

**PERMUTATION ORBIFOLDS OF VIRASORO VERTEX ALGEBRAS  
AND  $W$ -ALGEBRAS**

ANTUN MILAS, MICHAEL PENN, CHRISTOPHER SADOWSKI

ABSTRACT. We study permutation orbifolds of the 2-fold and 3-fold tensor product for the Virasoro vertex algebra  $\mathcal{V}_c$  of central charge  $c$ . In particular, we show that for all but finitely many central charges  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  is a  $W$ -algebra of type  $(2, 4, 5, 6^3, 7, 8^3, 9^3, 10^2)$ . We also study orbifolds of their simple quotients and obtain new realizations of certain rational affine  $W$ -algebras associated to a principal nilpotent element. Further analysis of permutation orbifolds of the celebrated  $(2, 5)$ -minimal vertex algebra  $\mathcal{L}_{-\frac{22}{5}}$  is presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any vertex operator algebra  $V$ , the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $V^{\otimes n} = V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$  has a natural vertex operator algebra structure. The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts on  $V^{\otimes n}$  by permuting tensor factors and thus  $S_n \subset \text{Aut}(V^{\otimes n})$ . The  $S_n$ -invariants subalgebra of  $V^{\otimes n}$ , denoted by  $(V^{\otimes n})^{S_n}$  is called the *symmetric orbifold* of  $V^{\otimes n}$ . For any  $n$ -cycle  $\sigma \in S_n$  we let  $(V^{\otimes n})^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$  denote the invariant subalgebra under  $\langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ , called *cyclic* or  *$n$ -cyclic orbifold*. There is a rich literature on this subject; see [1, 5, 7, 6, 12, 13, 14, 24, 25] and reference therein.

We denote by  $\mathcal{V}_c := V_{Vir}(c, 0)$ , the universal Virasoro vertex operator algebra of central charge  $c$  and  $\mathcal{L}_c := L(c, 0)$  its unique simple quotient. It is well-known due to Feigin and Fuchs that

$$\mathcal{V}_c \neq \mathcal{L}_c \Leftrightarrow c = c_{p,q} := 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq},$$

where  $p, q \geq 2$  are coprime integers. Moreover  $\mathcal{L}_{c_{p,q}}$  is lisse and rational if and only if  $c = c_{p,q}$  [29]. We also denote by  $M(c, h)$  the Verma module of lowest weight  $h$  and by  $L(c, h)$  its simple quotient. The vertex algebra  $\mathcal{V}_c$  is of fundamental important in physics, that is, it acts on conformal field theories with a specified central charge.

In this paper we investigate three types of permutation orbifolds: the  $S_2$ -orbifold of  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2}$  and of  $\mathcal{L}_c^{\otimes 2}$ , cyclic orbifolds of  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 3}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_c^{\otimes 3}$ , and an exceptional permutation orbifolds of  $\mathcal{L}_{-\frac{22}{5}}^{\otimes 3}$ . We leave analysis of general  $S_3$ -permutation orbifolds of  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 3}$  for future work [23] as it involves additional computations and further analysis of several special cases. One of the upshots of this paper is that these orbifolds provide several infinite families of rational and lisse vertex algebras, which is a consequence of results in [10, 26].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss basic facts concerning Virasoro vertex algebra, tensor product construction of  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}$ , and their permutation orbifolds. In Section 3, we consider the orbifold  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$ . Our main result here, Theorem 3.1, shows that generically this orbifold is of type  $(2, 4, 6, 8)$ . Section 4 is devoted to analysis of the simple orbifold  $(\mathcal{L}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$ . In particular, we determined its type for special central charges  $c_{2,5}, c_{2,7}, c_{3,4}, c_{3,5}$  and  $c_{2,9}$  (see Theorem 4.1). In Section 5, using recent results of Kanade and Linshaw, we give another interpretation of several families of rational vertex algebras

$(\mathcal{L}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$ . This in particular proves rationality of certain affine  $W$ -algebras of symplectic type (cf. Corollary 5.3) and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -orbifold of affine  $W$ -algebras of orthogonal type (cf. Corollary 5.1). In Section 6 we prove the main result of this paper, Theorem 6.1, on the structure of most general cyclic orbifolds  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ . Section 7 contains a detailed analysis of two important special cases:  $(\mathcal{L}_{-\frac{22}{5}}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_{-\frac{22}{5}}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$ . In particular, we show they are of type (2, 5, 6, 9) and (2, 6), respectively. Then in Section 8, we analyze conformal embeddings among minimal central charges and finally, in Section 9, we outline several questions and directions for future work.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank A. Linshaw for very useful comments on Section 5. We also thank A. Dujella for help with numerical computation in Section 8. We also thank D. Adamović for discussion.

## 2. PERMUTATION ORBIFOLDS OF $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}$

Consider  $\mathcal{V}_c$ , the universal Virasoro vertex algebra with central charge  $c$  generated by the weight 2 vector  $\omega$  with vertex operator  $Y(\omega, z) = L(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$  with

$$(2.1) \quad [L(m), L(n)] = (m-n)L(m+n) + \frac{c}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbb{1},$$

or equivalently, using OPE notation, we have

$$(2.2) \quad L(z)L(w) = \circ L(z)L(w) \circ + \frac{\partial_w L(w)}{(z-w)} + \frac{2L(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{c/2}{(z-w)^4}.$$

For convenience, we suppress the tensor product symbol and let

$$L_i(-m)\mathbb{1} := \underbrace{\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}}_{(i-1)\text{-factors}} \otimes L(-m)\mathbb{1} \otimes \underbrace{\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{1}}_{(n-1-i)\text{-factors}} \in \mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n},$$

such that  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n} = \langle L_1(-2)\mathbb{1}, \dots, L_n(-2)\mathbb{1} \rangle$ . We denote by  $\omega = \omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_n$  the conformal vector. We consider the natural action of  $S_n$  on  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}$  given by permuting tensor factors, that is

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma \cdot L_{i_1}(m_1) \cdots L_{i_k}(m_k)\mathbb{1} = L_{\sigma(i_1)}(m_1) \cdots L_{\sigma(i_k)}(m_k)\mathbb{1},$$

for  $1 \leq i_j \leq n$ ,  $m_j < -1$ , and  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

Next result is certainly known.

**Proposition 2.1.** *We have*

$$\text{Aut } \mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n} = S_n.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that conformal vectors in  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}$  take form  $\sum_{i \in S} \omega_i$ , where  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $f \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}$ . Then  $f(\sum_{i \in S} \omega_i) = \sum_{i \in S'} \omega_i$ , and therefore  $f(\omega) = \omega$ . This implies  $f(\omega_i) = \omega_{\sigma(i)}$  for some  $\sigma \in S_n$ .  $\square$

For a vertex algebra  $V$  denote by  $\text{gr}(V)$  the associated graded Poisson algebra of  $V$  [4, 18]. We have a natural linear isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n} \cong \text{gr}(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}) \cong \mathbb{C}[x_i(m) | 1 \leq i \leq n, m \geq 0]$$

induced by  $L_i(-m-2) \mapsto x_i(m)$  for  $m \geq 0$ . In particular, the Poisson Zhu algebra of  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}$ , denoted by  $R_{\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}}$ , is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . The right-hand is also isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[J_\infty(A^n)]$ , the ring of functions on the arc space of  $A^n$ . The Poisson algebra  $\mathbb{C}[x_i(m) | 1 \leq i \leq n, m \geq 0]$  comes equipped with a derivation

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial : \mathbb{C}[x_i(m) | 1 \leq i \leq n, m \geq 0] &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_i(m) | 1 \leq i \leq n, m \geq 0] \\ x_i(m) &\mapsto x_i(m+1), \end{aligned}$$

and where the action of  $\partial$  is extended to the whole space via the Leibniz rule. This definition of  $\partial$  is compatible with the translation operator in  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}$  given by  $T(v) = v_{-2}\mathbb{1}$ . Then we have a standard result [18, 22] (cf. [4]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $V$  be a vertex algebra with a “good”  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  filtration. If  $\{\tilde{a}_i | i \in I\}$  generates  $gr(V)$  then  $\{a_i | i \in I\}$  strongly generates  $V$ , where  $a_i$  and  $\tilde{a}_i$  are related via the natural linear isomorphism described by the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  filtration.*

We say that  $v \in V$  is *primary* of conformal weight  $r$  if  $L(n)v = 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $L(0)v = rv$ . In our work we consider several vertex algebras strongly generated by the Virasoro vector and several primary fields of conformal weight  $r_1, \dots, r_k$ . Using physics’ terminology we say that such vertex algebra is a  $W$ -algebra of type  $(2, r_1, \dots, r_k)$  (see [8, 9, 15]).

Further, we recall that the invariant ring  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$  has a variety of generating sets, including the power sum polynomials

$$p_i = x_1^i + \dots + x_n^i, \quad i \geq 1.$$

In addition to this, it is common to study the invariant theory of the ring of infinitely many commuting copies of this polynomial algebra, where we denote by  $x_i(m)$  the copy of  $x_i$  from the  $m^{\text{th}}$  copy. A well-known theorem of Weyl [31] shows that  $\mathbb{C}[x_i(m) | 1 \leq i \leq n, m \geq 0]^{S_n}$  is generated by the polarizations of these polynomials

$$(2.6) \quad q_k(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(m_1) \cdots x_i(m_k),$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Now, applying Lemma 2.1, we have an initial strong generating set for the orbifold  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n})^{S_n}$  given by the vectors

$$(2.7) \quad u_k(m_1, \dots, m_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(-2 - m_1) \cdots L_i(-2 - m_k)\mathbb{1},$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $m_j \geq 0$ . Throughout we will switch between working directly in the setting of the vertex operator algebra  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}$  and its copy inside  $(\text{End } \mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n})[[z, z^{-1}]]$  via the vertex operator map

$$Y(\cdot, z) : \mathcal{V}_c(n) \rightarrow (\text{End } \mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes n}(n))[[z, z^{-1}]].$$

Under this map we have

$$(2.8) \quad U_k(m_1, \dots, m_k) := Y(u_k(m_1, \dots, m_k), z) \\ = \frac{1}{(m_1 - 1)!} \cdots \frac{1}{(m_k - 1)!} \sum_{i=1}^n \circ \partial_z^{m_1} L_i(z) \cdots \partial_z^{m_k} L_i(z) \circ,$$

where by  $\circ - \circ$  the normal ordered product and we will often suppress the formal variable  $z$  and write  $\partial^m L_i = \partial_z^m L_i(z)$ .

