

Algebraic construction of current operators in integrable spin chains

Balázs Pozsgay¹

¹*MTA-BME Quantum Dynamics and Correlations Research Group,
Department of Theoretical Physics,
Budapest University of Technology and Economics,
1521 Budapest, Hungary*

Generalized Hydrodynamics is a recent theory that describes the large scale transport properties of one dimensional integrable models. At the heart of this theory lies an exact quantum-classical correspondence, which states that the flows of the conserved quantities are essentially quasi-classical even in the interacting quantum many body models. We provide the algebraic background to this observation, by embedding the current operators of the integrable spin chains into the canonical framework of Yang-Baxter integrability. Our construction can be applied in a large variety of models including the XXZ spin chains, the Hubbard model, and even in models lacking particle conservation such as the XYZ chain. Regarding the XXZ chain we present a simplified proof of the recent exact results for the current mean values, and explain how their quasi-classical nature emerges from the exact computations.

Introduction.— The non-equilibrium dynamics of one dimensional quantum integrable systems has attracted a lot of interest [1]. Integrable models possess a large number of commuting conserved charges, constraining their dynamical processes and leading to dissipationless and factorized scattering. This exotic dynamical behaviour has a number of experimentally measurable consequences, for example a lack of thermalization [2, 3]. Two central theoretical problems have been the equilibration in isolated integrable models, and the description of transport in spatially inhomogeneous and/or driven systems. Regarding equilibration it is now accepted that the emerging steady states can be described by the Generalized Gibbs Ensemble [4, 5]. Regarding transport the theory of Generalized Hydrodynamics (GHD) was introduced in [6, 7], which describes both the ballistic modes and also the diffusive corrections [8, 9]. Recent works [10–12] also treated the phenomenon of super-diffusion.

In GHD a central role is played by the current operators describing the flow of conserved quantities. The continuity relations for these flows completely determine the transport at the Euler-scale [6, 7]. It is thus of utmost importance to understand the mean currents in local or global equilibria. The works [6, 7] argued that in the thermodynamic limit the currents are given by a formula of the type

$$J = \int d\lambda \rho(\lambda) v_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) h(\lambda), \quad (1)$$

where λ is a rapidity parameter, $h(\lambda)$ is the one-particle charge eigenvalue, $\rho(\lambda)$ is the differential particle density per volume and rapidity, and $v_{\text{eff}}(\lambda)$ is an “effective velocity” that describes the propagation of single particle wave packets in the presence of the other particles [13]. Clearly, this concept is quasi-classical, and it assumes the dissipationless scattering of integrable models.

The formula (1) has received continued attention. It was known that it holds in models equivalent to free

bosons or free fermions, where $v_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) = e'(\lambda)/p'(\lambda)$ is the group velocity [14]. In interacting cases proofs were given in various settings [6, 15–19]. The paper [20] derived a new and exact finite volume formula for the mean currents in the Heisenberg spin chains, and a connection to long range deformed models was pointed out in [21]. However, the microscopic proofs were not transparent enough and did not fully explain why there exist such simple and exact formulas for the currents. Furthermore, the direct algebraic representation of the current operators was missing.

In this Letter we fill this gap. We make a direct connection to the Quantum Inverse Scattering Method (QISM) pioneered by L. Faddeev and the Leningrad school [22, 23]. This is the canonical framework to treat local quantum integrable systems. For the first time we show that the QISM also accommodates the current operators, leading to a simplified rigorous derivation of their mean values, corroborating their quasi-classical nature.

Charges and currents.— We consider integrable spin chains in finite volume, given by a local Hamiltonian \hat{H} acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \otimes_{j=1}^L V_j$ with $V_j \simeq \mathbb{C}^d$. We assume periodic boundary conditions.

Examples are the XXX, XXZ and XYZ Heisenberg spin chains [22, 24], or the 1D Hubbard model [25]. These integrable models possess a canonical set of local conserved charges \hat{Q}_α that are in involution $[\hat{Q}_\alpha, \hat{Q}_\beta] = 0$, such that \hat{H} belongs to the family. The charges can be written as $\hat{Q}_\alpha = \sum_x \hat{q}_\alpha(x)$, with $\hat{q}_\alpha(x)$ being the charge density operators.

The flow of these charges is described by the current operators $\hat{J}_\alpha(x)$, defined through the continuity relations

$$i \left[\hat{H}, \hat{q}_\alpha(x) \right] = \hat{J}_\alpha(x) - \hat{J}_\alpha(x+1). \quad (2)$$

Following [20, 26] we also introduce the generalized current operators $\hat{J}_{\alpha,\beta}$ that describe the flow of \hat{Q}_α under the time evolution generated by \hat{Q}_β . They are defined

through

$$i \left[\hat{Q}_\beta, \hat{q}_\alpha(x) \right] = \hat{J}_{\alpha,\beta}(x) - \hat{J}_{\alpha,\beta}(x+1). \quad (3)$$

It is our goal to compute the exact mean values of $\hat{J}_{\alpha,\beta}$ in the eigenstates of the models, and to show that they always take a form analogous to (1).

Transfer matrices.— The standard method to find the commuting set of charges is the QISM [22, 23]. We start with the so-called R -matrix $R(\mu, \nu) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$ which satisfies the Yang-Baxter relation:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) R_{13}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) R_{23}(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) &= \\ &= R_{23}(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) R_{13}(\lambda_1, \lambda_3) R_{12}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This is a relation for operators acting on the triple tensor product $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$ and we assume $V_j \simeq \mathbb{C}^d$. It is understood that each R_{jk} acts only on the corresponding vector spaces. Examples for R -matrices (describing the above mentioned models) can be found in [22, 24, 25]. We assume that the so-called regularity and unitarity conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda, \lambda) &= P \\ R_{12}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) R_{21}(\lambda_2, \lambda_1) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here P is the permutation operator and $R_{21}(u, v) = PR_{12}(u, v)P$.

The charges are obtained from a commuting set of transfer matrices. Let us take an auxiliary space $V_a \simeq \mathbb{C}^d$ and the Lax-operators $\mathcal{L}_{a,j}(u)$ which act on V_a and on a local space V_j with $j = 1 \dots L$, where L is the length of the chain. We require that the following exchange relation holds:

$$R_{b,a}(\nu, \mu) \mathcal{L}_{b,j}(\nu) \mathcal{L}_{a,j}(\mu) = \mathcal{L}_{a,j}(\mu) \mathcal{L}_{b,j}(\nu) R_{b,a}(\nu, \mu) \quad (6)$$

with a, b referring to two different auxiliary spaces. It follows from (4) that $\mathcal{L}_{a,j}(\mu) = R_{a,j}(\mu, \xi_0)$ is a solution to (6), where ξ_0 is a fixed parameter of the model. In the following we use this choice and assume that $\xi_0 = 0$.

