

Adapted Sequences and Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for highest weight modules

YUKI KANAKUBO* and TOSHIKI NAKASHIMA†

Abstract

The polyhedral realizations for crystal bases of the integrable highest weight modules of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ have been introduced in ([T.Nakashima, J. Algebra, vol.219, no. 2, (1999)]), which describe the crystal bases as sets of lattice points in the infinite \mathbb{Z} -lattice \mathbb{Z}^∞ given by some system of linear inequalities, where \mathfrak{g} is a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra. To construct the polyhedral realization, we need to fix an infinite sequence ι from the indices of the simple roots. If the pair (ι, λ) (λ : a dominant integral weight) satisfies the ‘ample’ condition then there are some procedure to calculate the sets of linear inequalities.

In this article, we show that if ι is an adapted sequence (defined in our paper [Y.Kanakubo, T.Nakashima, arXiv:1904.10919]) then the pair (ι, λ) satisfies the ample condition for any dominant integral weight λ in the case \mathfrak{g} is a classical Lie algebra. Furthermore, we reveal the explicit forms of the polyhedral realizations of the crystal bases $B(\lambda)$ associated with arbitrary adapted sequences ι in terms of column tableaux. As an application, we will give a combinatorial description of the function ε_i^* on the crystal base $B(\infty)$.

1 Introduction

The invention of crystal bases ([7, 10]) developed the combinatorial study of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and its representations, where \mathfrak{g} is a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra with an index set $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The crystal bases $B(\lambda)$ tell us the skeleton structures of the irreducible integrable highest weight modules $V(\lambda)$ and are realized via combinatorial objects like as Young tableaux, LS paths, Laurent monomials, etc..

In [16], the polyhedral realization of crystal base $B(\infty)$ for the negative part $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ has been introduced as an image of ‘Kashiwara embedding’ $\Psi_\iota : B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty$, where ι is an infinite sequence of entries in I and $\mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty = \{(\dots, a_k, \dots, a_2, a_1) \mid a_k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a_k = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\}$ is an infinite \mathbb{Z} -lattice with certain crystal structure associated with ι . Under the ‘positivity condition’ on ι , a procedure to describe an explicit form of the image $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota)$ is presented. If \mathfrak{g} is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra and $\iota = (\dots, i_{N+1}, i_N, \dots, i_2, i_1)$ is a sequence such that (i_N, \dots, i_2, i_1) is a reduced word of the longest element in the Weyl group W then the image $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota)$ coincides with a set of lattice points in the string cone associated to the reduced word (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N) [9], which is a polyhedral convex cone [1].

The polyhedral realization for crystal bases $B(\lambda)$ is introduced as the image of embedding of crystals $\Psi_\iota^\lambda : B(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda]$ (see 2.4) and under the ‘ample condition’ on the pair (ι, λ) , an algorithm to calculate $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda)$ is presented in [13]. In [2], it is proved that $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda)$ is the set of lattice points in a rational convex polytope (Newton-Okounkov convex body) for a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . In [3, 13], for the specific sequence $\iota = (\dots, 2, 1, n, \dots, 2, 1, n, \dots, 2, 1)$ and simple Lie

*Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan: y-kanakubo@math.tsukuba.ac.jp.

†Division of Mathematics, Sophia University, Kioicho 7-1, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan: toshiki@sophia.ac.jp.

algebras \mathfrak{g} , it is shown that the pair (ι, λ) (λ is any dominant integral weight) satisfies the ample condition and explicit forms of $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda)$ are given.

In [5], we considered a condition called ‘adaptedness’ on sequences ι and proved that if ι satisfies the adaptedness condition then the positivity condition holds in the case \mathfrak{g} is a classical Lie algebra. Using the method in [16], we also found explicit forms of polyhedral realizations $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota)$ for $B(\infty)$ in terms of column tableaux. One defined a set $\text{Tab}_{X,\iota}$ of column tableaux which lie in $(\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*$ and expressed $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota)$ as $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota) = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty \mid \varphi(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}\}$.

In this article, for classical Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} , we will prove that if ι satisfies the adaptedness condition then the pair (ι, λ) is ample for any dominant integral weight λ . One also give explicit forms of $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda)$ in terms of column tableaux. More precisely, defining the set $\text{Tab}_{X,\iota}[\lambda]$ of column tableaux which describe linear inequalities, we describe the polyhedral realization as

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda) = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty \mid \varphi(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}[\lambda] \cup \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}\}.$$

As an application, we get a tableaux description of ε_i^* , which is the composition of ε_i on $B(\infty)$ and the operator $*$: $B(\infty) \rightarrow B(\infty)$ (see 2.2). As an example, let us consider the case \mathfrak{g} is of type A_2 and $\iota = (\dots, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$. We rewrite each element (\dots, a_3, a_2, a_1) in \mathbb{Z}^∞ as $(\dots, a_{3,2}, a_{3,1}, a_{2,2}, a_{2,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,1})$. Then ι is adapted and hence the pair (ι, λ) satisfies the ample condition for any dominant integral weight λ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tab}_{A,\iota} &= \left\{ \boxed{i}_s^A \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{i} \\ \boxed{j} \\ \boxed{s} \end{array} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \right\}, \\ \text{Tab}_{A,\iota}[\lambda] &= \{-x_{1,1} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle\} \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{2} \\ \boxed{3} \\ \boxed{0} \end{array} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle, \begin{array}{c} \boxed{1} \\ \boxed{3} \\ \boxed{0} \end{array} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the tableaux mean

$$\boxed{i}_s^A = x_{s,i} - x_{s+1,i-1}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \boxed{i} \\ \boxed{j} \\ \boxed{s} \end{array} = x_{s+1,i} - x_{s+2,i-1} + x_{s,j} - x_{s+1,j-1},$$

and each $x_{s,i} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*$ is defined as $x_{s,i}(\dots, a_{3,2}, a_{3,1}, a_{2,2}, a_{2,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,1}) = a_{s,i}$ for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $x_{s,i} = 0$ if $i \notin \{1, 2\}$. Thus

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda) = \left\{ (\dots, a_{2,2}, a_{2,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty \left| \begin{array}{l} a_{s,i} - a_{s+1,i-1} \geq 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq 3, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}), \\ a_{s+1,i} - a_{s+2,i-1} + a_{s,j} - a_{s+1,j-1} \geq 0 \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 3, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}), \\ -a_{1,1} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \\ -a_{1,2} + a_{1,1} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \quad -a_{2,1} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \geq 0. \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Simplifying the inequalities, we get

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda) = \left\{ (\dots, a_{2,2}, a_{2,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty \left| \begin{array}{l} a_{1,2} \geq a_{2,1} \geq 0, \quad a_{1,1} \geq 0, \quad a_{m+1,2} = a_{m+2,1} = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \\ \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \geq a_{1,1}, \quad -a_{1,2} + a_{1,1} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \quad \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \geq a_{2,1}. \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

We explain our motivation to describe inequalities defining polyhedral realizations as column tableaux. In [11, 12], q -characters are expressed in terms of tableaux. In [11], monomial realizations of crystal bases are introduced and it is proved that the sets of monomials appearing in (t -analogs of) q -characters of standard modules are isomorphic to crystal bases of highest weight \mathfrak{g} -modules in the case \mathfrak{g} is simply laced. On the other hand, in [14, 15], a relation between monomial realizations and inequalities defining polyhedral realizations are referred. Thus, there seems to be an indirect linkage

between the inequalities and q -characters, which gives us a motivation of column tableaux expression of the inequalities.

The organization of this article is as follows. In Sect.2, after a concise reminder on crystals, we review the polyhedral realizations for $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$. In Sect.3, we recall the column tableaux descriptions of the polyhedral realizations for $B(\infty)$, which is shown in our previous article [5]. Sect.4 is devoted to present our main results, which provide a column tableaux descriptions of the polyhedral realizations for $B(\lambda)$. In Sect.5, 6, we will prove our main theorem.

Acknowledgements Y.K. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20J00186. T.N. was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP15K04794.

2 Crystal and its polyhedral realization

Let us recall the definition of *crystals* [8].

2.1 Notations

We list the notations used in this paper. Let \mathfrak{g} be a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra over \mathbb{Q} with a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{t} , a weight lattice $P \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$, the set of simple roots $\{\alpha_i | i \in I\} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$, and the set of coroots $\{h_i | i \in I\} \subset \mathfrak{t}$, where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a finite index set. Let $\langle h, \lambda \rangle = \lambda(h)$ be the pairing between \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{t}^* , and (α, β) be an inner product on \mathfrak{t}^* such that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \lambda)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ and $A := (\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle)_{i,j \in I} = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$ be the associated symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix. Let $P^* = \{h \in \mathfrak{t} | \langle h, P \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $P_+ := \{\lambda \in P | \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$. We call an element in P_+ a *dominant integral weight*. The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is an associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by e_i, f_i ($i \in I$), and q^h ($h \in P^*$) satisfying the usual relations. The algebra $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by f_i ($i \in I$).

For the irreducible integrable highest weight module of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with the highest weight $\lambda \in P_+$, we denote it by $V(\lambda)$ and its *crystal base* we denote $(L(\lambda), B(\lambda))$. Similarly, for the crystal base of the algebra $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ we denote $(L(\infty), B(\infty))$ (see [6, 7]). For positive integers l and m with $l \leq m$, we set $[l, m] := \{l, l+1, \dots, m-1, m\}$.

2.2 Crystals

By the terminology *crystal* we mean some combinatorial object obtained by abstracting the properties of crystal bases:

Definition 2.1. A *crystal* is a set \mathcal{B} together with the maps $\text{wt} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow P$, $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$ and $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \sqcup \{0\}$ ($i \in I$) satisfying the following: For $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$, $i \in I$,

- (1) $\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle$,
- (2) $\text{wt}(\tilde{e}_i b) = \text{wt}(b) + \alpha_i$ if $\tilde{e}_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$, $\text{wt}(\tilde{f}_i b) = \text{wt}(b) - \alpha_i$ if $\tilde{f}_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (3) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i(b)) = \varphi_i(b) + 1$ if $\tilde{e}_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (4) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i(b)) = \varphi_i(b) - 1$ if $\tilde{f}_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (5) $\tilde{f}_i(b) = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i(b')$,
- (6) if $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$ then $\tilde{e}_i(b) = \tilde{f}_i(b) = 0$.

We call \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i *Kashiwara operators*.

Definition 2.2. A *strict morphism* $\psi : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ of crystals $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ is a map $\mathcal{B}_1 \sqcup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2 \sqcup \{0\}$ satisfying the following conditions: $\psi(0) = 0$, $\text{wt}(\psi(b)) = \text{wt}(b)$, $\varepsilon_i(\psi(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b)$, $\varphi_i(\psi(b)) = \varphi_i(b)$, if $b \in \mathcal{B}_1$, $\psi(b) \in \mathcal{B}_2$, $i \in I$, and $\psi : \mathcal{B}_1 \sqcup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2 \sqcup \{0\}$ commutes with all \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i , where $\tilde{e}_i(0) = \tilde{f}_i(0) = 0$. An injective strict morphism is said to be *strict embedding* of crystals.

Let $(B(\infty), \{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\tilde{f}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}, \text{wt})$ be the crystal structure of $B(\infty)$ and $*$: $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ the antiautomorphism such that $e_i^* = e_i$, $f_i^* = f_i$ and $(q^h)^* = q^{-h}$ in [7]. It is known that the map $*$ induces a bijection $*$: $B(\infty) \rightarrow B(\infty)$ satisfying $* \circ * = \text{id}$. Let $B(\infty)^*$ be the crystal as follows : $B(\infty)^*$ is equal to $B(\infty)$ as sets, and maps are defined as $\tilde{e}_i^* := * \circ \tilde{e}_i \circ *$, $\tilde{f}_i^* := * \circ \tilde{f}_i \circ *$, $\varepsilon_i^* := \varepsilon_i \circ *$, $\varphi_i^* := \varphi_i \circ *$ and $\text{wt}^* := \text{wt}$.

2.3 Polyhedral realizations of $B(\infty)$

Let us recall the results in [16].

First, we consider the infinite \mathbb{Z} -lattice

$$\mathbb{Z}^\infty := \{(\cdots, a_k, \cdots, a_2, a_1) \mid a_k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a_k = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\}$$

and denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{Z}^\infty$ the subsemigroup of nonnegative sequences. For the rest of this section, we fix an infinite sequence of indices $\iota = (\cdots, i_k, \cdots, i_2, i_1)$ from I such that

$$i_k \neq i_{k+1} \text{ and } \#\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \mid i_k = i\} = \infty \text{ for any } i \in I. \quad (2.1)$$

We can define a crystal structure on \mathbb{Z}^∞ associated with ι and denote it by \mathbb{Z}_ι^∞ ([16, 2.4]).

Proposition 2.3 ([8], See also [16]). *There is a unique strict embedding of crystals (called Kashiwara embedding)*

$$\Psi_\iota : B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty, \quad (2.2)$$

such that $\Psi_\iota(u_\infty) = (\cdots, 0, \cdots, 0, 0)$, where $u_\infty \in B(\infty)$ is the vector corresponding to $1 \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Definition 2.4. The image $\text{Im} \Psi_\iota (\cong B(\infty))$ is called a *polyhedral realization* of $B(\infty)$.

Let us consider the infinite dimensional vector space

$$\mathbb{Q}^\infty := \{\mathbf{a} = (\cdots, a_k, \cdots, a_2, a_1) \mid a_k \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } a_k = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\},$$

and its dual space $(\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^* := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}^\infty, \mathbb{Q})$. Let $x_k \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*$ be the linear function defined as $x_k((\cdots, a_k, \cdots, a_2, a_1)) := a_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We will also write a linear form $\varphi \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*$ as $\varphi = \sum_{k \geq 1} \varphi_k x_k$ ($\varphi_j \in \mathbb{Q}$).

For the fixed infinite sequence $\iota = (i_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ and $k \geq 1$ we set $k^{(+)} := \min\{l : l > k \text{ and } i_k = i_l\}$ and $k^{(-)} := \max\{l : l < k \text{ and } i_k = i_l\}$ if it exists, or $k^{(-)} = 0$ otherwise. We set $\beta_0 := 0$ and

$$\beta_k := x_k + \sum_{k < j < k^{(+)}} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_{k^{(+)}} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^* \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (2.3)$$

We define the piecewise-linear operator $S_k = S_{k, \iota}$ on $(\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*$ as

$$S_k(\varphi) := \begin{cases} \varphi - \varphi_k \beta_k & \text{if } \varphi_k > 0, \\ \varphi - \varphi_k \beta_{k^{(-)}} & \text{if } \varphi_k \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

We set

$$\Xi_\iota := \{S_{j_l} \cdots S_{j_2} S_{j_1} x_{j_0} \mid l \geq 0, j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_l \geq 1\}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\Sigma_\iota := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty \subset \mathbb{Q}^\infty \mid \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota\}. \quad (2.6)$$

We impose on ι the following *positivity condition*:

$$\text{if } k^{(-)} = 0 \text{ then } \varphi_k \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi = \sum_k \varphi_k x_k \in \Xi_\iota. \quad (2.7)$$

Theorem 2.5 ([16]). *Let ι be a sequence of indices satisfying (2.1) and (2.7). Then it holds $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota) = \Sigma_\iota$.*

2.4 Polyhedral realizations of $B(\lambda)$

For $\lambda \in P_+$, let $B(\lambda)$ be the crystal base of the irreducible integrable highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ with the highest weight vector u_λ . We fix an infinite sequence of indices $\iota = (\dots, i_k, \dots, i_2, i_1)$ from I satisfying (2.1). For $\lambda \in P_+$, let $\mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda]$ be the crystal whose underlying set is \mathbb{Z}^∞ and whose crystal structure associated with ι is defined as in Sect.4.1 of [13].

Theorem 2.6. [13] *There exists the unique strict embedding of crystals*

$$\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)} : B(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda]$$

such that $\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)}(u_\lambda) = (\dots, 0, 0, 0)$.

Definition 2.7. The image $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)} (\cong B(\lambda))$ is called a *polyhedral realization* of $B(\lambda)$.

Let $\beta_k^{(\pm)}$ be the linear functions given by $\beta_k^{(+)} = \beta_k$ and

$$\beta_k^{(-)} = \begin{cases} x_{k^{(-)}} + \sum_{k^{(-)} < j < k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_k & \text{if } k^{(-)} > 0, \\ -\langle h_{i_k}, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{1 \leq j < k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_k & \text{if } k^{(-)} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Note that $\beta_k^{(-)} = \beta_{k^{(-)}}$ if $k^{(-)} > 0$.

