

Constraining X-ray emission in HBL blazars using multiwavelength observations

Alicja Wiercholska^{1,2*}, Stefan J. Wagner¹

¹Landessternwarte, Universität Heidelberg, Königstuhl 12, D 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

²Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland

17 August 2021

ABSTRACT

The X-ray spectrum of extreme HBL type blazars is located in the synchrotron branch of the broadband spectral energy distribution (SED), at energies below the peak. A joint fit of the extrapolated X-ray spectra together with a host galaxy template allows characterizing the synchrotron branch in the SED. The X-ray spectrum is usually characterized either with a pure or a curved power-law model. In the latter case, however, it is hard to distinguish an intrinsic curvature from excess absorption. In this paper, we focus on five well-observed blazars: 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, RX J1136+6737, 1ES 1741+196, 1ES 2344+514. We constrain the infrared-to-X-ray emission of these five blazars using a model that is characterized by the host galaxy, spectral curvature, absorption, and ultraviolet excess to separate these spectral features. In the case of four sources: 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, 1ES 1741+196, 1ES 2344+514 the spectral fit with the atomic neutral hydrogen from the Leiden Argentina Bonn Survey result in a significant UV excess present in the broadband spectral energy distribution. Such excess can be interpreted as an additional component, for example, a blue bump. However, in order to describe spectra of these blazars without such excess, additional absorption to the atomic neutral hydrogen from the Leiden Argentina Bonn Survey is needed.

Key words: Radiation mechanisms: non-thermal -- Galaxies: active -- BL Lacertae objects: general -- Galaxies: jets

1 INTRODUCTION

The class of blazars is characterized by a polarized and highly variable non-thermal continuum emission and composed of BL Lacertae (BL Lac) type sources and flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs). The distinction has historically been defined based on the equivalent width of the optical emission lines. According to the unified model (e.g. Urry & Padovani 1995), blazars are observed at small angles of the observer’s line of sight to the relativistic jet axis (e.g. Begelman et al. 1984). The electromagnetic radiation that is emitted by blazars is observed in the full energy range, starting from radio frequencies up to very high energy γ rays (e.g. Wagner 2009; H.E.S.S. Collaboration 2014). Temporal variability, observed in all energy regimes on different time scales from minutes up to years is a characteristic feature of these sources (e.g. Aharonian et al. 2007; Wiercholska 2015; Liao & Bai 2015; Wiercholska & Siejkowski 2016). Furthermore, temporal flux changes in blazars are often associated with spectral variability (e.g. Xue et al. 2006; Böttcher et al. 2010; Wiercholska & Wagner 2016; Siejkowski & Wiercholska 2017).

A typical spectral energy distribution (SED) of blazars is de-

scribed by two broad emission components. The low-energy peak in the SED is usually explained by synchrotron radiation of relativistic electrons from the jet, while the high-energy component can be explained in leptonic or hadronic scenarios (see, e.g. Dermer et al. 1992; Sikora et al. 1994; Mücke et al. 2003; Böttcher et al. 2013). In the case of leptonic models, the high-energy peak can be either caused by inverse Compton scattering of relativistic electrons from the jet (synchrotron-self-Compton models, SSC) or by photon fields external to the jets (external Compton models, EC). The external field of photons can be caused by emission observed from a dusty torus or broad line region.

The position of two peaks allows us to distinguish three subgroups of BL Lac type blazars, namely: high-, intermediate- and low-energy peaked BL Lac objects: HBL, IBL, LBL, respectively (see, e.g. Padovani & Giommi 1995; Fossati et al. 1998; Abdo et al. 2010). In the case of HBL type blazars the synchrotron peak is located in the X-ray domain ($\nu_s > 10^{15}$ Hz) (Abdo et al. 2010).

