

CONSTRUCTIONS OF HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES OF DOUBLE RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS VIA FUNCTIONS

MINGHUI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In [19], Zheng studied the bounded derived categories of constructible $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -sheaves on some algebraic stacks consisting of the representations of an enlarged quiver and categorified the integrable highest weight modules of the corresponding quantum group by using these categories. In this paper, we shall generalize Zheng's work to highest weight modules of a subalgebra of the double Ringel-Hall algebra associated to a quiver in a functional version.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{U} be a quantum group and \mathbf{U}^- its negative part. In [7, 8], Lusztig gave a categorification of \mathbf{U}^- by using the additive category of perverse sheaves on the varieties $E_{\nu, Q}$ consisting of representations of the quiver Q corresponding to \mathbf{U} . The set of isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves gives the canonical basis of \mathbf{U}^- . Kashiwara gave a combinatorial construction of this basis (called global crystal basis) in [4].

Khovanov, Lauda ([5]) and Rouquier ([12]) introduced the KLR algebras respectively. The category of finitely generated projective modules of the corresponding KLR algebra gives a categorification of \mathbf{U}^- . Varagnolo, Vasserot ([16]) and Rouquier ([13]) proved that the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective modules of the KLR algebra can categorify the canonical basis.

Let $L(\omega)$ be an integrable highest weight module of \mathbf{U} with highest weight ω . The canonical basis of \mathbf{U}^- induces the canonical basis of $L(\omega)$. In [19], Zheng gave categorifications of $L(\omega)$ and its canonical basis by using the additive category of perverse sheaves on the varieties $E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}$ consisting of representations of the enlarged quiver \hat{Q} . In [3], Kang and Kashiwara studied the category of finitely generated projective modules of the cyclotomic KLR algebra and gave categorifications of $L(\omega)$ and its canonical basis.

Associated to the category of representations of a quiver Q over a finite field of q elements, Ringel ([11]) introduced the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra $H_q^*(Q)$ and its

Date: January 9, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16G20, 17B37.

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11701028, 11771445).

composition subalgebra. He also proved that $\mathbf{U}^-|_{v=\sqrt{q}}$ is isomorphic to the composition subalgebra of $H_q^*(Q)$. Green ([2]) introduced the comultiplication and Xiao ([17]) introduced the antipode on $H_q^*(Q)$. Under these operators, $H_q^*(Q)$ becomes a Hopf algebra. In [17], Xiao also introduced the double Ringel-Hall algebra $D_q(Q)$ of Q and showed that $\mathbf{U}|_{v=\sqrt{q}}$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $D_q(Q)$. The representation theory of $D_q(Q)$ is studied by Deng and Xiao in [1]. Double Ringel-Hall algebra is also studied by Sevenhant and Van den Bergh in [15].

Compared with quantum groups, it is important to give categorifications of the Ringel-Hall algebra $H_q^*(Q)$ and its highest weight modules. In [18], Xiao, Xu and Zhao generalized Lusztig's categorification constructions of a quantum group and its canonical basis to the generic form of the whole Ringel-Hall algebra.

Denoted by $\hat{D}_q(Q)$ the subalgebra of $D_q(Q)$ generated by u_i^+ , u_α^- and K_μ . In this paper, we shall generalize Zheng's work to highest weight modules of $\hat{D}_q(Q)$ in a functional version.

We shall introduce a space $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}}$ of functions and three maps $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+$, $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{\pm 1}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}}$. We will prove that the maps $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+$, $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{\pm 1}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}}$ satisfy the defining relations of u_i^+ , u_α^- and $K_{\pm i}$ in $\hat{D}_q(Q)$. Hence the space $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}}$ becomes a left $\hat{D}_q(Q)$ -module by defining

$$K_{\pm i}.f = \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{\pm 1}(f), u_i^+.f = \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+(f) \text{ and } u_\alpha^-.f = \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-(f).$$

The key of the proof is checking the following relation

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ - \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\beta}^- (v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} - v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{-1})$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ and $i \in I$.

In Section 2, we shall recall the definitions of double Ringel-Hall algebras and highest weight modules. In Section 3, we shall introduce the space $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}}$ and the maps $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-$ on $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}}$. The main theorem is also given in this section. The proof of the main theorem will be given in Section 4.

2. DOUBLE RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS

2.1. Ringel-Hall algebras. In this section, we shall recall the definition of Ringel-Hall algebras ([11]).

Let $Q = (I, H, s, t)$ be a quiver, where I is the set of vertices, H is the set of arrows and $s, t : H \rightarrow I$ are two maps sending an arrow $h \in H$ to the start $s(h)$ and terminal $t(h)$ respectively.

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements. A representation of the quiver Q over k is a pair (\mathbf{V}, x) , where

- (1) $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ is a finite dimensional I -graded vector space;
- (2) $x = (x_h)_{h \in H} \in \bigoplus_{h \in H} \text{Hom}_k(V_{s(h)}, V_{t(h)})$.

A morphism from (\mathbf{V}, x) to (\mathbf{V}', x') is an I -graded linear map $f = (f_i)_{i \in I} : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}'$ such that $f_{t(h)}x_h = x'_h f_{s(h)}$ for any $h \in H$. Denote by $\text{rep}_k Q$ the abelian category of representations of Q over k . For any representation $V = (\mathbf{V}, x) \in \text{rep}_k Q$, the dimension vector is defined as $\underline{\dim} V = \dim V_i i \in \mathbb{N}I$.

The Euler form on $\mathbb{Z}I$ is defined as

$$\langle \nu, \nu' \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i \nu'_i - \sum_{h \in H} \nu_{s(h)} \nu'_{t(h)}$$

and the symmetric Euler form is defined as

$$(\nu, \nu') = \langle \nu, \nu' \rangle + \langle \nu', \nu \rangle$$

for any $\nu = \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i i$ and $\nu' = \sum_{i \in I} \nu'_i i$. It is well known that

$$\langle \underline{\dim} V, \underline{\dim} V' \rangle = \dim_k \text{Hom}_{\text{rep}_k Q}(V, V') - \dim_k \text{Ext}_{\text{rep}_k Q}(V, V'),$$

for two representations $V = (\mathbf{V}, x)$ and $V' = (\mathbf{V}', x')$ in $\text{rep}_k Q$.

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of isomorphism classes of objects in $\text{rep}_k Q$. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, choose an object $V_\alpha \in \text{rep}_k Q$ such that the isomorphism class $[V_\alpha]$ is α . Denote by a_α for the order of the automorphism group of V_α . For convenience, the dimension vector of V_α is still denoted by α .

Given three elements α_1, α_2 and α in \mathcal{P} , denote by $g_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^\alpha$ the number of subrepresentations W of V_α such that $W \simeq V_{\alpha_2}$ and $V/W \simeq V_{\alpha_1}$ in $\text{rep}_k Q$. Let $v = \sqrt{q} \in \mathbb{C}$. The Ringel-Hall algebra $H_q(Q)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -space with basis $\{u_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ whose multiplication is given by

$$u_{\alpha_1} u_{\alpha_2} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} g_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^\alpha u_\alpha.$$

Note that $H_q(Q)$ is an associative $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra with unit u_0 , where 0 denotes the isomorphism class of zero representation.

Define a twisted multiplication on $H_q(Q)$ by

$$u_{\alpha_1} * u_{\alpha_2} = v^{\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} g_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^\alpha u_\alpha,$$

and $H_q^*(Q) = (H_q(Q), *)$ is called the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra.

2.2. Constructions of Ringel-Hall algebras via functions. In this section, we shall recall the constructions of Ringel-Hall algebras via functions introduced by Lusztig ([9, 6]).

For any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}I$, fix an I -graded vector space $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ of dimension vector ν . Consider the variety

$$E_{\nu, Q} = \bigoplus_{h \in H} \text{Hom}_k(V_{s(h)}, V_{t(h)}).$$

The group $G_{\nu, I} = \prod_{i \in I} GL(V_i)$ acts on $E_{\nu, Q}$ by $g.x = gxg^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, Q})$ be the space of $G_{\nu, I}$ -invariant constructible functions on $E_{\nu, Q}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_Q = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}I} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, Q}).$$

Fix I -graded vector spaces \mathbf{V}' , \mathbf{V}'' and \mathbf{V} of dimension vector α , β and $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ respectively. Consider the following correspondence

$$E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\beta,Q} \xleftarrow{p_1} E' \xrightarrow{p_2} E'' \xrightarrow{p_3} E_{\gamma,Q} .$$

Here

- (1) E'' is the variety of all pairs (x, \mathbf{W}) , where $x \in E_{\gamma,Q}$ and \mathbf{W} is a x -stable I -graded vector subspace of \mathbf{V} with dimension vector β ,
- (2) E' is the variety of all quadruples $(x, \mathbf{W}, \rho', \rho'')$, where $(x, \mathbf{W}) \in E''$ and $\rho' : \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W} \cong \mathbf{V}'$, $\rho'' : \mathbf{W} \cong \mathbf{V}''$ are linear isomorphisms,
- (3) p_2 and p_3 are natural projections,
- (4) $p_1(x, \mathbf{W}, \rho', \rho'') = (x', x'')$ such that

$$x'_h \rho'_{s(h)} = \rho'_{t(h)} x_h \quad \text{and} \quad x''_h \rho''_{s(h)} = \rho''_{t(h)} x_h$$

for any $h \in H$.

