

Subordinated Compound Poisson processes of order k

Ayushi Singh Sengar and N. S. Upadhye*

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras

Chennai-600036, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.

*e-mail:neelesh@iitm.ac.in

Abstract

In this article, the compound Poisson processes of order k (CPPoK) is introduced and its properties are discussed. Further, using mixture of tempered stable subordinator (MTSS) and its right continuous inverse, the two subordinated CPPoK with various distributional properties are studied. It is also shown that the space and tempered space fractional versions of CPPoK and PPoK can be obtained, which generalize the results in the literature for the process defined in [14].

1 Introduction

The Poisson distribution has been the conventional model for count data analysis, and due to its popularity and applicability various researchers have generalized it in several directions; e.g. compound Poisson processes, fractional (time-changed) versions of Poisson processes (see [4, 9, 1], and references therein). A handful of researchers have also studied the distributions and processes of order k (see [8, 5]). In particular, the discrete distribution of order k , introduced by Philippou et al. (see [15]), include binomial, geometric and negative binomial distributions of order k . These distributions play an important role in several areas, such as, reliability, statistics, finance, actuarial risk analysis (see [12, 16, 13]).

In risk theory, the total claim amount is usually modelled by using a compound Poisson process, say $Z_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_i$, where the compounding random variables Y_i are iid and the number of claims $N(t)$, independent of $\{Y_i\}_{i \geq 1}$, follow Poisson distribution. But, due to the restriction of single arrival in each inter-arrival time, the model is not suitable to use. Kostadinova and Minkova [7] introduced a Poisson process of order k (PPoK), which allows us to model arrival in a group of size k . Recently, a time-changed version of Poisson processes of order k is studied by [16] which allows group arrivals and also the case of extreme events, which is not covered by [7]. In spite of its applicability, this model is still not suitable for underdispersed dataset. Therefore, a generalization of this model is essential and is proposed in this article.

To the best of our knowledge, such a generalization is not yet studied. Therefore, we introduce the compound Poisson process of order k (CPPoK) with the help of the Poisson process of order k (PPoK) and study its distributional properties. Then, we time-change CPPoK with a special type of Lévy subordinator known as mixture of tempered stable

subordinator, and its right continuous inverse, and analyze some properties of these time-changed processes.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce CPPoK and derive some of its general properties along with martingale characterization property. In section 3, we introduce two types of CPPoK with the help of MTSS and its right continuous inverse, and derive some important distributional properties.

2 Compound Poisson Process of order k and its properties

In this section, we introduce CPPoK and derive its distributional properties. First, we define the Poisson distribution of order k .

Definition 1 (Philippou [5]). *Let $N^{(k)} \sim PoK(\lambda)$, the Poisson distribution of order k (PoK) with rate parameter $\lambda > 0$, then the probability mass function (pmf) of $N^{(k)}$ is given by*

$$\mathbb{P}[N^{(k)} = n] = \sum_{\substack{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \geq 0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^k jx_j = n}} e^{-k\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_k}}{x_1!x_2!\dots x_k!}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where the summation is taken over all non-negative integers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k such that $x_1 + 2x_2 + \dots + kx_k = n$.

Philippou [5] showed the existence of PoK as a limiting distribution of negative binomial distribution of order k . Kostadinova and Minkova [7] later generalized PoK to evolve over time, in terms of, a process which can be defined as follows.

Definition 2 (Kostadinova and Minkova [7]). *Let $\{N(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ denote $PP(k\lambda)$, the Poisson process with rate parameter $k\lambda$, and $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (IID) discrete uniform random variables with support on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Also, assume that $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ and $\{N(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ are independent. Then $\{N^{(k)}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, defined by $N^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i$ is called the Poisson process of order k (PPoK) and is denoted by $PPoK(\lambda)$.*

However, the clumping behavior associated with random phenomenon cannot be handled by PPoK [7]. Hence, there is a need to generalize this notion as well. We propose the following generalization of PPoK.

Definition 3. *Let $\{N^{(k)}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the $PPoK(\lambda)$ and $\{Y_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of IID random variables, independent of $N^{(k)}(t)$, with cumulative distribution function (CDF) H . Then the process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined by $Z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(t)} Y_i$ is called the compound Poisson process of order k (CPPoK) and is denoted by $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$.*

From the definition, it is clear that:

- (i) for $k = 1$, $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is $CPP(\lambda, H)$ the usual compound Poisson process.
- (ii) for $H = \delta_1$, the Dirac measure at 1, $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is $PPoK(\lambda)$.
- (iii) for $k = 1$ and $H = \delta_1$, $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is $PP(\lambda)$.