Two functions are commonly used to describe the synchrotron spectrum of blazars. These are a single power-law model and a curved power-law (also known as logparabola). The first one is characterized by a photon index γ , which is related to the index of input particles as $q = 2\gamma + 1$ (Rybicki & Lightman 1979). Similarly, a curved spectrum can be produced by logparabolic particle

* E-mail: alicja.wiercholska@ifj.edu.pl

distribution (Paggi et al. 2009) and is an indication for statistical acceleration process (e.g. Massaro et al. 2004, 2006, 2008; Tramacere et al. 2007).

The emission observed in the soft X-ray range is affected by absorption of the interstellar medium, along line of sight, in our Galaxy. The effect of the absorption extends up to about 10 keV with the most substantial influence up to 2 keV and it is calculated using a neutral hydrogen column density. The hydrogen column density observations have been reported in different surveys. The Leiden Argentine Bonn Survey (LAB, Kalberla et al. 2005) includes only N_{HI} measure.

Willingale et al. (2013) have proposed a measure of $N_{H,tot}$, which includes both the atomic gas column density N_{HI} and molecular hydrogen column density N_{H_2} . The N_{HI} value is taken from the LAB survey, while N_{H_2} is estimated based on maps of infrared dust emission and dust-gas ratio as provided by Schlegel et al. (1998) and Dame et al. (2001), respectively. As an alternative, spectra of blazars can be fitted with a free value of column density, which allows the derivation of the total X-ray absorption (e.g. Furniss et al. 2013; Wierzcholska & Wagner 2016; Gaur et al. 2018).

The X-ray spectra alone cover too small range in energy to decompile the effect of absorption from the range of different effects that can cause spectral curvature. Thus a more in-depth look into multifrequency observations of a source is needed.

In this paper, we investigate X-ray observations of HBL type blazars together with multiwavelength data of synchrotron part of the spectrum and a host galaxy template to study properties of the X-ray spectra and host galaxy emission observed in blazars selected. The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 introduces the sample of targets studied, Sect. 3 presents detail on data presented in the paper and data analysis detail, Sect. 4 shows the results. The work is summarized in Sect. 5.

2 THE SAMPLE

52 HBL type blazars have been detected in the TeV energy range (TeVCat¹). Most of them were observed with *Swift*/XRT with PC or WT mode of the instrument (see for detail Wierzcholska & Wagner 2016). In this work, we aim to characterize emission observed from infrared to X-ray range for extreme HBL type blazars. We note here that extreme blazars are described by extremely energetic synchrotron emission, and the inverse Compton bump extends to the very high energy γ -ray regime. For such sources, the X-ray spectrum is located at energies below the peak of the low energy bump in SED. For further studies, we select sources, TeV γ -ray emitting blazars, which fulfil the following criteria:

- the source belongs to HBL type blazars with the X-ray spectrum located at the growing part of the synchrotron bump in the SED,
- multiwavelength data covering low energy bump in the SED are available;
- archival observations of the global SED (e.g., taken from ASDC²) revealed prominent emission visible in the infrared-to-ultraviolet range indicating that host galaxy emission is significantly stronger than emission originating from all other components.

¹ <http://tevcats.uchicago.edu/>

² <http://www.asdc.asi.it/>

As a result the selected sample consists of 5 sources: 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, RX J1136+6737, 1ES 1741+196, 1ES 2344+514 as targets for further studies.³

Two potential sources: Mrk 421, and Mrk 501 are not included in these studies due to significant X-ray variability seen in the X-ray observations and the fact that most *Swift*/XRT data taken for these blazars are in WT mode. We limit our analysis to PC mode data only since data taken in the WT mode are affected by charge redistribution problems inherently related to how the CCD of the instrument is read (also noted by, e.g. Massaro et al. 2008; Wierzcholska & Wagner 2016). This causes that in the case of the longterm integrated X-ray spectra, wavy features can be present.