The group $G_{\gamma,I}$ acts on E'' by $g.(x, \mathbf{W}) = (gxg^{-1}, g\mathbf{W})$ for any $g \in G_{\gamma,I}$. The groups $G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\beta,I}$ and $G_{\gamma,I}$ act on E' by $(g_1, g_2).(x, \mathbf{W}, \rho', \rho'') = (x, \mathbf{W}, g_1\rho', g_2\rho'')$ and $g.(x, \mathbf{W}, \rho', \rho'') = (gxg^{-1}, g\mathbf{W}, \rho'g^{-1}, \rho''g^{-1})$ for any $(g_1, g_2) \in G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\beta,I}$ and $g \in G_{\gamma,I}$. The map p_1 is $G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\beta,I} \times G_{\gamma,I}$ -equivariant ($G_{\gamma,I}$ acts on $E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\beta,Q}$ trivially) and p_2 is a principal $G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\beta,I}$ -bundle.

Consider the following map

$$\text{Ind} : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\beta,I}}(E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\beta,Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\gamma,I}}(E_{\gamma,Q}),$$

which is the composition of the following maps

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\beta,I}}(E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\beta,Q}) \xrightarrow{p_1^*} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\beta,I} \times G_{\gamma,I}}(E') \xrightarrow{(p_2^*)^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\gamma,I}}(E'') \xrightarrow{(p_3)!} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\gamma,I}}(E_{\gamma,Q}) .$$

For two functions $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I}}(E_{\alpha,Q})$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\beta,I}}(E_{\beta,Q})$, let $g(x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)$ for any $(x_1, x_2) \in E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\beta,Q}$ and set

$$f_1 * f_2 = v^{-m_{\alpha,\beta}} \text{Ind}(g)$$

where $m_{\alpha,\beta} = \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{s(h)} \beta_{t(h)} + \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i$. The algebra $(\mathcal{F}_Q, *)$ is still denoted by \mathcal{F}_Q .

For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, let \mathcal{O}_α be the $G_{\alpha,I}$ -orbit of V_α in $E_{\alpha,Q}$ and $\mathbf{1}_\alpha$ the constant function on \mathcal{O}_α with value 1. It is directed that

$$\mathbf{1}_\alpha * \mathbf{1}_\beta(x) = v^{-m_{\alpha,\beta}} g_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{O}_\gamma$.

Theorem 2.1 ([9, 6]). *There is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\varphi : H_q^*(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q$$

defined by sending u_α to $v^{\dim V_\alpha - \dim G_\alpha} \mathbf{1}_\alpha$.

Denote by

$$\Phi_{Q,Q'} : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,Q'})$$

the Fourier transform, where $Q = (I, H)$ and $Q' = (I, H')$ are two quivers with the same underlying graph and different orientations ([15]).

On the relation between the Fourier transforms and the multiplication on \mathcal{F}_Q , we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2 ([15]). *For two functions $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I}}(E_{\alpha,Q})$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\beta,I}}(E_{\beta,Q})$,*

$$\Phi_{Q,Q'}(f_1 * f_2) = \Phi_{Q,Q'}(f_1) * \Phi_{Q,Q'}(f_2),$$

where $Q = (I, H)$ and $Q' = (I, H')$ are two quivers with the same underlying graph and different orientations.

2.3. Double Ringel-Hall algebras. In this section, we shall recall the definition of double Ringel-Hall algebra of Q introduced by Xiao ([17]).

Let $\tilde{H}_q^+(Q)$ be the free $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -module with basis $\{K_\mu u_\alpha^+ \mid \mu \in \mathbb{Z}I, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$. The multiplication is defined as:

- (1) $u_\alpha^+ u_\beta^+ = v^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} g_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda u_\lambda^+$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (2) $K_\mu u_\alpha^+ = v^{(\mu, \alpha)} u_\alpha^+ K_\mu$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I$,
- (3) $K_\nu K_\mu = K_{\nu+\mu}$ for all $\nu, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}I$,

and the unit is $1 = u_0^+ = K_0$. The comultiplication Δ is defined as:

- (1) $\Delta(u_\lambda^+) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}} v^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \frac{a_\alpha a_\beta}{a_\lambda} g_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda u_\alpha^+ K_\beta \otimes u_\beta^+$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (2) $\Delta(K_\mu) = K_\mu \otimes K_\mu$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I$,

and the counit ϵ is defined as:

- (1) $\epsilon(u_\lambda^+) = 0$ for all $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (2) $\epsilon(K_\mu) = 1$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I$.

The antipode S is defined as:

- (1) $S(u_\lambda^+) = \delta_{\lambda 0} + \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^m \sum v^{2 \sum_{i < j} \langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle} \frac{a_{\lambda_1} \dots a_{\lambda_m}}{a_\lambda} g_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m}^\lambda g_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m}^\pi K_{-\lambda} u_\pi^+$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$, $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{P} - \{0\}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$,
- (2) $S(K_\mu) = K_{-\mu}$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I$.

With these operators, $\tilde{H}_q^+(Q)$ becomes a Hopf algebra.

The Hopf algebra $\tilde{H}_q^+(Q)$ is called the extended twisted Ringel-Hall algebra of Q . Let $H_q^+(Q)$ be the subalgebra of $\tilde{H}_q^+(Q)$ with basis $\{u_\alpha^+ \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

Similarly, one can define the extended twisted Ringel-Hall algebra $\tilde{H}_q^-(Q)$ with an $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -basis $\{K_\mu u_\alpha^- \mid \mu \in \mathbb{Z}I, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Let $H_q^-(Q)$ be the subalgebra of $\tilde{H}_q^-(Q)$ with basis $\{u_\alpha^- \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

There is a bilinear form $\varphi : \tilde{H}_q^+(Q) \times \tilde{H}_q^-(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(v)$ such that

$$\varphi(K_\mu u_\alpha^+, K_\nu u_\beta^-) = v^{-(\mu, \nu) - (\alpha, \nu) + (\mu, \beta)} \frac{|V_\alpha|}{a_\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

which is a skew-Hopf pairing.

Denote by $\tilde{D}_q(Q)$ the Drinfeld double of $(\tilde{H}_q^+(Q), \tilde{H}_q^-(Q), \varphi)$. The ideal of $\tilde{D}_q(Q)$ generated by $\{K_\mu \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes K_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathbb{Z}I\}$ is a Hopf ideal. Denote by $D_q(Q)$ the quotient of $\tilde{D}_q(Q)$ module this Hopf ideal. Note that $D_q(Q)$ is a Hopf algebra, which is called the reduced Drinfeld double of Ringel-Hall algebra of Q or double Ringel-Hall algebra of Q for simplicity.

The double Ringel-Hall algebra admits the following triangular decomposition

$$D_q(Q) = H_q^-(Q) \otimes T \otimes H_q^+(Q),$$

where T is the torus subalgebra generated by $\{K_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathbb{Z}I\}$.

Note that there are two isomorphisms of algebras $^+ : H_q^*(Q) \rightarrow H_q^+(Q)$ mapping u_λ to u_λ^+ and $^- : H_q^*(Q) \rightarrow H_q^-(Q)$ mapping u_λ to u_λ^- for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$.

In $D_q(Q)$, Xiao proved the following formulas.

Proposition 2.3 ([17]). *For any $i \in I$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & u_i^- u_\alpha^+ - u_\alpha^+ u_i^- = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta u_\beta^+ (v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha K_i - v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha K_{-i}), \\ (2) \quad & u_\alpha^- u_i^+ - u_i^+ u_\alpha^- = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta u_\beta^- (v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha K_i - v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha K_{-i}). \end{aligned}$$

Denoted by $\hat{D}_q(Q)$ the subalgebra of $D_q(Q)$ generated by $u_i^+ (i \in I)$, $u_\alpha^- (\alpha \in \mathcal{P})$ and $K_\mu (\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I)$.