**2.1. Characters.** Since  $\mathcal{V}_c$  is isomorphic (as graded vector spaces) to  $U(\text{Vir}_{\leq -2})$ , its graded dimension (or simply *character*) is given by

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{V}_c](\tau) = \frac{1}{\prod_{n \geq 2} (1 - q^n)},$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ . For minimal central charges, the character of  $\mathcal{L}_{c_{p,q}}$  is given by [28]

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_{c_{p,q}}](\tau) = \frac{\theta_{p,q}^{1,1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)},$$

where

$$\theta_{p,p'}^{1,1}(\tau) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( q^{j(jpq+q-p)} - q^{(jp+1)(jq+1)} \right)$$

and  $\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i)$ . Equipped with this formula, it is easy to compute the character of cyclic and permutation orbifold algebras using conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  [24]. For special cases relevant for this work, we get (here  $X_c = \mathcal{V}_c$  or  $X_c = \mathcal{L}_c$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}[(X_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}](\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{ch}[X_c]^2(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \text{ch}[X_c](2\tau), \\ \text{ch}[(X_c^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}](\tau) &= \frac{1}{3} \text{ch}[X_c]^3(\tau) + \frac{2}{3} \text{ch}[X_c](3\tau), \\ \text{ch}[(X_c^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}](\tau) &= \frac{1}{6} \text{ch}[\mathcal{V}_c]^3(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \text{ch}[X_c](2\tau) \text{ch}[X_c](\tau) + \frac{1}{3} \text{ch}[X_c](3\tau). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. THE ORBIFOLD $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$

We first consider the symmetric orbifold of  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2}$ . For  $\omega_i$  with  $i = 1, 2$ , define the component operators  $L(n)$  by

$$L_i(z) = Y(\omega_i, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i(n) z^{-n-2}.$$

Consider the vectors

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega &= \omega_1 + \omega_2, \\ w_k &= L_1(2-k)L_1(-2)\mathbb{1} + L_2(2-k)L_2(-2)\mathbb{1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $w_k$  have conformal weight  $k$ . Further we define the component operators  $J_k(n)$  by

$$W^k(z) = Y(w_k, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_k(n) z^{-n-k}.$$

By the classical invariant theory of  $S_2$  it is clear that  $\omega$  along with  $w_k$  for  $k \geq 0$  strongly generate  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$ , our first result minimizes this generating set.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For all  $c \neq \frac{256}{47}$  the orbifold  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$  is strongly generated by  $\omega, w_4, w_6,$  and  $w_8$ . Further  $(\mathcal{V}_{\frac{256}{47}}^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$  is strongly generated by  $\omega, w_4, w_6, w_8,$  and  $w_{10}$ .*

*Proof.* We diagonalize the action of the generator (12) of  $S_2$  by considering the change of basis

$$(3.2) \quad \omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2$$

$$(3.3) \quad u = \omega_1 - \omega_2.$$

We also define the fields associated to these generators:

$$(3.4) \quad L(z) = Y(\omega, z)$$

$$(3.5) \quad U(z) = Y(u, z)$$

When no confusion arises, we shall omit the  $z$ -variable and just write  $L$  and  $U$  for these fields, etc. Finally, we define the field

$$(3.6) \quad W(a, b) = \circ \partial^a U \partial^b U \circ$$

We note here that the Leibniz rule holds for normal orderings:

$$(3.7) \quad \partial W(a, b) = W(a+1, b) + W(a, b+1)$$

so that, with repeated applications of (3.7), we have

$$(3.8) \quad W(a, b) = (-1)^b W(a + b, 0) + \Psi$$

where  $\Psi$  is a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives. We note here that  $W(a, 0)$  is a scalar multiple of  $Y(u_{-a-1}u, z)$  for  $a \geq 0$ .

First, we note that, using (3.8), we have an initial strong generating set with fields  $L$  and  $W(a, 0)$  where  $a \geq 0$ . In fact, using the derivation  $\partial$  this set can be further reduced to contain only  $L$  and  $W(2a, 0)$  where  $a \geq 0$ . Now observe that reduction to our desired generating set is can be achieved by construction of explicit relations that allow us to write  $W(2a, 0)$  for  $a \geq 5$  ( $a \geq 6$  for  $c = \frac{256}{47}$ ) as a normally ordered polynomial in  $L$  and  $W(2b, 0)$  for  $0 \leq b \leq 2$  ( $0 \leq b \leq 3$  for  $c = \frac{256}{47}$ ). We consider the following terms of weight  $9 + a$  for  $a \geq 2$ :

$$(3.9) \quad C_1(a) = \circ W(a, 0)W(1, 0) \circ - \circ W(a, 1)W(0, 0) \circ$$

$$(3.10) \quad C_2(a) = \circ W(a - 1, 1)W(1, 0) \circ - \circ W(a - 1, 0)W(1, 1) \circ$$

Direct computation when  $a$  is an even integer gives

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1(a) = & \frac{(a^4(3c + 11) + a^3(31c + 47) + 51a^2(2c - 1) + a(134c - 137) + 60c + 70)}{30(a + 1)(a + 2)(a + 4)(a + 5)} W(a + 5, 0) + \\ & + \frac{(a^3(c + 14) + 12a^2(c + 6) + a(35c + 22) + 24c - 12)}{24(a + 1)(a + 3)(a + 4)} W(a + 4, 1) + \\ & - \frac{(a^2 + 9a + 10)}{12(a + 1)(a + 2)} W(a + 2, 3) + \frac{1}{6} \circ (\partial^3 L)W(a, 0) \circ + \frac{(a + 2)}{a + 1} \circ (\partial^2 L)W(a + 1, 0) \circ + \\ & + \frac{(5a^2 + 17a + 8)}{2(a + 1)(a + 2)} \circ (\partial L)W(a + 2, 0) \circ + \frac{(a + 2)}{a + 1} \circ (\partial L)W(a + 1, 1) \circ + \\ & + \frac{2(a^2 + 4a + 2)}{(a + 2)(a + 3)} \circ LW(a + 3, 0) \circ + \frac{(a + 4)}{a + 2} \circ LW(a + 2, 1) \circ \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} C_2(a) = & - \frac{(a^4(5c + 22) + 3a^3(25c + 52) + 4a^2(70c + 11) + 12a(25c - 37) + 72)}{180a(a + 2)(a + 3)(a + 4)} W(a + 5, 0) + \\ & - \frac{(a^3(6c + 19) + a^2(38c + 37) + a(84c - 19) + 52c + 323)}{60(a + 1)(a + 3)(a + 4)} W(a + 4, 1) + \\ & - \frac{5(a - 1)}{24(a + 3)} W(a + 3, 2) + \frac{(2a^2 + 6a + 7)}{9(a + 1)(a + 2)} W(a + 2, 3) - \frac{1}{360} W(a - 1, 6) - \frac{(a - 1)}{60a} W(a, 5) + \\ & - \frac{1}{3} \circ (\partial^3 L)W(a - 1, 1) \circ - \frac{(a + 2)}{2a} \circ (\partial^2 L)W(a + 1, 0) \circ - \frac{2(a - 1)}{a} \circ (\partial^2 L)W(a, 1) \circ + \\ & - \frac{(a + 4)}{a + 1} \circ (\partial L)W(a + 2, 0) \circ - \frac{(7a^2 - 3a + 2)}{2a(a + 1)} \circ (\partial L)W(a + 1, 1) \circ - \frac{(a + 6)}{2(a + 2)} \circ LW(a + 3, 0) \circ + \\ & - \frac{2(a^2 + 2a + 2)}{(a + 1)(a + 2)} \circ LW(a + 2, 1) \circ \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.8) to each of these yields:

$$(3.13) \quad C_1(a) = \frac{p_1(a, c)}{120(a + 1)(a + 2)(a + 3)(a + 4)(a + 5)} W(a + 5, 0) + \Psi_1$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad C_2(a) = \frac{p_2(a, c)}{180a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)(a+4)}W(a+5, 0) + \Psi_2$$

where  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  are normally ordered polynomials of lower weight fields and their derivatives and

$$(3.15) \quad p_1(a, c) = a^5(7c - 16) + 5a^4(13c - 64) + 15a^3(9c - 88) + a^2(560 - 185c) - 106a(7c - 76) - 480c + 7440$$

$$p_2(a, c) = a^5(13c - 40) + a^4(70c - 556) + 25a^3(5c - 68) + 40a^2(2c - 23) + 12a(c + 70) - 144.$$

Noting that these the coefficient of  $W(a+5, 0)$  cannot be simultaneously 0, we may sue these expressions to may replace  $W(a+5, 0)$  with a polynomial in of lower weight fields and their derivatives regardless of central charge  $c$ .

When  $a$  is odd, a similar argument gives us:

$$(3.16) \quad C_1(a) = \frac{(a+9)(a^2(7c-16) + 6a(9c-32) + 80(c-7))}{120(a+2)(a+4)(a+5)}W(a+5, 0) + \Psi_1$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad C_2(a) = \frac{(a+9)(a^3(13c-40) + 12a^2(5c-32) + 68a(c-10) + 336)}{180a(a+2)(a+3)(a+4)}W(a+5, 0) + \Psi_2$$

where  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  are normally ordered polynomials of lower weight fields and their derivatives, and allows us to remove  $W(a+5, 0)$  from our list of generators for  $a \geq 2$  regardless of central charge  $c$ .

At this point, the following generating fields remain:

$$(3.18) \quad L, W(0, 0), W(2, 0), W(4, 0), W(6, 0)$$

The lowest weight linear dependence relation among these operators occurs at weight 10 and has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \frac{5}{16}(c-8)\partial^2W(4, 0) - \frac{1}{360}(47c-256)W(6, 0) - \frac{1}{48}(19c-144)\partial^4W(2, 0) + \\ & - \frac{1}{480}(287-38c)\partial^6W(0, 0) + \frac{11}{60}{}^\circ(\partial^6L)L{}^\circ - \frac{1}{4}{}^\circ(\partial^4L)W(0, 0)^\circ + \\ & - \frac{7}{6}{}^\circ(\partial^3L)(\partial(W(0, 0)))^\circ + \frac{3}{16}{}^\circ(\partial^3L)(\partial^3L)^\circ - \frac{9}{2}{}^\circ(\partial^2L)W(2, 0)^\circ + \\ & - \frac{7}{2}{}^\circ(\partial L)(\partial W(2, 0))^\circ + \frac{1}{6}{}^\circ(\partial L)(\partial^3W(0, 0))^\circ - \frac{1}{15}{}^\circ(\partial^5L)(\partial L)^\circ + \\ & + \frac{7}{2}{}^\circ L(\partial^2W(2, 0))^\circ - \frac{5}{2}{}^\circ LW(4, 0)^\circ - \frac{11}{12}{}^\circ L(\partial^4W(0, 0))^\circ + \\ & + \frac{1}{4}{}^\circ(\partial W(0, 0))(\partial W(0, 0))^\circ - \frac{1}{2}{}^\circ(\partial^2W(0, 0))W(0, 0)^\circ + {}^\circ W(0, 0)W(2, 0)^\circ + \\ & - \frac{(323c-2838)}{20160}\partial^8L \end{aligned}$$

and thus, we have that  $W(6, 0)$  can be removed from our list of generating fields unless  $c = \frac{256}{47}$ .  $\square$