Next, we present a characterization of $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$, in terms of, the finite dimensional distribution (FDD).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be as defined in Definition 3. Then the FDD, denoted as $F_{Z(t_1), \dots, Z(t_n)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \mathbb{P}[Z(t_1) \leq y_1, \dots, Z(t_n) \leq y_n]$ has the following form*

$$F_{Z(t_1), \dots, Z(t_n)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \prod_{l=1}^n p_{j_l}(\Delta t_l) \int_{-\infty}^{v_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{v_n} \prod_{m=1}^n h_{Y_1}^{*j_m}(x_m) dx_m, \quad (1)$$

where the summation is taken over all non-negative integers $j_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $v_k = y_k - \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} x_l$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, h is the density/pmf of H , and $(*j_n)$ represents the j_n -fold convolution.

Proof. Let $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = t$ be the partition of $[0, t]$. Since, the increments of $\{N^{(k)}(t)\}$ are independent and stationary, we can write $N^{(k)}(t_i) = \sum_{l=1}^i N^{(k)}(\Delta t_l)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\mathbb{P}[N^{(k)}(t) = j] = p_j(t)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Z(t_1), \dots, Z(t_n)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= \mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(t_1)} Y_i \leq y_1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(t_n)} Y_i \leq y_n \right] \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{j_1} Y_i \leq y_1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{\sum_{l=1}^n j_l} Y_i \leq y_n \right] \prod_{l=1}^n \mathbb{P}[N^{(k)}(\Delta t_l) = j_l] \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $\sum_{i=1}^{j_1} Y_i = Y(j_1), \dots, \sum_{i=j_1+\dots+j_{n-1}+1}^{j_1+\dots+j_n} Y_i = Y(j_n)$, then it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Z(t_1), \dots, Z(t_n)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \prod_{l=1}^n p_{j_l}(\Delta t_l) \mathbb{P}[Y(j_1) \leq y_1, \dots, Y(j_n) \leq y_n] \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \prod_{l=1}^n p_{j_l}(\Delta t_l) \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} \int_{-\infty}^{y_2 - x_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{y_n - (\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} x_l)} \prod_{m=1}^n h_{Y_1}^{*j_m}(x_m) dx_m \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \prod_{l=1}^n p_{j_l}(\Delta t_l) \int_{-\infty}^{v_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{v_n} \prod_{m=1}^n h_{Y_1}^{*j_m}(x_m) dx_m. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.1. *For $n = 1$, (1) reduces to $\mathbb{P}[Z(t) \leq y_1] = \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} p_{j_1}(t_1) \int_{-\infty}^{y_1} h_{Y_1}^{*j_1}(x_1) dx_1$, which is the marginal distribution of $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$.*

The mean and variance of the process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(t)] = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \mathbb{E}[Y], \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Var}[Z(t)] = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \text{Var}(Y) + \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} \lambda t \mathbb{E}[Y]^2. \quad (3)$$

2.1 Index of dispersion

In this subsection, we discuss the index of dispersion of $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$.

Definition 4 (Maheshwari and Vellaisamy [11]). *The index of dispersion for a counting process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is defined by*

$$I(t) = \frac{\text{Var}[Z(t)]}{\mathbb{E}[Z(t)]}.$$

Then the stochastic process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be overdispersed if $I(t) > 1$, underdispersed if $I(t) < 1$, and equidispersed if $I(t) = 1$.

Alternatively, Definition 4 can be interpreted as follows. A stochastic process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is over(under)-dispersed if $\text{Var}[Z(t)] - \mathbb{E}[Z(t)] > 0 (< 0)$. Therefore, we first calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[Z(t)] - \mathbb{E}[Z(t)] &= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \left[\text{Var}(Y) - \mathbb{E}(Y) + \frac{(2k+1)}{3} \mathbb{E}[Y]^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \left[\mathbb{E}(Y^2) - \mathbb{E}(Y) + \left(\frac{2k+1}{3} - 1 \right) \mathbb{E}(Y)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

From the above definition, the following cases arise:

- (i) If Y_i 's are over and equidispersed, then $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ exhibits overdispersion.
- (ii) If Y_i 's are underdispersed with non-negative integer valued random variable i.e., $[\mathbb{E}(Y^2) - \mathbb{E}(Y)] \geq 0$, then $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ shows overdispersion.
- (iii) If Y_i 's are underdispersed, then $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ may show both over and underdispersion. e.g. $Y_i \sim \text{exp}(\mu)$, (4) becomes

$$\text{Var}[Z(t)] - \mathbb{E}[Z(t)] = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \left[\frac{1}{\mu^2} \left(\frac{2k+4}{3} \right) - \frac{1}{\mu} \right].$$

If $\mu < \frac{2k+4}{3}$, $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ exhibits overdispersion else underdispersion. Hence, unlike $PPoK(\lambda)$, it can capture both the cases.

2.2 Long range dependence

In this subsection, we prove the long-range dependence (LRD) property for the $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$. There are several definitions available in literature. We used the definition given in [10].

Definition 5 (Maheshwari and Vellaisamy [10]). *Let $0 \leq s < t$ and s be fixed. Assume a stochastic process $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ has the correlation function $\text{Corr}[X(s), X(t)]$ that satisfies*

$$c_1(s)t^{-d} \leq \text{Corr}[X(s), X(t)] \leq c_2(s)t^{-d},$$

for large t , $d > 0$, $c_1(s) > 0$ and $c_2(s) > 0$. i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Corr}[X(s), X(t)]}{t^{-d}} = c(s)$$

for some $c(s) > 0$ and $d > 0$. We say that, $X(t)$ has the long-range dependence (LRD) property if $d \in (0, 1)$ and short-range dependence (SRD) property if $d \in (1, 2)$.

Proposition 2.1. *The $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ has the LRD property.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq s < t$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Corr}[Z(s), Z(t)] &= \frac{\text{Cov}[Z(s), Z(t)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Z(s)]\text{Var}[Z(t)]}}, \\ &= \frac{c(s)}{t^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda \text{Var}(Y) + \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y]^2}} \\ &= c(s)t^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where, $0 < c(s) = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda s \text{Var}(Y) + \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} \lambda s \mathbb{E}[Y]^2}{\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda \text{Var}(Y) + \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y]^2}}$,

which decays like the power law $t^{-1/2}$. Hence $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ has LRD property. \square

2.3 Martingale characterization for CPPoK

It is well known that the martingale characterization for homogeneous Poisson process is called Watanabe theorem (see [3]). Now, we extend this theorem for $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$, where H is discrete distribution with support on \mathbb{Z}^+ and for this we need following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $D(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N(t)} X_j$, $t \geq 0$ is the compound Poisson process, where $\{N(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is $PP(k\lambda)$ and $\{X_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ are non-negative IID random variable, independent from $\{N(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, with pmf $\mathbb{P}(X_j = i) = \alpha_i$, ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $\{D(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ can be represented as*

$$D(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i Z_i(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where, $Z_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ are independent $PP(k\lambda\alpha_i)$, and the symbol $\stackrel{d}{=}$ denotes the equality in distribution.

Proof. Let $G_{D(t)}(u)$ is the probability generating function (pgf) of $\{D(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, then

$$G_{D(t)}(u) = \mathbb{E}[u^{D(t)}] = \exp[\lambda kt \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j (u^j - 1)] = \mathbb{E}[u^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i Z_i(t)}].$$

\square

Lemma 2.2. *The pgf of $Z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(t)} Y_i$, $t \geq 0$ has the following form*

$$G_{Z(t)}(u) = \exp \left[\lambda kt \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_{j_1}^{(1)} + q_{j_1}^{(2)} + \dots + q_{j_1}^{(k)}}{k} (u^{j_1} - 1) \right],$$

where Y_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ are non-negative integer valued IID random variables with $\mathbb{P}[Y_i = n] = q_n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$