The definition of $D_q(Q)$ and Proposition 2.3 imply the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *The algebra $\hat{D}_q(Q)$ is the associative algebra generated by $u_i^+ (i \in I)$, $u_\alpha^- (\alpha \in \mathcal{P})$ and $K_\mu (\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I)$ subject to the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & K_0 = u_0^+ = u_0^- = \mathbf{1}, \quad K_\mu K_\nu = K_{\mu+\nu} \text{ for any } \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}I, \\ (2) \quad & K_\mu u_i^+ = v^{\langle \mu, i \rangle} u_i^+ K_\mu \text{ for any } i \in I \text{ and } \mu \in \mathbb{Z}I, \\ (3) \quad & K_\mu u_\beta^- = v^{-\langle \beta, \mu \rangle} u_\beta^- K_\mu \text{ for any } \beta \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \mu \in \mathbb{Z}I, \\ (4) \quad & \sum_{m=0}^{1-\langle i, j \rangle} (-1)^m (u_i^+)^{(m)} u_j^+ (u_i^+)^{(1-\langle i, j \rangle - m)} = 0 \text{ for any } i \neq j, \\ (5) \quad & u_\alpha^- u_\beta^- = v^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} g_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda u_\lambda^- \text{ for any } \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}, \\ (6) \quad & u_\alpha^- u_i^+ - u_i^+ u_\alpha^- = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta u_\beta^- (v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha K_i - v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha K_{-i}) \text{ for any } i \in I \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{P}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(u_i^+)^{(m)} = (u_i^+)^m / [m]_v!$ and $[m]_v! = \prod_{h=1}^m \frac{v^h - v^{-h}}{v - v^{-1}}$.

2.4. Quantum groups and composition subalgebras. In this section, we shall recall the definition of quantum groups ([10]).

Let $a_{ij} = (i, j)$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ be the symmetric generalized Cartan matrix associated to the quiver Q . Let v be an indeterminate.

The quantum group \mathbf{U} associated to the quiver Q is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ with unit element 1, generated by the elements $E_i, F_i (i \in I)$ and $K_\mu (\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I)$ subject to the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & K_0 = 1 \text{ and } K_\mu K_\nu = K_{\mu+\nu} \text{ for all } \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}I, \\ (2) \quad & K_\mu E_i = v^{\langle \mu, i \rangle} E_i K_\mu \text{ for all } i \in I, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}I, \\ (3) \quad & K_\mu F_i = v^{-\langle \mu, i \rangle} F_i K_\mu \text{ for all } i \in I, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}I, \end{aligned}$$

- (4) $\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k E_i^{(k)} E_j E_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} = 0$ for all $i \neq j \in I$,
(5) $\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k F_i^{(k)} F_j F_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} = 0$ for all $i \neq j \in I$,
(6) $E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_{-i}}{v-v^{-1}}$ for all $i, j \in I$,

where $E_i^{(n)} = E_i^n / [n]_v!$ and $F_i^{(n)} = F_i^n / [n]_v!$.

The quantum group \mathbf{U} has the following triangular decomposition

$$\mathbf{U} \cong \mathbf{U}^- \otimes \mathbf{U}^0 \otimes \mathbf{U}^+,$$

where \mathbf{U}^- , \mathbf{U}^+ and \mathbf{U}^0 are the subalgebras \mathbf{U} generated by F_i , E_i and K_μ for all $i \in I$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I$ respectively.

Denoted by $D_c(Q)$ the subalgebra of $D_q(Q)$ generated by $u_i^\pm (i \in I)$ and $K_\mu (\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I)$. The algebra $D_c(Q)$ is called the composition subalgebra of $D_q(Q)$. Note the $D_c(Q)$ is also the subalgebra of $\hat{D}_q(Q)$ generated by $u_i^\pm (i \in I)$ and $K_\mu (\mu \in \mathbb{Z}I)$.

On the relation between the composition subalgebra $D_c(Q)$ and quantum group \mathbf{U} of the quiver Q , we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 ([11, 2, 17]). *There is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\Phi_q : D_c(Q) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}|_{v=\sqrt{q}}$$

defined by sending u_i^+ to E_i , u_i^- to $-vF_i$ and K_μ to K_μ .

2.5. Highest weight modules. Similarly to the representation theory of a double Ringel-Hall algebra introduced in [1], we can consider the representation theory of its subalgebra $\hat{D}_q(Q)$.

Given $\omega = \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i i \in \mathbb{N}I$, denote by $M(\omega)$ the Verma module of $\hat{D}_q(Q)$, which is the quotient of $\hat{D}_q(Q)$ by the left ideal generated by u_i^+ , $K_i - v^{\omega_i}$ for all $i \in I$. Denote by $\eta_\omega \in M(\omega)$ the coset of K_0 in $\hat{D}_q(Q)$.

Denote by $L(\omega)$ the quotient of $M(\omega)$ by the left ideal generated by $(u_i^-)^{\omega_i+1}$ for all $i \in I$. The coset of η_ω is still denoted by η_ω in $L(\omega)$.

The modules $M(\omega)$ and $L(\omega)$ are highest weight modules of $\hat{D}_q(Q)$.

3. CONSTRUCTIONS OF HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES VIA FUNCTIONS

3.1. The spaces $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}}$. For a quiver $Q = (I, H, s, t)$, let $\hat{I} = I \cup \{\hat{i} \mid i \in I\}$ and $\hat{H} = H \cup \{h_i \mid i \in I\}$, where h_i is an arrow that connects i and \hat{i} . The quiver $\hat{Q} = (\hat{I}, \hat{H}, s, t)$ is called an enlarged quiver of Q .

For any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\hat{I}$, fix an \hat{I} -graded vector space $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{i \in \hat{I}} V_i$ of dimension vector ν . Consider the variety

$$E_{\nu, \hat{Q}} = \bigoplus_{h \in \hat{H}} \text{Hom}_k(V_{s(h)}, V_{t(h)}).$$

The group $G_{\nu, I}$ acts on $E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}$ by $g.x = gxg^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}})$ be the space of $G_{\nu, I}$ -invariant constructible functions on $E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}$.

Denote by

$$\Phi_{\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}'} : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}'})$$

the Fourier transform, where $\hat{Q} = (\hat{I}, \hat{H})$ and $\hat{Q}' = (\hat{I}, \hat{H}')$ are two enlarged quivers with the same underlying graph and different orientations ([15]).

For any $i \in I$, choose an enlarged quiver ${}^i\hat{Q}$ such that i is a source. Let ${}^iE_{\nu, {}^i\hat{Q}}$ be the subvariety of $E_{\nu, {}^i\hat{Q}}$ consisting of all $x = (x_h)_{h \in \hat{H}}$ such that

$$\bigoplus_{h \in \hat{H}, s(h)=i} x_h : V_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{h \in \hat{H}, s(h)=i} V_{t(h)}$$

is injective. Denote by $\mathcal{N}_{\nu, {}^i\hat{Q}, i}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, {}^i\hat{Q}})$ consisting of the functions f such that $\text{supp} f \cap {}^iE_{\nu, {}^i\hat{Q}} = \emptyset$. Denote by $j_{\nu, {}^i\hat{Q}} : {}^iE_{\nu, {}^i\hat{Q}} \rightarrow E_{\nu, {}^i\hat{Q}}$ the natural embedding.

For a general enlarged quiver \hat{Q} , denote by $\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}, i}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}})$ consisting of the functions f such that $\Phi_{i\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}}(f) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu, {}^i\hat{Q}, i}$. Since $\Phi_{\hat{Q}', \hat{Q}''} \Phi_{\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}'} = \Phi_{\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}''}$ for enlarged quivers \hat{Q}, \hat{Q}' and \hat{Q}'' with the same underlying graph, the subspace $\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}, i}$ is independent of the choice of ${}^i\hat{Q}$.

Let $\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}})$ generated by $\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}, i}$ for various $i \in I$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\nu, \hat{Q}} = \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) / \mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}\hat{I}} \mathcal{F}_{\nu, \hat{Q}}.$$

Fix two enlarged quivers $\hat{Q} = (\hat{I}, \hat{H})$ and $\hat{Q}' = (\hat{I}, \hat{H}')$ with the same underlying graph and different orientations. Since $\Phi_{\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}'}(\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}, i}) = \mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}', i}$ for any $i \in I$, it holds that $\Phi_{\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}'}(\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) = \mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}'}$. Hence the Fourier transform $\Phi_{\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}'} : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}'})$ induces the following map

$$\Phi_{\hat{Q}, \hat{Q}'} : \mathcal{F}_{\nu, \hat{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\nu, \hat{Q}'}$$

Remark 3.1. In [19], Zheng studied the algebraic stack $[E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}/G_{\nu, I}]$ and the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}([E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}/G_{\nu, I}])$ of constructible \mathbb{Q}_l -sheaves on it. Then, Zheng studied a subcategory \mathcal{N}_{ν} of the the derived category $\mathcal{D}([E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}/G_{\nu, I}])$ and the localized category $\mathfrak{D}_{\nu} = \mathcal{D}([E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}/G_{\nu, I}]) / \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$. Here we study $\mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}})$, the subspace $\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}})$ and the quotient space $\mathcal{F}_{\nu, \hat{Q}} = \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) / \mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}}$, which are the functional versions of $\mathcal{D}([E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}/G_{\nu, I}])$, \mathcal{N}_{ν} and \mathfrak{D}_{ν} respectively.