For generic central charge, we can replace the above weight 4 and 6 generators with the following primary vectors (in addition to the conformal vector  $\omega$ ).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.19) \quad \widehat{w}_4 &= 4(5c + 11)J_4(-4)\mathbb{1} - 2(5c + 22)L(-2)^2\mathbb{1} - 6cL(-4)\mathbb{1} \\
 \widehat{w}_6 &= 108(c + 12)(4c - 1)(7c + 34)J_6(-6)\mathbb{1} - 12(4c - 1)(5c + 44)(7c + 34)J_4(-6)\mathbb{1} \\
 &\quad + 24(5c + 22)(32c^2 + 345c - 68)L(-6)\mathbb{1} - 576(4c - 1)(7c + 34)L(-2)J_4(-4)\mathbb{1} \\
 &\quad + 12(2c - 1)(5c + 22)(7c + 68)L(-3)^2\mathbb{1} - 96(c + 8)(2c - 1)(7c + 68)L(-4)L(-2)\mathbb{1} \\
 &\quad + 1152(7c^2 + 43c - 17)L(-2)^3\mathbb{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

There are two primaries that involve  $w_8$  that can be used as generators. After clearing denominators the coefficients of  $w_8$  in each of these generators are such that their greatest common divisor is  $(3c + 23)(10c + 3)$  and as such it is possible to take a linear combination of these vectors so that we have

$$(3.20) \quad \widehat{w}_8 = (3c + 23)(10c + 3)J_8(-8)\mathbb{1} + \dots$$

Further, for  $c = \frac{256}{47}$  a primary can be similarly calculated at weight 10. These calculations, (3.19) and (3.20), lead to the following

**Corollary 3.1.** *We have*

- (1) For  $c \notin \{-12, -\frac{23}{3}, -\frac{34}{7}, -\frac{11}{5}, -\frac{3}{10}, \frac{256}{47}\}$  the orbifold  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$  is strongly generated by primary vectors of weight 2, 4, 6, 8 and is thus of type (2, 4, 6, 8).
- (2) The orbifold  $(\mathcal{V}_{\frac{256}{47}}^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$  is strongly generated by primary vectors of weight 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and is thus of type (2, 4, 6, 8, 10).
- (3) In all other cases  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$  is strongly generated by vectors in weight 2, 4, 6, 8 some of which are not primary, as apparent from the coefficients in (3.19) and (3.20).

#### 4. THE SIMPLE ORBIFOLD $(\mathcal{L}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$

In order to describe the simple orbifold  $(\mathcal{L}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$ , we first have to determine all simple vertex algebras  $\mathcal{L}_c$  that have singular vectors up to and including degree 8. Such vertex algebras are characterized by central charges:  $c_{2,5} = -\frac{22}{5}$ ,  $c_{2,7} = -\frac{68}{7}$ ,  $c_{2,9} = -\frac{46}{3}$ ,  $c_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $c_{3,5} = -\frac{3}{5}$ . Then using Theorem 3.1 we get the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *We have:*

- (a)  $(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-22/5})^{S_2} \cong \mathcal{L}_{-44/5}$ ,
- (b)  $(\mathcal{L}_{-68/7} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-68/7})^{S_2}$  is of type (2, 4),
- (c)  $(\mathcal{L}_{-3/5} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-3/5})^{S_2}$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_{-46/3} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-46/3})^{S_2}$  are of type (2, 4, 6)
- (d)  $(\mathcal{L}_{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1/2})^{S_2}$  is of type (2, 4, 8),
- (e) In all other cases  $(\mathcal{L}_c \otimes \mathcal{L}_c)^{S_2}$  is of the type described in Corollary 3.1.

*Proof.* Proofs are straightforward verifications with generators. We give a proof for part (c) only. It is known that  $\mathcal{V}_{-3/5}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{-46/3}$  have a singular vector of degree 8 (also generator of the maximal ideal). In these two cases the weight 8 generator,  $w_8$  from Theorem 3.1, can be written in terms of  $\omega$ ,  $w_4$ ,  $w_6$ , and the sum of the singular vectors of weight 8 from each  $\mathcal{L}_{-3/5}$  (or  $\mathcal{L}_{-46/3}$ ) component.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** Part (d) of the previous theorem can be approached using the fermionic construction of  $c = \frac{1}{2}$  minimal models. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the rank one free fermion vertex

superalgebra. Then  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong \mathcal{L}_{1/2}$  (the even subalgebra) and thus

$$(\mathcal{L}_{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1/2})^{S_2} \cong (\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{Z}_2})^{S_2} \cong (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F})^G$$

where  $G$  viewed as a subgroup  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{O}(2)$  is isomorphic to  $D_4$ . Using methods similar to those in [25], we have  $(\mathcal{L}_{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1/2})^{S_2} \cong (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F})^{D_4}$  is of type  $(2, 4, 8)$ .

Alternatively, using results of Dong and Jiang on characterization of rational  $c = 1$  VOAs [11] and known decomposition

$$(\mathcal{L}_{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1/2})^{S_2} \cong \bigoplus_{m \geq 0; \text{even}} L(1, m^2) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{m \geq 1; \text{even}} L(1, 2m^2),$$

we immediately get

$$(\mathcal{L}_{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{1/2})^{S_2} \cong V_{4\mathbb{Z}}^+,$$

which is known to be of type  $(2, 4, 8)$ .

## 5. A DESCRIPTION IN TERMS OF THE UNIVERSAL TWO PARAMETER EVEN SPIN VOA AND AFFINE $W$ -ALGEBRAS

In [17], the universal two parameter algebra,  $\mathcal{W}^{\text{ev}}(c, \lambda)$ , of type  $\mathcal{W}(2, 4, 6, \dots)$  was rigorously constructed. This algebra is strongly generated by infinitely many fields in weights  $2, 4, 6, \dots$  and weakly generated by a primary weight 4 field which we denote by  $W_\infty^4$ . We consider this algebra with central charge  $2c$  to correspond with the central charge of our orbifold. A normalization can be chosen for this field so that

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (W_\infty^4)_{(3)} W_\infty^4 = & 32\lambda W_\infty^4 - \frac{128(49\lambda^2(2c-1)(2c-25)-1)}{63(2c-1)(2c+24)(4c-1)} \circ_{LL} \circ \\ & - \frac{32(2c-4)(49\lambda^2(2c-1)(2c-25))}{441(2c-1)(2c+24)(4c-1)} \partial^2 L. \end{aligned}$$

For reference, we recall the following result from [17]

**Theorem 5.1.** ([17] *Theorem 8.1*) *Let  $c_0, c_1, \lambda_0, \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  and let*

$$I_0 = (c - c_0, \lambda - \lambda_0), \quad I_1 = (c - c_1, \lambda - \lambda_1)$$

*be the corresponding maximal ideals in  $\mathbb{C}[c, \lambda]$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_0$  and  $\mathcal{W}_1$  be the simple quotients of  $\mathcal{W}^{\text{ev}}(c, \lambda)/I_0$  and  $\mathcal{W}^{\text{ev}}(c, \lambda)/I_1$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}_0 \cong \mathcal{W}_1$  only in the following cases.*

- (1)  $c_0 = c_1$  and  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1$
- (2)  $c_0 = c_1 \in \{-24, -\frac{22}{5}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$  and no restriction on  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1$ ,
- (3)  $c_0 = c_1 = c$ , where  $c \neq 1, 25$ , and

$$\lambda + 0 = \pm \frac{1}{7\sqrt{(c-25)(c-1)}} = \pm \lambda_1,$$

- (4)  $c_0 = c_1 = c$ , where  $c \neq 1, 25$ , and

$$\lambda + 0 = \pm \frac{\sqrt{c^2 - 172c + 196}}{21(c-1)(5c+22)} = \pm \lambda_1,$$

The basic strategy to apply Theorem 5.1 is to determine the value of  $\lambda$  for each one parameter family of  $\mathcal{W}(2, 4, \dots, N)$  as a curve  $\lambda = \lambda(c)$ , this is known as the truncation curve of the vertex algebra. Next, we look for intersection points between truncation curves, leading to coincidental isomorphisms.



Back to our orbifold, the weight four generator for  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2}$  given by Theorem 3.1 can be replaced by the primary field

$$(5.2) \quad \widetilde{W}^4 = \mu W^4 - \frac{5c+22}{2(5c+11)} \mu^\circ LL^\circ - \frac{3c}{4(5c+11)} \partial^2 L,$$

where  $\mu$  we leave as a free normalization constant at the moment. It is also straightforward to check that this field weakly generates  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 2}$ . We have the following

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\widetilde{W}^4\right)_{(3)} \widetilde{W}^4 &= \frac{2(5c^2+33c-44)}{5c+11} \mu \widetilde{W}^4 + \frac{21c(5c+22)}{(5c+11)^2} \mu^2 \circ LL^\circ \\ &\quad + \frac{3c(c-2)(5c+22)}{2(5c+11)^2} \mu^2 \partial^2 L. \end{aligned}$$

Now, equating (5.1) and (5.3) to solve for  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  gives

$$(5.4) \quad \mu = -\frac{16}{7(2c-1)(c+20)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = -\frac{5c^2+33c-44}{7(5c+11)(2c-1)(c+20)}.$$

From this it follows that for  $c \notin \{-20, -12, -\frac{11}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\}$  is a quotient of  $\mathcal{W}^{\text{ev}}(c, \lambda)$  with truncation curve given by

$$(5.5) \quad \lambda(c) = -\frac{5c^2+33c-44}{7(5c+11)(2c-1)(c+20)}.$$

Another known quotient of the universal algebra  $\mathcal{W}^{\text{ev}}(c, \lambda)$  is  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}, f_{\text{princ}})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  for  $n \geq 3$  [17], whose truncation curve is given by

$$(5.6) \quad \lambda(c) = \frac{38cn^3 + 24n^3 + 2c^2n^2 - 147cn^2 + 10n^2 - 2c^2n + 120cn - 28n - 9c^2 - 6c}{7(c-1)(5c+22)(12n^3 + 2cn^2 - 19n^2 - 2cn + 10n - 3c)}$$

where

$$(5.7) \quad c = -\frac{n(2nk - 2k + 4n^2 - 10n + 5)(2nk - k + 4n^2 - 8n + 4)}{k + 2n - 2},$$

The category in which these algebras are objects has unique simple objects, so intersections of the curves (5.5) and (5.6) gives the isomorphisms

$$(5.8) \quad (\mathcal{L}_{c'} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{c'})^{S_2} \cong \mathcal{W}_{k'}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}, f_{\text{princ}})^{\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

where

$$(5.9) \quad c' = -\frac{n(4n-5)}{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad k' = -\frac{4n^2-2n-3}{2n+2},$$

or

$$(5.10) \quad c' = -\frac{n(4n-5)}{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad k' = -\frac{4n(n-2)}{2n-1}.$$

**Corollary 5.1.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , the vertex algebra  $\mathcal{W}_{k'}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n}, f_{\text{princ}})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  is rational.*

A similar analysis can be done with the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold of the level  $k$   $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  parafermion algebra,  $N^k(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  which has central charge given by

$$(5.11) \quad c = \frac{3k}{k+2} - 1 = \frac{2(k-1)}{k+2}$$

and truncation curve given by

$$(5.12) \quad \lambda(k) = \frac{(k+2)(4k^3 - 15k^2 - 33k - 4)}{7(k-4)(16k+17)(k^2+3k+4)}.$$

Intersections of the appropriate truncation curves give

**Corollary 5.2.**

$$(5.13) \quad (\mathcal{L}_{7/10} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{7/10})^{S_2} \cong N_8(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

where  $N_k(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  denotes the simple parafermionic algebra of level  $k$ .

