

On the unique solution of the generalized absolute value equation ^{*}

Shi-Liang Wu[†], Shu-Qian Shen[‡]

School of Mathematics, Yunnan Normal University,

Kunming, Yunnan, 650500, PR China

[†]*College of Science, China University of Petroleum,*

Qingdao, Shandong, 266580, PR China

Abstract

In this paper, some useful necessary and sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the generalized absolute value equation (GAVE) $Ax - B|x| = b$ with $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ from the optimization field are first presented, which cover the fundamental theorem for the unique solution of the linear system $Ax = b$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Not only that, some new sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE are obtained, which are weaker than the previous published works.

Keywords: Generalized absolute value equation; unique solution; necessary and sufficient condition

AMS classification: 90C05, 90C30, 65F10

1 Introduction

In this paper, we concentrate on the generalized absolute value equations (GAVE), whose form is below

$$Ax + B|x| = b, \quad (1.1)$$

with $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. When $B = I$, where I stands for the identity matrix, the GAVE (1.1) reduces to the absolute value equations (AVE)

$$Ax + |x| = b. \quad (1.2)$$

^{*}This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11961082).

[†]Corresponding author: wushiliang1999@126.com

[‡]sqshen@upc.edu.cn

The GAVE (AVE) have received considerable attention because they are used as a useful tool in the optimization field, such as the linear complementarity problem, linear programming and convex quadratic programming, and so on. One can see [1–5] for more details.

The research of the unique solution is a very important branch of theoretical analysis of the GAVE (AVE) because the goal of many numerical methods is to obtain the unique solution of the GAVE (AVE), including the modified generalized Newton method [6, 7], the preconditioned AOR method [8] and the modified Newton-type method [9] and the sign accord method [10]. Whereas, by observing the structure of the GAVE (1.1), it is not difficult to see that the nonlinear and nondifferentiable term $B|x|$ often leads to the nondeterminacy of the solution of the GAVE (1.1). In this case, we have to give some constraints to guarantee that the GAVE (1.1) has a unique solution. Recently, some sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1) have been obtained in the literatures. For example, in [11], John *et al.* found that the GAVE (1.1) for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique solution if $\rho(|A^{-1}B|) < 1$, where $\rho(\cdot)$ denotes the spectral radius of the matrix. From the singular value of the matrix, John in [12] showed that the GAVE (1.1) for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique solution when $\sigma_1(|B|) < \sigma_n(A)$, where σ_1 and σ_n , respectively, denote the maximal and minimal singular value of the matrix. Based on the work in [12], Wu and Li in [13] obtained an improved result, i.e., if $\sigma_1(B) < \sigma_n(A)$, then the GAVE (1.1) for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique solution. Other sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1), one can see [1, 10] for more details.

By investigating the previous published works in [1, 10–13], the presented conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1) just are sufficient conditions. Although Wu and Li in [14] presented some necessary and sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the AVE (1.2), it is regretful that these results in [14] are not suitable for the GAVE (1.1) because matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ in (1.1) is free. So far, to our knowledge, the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1) is *void*, which is our motivation for this paper. That is to say, the intent of the present paper is to address this question, and present some necessary and sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the the GAVE (1.1). Although these results in [14] for the GAVE (1.1) is invalid, the idea employed in [14] makes us suffice to establish the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1). Not only that, these necessary and sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1) not only contain the fundamental theorem for the unique solution of the linear system $Ax = b$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, but also yield some new sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1). These new sufficient conditions are weaker than the

previous published works.

The rest of the paper unfolds below. Section 2 consists of some useful lemmas and the definition of P -matrix. Section 3 contains some necessary and sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1). It also contains some new sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1). In Section 4, some conclusions are given to end the paper.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the definition of P -matrix and some useful lemmas for the later discussion.

Definition 2.1 [5] *Matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called a P -matrix if all its principal minors are positive.*

Lemma 2.1 [5] *The linear complementarity problem, which finds $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$w = Mz + q \geq 0, \quad z \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z^T w = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

has a unique solution for any $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if the matrix M is a P -matrix.