Proof. Let $G_{Z(t)}(u)$ is the pgf of $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{Z(t)}(u) = \mathbb{E}[u^{Z(t)}] &= \exp[\lambda t \{G_Y(u) + \dots + G_Y^{*k}(u)\} - k\lambda t] \\ &= \exp \left[\lambda t \left\{ \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} q_{j_1} u^{j_1} + \dots + \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} q_{j_1}^{*k} u^{j_1} \right\} - k\lambda t \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \exp \left[\lambda t \left\{ \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} q_{j_1} u^{j_1} + \dots + \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=0}^{j_1} \dots \sum_{j_k=0}^{j_{k-1}} q_{j_k} q_{j_{k-1}-j_k} \dots q_{j_1-j_2} u^{j_1} \right\} - k \lambda t \right] \\
&\text{Let us denote } q_{j_1}^{(n)} = \prod_{m=1}^n \sum_{j_m=0}^{j_{m-1}} q_{j_m} q_{j_{m-1}-j_m} \dots q_{j_1-j_2}, \quad n = 1, \dots, k. \\
&= \exp \left[\lambda k t \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_{j_1}^{(1)} + \dots + q_{j_1}^{(k)}}{k} (u^{j_1} - 1) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.2. Set $\alpha_{j_1} = \frac{q_{j_1}^{(1)} + \dots + q_{j_1}^{(k)}}{k}$, $j_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Substituting α_{j_1} in Lemma 2.1, we get the following relation

$$D(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i Z_i(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(t)} Y_i, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

where Y_i 's are non-negative integer valued random variables and $N^{(k)}(t)$ is $PPoK(\lambda)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$, where H is discrete distribution with support on \mathbb{Z}^+ , is a \mathcal{F}_t adapted stochastic process iff $M(t) = Z(t) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \mathbb{E}[Y]$, $t \geq 0$ is an \mathcal{F}_t martingale.

Proof. Let $Z(t)$ be the \mathcal{F}_t adapted stochastic process. If $Z(t)$ is a compound Poisson process of order k , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[M(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}\left[Z(t) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \mathbb{E}[Y] \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right], \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \\
&= \mathbb{E}[Z(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \mathbb{E}[Y] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[Z(t) - Z(s)|\mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}[Z(s)|\mathcal{F}_s] - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \mathbb{E}[Y] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[Z(t) - Z(s)] + Z(s) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda t \mathbb{E}[Y] \\
&= Z(s) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda s \mathbb{E}[Y] = M(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the process $\{M(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is \mathcal{F}_t martingale.

Since, in Remark 2.2, it is shown that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i Z_i(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(t)} Y_i$. So the other part easily follows using [18, Theorem 5.2]. □

Remark 2.3. We know that CPP is a Lévy process and in (5), it is proved that $CPPoK$ is equal in distribution to $\{D(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Hence, $CPPoK$ is also a Lévy process and hence infinitely divisible.

Remark 2.4. The characteristic function of $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i w Z(t)}] = \exp \left[t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (e^{i w j} - 1) k \lambda \alpha_j \right],$$

where, $\alpha_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ are as defined in Remark 2.2, and $k \lambda \alpha_j = \nu_j$ is called the Lévy measure of $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$.

3 Main Results

In this section, we recall the definitions of Lévy subordinator and its first exit time. Further, we define the subordinated versions of $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ and discuss their properties.

Lévy Subordinator

A Lévy subordinator $\{D_f(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a one-dimensional non-decreasing Lévy process whose Laplace transform (LT) can be expressed in the form (see [2])

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda D_f(t)}] = e^{-tf(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

where the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called the Laplace exponent and

$$f(\lambda) = b\lambda + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda x})\nu(dx), \quad b \geq 0, s > 0.$$

Here b is the drift coefficient and ν is a non-negative Lévy measure on positive half-line satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty (x \wedge 1)\nu(dx) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \nu([0, \infty)) = \infty,$$

which ensures that the sample paths of $D_f(t)$ are almost surely (*a.s.*) strictly increasing. Also, the inverse subordinator $\{E_f(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the first exit time of the Lévy subordinator $\{D_f(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, and it is defined as

$$E_f(t) = \inf\{r \geq 0 : D_f(r) > t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Next, we study $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ by taking subordinator as mixture of tempered stable subordinators (MTSS).

3.1 CPPoK time changed by mixtures of tempered stable subordinators

The mixtures of tempered stable subordinator (MTSS) $\{S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Lévy process with LT (see [6])

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-s S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)}] = \exp\{-t(c_1((s + \mu_1)^{\alpha_1} - \mu_1^{\alpha_1}) + c_2((s + \mu_2)^{\alpha_2} - \mu_2^{\alpha_2}))\}, \quad s > 0,$$

where $c_1 + c_2 = 1, c_1, c_2 \geq 0, \mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$ are tempering parameters and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$ are stability indices. The function $f(s) = c_1((s + \mu_1)^{\alpha_1} - \mu_1^{\alpha_1}) + c_2((s + \mu_2)^{\alpha_2} - \mu_2^{\alpha_2})$ is the Laplace exponent of MTSS.