Using this notation, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define an operator $\widehat{S}_k = \widehat{S}_{k, \iota}$ as follows: For a linear function $\varphi = c + \sum_{k \geq 1} \varphi_k x_k$ ($c, \varphi_k \in \mathbb{Q}$), we set

$$\widehat{S}_k(\varphi) := \begin{cases} \varphi - \varphi_k \beta_k^{(+)} & \text{if } \varphi_k > 0, \\ \varphi - \varphi_k \beta_k^{(-)} & \text{if } \varphi_k \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

One can easily check $(\widehat{S}_k)^2 = \widehat{S}_k$. For $i \in I$, we also set

$$\lambda^{(i)} := \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle - \sum_{1 \leq j < \iota^{(i)}} \langle h_i, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{\iota^{(i)}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\iota^{(i)} := \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \mid i_k = i\}$. For ι and a dominant integral weight λ , let $\Xi_\iota[\lambda]$ be the set of all linear functions generated by applying \widehat{S}_k on the functions x_j ($j \geq 1$) and $\lambda^{(i)}$ ($i \in I$), namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_\iota[\lambda] := & \{ \widehat{S}_{j_l} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_1} x_{j_0} \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_0, \dots, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \} \\ & \cup \{ \widehat{S}_{j_k} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_1} \lambda^{(i)} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i \in I, j_1, \dots, j_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Now we set

$$\Sigma_\iota[\lambda] := \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda] \mid \varphi(x) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota[\lambda] \}. \quad (2.12)$$

Definition 2.8. We say the pair (ι, λ) is *ample* if $\mathbf{0} := (\dots, 0, 0, 0) \in \Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$.

Theorem 2.9. [13] *We suppose that (ι, λ) is ample. Let $\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)} : B(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda]$ be the embedding as in Theorem 2.6. Then the image $\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)}) (\cong B(\lambda))$ is equal to $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$.*

Example 2.10. Let \mathfrak{g} be of type A_2 , $\iota = (\cdots, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$ and $\lambda \in P_+$. It follows

$$1^{(-)} = 2^{(-)} = 0, \quad k^{(-)} > 0 \quad (k > 2).$$

We rewrite a vector $(\cdots, x_6, x_5, x_4, x_3, x_2, x_1)$ as

$$(\cdots, x_{3,2}, x_{3,1}, x_{2,2}, x_{2,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{1,1}),$$

that is, $x_{2l-1} = x_{l,1}$, $x_{2l} = x_{l,2}$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Similarly, we rewrite $\widehat{S}_{2l-1} = \widehat{S}_{l,1}$, $\widehat{S}_{2l} = \widehat{S}_{l,2}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the action of the operators are the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{S}_{k,1} & & \widehat{S}_{k,2} \\ x_{k,1} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} x_{k,2} - x_{k+1,1} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & -x_{k+1,2}, \\ \widehat{S}_{k+1,1} & & \widehat{S}_{k+1,2} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{S}_{k,2} & & \widehat{S}_{k+1,1} \\ x_{k,2} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} x_{k+1,1} - x_{k+1,2} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & -x_{k+2,1}, \\ \widehat{S}_{k+1,2} & & \widehat{S}_{k+2,1} \end{array}$$

and the other actions are trivial. Thus we obtain

$$\{\widehat{S}_{j_l} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_1} x_{j_0} \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_0, \cdots, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} = \{x_{k,1}, x_{k,2} - x_{k+1,1}, -x_{k+1,2}, x_{k,2}, x_{k+1,1} - x_{k+1,2}, -x_{k+2,1} \mid k \geq 1\}.$$

The definition (2.10) of $\lambda^{(i)}$ means that $\lambda^{(1)} = \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle - x_{1,1}$ and $\lambda^{(2)} = \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle + x_{1,1} - x_{1,2}$. We also obtain

$$\lambda^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\widehat{S}_{1,1}} 0, \quad \lambda^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\widehat{S}_{1,2}} 0, \quad \lambda^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\widehat{S}_{1,1}} \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle - x_{2,1} \xrightarrow{\widehat{S}_{2,1}} \lambda^{(2)},$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\widehat{S}_{j_k} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_1} \lambda^{(1)} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \cdots, j_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} &= \{0, \lambda^{(1)}\}, \\ \{\widehat{S}_{j_k} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_1} \lambda^{(2)} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \cdots, j_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} &= \{0, \lambda^{(2)}, \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle - x_{2,1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Xi_\iota[\lambda] = \{x_{k,1}, x_{k,2} - x_{k+1,1}, -x_{k+1,2}, x_{k,2}, x_{k+1,1} - x_{k+1,2}, -x_{k+2,1} \mid k \geq 1\} \cup \{0, \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle - x_{2,1}\}$$

and it is easy to see $\mathbf{0} \in \Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$. Hence (ι, λ) is ample and $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda] = \text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)})$. For $\mathbf{x} = (\cdots, x_{3,2}, x_{3,1}, x_{2,2}, x_{2,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{1,1}) \in \text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)})$, combining the inequalities $x_{k,1} \geq 0$, $-x_{k+2,1} \geq 0$ ($k \geq 1$) in $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$, we obtain $x_{k,1} = 0$ ($k \geq 3$). Similarly, by $x_{k,2} \geq 0$, $-x_{k+1,2} \geq 0$ ($k \geq 1$), we get $x_{k,2} = 0$ ($k \geq 2$). Hence, we obtain

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)}) = \Sigma_\iota[\lambda] = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_l^\infty \left| \begin{array}{l} x_{k+1,1} = x_{k,2} = 0 \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}, \\ x_{1,2} \geq x_{2,1} \geq 0, \quad x_{1,1} \geq 0, \quad \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \geq x_{1,1}, \\ \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \geq x_{1,2} - x_{1,1}, \quad \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \geq x_{2,1} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Example 2.11. [13] Let \mathfrak{g} be of type A_3 , $\iota = (\cdots, 2, 1, 2, 3, 2, 1)$ and $\lambda \in P_+$ such that $\langle h_2, \lambda \rangle > 0$. We obtain

$$x_1 \xrightarrow{\widehat{S}_1} -x_5 + x_4 + x_2 \xrightarrow{\widehat{S}_2} -x_5 + x_3 \xrightarrow{\widehat{S}_3} -x_4 + x_3 - x_2 + x_1 \xrightarrow{\widehat{S}_2} -x_4 + x_3 - \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle.$$

Thus $\varphi := -x_4 + x_3 - \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \in \Xi_\iota[\lambda]$, $\varphi(\mathbf{0}) = -\langle h_2, \lambda \rangle < 0$ and (ι, λ) is not ample.

2.5 Strict positivity condition

Let $\xi^{(i)}$ ($i \in I$) be the linear function on \mathbb{Q}^∞ defined by

$$\xi^{(i)} := - \sum_{1 \leq j < \iota^{(i)}} \langle h_i, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{\iota^{(i)}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Note that for any $\lambda \in P_+$, it follows $\xi^{(i)} = -\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle + \lambda^{(i)}$. We define the set of linear forms

$$\Xi_\iota^{(i)} := \{S_{j_1} \cdots S_{j_l} \xi^{(i)} \mid l \geq 0, j_1, \dots, j_l \geq 1\}, \quad (2.14)$$

and $\Xi_\iota^{(\infty)} := \Xi_\iota$ in (2.5).

Definition 2.12. [13] We say ι satisfies the *strict positivity condition* if the following condition holds:

$$\text{if } k^{(-)} = 0 \text{ then } \varphi_k \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi = \sum_k \varphi_k x_k \in \left(\bigcup_{j \in I \cup \{\infty\}} \Xi_\iota^{(j)} \right) \setminus \{\xi^{(i)} \mid i \in I\}.$$

Theorem 2.13. [13] Let ι be a sequence of indices satisfying (2.1) and the strict positivity condition, and λ be a dominant integral weight. Then for $i \in I$ and $x \in \Sigma_\iota$, we get

$$\varepsilon_i^*(x) = \max\{-\varphi(x) \mid \varphi \in \Xi_\iota^{(i)}\}. \quad (2.15)$$

2.6 Infinite sequences adapted to A

Definition 2.14. [5] Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be the symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} and ι a sequence of indices satisfying (2.1). If ι satisfies the following condition then we say ι is *adapted* to A : For $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$ and $a_{i,j} \neq 0$, the subsequence of ι consisting of all i, j is

$$(\cdots, i, j, i, j, i, j, i, j) \quad \text{or} \quad (\cdots, j, i, j, i, j, i, j, i).$$

If the Cartan matrix is fixed then the sequence ι is shortly said to be *adapted*.

Example 2.15. We consider the case \mathfrak{g} is of type A_3 , $\iota = (\cdots, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3)$.

- The subsequence consisting of 1, 2 is $(\cdots, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$.
- The subsequence consisting of 2, 3 is $(\cdots, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3)$.
- Since $a_{1,3} = 0$ we do not need consider the pair 1, 3.

Hence ι is an adapted sequence.

Example 2.16. We consider the case \mathfrak{g} is of type A_3 , $\iota = (\cdots, 2, 1, 2, 3, 2, 1)$. The subsequence consisting of 1, 2 is $(\cdots, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1)$. Thus ι is not an adapted sequence.

3 Tableaux descriptions of polyhedral realizations of $B(\infty)$

In this section, we take \mathfrak{g} as a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of type A_n, B_n, C_n or D_n . We shall review the column tableaux descriptions of the polyhedral realizations for $B(\infty)$ shown in our previous article [5]. In the rest of article, we follow Kac's notation [4] and suppose that $\iota = (\cdots, i_3, i_2, i_1)$ satisfies (2.1) and is adapted to the Cartan matrix A of \mathfrak{g} . Let $(p_{i,j})_{i \neq j, a_{i,j} \neq 0}$ be the set of integers such that

$$p_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the subsequence of } \iota \text{ consisting of } i, j \text{ is } (\cdots, j, i, j, i, j, i), \\ 0 & \text{if the subsequence of } \iota \text{ consisting of } i, j \text{ is } (\cdots, i, j, i, j, i, j). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

For k ($2 \leq k \leq n$), we set

$$P(k) := \begin{cases} p_{2,1} + p_{3,2} + \cdots + p_{n-2,n-3} + p_{n,n-2} & \text{if } k = n \text{ and } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } D_n, \\ p_{2,1} + p_{3,2} + p_{4,3} + \cdots + p_{k,k-1} & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

and $P(0) = P(1) = P(n+1) = 0$. Since each $p_{i,j}$ is in $\{0, 1\}$, it holds for $k, l \in I$ such that $k \geq l$.

$$P(k) \geq P(l), \quad (3.3)$$

$$(k-l) + P(l) \geq P(k), \quad (3.4)$$

except for the case \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , $k = n$ and $l = n-1$.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we rewrite x_k , β_k and S_k in 2.3 as

$$x_k = x_{s,j}, \quad S_k = S_{s,j}, \quad \beta_k = \beta_{s,j} \quad (3.5)$$

if $i_k = j$ and j is appearing s times in i_k, i_{k-1}, \dots, i_1 . For example, if $\iota = (\dots, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3)$ then we rewrite $(\dots, x_6, x_5, x_4, x_3, x_2, x_1) = (\dots, x_{2,2}, x_{2,1}, x_{2,3}, x_{1,2}, x_{1,1}, x_{1,3})$. We will use the both notation x_k and $x_{s,j}$.

Definition 3.1. Let us define the (partially) ordered sets J_A, J_B, J_C and J_D as follows:

- $J_A := \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$ with the order $1 < 2 < \dots < n < n+1$.
- $J_B = J_C := \{1, 2, \dots, n, \bar{n}, \dots, \bar{2}, \bar{1}\}$ with the order

$$1 < 2 < \dots < n < \bar{n} < \dots < \bar{2} < \bar{1}.$$

- $J_D := \{1, 2, \dots, n, \bar{n}, \dots, \bar{2}, \bar{1}\}$ with the partial order

$$1 < 2 < \dots < n-1 < \frac{n}{\bar{n}} < \overline{n-1} < \dots < \bar{2} < \bar{1}.$$

For $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we set $|j| = |\bar{j}| = j$.

Definition 3.2. [5]

- (i) For $j \in [1, n+1]$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\boxed{j}_s^A := x_{s+P(j),j} - x_{s+P(j-1)+1,j-1} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*,$$

where $x_{m,0} = x_{m,n+1} = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x_{m,i} = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and $i \in I$.

- (ii) For $j \in [1, n]$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\boxed{j}_s^B := x_{s+P(j),j} - x_{s+P(j-1)+1,j-1} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*,$$

$$\boxed{\bar{j}}_s^B := x_{s+P(j-1)+n-j+1,j-1} - x_{s+P(j)+n-j+1,j} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*,$$

where $x_{m,0} = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x_{m,i} = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and $i \in I$.

(iii) For $j \in [1, n-1]$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{j}_s^C &:= x_{s+P(j),j} - x_{s+P(j-1)+1,j-1}, & \boxed{n}_s^C &:= 2x_{s+P(n),n} - x_{s+P(n-1)+1,n-1} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*, \\ \overline{\boxed{n}}_s^C &:= x_{s+P(n-1)+1,n-1} - 2x_{s+P(n)+1,n}, & \overline{\boxed{j}}_s^C &:= x_{s+P(j-1)+n-j+1,j-1} - x_{s+P(j)+n-j+1,j} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*, \\ \overline{\boxed{n+1}}_s^C &:= x_{s+P(n),n} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{m,0} = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x_{m,i} = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and $i \in I$.

(iv) For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{j}_s^D &:= x_{s+P(j),j} - x_{s+P(j-1)+1,j-1} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-2, j = n), \\ \boxed{n-1}_s^D &:= x_{s+P(n-1),n-1} + x_{s+P(n),n} - x_{s+P(n-2)+1,n-2} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*, \\ \overline{\boxed{n}}_s^D &:= x_{s+P(n-1),n-1} - x_{s+P(n)+1,n} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*, \\ \overline{\boxed{n-1}}_s^D &:= x_{s+P(n-2)+1,n-2} - x_{s+P(n-1)+1,n-1} - x_{s+P(n)+1,n} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*, \\ \overline{\boxed{j-1}}_s^D &:= x_{s+P(j-1)+n-j,j-1} - x_{s+P(j)+n-j,j} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-2), \\ \overline{\boxed{n+1}}_s^D &:= x_{s+P(n),n} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{m,0} = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x_{m,i} = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and $i \in I$.

Lemma 3.3. [5]

(i) In the case \mathfrak{g} is of type A, the boxes \boxed{j}_s^A satisfy the following:

$$\boxed{j+1}_s^A = \boxed{j}_s^A - \beta_{s+P(j),j} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n, s \geq 1 - P(j)). \quad (3.6)$$

(ii) In the case \mathfrak{g} is of type B, the boxes \boxed{j}_s^B satisfy the following:

$$\boxed{j+1}_s^B = \boxed{j}_s^B - \beta_{s+P(j),j} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1, s \geq 1 - P(j)), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\overline{\boxed{n}}_s^B = \overline{\boxed{n}}_s^B - \beta_{s+P(n),n} \quad (s \geq 1 - P(n)), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\boxed{j-1}_s^B = \overline{\boxed{j}}_s^B - \beta_{s+P(j-1)+n-j+1,j-1} \quad (2 \leq j \leq n, s \geq j - P(j-1) - n). \quad (3.9)$$

(iii) In the case \mathfrak{g} is of type C, the boxes \boxed{j}_s^C satisfy the following:

$$\boxed{j+1}_s^C = \boxed{j}_s^C - \beta_{s+P(j),j} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1, s \geq 1 - P(j)), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\overline{\boxed{n}}_s^C = \overline{\boxed{n}}_s^C - 2\beta_{s+P(n),n} \quad (s \geq 1 - P(n)), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\boxed{j-1}_s^C = \overline{\boxed{j}}_s^C - \beta_{s+P(j-1)+n-j+1,j-1} \quad (2 \leq j \leq n, s \geq j - P(j-1) - n), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\overline{\boxed{n+1}}_{l+1}^C + \overline{\boxed{n}}_l^C = \overline{\boxed{n+1}}_l^C - \beta_{l+P(n),n} \quad (l \geq 1 - P(n)). \quad (3.13)$$

(iv) In the case \mathfrak{g} is of type D, the boxes \boxed{j}_s^D satisfy the following:

$$\boxed{j+1}_s^D = \boxed{j}_s^D - \beta_{s+P(j),j} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1, s \geq 1-P(j)), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\boxed{\bar{n}}_s^D = \boxed{n-1}_s^D - \beta_{s+P(n),n} \quad (s \geq 1-P(n)), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\boxed{n-1}_s^D = \boxed{n}_s^D - \beta_{s+P(n),n} \quad (s \geq 1-P(n)), \quad (3.16)$$

$$\boxed{j-1}_s^D = \boxed{\bar{j}}_s^D - \beta_{s+P(j-1)+n-j,j-1} \quad (2 \leq j \leq n, s \geq 1+j-P(j-1)-n), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\boxed{n+1}_{l+2}^D + \boxed{\bar{n}}_{l+1}^D + \boxed{n-1}_l^D = \boxed{n+1}_l^D - \beta_{l+P(n),n} \quad (l \geq 1-P(n)). \quad (3.18)$$

Definition 3.4. [5]

(i) For $X = A, B, C$ or D and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{j_1} \\ \boxed{j_2} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{j_{k-1}} \\ \boxed{j_k} \end{array}_s^X := \boxed{j_k}_s^X + \boxed{j_{k-1}}_{s+1}^X + \cdots + \boxed{j_2}_{s+k-2}^X + \boxed{j_1}_{s+k-1}^X \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*.$$

(ii) For $X = A, B$,

$$\text{Tab}_{X,\iota} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{j_1} \\ \boxed{j_2} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{j_k} \end{array}_s^X \mid k \in I, j_i \in J_X, s \geq 1-P(k), (*_k^X) \right\},$$

$$(*_k^A) : 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq n+1,$$

$$(*_k^B) : \begin{cases} 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq \bar{1} & \text{for } k < n, \\ 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_n \leq \bar{1}, |j_l| \neq |j_m| (l \neq m) & \text{for } k = n. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Tab}_{C,\iota} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{j_1} \\ \boxed{j_2} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{j_k} \end{array}_s^C \mid \begin{array}{l} j_1 \in J_C \cup \{\overline{n+1}\}, j_2, \dots, j_k \in J_C, \\ \text{if } j_1 \neq \overline{n+1} \text{ then } k \in [1, n-1] \text{ and } 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq \bar{1}, s \geq 1-P(k), \\ \text{if } j_1 = \overline{n+1} \text{ then } k \in [1, n+1], \bar{n} \leq j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq \bar{1}, s \geq 1-P(n). \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Tab}_{D,\iota} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{j_1} \\ \boxed{j_2} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{j_k} \end{array}_s^D \mid \begin{array}{l} j_1 \in J_D \cup \{\overline{n+1}\}, j_2, \dots, j_k \in J_D, \\ \text{if } j_1 \neq \overline{n+1} \text{ then } k \in [1, n-2] \text{ and } j_1 \not\leq j_2 \not\leq \cdots \not\leq j_k, s \geq 1-P(k), \\ \text{if } j_1 = \overline{n+1} \text{ and } k \text{ is even then } k \in [1, n+1], \bar{n} \leq j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq \bar{1}, s \geq 1-P(n-1), \\ \text{if } j_1 = \overline{n+1} \text{ and } k \text{ is odd then } k \in [1, n+1], \bar{n} \leq j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq \bar{1}, s \geq 1-P(n). \end{array} \right\}$$

Remark 3.5. Similar notations to Definition 3.2 and 3.4 (i) can be found in [11, 12].

