div again and using $\text{div}(\mathbf{x}q) = (k+2)q$, we obtain (10). □

Remark 3.4. For a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$, introduce the rotation $\mathbf{x}^\perp = (x_2, -x_1)$. For the linear elasticity, we have the decomposition

$$\mathbb{P}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{E}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) \oplus \mathbb{E}_k^\oplus(D; \mathbb{S}),$$

where, with \mathbf{def} being the symmetric gradient operator,

$$\mathbb{E}_k(D; \mathbb{S}) := \mathbf{def} \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D; \mathbb{R}^2), \quad \mathbb{E}_k^\oplus(D; \mathbb{S}) := \mathbf{x}^\perp (\mathbf{x}^\perp)^\top \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D).$$

It is easy to see that The polynomial complex

$$(11) \quad \mathbb{P}_1(D) \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D) \xrightarrow{\nabla^2} \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot}} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, which is the dual complex of the polynomial complex (7).

Define operator $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}^1(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1(D)$ as

$$\pi_1 v := v(0, 0) + \mathbf{x}^\top (\nabla v)(0, 0).$$

Lemma 3.5. *The polynomial complex*

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \xrightarrow{\text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbf{v})} \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}^\top \tau \mathbf{x}} \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathbb{P}_1(D) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. It is easy to check that $\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{S}) \mathbf{x} = \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D) \cap \ker(\pi_1)$, and

$$\dim \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{S}) \mathbf{x} = \dim \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D) - 3 = \frac{1}{2}(k^2 + 5k).$$

Noting that

$$\dim \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)) = \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2) = k^2 - k,$$

we get

$$\dim \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)) + \dim \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{S}) \mathbf{x} = \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{S}).$$

Hence the complex (12) is exact. \square

Lemma 3.6. *It holds*

$$(13) \quad \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{S}) = \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D) \oplus \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)).$$

And $\mathbf{rot} : \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$ is a bijection.

Proof. Due to the fact

$$\dim \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{S}) = \dim \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D) + \dim \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)),$$

it is sufficient to prove $\nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D) \cap \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)) = \mathbf{0}$ for (13). For any $q \in \mathbb{P}_{k+1}(D)$ satisfying $\nabla^2 q \in \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2))$, it holds

$$(\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla)^2 q = \mathbf{x}^\top (\nabla^2 q) \mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Hence $q \in \mathbb{P}_1(D)$, which yields the decomposition (13).

On the other hand, it follows from the direct sum of (13) that $\mathbf{rot} : \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$ is injective. Furthermore, we get from the complex (11) that

$$\mathbf{rot} \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)) = \mathbf{rot} \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(D; \mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(D; \mathbb{R}^2).$$

This ends the proof. \square

3.2. Finite element spaces for symmetric tensors. Let K be a triangle, and b_K be the cubic bubble function, i.e., $b_K \in \mathbb{P}_3(K) \cap H_0^1(K)$. Take the space of shape functions

$$\Sigma_{\ell,k}(K) := \mathbb{C}_\ell(K; \mathbb{S}) \oplus \mathbb{C}_k^\oplus(K; \mathbb{S})$$

with $k \geq 3$ and $\ell \geq k - 1$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\min\{\ell,k\}}(K; \mathbb{S}) \subseteq \Sigma_{\ell,k}(K) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\max\{\ell,k\}}(K; \mathbb{S}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{k,k}(K) = \mathbb{P}_k(K; \mathbb{S}).$$

The most interesting cases are $\ell = k - 1$ and $\ell = k$ which correspond to RT and BDM $H(\text{div})$ -conforming elements for the vector functions, respectively.

The degrees of freedom are given by

$$(14) \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K),$$

$$(15) \quad (\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, q)_e \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(e), e \in \mathcal{E}(K),$$

$$(16) \quad (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \text{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, q)_e \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-1}(e), e \in \mathcal{E}(K),$$

$$(17) \quad (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \oplus \text{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Before we prove the unisolvence, we give some characterization of space of shape functions.

Lemma 3.7. *For any $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \Sigma_{\ell,k}(K)$, we have*

- (1) $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{x}^\perp \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell+1}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)$
- (2) $\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}|_e \in \mathbb{P}_\ell(e) \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}(K)$
- (3) $(\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \text{div} \boldsymbol{\tau})|_e \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-1}(e) \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}(K)$.

Proof. (1) is a direct consequence of the Koszul complex (8). Take any $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\top q \in \mathbb{C}_k^\oplus(K; \mathbb{S})$ with $q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K)$. Since $\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{x}$ is constant on each edge of K ,

$$\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}|_e = (\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{x})^2 q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(e),$$

$$(\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \text{div} \boldsymbol{\tau})|_e = \mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{x} (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{x} q) + \text{div}(\mathbf{x} q) + q) \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(e).$$

Thus the results (2) and (3) hold from the requirement $\ell \geq k - 1$. \square

We now prove the unisolvence as follows.

Lemma 3.8. *The degrees of freedom (14)-(17) are unisolvent for $\Sigma_{\ell,k}(K)$.*

Proof. We first count the number of the degrees of freedom (14)-(17) and the dimension of the space, i.e., $\dim \Sigma_{\ell,k}(K)$. Both of them are

$$\ell^2 + 5\ell + 3 + \frac{1}{2}k(k-1).$$

Then suppose all the degrees of freedom (14)-(17) applied to $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ vanish. We are going to prove the function $\boldsymbol{\tau} = 0$.