The monodromy matrix acting on $V_a \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$\hat{T}_a(\mu) = \mathcal{L}_{a,L}(\mu) \dots \mathcal{L}_{a,1}(\mu). \quad (7)$$

The transfer matrix is its partial trace over the auxiliary space: $\hat{t}(\mu) = \text{Tr}_a \hat{T}_a(\mu)$. The fundamental exchange relations (6) guarantee that $[\hat{t}(\mu), \hat{t}(\nu)] = 0$. A generating function for global charges is then defined as [22, 23]

$$\hat{Q}(\nu) \equiv (-i) \hat{t}^{-1}(\nu) \frac{d}{d\nu} \hat{t}(\nu) \quad (8)$$

The traditional charges are the Taylor coefficients:

$$\hat{Q}(\nu) = \sum_{\alpha=2}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2)!} \hat{Q}_\alpha. \quad (9)$$

The \hat{Q}_α are extensive, and the density $\hat{q}_\alpha(x)$ spans α sites [27]; in particular $\hat{H} \sim \hat{Q}_2$. The definition (8) makes sense in any finite volume, but it gives the correct \hat{Q}_α only if $L > \alpha$. In the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit the operator $\hat{Q}(\mu)$ is expected to be quasi-local in some neighborhood of $\mu = 0$, for proofs in concrete cases see [28–30].

Charge densities.— Writing $\hat{Q}(\mu) = \sum_{x=1}^L \hat{q}(\mu, x)$ we can identify the corresponding operator density as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}(\mu, x) &\equiv (-i) \hat{t}^{-1}(\mu) \times \\ &\times \text{Tr}_a \left[\hat{T}_a^{[L, x+1]}(\mu) \partial_\mu \mathcal{L}_{a,x}(\mu) \hat{T}_a^{[x-1, 1]}(\mu) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here we defined the partial monodromy matrices acting on a segment $[x_1 \dots x_2]$ as

$$\hat{T}_a^{[x_2, x_1]}(\mu) = \mathcal{L}_{a,x_2}(\mu) \dots \mathcal{L}_{a,x_1}(\mu). \quad (11)$$

The definition (10) is homogeneous in space: $\hat{q}(\mu, x) = \hat{U}^{-1} \hat{q}(\mu, x+1) \hat{U}$, where \hat{U} is the cyclic shift operator to the right.

Current operators.— We also construct a generating function for the currents:

$$\hat{J}(\mu, \nu, x) = \sum_{\alpha=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2)!} \frac{\nu^{\beta-2}}{(\beta-2)!} \hat{J}_{\alpha,\beta}(x). \quad (12)$$

This two-parameter family of operators satisfies the generalized continuity relation

$$i \left[\hat{Q}(\nu), \hat{q}(\mu, x) \right] = \hat{J}(\mu, \nu, x) - \hat{J}(\mu, \nu, x+1). \quad (13)$$

The summation in (12) only makes sense in the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit, where we expect that $J(\mu, \nu, x)$ is a finite norm operator localized around x , at least in some neighborhood of $\mu = \nu = 0$. Relation (13) is well defined in any finite volume, if we use (8)-(10).

It is our goal to give an explicit construction for $\hat{J}(\mu, \nu, x)$. We start with the commutator

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{t}(\nu), \hat{q}(\mu, x)] &= (-i) \hat{t}^{-1}(\mu) \times \\ &\times \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \text{Tr}_{ab} \left(\hat{T}_b(\nu) \hat{T}_a^\varepsilon(\mu) - \hat{T}_a^\varepsilon(\mu) \hat{T}_b(\nu) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where now a and b refer to two different auxiliary spaces, and $\hat{T}_a^\varepsilon(\mu)$ is a deformed monodromy matrix defined as

$$\hat{T}_a^\varepsilon(\mu) = \hat{T}_a^{[L, x+1]}(\mu) \mathcal{L}_{a,x}(\mu + \varepsilon) \hat{T}_a^{[x-1, 1]}(\mu). \quad (15)$$

The modification of the rapidity parameter at site x is the reason for the non-commutativity, and this will result in the appearance of the current operators.

At $\varepsilon = 0$ the intertwining of the monodromy matrices is performed by a repeated application of (6). In $\hat{T}^\varepsilon(\mu)$ the difference is that there is one Lax operator with a modified rapidity. At that particular site the exchange is also given by (6), but it involves $R_{b,a}(\nu, \mu + \varepsilon)$. Inserting

these commutation relations into (14) and performing the ε -derivative we eventually obtain

$$\hat{t}^{-1}(\nu) [\hat{t}(\nu), \hat{q}(\mu, x)] = \hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x) - \hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x-1), \quad (16)$$

where we introduced a new “double row” operator

$$\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x) = \hat{t}^{-1}(\nu) \hat{t}^{-1}(\mu) \text{Tr}_{ab} \left[\hat{T}_a^{[L, x+1]}(\mu) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \hat{T}_b^{[L, x+1]}(\nu) \Theta_{a,b}(\mu, \nu) \hat{T}_a^{[x, 1]}(\mu) \hat{T}_b^{[x, 1]}(\nu) \right]. \quad (17)$$

Here

$$\Theta_{a,b}(\mu, \nu) = (-i) R_{b,a}(\nu, \mu) \partial_\mu R_{a,b}(\mu, \nu) \quad (18)$$

is an operator insertion acting only on the auxiliary spaces, coupling the two monodromy matrices.

Taking a further ν -derivative on the l.h.s. of (16) we recognize the continuity equation (13) and identify

$$\hat{J}(\mu, \nu, x) = -\hat{t}(\nu) \partial_\nu \hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x-1) \hat{t}^{-1}(\nu). \quad (19)$$

Let $|\Psi\rangle$ be an arbitrary eigenstate of the commuting transfer matrices. For the mean values we get:

$$\langle \Psi | \hat{J}(\mu, \nu, x) | \Psi \rangle = -\partial_\nu \langle \Psi | \hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x-1) | \Psi \rangle. \quad (20)$$

This connects the ν -derivatives of $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x)$ to the current mean values. To complete the picture, we also compute the initial value at $\nu = 0$. Direct substitution and the regularity condition lead to $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, 0, x) = \hat{q}(\mu, x)$. Thus $\hat{\Omega}$ not only describes all (generalized) currents, but also all charge densities. Together with (20) this is the first central result of our work.