Theorem 3.6. [5] For $X = A, B, C$ or D , we suppose that ι is adapted to the Cartan matrix of type X . Then

$$\Xi_\iota = \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}.$$

Theorem 3.7. [5] In the setting of Theorem 3.6, ι satisfies the positivity condition.

Corollary 3.8. [5] In the setting of Theorem 3.6, we have

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty \mid \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \text{ for all } \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}^n, x_{m,i} = 0 \text{ for } m > n, i \in I\},$$

where $\text{Tab}_{X,\iota}^n := \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline j_1 \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline j_k \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}_s^X \in \text{Tab}_{X,\iota} \mid s \leq n \}.$

4 Tableaux descriptions of polyhedral realizations of $B(\lambda)$

Just as in the previous section, we take \mathfrak{g} as a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of type A_n, B_n, C_n or D_n and suppose $\iota = (\dots, i_3, i_2, i_1)$ satisfies (2.1) and is adapted to the Cartan matrix A of \mathfrak{g} . In this section we shall give a column tableaux description of polyhedral realizations of $B(\lambda)$. We

denote each tableau $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline j_1 \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline j_i \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}_s^X$ by $[j_1, \dots, j_i]_s^X$.

We consider the following two conditions on $k \in I$:

$$(1) \ k < n \text{ and } \iota^{(k)} > \iota^{(k+1)}, \quad (2) \ k > 1 \text{ and } \iota^{(k)} > \iota^{(k-1)}. \quad (4.1)$$

Definition 4.1. We fix a dominant integral weight $\lambda \in P_+$. For $k \in I$, we set

$$\text{Tab}_{A,\iota,k}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if (1), (2) do not hold,} \\ \{[t]_{1-P(k+1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid t \in J_A, k+1 \leq t \leq n+1\} & \text{if only (1) holds,} \\ \{[j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, k+1, \dots, n, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq j_1 < \dots \\ < j_{k-1} \leq k, j_i \in J_A \end{array} \} & \text{if only (2) holds,} \\ \{[j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid j_i \in J_A, 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n+1, j_k > k\} & \text{if both (1) and (2) hold.} \end{cases}$$

For $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$,

$$\text{Tab}_{B,\iota,k}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if (1), (2) do not hold,} \\ \{[t]_{1-P(k+1)}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid t \in J_B, k+1 \leq t \leq \bar{1}\} & \text{if only (1) holds,} \\ \{[t]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid t \in J_B, \bar{k} \leq t \leq \bar{1}\} & \text{if only (2) holds,} \\ \{ [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^B \mid \begin{array}{l} j_1 < \dots < j_k, \\ j_k > k, j_i \in J_B \end{array} \} & \text{if both (1) and (2) hold,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{B},\iota,n}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,n} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if } \iota^{(n)} < \iota^{(n-1)}, \\ \left\{ [j_1, \dots, j_n]_{-P(n-1)}^{\mathbb{B}} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle \mid \begin{array}{l} j_1 < \dots < j_n, \\ j_n > n, j_i \in J_{\mathbb{B}} \\ |j_l| \neq |j_m| \text{ if } l \neq m \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } \iota^{(n)} > \iota^{(n-1)}. \end{cases}$$

For $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$,

$$\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{C},\iota,k}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if (1), (2) do not hold,} \\ \left\{ [t]_{1-P(k+1)}^{\mathbb{C}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid t \in J_{\mathbb{C}}, k+1 \leq t \leq \bar{1} \right\} & \text{if only (1) holds,} \\ \left\{ [t]_{1-P(k-1)-n+k}^{\mathbb{C}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid t \in J_{\mathbb{C}}, \bar{k} \leq t \leq \bar{1} \right\} & \text{if only (2) holds,} \\ \left\{ [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^{\mathbb{C}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid \begin{array}{l} j_1 < \dots < j_k, \\ j_k > k, j_i \in J_{\mathbb{C}} \end{array} \right\} & \text{if both (1) and (2) hold,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{C},\iota,n}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,n} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if } \iota^{(n)} < \iota^{(n-1)}, \\ \left\{ [\bar{n}+1, j_2, \dots, j_s]_{-P(n-1)}^{\mathbb{C}} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle \mid \begin{array}{l} 2 \leq s \leq n+1, \\ \bar{n} \leq j_2 < \dots \\ \dots < j_s \leq \bar{1}, j_i \in J_{\mathbb{C}} \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } \iota^{(n)} > \iota^{(n-1)}. \end{cases}$$

For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-3\}$, we set

$$\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{D},\iota,k}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if (1), (2) do not hold,} \\ \left\{ [t]_{1-P(k+1)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid t \in J_{\mathbb{D}}, k+1 \leq t \leq \bar{1} \right\} & \text{if only (1) holds,} \\ \left\{ [t]_{1-P(k-1)-n+k}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid t \in J_{\mathbb{D}}, \bar{k} \leq t \leq \bar{1} \right\} & \text{if only (2) holds} \\ \left\{ [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid \begin{array}{l} j_i \in J_{\mathbb{D}}, k < j_k, \\ j_1 \not\leq \dots \not\leq j_k \end{array} \right\} & \text{if both (1) and (2) hold.} \end{cases}$$

For $t \in \{n-3, n-1, n\}$, we consider the following conditions:

$$C_t : \iota^{(t)} < \iota^{(n-2)} \text{ holds,} \quad \bar{C}_t : \iota^{(t)} > \iota^{(n-2)} \text{ holds.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{D},\iota,n-2}[\lambda] &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{-x_{1,n-2} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle\} \\ \{-x_{1,n-2} + x_{1,n-1} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle, -x_{2,n-1} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle\} \\ \{-x_{1,n-2} + x_{1,n} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle, -x_{2,n} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle\} \\ \{\boxed{t}_{-1-P(n-3)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle | t \in J_{\mathbb{D}}, \overline{n-2} \leq t \leq \overline{1}\} \\ \{\boxed{t}_{-P(n-2)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle | t \in J_{\mathbb{D}}, n-1 \leq t \leq \overline{1}\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{[n+1, j_2, \dots, j_s]}_{-1-P(n-2)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle \\ \overline{[n+1, j_2, \dots, j_s]}_{-1-P(n-2)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \left. \begin{array}{l} 3 \leq s \leq n+1, s \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{if } s=3 \text{ then } j_3 \geq \overline{n-2}, \\ \overline{n} \leq j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq \overline{1} \text{ in } J_{\mathbb{D}} \end{array} \right\} \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} 2 \leq s \leq n+1, s \text{ is even,} \\ \text{if } s=2 \text{ then } j_2 \geq \overline{n-2}, \\ \overline{n} \leq j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq \overline{1} \text{ in } J_{\mathbb{D}} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [j_1, \dots, j_{n-2}]_{-P(n-3)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{n-2}]_{-P(n-3)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} j_1, \dots, j_{n-2} \in J_{\mathbb{D}}, \\ j_1 \not\leq \dots \not\leq j_{n-2}, \\ j_{n-2} \geq n-1, \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \overline{C}_{n-3}, \overline{C}_{n-1}, \overline{C}_n, \\ \text{if } \overline{C}_{n-3}, C_{n-1}, \overline{C}_n, \\ \text{if } \overline{C}_{n-3}, \overline{C}_{n-1}, C_n, \\ \text{if } C_{n-3}, \overline{C}_{n-1}, \overline{C}_n, \\ \text{if } \overline{C}_{n-3}, C_{n-1}, C_n, \\ \text{if } C_{n-3}, C_{n-1}, \overline{C}_n, \\ \text{if } C_{n-3}, \overline{C}_{n-1}, C_n, \\ \text{if } C_{n-3}, C_{n-1}, C_n, \end{array} \\ \\
\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{D},\iota,n-1}[\lambda] &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{-x_{1,n-1} + \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle\} \\ \overline{[n+1, j_2, \dots, j_s]}_{-P(n-2)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \text{if } C_{n-1}, \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \left. \begin{array}{l} 2 \leq s \leq n+1, s \text{ is even,} \\ j_2, \dots, j_s \in J_{\mathbb{D}}, \\ \overline{n} \leq j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq \overline{1}, \\ \text{if } s=2 \text{ then } j_2 \geq \overline{n-1} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \text{if } \overline{C}_{n-1}, \end{array} \\ \\
\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{D},\iota,n}[\lambda] &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{-x_{1,n} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle\} \\ \overline{[n+1, j_2, \dots, j_s]}_{-P(n-2)}^{\mathbb{D}} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \text{if } C_n, \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \left. \begin{array}{l} 3 \leq s \leq n+1, s \text{ is odd,} \\ j_2, \dots, j_s \in J_{\mathbb{D}}, \\ \overline{n} \leq j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq \overline{1} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \text{if } \overline{C}_n. \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

For $X = A, B, C$ or D , we set

$$\text{Tab}_{X,\iota}[\lambda] := \left(\bigcup_{k \in I} \text{Tab}_{X,\iota,k}[\lambda] \right) \cup \{0\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathfrak{g} be of type $X = A, B, C$ or D and $\lambda \in P_+$. If ι is adapted to the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} then the pair (ι, λ) satisfies the ample condition and we have*

$$\Xi_{\iota}[\lambda] = \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}[\lambda] \cup \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}.$$

The following corollary follows from Theorem 2.9, Corollary 3.8 and Theorem 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. *In the setting of Theorem 4.2, we get*

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}[\lambda] | \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \forall \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}[\lambda] \cup \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}^n, x_{m,i} = 0 \ (\forall i \in I, m > n)\}.$$

Example 4.4. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of type A_3 and $\iota = (\cdots, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2)$. The sequence ι is adapted to the Cartan matrix of type A_3 . We obtain $p_{2,1} = 1, p_{3,2} = 0, P(2) = P(3) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tab}_{A,\iota}^3 &= \left\{ \boxed{j}_s^A \mid 1 \leq s \leq 3, j \in [1, 4] \right\} \cup \left\{ [i, j]_s^A \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq s \leq 3, \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq 4. \end{array} \right\} \cup \left\{ [i, j, k]_s^A \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq s \leq 3, \\ 1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4. \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{x_{s,1}, x_{s+1,2} - x_{s+1,1}, x_{s+1,3} - x_{s+2,2}, -x_{s+2,3} \mid 1 \leq s \leq 3\} \\ &\cup \{x_{s+1,2}, x_{s+1,3} - x_{s+2,2} + x_{s+1,1}, x_{s+1,1} - x_{s+2,3}, x_{s+1,3} - x_{s+2,1}, \\ &\quad x_{s+2,2} - x_{s+2,1} - x_{s+2,3}, -x_{s+3,2} \mid 0 \leq s \leq 3\} \\ &\cup \{x_{s+1,3}, x_{s+2,2} - x_{s+2,3}, x_{s+2,1} - x_{s+3,2}, -x_{s+3,1} \mid 0 \leq s \leq 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\iota^{(1)} = 2, \iota^{(2)} = 1, \iota^{(3)} = 3$, we get

$$\text{Tab}_{A,\iota,2}[\lambda] = \{-x_{1,2} + \lambda_2\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tab}_{A,\iota,1}[\lambda] &= \{\boxed{2}_0^A + \lambda_1, \boxed{3}_0^A + \lambda_1, \boxed{4}_0^A + \lambda_1\} \\ &= \{x_{1,2} - x_{1,1} + \lambda_1, x_{1,3} - x_{2,2} + \lambda_1, -x_{2,3} + \lambda_1\}, \\ \text{Tab}_{A,\iota,3}[\lambda] &= \{[1, 2, 4]_{-1}^A + \lambda_3, [1, 3, 4]_{-1}^A + \lambda_3, [2, 3, 4]_{-1}^A + \lambda_3\} \\ &= \{x_{1,2} - x_{1,3} + \lambda_3, x_{1,1} - x_{2,2} + \lambda_3, -x_{2,1} + \lambda_3\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\lambda_k := \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$). We get

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty \mid x_{m,i} = 0 \ (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 4}, i \in I), \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \forall \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{A,\iota}^3 \cup \text{Tab}_{A,\iota,1}[\lambda] \cup \text{Tab}_{A,\iota,2}[\lambda] \cup \text{Tab}_{A,\iota,3}[\lambda]\}.$$

For $\mathbf{x} = (\cdots, x_{2,3}, x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}, x_{1,3}, x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}) \in \text{Im}(\Psi_\iota)$, combining inequalities $x_{s,1} \geq 0$ ($1 \leq s \leq 3$), $-x_{s+3,1} \geq 0$ ($0 \leq s \leq 3$) in $\text{Tab}_{A,\iota}$, we obtain $x_{3,1} = 0$. Similarly, by $x_{s+1,2} \geq 0, -x_{s+3,2} \geq 0$ ($0 \leq s \leq 3$), we get $x_{3,2} = 0$. We also get $x_{3,3} = 0$. Hence, simplifying the inequalities, we obtain