Step 1. Trace is vanished. By the vanishing degrees of freedom (14)-(16) and (2)-(3) in Lemma 3.7, we get $(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n})|_{\partial K} = 0$ and $(\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \text{div} \boldsymbol{\tau})|_{\partial K} = 0$.

Step 2. Divdiv is vanished. For any $q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K)$, it holds from the Green's identity (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{div} \text{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, q)_K &= (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \nabla^2 q)_K - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(K)} \sum_{\delta \in \partial e} \text{sign}_{e,\delta}(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n})(\delta) q(\delta) \\ &\quad - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(K)} [(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, \partial_n q)_e - (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \text{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, q)_e]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the trace is zero, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is zero at vertices, and $(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \nabla^2 q)_K = 0$ from (17), we conclude $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = 0$.

Step 3. Kernel of $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}$ is vanished. Thus by the polynomial complex (7), there exists $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell+1}(K; \mathbb{R}^2) / \mathbf{RT}$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \operatorname{sym} \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_0^e(\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{v}) = 0 \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}(K).$$

Here we can take $Q_0^e(\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{v}) = 0$ thanks to the degree of freedom of the lowest order Raviart-Thomas element [24]. We will prove $\mathbf{v} = 0$ by similar procedure.

By Lemma 2.2, the fact $(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n})|_{\partial K} = 0$ implies

$$\partial_t(\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{v})|_{\partial K} = (\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n})|_{\partial K} = 0.$$

Hence $\mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{v}|_{\partial K} = 0$. This also means $\mathbf{v}(\delta) = \mathbf{0}$ for each $\delta \in \mathcal{V}(K)$.

Again by Lemma 2.2, since

$$\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = \partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \partial_t \mathbf{v})$$

and $(\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau})|_{\partial K} = 0$, we acquire

$$\partial_{tt}(\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{v})|_{\partial K} = 0.$$

That is $\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{v}|_e \in \mathbb{P}_1(e)$ on each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}(K)$. Noting that $\mathbf{v}(\delta) = \mathbf{0}$ for each $\delta \in \mathcal{V}(K)$, we get $\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{v}|_{\partial K} = 0$ and consequently $\mathbf{v}|_{\partial K} = \mathbf{0}$, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{v} = b_K \psi_{\ell-2}, \quad \text{for some } \psi_{\ell-2} \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2).$$

We then use the fact $\operatorname{rot} : \operatorname{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)$ is bijection, cf. Lemma 3.6, to find $\phi_{\ell-2}$ s.t. $\operatorname{rot}(\operatorname{sym} \mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \phi_{\ell-2}) = \psi_{\ell-2}$.

Finally we finish the proof by choosing $\boldsymbol{\varsigma} = \operatorname{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \phi_{\ell-2})$ in (17). The fact

$$(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K = (\operatorname{sym} \mathbf{curl} b_K \psi_{\ell-2}, \operatorname{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \phi_{\ell-2}))_K = (b_K \psi_{\ell-2}, \psi_{\ell-2})_K = 0$$

will imply $\psi_{\ell-2} = 0$ and consequently $\mathbf{v} = 0, \boldsymbol{\tau} = 0$. \square

3.3. Finite element div-div complex. Recall the div-div Hilbert complexes with different regularity

$$(18) \quad \mathbf{RT} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbf{H}^1(K; \mathbb{R}^2) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{sym} \mathbf{curl}} \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, K; \mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}} L^2(K) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(19) \quad \mathbf{RT} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbf{H}^3(K; \mathbb{R}^2) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{sym} \mathbf{curl}} \mathbf{H}^2(K; \mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}} L^2(K) \rightarrow 0.$$

Both complexes (18) and (19) are exact; see [6].

We have constructed finite element spaces for $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, K; \mathbb{S})$. Now we define a vectorial H^1 -conforming finite element. Let $\mathbf{V}_{\ell+1}(K) := \mathbb{P}_{\ell+1}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\ell \geq 2$. The local degrees of freedom are given by

$$(20) \quad \mathbf{v}(\delta), \nabla \mathbf{v}(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K),$$

$$(21) \quad (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q})_e \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-3}(e; \mathbb{R}^2), e \in \mathcal{E}(K),$$

$$(22) \quad (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q})_K \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2).$$

This finite element is just the vectorial Hermite element [4, 9].

Lemma 3.9. *For any triangle K , both the polynomial complexes*

$$(23) \quad \mathbf{RT} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbf{V}_{\ell+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\ell,k}(K) \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(24) \quad \mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathring{\mathbf{V}}_{\ell+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} \mathring{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\ell,k}(K) \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} \mathring{\mathbb{P}}_{k-2}(K) \rightarrow 0$$

are exact, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{\mathbf{V}}_{\ell+1}(K) &:= \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{\ell+1}(K) : \text{all degrees of freedom (20) - (21) vanish}\}, \\ \mathring{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\ell,k}(K) &:= \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\ell,k}(K) : \text{all degrees of freedom (14) - (16) vanish}\}, \\ \mathring{\mathbb{P}}_{k-2}(K) &:= \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K)/\mathbb{P}_1(K). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The exactness of the complex (23) follows from the exactness of the complex (7) and Lemma 3.3.