3 DATA AND ANALYSIS METHODS

In order to ensure good data coverage of the low energy bump in the SEDs of sources studied: 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, RX J1136+6737, 1ES 1741+196, 1ES 2344+514 multiwavelength data are used. In the case of all blazars, WISE, 2MASS, ATOM, *Swift*/UVOT, *Swift*/XRT data are used. In the case of 1ES 0229+200 also NuSTAR observations are studied.

3.1 Data analysis

NuSTAR data

The Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR) is a satellite instrument dedicated to observations in the hard X-ray regime of 3-79 keV (Harrison et al. 2013). Out of the five targets selected for these studies, only 1ES 0229+200 was observed with NuSTAR. Details on three pointings performed are summarized in Table 4. All observations were performed in the SCIENCE mode.

The raw data were processed with NuSTAR Data Analysis Software package (NuSTARDAS, released as part of HEASOFT 6.25) using standard `nupipeline` task. Instrumental response matrices and effective area files were produced with `nuproducts` procedure. The spectral analysis was performed for the channels corresponding to the energy band of 3-79 keV. We mention here that NuSTAR observations of 1ES 0229+200 have been previously reported by Bhatta et al. (2018) and Pandey et al. (2017). However, Bhatta et al. (2018) have discussed only one NuSTAR observation of 1ES 0229+200, while Pandey et al. (2017) focused on temporal variability.

Swift-XRT data

The X-ray data used in this work are the ones collected with *Swift* (Gehrels et al. 2004), which are exactly the same sets as studied by Wierzcholska & Wagner (2016), reanalyzed with a more recent version of the HEASOFT software (6.25) with the recent CALDB. All events were cleaned and calibrated using `xrtpipeline` task, and the PC mode data in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV with grades 0-12 were analyzed. The reanalyzed spectra are entirely consistent with the previous ones.

³ We note here also that during the review process of the paper, the MAGIC Collaboration reported the discovery of a new sample of hard-TeV extreme blazars (MAGIC Collaboration et al. 2019). These AGNs are also possible targets: TXS 0210+515, RBS 0723, 1ES 1426+428, 1ES 2037+521, and RGB J2042+244, for similar studies as presented in the paper.

Swift-UVOT data

The UVOT instrument onboard *Swift* measures the ultraviolet and optical emission simultaneously with the X-ray telescope. The observations are taken in the UV and optical bands with the central wavelengths of UVW2 (188 nm), UVM2 (217 nm), UVW1 (251 nm), U (345 nm), B (439 nm), and V (544 nm). The instrumental magnitudes were calculated using uvotsource including all photons from a circular region with radius 5". The background was determined from a circular region with a radius of 10" near the source region, not contaminated with the signal from nearby sources. The flux conversion factors as provided by Poole et al. (2008) were used. All data were corrected for the dust absorption using the reddening $E(B - V)$ as provided by Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) and summarized in Table 1. The ratios of the extinction to reddening, $A_\lambda/E(B - V)$, for each filter were provided by Giommi et al. (2006). The reddening $E(B - V)$ and atomic hydrogen column density (N_H) are related with the following formula $N_H = 8.3 \cdot 10^{21} E(B - V)$ (Liszt 2014).

ATOM data

ATOM (Automatic Telescope for Optical Monitoring) is a 75 cm optical telescope located in Namibia near the H.E.S.S. site (Hauser et al. 2004). The instrument measures optical magnitudes in four optical filters: B (440 nm), V (550 nm), R (640 nm), and I (790 nm).

For all sources, the data collected in the period starting from 2007 to 2015 have been analyzed using an aperture of 4" radius and differential photometry. The observations have been corrected for dust absorption.

ATOM observations are available for the following sources from the sample, namely: 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, and 1ES 1741+196. In the case of each of the sources, there is no significant variability detected in the ATOM bands.

2MASS data

The 2MASS data are taken from the 2MASS All-Sky Point Source Catalog (PSC) (Cutri et al. 2003). The data were corrected for the dust absorption using the same $E(B - V)$ factors as for the optical data mentioned previously.