Symmetry.— We discuss the symmetry of $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x)$ under the exchange of its rapidity variables. The partial monodromy matrices in the definition (17) can be exchanged using (6). Direct computation shows that $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x) = \hat{\Omega}(\nu, \mu, x)$ iff

$$\partial_\mu R_{b,a}(\nu, \mu) + \partial_\nu R_{b,a}(\nu, \mu) = 0. \quad (21)$$

This is satisfied if the R -matrix is of difference form: $R_{b,a}(\nu, \mu) = R_{b,a}(\nu - \mu)$. Examples are the various Heisenberg spin chains, and a famous counter-example is the Hubbard model. This exchange symmetry results in equalities between different charge and current operators, as already observed in [20].

Inhomogeneous cases.— The nature of the operator $\hat{\Omega}$ is better understood if we also consider the inhomogeneous spin chains. Let us take generic complex numbers ξ_L and define the inhomogeneous monodromy matrix

$$\hat{T}_a(\mu) = R_{a,L}(\mu, \xi_L) \dots R_{a,1}(\mu, \xi_1), \quad (22)$$

In this case we can still define the $\hat{\Omega}$ operator with formula (17), replacing each local Lax operator with their inhomogeneous versions, and keeping the insertion $\Theta_{a,b}(\mu, \nu)$ the same.

Even though $\hat{\Omega}$ is quite complicated, there is a remarkable simplification when the parameters μ, ν are chosen from the set ξ_L . Let us take for simplicity $\mu = \xi_1, \nu = \xi_2$ and set $x = 2$. A straightforward computations leads to

$$\hat{\Omega}(\xi_1, \xi_2, 2) = \Theta_{1,2}(\xi_1, \xi_2). \quad (23)$$

This means that for these special values $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x)$ becomes an ultra-local operator acting only on the first two sites. This bridges a connection to the theory of factorized correlation functions in the XXZ chain [31–36], where the mean value of $\Theta_{1,2}(\xi_2, \xi_1)$ is one of the basic building blocks. Our contribution here is the construction of $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x)$ for general μ, ν , and the explanation that it describes the currents and the charges. The result (23) is also analogous to the “solution of the inverse problem” [37, 38], where the monodromy matrix elements can be specialized such that they become ultra-local operators acting on single sites only.

Mean values.— We return to the homogeneous case and employ a trick originally developed in [34]. We relate the mean values of $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x)$ to a transfer matrix eigenvalue in an auxiliary problem. Consider an enlarged spin chain with two extra sites. Choose a rapidity μ and a deformation parameter ε . The enlarged monodromy matrix acts on $V_a \otimes V_{L+2} \otimes V_{L+1} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and is given by

$$\hat{T}_a^+(u) = R_{a,L+2}(u, \mu + \varepsilon) R_{L+1,a}^{t_{L+1}}(\mu, u) T_a(u), \quad (24)$$

where $T_a(u)$ is given by (7), and t_{L+1} denotes partial transposition with respect to the physical space at site $x = L + 1$. The Yang-Baxter relation implies that $R_{L+1,a}^{t_{L+1}}(\mu, u)$ also satisfies the exchange relation (6), thus the transfer matrices defined as $\hat{t}^+(u) = \text{Tr}_a T_a^+(u)$ form a commuting set.

At $\varepsilon = 0$ the extra two sites become decoupled: If $|\Psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the original $\hat{t}(u)$ with eigenvalue $\Lambda(u)$, then

$$\hat{t}^+(u) \left(|\delta\rangle \otimes |\Psi\rangle \right) = \Lambda(u) \left(|\delta\rangle \otimes |\Psi\rangle \right). \quad (25)$$

Here $|\delta\rangle$ is the “delta-state” given by components δ_{ij} in the computational basis.

After switching on a non-zero ε the first two sites will affect the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors. Let $\Lambda^+(u|\mu, \varepsilon)$ be the eigenvalue of $\hat{t}^+(u)$ on a state $|\Psi^+\rangle$ which in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ becomes $|\delta\rangle \otimes |\Psi\rangle$. A standard first order perturbation theory computation gives [44]

$$\langle \Psi | \hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x) | \Psi \rangle = i \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \log \Lambda^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0}. \quad (26)$$

This is the second central result of our work, which applies essentially to “all” Yang-Baxter integrable local chains. The eigenvalues $\Lambda^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon)$ can always be found by standard methods of integrability, and this explains why there exist simple exact formulas for the current

mean values. The specifics of the model come into play only when we are actually solving the auxiliary problem.

Heisenberg spin chain.— As an example we take the easy-axis XXZ chain defined by the Hamiltonian density

$$\hat{h}(j) = \hat{\sigma}_j^x \hat{\sigma}_{j+1}^x + \hat{\sigma}_j^y \hat{\sigma}_{j+1}^y + \Delta(\hat{\sigma}_j^z \hat{\sigma}_{j+1}^z - 1) \quad (27)$$

Here $\hat{\sigma}_j^{x,y,z}$ are Pauli matrices acting on site j and $\Delta = \cosh(\eta) > 1$ is the anisotropy parameter. The associated R -matrix is of the form

$$R(\mu, \nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b(\mu - \nu) & c(\mu - \nu) & 0 \\ 0 & c(\mu - \nu) & b(\mu - \nu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

with $b(u) = \sin(u)/\sin(u+i\eta)$, $c(u) = \sin(i\eta)/\sin(u+i\eta)$.

The model can be solved by the Algebraic Bethe Ansatz (ABA) [22]. Eigenstates are labeled by a set of rapidities λ_N , describing N interacting spin waves, satisfying the Bethe equations

$$p(\lambda_k)L + \sum_{j \neq k}^N \delta(\lambda_k - \lambda_j) = 2\pi Z_k, \quad Z_k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (29)$$

where L is the length of the chain, and

$$e^{ip(\lambda)} = \frac{\sin(\lambda - i\eta/2)}{\sin(\lambda + i\eta/2)}, \quad e^{i\delta(\lambda)} = \frac{\sin(\lambda + i\eta)}{\sin(\lambda - i\eta)}. \quad (30)$$

For the generating function of the conserved charges we find the eigenvalues $\hat{Q}(\nu)|\lambda_N\rangle = Q(\nu)|\lambda_N\rangle$ where $Q(\nu) \simeq \sum_{j=1}^N h(\lambda_j - \nu)$ and $h(u) = p'(u)$. Here and in the following the \simeq sign means that there are correction terms behaving as $\mathcal{O}(\nu^L)$ or $\mathcal{O}(\mu^L)$ for small μ, ν .