By the proof of Lemma 3.8, we have $\mathring{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\ell,k}(K) \cap \ker(\text{div div}) = \text{sym curl } \mathring{\mathbf{V}}_{\ell+1}(K)$. This also means

$$\dim \text{div div } \mathring{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\ell,k}(K) = \dim \mathring{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\ell,k}(K) - \dim \mathring{\mathbf{V}}_{\ell+1}(K) = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) - 3 = \dim \mathring{\mathbb{P}}_{k-2}(K).$$

Due to the Green's identity (2), we get $\text{div div } \mathring{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\ell,k}(K) \subseteq \mathring{\mathbb{P}}_{k-2}(K)$, which ends the proof. \square

To show the commutative diagram for the polynomial complex (23), we introduce $\mathbf{\Pi}_K : \mathbf{H}^2(K; \mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\ell,k}(K)$ be the nodal interpolation operator based on the degrees of freedom (14)-(17). We have $\mathbf{\Pi}_K \boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}$ for any $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{P}_{\min\{\ell,k\}}(K; \mathbb{S})$, and

$$(25) \quad \|\boldsymbol{\tau} - \mathbf{\Pi}_K \boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{0,K} + h_K |\boldsymbol{\tau} - \mathbf{\Pi}_K \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{1,K} + h_K^2 |\boldsymbol{\tau} - \mathbf{\Pi}_K \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{2,K} \lesssim h_K^s |\boldsymbol{\tau}|_{s,K}$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}^s(K; \mathbb{S})$ with $2 \leq s \leq \min\{\ell, k\} + 1$. It follows from the Green's identity (2) that

$$(26) \quad \text{div div}(\mathbf{\Pi}_K \boldsymbol{\tau}) = Q_{k-2}^K \text{div div } \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}^2(K; \mathbb{S}).$$

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K : \mathbf{H}^3(K; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\ell+1}(K)$ be the nodal interpolation operator based on the degrees of freedom (20)-(22). We have $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}$ for any $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell+1}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)$, and

$$(27) \quad \|\mathbf{v} - \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K \mathbf{v}\|_{0,K} + h_K |\mathbf{v} - \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K \mathbf{v}|_{1,K} \lesssim h_K^s |\mathbf{v}|_{s,K} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^s(K; \mathbb{R}^2)$$

with $3 \leq s \leq \ell + 2$. Then we define $\mathbf{I}_K : \mathbf{H}^3(K; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\ell+1}(K)$ by modifying $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K$. By (3) and (4), clearly we have $\mathbf{\Pi}_K(\text{sym curl } \mathbf{v}) - \text{sym curl}(\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K \mathbf{v}) \in \mathring{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_{\ell,k}(K)$ for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^3(K; \mathbb{R}^2)$. And it holds from (26) that

$$\text{div div}(\mathbf{\Pi}_K(\text{sym curl } \mathbf{v}) - \text{sym curl}(\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K \mathbf{v})) = 0.$$

Thus using the complex (24), there exists $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathring{\mathbf{V}}_{\ell+1}(K)$ satisfying

$$\text{sym curl } \tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{\Pi}_K(\text{sym curl } \mathbf{v}) - \text{sym curl}(\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K \mathbf{v}),$$

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\|_{0,K} \lesssim h_K \|\mathbf{\Pi}_K(\text{sym curl } \mathbf{v}) - \text{sym curl}(\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K \mathbf{v})\|_{0,K}.$$

Let $\mathbf{I}_K \mathbf{v} := \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_K \mathbf{v} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. Apparently $\mathbf{I}_K \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}$ for any $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell+1}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)$, and

$$(28) \quad \text{sym curl}(\mathbf{I}_K \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{\Pi}_K(\text{sym curl } \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^3(K; \mathbb{R}^2).$$

It follows from (27) and (25) that

$$(29) \quad \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{I}_K \mathbf{v}\|_{0,K} + h_K |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{I}_K \mathbf{v}|_{1,K} \lesssim h_K^s |\mathbf{v}|_{s,K} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^s(K; \mathbb{R}^2).$$

with $3 \leq s \leq \ell + 2$.

In summary, we have the following commutative diagram for the local finite element complex (23)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{RT} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{H}^3(K; \mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} & \mathbf{H}^2(K; \mathbb{S}) & \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} & L^2(K) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mathbf{I}_K & & \downarrow \mathbf{\Pi}_K & & \downarrow Q_K \\ \mathbf{RT} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{V}_{\ell+1}(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} & \Sigma_{\ell,k}(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} & \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with $Q_K := Q_{k-2}^K$.

We then glue local finite element spaces to get global conforming spaces. Define

$$\mathbf{V}_h := \{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) : \mathbf{v}_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell+1}(K; \mathbb{R}^2) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h,$$

all the degrees of freedom (20) – (21) are single-valued\},

$$\Sigma_h := \{\boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) : \boldsymbol{\tau}_h|_K \in \Sigma_{\ell,k}(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h,$$

all the degrees of freedom (14) – (16) are single-valued\},

$$Q_h := \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{q_h \in L^2(\Omega) : q_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}.$$

Due to Lemma 2.5, the finite element space $\Sigma_h \subset \mathbf{H}(\text{div div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$. Let $\mathbf{I}_h : \mathbf{H}^3(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_h$, $\mathbf{\Pi}_h : \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \Sigma_h$ and $Q_h^m : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_m(\mathcal{T}_h)$ be defined by $(\mathbf{I}_h \mathbf{v})|_K := \mathbf{I}_K(\mathbf{v}|_K)$, $(\mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\tau})|_K := \mathbf{\Pi}_K(\boldsymbol{\tau}|_K)$ and $(Q_h^m q)|_K := Q_m^K(q|_K)$ for each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, respectively. When the degree is clear from the context, we will simply write the L^2 -projection Q_h^{k-2} as Q_h .