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} x_{s,1} = x_{s,2} = x_{s,3} = 0 \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}, \quad x_{2,2} - x_{2,1} \geq x_{2,3} \geq 0, \\ x_{1,3} - x_{2,2} + x_{1,1} \geq 0, \quad x_{1,1} \geq x_{2,3} \geq 0, \quad x_{1,3} \geq x_{2,1} \geq 0, \quad x_{1,2} \geq 0, \\ \lambda_2 \geq x_{1,2}, \quad \lambda_1 \geq x_{1,1} - x_{1,2}, \quad \lambda_1 \geq x_{2,2} - x_{1,3}, \quad \lambda_1 \geq x_{2,3}, \\ \lambda_3 \geq x_{1,3} - x_{1,2}, \quad \lambda_3 \geq x_{2,2} - x_{1,1}, \quad \lambda_3 \geq x_{2,1} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Example 4.5. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of type C_3 and $\iota = (\cdots, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2)$. The sequence ι is adapted to the Cartan matrix of type C_3 . We get $p_{2,1} = 1, p_{3,2} = 0, P(2) = P(3) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tab}_{C,\iota}^3 &= \left\{ \boxed{j}_s^C \mid 1 \leq s \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq \bar{1} \right\} \cup \left\{ \boxed{i}_s^C \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq s \leq 3, \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq \bar{1}. \end{array} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{4}^C \\ \boxed{j_2} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{j_k} \end{array} \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq s \leq 3, \\ k \in [1, 4], \bar{3} \leq j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq \bar{1}. \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{x_{s,1}, x_{s+1,2} - x_{s+1,1}, 2x_{s+1,3} - x_{s+2,2}, x_{s+2,2} - 2x_{s+2,3}, x_{s+2,1} - x_{s+3,2}, -x_{s+3,1} \mid 1 \leq s \leq 3\} \\ &\cup \{x_{s+1,2}, 2x_{s+1,3} - x_{s+2,2} + x_{s+1,1}, x_{s+1,1} + x_{s+2,2} - 2x_{s+2,3}, x_{s+1,1} + x_{s+2,1} - x_{s+3,2}, \\ &\quad x_{s+1,1} - x_{s+3,1}, 2x_{s+1,3} - x_{s+2,1}, 2x_{s+2,2} - x_{s+2,1} - 2x_{s+2,3}, x_{s+2,2} - x_{s+3,2}, x_{s+2,2} - x_{s+2,1} - x_{s+3,1}, \\ &\quad 2x_{s+2,3} - 2x_{s+3,2} + x_{s+2,1}, 2x_{s+2,3} - x_{s+3,2} - x_{s+3,1}, x_{s+2,1} - 2x_{s+3,3}, \\ &\quad x_{s+3,2} - 2x_{s+3,3} - x_{s+3,1}, -x_{s+4,2} \mid 0 \leq s \leq 3\} \\ &\cup \{x_{s+1,3}, x_{s+2,2} - x_{s+2,3}, x_{s+2,3} + x_{s+2,1} - x_{s+3,2}, x_{s+2,3} - x_{s+3,1}, x_{s+2,1} - x_{s+3,3}, \\ &\quad x_{s+3,2} - x_{s+3,1} - x_{s+3,3}, x_{s+3,3} - x_{s+4,2}, -x_{s+4,3} \mid 0 \leq s \leq 3\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Because $\iota^{(1)} = 2$, $\iota^{(2)} = 1$, $\iota^{(3)} = 3$, we get

$$\text{Tab}_{C,\iota,2}[\lambda] = \{-x_{1,2} + \lambda_2\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,1}[\lambda] &= \{\overline{2}_0^C + \lambda_1, \overline{3}_0^C + \lambda_1, \overline{\overline{3}}_0^C + \lambda_1, \overline{2}_0^C + \lambda_1, \overline{1}_0^C + \lambda_1\} \\ &= \{x_{1,2} - x_{1,1} + \lambda_1, 2x_{1,3} - x_{2,2} + \lambda_1, x_{2,2} - 2x_{2,3} + \lambda_1, x_{2,1} - x_{3,2} + \lambda_1, -x_{3,1} + \lambda_1\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,3}[\lambda] &= \{\overline{4,3}_{-1}^C + \lambda_3, \overline{4,2}_{-1}^C + \lambda_3, \overline{4,1}_{-1}^C + \lambda_3, \overline{4,3,2}_{-1}^C + \lambda_3, \\ &\quad \overline{4,3,1}_{-1}^C + \lambda_3, \overline{4,2,1}_{-1}^C + \lambda_3, \overline{4,3,2,1}_{-1}^C + \lambda_3\} \\ &= \{x_{1,2} - x_{1,3} + \lambda_3, x_{1,3} + x_{1,1} - x_{2,2} + \lambda_3, x_{1,3} - x_{2,1} + \lambda_3, x_{1,1} - x_{2,3} + \lambda_3, \\ &\quad x_{2,2} - x_{2,1} - x_{2,3} + \lambda_3, x_{2,3} - x_{3,2} + \lambda_3, -x_{3,3} + \lambda_3\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\lambda_k := \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$). Thus, we get

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty \mid x_{m,i} = 0 \ (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 4}, i \in I), \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \forall \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{C,\iota}^3 \cup \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,1}[\lambda] \cup \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,2}[\lambda] \cup \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,3}[\lambda]\}.$$

Simplifying the inequalities, we obtain

$$\text{Im}(\Psi_\iota^\lambda) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} x_{s,1} = x_{s,2} = x_{s,3} = 0 \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 4}, \quad x_{2,2} \geq x_{2,1} \geq 0, \quad 2x_{2,3} \geq x_{3,2} \geq x_{3,1} \geq 0, \\ x_{3,2} - 2x_{3,3} \geq 0, \quad 2x_{1,3} - x_{2,2} + x_{1,1} \geq 0, \\ x_{1,1} + x_{2,2} - 2x_{2,3} \geq 0, \quad x_{1,1} + x_{2,1} - x_{3,2} \geq 0, \quad x_{1,1} \geq x_{3,1} \geq 0, \quad 2x_{1,3} - x_{2,1} \geq 0, \\ 2x_{2,2} - x_{2,1} - 2x_{2,3} \geq 0, \quad x_{2,2} - x_{3,2} \geq 0, \quad x_{2,2} - x_{2,1} - x_{3,1} \geq 0, \quad 2x_{2,3} - 2x_{3,2} + x_{2,1} \geq 0, \\ 2x_{2,3} - x_{3,2} - x_{3,1} \geq 0, \quad x_{2,1} - 2x_{3,3} \geq 0, \quad x_{3,2} - 2x_{3,3} - x_{3,1} \geq 0, \\ x_{2,2} - x_{2,3} \geq 0, \quad x_{2,3} + x_{2,1} - x_{3,2} \geq 0, \quad x_{2,3} \geq x_{3,1} \geq 0, \quad x_{2,1} \geq x_{3,3} \geq 0, \\ x_{3,2} - x_{3,1} - x_{3,3} \geq 0, \quad x_{1,2} \geq 0, \quad x_{1,3} \geq 0, \\ \lambda_2 \geq x_{1,2}, \quad \lambda_1 \geq x_{1,1} - x_{1,2}, \quad \lambda_1 \geq x_{2,2} - 2x_{1,3}, \quad \lambda_1 \geq 2x_{2,3} - x_{2,2}, \quad \lambda_1 \geq x_{3,2} - x_{2,1}, \\ \lambda_1 \geq x_{3,1}, \quad \lambda_3 \geq x_{1,3} - x_{1,2}, \quad \lambda_3 \geq x_{2,2} - x_{1,1} - x_{1,3}, \quad \lambda_3 \geq x_{2,1} - x_{1,3}, \quad \lambda_3 \geq x_{2,3} - x_{1,1}, \\ \lambda_3 \geq x_{2,1} + x_{2,3} - x_{2,2}, \quad \lambda_3 \geq x_{3,2} - x_{2,3}, \quad \lambda_3 \geq x_{3,3} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.6. *Let \mathfrak{g} be of type $X = A, B, C$ or D . If ι is adapted to the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} then ι satisfies the strict positivity condition. In particular, for $i \in I$ and $x \in \Sigma_\iota$, we get*

$$\varepsilon_i^*(x) = \max\{-\varphi(x) \mid \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{X,\iota,i}[0]\}.$$

Example 4.7. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of type A_3 , $\iota = (\cdots, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2)$ and

$$b := (\cdots, b_{3,3}, b_{3,1}, b_{3,2}, b_{2,3}, b_{2,1}, b_{2,2}, b_{1,3}, b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}) = (\cdots, 0, 0, 0, 2, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1) \in \Sigma_\iota = \text{Im}(\Psi_\iota).$$

Following 2.4 of [16], we can calculate the action of $*$ on b as

$$b^* = \tilde{f}_2 \tilde{f}_1^2 \tilde{f}_3 \tilde{f}_2^3 \tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_3^2 u_\infty = (\cdots, 0, 0, 1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 1)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1^*(b) = \varepsilon_1(b^*) = 2, \quad \varepsilon_2^*(b) = \varepsilon_2(b^*) = 1, \quad \varepsilon_3^*(b) = \varepsilon_3(b^*) = 1.$$

On the other hand, we have seen in Example 4.4 that

$$\max\{-\varphi(b) \mid \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{A,\iota,1}[0]\} = \max\{0, b_{1,1} - b_{1,2}, b_{2,2} - b_{1,3}, b_{2,3}\} = \max\{0, 1, 2\} = 2,$$

$$\max\{-\varphi(b) \mid \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{A,\iota,2}[0]\} = \max\{0, 1\} = 1,$$

$$\max\{-\varphi(b) \mid \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{A,\iota,3}[0]\} = \max\{0, b_{1,3} - b_{1,2}, b_{2,2} - b_{1,1}, b_{2,1}\} = \max\{0, 1\} = 1.$$

Thus, it holds $\varepsilon_i^*(b) = \max\{-\varphi(b) \mid \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{A,\iota,i}[0]\}$.

Example 4.8. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of type C_3 , $\iota = (\cdots, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2)$ and

$$b := (\cdots, b_{3,3}, b_{3,1}, b_{3,2}, b_{2,3}, b_{2,1}, b_{2,2}, b_{1,3}, b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}) = (\cdots, 0, 0, 2, 4, 2, 7, 2, 3, 1) \in \Sigma_\iota = \text{Im}(\Psi_\iota).$$

Calculating b^* as

$$b^* = \tilde{f}_2 \tilde{f}_1^3 \tilde{f}_3^2 \tilde{f}_2^7 \tilde{f}_1^2 \tilde{f}_3^4 \tilde{f}_2^2 u_\infty = (\cdots, 0, 0, 0, 2, 3, 8, 4, 2, 2),$$

we see that

$$\varepsilon_1^*(b) = 3, \quad \varepsilon_2^*(b) = 1, \quad \varepsilon_3^*(b) = 2.$$

By Example 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{-\varphi(b) | \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,1}[0]\} &= \max\{0, b_{1,1} - b_{1,2}, b_{2,2} - 2b_{1,3}, 2b_{2,3} - b_{2,2}, b_{3,2} - b_{2,1}, b_{3,1}\} = \max\{0, 2, 3, 1\} = 3, \\ \max\{-\varphi(b) | \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,2}[0]\} &= \max\{0, 1\} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{-\varphi(b) | \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,3}[0]\} \\ &= \max\{0, b_{1,3} - b_{1,2}, b_{2,2} - b_{1,1} - b_{1,3}, b_{2,1} - b_{1,3}, b_{2,3} - b_{1,1}, b_{2,1} + b_{2,3} - b_{2,2}, b_{3,2} - b_{2,3}, b_{3,3}\} \\ &= \max\{0, 1, 2, -1, -2\} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $\varepsilon_i^*(b) = \max\{-\varphi(b) | \varphi \in \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,i}[0]\}$.

5 Actions of operators $\widehat{S}_{m,j}$

As in the previous section, we denote each tableau $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline j_1 \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline j_k \\ \hline \end{array}_s^X$ by $[j_1, \cdots, j_k]_s^X$. When we see the condition

$j_l \neq t$ with $t \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n, n+1, \bar{n}, \cdots, \bar{1}\}$ for $[j_1, \cdots, j_k]_s^X$ it means $j_l \neq t$ with $l \in [1, k]$ or $l > k$ or $l < 1$.

5.1 Actions of operators $\widehat{S}_{m,j}$ for type A

Proposition 5.1. *We suppose that $j_1 < \cdots < j_k$ ($j_1, \cdots, j_k \in J_A$) and put $T := [j_1, \cdots, j_k]_s^A$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $j \in I$,*

$$\widehat{S}_{m,j}T = \begin{cases} [j_1, \cdots, j_{i-1}, j+1, j_{i+1}, \cdots, j_k]_s^A & \text{if } j_i = j, j_{i+1} \neq j+1, m = s+k-i+P(j) \text{ for some } i \in [1, k], \\ [j_1, \cdots, j_{i-1}, j, j_{i+1}, \cdots, j_k]_s^A & \text{if } j_i = j+1, j_{i-1} \neq j, m = s+k-i+1+P(j) > 1 \text{ for some } i \in [1, k], \\ T + \beta_{1,j}^{(-)} & \text{if } j_i = j+1, j_{i-1} \neq j, m = s+k-i+1+P(j) = 1 \text{ for some } i \in [1, k], \\ T & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

We see that

$$T = [j_1, \cdots, j_k]_s^A = \sum_{i=1}^k \boxed{j_i}_{s+k-i}^A = \sum_{i=1}^k (x_{s+k-i+P(j_i), j_i} - x_{s+k-i+1+P(j_{i-1}), j_{i-1}}), \quad (5.1)$$

where we set $x_{t,l} := 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and $l \in I$. Note that since

$$\begin{aligned} & \boxed{j_i}_{s+k-i}^A + \boxed{j_{i+1}}_{s+k-i-1}^A \\ &= x_{s+k-i+P(j_i),j_i} - x_{s+k-i+1+P(j_i-1),j_i-1} + x_{s+k-i-1+P(j_{i+1}),j_{i+1}} - x_{s+k-i+P(j_{i+1}-1),j_{i+1}-1}, \end{aligned}$$

if $j_{i+1} = j_i + 1$ then we get

$$\boxed{j_i}_{s+k-i}^A + \boxed{j_i + 1}_{s+k-i-1}^A = x_{s+k-i-1+P(j_i+1),j_i+1} - x_{s+k-i+1+P(j_i-1),j_i-1}. \quad (5.2)$$

It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $j \in I$, $x_{m,j}$ has non-zero coefficient in T if and only if the pair (m, j) belongs to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(s+k-i+P(j_i), j_i) | i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad j_{i+1} > j_i + 1\} \\ & \cup \{(s+k-i+1+P(j_i-1), j_i-1) | i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad j_i - 1 > j_{i-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $j_{k+1} = n + 2$ and $j_0 = 0$.

If $(m, j) = (s+k-i+P(j_i), j_i)$ with $j_{i+1} > j_i + 1$ then $x_{m,j}$ has coefficient 1 in T and by Lemma 3.3 (3.6) and the definition of $\widehat{S}_{m,j}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_{m,j}T &= T - \beta_{m,j} = [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_s^A - \beta_{m,j} \\ &= \boxed{j_1}_{s+k-1}^A + \dots + \boxed{j_{i-1}}_{s+k-i+1}^A + \boxed{j}_{s+k-i}^A + \boxed{j_{i+1}}_{s+k-i-1}^A + \dots + \boxed{j_k}_s^A - \beta_{m,j} \\ &= \boxed{j_1}_{s+k-1}^A + \dots + \boxed{j_{i-1}}_{s+k-i+1}^A + \boxed{j+1}_{s+k-i}^A + \boxed{j_{i+1}}_{s+k-i-1}^A + \dots + \boxed{j_k}_s^A \\ &= [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j+1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_s^A. \end{aligned}$$

If $(m, j) = (s+k-i+1+P(j_i-1), j_i-1)$ with $j_i - 1 > j_{i-1}$ then $x_{m,j}$ has coefficient -1 in T . If $m > 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_{m,j}T &= \widehat{S}_{m,j}[j_1, \dots, j_i, \dots, j_k]_s^A = [j_1, \dots, j_i, \dots, j_k]_s^A + \beta_{m-1,j} \\ &= \boxed{j_1}_{s+k-1}^A + \dots + \boxed{j_i}_{s+k-i}^A + \dots + \boxed{j_k}_s^A + \beta_{m-1,j} \\ &= \boxed{j_1}_{s+k-1}^A + \dots + \boxed{j+1}_{s+k-i}^A + \dots + \boxed{j_k}_s^A + \beta_{m-1,j} \\ &= \boxed{j_1}_{s+k-1}^A + \dots + \boxed{j}_{s+k-i}^A + \dots + \boxed{j_k}_s^A = [j_1, \dots, j, \dots, j_k]_s^A. \end{aligned}$$

If $m = 1$ then the definition of $\widehat{S}_{m,j}$ means $\widehat{S}_{m,j}T = T + \beta_{1,j}^{(-)}$. The definition of $\widehat{S}_{m,j}$ also means that if $x_{m,j}$ is not a summand of T then $\widehat{S}_{m,j}T = T$. Consequently, we get our claim. \square

5.2 Actions of operators $\widehat{S}_{m,j}$ for type B, C

In this subsection, we consider type B, C cases.

