

ON PARA-FERMION VERTEX ALGEBRAS OF $\mathfrak{sl}(2)_{-3/2}$ AND $\mathfrak{sl}(3)_{-3/2}$

DRAŽEN ADAMOVIĆ, ANTUN MILAS, AND QING WANG

ABSTRACT. We study parafermion vertex algebras $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ and $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$. Using the isomorphism between $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ and the logarithmic vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ from [2], we show that these parafermion vertex algebras are infinite direct sums of irreducible modules for the Zamolodchikov algebra $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)$ of central charge $c = -10$, and that $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ is a direct sum of irreducible $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ -modules. As a byproduct, we prove certain conjectures about the vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2}$. We also obtain a vertex-algebraic proof of the irreducibility of a family of $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_c$ modules at $c = -10$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Parafermion vertex algebras, or parafermionic cosets, are closely connected to the so-called Z -algebras [45, 46, 47], which played an important role in the development of the parafermion conformal field theory [38, 54] and in the vertex-operator-theoretic interpretation of Rogers-Ramanujan type partition identities [46, 47]. They are first defined in [26] as the subalgebras of the generalized vertex algebras generated by Z -operators.

In the past decades, the parafermion vertex operator algebras associated to rational affine vertex operator algebras at the positive integer level were thoroughly studied and their structure is well-understood (see [16, 17, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 43, 41, 52] etc.). At other levels, including generic levels, their structure is largely unknown. Recently, these algebras have appeared in [23, 44] as quotients of certain universal vertex algebras constructed from non-linear conformal algebras.

Among them, a very interesting problem is to determine fusion rules for parafermion vertex algebras at rational level (cf. [18]).

Our general goal is to study parafermion vertex algebra beyond rational case. It is natural to start with certain examples when a parafermion vertex algebra belongs to a certain class of \mathcal{W} -algebras. Let us mention that in the case of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, parafermion algebra $N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ coincides with singlet vertex algebra for $k = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{4}{3}$ (cf. [53], [3]), with super-singlet (cf. [2], [18], [9]) for $k = -\frac{2}{3}$. In this paper, we want to explore the parafermion vertex algebra at a certain non-integral admissible level, which belongs to the class of logarithmic vertex algebras. More specifically, we study the following vertex algebras:

Date: January 28, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B69; Secondary 17B20, 17B65.

Key words and phrases. vertex algebra, W -algebra, parafermion algebra.

- The parafermion vertex algebras $N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ and $N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ at level $k = -\frac{3}{2}$;
- Higher rank logarithmic vertex algebras $\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}$ and $\mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2}$ for $p = 2$;
- The (universal) principal W -algebra $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_c = \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}(3), f_{pr})$, also known as Zamolodchikov's algebra, at central charge $c = -10$.

In [2], it was proved that $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3)) \cong \mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$, where $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ is a logarithmic vertex algebra (the so-called "octuplet" algebra) constructed from the lattice vertex algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}A_2}$, and where $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ is the zero charge subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ [37, 50].

One of our main results is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1.

- (1) $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ and $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ are completely reducible $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ -modules.
- (2) $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ and $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ are completely reducible $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -modules.
- (3) $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is a completely reducible $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -module.
- (4) $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ is generated by primary vectors of weights 3, 4, 4.

Note that $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is conformally embedded into $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$, which is a parafermionic analog of the conformal embedding $\mathfrak{gl}(2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(3)$ at $k = -\frac{3}{2}$ investigated in [10]. We prove in Proposition 5.1 the result:

- $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2} = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} N_{-3/2}(2j\omega_1)$.

Our next goal is to determine the decomposition of parafermion algebras as $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)$ -modules. Fortunately, the characters of $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ is known, and from its expression one can conjecture the decomposition of $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ as $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)$ -modules. But there are two problems:

- It seems that the character formula for irreducible $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)$ -modules has not been rigorously proved (cf. Remark 8.1).
- One needs to identify singular vectors for $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ and its subalgebras.

Although the primary goal of the paper is not the study of the algebra $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$, we need to use some elements of its representation theory to solve the above mentioned problems. It turns out that the most efficient tool is to use $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ -action on $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$.

A general Lie algebra action on $\mathcal{W}(p)_Q$ was conjectured by Feigin-Tipunin [37], and recently was proved by S. Sugimoto [51]. In the case of the triplet vertex algebra, the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -action was obtained in [5], [12]. So we need this action for $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ and $p = 2$. Next problem is that in general, it is still not proved that $\mathcal{W}(p)_Q$ is a simple vertex algebra, so one can not prove a semi-simplicity result by applying quantum Galois theory. But in our case we can prove that $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ is simple by applying the explicit realization of $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ from [2] and using identification of the subalgebra $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ as a parafermion subalgebra $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ for which we know that it is simple. We get:

Theorem 1.2.

- (1) The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ is simple.

(2) $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ is a completely reducible $\mathfrak{sl}(3) \times \mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -module and the following decomposition holds

$$\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+ \cap Q} V_{A_2}(\lambda) \otimes T_{\lambda, 0}^{\kappa=1/2}.$$

(3) The $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -module $T_{\lambda, 0}^{\kappa=1/2}$ is irreducible.

We apply this result on the decomposition of $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ as $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -modules. First we show in Proposition 5.1:

- $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) = (\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2})^{\mathfrak{gl}(2)}$.

As a consequence we get:

Theorem 1.3. $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+ \cap Q} T_{\lambda, 0}^{\kappa=1/2}$.

Acknowledgments: This work was partially done during the visit of D.A. to Xiamen in January 2019, and during the conference Representation Theory XVI in Dubrovnik in June 2019.

D.A. is partially supported by the QuantiXLie Centre of Excellence, a project cofinanced by the Croatian Government and European Union through the European Regional Development Fund - the Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (KK.01.1.1.01.0004). A.M. was partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS-1601070. Q.W. is partially supported by China NSF grants (Nos.11531004, 11622107).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Settings and known facts. In this part we setup some notation and summarize facts we need later.

- Let \mathfrak{g} be the simple Lie algebra with Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} and triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_+$.
- Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the associated affine Lie algebra, and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the associated Heisenberg subalgebra.
- Let $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal affine vertex operator algebra of level k associated to the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .
- Let $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$ be the simple quotient of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$.
- Let $N^k(\mathfrak{g}) = \{v \in V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \mid h(n)v = 0 \ h \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ be the parafermion subalgebra of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$.
- Let $N_k(\mathfrak{g}) = \{v \in L_k(\mathfrak{g}) \mid h(n)v = 0 \ h \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ be the parafermion subalgebra of $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$.
- For a $\lambda \in P_+$, let $V_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu)$ be the irreducible finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module with the highest weight λ , where P_+ denotes the set of dominant integral weights for \mathfrak{g} .
- Let $V^k(\lambda)$ be the generalized Verma module for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module induced from \mathfrak{g} -module $V_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. Let $L_k(\lambda)$ be its simple quotient.