As direct results of (26) and (28), we have

$$(30) \quad \text{div div}(\mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\tau}) = Q_h \text{div div} \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}),$$

$$(31) \quad \text{sym curl}(\mathbf{I}_h \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{\Pi}_h(\text{sym curl} \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^3(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2).$$

Lemma 3.10. *The finite element complex*

$$(32) \quad \mathbf{RT} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbf{V}_h \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} \Sigma_h \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} Q_h \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Moreover, we have the commutative diagram

$$(33) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{RT} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{H}^3(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} & \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) & \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} & L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mathbf{I}_h & & \downarrow \mathbf{\Pi}_h & & \downarrow Q_h \\ \mathbf{RT} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{V}_h & \xrightarrow{\text{sym curl}} & \Sigma_h & \xrightarrow{\text{div div}} & Q_h \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} .$$

Proof. By the complex (19), for any $q_h \in Q_h$, there exists $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$ satisfying $\text{div div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = q_h$. Then it follows from (30) that

$$\text{div div}(\mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\tau}) = Q_h \text{div div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = q_h.$$

Hence $\text{div div} \Sigma_h = Q_h$. On the other hand, by counting we get

$$\dim \Sigma_h = 3\#\mathcal{V}_h + (2\ell - 1)\#\mathcal{E}_h + \ell(\ell - 1)\#\mathcal{T}_h + \frac{1}{2}(k + 2)(k - 3)\#\mathcal{T}_h,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{sym} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{V}_h &= 6\#\mathcal{V}_h + (2\ell - 4)\#\mathcal{E}_h + \ell(\ell - 1)\#\mathcal{T}_h - 3, \\ \dim \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h &= \dim \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(\mathcal{T}_h) = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)\#\mathcal{T}_h. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\#\mathcal{S}$ means the number of the elements in the finite set \mathcal{S} . It follows from the Euler's formula $\#\mathcal{E}_h + 1 = \#\mathcal{V}_h + \#\mathcal{T}_h$ that

$$\dim \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h = \dim \operatorname{sym} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{V}_h + \dim \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h.$$

Therefore the complex (32) is exact.

The commutative diagram (33) follows from (30) and (31). \square

Remark 3.11. Using the smoothing procedure [2], the natural interpolations in the commutative diagram (33) can be refined to quasi-interpolations and the top one can be replaced by the complex (18) with minimal regularity.

3.4. Conforming finite element spaces for strain complex. In the application of linear elasticity, the strain complex is more relevant. As the rotated version of (2), we get the Green's identity

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_K &= (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} v)_K + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(K)} \sum_{\delta \in \partial e} \operatorname{sign}_{e,\delta}(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t})(\delta) v(\delta) \\ &\quad - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(K)} [(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t}, \partial_n v)_e + (\partial_t(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{t}^\top \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{\tau}, v)_e] \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{C}^2(K; \mathbb{S})$ and $v \in H^2(K)$.

As a result, we have the following characterization of $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$ such that*

- (i) $\boldsymbol{\tau}|_K \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot}, K; \mathbb{S})$ for each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$;
- (ii) $(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t})|_e \in L^2(e)$ is single-valued for each $e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i$;
- (iii) $(-\partial_{t_e}(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{t}_e^\top \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{\tau})|_e \in L^2(e)$ is single-valued for each $e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i$;
- (iv) $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\delta)$ is single-valued for each $\delta \in \mathcal{V}_h^i$,

then $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$.

Take the space of shape functions

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell,k}^\perp(K) := \mathbb{E}_\ell(K; \mathbb{S}) \oplus \mathbb{E}_k^\oplus(K; \mathbb{S})$$

with $k \geq 3$ and $\ell \geq k - 1$, where recall that the spaces $\mathbb{E}_\ell(K; \mathbb{S}), \mathbb{E}_k^\oplus(K; \mathbb{S})$ are introduced in Remark 3.4. The local degrees of freedom are given by

$$(35) \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K),$$

$$(36) \quad (\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t}, q)_e \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(e), e \in \mathcal{E}(K),$$

$$(37) \quad (-\partial_{t_e}(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{t}_e^\top \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{\tau}, q)_e \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-1}(e), e \in \mathcal{E}(K),$$

$$(38) \quad (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \oplus \operatorname{sym}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)).$$

The degrees of freedom (35)-(38) are unisolvent for $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell,k}^\perp(K)$.

Let $\mathbb{A} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t} &= \mathbb{A} \mathbf{n}, \quad \operatorname{curl} \phi = \mathbb{A}^\top \operatorname{grad} \phi, \quad \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{v} = \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{A}^\top \mathbf{v}), \quad \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbb{A} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbb{A}), \\ \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{\tau} &= \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbb{A}), \quad \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} v = \mathbb{A}^\top \nabla^2 v \mathbb{A}, \quad \operatorname{def} \mathbf{v} = \mathbb{A} \operatorname{sym} \operatorname{curl}(\mathbb{A}^\top \mathbf{v}) \mathbb{A}^\top, \end{aligned}$$

$$-\partial_t(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{rot} \boldsymbol{\tau} = \partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \mathbb{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbb{A} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \mathbf{div}(\mathbb{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbb{A})$$

for sufficiently smooth scalar field ϕ , vectorial field \mathbf{v} and tensorial field $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{x}^\perp = \mathbb{A}^\top \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbb{E}_\ell(K; \mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{A}^\top \mathbb{C}_\ell(K; \mathbb{S}) \mathbb{A}, \quad \mathbb{E}_k^\oplus(K; \mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{A}^\top \mathbb{C}_k^\oplus(K; \mathbb{S}) \mathbb{A}.$$