Lemma 2.2 [15] *Matrix M is a P -matrix if and only if matrix $MD + I - D$ is nonsingular for any diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$.*

By the way, Lemma 2.2 implies that matrix M is a P -matrix, which is equivalent:

- (1) matrix $M(I - D) + D$ is nonsingular for any diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$.
- (2) matrix $MF_0 + F_1$ is nonsingular, where $F_0, F_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are two arbitrary nonnegative diagonal matrices with $F = F_0 + F_1 > 0$.

Lemma 2.3 [16] *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then*

$$\sigma_i(A + B) \geq \sigma_i(A) - \sigma_1(B), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n (\geq 0)$ are the singular values of matrix.

3 Main results

In this section, based on the above results in Section 2, we shall address the problem of the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1).

First, based on Lemma 2.1, we can obtain Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $A + B$ be nonsingular in (1.1). Then the GAVE (1.1) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if matrix $(A + B)^{-1}(A - B)$ is a P -matrix.*

Proof. Since matrix $A + B$ is nonsingular, then the GAVE (1.1) can be expressed as the following equivalent form

$$x^+ = (A + B)^{-1}(A - B)x^- + 2(A + B)^{-1}b, \quad (3.1)$$

where $x^+ = |x| + x$ and $x^- = |x| - x$, which is a linear complementarity problem in [17]. Thus, according to Lemma 2.1, the linear complementarity problem (3.1) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Further, the GAVE (1.1) for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique solution as well. \square

Combining Theorem 3.1 with Lemma 2.2, we can get Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.2 *The GAVE (1.1) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if matrix $A + B\bar{D}$ is nonsingular for any diagonal matrix $\bar{D} = \text{diag}(\bar{d}_i)$ with $\bar{d}_i \in [-1, 1]$.*

Proof. Since we can express matrix \bar{D} as

$$\bar{D} = I - 2D,$$

where $D = \text{diag}(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$, then matrix $A + B\bar{D}$ is nonsingular for any diagonal matrix $\bar{D} = \text{diag}(\bar{d}_i)$ with $\bar{d}_i \in [-1, 1]$ (it implies that $A + B$ is nonsingular), if and only if the matrix $(A + B)^{-1}(A + B - 2BD)$ with $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular for any diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$.

By the simply computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (A + B)^{-1}(A + B - 2BD) &= (A + B)^{-1}(AD - BD + A + B - AD - BD) \\ &= (A + B)^{-1}[(A - B)D + A + B - AD - BD] \\ &= (A + B)^{-1}[(A - B)D + (A + B)(I - D)] \\ &= (A + B)^{-1}(A - B)D + I - D. \end{aligned}$$

Based on Lemma 2.2, it is easy to know that $(A + B)^{-1}(A - B)$ is a P -matrix. Further, based on Theorem 3.1, the GAVE (1.1) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. \square

As it is known that all the matrices A and B of the GAVE (1.1) in general are two arbitrary $n \times n$ real matrices. In this case, if we take $B = 0$ in Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.2 reduces to the fundamental theorem of the linear system $Ax = b$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$: *the linear system $Ax = b$ has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular.* In a way, Theorem 3.2 generalizes the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique solution of the linear system $Ax = b$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Of course, we also know that the linear system $Ax = b$ has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ if and only if matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular. In addition, it is noted that Theorem 3.2 implies that matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ in (1.1) should be nonsingular, whereas, matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ in (1.1) is free. That is to say, matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ in (1.1) may be nonsingular or singular.

When $B = I$ in Theorem 3.2, we immediately obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique solution of the AVE (1.2), see Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 3.1 *The AVE (1.2) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if $A + \bar{D}$ is nonsingular for any diagonal matrix $\bar{D} = \text{diag}(\bar{d}_i)$ with $\bar{d}_i \in [-1, 1]$.*

By observing the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can obtain the following necessary and sufficient condition for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1) as well.