For further results regarding the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -orbifold of  $N_k(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , see [16].

**Remark 5.1.** Concerning Corollary 5.2, there is another interesting pair of irrational vertex algebras  $(\mathcal{L}_{-2} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-2})^{S_2}$  and  $N_{-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . These vertex algebras are both of type  $(2, 4, 6, 8)$  and central charge  $c = -4$ . Moreover, they are the only examples of vertex algebra orbifolds from each series that are *not* (weakly) generated by the primary vector of weight 4. Instead the weight 4 primary generates a subalgebra of type  $(2, 4)$ . It would be interesting to investigate possible relationship between the two orbifolds.

We can do the same type of calculation with  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sp}(2m))$ . In this case the truncation curves are quite long so we do not include them here, they can be found in [17].

**Corollary 5.3.** *We have  $(\mathcal{L}_c \otimes \mathcal{L}_c)^{S_2} \cong \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sp}(2m))$  for the following values of  $c$  and  $k$*

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -\frac{12m^2 + 10m}{2m + 3} = c_{2,2m+3} \text{ and } k = -\frac{4m^2 + 8m + 5}{4m + 6}, \\ c &= -\frac{3m^2 - m - 2}{m + 2} = c_{2,m+2} \text{ and } k = -\frac{2m^2 + m - 2}{2m}, \\ c &= \frac{2m^2 - 5m}{2m^2 - 5m + 3} = c_{2m-3,2m-2} \text{ and } k = -\frac{2m^2 - 2m - 2}{2m - 3}, \end{aligned}$$

where by  $c_{p,q}$  we denote the appropriate minimal model. As such, we have established the rationality of these  $\mathcal{W}$ -algebras.

**Remark 5.2.** We should point out that three series of rational affine vertex algebras in Corollary 5.3 correspond to  $(p, q) = (2m + 1, 4m + 6)$ ,  $(m + 2, 2m)$  and  $(m - 1, 2m - 3)$  models, that is the level is given by  $-h^\vee + \frac{p}{q}$ , where  $h^\vee = m + 1$  is the dual coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{sp}(2m)$ . Using discussion in Section 9, it follows that the second and third series (for  $m \geq 3$ ) is not among admissible series, so this gives a family of rational and lisse affine  $\mathcal{W}$ -algebras outside the main series. Moreover, using Section 2, it is easy to write down explicit characters of these affine  $\mathcal{W}$ -algebras.

Finally, analysis of two exceptional cases from Theorem 4.1 (b,c), using similar methods, gives another pair of isomorphisms.

**Proposition 5.1.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{-68/7} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-68/7})^{S_2} &\cong W_{-\frac{16}{7}}(\mathfrak{so}(5)) \\ (\mathcal{L}_{-46/3} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-46/3})^{S_2} &\cong W_{-65/18}(\mathfrak{sp}(6)) \cong W_{-103/14}(\mathfrak{sp}(14)). \end{aligned}$$

## 6. THE ORBIFOLD $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$

In this section we define an alternative generating set for  $\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 3}$  given by:

$$(6.1) \quad \omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3$$

$$(6.2) \quad u_1 = \omega_1 + \eta^2 \omega_2 + \eta \omega_3$$

$$(6.3) \quad u_2 = \omega_1 + \eta \omega_2 + \eta^2 \omega_3$$

$$(6.4)$$

where  $\eta = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}}$ . We also define the fields associated to these generators by:

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} L(z) &= Y(\omega, z) \\ U_1(z) &= Y(u_1, z) \\ U_2(z) &= Y(u_2, z) \end{aligned}$$

When no confusion arises, we use the notation  $L, U_1$ , and  $U_2$  for these fields, respectively. Finally, we consider the following fields:

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} W_2(a, b) &= \circ\partial^a U_1 \partial^b U_2 \circ \\ W_2'(a, b) &= \circ\partial^a U_2 \partial^b U_1 \circ \\ W_{3,1}(a, b, c) &= \circ\partial^a U_1 \partial^b U_1 \partial^c U_1 \circ \\ W_{3,2}(a, b, c) &= \circ\partial^a U_2 \partial^b U_2 \partial^c U_2 \circ \end{aligned}$$

We note here that the Leibniz rule holds for normal orderings:

$$(6.7) \quad \partial W_2(a, b) = W_2(a+1, b) + W_2(a, b+1),$$

so that, with repeated applications of (6.7), we may always write

$$(6.8) \quad W_2(a, b) = (-1)^b W_2(a+b, 0) + \Psi$$

where  $\Psi$  is a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives. Thus, via direct calculation, we have the following useful facts

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{3,1}(a, b, 0) &= W_{3,1}(b, a, 0) + \frac{a!b!(a-b)}{(a+b+3)(a+b+4)(a+b+1)!} \partial^{a+b+4} L \\ &\quad - \frac{(-1)^{a+b+2} a!b!(a-b)}{(a+b+2)!} W_2(a+b+2, 0) + \Psi \\ W_{3,2}(a, b, 0) &= W_{3,2}(b, a, 0) - \frac{a!b!(a-b)}{(a+b+2)!} W_2(a+b+2, 0) + \Psi \\ W_{3,1}(a, b, c) &= W_{3,1}(a, c, b) + \frac{c!(-1)^{b+c+1} b!(b-c)}{(b+c+2)!} W_2(a+b+c+2, 0) + \Psi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.11) \quad W_{3,2}(a, b, c) = W_{3,2}(a, c, b) + \frac{(-1)^{a+1} c!b!(b-c)}{(b+c+2)!} W_2(a+b+c+2, 0)$$

$$(6.12) \quad + \frac{a!b!c!(b-c)(a-b-c-2)}{(a+b+c+4)!} \partial^{a+b+c+4} L + \Psi$$

where, in each case,  $\Psi$  is some normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives.

We also recall that, using the Leibniz rule for normal orderings, we have:

$$(6.13) \quad \partial W_{3,1}(a, b, c) = W_{3,1}(a+1, b, c) + W_{3,1}(a, b+1, c) + W_{3,1}(a, b, c+1)$$

so that any of the summands on the right hand side can be expressed in terms of the other two along with a derivative of a lower weight term. Applying (6.13) repeatedly, we may write

$$W_{3,1}(a, b, c) = (-1)^b \sum_{k=0}^b \binom{b}{k} W_{3,1}(a+k, 0, c+b-k) + \Psi$$

where  $\Psi$  is a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives. In particular, setting  $c = 0$ , we have that

$$W_{3,1}(a, b, 0) = (-1)^b \sum_{k=0}^b \binom{b}{k} W_{3,1}(a+k, 0, b-k) + \Psi$$

where  $\Psi$  is a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives. We apply equation (6.10) to obtain

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{3,1}(a, b, 0) &= (-1)^b \sum_{k=0}^b \binom{b}{k} W_{3,1}(a+k, b-k, 0) + \\ &+ (-1)^b \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \binom{b}{k} \frac{(-1)^{b-k+1} (b-k)! (k-b)}{(b-k+2)!} W_2(a+b+2, 0) + \Psi \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when  $b$  is odd, we may rewrite this equation as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{3,1}(a, b, 0) &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^b \sum_{k=1}^b \binom{b}{k} W_{3,1}(a+k, b-k, 0) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (-1)^b \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \binom{b}{k} \frac{(-1)^{b-k+1} (b-k)! (k-b)}{(b-k+2)!} W_2(a+b+2, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \Psi \end{aligned}$$

In our work, we only need to consider the cases when  $b = 1, 3, 5$ . In particular, repeated applications of the above yield (modulo derivatives of lower weight terms):

$$(6.15) \quad W_{3,1}(a, 1, 0) = -\frac{1}{2} W_{3,1}(a+1, 0, 0) + \frac{1}{12} W_2(a+3, 0) + \Psi$$

$$(6.16) \quad W_{3,1}(a, 3, 0) = -\frac{1}{20} W_2(a+5, 0) - \frac{3}{2} W_{3,1}(a+1, 2, 0) + \frac{1}{4} W_{3,1}(a+3, 0, 0) + \Psi$$

and

$$(6.17) \quad W_{3,1}(a, 5, 0) = \frac{17}{168} W_2(a+7, 0) - \frac{5}{2} W_{3,1}(a+1, 4, 0) + \frac{5}{2} W_{3,1}(a+3, 2, 0) - \frac{1}{2} W_{3,1}(a+5, 0, 0) + \Psi$$

where in each case  $\Psi$  is some normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives. Repeating these computations for  $W_{3,2}$  yields:

$$(6.18) \quad W_{3,2}(a, 1, 0) = \frac{1}{12} (-1)^{a+1} W_2(a+3, 0) - \frac{1}{2} W_{3,2}(a+1, 0, 0) + \Psi$$

$$(6.19) \quad W_{3,2}(a, 3, 0) = \frac{1}{20} (-1)^a W_2(a+5, 0) - \frac{3}{2} W_{3,2}(a+1, 2, 0) + \frac{1}{4} W_{3,2}(a+3, 0, 0) + \Psi$$

and

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{3,2}(a, 5, 0) &= \frac{-17}{168} (-1)^a W_2(a+7, 0) - \frac{5}{2} W_{3,2}(a+1, 4, 0) + \\ &+ \frac{5}{2} W_{3,2}(a+3, 2, 0) - \frac{1}{2} W_{3,2}(a+5, 0, 0) + \Psi \end{aligned}$$

where in each case  $\Psi$  is some normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives. It is clear that (6.15) - (6.20) can be extended to rewrite any relation of the form  $W_{3,i}(a, b, 0)$ , where  $b$  is odd, in terms of  $W_{3,i}(a+1, b-1, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,i}(a+3, b-3, 0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $W_{3,i}(a+b, 0, 0)$  and a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *The orbifold  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  is of type  $(2, 4, 5, 6^3, 7, 8^3, 9^3, 10^2)$  when  $c \neq 0, -\frac{9}{16}, \frac{9}{352} (3661 \pm \sqrt{12376489})$ . Moreover, when  $c = -\frac{9}{16}, \frac{9}{352} (3661 \pm \sqrt{12376489})$ , then the orbifold  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  is of type  $(2, 4, 5, 6^3, 7, 8^3, 9^3, 10^2, 11)$ .*