The mean and variance of MTSS are given as

$$\mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] = t(c_1\alpha_1\mu_1^{\alpha_1-1} + c_2\alpha_2\mu_2^{\alpha_2-1}), \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Var}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] = t(c_1\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_1)\mu_1^{\alpha_1-2} + c_2\alpha_2(1 - \alpha_2)\mu_2^{\alpha_2-2}). \quad (7)$$

Definition 6. Let $\{S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the Lévy subordinator satisfying $\mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)^\rho] < \infty$ for all $\rho > 0$. Then the time-changed $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$, denoted by $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$ is defined as

$$Z_1(t) = Z(S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t))} Y_i, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$, independent from $\{S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Remark 3.1. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, and $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$, then MTSS becomes α -stable subordinator, reducing $Z_1(t)$ to $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_\alpha)$, which we call as space fractional CPPoK and written as

$$Z(S_\alpha(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(S_\alpha(t))} Y_i, \quad t \geq 0.$$

When $Y_i' \equiv 1$, then $Z(S_\alpha(t))$ reduces to space fractional PPOK, denoted as $PPOK(\lambda, S_\alpha)$. It can be seen as extension of space fractional Poisson process (see [14]).

Remark 3.2. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, and $\mu_1 = \mu, \mu_2 = 0$, then MTSS reduces to tempered α -stable subordinator and $Z_1(t)$ becomes tempered space fractional CPPoK, denoted as $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_\alpha^\mu)$, can be written as

$$Z(S_\alpha^\mu(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(S_\alpha^\mu(t))} Y_i, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\mu > 0$ is tempering parameter. Substituting $Y_i' \equiv 1$, it becomes tempered space fractional PPOK, denoted as $PPOK(\lambda, S_\alpha^\mu)$.

Theorem 3.1. The finite dimensional distribution of $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$ has the following form

$$F_{Z_1(t_1), \dots, Z_1(t_n)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} \prod_{l=1}^n q_{j_l}(\Delta t_l) \int_{-\infty}^{v_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{v_n} \prod_{m=1}^n h_{Y_1}^{*j_m}(x_m) dx_m, \quad (8)$$

where the summation is taken over all non-negative integers $j_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $v_k = y_k - \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} x_l$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, h is the density of H , and $q_j(t) = \mathbb{P}[N^{(k)}(D_f(t)) = j]$.

Proof. The result easily follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Now, we present some distributional properties of $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 < s \leq t < \infty$. Then the mean and covariance function of $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$ are given as

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)] = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y] \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)],$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{Cov}[Z_1(s), Z_1(t)] = \mathbb{E}[Z(1)]^2 \text{Var}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s)] + \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s)] \text{Var}[Z(1)].$$

Proof. Let $g_f(y, t)$ be the pdf of Lévy subordinator $\{S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z(S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)) | S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)]] = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y] \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)].$$

Hence, part (i) is proved.

Now by using conditioning argument we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_1(s)Z_1(t)] = \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s)S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)]\mathbb{E}[Z(1)]^2 + \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s)]\text{Var}[Z(1)]$$

Therefore, we get

$$\text{Cov}[Z_1(s), Z_1(t)] = \mathbb{E}[Z_1(s)Z_1(t)] - \mathbb{E}[Z_1(s)]\mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E}[Z_1(s)Z_1(t)] - \mathbb{E}[Z(1)]^2 \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s)] \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[Z(1)]^2 \text{Var}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s)] + \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s)] \text{Var}[Z(1)].
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. On putting $s = t$ in part (ii), we can get the expression for variance of $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$. \square

Now, we discuss the index of dispersion for $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$. For this, we evaluate

$$\text{Var}[Z_1(t)] - \mathbb{E}[Z_1(t)] = \mathbb{E}[Z(1)]^2 \text{Var}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] + \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] \{\text{Var}[Z(1)] - \mathbb{E}[Z(1)]\}.$$

Since $\{S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Lévy subordinator, therefore $\mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] > 0$. Thus the following cases arises:

(i) If $Z(1)$ is over/equidispersed, then $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$ exhibits overdispersion.