- For $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$, let $T_{\lambda, \mu}^{k+3}$ denotes the $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{pr})$ -module obtained as $H_{DS}(V^k(\lambda - (k+3)\mu))$ (cf. [15]).
- For $k = -3 + \frac{1}{p}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$, the universal affine vertex algebra $V^k(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ is simple (cf. [40]), and therefore by [14] $H_{DS}(V^k(\mathfrak{sl}(3))) = \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}(3), f_{pr})$ is simple. In particular, $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ is a simple vertex algebra.

We shall need the following facts which are well-known. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ with a Chevalley basis $\{e, f, h\}$ and let $k = -\frac{3}{2}$. Then we have:

- $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) = L_k(\mathfrak{g})$.
- $V^k(j\omega_1) = L_k(j\omega_1)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where ω_1 is the fundamental dominant weight for $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$.
- $N^k(j) := N^k(j\omega_1) = N_k(j\omega_1)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where $N^k(j) = \{v \in V^k(j\omega_1) \mid h(n)v = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ and $N_k(j) = \{v \in L_k(j\omega_1) \mid h(n)v = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$.

We denote by $\text{ch}[M](q) := \text{tr}_M q^{L(0)}$ the character of a V -module M ; from the context it should be clear what the vertex algebra is. Also, for simplicity we suppressed the conformal anomaly $-\frac{c}{24}$.

2.2. The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_c$. Let $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_c$ denotes the principal affine W -algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}(3), f_{pr})$ of central charge $c = c_k = 2 - 24\frac{(k+2)^2}{k+3}$ [14]. It is generated by the Virasoro field $L(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L(m)z^{-m-2}$ and another field of conformal weight 3:

$$W(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} W(m)z^{-m-3}$$

satisfying bracket relations

$$\begin{aligned} [L(m), W(n)] &= (2m - n)W(m + n) \\ [W(m), W(n)] &= \frac{(22 + 5c)c}{48 \cdot 3 \cdot 5!} (m^2 - 4)(m^2 - 1)m\delta_{m+n, 0} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}(m - n)\Lambda_{m+n} + \frac{(22 + 5c)(m - n)}{48 \cdot 30} (2m^2 - mn + 2n^2 - 8)L(m + n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda = L(-2)^2 \mathbf{1} - \frac{3}{5}L(-4)\mathbf{1}$. Let $L^W(c, h, h_W)$ denotes the irreducible highest weight $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_c$ -module of the highest weight (h, h_W) with respect to $(L(0), W(0))$.

Next we discuss characters of modules for the $W(2, 3)$ vertex algebra at $c = -10$. Our strategy is to first give an upper bound for graded dimensions of a family of irreducible $W(2, 3)$ -modules parametrized with dominant integral weights.

We closely follow Arakawa-Frenkel's paper here [15]. We let $\kappa = 3 + k$, where k is the level. Also, for $\lambda \in P_+$, weight lattice of $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$, we denote by $V^\kappa(\lambda)$ the Weyl module with the top degree isomorphic to $V_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}(\lambda)$. Then in [15], a family of modules for the universal $W(2, 3)$ -algebra are defined $T_{\lambda, \mu}^\kappa = H_{DS}^0(V^\kappa(\lambda - \kappa\mu))$. We only consider modules with $\mu = 0$. For given central character determined by λ , we denote by β_λ the $W(0)$ eigenvalue on the highest weight vector.

For two q -series f and h , we write $f(q) \leq h(q)$ if

$$\text{Coeff}_{q^n} f(q) \leq \text{Coeff}_{q^n} h(q),$$

for every n . Then results from [15] give

Lemma 2.1. *For every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ch}[L^W(-10, \frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn + m + n, \beta_{m,n})](q) \\ & \leq \frac{q^{\frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn + m + n} (1 - q^{m+1})(1 - q^{n+1})(1 - q^{m+n+2})}{(q; q)_{\infty}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We apply formula (5.7) from [15] for the character formula of $T_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa}$, with $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$. For $\lambda = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$, $m, n \geq 0$, their formula is

$$\text{ch}[T_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa}](q) = \frac{q^{\tilde{\Delta}_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa}} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} q^{-\langle w(\lambda+\rho), \rho \rangle}}{(q; q)_{\infty}^2},$$

where

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} (\lambda, \lambda + 2\rho) + (\rho, \rho).$$

Plugging in $\lambda = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$, and summing over the Weyl group W , gives

$$\text{ch}[T_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa}](q) = \frac{q^{\frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn + m + n} (1 - q^{m+1})(1 - q^{n+1})(1 - q^{m+n+2})}{(q; q)_{\infty}^2}.$$

Since $T_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa}$ is not necessarily irreducible for κ rational (it is always irreducible for $\kappa \notin \mathbb{Q}$ [15]) there might be non-trivial maximal submodule in $T_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa}$ so we conclude

$$\text{ch}[L^W(-10, \frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn + m + n, \beta_{m,n})](q) \leq \text{ch}[T_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa}](q)$$

as claimed. □

3. THE VERTEX ALGEBRA $\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}$ AND ITS COMPANIONS

In this section we recall the definition of vertex algebras $\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}$ and $\mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2}$ (cf. [7], [50]) which are a higher analog of the triplet vertex algebra and singlet vertex algebra (cf. [1], [4] [5]). We shall also recall the result of [2] which identifies $\mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2}$ for $p = 2$, as a parafermionic vertex algebra $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$.

In this part we closely follow [2]. We consider the integral lattice

$$\sqrt{p}A_2 = \mathbb{Z}\gamma_1 + \mathbb{Z}\gamma_2, \quad \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_1 \rangle = \langle \gamma_2, \gamma_2 \rangle = 2p, \quad \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle = -p,$$

and the associated lattice vertex algebra $V_{\sqrt{p}A_2}$. Let $M_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)$ be the Heisenberg vertex subalgebra of $V_{\sqrt{p}A_2}$ generated by the Heisenberg fields $\gamma_1(z)$ and $\gamma_2(z)$. Let

$$\omega_{st} = \frac{1}{3p}(\gamma_1(-1)^2 + \gamma_1(-1)\gamma_2(-1) + \gamma_2(-1)^2)$$

be the standard Virasoro vector in the lattice vertex algebra $V_{\sqrt{p}A_2}$ of central charge 2. Define a new conformal vector

$$\omega = \omega_{st} + \frac{p-1}{p}(\gamma_1(-2) + \gamma_2(-2)).$$

We equip $V_{\sqrt{p}A_2}$ with the conformal structure coming from ω , which has central charge $c_p = 2 - 24\frac{(p-1)^2}{p}$, e.g. $c_2 = -10$ for $p = 2$.