Proposition 5.2. *For each $T = [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{X,t,k} \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\}$ ($X = \text{B or C}$, $k \in I$), $j \in I$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we consider the following conditions for the triple (T, j, m) :*

- (1) $j < n$ and there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i = j$, $j_{i+1} \neq j + 1$ and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i + P(j)$,
- (2) $j < n$ and there exists $i' \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i'} = \overline{j+1}$, $j_{i'+1} \neq \overline{j}$ and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i' + n - j + P(j)$,

- (3) $j = n$ and there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i = n$, $j_{i+1} \neq \bar{n}$ and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i + P(n)$,
- (4) $j < n$ and there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i-1} \neq j$, $j_i = j+1$ and $m = 1 - P(k-1) + k - i + P(j)$,
- (5) $j < n$ and there exists $i' \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i'-1} \neq \overline{j+1}$, $j_{i'} = \bar{j}$ and $m = 1 - P(k-1) + k - i' + n - j + P(j)$,
- (6) $j = n$ and there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i-1} \neq n$, $j_i = \bar{n}$ and $m = 1 - P(k-1) + k - i + P(n)$.
- (i) We suppose $j_1 \neq \overline{n+1}$ and $k \in [2, n-1]$ satisfies the both conditions (1) and (2). Then we have

$$\widehat{S}_{m,j}T = \begin{cases} [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j+1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (1) holds and (2), (5) do not hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \bar{j}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (2) holds and (1), (4) do not hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j+1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \bar{j}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (1) and (2) hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, \bar{n}, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (3) holds,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (4) holds and (2), (5) do not hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \overline{j+1}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (5) holds and (1), (4) do not hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \overline{j+1}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (4) and (5) hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, n, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (6) holds,} \\ T & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (ii) We set $k = n$ and suppose $\iota^{(n)} > \iota^{(n-1)}$. For each $T = [j_1, \dots, j_n]_{-P(n-1)}^B + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{B,\iota,n} \setminus \{\lambda^{(n)}\}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $j \in I$, we have

$$\widehat{S}_{m,j}T = \begin{cases} [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j+1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \bar{j}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_n]_{-P(n-1)}^B + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (1) and (2) hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, \bar{n}, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_n]_{-P(n-1)}^B + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (3) holds,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \overline{j+1}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_n]_{-P(n-1)}^B + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (4) and (5) hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, n, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_n]_{-P(n-1)}^B + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (6) holds,} \\ T & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (iii) We suppose $\iota^{(n)} > \iota^{(n-1)}$. For each $T = [\overline{n+1}, j_2, j_3, \dots, j_k]_{-P(n-1)}^C + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,n} \setminus \{\lambda^{(n)}\}$ with $k \in [2, n+1]$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $j \in I$, we have

$$\widehat{S}_{m,j}T = \begin{cases} [\overline{n+1}, j_2, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \bar{j}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(n-1)}^C + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (2)' holds,} \\ [\overline{n+1}, j_2, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \overline{j+1}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(n-1)}^C + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (5)' holds,} \\ [\overline{n+1}, j_3, \dots, j_k]_{-P(n-1)}^C + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (6)' holds,} \\ [\overline{n+1}, \bar{n}, j_2, \dots, j_k]_{-P(n-1)}^C + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (7) holds,} \\ T & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the conditions (2)', (5)', (6)', (7) are as follows:

- (2)' $j < n$ and there exists $i' \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i'} = \overline{j+1}$, $j_{i'+1} \neq \bar{j}$ and $m = -P(n-1) + k - i' + n - j + P(j)$,
- (5)' $j < n$ and there exists $i' \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i'-1} \neq \overline{j+1}$, $j_{i'} = \bar{j}$ and $m = 1 - P(n-1) + k - i' + n - j + P(j)$,

(6)' $j = n$, $j_2 = \bar{n}$ and $m = -P(n-1) - 1 + k + P(n)$,

(7) $j = n$, $j_2 \neq \bar{n}$ and $m = -P(n-1) - 1 + k + P(n)$.

Proof.

(i) In this setting, we get

$$\text{Tab}_{X,t,k}[\lambda] = \{ [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid \begin{array}{l} j_1 < \dots < j_k, \\ j_k > k, j_i \in J_X \end{array} \}.$$

For $T = [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{X,t,k} \setminus \{ \lambda^{(k)} \}$, let us recall that $[j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^X = \sum_{i=1}^k \boxed{j_i}_{-P(k-1)+k-i}^X$, and by Definition 3.2, we obtain

$$\boxed{j_i}_{-P(k-1)+k-i}^X = \begin{cases} c(j_i)x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i),j_i} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i-1)+1,j_i-1} & \text{if } j_i \leq n, \\ x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|+1,|j_i|-1} - c(j_i)x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|+1,|j_i|} & \text{if } j_i \geq \bar{n}, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

where if \mathbf{g} is of type C and $j_i \in \{n, \bar{n}\}$ then $c(j_i) = 2$, otherwise $c(j_i) = 1$.

Since we supposed (1), (2) in (4.1) hold, one obtain $p_{k+1,k} = 1$, $p_{k,k-1} = 0$ so that $P(k+1) = p_{k+1,k} + P(k) = 1 + P(k) = 1 + P(k-1)$. Thus, for $i \in [1, k-1]$ such that $j_i \leq n$,

$$-P(k-1) + k - i + P(j_i) \geq -P(k-1) + 1 + (k-1) - i + P(i) \geq -P(k-1) + 1 + P(k-1) = 1, \quad (5.4)$$

where (3.3), (3.4) in the second inequality. If $j_k \leq n$ then since $j_k \geq k+1$,

$$-P(k-1) + k - k + P(j_k) = -P(k-1) + P(j_k) \geq -P(k-1) + P(k+1) = 1. \quad (5.5)$$

For $i \in [1, k-1]$ such that $j_i \leq n$, $j_i > j_{i-1} + 1$ (we set $j_0 := 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} & -P(k-1) + k - i + P(j_i - 1) + 1 \\ & \geq -P(k-1) + 2 + (k-1) - i + P(j_{i-1} + 1) \geq -P(k-1) + 2 + (k-1) - i + P(i) \geq -P(k-1) + 2 + P(k-1) = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

If $j_k \leq n$ such that $j_k > j_{k-1} + 1$ then we have $j_k > k+1$ since $j_k = k+1$ yields $j_{k-1} = k-1$, $j_{k-2} = k-2, \dots, j_1 = 1$ and $T = [1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1] + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \lambda^{(k)}$, which contradicts $T \in \text{Tab}_{X,t,k} \setminus \{ \lambda^{(k)} \}$. Hence,

$$-P(k-1) + k - k + P(j_k - 1) + 1 = -P(k+1) + P(j_k - 1) + 2 \geq -P(k+1) + P(k+1) + 2 = 2. \quad (5.7)$$

For $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i \geq \bar{n}$, using $i \leq k$ and $P(k+1) \leq P(n)$, we also see that

$$\begin{aligned} & -P(k-1) + k - i + P(|j_i| - 1) + n - |j_i| + 1 \\ & = -P(k-1) + k - i + P(|j_i| - 1) + n - (|j_i| - 1) \geq 1 - P(k+1) + P(n) \geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$-P(k-1) + k - i + P(|j_i|) + n - |j_i| + 1 = -P(k+1) + k - i + P(|j_i|) + n - |j_i| + 2 \geq -P(k+1) + k - i + P(n) + 2 \geq 2. \quad (5.9)$$

Hence, it follows from (5.4), (5.5) and (5.8) that the left indices of

$$x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i),j_i}, \quad x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|+1,|j_i|-1}$$

in (5.3) are positive. Because of (5.6) and (5.7), we also see that if $j_i > j_{i-1} + 1$ then the left indices of $x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i-1)+1,j_i-1}$ in (5.3) are greater than or equal to 2. Furthermore, the inequality

(5.9) means the left indices of $x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|+1, |j_i|}$ in (5.3) are greater than or equal to 2. By a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 4.2 (i) in [5], we can prove our claim.

(ii) We can also prove (ii) by a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 4.2 (ii) in [5].

(iii) We take an element $T = \overline{[n+1, j_2, \dots, j_k]}_{-P(n-1)}^C + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{C, \iota, n}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(n)}\}$. One can describe $\lambda^{(n)}$ as $\lambda^{(n)} = x_{1, n} - x_{1, n-1} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = \overline{[n+1, \bar{n}]}_{-P(n-1)}^C + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle$. Taking into account that $\bar{n} \leq j_2 < \dots < j_k$ and $T \neq \lambda^{(n)}$, we see $j_k \neq \bar{n}$.

We can explicitly write $\overline{[n+1, j_2, \dots, j_k]}_{-P(n-1)}^C$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{[n+1, j_2, \dots, j_k]}_{-P(n-1)}^C &= \overline{[n+1]}_{-P(n-1)+k-1}^C + \sum_{i=2}^k \overline{[j_i]}_{-P(n-1)+k-i}^C \\ &= x_{-P(n-1)+k-1+P(n), n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^k (x_{-P(n-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|+1, |j_i|-1} - c(|j_i|)x_{-P(n-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|+1, |j_i|}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where $c(n) = 2$ and $c(t) = 1$ for $t \in [1, n-1]$. By $k \geq 2$, we see that

$$-P(n-1) + k - 1 + P(n) \geq 1, \quad (5.11)$$

and for $i \in [2, k]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &-P(n-1) + k - i + P(|j_i| - 1) + n - |j_i| + 1 = \\ &-P(n-1) + k - i + P(|j_i| - 1) + (n-1) - (|j_i| - 1) + 1 \geq -P(n-1) + P(n-1) + 1 = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

In the case $i < k$, it follows

$$-P(n-1) + k - i + P(|j_i|) + n - |j_i| + 1 \geq -P(n-1) + k - i + P(n) + 1 \geq 2, \quad (5.13)$$

and in the case $i = k$, using $j_k \neq \bar{n}$,

$$-P(n-1) + k - k + P(|j_k|) + n - |j_k| + 1 = -P(n-1) + P(|j_k|) + (n-1) - |j_k| + 2 \geq -P(n-1) + P(n-1) + 2 = 2. \quad (5.14)$$

The inequalities (5.11), (5.12) imply the left indices of

$$x_{-P(n-1)+k-1+P(n), n}, \quad x_{-P(n-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|+1, |j_i|-1}$$

in (5.10) are positive, and (5.13), (5.14) imply the left indices of $x_{-P(n-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|+1, |j_i|}$ in (5.10) are greater than or equal to 2. By a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 4.2 (iii) in [5], we obtain our claim (iii). \square

5.3 Actions of operators $\widehat{S}_{m, j}$ for type D

Proposition 5.3. (i) For each $T = [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{D, \iota, k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\}$ ($k \in [1, n-2]$), $j \in I$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we consider the following conditions for the triple (T, j, m) :

- (1) $j < n$ and there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i = j$, $j_{i+1} \neq j+1$ and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i + P(j)$,
- (2) $j < n$ and there exists $i' \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i'} = \overline{j+1}$, $j_{i'+1} \neq \bar{j}$, n and $m = -1 - P(k-1) + k - i' + n - j + P(j)$,

- (3) $j < n$ and there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i = j + 1$, $j_{i-1} \neq j$, \bar{n} and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i + 1 + P(j)$,
- (4) $j < n$ and there exists $i' \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i'} = \bar{j}$, $j_{i'-1} \neq \overline{j+1}$ and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i' + n - j + P(j)$.

We suppose

$$\begin{cases} \iota^{(1)} > \iota^{(2)} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \iota^{(k)} > \iota^{(k-1)}, \iota^{(k)} > \iota^{(k+1)} & \text{if } 1 < k < n - 2, \\ \iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-3)}, \iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-1)}, \iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n)} & \text{if } k = n - 2. \end{cases} \quad (5.15)$$

If $j < n$ then

$$\widehat{S}_{m,j}T =$$

$$\begin{cases} [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j+1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (1) holds and (2), (4) do not hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \bar{j}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (2) holds and (1), (3) do not hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j+1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \bar{j}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (1) and (2) hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (3) holds and (2), (4) do not hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \overline{j+1}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (4) holds and (1), (3) do not hold,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \overline{j+1}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (3) and (4) hold,} \\ T & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) For each $T = [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{D, \iota, k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\}$ ($k \in [1, n-2]$) and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we consider the following conditions for the pair (T, m) :

- (5) there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i = n-1$, $j_{i+1} \neq \bar{n}$, $\overline{n-1}$ and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i + P(n)$,
- (6) there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i = n$, $j_{i+1} \neq \bar{n}$, $\overline{n-1}$ and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i + P(n)$,
- (7) there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i = \bar{n}$, $j_{i-1} \neq n-1$, n and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i + 1 + P(n)$,
- (8) there exists $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i = \overline{n-1}$, $j_{i-1} \neq n-1$, n and $m = -P(k-1) + k - i + 1 + P(n)$.

We suppose (5.15). Then

$$\widehat{S}_{m,n}T = \begin{cases} [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, \bar{n}, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (5) holds,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, \overline{n-1}, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (6) holds,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, n-1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (7) holds,} \\ [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, n, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (8) holds,} \\ T & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) We suppose $t = n-1$ or $t = n$ and $\iota^{(t)} > \iota^{(n-2)}$. For each $T = [\overline{n+1}, j_2, j_3, \dots, j_k]_{-P(n-2)}^D + \langle h_t, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{D, \iota, t}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(t)}\}$, $j \in I$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, one consider the following conditions (2)', (4)', (9), (10) for the triple (T, j, m) :

- (2)' $j < n$ and there exists $i' \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i'} = \overline{j+1}$, $j_{i'+1} \neq \bar{j}$ and $m = -1 - P(n-2) + k - i' + n - j + P(j)$,
- (4)' $j < n$ and there exists $i' \in [1, k]$ such that $j_{i'} = \bar{j}$, $j_{i'-1} \neq \overline{j+1}$ and $m = -P(n-2) + k - i' + n - j + P(j)$,

- (9) $j = n$, $j_1 = \overline{n+1}$, $j_2 \neq \overline{n}$, $\overline{n-1}$ and $m = -P(n-2) + k - 1 + P(n)$,
(10) $j = n$, $j_1 = \overline{n+1}$, $j_2 = \overline{n}$, $j_3 = \overline{n-1}$ and $m = -P(n-2) + k - 2 + P(n)$.

Then we have

$$\widehat{S}_{m,j}T = \begin{cases} \left[\overline{n+1}, j_2, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \overline{j}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k \right]_{-P(n-2)}^D + \langle h_t, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (2)' holds,} \\ \left[\overline{n+1}, j_2, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \overline{j+1}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k \right]_{-P(n-2)}^D + \langle h_t, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (4)' holds,} \\ \left[\overline{n+1}, \overline{n}, \overline{n-1}, j_2, \dots, j_k \right]_{-P(n-2)}^D + \langle h_t, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (9) holds,} \\ \left[\overline{n+1}, j_4, \dots, j_k \right]_{-P(n-2)}^D + \langle h_t, \lambda \rangle & \text{if (10) holds,} \\ T & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

(i), (ii) For $T = [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{D,\iota,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\}$ with $k \in [1, n-2]$ and $j_1 \neq \overline{n+1}$, let us consider the action of $\widehat{S}_{m,j}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $j \in I$). Recall that $[j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^D = \sum_{i=1}^k \boxed{j_i}^D_{-P(k-1)+k-i}$, and by Definition 3.2, we obtain

$$\boxed{j_i}^D_{-P(k-1)+k-i} = \begin{cases} x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i),j_i} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i-1)+1,j_i-1} & \text{if } j_i \in [1, n-2] \cup \{n\}, \\ x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n-1),n-1} + x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n),n} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n-2)+1,n-2} & \text{if } j_i = n-1, \\ x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n-1),n-1} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n)+1,n} & \text{if } j_i = \overline{n}, \\ x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n-2)+1,n-2} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n-1)+1,n-1} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n)+1,n} & \text{if } j_i = \overline{n-1}, \\ x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|,|j_i|-1} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|,|j_i|} & \text{if } j_i \geq \overline{n-2}. \end{cases} \quad (5.16)$$

Just as in the proof of Proposition 5.2 (i), we can prove for $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i \in [1, n-2]$,

$$-P(k-1) + k - i + P(j_i) \geq 1. \quad (5.17)$$

In the case $k < n-2$, it is easy to check $-P(k-1) + k - i + P(n) = 1 - P(k+1) + k - i + P(n) \geq 1$. In the case $k = n-2$, since we supposed $\iota^{(k)} = \iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-1)}, \iota^{(n)}$, one obtain $P(n) = P(n-1)$ and $-P(n-3) + (n-2) - i + P(n) = 1 - P(n-1) + (n-2) - i + P(n) \geq 1$. Thus, for any $k \in [1, n-2]$ and $i \in [1, k]$, we get

$$-P(k-1) + k - i + P(n) \geq 1. \quad (5.18)$$

Since we assume $k \leq n-2$, it follows for any $i \in [1, k]$

$$-P(k-1) + k - i + P(n-1) \geq 1, \quad -P(k-1) + k - i + P(n-2) + 1 \geq 1. \quad (5.19)$$

We also get if $j_i \geq \overline{n-2}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} -P(k-1) + k - i + P(|j_i|-1) + n - |j_i| &= -P(k-1) + k - i + P(|j_i|-1) + (n-1) - (|j_i|-1) \\ &\geq -P(k-1) + k - i + P(n-1) \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

The inequalities (5.17)-(5.20) mean that the left indices of

$$\begin{aligned} x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i),j_i}, \quad x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n-1),n-1}, \quad x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n),n}, \\ x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n-2)+1,n-2}, \quad x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|,|j_i|-1} \end{aligned}$$

in (5.16) are positive.

By a similar way to the proof of Proposition 5.2 (i), we see that for $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i \in [1, n]$ and $j_i > j_{i-1} + 1$ ($j_0 = 0$), it holds

$$-P(k-1) + k - i + P(j_i - 1) + 1 \geq 2. \quad (5.21)$$

In the case $k < n-2$, for any $i \in [1, k]$, we get $-P(k-1) + k - i + P(n) + 1 \geq 2$. In the case $k = n-2$, by $\iota^{(k)} = \iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-1)}, \iota^{(n)}$, it holds $P(n-1) = P(n)$, which yields $-P(n-3) + (n-2) - i + P(n) + 1 \geq 2$ for any $i \in [1, n-2]$. Therefore, for any $i \in [1, k]$,

$$-P(k-1) + k - i + P(n) + 1 \geq 2. \quad (5.22)$$

It is easy to check for any $i \in [1, k]$,

$$-P(k-1) + k - i + P(n-1) + 1 \geq 2. \quad (5.23)$$

For $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i \geq \overline{n-2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} -P(k-1) + k - i + P(|j_i|) + n - |j_i| &= 1 - P(k-1) + k - i + P(|j_i|) + (n-1) - |j_i| \\ &\geq 1 - P(k-1) + k - i + P(n-1) \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

Hence, by (5.21)-(5.24), the left indices of

$$\begin{aligned} x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i-1)+1, j_i-1}, & \quad x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n-2)+1, n-2}, & \quad x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n)+1, n}, \\ x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(n-1)+1, n-1}, & & \quad x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|, |j_i|} \end{aligned}$$

in (5.16) are greater than or equal to 2.