Corollary 3.2 *The GAVE (1.1) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if $A + B - 2BD$ is nonsingular for any diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$.*

Of course, since matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$ in Corollary 3.2, then we can use matrix $I - D$ instead of matrix D in matrix $A + B - 2BD$. In this case, we have Corollary 3.3.

Corollary 3.3 *The GAVE (1.1) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if $A - B + 2BD$ is nonsingular for any diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$.*

In Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, if we take $B = I$, then Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, respectively, reduces to Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.2 in [14], which are main results in [14].

Based on Theorem 3.2, a series of new sufficient conditions for the GAVE (1.1) and the AVE (1.2) can be obtained. Some of new sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE (1.1) or the AVE (1.2) for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are weaker than the previously published works. For example, if we express matrix $A + B\bar{D}$ in Theorem 3.2 as

$$A + B\bar{D} = A(I + A^{-1}B\bar{D}), \quad (3.2)$$

then Theorem 3.3 can be obtained, which is stated below and its proof is omitted.

Theorem 3.3 *If matrix A in (1.1) is nonsingular and satisfies*

$$\rho(A^{-1}B\bar{D}) < 1 \quad (3.3)$$

for any diagonal matrix $\bar{D} = \text{diag}(\bar{d}_i)$ with $\bar{d}_i \in [-1, 1]$, then the GAVE (1.1) for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique solution. In addition, if matrix A in (1.2) is nonsingular and satisfies

$$\rho(A^{-1}\bar{D}) < 1 \quad (3.4)$$

for any diagonal matrix $\bar{D} = \text{diag}(\bar{d}_i)$ with $\bar{d}_i \in [-1, 1]$, then the AVE (1.2) for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique solution.

It is easy to know that the condition (3.3) in Theorem 3.3 is slightly weaker than Theorem 2 in [11]. That is to say,

$$\rho(A^{-1}B\bar{D}) \leq \rho(|A^{-1}B|).$$

By calculate, we have

$$A^{-1}B\bar{D} \leq |A^{-1}B\bar{D}| \leq |A^{-1}B||\bar{D}| \leq |A^{-1}B|.$$

Further, the condition (3.4) of Theorem 3.3 is is slightly weaker than $\rho(|A^{-1}|) < 1$ in [11] and $\|A^{-1}\|_2 < 1$ in [3].

Using the 2-norm for (3.2), together with the 2-norm of the matrix equal to the maximal singular value of the matrix, the somewhat stronger sufficient condition is obtained, see Corollary 3.4.

Corollary 3.4 *If matrix A in (1.1) is nonsingular and satisfies*

$$\sigma_1(A^{-1}B) < 1, \quad (3.5)$$

then the GAVE (1.1) for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique solution.

Remark 3.1 When $B = I$, Corollary 3.4 is the Proposition 4 in [3] and Corollary 3.1 in [14], but our proof is different from the proof in [3] and [14].

Remark 3.2 Noting that $\sigma_1(X)\sigma_n(X^{-1}) = 1$ for non-singular matrix X . When B in (1.1) is nonsingular, we can use

$$\sigma_n(B^{-1}A) > 1, \quad (3.6)$$

instead of the condition (3.5). In this case, the GAVE (1.1) for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique solution as well. It is not difficult to find that the condition (3.6) is slighter weaker than the condition

$$\sigma_1(B) < \sigma_n(A), \quad (3.7)$$

which was provided in [13]. By the simple computations, we have

$$\sigma_n(B^{-1}A) \geq \sigma_n(B^{-1})\sigma_n(A) = \frac{\sigma_n(A)}{\sigma_1(B)}.$$

Under the condition (3.7), we get the condition (3.6). Since the condition (3.7) is slighter weaker than the following condition

$$\sigma_1(|B|) < \sigma_n(A), \quad (3.8)$$

which was provided in [12], it follows that the condition (3.6) is the weakest condition, compared with the conditions (3.7) and (3.8). In addition, if $B = I$ in (3.6), then the condition (3.6) reduces to the Proposition 3 (i) in [3] and Theorem 3.6 in [14].