*Proof.* First, using an argument identical to [24], we have an initial strong generating set of fields given by:

$$(6.21) \quad \{L, W_2(a, 0), W_{3,1}(b, d, 0), W_{3,2}(b, d, 0) | a \geq 0, b \geq d \geq 0\}$$

First, we being by defining, for  $a, b \geq 0$ , the terms:

$$(6.22) \quad D_1(a, b) = \circ W_2(a, 0)W_2(0, b)^\circ - \circ W_2(a, b)W_2(0, 0)^\circ$$

$$(6.23) \quad D_2(a, b) = \circ W_2(a, 0)W_2(1, b)^\circ - \circ W_2(a, b)W_2(1, 0)^\circ$$

We additionally consider

$$(6.24) \quad D'_1(a, b) = \circ W'_2(a, 0)W'_2(0, b)^\circ - \circ W'_2(a, b)W'_2(0, 0)^\circ$$

$$(6.25) \quad D'_2(a, b) = \circ W'_2(a, 0)W'_2(1, b)^\circ - \circ W'_2(a, b)W'_2(1, 0)^\circ$$

We first show that  $W_2(a+6, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+4, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+2, 2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+4, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+2, 2, 0)$ , and  $W_{3,2}(a, 4, 0)$  can be expressed using some normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives whenever  $a \geq 6$ . First, for  $a \geq 6$ , we have the following via direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(a+1, 1) &= d_{1,1}(a, c)W_2(a+6, 0) + \frac{1}{6}W_{3,1}(a+1, 3, 0) + W_{3,1}(a+2, 2, 0) + \\ &\quad + 2W_{3,1}(a+3, 1, 0) + \frac{4}{3}W_{3,1}(a+4, 0, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a}{a+2}W_{3,2}(a+2, 2, 0) + \\ &\quad + \frac{2(-1)^a}{(a+2)(a+3)}W_{3,2}(a+3, 1, 0) + \frac{(2(-1)^a)}{a+3}W_{3,2}(a+4, 0, 0) + \Psi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D'_1(a+1, 1) &= (-1)^a d_{1,1}(a, c)W_2(a+6, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a}{a+2}W_{3,1}(a+2, 2, 0) + \frac{2(-1)^a}{(a+2)(a+3)}W_{3,1}(a+3, 1, 0) + \\ &\quad + \frac{2(-1)^a}{a+3}W_{3,1}(a+4, 0, 0) + \frac{1}{6}W_{3,2}(a+1, 3, 0) + W_{3,2}(a+2, 2, 0) + \\ &\quad + 2W_{3,2}(a+3, 1, 0) + \frac{4}{3}W_{3,2}(a+4, 0, 0) + \Psi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(a, 2) &= d_{1,2}(a, c)W_2(a+6, 0) + \frac{1}{6}W_{3,1}(a, 4, 0) + W_{3,1}(a+1, 3, 0) + 2W_{3,1}(a+2, 2, 0) + \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{3}W_{3,1}(a+3, 1, 0) + \frac{(-1)^{a+1}}{a+1}W_{3,2}(a+1, 3, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a a}{(a+1)(a+2)}W_{3,2}(a+2, 2, 0) + \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{a+1}}{a+1}W_{3,2}(a+3, 1, 0) - \frac{2(-1)^a}{a+2}W_{3,2}(a+4, 0, 0) + \Psi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D'_1(a, 2) &= (-1)^a d_{1,2}(a, c)W_2(a+6, 0) + \frac{(-1)^{a+1}}{a+1}W_{3,1}(a+1, 3, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a a}{(a+1)(a+2)}W_{3,1}(a+2, 2, 0) + \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{a+1}}{a+1}W_{3,1}(a+3, 1, 0) - \frac{2(-1)^a}{a+2}W_{3,1}(a+4, 0, 0) + \frac{1}{6}W_{3,2}(a, 4, 0) + \\ &\quad + W_{3,2}(a+1, 3, 0) + 2W_{3,2}(a+2, 2, 0) + \frac{4}{3}W_{3,2}(a+3, 1, 0) + \Psi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(a-1, 3) &= d_{1,3}(a, c)W_2(a+6, 0) + \frac{3}{20}W_{3,1}(a-1, 5, 0) + \frac{5}{2}W_{3,1}(a+1, 3, 0) + 3W_{3,1}(a+2, 2, 0) + \\
&+ 2W_{3,1}(a+3, 1, 0) + \frac{4}{5}W_{3,1}(a+4, 0, 0) + W_{3,1}(a, 4, 0) + \\
&+ \frac{(-1)^{a+1}(a-1)}{a(a+1)}W_{3,2}(a+1, 3, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a}{a}W_{3,2}(a+3, 1, 0) + \\
&+ \frac{2(-1)^a}{a+1}W_{3,2}(a+4, 0, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a}{a}W_{3,2}(a, 4, 0) + \Psi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D'_1(a-1, 3) &= (-1)^a d_{1,3}(a, c)W_2(a+6, 0) + \frac{3}{20}W_{3,2}(a-1, 5, 0) + \frac{5}{2}W_{3,2}(a+1, 3, 0) + \\
&+ 3W_{3,2}(a+2, 2, 0) + 2W_{3,2}(a+3, 1, 0) + \frac{4}{5}W_{3,2}(a+4, 0, 0) + \\
&+ W_{3,2}(a, 4, 0) + \frac{(-1)^{a+1}(a-1)}{a(a+1)}W_{3,1}(a+1, 3, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a}{a}W_{3,1}(a+3, 1, 0) \\
&+ \frac{2(-1)^a}{a+1}W_{3,1}(a+4, 0, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a}{a}W_{3,1}(a, 4, 0) + \Psi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2(a-1, 2) &= d_{2,2}(a, c)W_2(a+6, 0) + \\
&- \frac{1}{6}W_{3,1}(a-1, 5, 0) - 3W_{3,1}(a+1, 3, 0) - \frac{10}{3}W_{3,1}(a+2, 2, 0) - \frac{4}{3}W_{3,1}(a+3, 1, 0) + \\
&- \frac{7}{6}W_{3,1}(a, 4, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a(a-2)}{a(a+1)}W_{3,2}(a+1, 3, 0) + \frac{(-1)^a(a+4)}{(a+1)(a+2)}W_{3,2}(a+2, 2, 0) + \\
&+ \frac{3(-1)^a}{a+1}W_{3,2}(a+3, 1, 0) + \frac{2(-1)^a}{a+2}W_{3,2}(a+4, 0, 0) + \frac{(-1)^{a+1}}{a}W_{3,2}(a, 4, 0) + \Psi
\end{aligned}$$

where in each case  $\Psi$  is some normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives and where

$$(6.26) \quad d_{1,1}(a, c) = -\frac{2a^5 + 35a^4 + 245a^3 + 940a^2 + 2093a + 2010}{15(a+2)(a+3)(a+4)(a+5)(a+6)} - \frac{((a+1)(a+10))c}{80(a+5)(a+6)},$$

(6.27)

$$d_{1,2}(a, c) = \frac{(a(a+10))c}{48(a+4)(a+6)} - \frac{16a^6 + 423a^5 + 4120a^4 + 17685a^3 + 29704a^2 + 3492a - 19440}{180(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)(a+4)(a+5)(a+6)},$$

(6.28)

$$d_{1,3}(a, c) = -\frac{8a^6 - 95a^5 - 1937a^4 - 4117a^3 + 24105a^2 + 67968a + 23940}{420a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)(a+5)(a+6)} - \frac{(3(a-1)(a+10))c}{112(a+3)(a+6)},$$

and

(6.29)

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{2,2}(a, c) &= \frac{(a-2)(a+10)c}{70(a+3)(a+5)} \\
&- \frac{16a^7 + 567a^6 + 7280a^5 + 42805a^4 + 110544a^3 + 65828a^2 - 134640a - 100800}{420a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)(a+4)(a+5)(a+6)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using (6.15) - (6.20) to rewrite the above expressions, we collect the coefficients of  $W_2(a+6, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+4, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+2, 2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+4, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+2, 2, 0)$ , and  $W_{3,2}(a, 4, 0)$  to form a  $7 \times 7$  matrix and solve for  $W_2(a+6, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+4, 0, 0)$ ,

$W_{3,1}(a+2, 2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+4, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+2, 2, 0)$ , and  $W_{3,2}(a, 4, 0)$  in terms of  $D_1(a+1, 1)$ ,  $D'_1(a+1, 1)$ ,  $D_1(a, 2)$ ,  $D'_1(a, 2)$ ,  $D_1(a-1, 3)$ ,  $D'_1(a-1, 3)$ ,  $D_2(a-1, 2)$ , and some normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives. The determinant of this matrix is:

$$(6.30) \quad - \frac{2187(a-3)(a-2)^2(a-1)^2(a+10)(3a^3+39a^2+150a+160)c}{229376000a(a+2)^2(a+3)^3(a+4)(a+5)(a+6)}$$

and we see that it is invertible precisely when  $c \neq 0$ .