3.2. The maps $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i}$. For any $i \in I$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\hat{I}$, define

$$\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i} = v^{\bar{\nu}_i - \nu_i} \text{Id} : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}),$$

where $\bar{\nu}_i = \sum_{h \in \hat{H}, s(h)=i} \nu_{t(h)} + \sum_{h \in \hat{H}, t(h)=i} \nu_{s(h)} - \nu_i$.

On the relation between the maps $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i}$ and the Fourier transforms, Zheng proved the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2 ([19]). *For any two enlarged quivers \hat{Q} and \hat{Q}' with the same underlying graph, it holds that*

$$\Phi_{\hat{Q},\hat{Q}'}\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} = \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}',i}\Phi_{\hat{Q},\hat{Q}'}$$

In [19], Zheng also proved the following propositions.

Proposition 3.3 ([19]). *For any $i \in I$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\hat{I}$, it holds that*

$$\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}(\mathcal{N}_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\nu,\hat{Q}}.$$

Hence, the maps $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}})$ induce the following maps

$$\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} : \mathcal{F}_{\nu,\hat{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\nu,\hat{Q}}.$$

When i is a source of \hat{Q} , define

$${}^i\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} = v^{\bar{\nu}_i - \nu_i} \text{Id} : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}({}^iE_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}({}^iE_{\nu,\hat{Q}}),$$

for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\hat{I}$.

By the definition of ${}^i\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}} & \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) \\ \downarrow j_{\nu,\hat{Q}}^* & & \downarrow j_{\nu,\hat{Q}}^* \\ \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}({}^iE_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{{}^i\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}} & \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}({}^iE_{\nu,\hat{Q}}). \end{array}$$

Remark 3.4. *The maps $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}$ are the functional versions of the functors $\mathcal{K}_{\Omega,i}$ in [19]. Proposition 3.2 and 3.3 are the functional versions of Proposition 3.3 and 3.4 in [19] and the proofs are on the same.*

3.3. The maps $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},mi}^+$. For any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{N}\hat{I}$ such that $\nu' - \nu \in \mathbb{N}I$, fix \hat{I} -graded vector spaces $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{i \in \hat{I}} V_i$ and $\mathbf{V}' = \bigoplus_{i \in \hat{I}} V'_i$ of dimension vector ν and ν' respectively.

Let

$$F_{\nu\nu'} = \{y \in \bigoplus_{j \in \hat{I}} \text{Hom}(V_j, V'_j) \mid y_j \text{ is injective and } y_{\hat{j}} = \text{Id for any } j \in I\}$$

and $G_{\nu\nu',I} = G_{\nu,I} \times G_{\nu',I}$. The group $G_{\nu\nu',I}$ acts on $F_{\nu\nu'}$ by $(g, g').y = g'yg^{-1}$ for any $y \in F_{\nu\nu'}$ and $(g, g') \in G_{\nu\nu',I}$.

Let

$$Z_{\hat{Q}} = \{(x, x', y) \in E_{\nu,\hat{Q}} \times E_{\nu',\hat{Q}} \times F_{\nu\nu'} \mid x'_h y_{s(h)} = y_{t(h)} x_h \text{ for any } h \in \hat{H}\}.$$

Consider the following two maps $p : Z_{\hat{Q}} \rightarrow E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}$ defined as $p(x, x', y) = x$ and $p' : Z_{\hat{Q}} \rightarrow E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}$ defined as $p'(x, x', y) = x'$.

When i is a source of \hat{Q} , let

$${}^iZ_{\hat{Q}} = \{(x, x', y) \in Z_{\hat{Q}} \mid x' \in {}^iE_{\nu',\hat{Q}}\}.$$

Denote by ${}^i p$ and ${}^i p'$ be the restrictions of p and p' to ${}^i Z_{\hat{Q}}$ respectively.

For any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\hat{I}$ and $i \in I$, let $\nu' = \nu + ni$ and define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+ = v^{-n\nu_i} |G_{\nu'}|^{-1} ({}^i p)! ({}^i p')^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu'},I}(E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu},I}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}).$$

On the relation between the maps $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+$ and the Fourier transforms, Zheng proved the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5 ([19]). *For any two enlarged quivers \hat{Q} and \hat{Q}' both having i as sources, it holds that*

$$\Phi_{\hat{Q},\hat{Q}'} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+ = \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}',ni}^+ \Phi_{\hat{Q},\hat{Q}'}$$

In [19], Zheng also proved the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6 ([19]). *For any enlarged quiver \hat{Q} such that i is a source, it holds that*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+(\mathcal{N}_{\nu+ni,\hat{Q}}) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\nu,\hat{Q}}.$$

Hence, the maps $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+ : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu+ni},I}(E_{\nu+ni,\hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu},I}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}})$ induce the following maps

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+ : \mathcal{F}_{\nu+ni,\hat{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\nu,\hat{Q}}.$$

For a general enlarged quiver \hat{Q} , choose a new enlarged quiver ${}^i \hat{Q}$ with the same underlying graph and having i as source. Consider the following maps

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+ = \Phi_{{}^i \hat{Q},\hat{Q}} \mathcal{E}_{{}^i \hat{Q},ni}^+ \Phi_{\hat{Q},{}^i \hat{Q}} : \mathcal{F}_{\nu+ni,\hat{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\nu,\hat{Q}}.$$

Proposition 3.5 implies that the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+$ is independent of the choice of ${}^i \hat{Q}$ for any $i \in I$.

When i is a source of \hat{Q} , $\text{Im}^i p \subset {}^i E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}$ and $\text{Im}^i p' \subset {}^i E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}$. Hence, we can define

$${}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+ = v^{-n\nu_i} |G_{\nu'}|^{-1} ({}^i p)! ({}^i p')^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu'},I}({}^i E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu},I}({}^i E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}),$$

for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\hat{I}$ and $\nu' = \nu + ni$.

On the relation between ${}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+$, we have the following commutative diagram ([19])

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu'},I}(E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+} & \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu},I}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) \\ \downarrow j_{\nu',\hat{Q}}^* & & \uparrow (j_{\nu,\hat{Q}})! \\ \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu'},I}({}^i E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{{}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+} & \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu},I}({}^i E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}). \end{array}$$

Remark 3.7. *The maps $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ni}^+$ are the functional versions of the functors $\mathcal{E}_{\Omega,i}^{(n)}$ in [19]. Proposition 3.5 and 3.6 are the functional versions of Proposition 3.3 and 3.4 in [19] and the proofs are on the same.*

3.4. **The maps $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-$.** For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\hat{I}$, let $\nu' = \nu + \alpha$. Fix \hat{I} -graded vector spaces $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{i \in \hat{I}} V_i$ and $\mathbf{V}' = \bigoplus_{i \in \hat{I}} V'_i$ of dimension vector ν and ν' respectively.

Let

$$Z_{\hat{Q},\alpha} = \{(x, x', y) \in Z_{\hat{Q}} \mid [V_{x'}/\text{Im}y] = \alpha\},$$

where $V_{x'} = (\mathbf{V}', x')$. The restrictions of p and p' are still denoted by p and p' . Define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- = (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{(\alpha,\nu)} + \dim V_{\alpha}} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} p'_! p^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu},I}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu'},I}(E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}).$$

Remark 3.8. When $\alpha = i$, the map $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-$ is the functional version of the functor $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega,i}$ in [19].

Similarly to the definition of the multiplication $*$ on \mathcal{F}_Q in Section 2.2, we can give an alternative description of $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-$.