The auxiliary spin chain problem defined by (24) can also be solved using ABA. Here we present the outline of the computation; for the details we refer to [39]. It turns out that the main effect of the extra two sites is that they act as a momentum dependent twist operator for the particles of the original chain. This deforms the Bethe equations and their solutions. We get

$$-\varepsilon h(\lambda_k - \mu) + p(\lambda_k)L + \sum_{j \neq k}^N \delta(\lambda_k - \lambda_j) \simeq 2\pi Z_k, \quad (31)$$

where μ is the external parameter introduced in (24). Furthermore, we have $\partial_\nu \log \Lambda^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon) \simeq iQ(\nu)$, where $Q(\nu)$ is the same function introduced above, but evaluated at the ε -deformed rapidities [39]. Equations (19) and (26) then lead to

$$\langle \lambda_N | \hat{J}(\mu, \nu, x) | \lambda_N \rangle \simeq \sum_{j=1}^N h'(\lambda_j - \nu) \frac{d\lambda_j}{d\varepsilon}. \quad (32)$$

If we regard the solution λ_N of (29) as functions of the Z_k , then the ε -derivatives can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\lambda_j}{d\varepsilon} \simeq \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial (2\pi Z_k)} h(\lambda_k - \mu). \quad (33)$$

Then the result (32) is written as

$$\langle \lambda_N | \hat{J}(\mu, \nu, x) | \lambda_N \rangle \simeq \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial Q(\nu)}{\partial (2\pi Z_k)} h(\lambda_k - \mu). \quad (34)$$

Expanding to low orders in μ and ν we get the final result

$$\langle \lambda_N | \hat{J}_{\alpha, \beta}(x) | \lambda_N \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial Q_\beta}{\partial (2\pi Z_k)} h_\alpha(\lambda_k). \quad (35)$$

Even though the intermediate formulas were only approximate, the final result (35) is exact, and agrees with [20, 21]; the exact formula for $\langle \lambda_N | \hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x) | \lambda_N \rangle$ is presented in [39].

Interpretation.— Consider the semi-classical picture of N particles moving on the circle of circumference L , subject to two-particle scattering events described by the phase shift $\delta(\lambda)$ defined above. In this situation $(2\pi Z_k)/L$ can be interpreted as the “dressed momentum” of the particles, which takes into account the interaction between the particles. Then the formula (35) is interpreted as

$$\langle \lambda_N | \hat{J}_{\alpha, \beta}(x) | \lambda_N \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=1}^N v_{\text{eff}, \beta}(\lambda_k) h_\alpha(\lambda_k) \quad (36)$$

with $v_{\text{eff}, \beta}(\lambda_k) = L \partial Q_\beta / \partial (2\pi Z_k)$ being the natural generalization of the group velocity under time evolution dictated by \hat{Q}_β . For more details see [20, 21].

Thermodynamic limit.— It is possible to take the thermodynamic limit of (35) with a direct approach, reproducing the results of [6, 7]. Alternatively, we can apply the Quantum Transfer Matrix approach [34, 36] directly in the thermodynamic limit. These computations will be presented elsewhere.

Discussion.— We constructed a generating function for the charge densities and the current operators using standard tools of Yang-Baxter integrability. The main formulas are model independent.

Our construction explains why there exist simple formulas for the current mean values: because they are tied to certain transfer matrix eigenvalues through (20) and (26). In integrable models such eigenvalues are always “easy” to compute, in contrast with generic correlation functions, which are much more difficult to handle. This means that the current operators are the “next simplest” operators after the charge densities.

We demonstrated on the example of the XXZ chain that the current mean values have a quasi-classical interpretation. Our derivations suggest that this is a generic feature of integrable spin chains. The ultimate physical reason for this behaviour is the dissipationless and factorized scattering in integrable models, and our work provided new algebraic tools to treat this phenomenon. We stress that our computations are completely rigorous.

The approximations above were only introduced to provide a more intuitive understanding. Thus we made an important step towards proving the emergence of hydrodynamics in a quantum many body situation.

In future work we plan to compute the currents in models not yet considered in the literature. A particularly interesting case is the XYZ model, which belongs to the class of models treated here, but lacks particle conservation on the microscopic level. Furthermore, it would be interesting to consider current operators also in the Separation of Variables approach [40–43].

Acknowledgments.— The author is grateful to Frank Göhmann, Benjamin Doyon, Yunfeng Jiang, Márton Kormos and Gábor Takács for useful discussions and important suggestions about the manuscript. Furthermore we thank Levente Pristiyák for checking of some of the formulas in the Supplemental Materials. This research was supported by the BME-Nanotechnology FIKP grant (BME FIKP-NAT), by the National Research Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH) (K-2016 grant no. 119204), by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, and by the ÚNKP-19-4 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology.