The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}$ is defined (cf. [7], [50]) as a subalgebra of the lattice vertex algebra $V_{\sqrt{p}A_2}$ realized as

$$\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2} = \text{Ker}_{V_{\sqrt{p}A_2}} e_0^{-\gamma_1/p} \cap \text{Ker}_{V_{\sqrt{p}A_2}} e_0^{-\gamma_2/p}.$$

We also have its subalgebra:

$$\mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2} = \text{Ker}_{M_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)} e_0^{-\gamma_1/p} \cap \text{Ker}_{M_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(1)} e_0^{-\gamma_2/p}$$

One can also construct the following extension of $\mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2}$ which is a higher rank analog of the doublet vertex algebra $\mathcal{A}(p)$ from [6].

Define the lattice $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\delta_1 + \mathbb{Z}\delta_2 \supset \sqrt{p}A_2$ such that

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{3}(2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2), \delta_2 = \frac{1}{3}(\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2).$$

Clearly, $\Gamma = \sqrt{p}P$, where P is a weight lattice of A_2 . V_Γ has the structure of a generalized vertex algebra (cf. [26]) which contains the lattice vertex algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}A_2}$. Note that γ_1, γ_2 belongs to the dual lattice of Γ , so screening operators $e_0^{-\gamma_i/p}$ are well defined on V_Γ .

Definition 3.1. *We define:*

$$\Omega(p)_{A_2} = \text{Ker}_{V_\Gamma} e_0^{-\gamma_1/p} \cap \text{Ker}_{V_\Gamma} e_0^{-\gamma_2/p}.$$

Then $\Omega(p)_{A_2}$ is a generalized vertex algebra. It is a vertex algebra for $p \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. We have the following inclusions:

$$\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c_p} \subset \mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2} \subset \mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2} \subset \Omega(p)_{A_2}.$$

$\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}$ and $\mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2}$ have vertex subalgebras isomorphic to the simple $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)$ -algebra with central charge c_p which is generated by ω and

$$\begin{aligned} w_3 = & \frac{1}{p^3}(\gamma_2(-1))^3 + \frac{3}{2}\gamma_1(-1)\gamma_2(-1)^2 - \frac{3}{2}\gamma_1(-1)^2\gamma_2(-1) - \gamma_1(-1)^3 \\ & - \frac{9(p-1)}{4p^3}(2\gamma_1(-1)\gamma_1(-2) + \gamma_1(-2)\gamma_2(-1) - \gamma_2(-2)\gamma_1(-1) - 2\gamma_2(-1)\gamma_2(-2)) \\ & + \frac{9(p-1)^2}{2p^3}(\gamma_2(-3) - \gamma_1(-3)). \end{aligned}$$

The overall normalization of w_3 is not important. For example, in order to get bracket relations as in Section 2.2, for $c = -10$, we would have to consider $\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{27}w_3$.

By direct calculation we get:

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $\lambda = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$. Let $\kappa = \frac{1}{p}$. Let $v_{m,n} = e^{-m\delta_1 - n\delta_2}$ where Then $E^\kappa[m, n] := \mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c \cdot v_{m,n}}$ is a highest weight $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -module with highest weight $(h_{m,n}^{(p)}, \beta_{m,n}^{(p)})$ where*

$$(3.1) \quad h_{m,n}^{(p)} = \frac{p}{3}(m^2 + n^2 + mn) + (p-1)(m+n)$$

$$(3.2) \quad \beta_{m,n}^{(p)} = \frac{(m-n)(-3+3p+2mp+np)(-3+3p+mp+2np)}{2p^2}.$$

We also have the *long* screening operators

$$E_1 = e_0^{\gamma_1}, E_2 = e_0^{\gamma_2}$$

which commutes with both $e_0^{-\gamma_1/p}$ and $e_0^{-\gamma_2/p}$ [7, 37, 49]. Therefore operators E_1 and E_2 act as derivations on $\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}$. In particular,

$$H_1 := E_1 E_2 e^{-\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}, \quad H_2 := E_2 E_1 e^{-\gamma_1 - \gamma_2} \in \mathcal{W}_{A_2}^0(p)$$

and they are (non-zero) singular vectors of conformal weight $3p-2$ (e.g. for $p=2$, this weight is 4).

Note also that for $p=2$:

$$[E_1, E_2] = (e_0^{\gamma_1} e^{\gamma_2})_0 = (\gamma_1(-1)e^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2})_0 = -(\gamma_2(-1)e^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2})_0.$$

Let $N_k(\mathfrak{g})$ be the parafermion vertex subalgebra of $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 3.1. [2] *For $k = -\frac{3}{2}$ and $p=2$ we have*

$$N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(3)) = \mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2}.$$

Now we shall relate $N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ and $N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$.

Proposition 3.2. For $k = -\frac{3}{2}$ and $p = 2$ we have:

$$N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \cong \mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2} \cap L_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \subset N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(3)).$$

Therefore, $N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is a vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2}$ for $p = 2$.

Proof. In [2], we realized $V_{\sqrt{p}A_2}$ inside the lattice vertex algebra V_L , where $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\beta + \mathbb{Z}\delta$ with scalar products

$$\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = -\langle \beta, \beta \rangle = \langle \delta, \delta \rangle = 1$$

(all other scalar products are zero).

We used the following realization

$$\gamma_1 = -2\alpha, \gamma_2 = \alpha + \beta - 2\delta, h = (-2\beta + \delta)(-1) \in \mathfrak{sl}(2).$$

Note that

$$(3.3) \quad (-2\beta + \delta, \gamma_1) = (-2\beta + \delta, \gamma_2) = 0.$$

This easily implies $N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \subset \mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2}$, and that

$$\mathcal{W}^0(p)_{A_2} \cap L_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) = N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2)).$$

The proof follows. □

Proposition 3.3. $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is conformally embedded in $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$.

Proof. We consider conformal embeddings $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{gl}(2))$ into $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ from [10]. Then the Sugawara Virasoro vector from $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ coincides with Sugawara Virasoro vector of $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{gl}(2))$. Since, Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ have the same rank, we conclude that the parafermion algebra $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is conformally embedded in $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3)) = \mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$. The proof follows. □

4. ON THE $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ -ACTION ON $\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}$ AND ITS APPLICATIONS

A geometric proof of the Lie algebra action on $\mathcal{W}(p)_Q$ is given by S. Sugimoto in [51]. In this section we shall explore this action in the case $Q = A_2$, $p = 2$. We shall prove that $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ is a simple vertex algebra and by applying quantum Galois theory we shall prove semi-simplicity of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ as $\mathfrak{sl}(3) \times \mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -module. We shall also reconstruct explicit formulas for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$ -action.