WISE data

Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) is a space telescope which performs observations in the infrared energy band at four wavelengths: 3.4 μm (W1), 4.6 μm (W2), 12 μm (W3) and 22 μm (W4). The spectral data were taken from the AllWISE Source Catalog and the light curve from the AllWISE Multiepoch Photometry Table⁴. The magnitudes were converted to flux by applying the standard procedure (Wright et al. 2010). For W1 and W2, we applied the colour correction of a power-law with index zero, as suggested for Galactic emission. Since W3 and W4 are widely dominated by the non-thermal radiation and show similar flux densities, we used the colour correction for a power-law of index -2. For none of the blazars studied, the infrared light curves does not show any variability within uncertainties of the measurements.

⁴ <http://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allwise/>

3.2 Simultaneity of the data

Blazars are known for their variability observed in all energy regimes. Thus, simultaneous multiwavelength observations are essential to study broadband emission observed from blazars. Unfortunately, single *Swift*/XRT observations usually do not allow to constrain spectral parameters with small uncertainties. In order to find a sustainable solution for this, here, we study integrated X-ray spectra of five blazars. However, only PC mode data is used, since high state observations are usually performed with the WT mode of *Swift*/XRT. In order to check if the effect of variability is significant, we quantified it by fitting a constant function to the light curve data points. For all cases, such a fit yields χ^2_{red} of 0.8-1.4, showing no indication for variability in the X-ray regime for any of the targets.

Furthermore, thanks to simultaneous observations with *Swift*/UVOT, optical, and ultraviolet data collected with this instrument are averaged over the same period as X-ray data. Optical observations collected with ATOM are averaged over a similar period as X-ray monitoring data. We note here, that in the case of X-ray spectra obtained with NuSTAR, exactly simultaneous *Swift*/XRT and *Swift*/UVOT data are used.

3.3 Spectral analysis and fitting procedure

In order to characterize X-ray spectra of five sources from the sample two different models, the absorbed power-law (wabs * powerlaw in XSPEC) and absorbed logparabola (wabs * logparabola in XSPEC) were tested.

A single power-law is defined as:

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = N_p \left(\frac{E}{E_0} \right)^{-\gamma}, \quad (1)$$

with the normalization N_p , and the photon index γ ; while a logparabola model is defined as:

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = N_l \left(\frac{E}{E_0} \right)^{-(\alpha+\beta \log(E/E_0))}, \quad (2)$$

with the normalization N_l , the spectral parameter α and the curvature parameter β . Galactic absorption is a function of exponent: $e^{-(N_H^S + N_H^A)\sigma(E)}$, where N_H^S is the hydrogen column density value taken for a given survey, N_H^A is the additional, intrinsic column density and $\sigma(E)$ is the non-Thomson energy dependent photoelectric cross section (Morrison & McCammon 1983). For the case when there is an assumption of lack of an additional absorption, only N_H^S is taken into account, and N_H^A is fixed to zero.

We note here that except for absorbing model described with wabs, we also tested phabs and tbabs (Wilms et al. 2000). This resulted in similar spectral parameters, as presented in the paper and can be found in the online material to the paper.

3.4 Fitting of different energy bands

The fitting of the X-ray spectrum for *Swift*/XRT observations is performed in two different energy bands: 0.3-10 keV and 2.0-10 keV. The energy band of 0.3-10 keV includes complete spectral information from *Swift*/XRT observations. In this case, different corrections for the Galactic absorption are tested. The 2.0-10 keV band has limited coverage and is slightly less affected by absorption. This is simply because the Galactic absorption plays a substantial role from low energies up to about 2 keV (e.g. Campana et al. 2014) and thus by limiting the fitting range the influence of the Galactic absorption is marginal.