Fix an \hat{I} -graded vector space \mathbf{V}_{α} of dimension vector α . Consider the following correspondence

$$E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\nu,\hat{Q}} \xleftarrow{p_1} E' \xrightarrow{p_2} E'' \xrightarrow{p_3} E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}.$$

Here

- (1) E'' is the variety of all pairs (x, \mathbf{W}) , where $x \in E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}$ and \mathbf{W} is a x -stable \hat{I} -graded vector subspace of \mathbf{V}' with dimension vector ν ;
- (2) E' is the variety of all quadruples $(x, \mathbf{W}, \rho_1, \rho_2)$ where $(x, \mathbf{W}) \in E''$ and $\rho_1 : \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W} \cong \mathbf{V}_{\alpha}$, $\rho_2 : \mathbf{W} \cong \mathbf{V}$ are linear isomorphisms;
- (3) p_2 and p_3 are natural projections;
- (4) $p_1(x, \mathbf{W}, \rho_1, \rho_2) = (x', x'')$ such that

$$x'_h(\rho_1)_{s(h)} = (\rho_1)_{t(h)} x_h \quad \text{and} \quad x''_h(\rho_2)_{s(h)} = (\rho_2)_{t(h)} x_h$$

for any $h \in \hat{H}$.

The group $G_{\nu',I}$ acts on E'' by $g.(x, \mathbf{W}) = (gxg^{-1}, g\mathbf{W})$ for any $g \in G_{\nu',I}$. The groups $G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\nu,I}$ and $G_{\nu',I}$ act on E' by $(g_1, g_2).(x, \mathbf{W}, \rho_1, \rho_2) = (x, \mathbf{W}, g_1\rho_1, g_2\rho_2)$ and $g.(x, \mathbf{W}, \rho_1, \rho_2) = (gxg^{-1}, g\mathbf{W}, \rho_1g^{-1}, \rho_2g^{-1})$ for any $(g_1, g_2) \in G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\nu,I}$ and $g \in G_{\nu',I}$. The map p_1 is $G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\nu,I} \times G_{\nu',I}$ -equivariant ($G_{\nu',I}$ acts on $E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}$ trivially) and p_2 is a principal $G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\nu,I}$ -bundle.

Consider the following map

$$\text{Ind} : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu',I}}(E_{\nu',\hat{Q}})$$

as the composition of the following maps

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) \xrightarrow{p_1^*} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\nu,I} \times G_{\nu',I}}(E') \xrightarrow{(p_2^*)^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu',I}}(E'') \xrightarrow{(p_3)!} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu',I}}(E_{\nu',\hat{Q}}).$$

For two functions $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I}}(E_{\alpha,Q})$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}})$, let $g(x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)$ for any $(x_1, x_2) \in E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}$. Then $g \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I} \times G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\alpha,Q} \times E_{\nu,\hat{Q}})$. Set

$$f_1 * f_2 = v^{-m_{\alpha,\nu}} \text{Ind}(g).$$

Proposition 3.9. *For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}})$, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-(f) = (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha,\nu}} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha} * f.$$

Proof. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{P}$ with dimension vector ν , $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$ with dimension vector $\nu' = \nu + \alpha$ and $x_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{O}_{\gamma}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-(\mathbf{1}_{\beta})(x_{\gamma}) &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha}} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} p'_! p^*(\mathbf{1}_{\beta})(x_{\gamma}) \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha}} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} \sum_{(x,x_{\gamma},y) \in Z_{\hat{Q},\alpha}} p^*(\mathbf{1}_{\beta})(x, x_{\gamma}, y) \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha}} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} \sum_{(x,x_{\gamma},y) \in Z_{\hat{Q},\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{\beta}(x) \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha}} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} |(x, x_{\gamma}, y) \in Z_{\hat{Q},\alpha}, x \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta}| \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha}} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} |G_{\nu}| g_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha,\nu}} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha} * \mathbf{1}_{\beta}(x_{\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

That is $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-(\mathbf{1}_{\beta}) = (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha,\nu}} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha} * \mathbf{1}_{\beta}$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}})$, f is a linear combination of $\mathbf{1}_{\beta}$ for various $\beta \in \mathcal{P}$ and we have $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-(f) = (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha,\nu}} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha} * f$. \square

Similarly to Proposition 2.2, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.10 ([15]). *For two functions $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\alpha,I}}(E_{\alpha,Q})$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,I}}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}})$,*

$$\Phi_{\hat{Q},\hat{Q}'}(f_1 * f_2) = \Phi_{Q,Q'}(f_1) * \Phi_{\hat{Q},\hat{Q}'}(f_2),$$

where $Q = (I, H)$ and $Q' = (I, H')$ are two quivers with the same underlying graph and different orientations, $\hat{Q} = (\hat{I}, \hat{H})$ and $\hat{Q}' = (\hat{I}, \hat{H}')$ are enlarged quivers of Q and Q' respectively.

By using Proposition 3.9 and 3.10, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.11. *For any enlarged quiver \hat{Q} , we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-(\mathcal{N}_{\nu,\hat{Q}}) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\nu+\alpha,\hat{Q}}.$$

Proof. Let $a(v) = (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha\nu} \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha,\nu}}$.

When $i \in I$ is a source of \hat{Q} , it is clear that $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-(f) = a(v) \mathbf{1}_{\alpha} * f \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu+\alpha,\hat{Q},i}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu,\hat{Q},i}$.

For a general enlarged quiver \hat{Q} , choose a new enlarged quiver ${}^i\hat{Q}$ with the same underlying graph and having i as source. For any $f \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu,\hat{Q},i}$, there is a function $f' \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu,{}^i\hat{Q},i}$ such that $f = \Phi_{i,\hat{Q},\hat{Q}}(f')$. Hence

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-(f) = a(v) \mathbf{1}_{\alpha} * f = a(v) \mathbf{1}_{\alpha} * \Phi_{i,\hat{Q},\hat{Q}}(f').$$

Since $\mathbf{1}_\alpha = \Phi_{i_Q, Q} \Phi_{Q, i_Q}(\mathbf{1}_\alpha)$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^-(f) = a(v) \Phi_{i_Q, Q} \Phi_{Q, i_Q}(\mathbf{1}_\alpha) * \Phi_{i_{\hat{Q}}, \hat{Q}}(f') = a(v) \Phi_{i_{\hat{Q}}, \hat{Q}}(\Phi_{Q, i_Q}(\mathbf{1}_\alpha) * f').$$

Note that $\Phi_{Q, i_Q}(\mathbf{1}_\alpha) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} a_\gamma \mathbf{1}_\gamma$ for some $a_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^-(f) = a(v) \Phi_{i_{\hat{Q}}, \hat{Q}}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} a_\gamma (\mathbf{1}_\gamma * f')\right).$$

Since $\mathbf{1}_\gamma * f' \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu+\alpha, i_{\hat{Q}}, i}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^-(f) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu+\alpha, \hat{Q}, i}$.

By the definition of $\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \hat{Q}}$, we get the desired result. \square

Hence, the maps $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu+\alpha, I}}(E_{\nu+\alpha, \hat{Q}})$ induce the following maps

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- : \mathcal{F}_{\nu, \hat{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\nu+\alpha, \hat{Q}}.$$

When i is a source of \hat{Q} , let

$${}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \alpha} = \{(x, x', y) \in Z_{\hat{Q}, \alpha} \mid x' \in {}^i E_{\nu', \hat{Q}}\}.$$

Denote by ${}^i p$ and ${}^i p'$ be the restrictions of p and p' to ${}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}$ respectively.

For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ such that V_i is not a direct summand of V_α , define

$${}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- = (-v)^{\dim V_\alpha v^{\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} + \dim V_\alpha} |G_\nu|^{-1} ({}^i p')! ({}^i p)^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}({}^i E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu', I}}({}^i E_{\nu', \hat{Q}}).$$

By Proposition 3.11, we have the following commutative diagram on the relation between ${}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^-$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^-$

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^-} & \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu', I}}(E_{\nu', \hat{Q}}) \\ \downarrow j_{\nu, \hat{Q}}^* & & \downarrow j_{\nu', \hat{Q}}^* \\ \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu, I}}({}^i E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{{}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^-} & \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu', I}}({}^i E_{\nu', \hat{Q}}). \end{array}$$

3.5. Main results.

Theorem 3.12. *On the maps $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+$ ($i \in I$), $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^-$ ($\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$) and $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i}^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I$), we have the following relations:*

- (1) $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, j} = \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, j} \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i}$ for any $i, j \in I$,
- (2) $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, j} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+ = v^{\langle j, i \rangle} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+ \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, j}$ for any $i, j \in I$,
- (3) $\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, j} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- = v^{-\langle \alpha, j \rangle} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, j}$ for any $j \in I$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (4) $\sum_{m=0}^{1-\langle i, j \rangle} (-1)^m (\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+)^{(m)} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, j}^+ (\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+)^{(1-\langle i, j \rangle - m)} = 0$ for any $i \neq j \in I$,
- (5) $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \beta}^- = v^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} g_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \lambda}^-$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (6) $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+ - \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+ \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \beta}^- (v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i} - v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i}^{-1})$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ and $i \in I$.