Our method is based on the following facts:

- (1) As in [51], the borel subalgebra action is given by the screening operators $E_i = e_0^{\gamma_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.
- (2) We use formulas from [8] for operators F_i such that F_i, E_i give $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -actions on $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$.
- (3) Using the realization from [2], and identification of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ as a subalgebra of the vacuum space of $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$, we construct an automorphism Ψ acting on $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ such that $F_i = -\Psi E_i \Psi^{-1}$.

In Section 5 we will use these explicit formulas to describe $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ as $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ invariants of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$.

Recall that the vacuum space is defined as

$$\Omega_k(\mathfrak{g}) = \{v \in L_k(\mathfrak{g}) \mid (\mathfrak{h} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]).v = 0\}.$$

and it is a generalized vertex algebra [26]. Moreover,

$$N_k(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \Omega_k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

By using the realization from [2], we have that $\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a generalized vertex algebra which contains vertex subalgebra $\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}$ for $p = 2$.

We shall now use results of [8] to construct some derivations of $\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}$ for $p = 2$. Let $a^1 = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1}$, $a^2 = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2}$. For $i = 1, 2$, we define

$$F_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} : a_{-j}^i a_j^i :, \quad F_i^{tw} = \sum_{j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} : a_{-j}^i a_j^i :.$$

Lemma 4.1. *For $i = 1, 2$, we have:*

- (1) F_i is a derivation on any F_i -invariant vertex subalgebra $V \subset \text{Ker } a_0^i$.
- (2) F_i is a derivation on $\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ and $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$.
- (3) $E_i, F_i, h_i := \frac{1}{2}\gamma_i(0)$ generate an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -action on $\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ and $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$.

Proof. Assertion (1) follows directly from [8].

Let $V = \Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ or $V = \mathcal{W}_{A_2}(2)$. We claim that on V we have

$$(4.4) \quad a_0^2 F_1 = F_1^{tw} a_0^2$$

$$(4.5) \quad a_0^1 F_2 = F_2^{tw} a_0^1.$$

Then assertion (2) would follow directly from relations (4.4)-(4.5).

It remains to prove these relations. Let us prove (4.4). Let $v \in V$. Note that a^2 belongs to a twisted V_L -module, and now [8] implies

$$Y(F_1 v, z) a^2 = [F_1^{tw}, Y(v, z)] a^2.$$

Since $F_1^{tw} a^2 = 0$, we get $Y(F_1 v, z) a^2 = F_1^{tw} Y(v, z) a^2$. Now skew-symmetry we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^2 F_1 v &= \text{Res}_z Y(a^2, z) F_1 v \\ &= \text{Res}_z e^{-zL(-1)} Y(F_1 v, -z) a^2 \\ &= \text{Res}_z e^{-zL(-1)} F_1^{tw} Y(v, -z) a^2 \\ &= F_1^{tw} \text{Res}_z e^{-zL(-1)} Y(v, -z) a^2 \\ &= F_1^{tw} a_0^2 v \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.4). The proof of (4.5) is analogous.

The assertion (3) follows from a direct calculation as in [35, Section 4.1]. □

Now we can reconstruct the $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ action on $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ (obtained in [51] by slightly different methods). We skip details.

- Let Ψ_1 be automorphism of the VOA $L_k(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ lifted from the automorphism

$$\alpha_1 \mapsto -\alpha_2, \alpha_2 \mapsto -\alpha_1$$

of the root lattice A_2 .

- Since $\Omega_k(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ is Ψ -invariant, we conclude that $\Psi = \Psi_1|_{\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))}$ is an automorphism of the generalized vertex algebra $\Omega_k(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ for every k . In particular, Ψ is an automorphism of $\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ and of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$.
- Using realization, we show that $F_i = \Psi^{-1}E_i\Psi$ and $F_{1,2} = \Psi^{-1}E_{1,2}\Psi$, where $E_{1,2} = [E_1, E_2]$ and $F_{1,2} = [F_1, F_2]$.
- Since $\Psi(\omega) = \omega$ and $\Psi(w_3) = -w_3$, we see that all derivations above fix $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$.

In this way we get an alternative proof of the Sugimoto theorem for $Q = A_2, p = 2$:

Theorem 4.1. [51] *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$ acts on $\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ and on $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ by derivations. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10} \subset \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

We have the following important consequence:

Corollary 4.1. *The group $PSL(3, \mathbb{C})$ and the compact Lie group $PSU(3)$ act on $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ as automorphism groups. The group action commutes with the action of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_c$.*

We first need the following simplicity result.

Lemma 4.2.

- (1) *The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ is simple.*
- (2) *The generalized vertex algebra $\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ is simple.*

Proof. We have decomposition of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_2} \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{(\alpha)}$ with respect to gradation in the root lattice A_2 . From [2] we know that $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{(0)} = N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ is a simple VOA (as the parafermionic algebra of $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$) and all $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{(\alpha)}$ are also irreducible again, because they are realized as parafermionic modules (see [22] for detailed analysis of Heisenberg cosets). Moreover, since each $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{(\alpha)}$ belongs to a simple VOA $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$, we conclude that $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{(\beta)} \neq 0$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{(\beta)} = \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{(\alpha+\beta)}.$$

This proves the simplicity of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$.

The proof of simplicity of $\Omega_{-3/2}$ is analogous. We have $\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3)) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} \Omega_{-3/2}^{(\mu)}$ with respect to gradation of the weight lattice of A_2 . Modules $\Omega_{-3/2}^{(\mu)}$ are simple $\mathcal{W}^{(0)}(2)_{A_2}$ -modules since they are realized inside of $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ as irreducible modules for the parafermionic algebra. This easily proves that $\Omega_{-3/2}$ is simple. \square

Remark 4.1. *A different proof of simplicity of $\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ can be given using more general results of [48]. It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_3 -orbifold of $\Omega_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$, implying also an alternative proof of simplicity of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$.*

Now by applying quantum Galois theory (cf. [30], [25], [29]) we get:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$. The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ is a completely reducible $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module and*

$$\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n, m \geq 0, \\ n \equiv m \pmod{3}}} V_{A_2}(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2) \otimes L[m, n]$$

where $L[m, n]$ is certain irreducible $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module.