-
- [1] P. Calabrese, F. H. L. Essler, and G. Mussardo, Introduction to ‘quantum integrability in out of equilibrium systems’, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2016**, 064001 (2016).
- [2] T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, A quantum newton’s cradle, *Nature* **440**, 900 (2006).
- [3] T. Langen, S. Erne, R. Geiger, B. Rauer, T. Schweigler, M. Kuhnert, W. Rohringer, I. E. Mazets, T. Gasenzer, and J. Schmiedmayer, Experimental observation of a generalized Gibbs ensemble, *Science* **348**, 207 (2015), [arXiv:1411.7185 \[cond-mat.quant-gas\]](#) .
- [4] E. Ilievski, J. De Nardis, B. Wouters, J.-S. Caux, F. H. L. Essler, and T. Prosen, Complete Generalized Gibbs Ensembles in an Interacting Theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 157201 (2015), [arXiv:1507.02993 \[quant-ph\]](#) .
- [5] L. Vidmar and M. Rigol, Generalized Gibbs ensemble in integrable lattice models, *J. Stat. Mech.* **6**, 064007 (2016), [arXiv:1604.03990 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [6] O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, B. Doyon, and T. Yoshimura, Emergent Hydrodynamics in Integrable Quantum Systems Out of Equilibrium, *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041065 (2016), [arXiv:1605.07331 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [7] B. Bertini, M. Collura, J. De Nardis, and M. Fagotti, Transport in Out-of-Equilibrium XXZ Chains: Exact Profiles of Charges and Currents, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 207201 (2016), [arXiv:1605.09790 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [8] J. De Nardis, D. Bernard, and B. Doyon, Hydrodynamic Diffusion in Integrable Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 160603 (2018), [1807.02414 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [9] J. D. Nardis, D. Bernard, and B. Doyon, Diffusion in generalized hydrodynamics and quasiparticle scattering, *SciPost Phys.* **6**, 49 (2019), [arXiv:1812.00767 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [10] U. Agrawal, S. Gopalakrishnan, R. Vasseur, and B. Ware, Anomalous low-frequency conductivity in easy-plane XXZ spin chains, arXiv e-prints (2019), [arXiv:1909.05263 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [11] V. B. Bulchandani, Kardar-Parisi-Zhang universality from soft gauge modes, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 041411 (2020), [arXiv:1910.08266 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [12] J. De Nardis, S. Gopalakrishnan, E. Ilievski, and R. Vasseur, Superdiffusion from emergent classical solitons in quantum spin chains, arXiv e-prints (2020), [arXiv:2003.13708 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [13] B. Doyon, T. Yoshimura, and J.-S. Caux, Soliton Gases and Generalized Hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 045301 (2018), [arXiv:1704.05482 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [14] M. Fagotti, Charges and currents in quantum spin chains: late-time dynamics and spontaneous currents, *J. Phys. A* **50**, 034005 (2017), [arXiv:1608.02869 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [15] A. Urichuk, Y. Oez, A. Klümper, and J. Sirker, The spin Drude weight of the XXZ chain and generalized hydrodynamics, *SciPost Phys.* **6**, 5 (2019), [arXiv:1808.09033](#) .
- [16] D.-L. Vu and T. Yoshimura, Equations of state in generalized hydrodynamics, *SciPost Phys.* **6**, 23 (2019), [arXiv:1809.03197 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [17] Z. Bajnok and I. Vona, Exact finite volume expectation values of conserved currents, *Phys. Lett. B* **805**, 135446 (2020), [arXiv:1911.08525 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [18] H. Spohn, The collision rate ansatz for the classical Toda lattice, arXiv e-prints (2020), [arXiv:2004.03802 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [19] T. Yoshimura and H. Spohn, Collision rate ansatz for quantum integrable systems, arXiv e-prints (2020), [arXiv:2004.07113 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [20] M. Borsi, B. Pozsgay, and L. Pristiyák, Current operators in Bethe Ansatz and Generalized Hydrodynamics: An exact quantum/classical correspondence, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 011054 (2020), [arXiv:1908.07320 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [21] B. Pozsgay, Current operators in integrable spin chains: lessons from long range deformations, *SciPost Phys.* **8**, 016 (2020), [arXiv:1910.12833 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [22] V. Korepin, N. Bogoliubov, and A. Izergin, *Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions* (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- [23] L. Faddeev, Instructive history of the quantum inverse scattering method, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* **39**, 69 (1995).
- [24] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics* (London: Academic Press Inc, 1982).
- [25] F. H. L. Essler, H. Frahm, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, and V. E. Korepin, *The One-Dimensional Hubbard Model* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).
- [26] B. Doyon and T. Yoshimura, A note on generalized hydrodynamics: inhomogeneous fields and other concepts, *SciPost Physics* **2**, 014 (2017), [arXiv:1611.08225 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [27] M. Lüscher, Dynamical charges in the quantized renormalized massive thirring model, *Nuclear Physics B* **117**, 475 (1976).
- [28] E. Ilievski, M. Medenjak, and T. Prosen, Quasiloal Conserved Operators in the Isotropic Heisenberg Spin-1/2 Chain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 120601 (2015), [arXiv:1506.05049 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [29] E. Ilievski, M. Medenjak, T. Prosen, and L. Zadnik, Quasiloal charges in integrable lattice systems, *J. Stat.*

- Mech.* **6**, 064008 (2016), [arXiv:1603.00440 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [30] G. Z. Fehér and B. Pozsgay, Generalized Gibbs Ensemble and string-charge relations in nested Bethe Ansatz, *SciPost Phys.* **8**, 34 (2020), [arXiv:1909.04470 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [31] H. E. Boos and V. E. Korepin, Quantum spin chains and riemann zeta function with odd arguments, *J. Phys. A* **34**, 5311 (2001), [hep-th/0104008](#) .
- [32] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov, and Y. Takeyama, Hidden Grassmann Structure in the XXZ Model II: Creation Operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **286**, 875 (2009), [arXiv:0801.1176 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [33] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and F. Smirnov, Hidden grassmann structure in the xxz model iii: introducing the matsubara direction, *J. Phys. A* **42**, 304018 (2009), [arXiv:0811.0439 \[math-ph\]](#) .
- [34] H. E. Boos, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, and J. Suzuki, Factorization of the finite temperature correlation functions of the xxz chain in a magnetic field, *J. Phys. A* **40**, 10699 (2007), [arXiv:0705.2716](#) .
- [35] J. Sato, B. Aufgebauer, H. Boos, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, M. Takahashi, and C. Trippe, Computation of Static Heisenberg-Chain Correlators: Control over Length and Temperature Dependence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 257201 (2011), [arXiv:1105.4447 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#) .
- [36] B. Aufgebauer and A. Klümper, Finite temperature correlation functions from discrete functional equations, *J. Phys. A* **45**, 345203 (2012), [arXiv:1205.5702 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [37] F. Göhmann and V. E. Korepin, Solution of the quantum inverse problem, *J. Phys. A* **33**, 1199 (2000), [arXiv:hep-th/9910253](#) .
- [38] J. M. Maillet and V. Terras, On the quantum inverse scattering problem, *Nuclear Physics B* **575**, 627 (2000), [hep-th/9911030](#) .
- [39] Supplemental Material to “Algebraic construction of current operators in integrable spin chains”.
- [40] E. Sklyanin, Separation of variables in the quantum integrable models related to the Yangian $Y[\mathfrak{sl}(3)]$, *J. Math. Sci.* **80**, 1861 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9212076](#) .
- [41] J. M. Maillet and G. Niccoli, On quantum separation of variables, *J. Math. Phys.* **59**, 091417 (2018), [arXiv:1807.11572 \[math-ph\]](#) .
- [42] A. Cavaglià, N. Gromov, and F. Levkovich-Maslyuk, Separation of variables and scalar products at any rank, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2019** (9), 52, [arXiv:1907.03788 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [43] G. Niccoli, H. Pei, and V. Terras, Correlation functions by Separation of Variables: the XXX spin chain, [arXiv e-prints](#) (2020), [arXiv:2005.01334 \[math-ph\]](#) .
- [44] Supplemental Materials to “Algebraic construction of current operators in integrable spin chains”
-

Supplemental Materials:

Algebraic construction of current operators in integrable spin chains

RELATING $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu)$ TO TRANSFER MATRIX EIGENVALUES

Here we prove relation (26) of the main text, which connects the mean values of $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu)$ to transfer matrix eigenvalues of an auxiliary spin chain. The derivations in this Sections are model independent, and they are a simple generalization of an analogous computation presented in [S1, S2].