The Relation (1)-(3) are directed from the definitions. The Relation (4) is Theorem 3.9 (8) in [19]. The proofs of Relation (5) and (6) will be given in Section 4.

As a corollary of Theorem 3.12 and Proposition 2.4, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.13. *By defining*

$$K_{\pm i}.f = \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{\pm 1}(f), u_i^+.f = \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+(f) \text{ and } u_\alpha^-.f = \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-(f),$$

the space $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}}$ becomes a left $\hat{D}(Q)$ -module.

For any $\omega = \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i i \in \mathbb{Z}I$, let $\mathbf{1}_\omega$ be the constant function on $E_{\hat{\omega}, \hat{Q}}$ with value 1, where $\hat{\omega} = \sum_{i \in I} \omega_i \hat{i}$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}, \omega}$ the submodule of $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}}$ generated by $\mathbf{1}_\omega$. By the definition, $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}, \omega}$ is a highest weight module of $\hat{D}_q(Q)$ with highest weight ω .

For the relation between $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}, \omega}$ and $L(\omega)$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.14. *There is an epimorphism of $\hat{D}(Q)$ -modules from $L(\omega)$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}, \omega}$.*

Proof. There is an epimorphism of $\hat{D}(Q)$ -modules from $\hat{D}(Q)$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}, \omega}$. Since the image of the left ideal generated by u_i^+ , $K_i - v^{\omega_i}$ and $(u_i^-)^{\omega_i+1}$ for all $i \in I$ is 0, there exists an epimorphism of $\hat{D}(Q)$ -modules from $L(\omega)$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{Q}, \omega}$. \square

4. THE PROOFS OF RELATION (5) AND (6) IN THEOREM 3.12

Proposition 4.1 (Relation (5) in Theorem 3.12). *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \beta}^- = v^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} g_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \gamma}^-.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, we have $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^-(f) = (-v)^{\dim V_\alpha v^{\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} + \dim V_\alpha + m_{\alpha, \nu}} \mathbf{1}_\alpha * f$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{v, I}}(E_{\nu, \hat{Q}})$.

Similarly to the associativity of multiplication of a Hall algebra, we have

$$\mathbf{1}_\alpha * (\mathbf{1}_\beta * f) = (\mathbf{1}_\alpha * \mathbf{1}_\beta) * f.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \beta}^-(f) \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_\alpha v^{\langle \alpha, \nu + \beta \rangle} + \dim V_\alpha + m_{\alpha, \nu + \beta}} (-v)^{\dim V_\beta v^{\langle \beta, \nu \rangle} + \dim V_\beta + m_{\beta, \nu}} \mathbf{1}_\alpha * (\mathbf{1}_\beta * f) \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha + \beta} v^{\langle \alpha + \beta, \nu \rangle} + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha + \beta} + m_{\alpha + \beta, \nu} + m_{\alpha, \beta}} (\mathbf{1}_\alpha * \mathbf{1}_\beta) * f \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} (-v)^{\dim V_\gamma v^{\langle \gamma, \nu \rangle} + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \dim V_\gamma + m_{\gamma, \nu}} g_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \mathbf{1}_\gamma * f \\ &= v^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} g_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \gamma}^-(f) \end{aligned}$$

and we have the desired result. \square

Proposition 4.2 (Relation (6) in Theorem 3.12). *For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ and $i \in I$, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ - \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\beta}^- (v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} - v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{-1}).$$

For the proof of Proposition 4.2, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $i \in I$ such that i is a source and $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ such that V_i is not a direct summand of V_α , we have*

$${}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ - {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\beta}^- (v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha {}^i \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} - v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha {}^i \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{-1}).$$

Proof. Since i is a source and $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ such that V_i is not a direct summand of V_α , we have

$$-\frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\beta}^- v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha {}^i \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{-1} = 0.$$

Hence, it is enough to prove

$$(4) \quad {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ - {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\beta}^- v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha {}^i \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}.$$

Fix $\nu \in \hat{N}$ and let $\mu = \nu + \alpha$, $\mu' = \nu - i$ and $\nu' = \nu + \alpha - i$. Fix \hat{I} -graded vector spaces \mathbf{V}^ν , \mathbf{V}^μ , $\mathbf{V}^{\nu'}$ and $\mathbf{V}^{\mu'}$ with $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}^\nu = \nu$, $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}^\mu = \mu$, $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}^{\nu'} = \nu'$ and $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}^{\mu'} = \mu'$ respectively.

Consider the following diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & & & {}^i E_{\nu', \hat{Q}} \\ & \swarrow r_1 & & & \uparrow {}^i p_2 \\ & & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\varpi'_1} & {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \varpi_1 & & \downarrow {}^i p'_2 \\ & & & & {}^i E_{\mu, \hat{Q}} \\ & & & & \uparrow {}^i p'_1 \\ & & & & {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \alpha} \\ & & & & \leftarrow {}^i p_1 \\ & & & & {}^i E_{\nu, \hat{Q}} \end{array}$$

where

- (1) $Y = {}^i E_{\nu, \hat{Q}} \times {}^i E_{\nu', \hat{Q}} = \{(x, x') \mid x \in {}^i E_{\nu, \hat{Q}}, x' \in {}^i E_{\nu', \hat{Q}}\}$,
- (2) $\pi(x, x') = x$ and $\pi'(x, x') = x'$,
- (3) $Y_1 = {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \alpha} \times_{{}^i E_{\mu, \hat{Q}}} {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}}$, that is

$$Y_1 = \{(x, x_1, x', y, y') \mid (x, x_1, y) \in {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}, (x_1, x', y') \in {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}}\},$$

- (4) $\varpi_1(x, x_1, x', y, y') = (x, x_1, y)$ and $\varpi'_1(x, x_1, x', y, y') = (x_1, x', y')$,
- (5) $r_1(x, x_1, x', y, y') = (x, x')$,
- (6) ${}^i p_1(x, x_1, y) = x$ and ${}^i p'_1(x, x_1, y) = x_1$,

$$(7) \quad {}^i p_2(x_1, x', y') = x' \text{ and } {}^i p'_2(x_1, x', y') = x_1.$$

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+ {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{-\nu'_i}} |G_{\mu}|^{-1} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} ({}^i p_2)_! ({}^i p'_2)^* ({}^i p'_1)_! ({}^i p_1)^* \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{-\nu'_i}} |G_{\mu}|^{-1} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} ({}^i p_2)_! (\varpi'_1)_! (\varpi_1)^* ({}^i p_1)^* \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{-\nu'_i}} |G_{\mu}|^{-1} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} (\pi')_! (r_1)_! (r_1)^* (\pi)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following decomposition of $Y_1 = Y_{11} \sqcup Y_{12}$, where

$$Y_{11} = \{(x, x_1, x', y, y') \in Y_1 \mid \text{Im} y \subset \text{Im} y'\}$$

and

$$Y_{12} = \{(x, x_1, x', y, y') \in Y_1 \mid \text{Im} y \not\subset \text{Im} y'\}.$$

Denote by $r_{11} : Y_{11} \rightarrow Y$ and $r_{12} : Y_{12} \rightarrow Y$ the restrictions of r_1 . And we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+ {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{-\nu'_i}} |G_{\mu}|^{-1} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} (\pi')_! (r_1)_! (r_1)^* (\pi)^* \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{-\nu'_i}} |G_{\mu}|^{-1} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} (\pi')_! (r_{11})_! (r_{11})^* (\pi)^* \\ &\quad + (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{-\nu'_i}} |G_{\mu}|^{-1} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} (\pi')_! (r_{12})_! (r_{12})^* (\pi)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, consider the following diagram

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & {}^i E_{\nu', \hat{Q}} \\ & \swarrow r_2 & \uparrow {}^i p'_4 \\ & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\varpi'_2} {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \alpha} \\ & \searrow \varpi_2 & \downarrow {}^i p_4 \\ {}^i E_{\nu, \hat{Q}} & \xleftarrow{{}^i p'_3} {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}} \xrightarrow{{}^i p_3} & {}^i E_{\mu', \hat{Q}} \end{array}$$

where

$$(1) \quad Y_2 = {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}} \times_{{}^i E_{\mu', \hat{Q}}} {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}, \text{ that is}$$

$$Y_2 = \{(x, x_1, x', y, y') \mid (x, x_1, y) \in {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}}, (x_1, x', y') \in {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}\},$$

$$(2) \quad \varpi_2(x, x_1, x', y, y') = (x, x_1, y) \text{ and } \varpi'_2(x, x_1, x', y, y') = (x_1, x', y'),$$

$$(3) \quad r_2(x, x_1, x', y, y') = (x, x'),$$

$$(4) \quad {}^i p'_3(x, x_1, y) = x \text{ and } {}^i p_3(x, x_1, y) = x_1,$$

$$(5) \quad {}^i p'_4(x_1, x', y') = x' \text{ and } {}^i p_4(x_1, x', y') = x_1.$$