Source (1)	Swift obsIDs (2)	$E(B - V)$ (3)
1ES 0229+200	00031249001-00031249050	0.1172
PKS 0548-322	00044002001-00044002065	0.0304
RXJ 1136+6737	00037135001-00040562010	0.0074
1ES 1741+196	00030950001-00040639018	0.0759
1ES 2344+514	00035031001-00035031121	0.1819

Table 1. Details on data studied. The following columns present: (1) name of the source; (2) Swift (XRT and UVOT) observation IDs used in the paper; (3) $E(B - V)$ reddening coefficient.

3.5 Fitting of host galaxy

The fitting procedure of a host galaxy profile to the observational data is the following:

- (i) The preferred X-ray spectral model, according to X-ray data analysis as presented by [Wierzholska & Wagner \(2016\)](#), is chosen for a given object, as a starting point.
- (ii) The X-ray spectrum is then extrapolated to the lower energies, up to the IR regime, and added to the synthetic host galaxy profile.
- (iii) The total profile is then fitted to the observational data points.

The synthetic host galaxy profiles are generated with GRASIL (for more details see [Silva et al. 1998](#); [Silva 1999](#); [Silva & Granato 2012](#)), a code to compute spectral evolution of stellar systems. For this work, the simulations of the standard model of an elliptical galaxy based on the model described in the manual pages⁵ is used. We generated 11×26 synthetic spectra for a set of 11 different infall masses, M_{inf} : 10^7 , $10^{7.5}$, ..., $10^{12} M_{\odot}$, and 26 different galaxy ages, t_{gal} : 0.1, 0.6, ..., 13.1 Gyr.

The fitting method is as follows:

- (i) The age of the galaxy is set to a given value.
- (ii) The `scipy.interpolate.interp2d` function ([Jones et al. 2001](#)) is used to interpolate generated spectra (points) in two dimensions of infall mass and frequency in order to have a well-covered grid. This returns a function $S_{\text{syn}}(\nu, M_{\text{inf}})$ which computes the spectral points for a given frequency and infall mass.
- (iii) the S_{syn} (with added extrapolated X-ray spectrum) is fitted to the observational data using `scipy.optimize.curve_fit` function.
- (iv) The procedure is repeated for each galaxy age.
- (v) The best fit is selected according to the χ^2 value.

4 RESULTS

1ES 0229+200

The studies of 1ES 0229+200 performed by [Kaufmann et al. \(2011\)](#) revealed unusual spectral characteristics of the X-ray emission detected up to about 100 keV without any significant cut-off in the energy range studied. The model preferred by the authors has been a single power-law, however, with an indication of excess absorption above the Galactic value. [Kaufmann et al. \(2011\)](#) has also shown

that a cut-off characterizes the SED of 1ES 0229+200 in the low energy part of the synchrotron emission, located in the UV regime. In more recent studies, [Wierzholska & Wagner \(2016\)](#) have demonstrated that the longterm integrated X-ray spectrum in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV of 1ES 0229+200 could be described both with a single power-law or a log-parabola model. The authors have also shown that according to χ^2_{red} values of the fits, the preferred N_H amount is the one from the LAB survey. Within the uncertainties, this result is consistent with the work by [Kaufmann et al. \(2011\)](#).

In the first step, all X-ray observations (as analysed by [Wierzholska & Wagner \(2016\)](#)) collected with *Swift*/XRT during the period of monitoring in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV were fitted with a single power-law and a log-parabola model, in both cases with the Galactic absorption ($N_H = 8.06 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, [Kalberla et al. 2005](#)). Fits parameters of both models are given in Table 2. The figures illustrating fits with the data points are included in the online material. Both spectra are then used together with the host galaxy templates, as described in Sect. 3.3, to fit infrared-to-ultraviolet observations of 1ES 0229+200. The results of the fits are presented in Fig. 2. In the case of the power-law spectral model used, a fit to infrared-to-ultraviolet data is characterized with a significant excess observed in the UV regime. We note here, that, the UV excess is defined as a difference between model and fluxes observed in the UV range.

In order to check how the excess observed depends on the Galactic absorption, the longterm integrated X-ray spectrum has been fitted again with the power-law model in two different ways.