(ii) If $Z(1)$ is underdispersed then

- (a) if $\mathbb{E}[Z(1)]^2 \text{Var}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] > \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] \{\mathbb{E}[Z(1)] - \text{Var}[Z(1)]\}$, then $Z_1(t)$ shows overdispersion.
- (b) if $\mathbb{E}[Z(1)]^2 \text{Var}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] < \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] \{\mathbb{E}[Z(1)] - \text{Var}[Z(1)]\}$, then $Z_1(t)$ shows underdispersion.
- (c) if $\mathbb{E}[Z(1)]^2 \text{Var}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] = \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] \{\mathbb{E}[Z(1)] - \text{Var}[Z(1)]\}$, then $Z_1(t)$ shows equidispersion.

Long-range dependence

Now we analyze the LRD property for $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$.

Theorem 3.3. *The $\{Z_1(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ has the LRD property.*

Proof. We have $\mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)^n] \sim (c_1 \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} + c_2 \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{\alpha_2 - 1})^n t^n$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, from [6]. Therefore

$$\text{Var}[Z_1(t)] \sim \mathbb{E}[S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s)] \text{Var}[Z(1)] \sim Kt, \quad (9)$$

where $K = (c_1 \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} + c_2 \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{\alpha_2 - 1}) \text{Var}[Z(1)]$.

Let $0 < s < t < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Corr}[Z_1(s), Z_1(t)] &= \frac{\text{Cov}[Z_1(s), Z_1(t)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Z_1(s)] \text{Var}[Z_1(t)]}}, \\
&\sim \frac{\text{Cov}[Z_1(s), Z_1(t)]}{t^{1/2} \sqrt{K}}, \text{ from (9)} \\
&= c(s) t^{-1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c(s) = \frac{\text{Cov}[Z_1(s), Z_1(t)]}{\sqrt{K}} > 0$. Hence from the Definition 5, $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$ captures LRD property. \square

3.2 CPPoK time changed by the first exit time of mixtures of tempered stable subordinators

In this subsection, we consider $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ subordinated with first exit time of MTSS and discuss the asymptotic behavior of its moments.

The first exit time of Lévy subordinator $S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)$ also known as inverse subordinator is defined as

$$E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t) = \inf\{r \geq 0 : S_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(r) > t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Definition 7. Let $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$ as discussed in Definition 3, then the subordinated $CPPoK$ with first exit time of MTSS, denoted as $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$ is defined as

$$Z_2(t) = Z(E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(k)}(E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t))} Y_i, \quad t \geq 0$$

where the process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is independent from $\{E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

The FDD of $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$ is given as

$$F_{Z_2(t_1), \dots, Z_2(t_n)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{x_i=0}^{\infty} F'(y_1, \dots, y_n) g'(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n,$$

where $F'(y_1, \dots, y_n) = F_{Z(x_1), \dots, Z(x_n)}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is the FDD of $CPPoK(\lambda, H)$, and $g'(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the joint density function of $E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t_1), \dots, E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t_n)$.

Theorem 3.4. The mean and covariance function of $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$ are given as

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{E}[Z_2(t)] = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y] \mathbb{E}[E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)],$$

$$(ii) \quad Cov[Z_2(s), Z_2(t)] = Var[Z(1)] \mathbb{E}[E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s)] + \mathbb{E}[Z(1)]^2 Cov[E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(s), E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)].$$

Proof. We can prove this in a similar manner as given in Theorem 3.2. \square

Now, we discuss the asymptotic behavior of moments of $TCPPoK(\lambda, H, E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2})$. First we need the following definition (see [2, 17]).

Theorem 3.5. (Tauberian Theorem) Let $l : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a slowly varying function at 0 (respectively ∞) and let $\rho \geq 0$. Then for a function $U : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, the following are equivalent.

$$(i) \quad U(x) \sim x^\rho l(x) / \Gamma(1 + \rho), \quad x \rightarrow 0 \text{ (respectively } x \rightarrow \infty).$$

$$(ii) \quad \tilde{U}(s) \sim s^{-\rho-1} l(1/s), \quad s \rightarrow \infty \text{ (respectively } s \rightarrow 0), \text{ where } \tilde{U}(s) \text{ is the LT of } U(x).$$

We know that LT of p th order moment of inverse subordinator $\{E_f(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is given by (see [11])

$$\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{E}(E_f(t))^p] = \frac{\Gamma(1+p)}{s(f(s))^p}, \quad p > 0$$

where $f(s)$ is the corresponding Bernstein function associated with Lévy subordinator.