By definition,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \alpha}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, i}^+ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu \langle \alpha, \mu' \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{-\mu'_i}} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} |G_{\mu'}|^{-1} ({}^i p'_4)_! ({}^i p_4)^* ({}^i p_3)_! ({}^i p'_3)^* \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu \langle \alpha, \mu' \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{-\mu'_i}} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} |G_{\mu'}|^{-1} ({}^i p'_4)_! (\varpi'_2)_! (\varpi_2)^* ({}^i p_3)^* \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_{\alpha} \nu \langle \alpha, \mu' \rangle + \dim V_{\alpha} \nu^{-\mu'_i}} |G_{\nu}|^{-1} |G_{\mu'}|^{-1} (\pi')_! (r_2)_! (r_2)^* (\pi)^*. \end{aligned}$$

For any $(x, x_1, x', y, y') \in Y_2$, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V_i^\nu & \xrightarrow{x^i} & \widehat{V}_i^\nu \\
\uparrow y_i & & \uparrow y^i \\
V_i^{\mu'} & \xrightarrow{x_1^i} & \widehat{V}_i^{\mu'} \\
\downarrow y'_i & & \downarrow y'^i \\
V_i^{\nu'} & \xrightarrow{x'^i} & \widehat{V}_i^{\nu'}
\end{array}$$

where $\widehat{V}_i = \bigoplus_{h \in \widehat{H}, s(h)=i} V_{t(h)}$, $y^i = \bigoplus_{h \in \widehat{H}, s(h)=i} y_{t(h)}$ and $x^i = \bigoplus_{h \in \widehat{H}, s(h)=i} x_h$. Note that y^i is an isomorphism.

Let Y_{21} be the subset of Y_2 such that

$$\text{Im} y'^i (y^i)^{-1} x^i \subset \text{Im} x'^i$$

and $Y_{22} = Y_2 \setminus Y_{21}$. This condition implies that there is an embedding ι from \mathbf{V}^ν to $\mathbf{V}^{\nu'}$ such that the following diagram is commutative for any $(x, x_1, x', y, y') \in Y_{21}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V_i^{\mu'} & \xrightarrow{x_1^i} & \widehat{V}_i^{\mu'} \\
\downarrow y_i & & \downarrow y^i \\
V_i^\nu & \xrightarrow{x^i} & \widehat{V}_i^\nu \\
\downarrow \iota_i & & \downarrow \iota^i \\
V_i^{\nu'} & \xrightarrow{x'^i} & \widehat{V}_i^{\nu'}
\end{array}$$

(The diagram is enclosed in large parentheses with y^i on the left and y'^i on the right, indicating a commutative square structure.)

Since i is a source and $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ such that V_i is not a direct summand of V_α , the set Y_{21} is empty and $Y_2 = Y_{22}$.

Since G_μ (resp. $G_{\mu'}$) acts on Y_{12} (resp. Y_{22}) freely and there is a bijection between Y_{12}/G_μ and $Y_{22}/G_{\mu'}$ by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$|G_\mu|^{-1} (\pi')! (r_{12})! (r_{12})^* (\pi)^* = |G_{\mu'}|^{-1} (\pi')! (r_2)! (r_2)^* (\pi)^*.$$

Note that

$$v^{\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_\alpha - \nu'_i} = v^{\langle \alpha, \mu' \rangle + \dim V_\alpha - \mu'_i}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad & v^{\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_\alpha - \nu'_i} |G_\mu|^{-1} |G_\nu|^{-1} (\pi')! (r_{12})! (r_{12})^* (\pi)^* \\
&= v^{\langle \alpha, \mu' \rangle + \dim V_\alpha - \mu'_i} |G_\nu|^{-1} |G_{\mu'}|^{-1} (\pi')! (r_2)! (r_2)^* (\pi)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Formula (6), (8) and (9) imply that

$${}^i \mathcal{E}_{\widehat{Q}, \alpha}^- {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\widehat{Q}, i}^+ - {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\widehat{Q}, i}^+ {}^i \mathcal{E}_{\widehat{Q}, \alpha}^- = -(-v)^{\dim V_\alpha} v^{\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle + \dim V_\alpha - \nu'_i} |G_\mu|^{-1} |G_\nu|^{-1} (\pi')! (r_{11})! (r_{11})^* (\pi)^*.$$

Hence, for the proof of Formula (4), it is enough to prove

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-v)^{\dim V_\alpha v^{\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} + \dim V_\alpha - \nu'_i} |G_\mu|^{-1} |G_\nu|^{-1} (\pi')! (r_{11})! (r_{11})^* (\pi)^* \\ &= -\frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \beta}^- v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha {}^i \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i}. \end{aligned}$$

By the commutative diagram (5), we have

$$(\pi')! (r_{11})! (r_{11})^* (\pi)^* = ({}^i p_2)! (\varpi'_{11})! (\varpi_{11})^* ({}^i p_1)^*,$$

where ϖ'_{11} and ϖ_{11} are the restrictions of ϖ'_1 and ϖ_1 to Y_{11} respectively.

Consider the following decomposition of $Y_{11} = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} Y_{11\beta}$, where

$$Y_{11\beta} = \{(x, x_1, x', y, y') \in Y_{11} \mid [\text{Im} y' / \text{Im} y] = \beta\}.$$

Denote by $\varpi'_{11\beta}$ and $\varpi_{11\beta}$ the restrictions of ϖ'_{11} and ϖ_{11} to $Y_{11\beta}$ respectively and we have

$$({}^i p_2)! (\varpi'_{11})! (\varpi_{11})^* ({}^i p_1)^* = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} ({}^i p_2)! (\varpi'_{11\beta})! (\varpi_{11\beta})^* ({}^i p_1)^*.$$

Thus, for the proof of Formula (10), we just need to prove

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-v)^{\dim V_\alpha v^{\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} + \dim V_\alpha - \nu'_i} |G_\mu|^{-1} |G_\nu|^{-1} ({}^i p_2)! (\varpi'_{11\beta})! (\varpi_{11\beta})^* ({}^i p_1)^* \\ &= -\frac{|V_i| a_\beta}{a_\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \beta}^- v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha {}^i \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by τ the canonical projection from $Y_{11\beta}$ to ${}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \beta}$. By Lemma 4.5, the cardinality of the fiber of τ at $a \in {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \beta}$ is $|G_\mu| g_{i\beta}^\alpha \frac{a_\beta}{a_\alpha} v^{-2\langle i, \nu \rangle}$. At the same time,

$$-|V_i| (-v)^{\dim V_\beta v^{\langle \beta, \nu \rangle} + \dim V_\beta - \nu_i} v^{\bar{\nu}_i - \nu_i} v^{2\langle i, \nu \rangle} = (-v)^{\dim V_\alpha v^{\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} + \dim V_\alpha - \nu'_i}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{|V_i| a_\beta}{a_\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q}, \beta}^- v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha {}^i \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q}, i} \\ &= -|V_i| (-v)^{\dim V_\beta v^{\langle \beta, \nu \rangle} + \dim V_\beta} |G_\nu|^{-1} v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} v^{\bar{\nu}_i - \nu_i} |G_\mu|^{-1} v^{2\langle i, \nu \rangle} \cdot \\ & \quad ({}^i p_2)! (\varpi'_{11\beta})! (\varpi_{11\beta})^* ({}^i p_1)^* \\ &= (-v)^{\dim V_\alpha v^{\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} + \dim V_\alpha - \nu'_i} |G_\mu|^{-1} |G_\nu|^{-1} ({}^i p_2)! (\varpi'_{11\beta})! (\varpi_{11\beta})^* ({}^i p_1)^* \end{aligned}$$

and we prove Formula (11). \square

Lemma 4.4. *With the notations in the proof of Lemma 4.3, there is a bijection between Y_{12}/G_μ and $Y_{22}/G_{\mu'}$.*

Proof. For any $(x, x_1, x', y, y') \in Y_{12}$, consider the following pull-back

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\hat{x}_1, \mathbf{W}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{y}'} & (x', \mathbf{V}^{\nu'}) \\ \hat{y} \downarrow & & y' \downarrow \\ (x, \mathbf{V}^\nu) & \xrightarrow{y} & (x_1, \mathbf{V}^\mu). \end{array}$$

By the definition of Y_{12} , $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{W} = \mu'$. Fix a linear isomorphism $\sigma : \mathbf{V}^{\mu'} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$. By the definition of Y_{22} , the element $(x, \sigma^{-1}\hat{x}_1\sigma, x', y\sigma, y'\sigma) \in Y_{22}$. This induces a map θ from Y_{12} to $Y_{22}/G_{\mu'}$. For two elements (x, x_1, x', y, y') and $(x, x_1, \sigma x' \sigma^{-1}, \sigma y, \sigma y') \in Y_{12}$ with $\sigma \in G_{\mu}$, $\theta(x, x_1, x', y, y') = \theta(x, x_1, \sigma x' \sigma^{-1}, \sigma y, \sigma y')$. Hence, the map θ induces a map $\bar{\theta}$ from Y_{12}/G_{μ} to $Y_{22}/G_{\mu'}$.