- In the first approach, the N_H value by [Kalberla et al. \(2005\)](#) is used, but the energy range is limited to 2.0-10 keV. The fitting procedure used in this case is the same as described before, and the same multiwavelength data set is used. The resulting fit parameters are collected in the Table 2, and the plot is presented in Fig. 3.
- In the second approach, the X-ray energy range used for the fitting is the entire *Swift*/XRT energy range, but the fixed N_H value is higher than the one mentioned above. [Willingale et al. \(2013\)](#) have suggested that in order to determine appropriately the influence of the Galactic absorption $N_{H,\text{tot}}$ value, which is a sum of the atomic gas column density N_{HI} and the molecular hydrogen column density N_{H_2} should be used. Here, N_{HI} is taken from the LAB survey ([Kalberla et al. 2005](#)), while N_{H_2} is estimated using maps of dust infrared emission by [Schlegel et al. \(1998\)](#) and the dust-gas ratio by [Dame et al. \(2001\)](#). For the case of 1ES 0229+200, $N_{H,\text{tot}}$ is equal to $11.80 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The fit to the data with the power-law model plus the Galactic hydrogen absorption as provided by [Willingale et al. \(2013\)](#) is presented in Fig. 3 and fit parameters are collected in Table 2.

In the case of the spectrum fitted to the limited energy range, the host galaxy is well described, and a UV excess is not required. The fit with the Galactic column density value as provided by [Willingale et al. \(2013\)](#) also does not require a UV excess and more significant discrepancy in the observed and modelled infrared emission in the low energy part of the WISE range.

Previous works dedicated to X-ray studies of 1ES 0229+200 also revealed additional absorption observed in the case of 1ES 0229+200. [Kaufmann et al. \(2011\)](#) have found that X-ray spectra of 1ES 0229+200 are well described with a single power-law model with the additional absorption that can be either intrinsic to the blazar or in the line of sight to the observer or in the Milky Way.

We note here also, that the evidence for UV excess in the X-ray spectrum of 1ES 0229+200 has been reported by [Costamante et al. \(2018\)](#). The authors suggested that it can be either due to an

⁵ <http://adlibitum.oats.inaf.it/silva/grasil/modelling/modlib.html>

additional emitting component or could be explained by thermal emission from the AGN. While no explanation could be identified, the shape of the X-ray spectrum required an excess emission in the UV range or excess absorption.

NuSTAR observations of IES 0229+200

In the case of one target from the sample, IES 0229+200, hard X-ray data collected with NuSTAR are available. This allows testing stability of the results obtained with *Swift*/XRT, and check if additional X-ray observations ranging to 79 keV improve the fit.

In total, three NuSTAR observations of IES 0229+200 were performed, all in October 2013. During the NuSTAR campaign, the source was also observed with *Swift*/XRT and *Swift*/UVOT, providing almost a strictly simultaneous overlap of the broadband spectral energy distribution. Table 4 presents details on data that have been gathered with NuSTAR and *Swift*/XRT during NuSTAR observation period.

In order to test whether the NuSTAR X-ray spectra of IES 0229+200 are better constrained with a single power-law model or a log-parabola, all three NuSTAR observations were fitted with both models. Table 5 summarizes parameters of spectral fits and Figure 1 shows three NuSTAR spectra. We note here that in the case of the NuSTAR observations of IES 0229+200 X-ray spectrum is here constrained in the energy range of 3–50 keV. Similar χ^2_{red} values for both power-law and log-parabola fits indicate that the hard X-ray spectrum suggests that the spectra can be described with the same precision while using one of these models. However, using spectral parameters for the power-law model of the NuSTAR spectra, together with the host galaxy template, we are not able to reproduce the infrared-to-ultraviolet SED of IES 0229+200. The extrapolated fit was above the datapoints. Thus, we conclude that the proper description of the X-ray spectrum of IES 0229+200 in the energy range above 3 keV can be done only with a curved model. As the power-law model was ruled out, we used the logparabolic description of the X-ray spectra together with the host galaxy templates. The resulting SEDs are presented in the first column in Figure 5. For all ObsID, none of the marginal UV excesses is visible.