Proposition 3.1. *The asymptotic form of mean and variance of $\{Z_2(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is given as*

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_2(t)] \sim \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y] \frac{t}{c_1 \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} + c_2 \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{\alpha_2 - 1}}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\text{Var}[Z_2(t)] \sim \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda \left[\text{Var}(Y) + \frac{(2k+1)}{3} \mathbb{E}[Y]^2 \right] \frac{t}{c_1 \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} + c_2 \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{\alpha_2 - 1}}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{M}(s)$ be the LT of $\mathbb{E}[E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}(s) = \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{E}[E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)]] &= \frac{1}{s(c_1((s + \lambda_1)^{\alpha_1} - \lambda_1^{\alpha_1}) + c_2((s + \lambda_2)^{\alpha_2} - \lambda_2^{\alpha_2}))} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{s^2(c_1 \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} + c_2 \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{\alpha_2 - 1})}, \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0, \text{ from [6]}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using Theorem 3.5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Z_2(t)] &= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y] \mathbb{E}[E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\mu_1, \mu_2}(t)] \\ &\sim \frac{\lambda k(k+1)}{2} \mathbb{E}[Y] \frac{t}{c_1 \alpha_1 \lambda_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} + c_2 \alpha_2 \lambda_2^{\alpha_2 - 1}}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In the similar manner, we can get the expression for variance. □

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Aditya Maheshwari(IIM, Indore) for being part of various discussions on this topic.

References

- [1] L. Beghin and E. Orsingher. Fractional Poisson processes and related planar random motions. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 14:no. 61, 1790–1827, 2009.
- [2] J. Bertoin. *Lévy processes*, volume 121 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [3] P. Brémaud. *Point Processes and Queues*. Springer series in statistics. Springer New York, 1981.
- [4] W. Feller. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. Vol. II*. Second edition. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1971.
- [5] N. A. Filippu. Poisson and compound poisson distributions of order k and some of their properties. *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, 27(6):3294–3297, Dec 1984.
- [6] N. Gupta, A. Kumar, and N. Leonenko. Mixtures of Tempered Stable Subordinators. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1905.00192, May 2019.
- [7] K. Y. Kostadinova and L. D. Minkova. On the Poisson process of order k . *Pliska Stud. Math. Bulgar.*, 22, 2012.
- [8] E. S. Koutras, Markos V. Compound geometric distribution of order k . *Methodol Comput Appl Probab*, 19(02):377–393, 2017.

- [9] N. Laskin. Fractional Poisson process. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 8(3-4):201–213, 2003. Chaotic transport and complexity in classical and quantum dynamics.
- [10] A. Maheshwari and P. Vellaisamy. On the long-range dependence of fractional poisson and negative binomial processes. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 53:989–1000, 2016.
- [11] A. Maheshwari and P. Vellaisamy. Fractional poisson process time-changed by lévy subordinator and its inverse. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 32:1278–1305, 2019.
- [12] B. Mandelbrot, A. Fisher, and L. Calvet. A Multifractal Model of Asset Returns. Cowles Foundation Discussion Papers 1164, Cowles Foundation for Research in Economics, Yale University, Sept. 1997.
- [13] J. C. H. D. A. J. C. J. N. N. L. Bowers, H. V. Gerber. Actuarial mathematics. *ASTIN Bulletin*, 18(2), 1988.
- [14] E. Orsingher and F. Polito. The space-fractional Poisson process. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 82(4):852–858, 2012.
- [15] A. N. Philippou, C. Georghiou, and G. N. Philippou. A generalized geometric distribution and some of its properties. *Stat. Probab. Lett.*, 1(4):171 – 175, 1983.
- [16] A. S. Sengar, A. Maheshwari, and N. S. Upadhye. Time-changed poisson processes of order k. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 38(1):124–148, 2020.
- [17] M. Veillette and M. S. Taqqu. Numerical computation of first passage times of increasing Lévy processes. *Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab.*, 12(4):695–729, 2010.
- [18] H. Zhang and B. Li. Characterizations of discrete compound poisson distributions. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 45(22):6789–6802, 2016.