Then the exactness of the complex (23) implies that the local finite element strain complex

$$(39) \quad \mathbf{RM} \xrightarrow{\subset} \mathbf{V}_{\ell+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{def}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell,k}^\perp(K) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot} \mathbf{rot}} \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Define interpolation operators $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_K^\perp : \mathbf{H}^2(K; \mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell,k}^\perp(K)$ and $\mathbf{I}_K^\perp : \mathbf{H}^3(K; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\ell+1}(K)$ as

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}_K^\perp \boldsymbol{\tau} := \mathbb{A}(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_K(\mathbb{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbb{A})) \mathbb{A}^\top \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}^2(K; \mathbb{S}),$$

$$\mathbf{I}_K^\perp \mathbf{v} := \mathbb{A} \mathbf{I}_K(\mathbb{A}^\top \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^3(K; \mathbb{R}^2).$$

It follows from (26) and (28) that

$$\mathbf{rot} \mathbf{rot} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_K^\perp \boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{div} \mathbf{div}(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_K(\mathbb{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbb{A})) = Q_{k-2}^K \mathbf{div} \mathbf{div}(\mathbb{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbb{A}) = Q_{k-2}^K \mathbf{rot} \mathbf{rot} \boldsymbol{\tau},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{def}(\mathbf{I}_K^\perp \mathbf{v}) &= \mathbb{A} \mathbf{sym} \mathbf{curl}(\mathbb{A}^\top \mathbf{I}_K^\perp \mathbf{v}) \mathbb{A}^\top = \mathbb{A} \mathbf{sym} \mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{I}_K(\mathbb{A}^\top \mathbf{v})) \mathbb{A}^\top \\ &= \mathbb{A} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_K(\mathbf{sym} \mathbf{curl}(\mathbb{A}^\top \mathbf{v})) \mathbb{A}^\top = \mathbb{A} \boldsymbol{\Pi}_K(\mathbb{A}^\top(\mathbf{def} \mathbf{v})) \mathbb{A}^\top = \boldsymbol{\Pi}_K^\perp(\mathbf{def} \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the following commutative diagram for the local finite element complex (39)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{RM} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{H}^3(K; \mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{def}} & \mathbf{H}^2(K; \mathbb{S}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot} \mathbf{rot}} & L^2(K) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mathbf{I}_K^\perp & & \downarrow \boldsymbol{\Pi}_K^\perp & & \downarrow Q_K \\ \mathbf{RM} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{V}_{\ell+1}(K) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{def}} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell,k}^\perp(K) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot} \mathbf{rot}} & \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} .$$

Define

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h^\perp := \{\boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) : \boldsymbol{\tau}_h|_K \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\ell,k}^\perp(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \text{ all the degrees of freedom (35) – (37) are single-valued}\}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.12, the finite element space $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h^\perp \subset \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{rot} \mathbf{rot}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$. Let $\mathbf{I}_h^\perp : \mathbf{H}^3(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_h$ and $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_h^\perp : \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h^\perp$ be defined by $(\mathbf{I}_h^\perp \mathbf{v})|_K := \mathbf{I}_K^\perp(\mathbf{v}|_K)$ and $(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_h^\perp \boldsymbol{\tau})|_K := \boldsymbol{\Pi}_K^\perp(\boldsymbol{\tau}|_K)$, respectively. Similarly as the commutative diagram (33), we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{RM} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{H}^3(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{def}} & \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot} \mathbf{rot}} & L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mathbf{I}_h^\perp & & \downarrow \boldsymbol{\Pi}_h^\perp & & \downarrow Q_h \\ \mathbf{RM} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbf{V}_h & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{def}} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h^\perp & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot} \mathbf{rot}} & \mathcal{Q}_h \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} .$$

4. MIXED FINITE ELEMENT METHODS FOR BIHARMONIC EQUATION

In this section we will apply the $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div})$ -conforming finite element pair $(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h, \mathcal{Q}_h)$ to solve the biharmonic equation

$$(40) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = -f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \partial_n u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. A mixed formulation of the biharmonic equation (40) is to find $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S})$ and $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$(41) \quad (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, u) = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}),$$

$$(42) \quad (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Note that Dirichlet-type boundary of u is imposed as natural condition in the mixed formulation.

4.1. Mixed finite element methods. Employing the finite element spaces $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h \times \mathcal{Q}_h$ to discretize $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{S}) \times L^2(\Omega)$, we propose the following discrete methods for the mixed formulation (41)-(42): find $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h$ and $u_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h$ such that

$$(43) \quad (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, u_h) = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h,$$

$$(44) \quad (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h.$$

As a result of (30) and (25), we have the inf-sup condition

$$\|v_h\|_0 \lesssim \sup_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h} \frac{(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, v_h)}{\|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div})}}.$$

By the Babuška-Brezzi theory [3], the following stability result holds

$$(45) \quad \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div})} + \|\tilde{u}_h\|_0 \lesssim \sup_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h \\ v_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h}} \frac{(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, \tilde{u}_h) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h, v_h)}{\|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div})} + \|v_h\|_0}$$

for any $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h$ and $\tilde{u}_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h$. Hence the mixed finite element method (43)-(44) is well-posed.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h$ and $u_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h$ be the solution of the mixed finite element methods (43)-(44). Assume $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbf{H}^{\min\{\ell, k\}+1}(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$, $u \in H^{k-1}(\Omega)$ and $f \in H^{k-1}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$(46) \quad \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_0 + \|Q_h u - u_h\|_0 \lesssim h^{\min\{\ell, k\}+1} |\boldsymbol{\sigma}|_{\min\{\ell, k\}+1},$$

$$(47) \quad \|u - u_h\|_0 \lesssim h^{\min\{\ell, k\}+1} |\boldsymbol{\sigma}|_{\min\{\ell, k\}+1} + h^{k-1} |u|_{k-1},$$

$$(48) \quad \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div})} \lesssim h^{\min\{\ell, k\}+1} |\boldsymbol{\sigma}|_{\min\{\ell, k\}+1} + h^{k-1} |f|_{k-1}.$$