By a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 4.3 (i),(ii) in [5], we can prove our claims (i),(ii). (iii) By Definition 3.2 (iv) and Definition 3.4, we get

$$\lambda^{(n-1)} = -x_{1, n-1} + x_{1, n-2} + \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle = [\overline{n+1}, \overline{n-1}]_{-P(n-2)} + \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle, \quad (5.25)$$

$$\lambda^{(n)} = -x_{1, n} + x_{1, n-2} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = [\overline{n+1}, \overline{n}, \overline{n-1}]_{-P(n-2)} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle. \quad (5.26)$$

We can explicitly write T as

$$T - \langle h_t, \lambda \rangle = \boxed{\overline{n+1}}_{-P(n-2)+k-1}^D + \sum_{i=2}^k \boxed{j_i}_{-P(n-2)+k-i}^D.$$

Recall that

$$\boxed{j_i}_{-P(n-2)+k-i}^D = \begin{cases} x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n), n} & \text{if } j_i = \overline{n+1} \\ x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n-1), n-1} - x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n)+1, n} & \text{if } j_i = \overline{n}, \\ x_{k-i+1, n-2} - x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n-1)+1, n-1} - x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n)+1, n} & \text{if } j_i = \overline{n-1}, \\ x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|, |j_i|-1} - x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|, |j_i|} & \text{if } j_i \geq \overline{n-2}. \end{cases} \quad (5.27)$$

If $j_i = \overline{n+1}$ then $i = 1$ by the definition of $\text{Tab}_{D, \iota, t}$ ($t = n-1, n$). Combining with $k > 1$, we have

$$-P(n-2) + k - i + P(n) \geq 1. \quad (5.28)$$

In the case $j_i = \overline{n}$, it follows from the conditions of $\text{Tab}_{D, \iota, t}$ (Definition 4.1) that $i < k$. Hence,

$$-P(n-2) + k - i + P(n-1) \geq 1, \quad -P(n-2) + k - i + P(n) + 1 \geq 2. \quad (5.29)$$

For $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i = \overline{n-1}$, if $i = k$ then by the conditions of $\text{Tab}_{D,\iota,t}$, it holds $T = \lambda^{(t)}$, which contradicts our assumption. Thus, it holds $i < k$ and

$$k - i + 1 \geq 1, \quad -P(n-2) + k - i + P(n-1) + 1 \geq 2, \quad -P(n-2) + k - i + P(n) + 1 \geq 2. \quad (5.30)$$

We also get if $j_i \geq \overline{n-2}$ then

$$-P(n-2) + k - i + P(|j_i| - 1) + n - |j_i| = 1 - P(n-2) + k - i + P(|j_i| - 1) + (n-2) - (|j_i| - 1) \geq 1 - P(n-2) + k - i + P(n-2) \geq 1,$$

$$-P(n-2) + k - i + P(|j_i|) + n - |j_i| = 2 - P(n-2) + k - i + P(|j_i|) + (n-2) - |j_i| \geq 2 - P(n-2) + k - i + P(n-2) \geq 2,$$

therefore,

$$-P(n-2) + k - i + P(|j_i| - 1) + n - |j_i| \geq 1, \quad -P(n-2) + k - i + P(|j_i|) + n - |j_i| \geq 2. \quad (5.31)$$

The inequalities (5.28)-(5.31) mean the left indices of

$$x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n),n}, \quad x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n-1),n-1}, \quad x_{k-i+1,n-2}, \quad x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|,|j_i|-1}$$

in (5.27) are positive, and the left indices of

$$x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n)+1,n}, \quad x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n-1)+1,n-1}, \quad x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n)+1,n}, \quad x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|,|j_i|}$$

in (5.27) are greater than or equal to 2. By a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 4.3 (iii) in [5], we can prove our claim (iii). \square

6 Proof of Theorem 4.2, 4.6

In this section, we prove our main result Theorem 4.2. For $k \in I$, we set

$$\Xi_{\iota,k}[\lambda] := \{\widehat{S}_{j_t} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_1} \lambda^{(k)} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \dots, j_t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}.$$

Note that the definition (2.11) means

$$\Xi_{\iota}[\lambda] = \{\widehat{S}_{j_l} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_1} x_{j_0} \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_0, \dots, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \cup \bigcup_{k \in I} \Xi_{\iota,k}[\lambda].$$

In [5], we shown that $\{S_{j_l} \cdots S_{j_1} x_{j_0} \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_0, \dots, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} = \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}$ and ι satisfies the positivity condition (Theorem 3.6, 3.7). By the definitions of S, \widehat{S} ((2.4),(2.9)), it holds $\{\widehat{S}_{j_l} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_1} x_{j_0} \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_0, \dots, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} = \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}$. Thus, we need to prove $\Xi_{\iota,k}[\lambda] = \text{Tab}_{X,\iota,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$. In what follows, we consider the conditions (1), (2) in (4.1).

6.1 Proof of Theorem 4.2 for type A-case

In the case both (1) and (2) in (4.1) do not hold, by (2.10), we have $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$. It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j} \lambda^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, k), \\ \lambda^{(k)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which yields $\Xi_{\iota,k}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(k)}\}$.

Next, let us consider the case only (1) holds, which means $p_{k+1,k} = 1$. Note that $P(k+1) = p_{k+1,k} + P(k) = 1 + P(k)$. Taking (2.10) and Definition 3.2 (i) into account, we obtain $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k+1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \boxed{k+1}_{1-P(k+1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$. By Proposition 5.1, it holds

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j}\lambda^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (l,j) = (1,k), \\ \boxed{k+2}_{1-P(k+1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l,j) = (1,k+1), \\ \lambda^{(k)} = \boxed{k+1}_{1-P(k+1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that, for $t \in [k+2, n+1]$, it holds $\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^A = x_{1-P(k+1)+P(t),t} - x_{2-P(k+1)+P(t-1),t-1}$ and by (3.3),

$$1 - P(k+1) + P(t) \geq 1 - P(k+1) + P(k+2) \geq 1, \quad 2 - P(k+1) + P(t-1) \geq 2 - P(k+1) + P(k+1) = 2. \quad (6.1)$$

By Proposition 5.1, we get

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j}\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^A = \begin{cases} \boxed{t+1}_{1-P(k+1)}^A & \text{if } (l,j) = (1 - P(k+1) + P(t), t), \\ \boxed{t-1}_{1-P(k+1)}^A & \text{if } (l,j) = (2 - P(k+1) + P(t-1), t-1), \\ \boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^A & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which yields $\Xi_{l,k}[\lambda] = \{0\} \cup \{\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid k+1 \leq t \leq n+1\}$.

Next, we consider the case only (2) holds, which means $p_{k+1,k} = 0, p_{k,k-1} = 0$. In this setting, by Definition 4.1,

$$\text{Tab}_{A,t,k}[\lambda] = \{[j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, k+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{k-1} \leq k\}.$$

By (2.10), Definition 3.2 (i) and Definition 3.4 (i), it holds $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k-1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = [1, 2, \dots, k-1]_{1-P(k-1)}^A - x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = [1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$. Thus, it holds $\lambda^{(k)} \in \text{Tab}_{A,t,k}[\lambda]$. Considering Proposition 5.1, we can verify that

$$\widehat{S}_{r,j}\lambda^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (r,j) = (1,k), \\ [1, \dots, k-2, k, k+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (r,j) = (1,k-1), \\ \lambda^{(k)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which means $\widehat{S}_{r,j}\lambda^{(k)} \in \text{Tab}_{A,t,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ for any (r,j) . Note that each element $T = [j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, k+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{A,t,k}[\lambda]$ other than $\lambda^{(k)}$ can be written as $T = [1, \dots, l-1, l+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ with some $l \in [1, k-1]$, which implies $j_{l-1} = l-1, j_l = l+1$. Putting $m := (-P(k-1) - n + k) + n - (l-1) + P(l-1)$, $m' := (-P(k-1) - n + k) + n - l + 1 + P(l)$ we obtain

$$m = -P(k-1) + 1 + (k-1) - (l-1) + P(l-1) \geq -P(k-1) + 1 + P(k-1) = 1,$$

$$m' = -P(k-1) + (k-1) - l + 2 + P(l) \geq -P(k-1) + 2 + P(k-1) = 2,$$

where we use (3.4) in the above inequalities. Thus, using Proposition 5.1,

$$\widehat{S}_{r,j}[1, \dots, l-1, l+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A = \begin{cases} [1, \dots, l-2, l, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A & \text{if } (r,j) = (m, l-1), \\ [1, \dots, l, l+2, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A & \text{if } (r,j) = (m', l), \\ [1, \dots, l-1, l+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which yields $\widehat{S}_{r,j}[1, \dots, l-1, l+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ for any (r, j) . Thus, we get $\Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda] = \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$.

Finally, let us turn to the case both (1) and (2) hold, which means $p_{k+1,k} = 1$, $p_{k,k-1} = 0$, $P(k+1) = 1 + P(k)$ and $P(k) = P(k-1)$. First, we prove $\Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda] \subset \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$. We see that $\lambda^{(k)} = x_{1,k-1} + x_{1,k+1} - x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = [1, 2, \dots, k-1]_{1-P(k-1)}^A + \boxed{k+1}_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = [1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] = \{[j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n+1, j_k > k\}$. Considering Proposition 5.1, it holds

$$\widehat{S}_{m,j}\lambda^{(k)} = \begin{cases} [1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+2]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (m, j) = (1, k+1), \\ [1, 2, \dots, k-2, k, k+1]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (m, j) = (1, k-1), \\ 0 & \text{if } (m, j) = (1, k), \\ \lambda^{(k)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

According to Proposition 5.1, for each $T = [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda]$, except for the following case, we have $\widehat{S}_{m,j}T \in \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$:

$$j_i = j+1, j_{i-1} \neq j, m = -P(k-1) + k - i + 1 + P(j) = 1 \text{ for some } i \in [1, k]. \quad (6.2)$$

In the case $i \in [1, k-1]$, the condition (6.2) does not hold. To prove it, we assume (6.2) holds and deduce a contradiction from this assumption. If $j_i = i$ then the condition $j_1 < \dots < j_k$ of $\text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda]$ means $j_1 = 1, j_2 = 2, \dots, j_{i-1} = i-1 = j$, which contradicts $j_{i-1} \neq j$ in (6.2) and if $j_i > i$ then

$$\begin{aligned} m = -P(k-1) + k - i + 1 + P(j) &= -P(k-1) + k - i + 1 + P(j_i - 1) \\ &\geq -P(k-1) + k - i + 1 + P(i) \\ &= -P(k-1) + (k-1) - i + 2 + P(i) \\ &\geq -P(k-1) + 2 + P(k-1) = 2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

which contradicts $m = 1$ in (6.2), where the above two inequalities follow from (3.3), (3.4). Thus, we proved in the case $i \in [1, k-1]$, the condition (6.2) does not hold. In the case $i = k$, if $(j_i =)j_k > k+1$ then (6.2) does not hold. To prove it, we assume (6.2) holds. In conjunction with $j_i > k+1$, the condition $j_i = j+1$ means $j > k$, which yields that

$$m = -P(k-1) + k - k + 1 + P(j) = -P(k-1) + 1 + P(j) \geq -P(k-1) + 1 + P(k+1) = 2, \quad (6.4)$$

which contradicts $m = 1$ in (6.2). Hence, if $(j_i =)j_k > k+1$ then (6.2) does not hold. Only in the case $j_i = j_k = k+1$ and $j_{k-1} = k-1, j_{k-2} = k-2, \dots, j_1 = 1$, the condition (6.2) holds, which implies $T = [1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \lambda^{(k)}$. By the above argument, we see that $\text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ is closed under the action of $\widehat{S}_{m,j}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, j \in I$). Since we know $\lambda^{(k)} \in \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$, one obtain $\Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda] \subset \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$.

Next, we show $\text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\} \subset \Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda]$. For each $T = [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda]$, we show $T \in \Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda]$ using induction on the value $j_1 + \dots + j_k$. By $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n+1$ and $j_k > k$, the minimal value of $j_1 + \dots + j_k$ is $1+2+\dots+(k-1)+(k+1)$. In this case, we can easily check that $j_1 = 1, j_2 = 2, \dots, j_{k-1} = k-1, j_k = k+1$ and $T = [1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \lambda^{(k)} \in \Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda]$.

Next, we assume $j_1 + \dots + j_k > 1+2+\dots+(k-1)+(k+1)$. Either the following (i) or (ii) holds:
(i) $j_i > j_{i-1} + 1$ for some $i \in [1, k-1]$ (we set $j_0 = 0$),
(ii) $j_l = l$ for $l \in [1, k-1]$ and $j_k > k+1$.

If (i) holds then $j_i - 1 > j_{i-1} \geq i - 1$ so that $j_i - 1 \geq i$. Putting $m := -P(k-1) + k - i + P(j_i - 1)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} m = -P(k-1) + k - i + P(j_i - 1) &\geq -P(k-1) + k - i + P(i) = \\ &-P(k-1) + 1 + (k-1) - i + P(i) \geq -P(k-1) + 1 + P(k-1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows by Proposition 5.1 that

$$\widehat{S}_{m, j_i - 1}[j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_i - 1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A = [j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_i, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A. \quad (6.5)$$

Since $j_i > j_{i-1} + 1$, we obtain $[j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_i - 1, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{A, t, k}[\lambda]$. By the induction assumption, we get $[j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_i - 1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \Xi_{\ell, k}[\lambda]$, which implies $[j_1, \dots, j_i - 1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \widehat{S}_{l_p} \dots \widehat{S}_{l_2} \widehat{S}_{l_1} \lambda^{(k)}$ with some $l_1, \dots, l_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. In conjunction with (6.5), we obtain $[j_1, \dots, j_i, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \Xi_{\ell, k}[\lambda]$.

If (ii) holds then putting $m := -P(k-1) + P(j_k - 1)$, we obtain $m = -P(k-1) + P(j_k - 1) \geq -P(k-1) + P(k+1) = 1$. Using Proposition 5.1, one obtain

$$\widehat{S}_{m, j_k - 1}[j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_k - 1]_{-P(k-1)}^A = [j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A. \quad (6.6)$$

By the induction assumption, we see $[j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, j_k - 1]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \Xi_{\ell, k}[\lambda]$ and (6.6) yields $[j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \Xi_{\ell, k}[\lambda]$. Therefore, the inclusion $\text{Tab}_{A, t, k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\} \subset \Xi_{\ell, k}[\lambda]$ follows. \square

6.2 Type B-case

Case 1 : $k < n$.

First, we suppose $k < n$.

Case 1-1 : the case both (1) and (2) do not hold

In this case, using (2.10), we have $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1, k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ and $\Xi_{\ell, k}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(k)}\}$ by a similar way to the type A-case.

Case 1-2 : the case only (1) holds

In this case, we have $p_{k+1, k} = 1$. Thus, it holds $P(k+1) = p_{k+1, k} + P(k) = 1 + P(k)$. The Definition 3.2 (ii) and (2.10) say $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1, k} + x_{1, k+1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \boxed{k+1}_{1-P(k+1)}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$. We obtain

$$\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^B = \begin{cases} x_{1-P(k+1)+P(t), t} - x_{-P(k+1)+P(t-1)+2, t-1} & \text{if } t \leq n, \\ x_{-P(k+1)+P(|t|-1)+n-|t|+2, |t|-1} - x_{-P(k+1)+P(|t|)+n-|t|+2, |t|} & \text{if } t \geq \bar{n}. \end{cases}$$

If $t \in [k+1, n]$ then it is easy to see

$$1 - P(k+1) + P(t) \geq 1, \quad (6.7)$$

and if $t \in [k+2, n]$ then


$$-P(k+1) + P(t-1) + 2 \geq 2. \quad (6.8)$$

If $t \geq \bar{n}$ then

$$-P(k+1) + P(|t|-1) + n - |t| + 2 = 1 - P(k+1) + P(|t|-1) + n - (|t|-1) \geq 1 - P(k+1) + P(n) \geq 1, \quad (6.9)$$

$$-P(k+1) + P(|t|) + n - |t| + 2 \geq 2 - P(k+1) + P(n) \geq 2. \quad (6.10)$$

Putting $s := 1 - P(k+1)$, $\widehat{S}(j) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j),j}$, $\widehat{S}'(j) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j)+1,j}$ for $j \in [k+1, n]$ and $\widehat{S}(\bar{j}) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j)+n-j,j}$, $\widehat{S}'(\bar{j}) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j)+n-j+1,j}$ for $j \in [1, n-1]$, by Lemma 3.3, we obtain the following diagram of actions of \widehat{S} :



Other actions of \widehat{S} are trivial. Therefore, it holds $\Xi_{t,k}[\lambda] = \{0\} \cup \{\boxed{t}_{-P(k+1)}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | k+1 \leq t \leq \bar{1}\}$.