Proof. Using [30], and more precisely [25, Remark 2.3], and Lemma 4.2, we first get a decomposition of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ as an $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module:

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P'} V_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}(\lambda) \otimes L_{\lambda}$$

where P' is a set that contains $P^+ \cap Q$ and L_{λ} are irreducible $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules. From the definition of the \mathfrak{g} -action on $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ we easily see that any weight in P' is necessarily inside $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q$. We conclude that $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ decomposes as

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q} V_{\mathfrak{g}}(n\omega_1 + m\omega_2) \otimes L[m, n].$$

The proof follows \square

5. $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ AND $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ AS INVARIANT SUBALGEBRAS

In this section we shall identify $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ as the parafermion subalgebra of the $N = 4$ superconformal vertex algebra $L_{c=-9}^{N=4} = \mathcal{V}^{(2)}$ (cf. [2]). By using identification of $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ as the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}^{(2)}$ we shall prove that the parafermion algebra $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ -invariant subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$.

We shall consider two subalgebras of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$ for which acts on $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ by derivations.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_1, F_1, h_1\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathbb{C}h_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cong \mathfrak{gl}(2)$.

Note that \mathfrak{g}_0 acts on the $N = 4$ superconformal vertex algebra $\mathcal{V}^{(2)}$ (cf. [2], [11]) and $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) = (\mathcal{V}^{(2)})^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$.

The vertex superalgebra $\mathcal{V}^{(2)}$ admits a \mathbb{Z} -gradation:

$$\mathcal{V}^{(2)} = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}_\ell^{(2)},$$

such that $\mathcal{V}_0^{(2)}$ is its subalgebra which decomposes as follows:

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{V}_0^{(2)} = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} L_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}(2s\omega_1).$$

Let $M_h(1)$ is the Heisenberg vertex algebra generated by h .

Proposition 5.1. *We have:*

(1) $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2} = \text{Com}(M_h(1), W)$, and the following decomposition holds

$$\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2} = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} N_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}(2s).$$

(2) $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \cong \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$.

Proof. In the realization presented in [2], $L_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ is realised as a subalgebra of tensor product $\mathcal{V}^{(2)} \otimes F_{-1}$, where F_{-1} is a lattice vertex algebra. Moreover, $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2} = N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ is exactly the subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}_0^{(2)}$ on which $h(n)$, $n \geq 0$, act trivially. Using (5.6) we get

$$\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2} = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} N_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}(2s).$$

This proves assertion (1).

Consider next the parafermion vertex algebra of $\mathcal{V}^{(2)}$

$$\mathcal{U}^{(2)} = \text{Com}(M_h(1), \mathcal{V}^{(2)}) = \{v \in \mathcal{V}^{(2)} \mid h(n)v = 0, n \geq 0\} \subset \Omega_{-3/2}.$$

Since the action of \mathfrak{g}_0 commutes with operators $h(n)$ we conclude that \mathfrak{g}_0 acts on $\mathcal{U}^{(2)}$ and we have the following decomposition of $\mathcal{U}^{(2)}$ as a $\mathfrak{g}_0 \times N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ -module

$$\mathcal{U}^{(2)} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \rho_{2j} \otimes N_{-3/2}(2j)$$

where ρ_j denotes the irreducible $j + 1$ -dimensional \mathfrak{g}_0 -module. Moreover, we have

$$N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) = (\mathcal{U}^{(2)})^{\mathfrak{g}_0} \subset \mathcal{W}_{A_2}(2)^{\mathfrak{g}_1}.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \subset \mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2} = \text{Com}(M_h(1), \mathcal{V}_0^{(2)}) \subset \mathcal{U}^{(2)}$$

we have $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \cong \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$. The proof follows. \square

6. THE CHARACTER OF THE PARAFERMION VERTEX ALGEBRA $N^k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$

Let $(x; q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - xq^i)$ and $(q)_n = (q; q)_n$. For $m \leq 0$, let

$$\Phi_m(q) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r q^{\frac{r(r+1)}{2} + mr},$$

and for $m > 0$, $\Phi_m(q) = \Phi_{-m}(q)$ denote unary false theta functions. By an identity of Andrews [13] (see also [19, 21]), the character of $N^k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ can be computed as

$$\text{ch}[N^k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))](q) = \text{CT}_x \frac{1}{(xq; q)_\infty (x^{-1}q; q)_\infty} = \frac{\Phi_0(q) - \Phi_{-1}(q)}{(q)_\infty^2},$$

where CT_x denotes the constant term inside the range $|q| < |x| < 1$.

We have the following q -character for the vertex algebra $N^k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ for $k = -\frac{3}{2}$. Although the same formula is valid for any generic level, this specific shape is convenient for $k = \frac{3}{2}$.

Lemma 6.1. *We have:*

$$\text{ch}[N^k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))](q) = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^{2m(m-1)} (1 - q^m)(1 - q^m)(1 - q^{2m})}{(q)_\infty^2}.$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^{2m(m-1)} (1 - q^m)(1 - q^m)(1 - q^{2m}) \\ = & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^{2m(m-1)} (1 - 2q^m + 2q^{3m} - q^{4m}) \\ = & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (q^{2m(m-1)} - 2q^{m(2m-1)} + 2q^{m(2m+1)} - q^{2m(m+1)}) \\ = & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (q^{2m(m-1)} - q^{2m(m+1)}) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-2q^{m(2m-1)} + 2q^{m(2m+1)}) \\ = & 1 - 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (q^{m(2m-1)} - q^{m(2m+1)}) \\ = & 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^i q^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}} \\ = & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i q^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}} - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i q^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2} - i} \\ = & \Phi_0(q) - \Phi_{-1}(q). \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows. □

Lemma 6.2. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}[N^k(2s)](q) &= q^{2s(s+1)} \text{CT} \frac{(x^{-s} + \cdots + 1 + \cdots + x^s)}{(xq; q)_\infty (x^{-1}q; q)_\infty} \\ &= \frac{q^{2s(s+1)} (\Phi_0(q) + \Phi_{-1}(q) - 2\Phi_{-s-1}(q))}{(q; q)_\infty^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma can be viewed as a generalization of Lemma 6.1.

Proposition 6.1. *We let*

$$F_{m,n} = q^{\frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn - m - n} (1 - q^m)(1 - q^n)(1 - q^{m+n}).$$

Then for every $s \geq 0$, we have

$$\underbrace{\sum_{m \geq s+1} F_{m,m} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s, m \geq i} (F_{m, m+3(s+1-i)} + F_{m+3(s+1-i), m})}_{:= G_s(q)} = (q; q)_\infty^2 \text{ch}[N^k(2s)](q).$$

Proof. Follows by direct computation by induction. For $s = 0$ this is the statement of Lemma 6.1. For the induction step we observe (for $s \geq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} &(q; q)_\infty^2 \text{CT} \frac{(x^{-s-1} + \cdots + 1 + \cdots + x^{s+1})}{(xq; q)_\infty (x^{-1}q; q)_\infty} \\ &= (q; q)_\infty^2 \text{CT} \frac{(x^{-s} + \cdots + 1 + \cdots + x^s)}{(xq; q)_\infty (x^{-1}q; q)_\infty} + 2(\Phi_{-s-1}(q) - \Phi_{-s-2}(q)). \end{aligned}$$

The rest follows via manipulation with q -series as in Lemma 6.1. \square

Proposition 6.2.