We consider the transfer matrix $\hat{t}^+(u|\mu, \varepsilon) = \text{Tr}_a \hat{T}_a^+(u)$, where $\hat{T}_a^+(u)$ is the monodromy matrix of the auxiliary chain defined in (24) of the main text. It is easy to see that at $\varepsilon = 0$ a subset of eigenstates of $\hat{t}^+(u|\mu, \varepsilon)$ is given by states of the form

$$|\Psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}|\delta\rangle \otimes |\Psi\rangle, \quad (\text{S1})$$

where $|\delta\rangle$ is the delta-state on the extra two sites and $|\Psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the original model. The number d is the dimensionality of the local Hilbert space, thus the two-site vector $|\delta\rangle/\sqrt{d}$ is normalized to 1.

Let us now compute the following action on such a vector:

$$\frac{-i}{\sqrt{d}\Lambda(\mu)\Lambda(\nu)}\hat{t}^+(\mu|\mu, 0) \left. \frac{d\hat{t}^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} (|\delta\rangle \otimes |\Psi\rangle). \quad (\text{S2})$$

Here $\Lambda(u)$ with $u = \mu, \nu$ are the eigenvalues of the original transfer matrices on $|\Psi\rangle$, but at $\varepsilon = 0$ they coincide with the eigenvalues of $\hat{t}(u)$ on $|\Psi^+\rangle$.

Substituting the special form of the transfer matrices, and using the regularity conditions it can be seen that the resulting vector is equal to

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}|\delta\rangle \otimes \left(\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu)|\Psi\rangle \right). \quad (\text{S3})$$

Here an extra minus sign appeared because the transfer matrices in (S2) lead to the formula (17) of the main text with $\Theta_{a,b}(\mu, \nu)$ replaced by

$$(-i)\partial_\mu R_{b,a}(\nu, \mu)R_{a,b}(\mu, \nu) = iR_{b,a}(\nu, \mu)\partial_\mu R_{a,b}(\mu, \nu) = -\Theta_{a,b}(\mu, \nu). \quad (\text{S4})$$

The first equality above follows from the unitarity condition.

Now we intend to apply the Hellmann-Feynman theorem. Let $\langle\Psi^+|$ be the dual vector to $|\Psi^+\rangle$ defined above, normalized to $\langle\Psi^+|\Psi^+\rangle = 1$. We take the scalar product with the vector in (S2):

$$\frac{-i}{\Lambda(\mu)\Lambda(\nu)}\langle\Psi^+|\hat{t}^+(\mu|\mu, 0) \left. \frac{d\hat{t}^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} |\Psi^+\rangle = \frac{-i}{\Lambda(\nu)}\langle\Psi^+| \left. \frac{d\hat{t}^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} |\Psi^+\rangle. \quad (\text{S5})$$

Applying the Hellmann-Feynman theorem

$$\langle\Psi^+| \left. \frac{d\hat{t}^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} |\Psi^+\rangle = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Lambda^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}. \quad (\text{S6})$$

Putting (S2), (S3) and (S6) together

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\langle\Psi^+| \left[|\delta\rangle \otimes \left(\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu)|\Psi\rangle \right) \right] = i \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \log \Lambda^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}. \quad (\text{S7})$$

To complete the proof we need to show that the l.h.s. above is equal to $\langle\Psi|\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu)|\Psi\rangle$. Note that $\langle\Psi^+| \neq |\Psi^+\rangle^\dagger$, because the transfer matrix $\hat{t}^+(u|\mu, 0)$ does not involve Hermitian operators at finite μ . Nevertheless it can be argued that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\langle\Psi^+| \left[|\delta\rangle \otimes \left(\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu)|\Psi\rangle \right) \right] = \langle\Psi|\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu)|\Psi\rangle. \quad (\text{S8})$$

To see this, consider $|\delta\rangle \otimes \left(\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu)|\Psi\rangle\right)$, which is a linear combination of vectors of type $|\delta\rangle \otimes |n\rangle$, where $|n\rangle$ are eigenstates of the original transfer matrix. All these vectors are eigenvectors of $\hat{t}^+(u|\mu, 0)$, and thus orthogonal to $\langle\Psi^+|$ except when $|n\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$. This means that when we take scalar product with $\langle\Psi^+|$ only the contribution of $|\Psi^+\rangle$ remains, proving (S8).

Putting everything together we obtain relation (26) of the main text.

THE EXACT MEAN VALUES OF $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu)$

Here we consider the example of the finite volume XXZ spin chain with $\Delta > 1$, and compute the mean values of $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x)$ using relation (26) of the main text. In this model the Lax operators are equal to the fundamental R -matrix. For the sake of completeness we consider here the completely inhomogeneous case. The diagonalization is performed using standard steps of Algebraic Bethe Ansatz [S3].

We thus consider the auxiliary spin chain of length $L + 2$. Let us spell out the ε -deformed monodromy matrix:

$$\hat{T}_a^+(u) = R_{L+2,a}(u - \mu - \varepsilon)R_{L+1,a}^t(\mu - u)R_{L,a}(u - \xi_L) \dots R_{1,a}(u - \xi_1). \quad (\text{S9})$$

Here we used that R is of the difference form, and ξ_L are the inhomogeneity parameters. The transfer matrix is $\hat{t}^+(u|\mu, \varepsilon) = \text{Tr}_a \hat{T}_a^+(u)$; we are interested in its eigenvalues $\Lambda^+(u|\mu, \varepsilon)$. It can be shown that the $\Lambda^+(u|\mu, \varepsilon)$ do not depend on the ordering on the ξ_L or the position of the insertion of the extra two sites. Thus the mean values of $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x)$ are independent of x .