Proof. Subtracting (43)-(44) from (41)-(42), it follows

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, u - u_h) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h), v_h) = 0,$$

which combined with (30) yields

$$(49) \quad (\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, Q_h u - u_h) + (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{div}(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h), v_h) = 0.$$

Taking $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h = \mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h$ and $\tilde{u}_h = Q_h u - u_h$ in (45), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div})} + \|Q_h u - u_h\|_0 &\lesssim \sup_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h \\ v_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h}} \frac{(\mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h)}{\|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div})} + \|v_h\|_0} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_0 + \|Q_h u - u_h\|_0 &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\sigma}\|_0, \\ \|u - u_h\|_0 &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\sigma}\|_0 + \|u - Q_h u\|_0, \\ \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div})} &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \mathbf{\Pi}_h \boldsymbol{\sigma}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div})}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we conclude (46), (47) and (48) from (25). \square

If we are interested in the approximation of stress in $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div})$ norm, it is more economic to chose $\ell = k - 1$. If instead the L^2 -norm is of concern, $\ell = k$ is a better choice to achieve higher accuracy.

The estimate of $\|Q_h u - u_h\|_0$ in (46) is superconvergent and can be used to postprocess to get a high order approximation of displacement.

4.2. Superconvergence of displacement in mesh-dependent norm. Equip the space

$$H^2(\mathcal{T}_h) := \{v \in L^2(\Omega) : v|_K \in H^2(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

with squared mesh-dependent norm

$$|v|_{2,h}^2 := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |v|_{2,K}^2 + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} (h_e^{-3} \|\llbracket v \rrbracket\|_{0,e}^2 + h_e^{-1} \|\llbracket \partial_{n_e} v \rrbracket\|_{0,e}^2),$$

where $\llbracket v \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket \partial_{n_e} v \rrbracket$ are jumps of v and $\partial_{n_e} v$ across e for $e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i$, and $\llbracket v \rrbracket = v$ and $\llbracket \partial_{n_e} v \rrbracket = \partial_{n_e} v$ for $e \in \mathcal{E}_h \setminus \mathcal{E}_h^i$.

Lemma 4.2. *It holds the inf-sup condition*

$$(50) \quad |v_h|_{2,h} \lesssim \sup_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h} \frac{(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, v_h)}{\|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h\|_0} \quad \forall v_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h.$$

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_h$ be determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h(\delta) &= 0 & \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}_h, \\ Q_{\ell-2}^e(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \mathbf{n}) &= -h_e^{-1} \llbracket \partial_{n_e} v_h \rrbracket & \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h, \\ \partial_{t_e}(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}_e^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h &= h_e^{-3} \llbracket v_h \rrbracket & \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h, \\ (\boldsymbol{\tau}_h, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K &= (\nabla^2 v_h, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K & \forall \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K), \\ (\boldsymbol{\tau}_h, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K &= 0 & \forall \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \operatorname{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)) \end{aligned}$$

for each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Due to the scaling argument, it holds

$$\|\boldsymbol{\tau}_h\|_0 \lesssim |v|_{2,h}.$$

And we get from (2) that

$$(\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, v_h) = |v|_{2,h}^2.$$

Hence the inf-sup condition (50) follows. \square

An immediate result of the inf-sup condition (50) is the stability result

$$(51) \quad \|\tilde{\sigma}_h\|_0 + |\tilde{u}_h|_{2,h} \lesssim \sup_{\substack{\tau_h \in \Sigma_h \\ v_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h}} \frac{(\tilde{\sigma}_h, \tau_h) + (\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \tau_h, \tilde{u}_h) + (\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \tilde{\sigma}_h, v_h)}{\|\tau_h\|_0 + |v_h|_{2,h}}$$

for any $\tilde{\sigma}_h \in \Sigma_h$ and $\tilde{u}_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\sigma_h \in \Sigma_h$ and $u_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h$ be the solution of the mixed finite element methods (43)-(44). Assume $\sigma \in H^{\min\{\ell,k\}+1}(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$. Then*

$$(52) \quad |Q_h u - u_h|_{2,h} \lesssim h^{\min\{\ell,k\}+1} |\sigma|_{\min\{\ell,k\}+1}.$$

Proof. Taking $\tilde{\sigma}_h = \Pi_h \sigma - \sigma_h$ and $\tilde{u}_h = Q_h u - u_h$ in (51), we get from (49) that

$$\|\Pi_h \sigma - \sigma_h\|_0 + |Q_h u - u_h|_{2,h} \lesssim \sup_{\substack{\tau_h \in \Sigma_h \\ v_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h}} \frac{(\Pi_h \sigma - \sigma, \tau_h)}{\|\tau_h\|_0 + |v_h|_{2,h}} \leq \|\Pi_h \sigma - \sigma\|_0,$$

which gives (52). \square

The estimate of $|Q_h u - u_h|_{2,h}$ in (52) is superconvergent, which is $\min\{\ell-k, 0\}+4$ order higher than the optimal one and will be used to get a high order approximation of displacement by postprocessing.