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 1, m \equiv n(3)} \min(m, n) q^{\frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn - m - n} (1 - q^m)(1 - q^n)(1 - q^{m+n}) = \sum_{s \geq 0} G_s.$$

Proof. Directly from definition of G_s and observation that every $F_{m,n}$ appears exactly $\min(m, n)$ -times inside G_s as a summand.

The formula also follows from Proposition 5.1. \square

We also record a q -hypergeometric expression for the character.

Proposition 6.3. *We have*

$$\text{ch}[N^k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))](q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{2n}}{(q)_n^2}.$$

where $(q)_n = \prod_{i \geq 1}^n (1 - q^i)$.

Proof. It follows from Euler's identity

$$\frac{1}{(x; q)_\infty} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^n x^n}{(q)_n}$$

and the fact that $\text{ch}[N^k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))](q)$ is the constant term of $\frac{1}{(x; q)_\infty (x^{-1}; q)_\infty}$. \square

Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 2.1 suggest the following result whose proof is postponed for Section 10.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $k = -\frac{3}{2}$. As a $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -module, we have*

$$(6.7) \quad N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \cong \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} L^{\mathcal{W}}(-10, 2m(m-1), 0).$$

7. THE CHARACTER OF $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$

In this section we discuss two formulas for the character of $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ from [21] (see also [20]).

Using the realization of $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ from [2], the character of $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ can be computed in an elegant form.

Theorem 7.1. [21] *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ch}[\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}](q) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\substack{m, n \geq 0 \\ m = n \pmod{3}}} \min(m+1, n+1) q^{\frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + m+n} (1 - q^{m+1})(1 - q^{n+1})(1 - q^{m+n+2})}{(q)_\infty^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if we sum over the subset $m = n \in \mathbb{N}$ above, we obtain the character of $N^k(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ as a summand. This important observation will be explained in Section 8.

Results from Section 5 can be used to give a new representation-theoretic proof of the following result from [21].

Proposition 7.1.

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}](q) = \frac{\sum_{n_1 \geq 0, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(n_2) (-1)^{n_1} q^{\frac{n_1(n_1+1)}{2} + n_1 n_2 + 2n_2^2 + 2n_2}}{(q; q)_\infty^2},$$

where $\text{sgn}(n) = 1, n \geq 0$ and -1 for $n < 0$.

Proof. Using Proposition 5.1 we get:

$$\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2} = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} N_{-3/2}(2s).$$

Applying the character formulas for the relevant $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ -modules, we can write

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{W}_{A_2}^0(2)](q) = \text{CT}_x \frac{\sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{x^{s+1/2} - x^{-s-1/2}}{x^{1/2} - x^{-1/2}} q^{2s(s+1)}}{(xq; q)_\infty (x^{-1}q; q)_\infty}.$$

The rest follows simply by extracting the constant term, using $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$

$$\text{Coeff}_{x^m} \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{x^{s+1/2} - x^{-s-1/2}}{x^{1/2} - x^{-1/2}} q^{2s(s+1)} = \sum_{s \geq |m|} q^{2s(s+1)}$$

and $(m \geq 0)$

$$\text{Coeff}_{x^m} \frac{1}{(xq; q)_\infty (x^{-1}q; q)_\infty} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty^2} (\Phi_{-m}(q) - \Phi_{-m-1}(q)),$$

discussed in Section 6. Finally, we have to split the numerator in the character formula as

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_1} q^{\frac{n_1(n_1+1)}{2} + n_1 n_2 + 2n_2^2 + 2n_2} - \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_1} q^{\frac{n_1(n_1+1)}{2} - n_1(n_2+1) + 2n_2^2 + 2n_2}.$$

□

8. THE DECOMPOSITION OF $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ AS $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_c$ -MODULE

We also require next computational lemma.

Lemma 8.1. *For every $m, n \geq 0$ such that $m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 \in P^+ \cap Q$, $v_{m,n} = e^{-m\omega_1 - n\omega_2} \in \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$. Also,*

$$L(0) \cdot v_{m,n} = h_{m,n} v_{m,n}, \quad W(0) \cdot v_{m,n} = \beta_{m,n} v_{m,n},$$

where $h_{m,n} := h_{m,n}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn + m + n$, $\beta_{m,n} := \beta_{m,n}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{8}(m-n)(3+4m+2n)(3+2m+4n)$.

Proof. From the definition, $v_{m,n} = e^{-m\gamma_1 - n\gamma_2}$. Under the imposed condition on m and n , these vectors are annihilated by the screening operators $e_0^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1}$ and $e_0^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2}$ and therefore $v_{m,n} \in \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$. Computation of highest weights follows from Proposition 3.1. □

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3)$, we have:*

(1) $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$,

(2)

$$\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{n, m \geq 0, \\ n \equiv m \pmod{3}}} V_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}(n\omega_1 + m\omega_2) \otimes L^W(-10, h_{m,n}, \beta_{m,n}),$$

(3)

$$(8.8) \quad \mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{n, m \geq 0, \\ n \equiv m \pmod{3}}} \min(m+1, n+1) L^W(-10, h_{m,n}, \beta_{m,n}).$$

Proof. First we notice that $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$, and therefore $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ is a completely reducible $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. The contribution of an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ -module in $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ is controlled by the weight zero subspace whose dimension is given by

$$\dim(V_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)_0) = \min(m+1, n+1), \quad m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 \in Q \cap P^+.$$

Thus we have

$$(8.9) \quad \mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n, m \geq 0, \\ n \equiv m \pmod{3}}} \min(m+1, n+1) L[m, n].$$

From Theorem 7.1, we get

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}](q) = \sum_{\substack{n, m \geq 0, \\ n \equiv m \pmod{3}}} \min(m+1, n+1) \text{ch}[T_{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2, 0}^{\kappa}](q),$$

implying that $\text{ch}[T_{m\omega_1 + n\omega_2, 0}^{\kappa}](q)$ is the q -character of certain irreducible $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. Since

- $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10} \subset \mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}}$,
- $\text{ch}[\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}](q) = \text{ch}[T_{0,0}^{\kappa}](q) < \text{ch}[\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}}](q)$,

we conclude that $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$. This proves the assertion (1). Therefore all $L[m, n]$ are irreducible $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -modules. Lemma 8.1 implies that

$$L[m, n] = L^W(-10, h_{m,n}, \beta_{m,n}).$$

Then assertion (2) follows from Proposition 4.1, and assertion (3) from the decomposition (8.9). \square