Case 1-3 : the case only (2) holds

In this case, we obtain $p_{k,k-1} = 0$ so that $P(k) = p_{k,k-1} + P(k-1) = P(k-1)$. We see that $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k-1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \boxed{k}_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ by Definition 3.2 (ii). For $t \in [1, k]$,

$$\boxed{t}_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^B = x_{-P(k-1)+k+P(t-1)-t+1,t-1} - x_{-P(k-1)+k+P(t)-t+1,t}$$


and

$$-P(k-1) + k + P(t-1) - t + 1 \geq 1. \quad (6.11)$$

If $t \leq k-1$ then

$$-P(k-1) + k + P(t) - t + 1 = -P(k-1) + P(t) + (k-1) - t + 2 \geq -P(k-1) + P(k-1) + 2 = 2. \quad (6.12)$$

By Lemma 3.3, putting $s := -P(k-1) - n + k$ and $\widehat{S}(\bar{j}) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j)+n-j,j}$, $\widehat{S}'(\bar{j}) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j)+n-j+1,j}$ for $j \in [1, k-1]$, we get the following diagram of actions of \widehat{S} :



Thus, we get $\Xi_{t,k}[\lambda] = \{0\} \cup \{\boxed{t}_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | \bar{k} \leq t \leq \bar{1}\}$.

Case 1-4: the case both (1) and (2) hold

In this case, we obtain $p_{k,k-1} = 0$, $p_{k+1,k} = 1$ so that $P(k+1) = 1 + P(k) = 1 + P(k-1)$ and $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k-1} + x_{1,k+1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = [1, \dots, k-1, k+1]_{-P(k-1)}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ by Definition 3.2 (ii), 3.4 (i). It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j} \lambda^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (l,j) = (1,k), \\ [1, \dots, k-2, k, k+1]_{-P(k-1)}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l,j) = (1,k-1), \\ [1, \dots, k-1, k+2]_{-P(k-1)}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l,j) = (1,k+1), \\ \lambda^{(k)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Combining with Proposition 5.2 (i), we see that $\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{B},\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ is closed under the action of $\widehat{S}_{\ell,j}$ for all $(\ell, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$. By $\lambda^{(k)} = [1, \dots, k-1, k+1]_{-P(k-1)}^{\mathbb{B}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{\mathbb{B},\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$, we obtain $\Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda] \subset \text{Tab}_{\mathbb{B},\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$.

We can prove the inclusion $\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{B},\ell,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\} \subset \Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda]$ by a similar way to the proof of Lemma 5.6 in [5].

Case 2 : $k = n$.

Next, let us turn to the case $k = n$. The condition (1) does not hold.

Case 2-1 : the case the condition (2) does not hold

We have $\lambda^{(n)} = -x_{1,n} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle$ and $\Xi_{\ell,n}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(n)}\}$ by a similar argument to Case 1-1.

Case 2-2 : the case the condition (2) holds

If the condition (2) holds then $p_{n,n-1} = 0$ so that $P(n) = P(n-1)$ and $\lambda^{(n)} = -x_{1,n} + 2x_{1,n-1} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = [1, 2, \dots, n-1, \overline{n}]_{-P(n-1)}^{\mathbb{B}} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle$ by Definition 3.2 (ii), 3.4 (i). By a direct calculation, we can verify

$$\widehat{S}_{\ell,j}\lambda^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (\ell, j) = (1, n), \\ [1, \dots, n-2, n, \overline{n-1}]_{-P(n-1)}^{\mathbb{B}} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (\ell, j) = (1, n-1), \\ \lambda^{(n)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In conjunction with Proposition 5.2 (ii), we see that $\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{B},\ell,n}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ is closed under the action of $\widehat{S}_{\ell,j}$ for all $(\ell, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$ and $\Xi_{\ell,n}[\lambda] \subset \text{Tab}_{\mathbb{B},\ell,n}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$.

We can also show the inclusion $\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{B},\ell,n}[\lambda] \cup \{0\} \subset \Xi_{\ell,n}[\lambda]$ by a similar way to the proof of Lemma 5.7 (i) in [5]. \square

6.3 Type C-case

Case 1 : $k < n$.

First, we suppose $k < n$.

Case 1-1 : the case both (1) and (2) do not hold

By (2.10), we have $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ and $\Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(k)}\}$ by a similar way to the type A, B-cases.

Case 1-2 : the case only (1) holds

In this case, we have $p_{k+1,k} = 1$ so that $P(k+1) = p_{k+1,k} + P(k) = 1 + P(k)$. The Definition 3.2 (iii) and (2.10) mean $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + (1 + \delta_{k+1,n})x_{1,k+1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \boxed{k+1}_{1-P(k+1)}^{\mathbb{C}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3 and a similar argument to type A, B, we obtain $\Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda] = \{0\} \cup \{\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^{\mathbb{C}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid k+1 \leq t \leq \overline{1}\}$.

Case 1-3 : the case only (2) holds

In this case, we have $p_{k,k-1} = 0$ so that $P(k) = P(k-1)$. Considering the Definition 3.2 (iii) and (2.10), we obtain $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k-1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \boxed{k}_{P(k-1)-n+k}^{\mathbb{C}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$. By a similar argument to Case 1-3 of the type B-case, we can verify $\Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda] = \{0\} \cup \{\boxed{t}_{P(k-1)-n+k}^{\mathbb{C}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid \overline{k} \leq t \leq \overline{1}\}$.

Case 1-4 : the case both (1), (2) hold

In this case, we have $p_{k+1,k} = 1$, $p_{k,k-1} = 0$ so that $P(k+1) = p_{k+1,k} + P(k) = 1 + P(k) = 1 + P(k-1)$. It holds $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + (1 + \delta_{k+1,n})x_{1,k+1} + x_{1,k-1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = [1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1]_{-P(k-1)}^C + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$. A similar argument to Case 1-4 of the type B-case shows $\text{Tab}_{B,\iota,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\} = \Xi_{\iota,k}[\lambda]$.

Case 2 : $k = n$.

Case 2-1 : the case the condition (2) does not hold

We have $\lambda^{(n)} = -x_{1,n} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle$ and $\Xi_{\iota,n}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(n)}\}$.

Case 2-2 : the case the condition (2) holds

In this case, we obtain $p_{n,n-1} = 0$ so that $P(n) = P(n-1)$ and $\lambda^{(n)} = -x_{1,n} + x_{1,n-1} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = [n+1, \bar{n}]_{-P(n-1)}^C + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle$. By Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j}\lambda^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n), \\ [n+1, \bar{n}-1]_{-P(n-1)}^C + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-1), \\ \lambda^{(n)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 5.2 (iii), we see that $\text{Tab}_{C,\iota,n}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ is closed under the action of $\widehat{S}_{l,j}$ for all $(l, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$, which yields $\Xi_{\iota,n}[\lambda] \subset \text{Tab}_{C,\iota,n}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$.

We can also get the inclusion $\text{Tab}_{C,\iota,n}[\lambda] \cup \{0\} \subset \Xi_{\iota,n}[\lambda]$ by a similar way to the proof of Lemma 5.7 (ii) in [5]. \square

6.4 Type D-case

Case 1 : $k < n-2$.

We can show $\Xi_{\iota,k}[\lambda] = \text{Tab}_{D,\iota,k}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ just as in Case 1 of the proofs of type B,C-cases.

Case 2 : $k = n-2$

Case 2-1: $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-3)}, \iota^{(n-1)}, \iota^{(n)}$

In this case, it is easy to check $\lambda^{(n-2)} = -x_{1,n-2} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle$ and $\Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(n-2)}\}$.

Case 2-2 : $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-3)}, \iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n)}$ and $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-1)}$

By $\lambda^{(n-2)} = -x_{1,n-2} + x_{1,n-1} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle$ and a direct calculation, it holds

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j}\lambda^{(n-2)} = \begin{cases} -x_{2,n-1} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-1), \\ 0 & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-2), \\ \lambda^{(n-2)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j}(-x_{2,n-1} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{(n-2)} & \text{if } (l, j) = (2, n-1), \\ -x_{2,n-1} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$


Therefore, it follows $\Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(n-2)}, -x_{2,n-1} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle\}$.

Case 2-3 : $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-3)}, \iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-1)}$ and $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n)}$

Just as in Case 2-2, we can show $\Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(n-2)}, -x_{2,n} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle\}$.

Case 2-4 : $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-1)}, \iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n)}$ and $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-3)}$


It holds $\lambda^{(n-2)} = -x_{1,n-2} + x_{1,n-3} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle = \overline{n-2}^D_{-1-P(n-3)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle$. By Lemma 3.3, putting $s := -1 - P(n-3)$ and $\widehat{S}(\bar{j}) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j)+n-j-1,j}$, $\widehat{S}'(\bar{j}) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j)+n-j,j}$ for $j \in [1, n-2]$, we get the following diagram of actions of \widehat{S} :



Other actions are trivial. Hence $\Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda] = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{t}^D_{-1-P(n-3)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle \mid \overline{n-2} \leq t \leq \overline{1}\}$.

Case 2-5 : $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-3)}$ and $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-1)}, \iota^{(n)}$

In this case, we obtain $p_{n-1,n-2} = p_{n,n-2} = 1$ and $P(n) = P(n-1) = 1 + P(n-2)$. We also get $\lambda^{(n-2)} = -x_{1,n-2} + x_{1,n-1} + x_{1,n} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle = \overline{n-1}^D_{-P(n-2)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle$. By Lemma 3.3, putting $s := -P(n-2)$ and $\widehat{S}(\bar{j}) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j)+n-j-1,j}$, $\widehat{S}'(\bar{j}) := \widehat{S}_{s+P(j)+n-j,j}$ for $j \in [1, n-1]$, one obtain the following diagram of actions of \widehat{S} :



Hence, it holds $\Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda] = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{t}^D_{-P(n-2)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle \mid n-1 \leq t \leq \overline{1}\}$.

Case 2-6 : $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n)}$ and $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-3)}, \iota^{(n-1)}$

In this setting, we get $p_{n-2,n-3} = 0$, $p_{n-1,n-2} = 1$, $p_{n,n-2} = 0$ so that $P(n-2) = P(n-3)$, $P(n-1) = P(n-2) + 1$ and $P(n) = P(n-2)$ by (3.2). Thus, one obtain $\lambda^{(n-2)} = -x_{1,n-2} + x_{1,n-3} + x_{1,n-1} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle = \overline{n+1, \bar{n}, n-2}^D_{-1-P(n-2)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{D,\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$. Using Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\widehat{S}_{\iota,j}\lambda^{(n-2)} = \begin{cases} \overline{n+1, \bar{n}, n-3}^D_{-1-P(n-2)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-3), \\ \overline{n+1, \bar{n}-1, n-2}^D_{-1-P(n-2)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-1), \\ 0 & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-2), \\ \lambda^{(n-1)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Definition 4.1, each $T = \overline{n+1, j_2, \dots, j_k}^D_{-1-P(n-2)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{D,\iota,n-2}[\lambda]$ satisfies $3 \leq k \leq n+1$, k is odd, $\bar{n} \leq j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq \overline{1}$ and if $k = 3$ then $j_3 \geq \overline{n-2}$. It holds

$$T = \overline{n+1}^D_{-P(n-2)+k-2} + \sum_{i=2}^k \overline{j_i}^D_{-1-P(n-2)+k-i} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle.$$

Recall that

$$\overline{n+1}^D_{-P(n-2)+k-2} = x_{-P(n-2)+k-2+P(n),n}, \quad (6.13)$$

$$\boxed{j_i}^{\text{D}}_{-1-P(n-2)+k-i} = \begin{cases} x_{-1-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n-1),n-1} - x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n),n} & \text{if } j_i = \bar{n}, \\ x_{k-i,n-2} - x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n-1),n-1} - x_{-P(n-2)+k-i+P(n),n} & \text{if } j_i = \overline{n-1}, \\ x_{-1-P(n-2)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|,|j_i|-1} - x_{-1-P(n-2)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|,|j_i|} & \text{if } j_i \geq \overline{n-2}. \end{cases} \quad (6.14)$$

It follows by $k \geq 3$ that

$$-P(n-2) + k - 2 + P(n) = k - 2 \geq 1. \quad (6.15)$$

By the conditions $k \geq 3$ and $\bar{n} \leq j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq \bar{1}$ in $\text{Tab}_{\text{D},\iota,n-2}[\lambda]$, if $j_i = \bar{n}$ then $i = 2 < k$, which yields

$$-1 - P(n-2) + k - i + P(n-1) = k - i \geq 1. \quad (6.16)$$

Similarly, by the conditions in $\text{Tab}_{\text{D},\iota,n-2}[\lambda]$, if $j_i = \overline{n-1}$ then $i < k$ and

$$k - i \geq 1. \quad (6.17)$$

If $j_i \geq \overline{n-2}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & -1 - P(n-2) + k - i + P(|j_i| - 1) + n - |j_i| \\ &= -P(n-2) + k - i + P(|j_i| - 1) + (n-3) - (|j_i| - 1) + 1 \geq -P(n-2) + P(n-3) + 1 = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

The inequalities (6.15)-(6.18) mean the left indices in (6.13), (6.14) are positive.

If $j_i = \bar{n}$ then $i = 2$ and $-x_{-P(n-2)+k-2+P(n),n}$ is cancelled in T by (6.13). If $j_i = \overline{n-1}$ then $i = 2$ or 3 . In the case $i = 2$, we see that $-x_{-P(n-2)+k-2+P(n),n}$ is cancelled in T and $-P(n-2) + k - 2 + P(n-1) = k - 1 \geq 2$. In the case $i = 3$, it holds $k \geq 5$ so that $-P(n-2) + k - 3 + P(n-1) = k - 2 \geq 2$ and $-P(n-2) + k - 3 + P(n) = k - 3 \geq 2$. We similarly see that if $j_i \geq \overline{n-2}$ and $T \neq \lambda^{(n-2)}$ then $-1 - P(n-2) + k - i + P(|j_i|) + n - |j_i| \geq 2$.

Just as in the proof of Proposition 4.3 (iii) in [5], we see that for each $T = [\overline{n+1}, j_2, \dots, j_k]_{-1-P(n-2)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{\text{D},\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(n-2)}\}$, $j \in I$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$\widehat{S}_{m,j}(T - \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle) = \begin{cases} [\overline{n+1}, j_2, \dots, j_{i-1}, \bar{j}, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-1-P(n-2)}^{\text{D}} & \text{if } j < n \text{ and for some } i \in [2, k], j_i = \overline{j+1}, j_{i+1} \neq \bar{j}, \\ & m = -P(n-2) + k - i + P(j) + n - j - 2, \\ [\overline{n+1}, j_2, \dots, j_{i'-1}, \overline{j+1}, j_{i'+1}, \dots, j_k]_{-1-P(n-2)}^{\text{D}} & \text{if } j < n \text{ and for some } i \in [2, k], j_i = \bar{j}, j_{i+1} \neq \overline{j+1}, \\ & m = -P(n-2) + k - i + P(j) + n - j - 1, \\ [\overline{n+1}, \bar{n}, \overline{n-1}, j_2, \dots, j_k]_{-1-P(n-2)}^{\text{D}} & \text{if } j = n, j_2 \neq \bar{n}, \overline{n-1} \text{ and } m = k - 2, \\ [\overline{n+1}, j_4, \dots, j_k]_{-1-P(n-2)}^{\text{D}} & \text{if } j = n, j_2 = \bar{n}, j_3 = \overline{n-1} \text{ and } m = k - 3, \\ T - \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can verify $\Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \subset \text{Tab}_{\text{D},\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$. By a similar way to the proof of Lemma 5.13 in [5], we can also verify $\text{Tab}_{\text{D},\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \cup \{0\} \subset \Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda]$.

Case 2-7 : $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-1)}$ and $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-3)}, \iota^{(n)}$

In this setting, we get $p_{n-2,n-3} = 0$, $p_{n-1,n-2} = 0$, $p_{n,n-2} = 1$ so that $P(n-2) = P(n-3)$, $P(n-1) = P(n-2)$ and $P(n) = P(n-2) + 1$ by (3.2). Thus, $\lambda^{(n-2)} = -x_{1,n-2} + x_{1,n-3} + x_{1,n} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle = [\overline{n+1}, \overline{n-2}]_{-1-P(n-2)}^{\text{D}} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle$. By a similar way to Case 2-6, we can verify $\Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda] = \text{Tab}_{\text{D},\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$.