As usual, we decompose the monodromy matrix as

$$\hat{T}^+(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}(u) & \hat{B}(u) \\ \hat{C}(u) & \hat{D}(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{S10})$$

where now $\hat{A}(u), \hat{B}(u), \hat{C}(u), \hat{D}(u)$ are operators acting on the spin chain.

We choose the reference state

$$|0\rangle_{L+2} = |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle_L. \quad (\text{S11})$$

It can be seen that this satisfies the standard annihilation property $\hat{C}(u)|0\rangle_{L+2} = 0$. Furthermore, the reference state is an eigenvector of $\hat{A}(u)$ and $\hat{D}(u)$ with the eigenvalues

$$a(u) = \frac{\sin(u - \mu)}{\sin(u - \mu - i\eta)} \quad d(u) = \frac{\sin(u - \mu - \varepsilon)}{\sin(u - \mu - \varepsilon + i\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^L \frac{\sin(u - \xi_j)}{\sin(u - \xi_j + i\eta)}, \quad (\text{S12})$$

which can be read off the explicit construction (S9).

Bethe states $|\lambda_M\rangle$ are created as

$$|\lambda_M\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^M \hat{B}(\lambda_j - i\eta/2)|0\rangle_{L+2}. \quad (\text{S13})$$

The shift of $-i\eta/2$ is introduced for later convenience. They are eigenstates of the transfer matrix if they satisfy the Bethe equations:

$$\frac{d(\lambda_j)}{a(\lambda_j)} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\sin(\lambda_j - \lambda_k + i\eta)}{\sin(\lambda_j - \lambda_k - i\eta)} = 1, \quad j = 1 \dots M. \quad (\text{S14})$$

In these cases the eigenvalue is

$$\Lambda^+(u|\mu, \varepsilon) = a(u) \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{\sin(u - \lambda_j - i\eta/2)}{\sin(u - \lambda_j + i\eta/2)} + d(u) \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{\sin(u - \lambda_j + 3i\eta/2)}{\sin(u - \lambda_j + i\eta/2)}. \quad (\text{S15})$$

We are interested in eigenstates of the auxiliary chain which in the $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit become states of the form

$$|\delta\rangle \otimes |\lambda_N\rangle, \quad (\text{S16})$$

where $|\delta\rangle$ is the delta-state positioned at the first two sites, and $|\lambda_N\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the original spin chain. The delta-state has a non-trivial structure as opposed to the reference state, and it can be seen that at $\varepsilon = 0$ it is created by $\hat{B}(\mu)$. Thus at finite ε we are looking for a set of rapidities with $M = N + 1$ given by

$$\{\tilde{\mu} + i\eta/2, \tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_N\}, \quad (\text{S17})$$

such that in the $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit they approach $\{\mu + i\eta/2\} \cup \lambda_N$. We expect the solution in the form

$$\tilde{\mu} = \mu + \varepsilon\gamma + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad \tilde{\lambda}_j = \lambda_j + \varepsilon(\Delta\lambda_j) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad (\text{S18})$$

where γ is an unknown ratio of the shifts. In the following we concentrate only on the linear terms in ε .

In order to find the solution at $\varepsilon \neq 0$ we substitute (S17) into the Bethe equations. The equation for $\tilde{\mu}$ becomes

$$\frac{\sin(\tilde{\mu} - \mu - i\eta)}{\sin(\tilde{\mu} - \mu)} \frac{\sin(\tilde{\mu} - \mu - \varepsilon)}{\sin(\tilde{\mu} - \mu - \varepsilon + i\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^L \frac{\sin(\tilde{\mu} - \xi_j)}{\sin(\tilde{\mu} - \xi_j + i\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\sin(\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\lambda}_j + 3i\eta/2)}{\sin(\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\lambda}_j - i\eta/2)} = 1. \quad (\text{S19})$$

This equation fixes γ . Note that both the numerator and the denominator have a factor that goes to 0 in the $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit, thus γ can be found if we substitute $\varepsilon = 0$ in the remaining factors. Let us introduce the function

$$\mathbf{a}(u) = \prod_{j=1}^L \frac{\sin(u - \xi_j)}{\sin(u - \xi_j + i\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\sin(u - \lambda_j + 3i\eta/2)}{\sin(u - \lambda_j - i\eta/2)}, \quad (\text{S20})$$

where λ_j are solutions to the original Bethe equations, given in the main text. Then (S19) gives

$$\gamma = \frac{\mathbf{a}(\mu)}{1 + \mathbf{a}(\mu)}. \quad (\text{S21})$$

Going further, the shifts $\Delta\lambda_k$ can be obtained from the logarithmic form of the Bethe equations for the $\tilde{\lambda}_k$:

$$p(\tilde{\lambda}_k - \mu - \varepsilon) + p(\tilde{\lambda}_k - \mu - i\eta) + \delta(\tilde{\lambda}_k - \tilde{\mu} - i\eta/2) + \sum_{j=1}^L p(\tilde{\lambda}_k - \xi_j) + \sum_{j \neq k} \delta(\tilde{\lambda}_j - \tilde{\lambda}_k) = 2\pi Z_k. \quad (\text{S22})$$

Here $p(u)$ is the single particle lattice momentum and $\delta(u)$ is the scattering phase shift defined in the main text. Using the explicit formulas it can be seen that the sum of the first three terms on the l.h.s. vanishes if $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$.

In order to compute the shift in the set λ_N we introduce the Gaudin matrix G , given by

$$G_{jk} = \left. \frac{\partial(2\pi Z_k)}{\partial \lambda_j} \right|_{\varepsilon=0}. \quad (\text{S23})$$

More explicitly

$$G_{jk} = \delta_{jk} \left[\sum_{l=1}^L h(\lambda_k - \xi_l) + \sum_{l=1}^N \varphi(\lambda_j - \lambda_l) \right] - \varphi(\lambda_j - \lambda_k), \quad (\text{S24})$$

where we introduced

$$h(u) = p'(u) = \frac{\sinh(\eta)}{\sin(u + i\eta/2) \sin(u - i\eta/2)}, \quad \varphi(u) = \delta'(u) = -\frac{\sinh(2\eta)}{\sin(u + i\eta) \sin(u - i\eta)}. \quad (\text{S25})$$

It is important the first three terms in (S22) do not contribute to the Gaudin matrix, because the sum of their λ -derivatives is only $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$, and we have set $\varepsilon = 0$.