For any $(x, x_1, x', y, y') \in Y_{22}$, consider the following push-out

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x_1, \mathbf{V}^{\mu'}) & \xrightarrow{y'} & (x', \mathbf{V}^{\mu'}) \\ y \downarrow & & \downarrow y' \\ (x, \mathbf{V}^{\nu}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{y}} & (\hat{x}_1, \mathbf{U}). \end{array}$$

By the definition of Y_{22} , $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{U} = \mu$. Similarly to $\bar{\theta}$, we have a map $\bar{\vartheta}$ from $Y_{22}/G_{\mu'}$ to Y_{12}/G_{μ} .

By the relation between pull-back and push-out, we have $\bar{\vartheta}$ is the inverse of $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\theta}$ is a bijection. \square

Lemma 4.5. *With the notations in the proof of Lemma 4.3, the cardinality of any fiber of τ is $v^{-2\langle i, \nu \rangle} |G_{\mu}| g_{i\beta}^{\alpha} \frac{a_{\beta}}{a_{\alpha}}$.*

Proof. Consider the following maps ([2, 14, 18])

$$B \xleftarrow{\pi_1} C \xrightarrow{\pi_2} D \xrightarrow{\pi_3} {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}}.$$

Here

(1) B is set of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & L \\ & & & & \downarrow a' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{a} & J \end{array}$$

where L, N, J are representations of the quiver \hat{Q} , a, a' are homomorphisms of representations and the row and column are exact,

(2) C is set of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & & & L & & & \\
 & & & \downarrow a' & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{a} & J & \xrightarrow{b} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow b' & & \\
 & & & & K & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

where L, N, J, M, K are representations of the quiver \hat{Q} , a, a', b, b' are homomorphisms of representations and the row and column are exact,

(3) D is set of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & & 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & L_1 & \xrightarrow{u} & L & \xrightarrow{v} & L_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow u' & & & & \downarrow x \\
 & & N & & & & M \\
 & & \downarrow v' & & & & \downarrow y \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1 & \xrightarrow{x'} & K & \xrightarrow{y'} & K_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & & & 0
 \end{array}$$

where $L, N, M, K, L_1, L_2, K_1, K_2$ are representations of the quiver \hat{Q} , $u, u', v, v', x, x', y, y'$ are homomorphisms of representations and the rows and columns are exact,

(4) π_1 is the canonical projection,

(5) $\pi_2(J, a, a', b, b') = (L_1, L_2, K_1, K_2, u, u', v, v', x, x', y, y')$, where $L_1 = \text{Ker}b'a = \text{Ker}ba'$, $L_2 = \text{Im}ba'$, $K_1 = \text{Im}b'a$, $K_2 = \text{Coker}b'a = \text{Coker}ba'$, u, u' and x, x' are natural embeddings, v, v' and y, y' are natural projections,

(6) $\pi_1(L_1, L_2, K_1, K_2, u, u', v, v', x, x', y, y') = (L_1, L, u)$.

Fix N, L, M, K with $\underline{\dim} N = \nu$, $\underline{\dim} L = \nu + \beta$, $\underline{\dim} K = i$ and $[M] = \alpha$. Then for any $x \in {}^i Z_{\hat{Q}, \beta}$,

$$|\pi_3^{-1}(x)| = |G_\beta| g_{i\beta}^\alpha a_\beta a_i |G_\nu| |G_i|.$$

Similarly to proof of Green formula ([2, 14, 18]),

$$|\pi_2^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}(x)| = g_{i\beta}^\alpha a_\beta a_i |G_\mu| \frac{|\text{Ext}(K_2, L_1)|}{|\text{Hom}(K_2, L_1)|} = g_{i\beta}^\alpha a_\beta a_i |G_\mu| v^{-2\langle i, \nu \rangle}.$$

Hence

$$|\pi_1\pi_2^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}(x)| = \frac{a_\beta}{a_\alpha} g_{i\beta}^\alpha |G_\mu| v^{-2\langle i, \nu \rangle}.$$

Since $\pi_1\pi_2^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}(x) = \tau^{-1}(x)$, the cardinality of the fiber τ at x is $\frac{a_\beta}{a_\alpha} g_{i\beta}^\alpha |G_\mu| v^{-2\langle i, \nu \rangle}$. \square

Lemma 4.6 (Theorem 3.9 (6) in [19]). *For any $i \in I$, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ - \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^- = \frac{v^2}{v^2 - 1} (\mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} - \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{-1})$$

Now, we can give the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. By the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+$, it is enough to prove this when i is a source.

At first, assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ such that V_i is not a direct summand of V_α .

Lemma 4.3 and commutative diagrams (1)-(3) imply that

$$(12) \quad (\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ - \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-)(f) = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\beta}^- (v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} - v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{-1})(f)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\nu,i}}(E_{\nu,\hat{Q}})$ such that $\text{supp} f \subset {}^i E_{\nu,\hat{Q}}$,

Formula (12) and Proposition 3.3, 3.6, 3.11 imply that

$$(13) \quad (\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ - \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},i}^+ \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^-)([f]) = \frac{|V_i|}{a_\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} a_\beta \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\beta}^- (v^{-\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{i\beta}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i} - v^{\langle \beta, i \rangle} g_{\beta i}^\alpha \mathcal{K}_{\hat{Q},i}^{-1})([f])$$

for any $[f] \in \mathcal{F}_{\nu,\hat{Q}}$.

In general, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, $V_\alpha \simeq kV_i \oplus V_{\alpha'}$ such that V_i is not a direct summand of $V_{\alpha'}$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha}^- = v^{-\langle \alpha', ki \rangle} \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},\alpha'}^- \mathcal{E}_{\hat{Q},ki}^-.$$

Hence, Formula (13) and Lemma 4.6 imply the desired result. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Deng and J. Xiao. On double Ringel-Hall algebras. *J. Algebra*, 251(1):110–149, 2002.
- [2] J. A. Green. Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 120(1):361–377, 1995.
- [3] S. J. Kang and M. Kashiwara. Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 190(3):699–742, 2012.
- [4] M. Kashiwara. Global crystal bases of quantum groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 69(2):455–485, 1993.
- [5] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda. A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I. *Represent. Theory*, 13(14):309–347, 2009.
- [6] Z. Lin, J. Xiao, and G. Zhang. Representations of tame quivers and affine canonical bases. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 47(4):825–885, 2011.

- [7] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(2):447–498, 1990.
- [8] G. Lusztig. Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4(2):365–421, 1991.
- [9] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases and Hall algebras. In *Representation theories and algebraic geometry*, pages 365–399. Springer, 1998.
- [10] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to quantum groups*. Springer, 2010.
- [11] C. M. Ringel. Hall algebras and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 101(1):583–591, 1990.
- [12] R. Rouquier. 2-Kac-Moody algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0812.5023*, 2008.
- [13] R. Rouquier. Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras. *Algebra Colloq.*, 19(2):359–410, 2012.
- [14] O. Schiffmann. Lectures on Hall algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0611617*, 2006.
- [15] B. Sevenhant and M. Van den Bergh. On the double of the Hall algebra of a quiver. *J. Algebra*, 221(1):135–160, 1999.
- [16] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot. Canonical bases and KLR-algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 2011(659):67–100, 2011.
- [17] J. Xiao. Drinfeld double and Ringel-Green theory of Hall algebras. *J. Algebra*, 190(1):100–144, 1997.
- [18] J. Xiao, F. Xu, and M. Zhao. Ringel-Hall algebras beyond their quantum groups I: Restriction functor and Green formula. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 22(5):1299–1329, 2019.
- [19] H. Zheng. Categorification of integrable representations of quantum groups. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 30(6):899–932, 2014.

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, BEIJING FORESTRY UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100083, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zhaomh@bjfu.edu.cn (M. Zhao)