Case 2-8 : $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-3)}, \iota^{(n-1)}, \iota^{(n)}$

It holds $p_{n-2,n-3} = 0$, $p_{n-1,n-2} = p_{n,n-2} = 1$ so that $P(n-2) = P(n-3)$, $P(n) = P(n-1) = P(n-2) + 1$ and $\lambda^{(n-2)} = -x_{1,n-2} + x_{1,n-3} + x_{1,n-1} + x_{1,n} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle = [1, 2, \dots, n-3, n-1]_{-P(n-3)}^D + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle$. The following is a consequence of Lemma 3.3:

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j}(\lambda^{(n-2)}) = \begin{cases} [1, 2, \dots, n-4, n-2, n-1]_{-P(n-3)}^D + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-3), \\ [1, 2, \dots, n-3, n]_{-P(n-3)}^D + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-1), \\ [1, 2, \dots, n-3, \overline{n}]_{-P(n-3)}^D + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n), \\ 0 & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-2), \\ \lambda^{(n-2)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Considering Proposition 5.3 (i), (ii), we see that $\text{Tab}_{D,\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ is closed under the action of $\widehat{S}_{l,j}$ for all $(l, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$, which yields $\Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \subset \text{Tab}_{D,\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$. The inclusion $\text{Tab}_{D,\iota,n-2}[\lambda] \cup \{0\} \subset \Xi_{\iota,n-2}[\lambda]$ follows from a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 5.12 in [5].

Case 3 : $k = n - 1$

Case 3-1 : $\iota^{(n-1)} < \iota^{(n-2)}$

In this case, we can easily check $\lambda^{(n-1)} = -x_{1,n-1} + \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle$ and $\Xi_{\iota,n-1}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(n-1)}\}$.

Case 3-2 : $\iota^{(n-1)} > \iota^{(n-2)}$

We get $\lambda^{(n-1)} = -x_{1,n-1} + x_{1,n-2} + \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle = [\overline{n+1}, \overline{n-1}]_{-P(n-2)}^D + \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle$. By Lemma 3.3, we see that

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j}\lambda^{(n-1)} = \begin{cases} [\overline{n+1}, \overline{n-2}]_{-P(n-2)}^D + \langle h_{n-1}, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-2), \\ 0 & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-1), \\ \lambda^{(n-1)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Combining with Proposition 5.3 (iii), we also see that $\text{Tab}_{D,\iota,n-1}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ is closed under the action of $\widehat{S}_{l,j}$ for all $(l, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$, which means $\Xi_{\iota,n-1}[\lambda] \subset \text{Tab}_{D,\iota,n-1}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$. The inclusion $\text{Tab}_{D,\iota,n-1}[\lambda] \cup \{0\} \subset \Xi_{\iota,n-1}[\lambda]$ can be proved just as in the proof of Lemma 5.13 (i) in [5].

Case 4 : $k = n$

Case 4-1 : $\iota^{(n)} < \iota^{(n-2)}$

In this case, we obtain $\lambda^{(n)} = -x_{1,n} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle$ and $\Xi_{\iota,n}[\lambda] = \{0, \lambda^{(n)}\}$.

Case 4-2 : $\iota^{(n)} > \iota^{(n-2)}$

It holds $\lambda^{(n)} = -x_{1,n} + x_{1,n-2} + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle = [\overline{n+1}, \overline{n}, \overline{n-1}]_{-P(n-2)}^D + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle$ and

$$\widehat{S}_{l,j}\lambda^{(n)} = \begin{cases} [\overline{n+1}, \overline{n}, \overline{n-2}]_{-P(n-2)}^D + \langle h_n, \lambda \rangle & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n-2), \\ 0 & \text{if } (l, j) = (1, n), \\ \lambda^{(n)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Just as in Case 3-2, our claim $\Xi_{\iota,n}[\lambda] = \text{Tab}_{D,\iota,n}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ follows. \square

Hence, we obtain $\Xi_{\iota}[\lambda] = \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}[\lambda] \cup \text{Tab}_{X,\iota}$. It is easy to verify that for any $\lambda \in P_+$, the pair (ι, λ) satisfies the ample condition by the explicit forms of $\text{Tab}_{X,\iota}$, $\text{Tab}_{X,\iota}[\lambda]$ in Definition 3.4, 4.1. Consequently, the proof of Theorem 4.2 is completed.

6.5 Proof of Theorem 4.6

We proved in [5] that for $\varphi = \sum_i \varphi_i x_i \in \Xi_l^{(\infty)}$, if $i^{(-)} = 0$ then $\varphi_i \geq 0$. Fixing $k \in I$, we show for $\varphi = \sum_i \varphi_i x_i \in \text{Tab}_{X,\ell,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$,

$$\text{if } i^{(-)} = 0 \text{ then } \varphi_i \geq 0, \quad (6.19)$$

where for a set S of linear functions, the set $S - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ is defined as $S - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \{f - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | f \in S\}$. In the previous subsections, we proved that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tab}_{X,\ell,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle &= \Xi_{\ell,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}, 0\} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \\ &= \{\widehat{S}_{j_1} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_l} \lambda^{(k)} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, j_1, \dots, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \setminus \{\xi^{(k)}, -\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda \in P_+$. Hence, if the condition (6.19) holds for all $k \in I$ then by the definitions of S_j, \widehat{S}_j ((2.4),(2.9)), it follows

$$\text{Tab}_{X,\ell,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \{S_{j_1} \cdots S_{j_l} \xi^{(k)} \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \dots, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \setminus \{\xi^{(k)}\} = \Xi_l^{(k)} \setminus \{\xi^{(k)}\},$$

and strict positivity condition holds. In particular, we obtain $\text{Tab}_{X,\ell,k}[0] = \Xi_l^{(k)}$. Therefore, all we need to prove is the condition (6.19). Let us recall the conditions (1), (2) in (4.1).

Type A-case

Case 1 : both (1) and (2) do not hold

In this case, by $\text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\} = \phi$, our claim (6.19) is clear.

Case 2 : (1) holds and (2) does not hold

In this case, it follows from $\lambda^{(k)} = \boxed{k+1}_{1-P(k+1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ and Definition 4.1 that $\text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\} = \{\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \mid k+1 < t \leq n+1\}$. It is easy to see that $\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^A = x_{1-P(k+1)+P(t), t} - x_{2-P(k+1)+P(t-1), t-1}$ and $2 - P(k+1) + P(t-1) \geq 2$, which means (6.19).

Case 3 : (2) holds and (1) does not hold

In 6.1, we have seen that each element $T = [j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}, k+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\}$ can be written as $T = [1, \dots, l-1, l+1, \dots, n+1]_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ with some $l \in [1, k-1]$. We see that $T = x_{-P(k-1)-l+k+1+P(l-1), l-1} - x_{-P(k-1)-l+k+P(l)+1, l}$ and

$$-P(k-1) - l + k + P(l) + 1 = -P(k-1) + (k-1) - l + 2 + P(l) \geq -P(k-1) + 2 + P(k-1) = 2,$$

which implies (6.19).

Case 4 : both (1) and (2) hold

For each $T = [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^A + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\}$, it follows

$$T - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \boxed{j_i}_{-P(k-1)+k-i}^A = \sum_{i=1}^k (x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i), j_i} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+1+P(j_i-1), j_i-1}).$$

For $i \in [1, k-1]$ such that $j_i = i$, the condition $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i$ in $\text{Tab}_{A,\ell,k}[\lambda]$ implies $j_1 = 1, j_2 = 2, \dots, j_{i-1} = i-1$. In particular, it holds $j_i = j_{i-1} + 1$ and we have seen in (5.2) that $x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+1+P(j_i-1), j_i-1}$ is cancelled in T . For $i \in [1, k-1]$ such that $j_i > i$, we can show $-P(k-1) + k - i + 1 + P(j_i - 1) \geq 2$ just as in (6.3).

For $i = k$, if $j_k = j_{k-1} + 1$ then $x_{-P(k-1)+k-k+1+P(j_k-1),j_k-1}$ is cancelled in T . If $j_k > j_{k-1} + 1$ then we see that $j_k > k + 1$ by $T \neq \lambda^{(k)}$, which yields

$$-P(k-1) + k - k + 1 + P(j_k - 1) = -P(k-1) + 1 + P(j_k - 1) \geq -P(k-1) + 1 + P(k+1) = 2.$$

Therefore, the condition (6.19) holds.

Type B-case

We fix $k \in [1, n]$.

Case 1 : both (1) and (2) do not hold

By $\text{Tab}_{B,\iota,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\} = \phi$, our claim (6.19) is clear.

Case 2 : (1) holds and (2) does not hold

In this setting, it holds $p_{k+1,k} = 1$ so that $P(k+1) = P(k) + 1$. By Definition 4.1, we have $\text{Tab}_{B,\iota,k}[\lambda] = \{\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | k+1 \leq t \leq \bar{1}\}$. By Definition 3.2 (ii), we see that $\boxed{k+1}_{1-P(k+1)}^B = x_{1,k+1} - x_{1,k} = \lambda^{(k)} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$. Hence,

$$\text{Tab}_{B,\iota,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\} = \{\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | k+1 < t \leq \bar{1}\}.$$

We also see that for t ($k+1 < t \leq \bar{1}$),

$$\boxed{t}_{1-P(k+1)}^B = \begin{cases} x_{1-P(k+1)+P(t),t} - x_{-P(k+1)+P(t-1)+2,t-1} & \text{if } t \leq n, \\ x_{-P(k+1)+P(|t|-1)+n-|t|+2,|t|-1} - x_{-P(k+1)+P(|t|)+n-|t|+2,|t|} & \text{if } t \geq \bar{n}, \end{cases}$$

and if $t \leq n$ then

$$-P(k+1) + P(t-1) + 2 \geq 2,$$

if $t \geq \bar{n}$ then

$$-P(k+1) + P(|t|) + n - |t| + 2 \geq -P(k+1) + P(n) + 2 \geq 2.$$

Hence, the condition (6.19) holds.

Case 3 : (2) holds and (1) does not hold

In this case, we have $p_{k,k-1} = 0$ so that $P(k) = P(k-1)$ and

$$\text{Tab}_{B,\iota,k}[\lambda] = \{\boxed{t}_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | \bar{k} \leq t \leq \bar{1}\}.$$

By Definition 3.2 (ii), we see that $\boxed{\bar{k}}_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^B = x_{1,k-1} - x_{1,k} = \lambda^{(k)} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$. Thus,

$$\text{Tab}_{B,\iota,k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\} = \{\boxed{t}_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | \bar{k} < t \leq \bar{1}\}.$$

For t ($\bar{k} < t \leq \bar{1}$), we have

$$\boxed{t}_{-P(k-1)-n+k}^B = x_{-P(k-1)+P(|t|-1)+k-|t|+1,|t|-1} - x_{-P(k-1)+P(|t|)+k-|t|+1,|t|}$$

and $-P(k-1) + P(|t|) + k - |t| + 1 = -P(k-1) + P(|t|) + (k-1) - |t| + 2 \geq -P(k-1) + P(k-1) + 2 = 2$. So the condition (6.19) holds.

Case 4 : both (1) and (2) hold

For $T = [j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^B + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \text{Tab}_{B, \iota, k} \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\}$, we have $[j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^B = \sum_{i=1}^k \boxed{j_i}_{-P(k-1)+k-i}^B$ and Definition 3.2 says

$$\boxed{j_i}_{-P(k-1)+k-i}^B = \begin{cases} x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i), j_i} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i-1)+1, j_i-1} & \text{if } j_i \leq n, \\ x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|-1)+n-|j_i|+1, |j_i|-1} - x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(|j_i|)+n-|j_i|+1, |j_i|} & \text{if } j_i \geq \bar{n}. \end{cases}$$

For $i \in [1, k]$ such that $j_i \leq n$, if $j_i = j_{i-1} + 1$ ($j_0 := 0$) then the summand $x_{-P(k-1)+k-i+P(j_i-1)+1, j_i-1}$ in $[j_1, \dots, j_k]_{-P(k-1)}^B$ is cancelled by a similar argument to (5.2). The inequalities (5.6), (5.7) and (5.9) in the proof of Proposition 5.2 mean that the condition (6.19) holds.

Type C-case

We can check the condition (6.19) for $k \in [1, n-1]$ just as in Type B-case. Hence, let us check the condition for $k = n$. If $\iota^{(n)} < \iota^{(n-1)}$ then $\text{Tab}_{C, \iota, n} \setminus \{\lambda^{(n)}\} = \emptyset$ and (6.19) is clear. Thus, we suppose $\iota^{(n)} > \iota^{(n-1)}$. In this setting, by the argument (in particular (5.13), (5.14)) in the proof of Proposition 5.2 (iii), we see that the condition (6.19) holds.

Type D-case

For $k \in [1, n-3]$, the condition (6.19) holds by the inequalities (5.21), (5.22), (5.23), (5.24) and a similar argument to Type B-case.

Next, we suppose $k = n-2$. In the following three cases, the condition (6.19) clearly holds by Definition 4.1:

- $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-3)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-1)}$ and $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n)}$,
- $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-3)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-1)}$ and $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n)}$,
- $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-3)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-1)}$ and $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n)}$.

In the following two cases, the condition (6.19) follows from Definition 4.1 and a similar argument to Case 2, 3 of type B:

- $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-3)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-1)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n)}$,
- $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-3)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-1)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n)}$.

In the cases

- $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-3)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-1)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n)}$,
- $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-3)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} < \iota^{(n-1)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n)}$,

by Definition 4.1, each element in $\text{Tab}_{D, \iota, n-2}[\lambda]$ is written as $[\overline{n+1}, j_2, \dots, j_s]_{-1-P(n-2)} + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle$ with some positive integer s and $j_2, \dots, j_s \in \mathcal{J}_D$. Considering the explicit forms of boxes (6.13), (6.14) and the argument after (6.18) in Case 2.6, we see that the condition (6.19) holds.

In the case

- $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-3)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n-1)}$, $\iota^{(n-2)} > \iota^{(n)}$,

by Definition 4.1, each element T in $\text{Tab}_{D, \iota, n-2}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(n-2)}\}$ is written as $T = [j_1, \dots, j_{n-2}]_{-P(n-3)}^D + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \boxed{j_i}_{-P(n-3)+n-2-i}^D + \langle h_{n-2}, \lambda \rangle$. It follows from the explicit form (5.16) of the boxes and the inequalities (5.21)-(5.24) for $k = n-2$ in the proof of Proposition 5.3 (i), (ii) that the condition (6.19) holds.

Finally, we suppose $k = n - 1$ or n . In the case $\iota^{(k)} < \iota^{(n-2)}$, the condition (6.19) clearly holds by Definition 4.1. Thus, we consider the case $\iota^{(k)} > \iota^{(n-2)}$. Each element T in $\text{Tab}_{\mathbb{D}, \iota, k}[\lambda] \setminus \{\lambda^{(k)}\}$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} T - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle &= [\overline{n+1}, j_2, \dots, j_s]_{-P(n-2)}^{\mathbb{D}} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \\ &= [\overline{n+1}]_{-P(n-2)+s-1}^{\mathbb{D}} + \sum_{i=2}^s [j_i]_{-P(n-2)+s-i}^{\mathbb{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

with some integer s and $j_2, \dots, j_s \in \{\overline{n}, \dots, \overline{1}\}$. Replacing k with s in the definition (5.27) of the boxes and the inequalities (5.29)-(5.31), we see that the condition (6.19) holds. \square

References

- [1] A.Berenstein, A.Zelevinsky, Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties, *Invent. Math.* 143, no. 1, 77–128 (2001).
- [2] N.Fujita, S.Naito, Newton-Okounkov convex bodies of Schubert varieties and polyhedral realizations of crystal bases, *Math. Z.* 285, no. 1-2, 325–352 (2017).
- [3] A.Hoshino, Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for quantum algebras of finite types, *J. Math. Phys.* 46, no. 11, 113514, 31 pp, (2005).
- [4] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, xxii+400 pp, (1990).
- [5] Y.Kanakubo, T.Nakashima, Adapted Sequence for Polyhedral Realization of Crystal Bases, arXiv:1904.10919, 1–37.
- [6] M.Kashiwara, Crystallizing the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 133, 249–260 (1990).
- [7] M.Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Duke Math. J.*, 63 (2), 465–516 (1991).
- [8] M.Kashiwara, The crystal base and Littelmann’s refined Demazure character formula, *Duke Math. J.*, 71, no 3, 839–858 (1993).
- [9] P.Littelmann, Cones, crystals, and patterns, *Transform. Groups* 3, no. 2, 145–179 (1998).
- [10] G.Lusztig, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3, no. 2, 447–498 (1990).
- [11] H. Nakajima, t -analogs of q -characters of quantum affine algebras of type A_n, D_n , *Combinatorial and geometric representation theory* (Seoul, 2001), *Contemp. Math.* 325, AMS, Providence, RI, 141–160 (2003).
- [12] W.Nakai, T.Nakanishi, Paths, tableaux and q -characters of quantum affine algebras: The C_n case, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 39, no. 9, 2083–2115, (2006).
- [13] T.Nakashima, Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for integrable highest weight modules, *J. Algebra*, vol.219, no. 2, 571–597, (1999).
- [14] T.Nakashima, Decorated geometric crystals, polyhedral and monomial realizations of crystal bases, *Contemp. Math.*, 602, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 143–163, (2013).

- [15] T.Nakashima, Decorations on Geometric Crystals and Monomial Realizations of Crystal Bases for Classical Groups, *J. Algebra*, vol.399, no. 1, 712–769, (2014).
- [16] T.Nakashima, A.Zelevinsky, Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for quantized Kac-Moody algebras, *Adv. Math.* **131**, no. 1, 253–278, (1997).