From the previous result we also easily get a proof of Theorem 6.1. Also, as a consequence, we obtain character formulas for irreducible $W(2, 3)$ -modules considered in Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 8.1. *For $m, n \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ch}[L^W(-10, \frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + m + n, \beta_{m,n})](q) \\ &= \frac{q^{\frac{2}{3}m^2 + \frac{2}{3}mn + \frac{2}{3}n^2 + m + n} (1 - q^{m+1})(1 - q^{n+1})(1 - q^{m+n+2})}{(q; q)_{\infty}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $m = n \pmod{3}$ this follows directly from Theorem 8.1 and Lemma 2.1. For $m \neq n \pmod{3}$, this is a consequence of the same analysis applied to $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ -modules. We omit details here. \square

Remark 8.1. *We should point out that irreducible $W(2, 3)$ -modules and their characters were studied in an old work of Koos and Driel [42]. In particular, they analyzed irreducible representations with central charge $c = 50 - 24p - \frac{24}{p}$, for $p \in \mathbb{N}$, parametrized by dominant integral weights.*

For $p = 1$ (i.e. $c = 2$), the structure of such representations is well-known due to unitarity of the bosonic construction. For $p \geq 3$, they proposed explicit formulas for characters based on summation over the finite Weyl group, subject to conjectural embedding formulas among Verma modules controlled by certain double cosets in the affine Weyl group of $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$. These embedding formulas are recently (rigorously) proven by Dhillon [36]. His result supposedly clarifies the character formulas used in [42] (and also in [37] for other higher rank algebras). However, for $p = 2$ (i.e. $c = -10$) the embedding structure among Verma modules [42, Table VIII] is different compared to $p = 3$ (i.e. $c = -30$); specifically [42, Table IX]. Even though Table VIII yields correct formulas for $\text{ch}[L^W(-10, 0, 0)](q)$ and $\text{ch}[L^W(-10, 4, 0)](q)$, we believe that our Corollary 8.1 gives the first rigorous derivations of characters in all cases.

9. THE GENERATORS OF $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$

Theorem 9.1. *We have:*

- (1) *The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ is generated by $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) + N_{-3/2}(2)$.*
- (2) *The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ is a simple \mathcal{W} -algebra generated by the conformal vector and three primaries of weight 3, 4 and 4.*

Proof. Consider again the subalgebra

$$\mathcal{V}_0^{(2)} = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{\infty} W_{2\ell}, \quad W_{2\ell} = L_{-3/2}(2\ell\omega_1)$$

of the $N = 4$ superconformal algebra with central charge $c = -9$. Using the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ action, quantum Galois theory, and the same arguments as in the [25, Lemma 2.6], we get

$$W_2 \cdot W_{2\ell} = W_{2\ell+2} + W_{2\ell-2} \quad (\ell \geq 1),$$

where the dot product is defined

$$U \cdot V = \text{span}\{u_m v : u \in U, v \in V, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

But restriction to the parafermion algebra gives that

$$N_{-3/2}(2) \cdot N_{-3/2}(2\ell) = N_{-3/2}(2\ell + 2) + N_{-3/2}(2\ell - 2) \quad (\ell \geq 1).$$

This proves assertion (1).

(2) By Part (1), $\mathcal{W}^0(2)_{A_2}$ is generated by primary vectors of degree 2, 3, 4, 4, 5. However it is easy to see that the weight 5 primary vector can be expressed using other primaries. □

Remark 9.1. *We expect that $\mathcal{W}(2)_{A_2}$ is of type $(2, 3, 4^8)$.*

10. THE DECOMPOSITION OF $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ AS A $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -MODULE

We also need the following result which easily follows from [39, Chapter 8].

Lemma 10.1. *For every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$\dim V_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}(n\omega_1 + m\omega_2)^{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \delta_{n,m}.$$

Theorem 10.1. *Let $k = -\frac{3}{2}$. As a $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -module, we have*

$$(10.10) \quad N_k(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \cong \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} L^W(-10, 2m(m+1), 0).$$

Proof. Using Proposition 5.1, Lemma 10.1 and Theorem 8.1 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) &= \mathcal{W}_{A_2}(2)^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\substack{n, m \geq 0, \\ n \equiv m \pmod{3}}} V_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}(n\omega_1 + m\omega_2)^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \otimes L^W(-10, h_{m,n}, \beta_{m,n}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} L^W(-10, h_{m,m}, \beta_{m,m}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} L^W(-10, 2m(m+1), 0). \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows. □

Remark 10.1. *One can also give decompositions of $N_{-3/2}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ -modules $N_{-3/2}(2\ell)$, $\ell \geq 1$. The relevant irreducible $\mathcal{W}(2, 3)_{c=-10}$ -modules can be read off from Proposition 6.1.*

Remark 10.2. *We expect that for arbitrary p the vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)_{A_2}^{\mathfrak{g}_1(2)}$ is a simple \mathcal{W} -algebra of type $\mathcal{W}(2, 3, 3p-2, 3p-1)$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Adamović, Classification of irreducible modules of certain subalgebras of free boson vertex algebra, *J. Algebra* **270** (2003), no. 1, 115–132.
- [2] D. Adamović, A realization of certain modules for the $N=4$ superconformal algebra and the affine Lie algebra $A_2^{(1)}$, *Transformation Groups* **21**(2016), no. 2, 299–327.
- [3] D. Adamović. A construction of admissible $A_1^{(1)}$ -modules of level $-\frac{4}{3}$, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **196** (2005), no. 2-3, 119–134.
- [4] D. Adamović, A. Milas, Logarithmic intertwining operators and $\mathcal{W}(2, 2p-1)$ algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **48**(2007), 073503.
- [5] D. Adamović, A. Milas, On the triplet vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(p)$, *Adv. Math.* **217** (2008), 2664–2699.
- [6] D. Adamović, A. Milas, The doublet vertex operator superalgebras $\mathcal{A}(p)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2,p}$, *Contemporary Math.* **602** (2013), 23–38.
- [7] D. Adamović, A. Milas, C_2 -cofinite vertex algebras and their logarithmic modules, in *Conformal field theories and tensor categories*, Mathematical Lectures from Peking University (2014), 249–270.