Then the shift vector $\Delta\lambda$ is found from

$$G \cdot \Delta\lambda = \mathbf{H}(\mu), \quad (\text{S26})$$

where $\mathbf{H}(\mu)$ is a vector of length N given by the components

$$H_k(\mu) = h(\tilde{\lambda}_k - \mu) + \frac{\mathbf{a}(\mu)}{1 + \mathbf{a}(\mu)} \varphi(\tilde{\lambda}_k - \mu - i\eta/2). \quad (\text{S27})$$

This follows from the l.h.s. of (S22) after substituting also $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + \varepsilon \mathbf{a}(\mu)/(1 + \mathbf{a}(\mu))$.

Let us spell out the eigenvalue given by (S15):

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon) &= \frac{\sin(\nu - \mu) \sin(\nu - \tilde{\mu} - i\eta)}{\sin(\nu - \tilde{\mu}) \sin(\nu - \mu - i\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\sin(\nu - \tilde{\lambda}_j - i\eta/2)}{\sin(\nu - \tilde{\lambda}_j + i\eta/2)} + \\ &+ \frac{\sin(\nu - \mu - \varepsilon) \sin(\nu - \tilde{\mu} + i\eta)}{\sin(\nu - \tilde{\mu}) \sin(\nu - \mu - \varepsilon + i\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^L \frac{\sin(\nu - \xi_j)}{\sin(\nu - \xi_j + i\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\sin(\nu - \tilde{\lambda}_j + 3i\eta/2)}{\sin(\nu - \tilde{\lambda}_j + i\eta/2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S28})$$

At $\varepsilon = 0$, $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_k = \lambda_k$ this coincides with the usual transfer matrix eigenvalue function of the original chain of length L .

The mean value of $\hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x)$ is found from the derivative

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N | \hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x) | \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N \rangle = i \frac{1}{\Lambda^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon)} \left. \frac{d\Lambda^+(\nu|\mu, \varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0}. \quad (\text{S29})$$

A straightforward, but somewhat lengthy computation yields

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N | \hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x) | \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N \rangle = \mathbf{H}(\nu) \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{H}(\mu) + l(\mu, \nu) + l(\nu, \mu) \quad (\text{S30})$$

with

$$l(\mu, \nu) = \frac{h(\nu - \mu + i\eta/2)}{(1 + \mathbf{a}(\mu))(1 + \mathbf{a}^{-1}(\nu))} \quad (\text{S31})$$

Eq. (S30) is the exact finite volume result.

The mean values of the currents are obtained after taking the homogeneous limit $\xi_j = 0$ and performing an expansion into a Taylor series in μ, ν . In a finite volume we are only interested in the current operators $\hat{J}_{\alpha, \beta}$ with $\alpha + \beta \leq L$, because only these operators fit into the volume. In the homogeneous case $\mathbf{a}(u) \sim u^L$, therefore it is safe to substitute $\mathbf{a}(u) \approx 0$ in the above formulas. This means that we can approximate $\mathbf{H}(\mu) \approx \mathbf{h}(\mu)$ where $\mathbf{h}(\mu)$ is a vector with elements $h(\lambda_j - \mu)$. Furthermore, we can substitute $l(\mu, \nu) \approx 0$. This leads to the approximate formulas presented in the main text.

The two main effects of this approximation are that in (S28) we neglect the second term (as usually when computing the charge eigenvalues), and in the exact Bethe equations (S22) we substitute $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$, which leads to the approximation

$$p(\tilde{\lambda}_k - \mu - \varepsilon) - p(\tilde{\lambda}_k - \mu) + \sum_{j=1}^L p(\tilde{\lambda}_k - \xi_j) + \sum_{j \neq k} \delta(\tilde{\lambda}_j - \tilde{\lambda}_k) \simeq 2\pi Z_k. \quad (\text{S32})$$

This leads to eq. (31) of the main text. In this approximation the mean value of $\hat{\Omega}$ is

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N | \hat{\Omega}(\mu, \nu, x) | \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N \rangle \simeq \mathbf{h}(\nu) \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{h}(\mu). \quad (\text{S33})$$

Taking a ν -derivative and expanding to low orders in μ, ν we get the exact result

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N | \hat{J}_{\alpha, \beta}(x) | \boldsymbol{\lambda}_N \rangle = \mathbf{h}'_{\beta} \cdot G^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{\alpha}. \quad (\text{S34})$$

Here \mathbf{h}_{α} is a vector of length N with elements $h_{\alpha}(\lambda_j)$ where

$$h_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{\alpha-2} h(\lambda - \mu) \Big|_{\mu=0} \quad (\text{S35})$$

and similarly for \mathbf{h}'_{β} :

$$h'_{\beta}(\lambda) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \right)^{\beta-2} h(\lambda - \mu) \Big|_{\mu=0} = -h_{\beta+1}(\lambda). \quad (\text{S36})$$

Formula (S34) is the result found in [S4, S5]. It is equivalent to eq. (36) of the main text, because

$$(\mathbf{h}'_{\beta} \cdot G^{-1})_k = \sum_{j=1}^N h'_{\beta}(\lambda_j) \frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial (2\pi Z_k)} = \frac{\partial Q_{\beta}}{\partial (2\pi Z_k)}. \quad (\text{S37})$$

-
- [S1] H. E. Boos, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, and J. Suzuki, Factorization of the finite temperature correlation functions of the xxz chain in a magnetic field, *J. Phys. A* **40**, 10699 (2007), [arXiv:0705.2716](#) .
- [S2] B. Aufgebauer and A. Klümper, Finite temperature correlation functions from discrete functional equations, *J. Phys. A* **45**, 345203 (2012), [arXiv:1205.5702](#) [[cond-mat.stat-mech](#)] .
- [S3] V. Korepin, N. Bogoliubov, and A. Izergin, *Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions* (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- [S4] M. Borsi, B. Pozsgay, and L. Pristiyák, Current operators in Bethe Ansatz and Generalized Hydrodynamics: An exact quantum/classical correspondence, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 011054 (2020), [arXiv:1908.07320](#) [[cond-mat.stat-mech](#)] .
- [S5] B. Pozsgay, Current operators in integrable spin chains: lessons from long range deformations, *SciPost Phys.* **8**, 016 (2020), [arXiv:1910.12833](#) [[cond-mat.stat-mech](#)] .