By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_2(a, b) = & \sum_{k=0}^b \frac{((-1)^k - 3) b! (-((-1)^k + 3) (-2b^2 + b(k-5) + 2k))}{4(2(k+3)!(b-k)!)} W_{3,1}(a+b-k, k+3, 0) + \\
 & + \frac{((-1)^{b+1} ((-1)^b b^2 + 3(-1)^b b + (-1)^b - 1))}{b+1} W_{3,1}(a+b+1, 2, 0) + \\
 & + \frac{((-1)^{b+1} b (2(-1)^b b + 3(-1)^b + 1))}{(b+1)(b+2)} W_{3,1}(a+b+2, 1, 0) + \\
 & + \frac{((-1)^a a!}{(a+1)!} W_{3,2}(a+1, b+2, 0) + \frac{((-1)^{a+1} a!}{(a+1)!} W_{3,2}(a+b+1, 2, 0) + \\
 & + \left( \frac{2(-1)^a a!}{(a+1)!} - \frac{3(-1)^a (a+1)!}{(a+2)!} \right) W_{3,2}(a+2, b+1, 0) + \\
 & - \frac{3(-1)^a (a+1)!}{(a+2)!} W_{3,2}(a+b+2, 1, 0) + \\
 & + \left( \frac{(-1)^a a!}{(a+1)!} - \frac{3(-1)^a (a+1)!}{(a+2)!} + \frac{2(-1)^a (a+2)!}{(a+3)!} \right) W_{3,2}(a+3, b, 0) + \\
 & - \frac{2(-1)^a (a+2)!}{(a+3)!} W_{3,2}(a+b+3, 0, 0) + \Psi
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $a \geq 4$  and  $2 \leq b \leq a-2$ , where  $\Psi$  is a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives, and  $W_2(a+b+5, 0)$ . Using this expression, we may rewrite  $W_{3,1}(a, b+3, 0, 0)$  in terms of  $W_{3,1}(a+b-k, k+3, 0)$  with  $-2 \leq k \leq b-1$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+1, b+2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+b+1, 2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+2, b+1, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+b+2, 1, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+3, b, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a+b+3, 0, 0)$ ,  $D_2(a, b)$ , a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives, and  $W_2(a+b+5, 0)$ . Similarly, we can directly compute  $D'_2(a, b)$  and obtain that, for  $a \geq 4$  and  $2 \leq b \leq a-2$ ,  $W_{3,2}(a, b+3, 0, 0)$  can be rewritten in terms of  $W_{3,2}(a+b-k, k+3, 0)$  with  $-2 \leq k \leq b-1$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+1, b+2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+b+1, 2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+2, b+1, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+b+2, 1, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+3, b, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(a+b+3, 0, 0)$ ,  $D'_2(a, b)$ , a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives, and  $W_2(a+b+5, 0)$ .

Next, we eliminate  $W_2(11, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(5, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(5, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0)$ , and  $W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0)$ . We use the following 8 relations to set up two  $7 \times 7$  matrices which allow us to remove  $W_2(11, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(5, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(5, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0)$ , and  $W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0)$  regardless of  $c$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_1(6, 1) = & -\frac{9}{880}cW_2(11, 0) + \frac{73}{1540}W_2(11, 0) + \frac{3}{4}W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0) + \frac{3}{8}W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0) + \\
 & -\frac{1}{7}W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0) - \frac{13}{56}W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0) + \Psi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(5, 2) &= \frac{25c}{1584}W_2(11, 0) - \frac{10477}{166320}W_2(11, 0) + \frac{1}{6}W_{3,1}(5, 4, 0) + \frac{1}{2}W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0) + \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{12}W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0) - \frac{31}{84}W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0) + \frac{41}{168}W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0) + \Psi \\
D_1(4, 3) &= -\frac{45c}{2464}W_2(11, 0) + \frac{2371}{30800}W_2(11, 0) + \frac{23}{20}W_{3,1}(5, 4, 0) - \frac{3}{4}W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0) + \\
&\quad + \frac{17}{40}W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0) - \frac{1}{5}W_{3,2}(5, 4, 0) - \frac{1}{5}W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0) - \frac{1}{5}W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0) + \Psi \\
D'_1(6, 1) &= \frac{9}{880}cW_2(11, 0) - \frac{73}{1540}W_2(11, 0) - \frac{1}{7}W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0) + \\
&\quad - \frac{13}{56}W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0) + \frac{3}{4}W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0) + \frac{3}{8}W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0) + \Psi \\
D'_1(5, 2) &= -\frac{25c}{1584}W_2(11, 0) + \frac{10477}{166320}W_2(11, 0) - \frac{31}{84}W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0) + \\
&\quad + \frac{41}{168}W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0) + \frac{1}{6}W_{3,2}(5, 4, 0) + \frac{1}{2}W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0) - \frac{5}{12}W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0) + \Psi \\
D'_1(4, 3) &= \frac{45c}{2464}W_2(11, 0) - \frac{2371}{30800}W_2(11, 0) - \frac{1}{5}W_{3,1}(5, 4, 0) - \frac{1}{5}W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0) + \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{5}W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0) + \frac{23}{20}W_{3,2}(5, 4, 0) - \frac{3}{4}W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0) + \frac{17}{40}W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0) + \Psi \\
D_2(4, 2) &= \frac{9c}{1120}W_2(11, 0) - \frac{118}{2475}W_2(11, 0) - \frac{3}{4}W_{3,1}(5, 4, 0) + \frac{3}{4}W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0) + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{5}W_{3,2}(5, 4, 0) - \frac{9}{140}W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0) - \frac{17}{280}W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0) + \Psi \\
D_2(3, 3) &= -\frac{9c}{1120}W_2(11, 0) + \frac{12259}{92400}W_2(11, 0) - \frac{23}{20}W_{3,1}(5, 4, 0) + \frac{39}{40}W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0) + \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{16}W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0) + \frac{29}{40}W_{3,2}(5, 4, 0) - \frac{2}{5}W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0) + \frac{13}{80}W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0) + \Psi
\end{aligned}$$

where in each case  $\Psi$  is some normally ordered polynomial involving lower weight terms and their derivatives. Now, extracting the coefficients of  $W_2(11, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(5, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(5, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0)$ , and  $W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0)$  in  $D_1(6, 1)$  through  $D_2(4, 2)$ , we form a  $7 \times 7$  matrix whose determinant is

$$(6.31) \quad \frac{898794549203512500c - 7006017689331806250}{745253681356800000000}$$

and is invertible precisely when  $c \neq \frac{177147}{22726}$ , allowing us to rewrite  $W_2(11, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(5, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(7, 2, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(9, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(5, 4, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(7, 2, 0)$ , and  $W_{3,2}(9, 0, 0)$  in terms of  $D_1(6, 1)$  through  $D_2(4, 2)$  a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives when  $c \neq \frac{177147}{22726}$ . Similarly, replacing  $D_2(4, 2)$  in the above work with  $D_2(3, 3)$  yields a  $7 \times 7$  matrix with determinant

$$(6.32) \quad \frac{672577698175853400000 - 86414789000769075000c}{11924058901708800000000}$$



and is invertible precisely when  $c \neq \frac{1417176}{182083}$ . A similar strategy may now be employed to reduce our list of generating fields to

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(a, 0) & \quad 0 \leq a \leq 8 \\ W_{3,1}(a, 0, 0) & \quad 0 \leq a \leq 6 \\ W_{3,2}(a, 0, 0) & \quad 0 \leq a \leq 6 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we establish linear dependence relations at different conformal weights to remove the remaining extraneous generating fields. First, we note that there are no linear dependence relations among generators and their derivatives of weight up to and including 6.

At weight 7, we have the following linear dependence relations:

$$(6.33) \quad 20W_2(3, 0) - 40\partial W_{3,2}(0, 0, 0) + 120W_{3,2}(1, 0, 0) + \partial^5 L = 0$$

and

$$(6.34) \quad -\frac{3}{2}\partial W_2(2, 0) + \frac{3}{2}\partial^2 W_2(1, 0) - \frac{1}{2}W_{3,2}(3, 0) - \frac{1}{2}\partial^3 W_2(0, 0) +$$

$$(6.35) \quad + \partial W_{3,1}(0, 0, 0) - 3W_{3,1}(1, 0, 0) + 2\partial W_{3,2}(0, 0, 0) - 6W_{3,2}(1, 0, 0) = 0$$

allowing us to rewrite  $W_{3,1}(1, 0, 0)$  and  $W_{3,2}(1, 0, 0)$  in terms of  $W_2(3, 0)$  and a normally ordered polynomial of lower weight fields and their derivatives.

At weight 8 and 9 there are no linear dependence relations among involving nonzero multiples of the generators and involving their derivatives.

At weight 10, we have relations involving  $-\frac{1}{180}(c+30)W_2(6, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(4, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(4, 0, 0)$  and multiples of their derivatives which allow us to rewrite one of the weight 10 generators in terms of the other 2.

At weight 11, when  $c \neq -\frac{9}{16}, \frac{9}{352} (3661 \pm \sqrt{12376489})$ , we may form three relations which allow us to rewrite  $W_2(7, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(5, 0, 0)$ , and  $W_{3,2}(5, 0, 0)$  as a linear combinations of derivatives of lower weight generators. When  $c = -\frac{9}{16}, \frac{9}{352} (3661 \pm \sqrt{12376489})$ , we may rewrite 2 of the generators  $W_2(7, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(5, 0, 0)$ , and  $W_{3,2}(5, 0, 0)$  in terms of the third.

At weight 12, the generators  $W_2(8, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(6, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(6, 0, 0)$  can be removed using 3 linear dependence relations among  $W_2(8, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,1}(6, 0, 0)$ ,  $W_{3,2}(6, 0, 0)$  and derivatives of lower weight generators.  $\square$

## 7. THE ORBIFOLDS $(\mathcal{L}_c^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ AND $(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$

In this section we look at the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $S_3$  orbifolds of the three fold tensor product of the Virasoro vertex operator algebra at central charge  $-\frac{22}{5}$ . This is the simplest and by far the most beautiful nontrivial example as the singular vector occurs in weight four, allowing for significant simplification. The character of  $\mathcal{L}_{-\frac{22}{5}}$  is given by the famous Rogers-Ramanujan product series:

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_{-\frac{22}{5}}](\tau) = \frac{q^{\frac{11}{60}}}{\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - q^{5i+2})(1 - q^{5i+3})}.$$

Our first main result examines the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  case.