4.3. Postprocessing. Define $u_h^* \in \mathbb{P}_{\min\{\ell,k\}+2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ as follows: for each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 u_h^*, \nabla^2 q)_K &= -(\sigma_h, \nabla^2 q)_K \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{\min\{\ell,k\}+2}(\mathcal{T}_h), \\ (u_h^*, q)_K &= (u_h, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{T}_h). \end{aligned}$$

Namely we compute the projection of σ_h in H^2 semi-inner product and use u_h to impose the constraint. Recall that $k \geq 3$ and $\ell \geq k-1$. Thus $u_h \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ and the local H^2 -projection is well-defined.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\sigma_h \in \Sigma_h$ and $u_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h$ be the solution of the mixed finite element methods (43)-(44). Assume $u \in H^{\min\{\ell,k\}+3}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$(53) \quad |u - u_h^*|_{2,h} \lesssim h^{\min\{\ell,k\}+1} |u|_{\min\{\ell,k\}+3}.$$

Proof. Let $z = (I - Q_h^1)(Q_h^{\min\{\ell,k\}+2} u - u_h^*)$. By the definition of u_h^* , it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 z\|_{0,K}^2 &= (\nabla^2(Q_{\min\{\ell,k\}+2}^K u - u), \nabla^2 z)_K + (\nabla^2(u - u_h^*), \nabla^2 z)_K \\ &= (\nabla^2(Q_{\min\{\ell,k\}+2}^K u - u), \nabla^2 z)_K + (\sigma_h - \sigma, \nabla^2 z)_K, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(54) \quad |z|_{2,h}^2 \lesssim \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |u - Q_h^{\min\{\ell,k\}+2} u|_{2,K}^2 + \|\sigma - \sigma_h\|_0^2.$$

Since $Q_h^1(Q_h^{\min\{\ell,k\}+2} u - u_h^*) = Q_h^1(Q_h^{k-2} u - u_h)$ and

$$|(I - Q_h^1)(Q_h^{k-2} u - u_h)|_{2,h}^2 \lesssim \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |Q_h^{k-2} u - u_h|_{2,K}^2,$$

we get

$$(55) \quad |Q_h^1(Q_h^{\min\{\ell,k\}+2} u - u_h^*)|_{2,h} \lesssim |Q_h^1(Q_h^{k-2} u - u_h)|_{2,h} \lesssim |Q_h^{k-2} u - u_h|_{2,h}.$$

Combining (54) and (55) gives

$$|Q_h^{\min\{\ell,k\}+2} u - u_h^*|_{2,h} \leq |u - Q_h^{\min\{\ell,k\}+2} u|_{2,h} + \|\sigma - \sigma_h\|_0 + |Q_h^{k-2} u - u_h|_{2,h}.$$

Finally (53) follows from (46) and (52). \square

4.4. Hybridization. In this subsection we consider a partial hybridization of the mixed finite element methods (43)-(44) by relaxing the continuity of the effective transverse shear force. To this end, let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma}_h := \{ \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) : \boldsymbol{\tau}_h|_K \in \Sigma_{\ell,k}(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \\ \text{all the degrees of freedom (14) - (15) are single-valued} \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_h := \{ \mu_h \in L^2(\mathcal{E}_h) : \mu_h|_e \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-1}(e) \text{ for each } e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i, \\ \text{and } \mu_h|_e = 0 \text{ for each } e \in \mathcal{E}_h \setminus \mathcal{E}_h^i \}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h \in \Sigma_h$ and $u_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h$ be the solution of the mixed finite element methods (43)-(44). Let $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h, \tilde{u}_h, \lambda_h) \in \tilde{\Sigma}_h \times \mathcal{Q}_h \times \Lambda_h$ satisfy the partial hybridized mixed finite methods*

$$(56) \quad (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) + b_h(\boldsymbol{\tau}_h, \tilde{u}_h, \lambda_h) = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \tilde{\Sigma}_h,$$

$$(57) \quad b_h(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h, v_h, \mu_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h, \mu_h \in \Lambda_h,$$

where

$$b_h(\boldsymbol{\tau}_h, v_h, \mu_h) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, v_h)_K - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, \mu_h)_{\partial K}.$$

Then $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h$ and $\tilde{u}_h = u_h$.

Proof. First show the unisolvence of the discrete methods (56)-(57). Assume f is zero. Due to (57) with $v_h = 0$, we get $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h \in \Sigma_h$. Hence $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h, \tilde{u}_h) \in \Sigma_h \times \mathcal{Q}_h$ satisfies the mixed finite element methods (43)-(44) with $f = 0$. Then $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h = \mathbf{0}$ and $\tilde{u}_h = 0$ follows from the unisolvence of the mixed methods (43)-(44). Thus (56) becomes

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h, \lambda_h)_{\partial K} = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \Sigma_h.$$

Now taking $\boldsymbol{\tau}_h \in \Sigma_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h(\delta) &= 0 \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}_h, \\ Q_{\ell-2}^e(\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \mathbf{n}) &= 0 \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h, \\ \partial_{t_e}(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau}_h \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}_e^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}_h &= \lambda_h \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}_h, \\ (\boldsymbol{\tau}_h, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K &= 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \oplus \operatorname{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)) \end{aligned}$$

for each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we acquire $\lambda_h = 0$.