- [8] D. Adamović, A. Milas, On W -Algebras Associated to $(2, p)$ Minimal Models and Their Representations, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **20**(2010), 3896-3934.
- [9] D. Adamović, A. Milas, M. Penn, On certain W -algebras of type $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sl}_4, f)$, to appear in Contemporary Math.
- [10] D. Adamović, V. G. Kac, P. M. Frajria, P. Papi and O. Perše, Finite vs infinite decompositions in conformal embeddings, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **348** (2016) 445–473.
- [11] D. Adamović, T. Creutzig, N. Genra, J. Yang, The vertex algebras $\mathcal{V}^{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(p)}$, arXiv:2001.08048.
- [12] D. Adamović, X. Lin, and A. Milas, ADE subalgebras of the triplet vertex algebra: A-type, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **15**(2013), 1350028.
- [13] G. Andrews, Hecke modular forms and the Kac-Peterson identities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **283** (1984), 451-458.
- [14] T. Arakawa, Representation Theory of W -Algebras, *Invent. Math.* **169** (2007), no. 2, 219–320.
- [15] T. Arakawa and E. Frenkel, Quantum Langlands duality of representations of \mathcal{W} -algebras, *Compositio Mathematica* **155** (2019), no. 12, 2235-2262.
- [16] T. Arakawa, C.H. Lam and H. Yamada, Zhu’s algebra, C_2 -cofiniteness of parafermion vertex operator algebras, *Adv. Math.* **264** (2014), 261-295.
- [17] T. Arakawa, C.H. Lam and H. Yamada, Parafermion vertex operator algebras and W -algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **371**(2019), 4277-4301.
- [18] J. Auger, T. Creutzig, D. Ridout, Modularity of logarithmic parafermion vertex algebras, *Lett Math Phys.* **108**(2018), no. 12, 2543-2587.
- [19] R. Blumenhagen, W. Eholzer, A. Honecker, K. Hornfeck, and R. Hubel, Coset realizations of unifying W -algebras, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A10** (1995), 2367–2430.
- [20] K. Bringmann, J. Kaszian, and A. Milas, Higher depth quantum modular forms, multiple Eichler integrals, and \mathfrak{sl}_3 false theta functions, *Research in the Mathematical Sciences* **6**(2019), no. 2, Paper Number 20, 41pp.
- [21] K. Bringmann, J. Kaszian, A. Milas and S. Zwegers, Rank two false theta functions and Jacobi forms of negative definite matrix index, *Advances in Applied Mathematics* **112** (2020) 101946.
- [22] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade, A. Linshaw and D. Ridout, Schur–Weyl duality for Heisenberg cosets. Transformation Groups **24** (2019), 301–354.
- [23] T. Creutzig, B. Feigin, A. Linshaw, $N = 4$ superconformal algebras and diagonal cosets, to appear in IMRN, arXiv:1910.01228
- [24] T. Creutzig and A. Milas, Higher rank partial and false theta functions and representation theory, *Adv. Math.* **314** (2017), 203-227.
- [25] C. Dong and R. L. Griess Jr., Rank one lattice type vertex operator algebras and their automorphism groups, *J. Algebra* **208** (1998), 262–275.
- [26] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math., Vol. 112, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [27] C. Dong, C. H. Lam, and H. Yamada, W -algebras related to parafermion algebras, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), no. 7, 2366–2403.
- [28] C. Dong, C. H. Lam, Q. Wang, H. Yamada, The structure of parafermion vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), 371–381.
- [29] C. Dong and G. Mason, Quantum Galois Theory for Compact Lie Groups, *J. Algebra* **214** (1999), 92-102.
- [30] C. Dong and G. Mason, Compact automorphism groups of vertex operator algebras *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **18** (1996), 913–921
- [31] C. Dong and L. Ren, Representations of the parafermion vertex operator algebras, *Adv. Math.* **315** (2017), 88-101.
- [32] C. Dong and Q. Wang, The structure of parafermion vertex operator algebras: general case, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **299** (2010), 783–792.

- [33] C. Dong and Q. Wang, On C_2 -cofiniteness of parafermion vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **328** (2011), 420-431.
- [34] C. Dong and Q. Wang, Quantum dimensions and fusion rules for parafermion vertex operator algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **144** (2016), 1483-1492.
- [35] J. Fjelstad, J. Fuchs, S. Hwang, A. M. Semikhatov, and I. Y. Tipunin, Logarithmic conformal field theories via logarithmic deformations, *Nuclear Physics B* **633** (2002), 379-413.
- [36] G. Dhillon Semi-infinite cohomology and the linkage principle for W -algebras, arXiv:1905.06477.
- [37] B. Feigin and I. Tipunin, Logarithmic CFTs connected with simple Lie algebras, arXiv:1002.5047.
- [38] D. Gepner, New conformal field theory associated with Lie algebras and their partition functions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B290** (1987), 10-24.
- [39] R. Goodman, N. R. Wallach, Symmetry, representations, and invariants, vol. 255 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht (2009).
- [40] M. Gorelik and V. Kac, On simplicity of vacuum modules, *Adv. Math.* **211** (2007), 621-677.
- [41] C. Jiang and Q. Wang, Representations of \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold of the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(sl_2, k)$, *J. Algebra*, **529** (2019), 174-195.
- [42] D. Koos, and P. Driel, The Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for W algebras, *Journal of mathematical physics* **37**, no. 7 (1996), 3587-3610.
- [43] V. Kac and D. Peterson, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms, *Adv. Math.*, **53**(2) (1984) 125-264.
- [44] A. Linshaw, Universal two-parameter $W_{1+\infty}$ -algebra and vertex algebras of type $W(2, 3, N)$, arXiv:1710.02275.
- [45] J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, Structure of the standard modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Contemporary Math.* **46**, 1985.
- [46] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, A new family of algebras underlying the Rogers-Ramanujan identities and generalizations, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **78** (1981), 7245-7248.
- [47] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, The structure of standard modules, I: Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), 199-290.
- [48] H. Li, On abelian coset generalized vertex algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **3** (2001), no. 2, 287-340.
- [49] A. Milas and M. Penn, Lattice vertex algebras and combinatorial bases: general case and W -algebras, *New York Journal of Mathematics* **18** (2012), 621-650.
- [50] A. M. Semikhatov, A note on the logarithmic- W_3 octuplet algebra and its Nichols algebra, arXiv:1301.2227.
- [51] S. Sugimoto, On the Feigin-Tipunin conjecture, arXiv:2004.05769.
- [52] Q. Wang, Automorphism group of parafermion vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **220** (2016), 94-107.
- [53] W. Wang, $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra, W_3 Algebra and FriedanMartinecShenker bosonization, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195** (1998) 95-111.
- [54] A. B. Zamolodchikov and V. A. Fateev, Nonlocal (parafermion) currents in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory and self-dual critical points in Z_N -symmetric statistical systems, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **62** (1985), 215-225.

D.A.: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia; adamovic@math.hr

A.M.: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, SUNY Albany, 1400 Washington Avenue, Albany NY 12222, USA; amilas@albany.edu

Q.W. School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Fujian, 361005, China; qingwang@xmu.edu.cn