**Theorem 7.1.** *The vertex algebra  $(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  is of type  $(2, 5, 6, 9)$ .*

*Proof.* We use the notation described in (6.5) and (6.6) and the well known singular vector

$$(7.1) \quad v_k = 10L_k(-2)^2 - 6L_k(-4)\mathbb{1}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , in each copy of  $\mathcal{V}_{-\frac{22}{5}}$ , which is clearly 0 in the simple quotient  $\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}$ . As in previous proofs we perform our calculations at the level of vertex operators and so we set

$$\begin{aligned} V^s(z) &= Y(v_1 + v_2 + v_3, z) \\ V_1^s(z) &= Y(v_1 + \eta^2 v_2 + \eta v_3, z) \\ V_2^s(z) &= Y(v_1 + \eta v_2 + \eta^2 v_3, z) \end{aligned}$$

and so under the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} V^s &\mapsto V^s \\ V_1^s &\mapsto \eta V_1^s \\ V_2^s &\mapsto \eta^2 V_2^s, \end{aligned}$$

which is parallel to the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  action on the generators  $L$ ,  $U_1$ , and  $U_2$  of  $\left(\mathcal{V}_{-\frac{22}{5}}\right)^{\otimes 3}$ . We now form the fields

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{2,1}^s(a) &= \circ(\partial^a U_2) V_1^s \circ & W_{3,1}^s(a) &= \circ(\partial^a U_1) U_1 V_1^s \circ \\ W_{2,2}^s(a) &= \circ(\partial^a U_1) V_2^s \circ & W_{3,1}^s(a) &= \circ(\partial^a U_2) U_2 V_2^s \circ \\ W_{2,3}^s(a) &= \circ(\partial^a V_1) V_2^s \circ \end{aligned}$$

Observe that these fields are all descendants of the singular vectors and are thus zero in the simple quotient. Further the generators described in the proof of Theorem 6.1 can be reduced down to the set containing the total conformal vector  $\omega$  along with  $w_k = U_1(-3)U_2(-2)$  for  $k = 5, 6, 9$ , where  $Y(u_i, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U_i(n) z^{-n-2}$ . As such, we need to write the fields corresponding to the remaining generators in terms of  $V^s$  and  $W_{i,j}^s(a)$ . For example, at weight four we have

$$W_2(4, 0) = \frac{3}{20} V^s - \frac{1}{2} \circ LL \circ - \frac{9}{20} \partial^2 L$$

inside the simple quotient. Similar equations exist for all remaining generators except those described above. For example, at weight 8 we require three such equations:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{3,1}(2, 0, 0) &= -\frac{17}{56} W_{2,1}^s(2) - \frac{13}{168} W_{2,2}^s(2) + \frac{1}{84} W_{2,3}^s(0) - \frac{157}{758} W_{3,1}^s(0) - \frac{95}{756} W_{3,2}^s + \Psi_1, \\ W_{3,2}(2, 0, 0) &= -\frac{17}{56} W_{2,1}^s(2) - \frac{13}{168} W_{2,2}^s(2) + \frac{1}{84} W_{2,3}^s(0) + \frac{95}{756} W_{3,1}^s(0) - \frac{347}{756} W_{3,2}^s + \Psi_2, \\ W_2(4, 0) &= \frac{9}{28} W_{2,1}^s(2) - \frac{1}{28} W_{2,2}^s(2) - \frac{1}{14} W_{2,3}^s(0) - \frac{25}{126} W_{3,1}^s(0) + \frac{25}{126} W_{3,2}^s + \Psi_3. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 7.1.** A complete list of minimal central charges for which the type of  $(\mathcal{L}_c^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  is different than the generic type is necessarily among  $c_{2,5}, c_{3,4}, c_{3,5}, c_{2,7}, c_{2,9}, c_{2,11}$ . In these cases we get types:  $(2, 5, 6, 9)$ ,  $(2, 4, 5, 6^2, 7, 8, 9)$ ,  $(2, 4, 5, 6^3, 7, 8^2, 9^3)$ ,  $(2, 4, 5, 6^2, 7, 8, 9^2)$ ,  $(2, 4, 5, 6^3, 7, 8^3, 9^2, 10^2)$ , and  $(2, 4, 5, 6^3, 7, 8^3, 9^3, 10^2)$ , respectively. As far as we know, there is no (universal) affine  $W$ -algebra of these types. Except for  $c_{2,5}$  it also interesting to look at  $c_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2}$  due to fermionic construction. In this case we get that  $(\mathcal{L}_c^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  is isomorphic to  $L_2(\mathfrak{sl}(2))^{\hat{A}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$ .

We shall return to these examples in the sequel [23].

**Theorem 7.2.** *The vertex algebra  $\left(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 3}\right)^{S_3}$  is of type  $(2, 6)$ .*

*Proof.* Our approach will be to realize  $(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$  as the  $S_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold of  $(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  where the automorphism permutes the vectors  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  as described in (6.5). We make the important observation that at this central charge there is no need for “cubic” generators such as  ${}^\circ U_1 U_1 U_1 {}^\circ + {}^\circ U_2 U_2 U_2 {}^\circ$ , as the individual summands have been removed from the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  orbifold generating set. As such, we focus on the quadratic generators by considering a slightly different set of quadratic generators for the orbifold  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$  than those described in Theorem 6.1:

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} X^+(a, b) &= {}^\circ(\partial^a U_1)(\partial^b U_2) {}^\circ + {}^\circ(\partial^a U_2)(\partial^b U_1) {}^\circ \\ X^-(a, b) &= {}^\circ(\partial^a U_1)(\partial^b U_2) {}^\circ - {}^\circ(\partial^a U_2)(\partial^b U_1) {}^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

Next in parallel with Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 7.1 this infinite list of generators for the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  orbifold can be replaced with those fixed under the additional  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  action:  $X^+(2, 0)$  which is weight 6, as well as those sent to their negative:  $X^-(1, 0)$  and  $X^-(5, 0)$ , of weight 5 and 9. Next we see that by taking appropriate symmetric combinations of the relations given in the proof of Theorem 6.1 all terms in that are quadratic in  $(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$  can be using only  $X^+(2, 0)$ . A priori, the  $S_3$  orbifold appears to also require generators that are of the form  ${}^\circ X^-(a_1, a_2) X^-(b_1, b_2) {}^\circ$  however a simple calculation

$${}^\circ X^-(a_1, a_2) X^-(b_1, b_2) {}^\circ = {}^\circ X^+(a_1, b_1) X^+(a_2, b_2) {}^\circ - {}^\circ X^+(a_1, b_2) X^+(a_2, b_1) {}^\circ + \Psi$$

where  $\Psi$  is a normally ordered polynomial only involving the quadratic generators  $X^+(a, b)$  and  $L$  and thus may be written with only  $X^+(2, 0)$  and  $L$  finishing the proof.  $\square$

## 8. CONFORMAL EMBEDDINGS OF $\mathcal{V}_{c_p, q}$ INSIDE $\mathcal{L}_{c_r, s}^{\otimes n}$

In this part, which is somewhat disconnected from the rest of the paper, we analyze conformal embeddings of the form

$$(8.1) \quad \mathcal{V}_{c_p, q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{c_r, s}^{\otimes n}.$$

Observe that on the left hand side we have a universal VOA. If we replace  $\mathcal{V}_{c_p, q}$  with its simple quotient, things are quite simple and we have the following known result (see Wakimoto’s book [28]).

**Proposition 8.1.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , the only conformal embeddings of  $\mathcal{L}_{c_p, q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{c_r, s}^{\otimes n}$  are:  $(p, q) = (2, 3)$  any  $n$ , and  $(p, q) = (3, 10)$  with  $n = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\mathcal{L}_{c_{2,3}}$  is the trivial 1-dimensional vertex algebra, so the statement is clear in this case. If there is an embedding  $\mathcal{L}_{c_p, q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{c_r, s}^{\otimes n}$ , because of rationality,  $\mathcal{L}_{c_r, s}^{\otimes n}$  decomposes as a (finite) sum of irreducible  $\mathcal{L}_{c_p, q}$ -modules. Recall the character of  $\mathcal{L}_{c_p, q}$  from Section 2:

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_{c_p, q}](\tau) = \frac{\theta_{p, q}^{1,1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}.$$

Then asymptotically (as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , where  $\tau = it$ ):

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_{c_p, q}](\tau) \sim A_{p, q} e^{\frac{\pi}{12t} - \frac{6\pi}{12tpq}},$$

where  $A_{p, q} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now using

$$\text{ch}[\mathcal{L}_{c_r, s}^{\otimes n}](\tau) \sim A e^{\frac{n\pi}{12t} - \frac{6n\pi}{12tpq}},$$

for some non-zero constant  $A$ , and comparing the growth of characters on both sides of  $\mathcal{L}_{c_{p,q}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{c_{r,s}}^{\otimes n}$  we obtain an equality

$$1 - \frac{6}{pq} = n \left( 1 - \frac{6}{st} \right).$$

Easy inspection implies that the only nontrivial solution  $((p, q) \neq (2, 3))$  is  $(p, q) = (2, 5)$ ,  $n = 2$  and  $(r, s) = (3, 10)$ .  $\square$

Next we consider universal embeddings  $\mathcal{V}_{c_{p,q}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{c_{r,s}}^{\otimes n}$ . This is in fact equivalent to the following algebraic condition:

$$(8.2) \quad 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq} = n \left( 1 - \frac{6(r-s)^2}{rs} \right).$$

Next, we rewrite (8.2) as

$$13 - 6 \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right) = n \left( 13 - 6 \left( w + \frac{1}{w} \right) \right),$$

where, for convenience, we introduced  $t = \frac{p}{q}$  and  $w = \frac{r}{s}$ . Solving this equation in  $w$  gives

$$w = \frac{\pm \sqrt{(-13nt - 6t^2 + 13t - 6)^2 - 144n^2t^2} + 13nt + 6t^2 - 13t + 6}{12nt}.$$

As  $w$  must be rational the expression under the radical must be a (rational) square. Thus, we have to find all rational points on the family of quartic curves (depending on  $n$ )

$$u^2 = (-13nt - 6t^2 + 13t - 6)^2 - 144n^2t^2.$$

For fixed  $n$ , it is not difficult to see the above equation reduces to an elliptic curve. Since we always have a rational point (coming from  $(p, q) = (r, s) = (2, 3)$ ;  $c_{p,q} = c_{r,s} = 0$ ) we can easily transform the quartic to its minimal cubic form. Next we analyze  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  discussed in the paper.

8.1.  $n = 2$ . The relevant curve is  $u^2 = 36 + 156t - 335t^2 + 156t^3 + 36t^4$ . After a transformation it reduces to an elliptic curve with minimal Weierstrass' equation

$$Y^2 + YX = X^3 - 1141X + 44321.$$

Using computer program **Magma** we get the group of rational points  $\text{Rat}$  on this curve to be isomorphic to

$$\text{Rat} \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

with the torsion part generated by  $(0, 6)$  (in the quartic equation) and the free part is generated by  $(-6, 0)$  (also in the quartic). Using computer we can see that these solutions are quickly "huge" (large numerators and denominators). For instance, only solutions in the range  $2 \leq p, q \leq 1000$  are (for simplicity we assume  $p > q$  so we omit  $(q, p)$  from the list):

$$(p, q) = (2, 3), (3, 10), (4, 11), (13, 18), (803, 852)$$

and the  $(r, s)$  values are respectively

$$(r, s) = (2, 3), (2, 5), (11, 24), (9, 13), (584, 781)$$

8.2.  $n = 3$ . The relevant curve is  $u^2 = 9 + 78t - 137t^2 + 78t^3 + 9t^4$  and it reduces to an elliptic curve

$$Y^2 + YX = X^3 - 31X + 3536.$$

The group of rational points  $\text{Rat}$  is again isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . The torsion subgroup is generated by an element that corresponds to  $(0, -3)$  on the quartic curve and the free subgroup is generated by element  $(13/24, -315/64)$ , also on the quartic. Compared to  $n$ , the solutions are much more sparse. In the range  $2 \leq p, q \leq 10,000$  with  $p < q$  we have only a few pairs:

$$(p, q) = (2, 3), (2, 23), (13, 24), (3256, 4095)$$

with

$$(r, s) = (2, 3), (9, 46), (8, 13), (5291, 7560)$$

**Remark 8.1.** It is interesting that for higher  $n$ , the rank of the group of rational points on the elliptic curve can be bigger than 1. For instance, again using **Magma** it is 2 for  $n = 19$  but for  $n = 23$  is again 1.