For general $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, it also follows from (57) that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h \in \Sigma_h$. And then $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h, \tilde{u}_h) \in \Sigma_h \times \mathcal{Q}_h$ satisfies the mixed finite element methods (43)-(44). Thus $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_h = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h$ and $\tilde{u}_h = u_h$. \square

The space of shape functions for $\tilde{\Sigma}_h$ is still $\Sigma_{\ell,k}(K)$. The local degrees of freedom are

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\tau}(\delta) \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K), \\ (\mathbf{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}, q)_e \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(e), e \in \mathcal{E}(K), \\ (\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, q)_e \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell-1}(e), e \in \mathring{\mathcal{E}}(K), \\ (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \nabla^2 \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K) \oplus \operatorname{sym}(\mathbf{x}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\ell-2}(K; \mathbb{R}^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Here notation $e \in \mathring{\mathcal{E}}(K)$ means $(\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, q)_e$ are the interior degrees of freedom, i.e., $(\partial_t(\mathbf{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n}^\top \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau}, q)_e$ are double-valued on each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i$.

When $\ell = k$, we can take the following degrees of freedom

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\tau}(\delta) & \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{V}(K), \\ (\boldsymbol{n}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{n}, q)_e & \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(e), e \in \mathcal{E}(K), \\ (\boldsymbol{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{n}, q)_e, (\boldsymbol{t}^\top \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{t}, q)_e & \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(e), e \in \mathring{\mathcal{E}}(K), \\ (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\varsigma})_K & \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varsigma} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-3}(K; \mathbb{S}). \end{aligned}$$

These are exactly the tensor version of the local degrees of freedom for the Lagrange element. Therefore we can adopt the standard Lagrange element basis to implement the hybridized mixed finite element methods (56)-(57).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Amara, D. Capatina-Papaghiuc, and A. Chatti. Bending moment mixed method for the kirchhoff-love plate model. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 40(5):1632–1649, 2002.
- [2] D. N. Arnold, R. S. Falk, and R. Winther. Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications. *Acta Numer.*, 15:1–155, 2006.
- [3] D. Boffi, F. Brezzi, and M. Fortin. *Mixed finite element methods and applications*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [4] S. C. Brenner and L. R. Scott. *The mathematical theory of finite element methods*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2008.
- [5] L. Chen, J. Hu, and X. Huang. Multigrid Methods for Hellan-Herrmann-Johnson Mixed Method of Kirchhoff Plate Bending Problems. *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 76:673–696, 2018.
- [6] L. Chen and X. Huang. Decoupling of mixed methods based on generalized Helmholtz decompositions. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 56(5):2796–2825, 2018.
- [7] S. H. Christiansen and K. Hu. Finite element systems for vector bundles: elasticity and curvature. *arXiv:1906.09128*, 2019.
- [8] S. H. Christiansen, K. Hu, and E. Sande. Poincaré path integrals for elasticity. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 135:83–102, 2020.
- [9] P. G. Ciarlet. *The finite element method for elliptic problems*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1978.
- [10] K. Feng and Z.-C. Shi. *Mathematical theory of elastic structures*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [11] T. Führer and N. Heuer. Fully discrete dpg methods for the Kirchhoff–Love plate bending model. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 343:550–571, 2019.
- [12] T. Führer, N. Heuer, and A. Niemi. An ultraweak formulation of the Kirchhoff–Love plate bending model and dpg approximation. *Math. Comp.*, 88(318):1587–1619, 2019.
- [13] P. Grisvard. *Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2011.
- [14] K. Hellan. Analysis of elastic plates in flexure by a simplified finite element method. *Acta Polytechnica Scandinavia, Civil Engineering Series*, 46, 1967.
- [15] L. R. Herrmann. Finite element bending analysis for plates. *Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division*, 93(EM5):49–83, 1967.
- [16] J. Hu and S. Zhang. A family of symmetric mixed finite elements for linear elasticity on tetrahedral grids. *Sci. China Math.*, 58(2):297–307, 2015.
- [17] J. Huang and X. Huang. A hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin method for Kirchhoff plates. *J. Sci. Comput.*, 78(1):290–320, 2019.
- [18] J. Huang, Z. Shi, and Y. Xu. Some studies on mathematical models for general elastic multi-structures. *Sci. China Ser. A*, 48(7):986–1007, 2005.
- [19] C. Johnson. On the convergence of a mixed finite-element method for plate bending problems. *Numer. Math.*, 21:43–62, 1973.
- [20] W. Krendl, K. Rafetseder, and W. Zulehner. A decomposition result for biharmonic problems and the Hellan-Herrmann-Johnson method. *Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal.*, 45:257–282, 2016.
- [21] A. Pechstein and J. Schöberl. Tangential-displacement and normal-normal-stress continuous mixed finite elements for elasticity. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 21(8):1761–1782, 2011.

- [22] A. S. Pechstein and J. Schöberl. An analysis of the TDNNS method using natural norms. *Numer. Math.*, 139(1):93–120, 2018.
- [23] K. Rafetseder and W. Zulehner. A decomposition result for Kirchhoff plate bending problems and a new discretization approach. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 56(3):1961–1986, 2018.
- [24] P.-A. Raviart and J. M. Thomas. A mixed finite element method for 2nd order elliptic problems. In *Mathematical aspects of finite element methods (Proc. Conf., Consiglio Naz. delle Ricerche (C.N.R.), Rome, 1975)*, pages 292–315. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 606. Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [25] A. Sinwel. *A New Family of Mixed Finite Elements for Elasticity*. PhD thesis, Johannes Kepler University Linz, 2009.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT IRVINE, IRVINE, CA 92697, USA

Email address: `chenlong@math.uci.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

Email address: `huang.xuehai@sufe.edu.cn`