It is known that when the smallest singular value σ_n of matrix A is greater than 0, matrix A is nonsingular. Based on this fact, we have Corollary 3.5.

Corollary 3.5 *If matrix A in (1.2) satisfies $\sigma_n(A + I) > 2$, then the AVE (1.2) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Based on Corollary 3.2, when the matrix $A + I - 2D$ is nonsingular for any diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$, the AVE (1.2) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\sigma_n(A + I - 2D)$ indicate the minimal singular value of the matrix $A + I - 2D$. Based on Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\sigma_n(A + I - 2D) \geq \sigma_n(A + I) - 2\sigma_1(D).$$

Since $\sigma_1(D) \leq 1$, clearly, when $\sigma_n(A + I) > 2$, we have $\sigma_n(A + I - 2D) > 0$. This implies that matrix $A + I - 2D$ is nonsingular. \square

Of course, combining matrix $A + B - 2BD$ ($A - B + 2BD$) of Corollary 3.2 (Corollary 3.3) with the approach of the proof of Corollary 3.5, other sufficient conditions can be obtained as well. Here is omitted.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented some necessary and sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the generalized absolute value equation (GAVE) $Ax - B|x| = b$ with $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. These results not only address the question of the necessary and sufficient condition for the unique solution of the GAVE, not only contain the fundamental theorem for the unique solution of the linear system $Ax = b$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Moreover, some presented new sufficient conditions for the unique solution of the GAVE are weaker than the previous published works.

References

- [1] J. Rohn, A theorem of the alternatives for the equation $Ax + B|x| = b$, *Linear Multilinear A.*, 52 (2004) 421-426.
- [2] O.L. Mangasarian, Absolute value programming, *Comput. Optim. Appl.*, 36 (2007) 43-53.
- [3] O.L. Mangasarian, R.R. Meyer, Absolute value equations, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 419 (2006) 359-367.
- [4] S.-L. Wu, P. Guo, Modulus-based matrix splitting algorithms for the quasi-complementarity problems, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 132 (2018) 127-137.
- [5] R.W. Cottle, J.-S. Pang, R.E. Stone, *The Linear Complementarity Problem*. Academic, San Diego (1992).
- [6] C.-X. Li, A modified generalized Newton method for absolute value equations, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 170, (2016) 1055-1059.
- [7] Y.-Y. Lian, S.-L. Wu, C.-X. Li, Weaker convergent results of the generalized Newton method for the generalized absolute value equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 338 (2018) 221-226.
- [8] C.-X. Li, A preconditioned AOR iterative method for the absolute value equations, *Inter. J. Comput. Meth.*, 1750016 (2017).
- [9] A. Wang, Y. Cao, J.-X. Chen, Modified Newton-type iteration methods for generalized absolute value equations, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 181 (2019) 216-230.
- [10] J. Rohn, An algorithm for solving the absolute value equations, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra.*, 18 (2009) 589-599.
- [11] J. Rohn, V. Hooshyarbakhsh, R. Farhadsefat, An iterative method for solving absolute value equations and sufficient conditions for unique solvability, *Optim. Lett.*, 8 (2014) 35-44.
- [12] J. Rohn, On unique solvability of the absolute value equation, *Optim. Lett.*, 3 (2009) 603-606.
- [13] S.-L. Wu, C.-X. Li, A note on unique solvability of the absolute value equation, *Optim. Lett.*, (to appear).
- [14] S.-L. Wu, C.-X. Li, The unique solution of the absolute value equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 76, (2018) 195-200.
- [15] C.R. Johnson, M.J. Tsatsomeros, Convex sets of nonsingular and P-matrices, *Linear Multilinear A.*, 38 (1995) 233-239.
- [16] S. Loyka, On singular value inequalities for the sum of two matrices. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1507.06630>.
- [17] K.G. Murty, On the number of solutions to the complementarity problem and spanning properties of complementary cones, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 5 (1972) 65-108.