We are almost done proving the following results.

**Proposition 8.2.** *Among each family of rational  $W$ -algebras  $(\mathcal{L}_{c,p,q}^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_{c,p,q}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ , there are infinitely many vertex algebras of minimal (Virasoro) central charge.*

*Proof.* We only have to argue that there are infinitely many such pairs  $(p, q)$ , where  $p, q \geq 2$  coming from rational solutions of the elliptic curve. This follows immediately from the Poincare-Hurwitz theorem: on every elliptic curve with infinitely many rational points there are infinitely many rational solutions around each rational point. Since we already found several rational solutions  $\frac{p}{q} > 0$  for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  we are done.  $\square$

## 9. FUTURE WORK

Here we announce a few results and directions for future investigation. In the sequel [23] we shall prove the following result:

**Theorem 9.1.** *Except for finitely many central charges, the permutation orbifold  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{\otimes 3})^{S_3}$  is of type  $(2, 4, 6^2, 8^2, 9, 10^2, 11, 12^3, 14)$ .*

Denote by  $h$  and  $h^\vee$  Coxeter and dual Coxeter number, respectively, of a simple Lie algebra  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Recall [3, 28] that admissible levels for an affine Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  are given by  $k = -h^\vee + \frac{p}{p'}$  where  $p, p' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(p, p') = 1$  and  $p \geq h^\vee$  if  $(r^\vee, p') = 1$  and  $p \geq h$  if  $(r^\vee, p') = r^\vee$ . Let

$$c(k) = \text{rank}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) - \frac{12(p'\bar{\rho} - p\bar{\rho}^\vee, p'\bar{\rho} - p\bar{\rho}^\vee)}{pp'}.$$

We also define non-degenerate admissible levels where in addition:  $p' \geq h$  if  $(p', r^\vee) = 1$  and  $p' \geq r^\vee h^\vee$  if  $(p', r^\vee) = r^\vee$ . Then the main result in [3] reads

**Theorem 9.2** (Arakawa). *If  $k$  is non-degenerate admissible, then the simple affine  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}_k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ , of central charge  $c(k)$ , is rational and lisse.*

The family of non-degenerate admissible central charges parametrized by coprime integers  $(p, p')$  are called minimal series, and the modules of the corresponding rational affine  $W$ -algebras are called minimal models.

Consider now  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}_2$ , the exceptional simple Lie algebra of rank 2. Then  $r^\vee = 3$ ,  $h^\vee = 4$  and  $h = 6$ . Observe that for  $p = 5$  and  $p' = 6$  we have  $c(k) = -\frac{66}{5}$  and  $k = -4 + \frac{5}{6} = -\frac{19}{6}$ . However,  $-\frac{19}{6}$  is not admissible and  $\mathcal{W}_{-\frac{19}{6}}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$  does not belong among minimal series.

In the sequel [23], we shall prove an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 3})^{S_3} \cong \mathcal{W}_{-\frac{19}{6}}(\mathfrak{g}_2, f_{princ}),$$

using explicit generators of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}_2, f_{princ})$ . This in particular, proves rationality and  $C_2$ -cofiniteness (after [10, 26]) of an affine  $W$ -algebra outside the usual rational series. Moreover, this gives an elegant expression for the character of the affine  $W$ -algebra (see Section 2).

We also record a related conjecture which is currently beyond our reach.

**Conjecture 1.** *We have an isomorphism of vertex algebras*

$$(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 4})^{S_4} \cong \mathcal{W}_{-\frac{49}{6}}(\mathfrak{f}_4, f_{princ}).$$

This conjecture is motivated by some computational evidence that  $(\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 4})^{S_4}$  is of type  $(2, 6, 8, 12)$ , which is the type of the right-hand side. For the exceptional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_4$ , we have  $h = 12$  and  $h^\vee = 9$ . Again, using the above formula  $c(k) = -\frac{88}{5}$  for  $(p, p') = (5, 6)$  and  $k = -\frac{49}{6}$ , which does not belong to admissible series.

Observe that our Theorem 4.1, part (a) and (e), gives an isomorphism

$$(9.1) \quad (\mathcal{L}_{-22/5}^{\otimes 4})^{D_4} \cong (\mathcal{L}_{-44/5}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

so the left-hand side is of type  $(2, 4, 6, 8)$ .

In another recent development, Li, one of the authors, and Wauchope [20] proved the following result for the Heisenberg-Virasoro  $\mathcal{HV}_c$ ,  $N = 1$  and  $N = 2$  superconformal vertex algebras  $\mathcal{V}_c^{N1}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_c^{N2}$ .

**Theorem 9.3.** *We have*

- (1) *The orbifold  $(\mathcal{HV}_c^{\otimes 2})^{S_2}$  is of type  $(1, 2^2, 3, 4^3, 5)$ .*
- (2) *Generically, the  $S_2$ -orbifold of  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{N1})^{\otimes 2}$  is of type  $(\frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{7}{2}, 4^2, \frac{9}{2}, \frac{11}{2}, 6^2, \frac{13}{2})$ .*
- (3) *Generically, the  $S_2$ -orbifold of  $(\mathcal{V}_c^{N2})^{\otimes 2}$  is of type  $(1, \frac{3}{2}, 2^2, \frac{5}{2}, 3^2, \frac{7}{2}, 4^6, \frac{9}{2}, 5^2)$ .*

Jointly with Li, we are planning to study  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $S_3$ -orbifolds of these vertex algebras.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abe,  *$C_2$ -cofiniteness of 2-cyclic permutation orbifold models*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 317.2 (2013): 425-445.
- [2] D. Adamovic, X. Lin, and A. Milas, *ADE subalgebras of the triplet vertex algebra: A-type*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, **15** (2013), 1350028.
- [3] T. Arakawa, *Rationality of  $W$ -algebras: principal nilpotent cases*, Annals of Mathematics (2015): 565-604.
- [4] T. Arakawa, *Introduction to  $W$ -algebras and their representation theory*, In Perspectives in Lie theory (pp. 179-250). Springer, Cham.
- [5] K. Barron, C. Dong, and G. Mason, *Twisted sectors for tensor product vertex operator algebras associated to permutation groups*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 227.2 (2002): 349-384.
- [6] K. Barron and N. Vander Werf, *Permutation-twisted modules for even order cycles acting on tensor product vertex operator superalgebras*, International Journal of Mathematics. 2014 Feb 3;25(02):1450018.
- [7] K. Barron, Y.-Z. Huang, and J. Lepowsky, *An equivalence of two constructions of permutation-twisted modules for lattice vertex operator algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 210.3 (2007): 797-826.
- [8] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens,  *$W$ -symmetry in conformal field theory*, Physics Reports, 223(4), 183-276.
- [9] R. Blumenhagen, W. Eholzer, A. Honecker, K. Hornfeck, K. and R. Hübel, *Unifying  $W$ -algebras*, Physics Letters B, 332 (1994) pp.51-60.

- [10] S. Carnahan and M. Miyamoto, *Regularity of fixed-point vertex operator subalgebras*, preprint arXiv:1603.05645 (2016).
- [11] C. Dong and C. Jiang, *A Characterization of Vertex Operator Algebra  $V_L^+$* , Conformal Field Theory, Automorphic Forms and Related Topics (2009): 55.
- [12] C. Dong, L. Ren, and F. Xu, *On orbifold theory* Advances in Mathematics, (2017) 321, pp.1-30.
- [13] C. Dong, F. Xu, and N. Yu, *The 3-permutation orbifold of a lattice vertex operator algebra*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 222 (2018): 1316-1336.
- [14] C. Dong, F. Xu, and N. Yu, *2-permutations of lattice vertex operator algebras: Higher rank*, Journal of Algebra 476 (2017): 1-25.
- [15] W. Eholzer, A. Honecker, and R. Hübel, *How complete is the classification of  $W$ -symmetries?*, Physics Letters B, 308(1-2), 42-50.
- [16] C. Jiang and Q. Wang. *Representations of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -orbifold of the parafermion vertex operator algebra  $K(\mathfrak{sl}_2, k)$*  Journal of Algebra 529 (2019): 174-195.
- [17] S. Kanade and A. R. Linshaw, *Universal two-parameter even spin  $W_\infty$  -algebra*, Advances in Mathematics 355 (2019): 106774.
- [18] H. Li, *Vertex algebras and vertex Poisson algebras* Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 6 (2001), pp.61-110.
- [19] H. Li, *Equivariant oriented cohomology, jet schemes and vertex superalgebras*, PhD thesis (UAlbany), in progress.
- [20] H. Li, A. Milas and J. Wauchope,  *$S_2$ -permutation orbifolds of  $N = 1$  and  $N = 2$  superconformal vertex algebras*, to appear.
- [21] A. Linshaw, *A Hilbert theorem for vertex algebras*, Transformation Groups 15 (2010): 427- 448.
- [22] A. Linshaw, *Invariant Theory and the Heisenberg Vertex Algebra*, International Mathematics Research Notices, Vol. 2012, No. 17, pp. 4014-4050
- [23] A. Milas, M. Penn and C. Sadowski,  *$S_3$ -Permutation orbifolds of the Virasoro vertex algebra and  $W$ -algebras*, to appear.
- [24] A. Milas, M. Penn and H. Shao, *Permutation orbifolds of the Heisenberg vertex algebra,  $\mathcal{H}(3)$* , Journal of Mathematical Physics, 60 (2019) 021703.
- [25] A. Milas, M. Penn, and J. Wauchope, *Permutation orbifolds of rank three fermionic vertex superalgebras*, In Affine, Vertex and  $W$ -algebras (pp. 183-202). (2019), Springer, Cham.
- [26] M. Miyamoto,  *$C_2$ -Cofiniteness of Cyclic-Orbifold Models*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 335(3), 1279-1286.
- [27] K. Theilman, Mathematica Package OPE, 1991.
- [28] M. Wakimoto, *Lectures on infinite-dimensional Lie algebra*, World scientific, 2001.
- [29] W. Wang, *Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras*, International Mathematics Research Notices, 7 (1993), 197-211.
- [30] J. Wauchope, *Permutation orbifolds of fermionic vertex algebras*, PhD thesis (UAlbany), March, 2020.
- [31] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups: Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton University Press, 1946.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SUNY-ALBANY  
*E-mail address:* amilas@albany.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, RANDOLPH COLLEGE  
*E-mail address:* mpenn@randolphcollege.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, URSINUS COLLEGE  
*E-mail address:* csadowski@ursinus.edu