Simultaneous *Swift*/XRT–NuSTAR observations of IES 0229+200 allow for joint spectral fitting in the energy range of 0.3–50 keV. Figure 5 (the second and third column) presents broadband SEDs of IES 0229+200 being the result of log-parabola spectral model extrapolated together with the host galaxy template. Two different values of N_H are tested: the one provided by Kalberla et al. (2005) and by Willingale et al. (2013). The UV excess is present in the SEDs obtained while using N_H by Kalberla et al. (2005), and it is now visible in the case of SEDs obtained with N_H by e.g. (Willingale et al. 2013).

The analysis of NuSTAR and *Swift*/XRT–NuSTAR data allows us concluding that while using X-ray data above 10 keV, we received as good information about synchrotron emission of IES 0229+200 as in the case of *Swift*/XRT data only.

PKS 0548–322

Wiercholska & Wagner (2016) have shown that the longterm integrated X-ray spectrum of PKS 0548–322 in the energy range of 0.3–10 keV has been well described with logparabola model with Galactic column density value found by Kalberla et al. (2005). The X-ray spectrum fit parameters provided by Wiercholska & Wagner (2016) together with the galaxy template reproduce the optical




Figure 1. The spectral energy distribution of IES 0229+200 as seen with NuSTAR. Three different colors correspond to different ObsIDs: nu60002047002, nu60002047004, and nu60002047006. For each ObsID, the data are fitted with a single power-law model.

and IR observations well, but significant excess in the UV band is observed. In order to check the influence of the Galactic absorption on X-ray spectral parameters, the X-ray spectrum has been again fitted in the energy range of 2.0–10 keV. The spectrum has been extrapolated together with the template of the host galaxy up to the infrared-to-ultraviolet range. The resulting fit parameters are only slightly different from the 0.3–10 keV fit, but they allow to reproduce broadband emission of PKS 0548–322 without significant UV excess visible. From this fit, the host galaxy of the blazar is described with a mass of $(4.7 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^9 M_\odot$. Fit parameters of the X-ray spectrum for both cases are collected in Table 2 and plots are demonstrated in Figure 4. The studies performed have shown that additional absorption is needed to reproduce the SED of the blazar without significant UV excess.

PKS 0548–322 was a frequent target of X-ray observations. The analysis reported by Costamante et al. (2001) indicates the evidence of the intrinsic curvature of the X-ray spectrum of the blazar, even in the presence of an additional absorption. Perri et al. (2007) also have reported a logparabola as a preferred model describing the X-ray spectrum of the blazar as seen with *Swift*/XRT and Beppo-SAX. Aharonian et al. (2010) have described the X-ray spectrum of PKS 0548–322 in the energy range using a single power-law and broken power-law model without significant improvement while using the later model. However, the authors have mentioned a possible presence of an absorption feature near 0.7 keV.

RX J1136+6737

Wiercholska & Wagner (2016) have found the power-law model as a good description of the X-ray spectrum in the energy range of 0.3–10 keV. The authors have not found any need for an additional absorption component in the spectral description. The preferred value of the Galactic column density has been the one provided by Willingale et al. (2013). Using extrapolated power-law fit together with a template of the host galaxy fits well the multiwavelength data without any excess in the UV range. A mass of host galaxy is estimated to have $(2.23 \pm 0.14) \cdot 10^{10} M_\odot$. Fit parameters

of the X-ray spectrum is presented in Table 2 and SED is shown in Figure 4.

IES 1741+196

Wiercholska & Wagner (2016) have shown that the X-ray spectrum of IES 1741+196 is well described using a logparabola model with Galactic column density value provided by Willingale et al. (2013). These spectral parameters together with the host galaxy template were used and fitted to multiwavelength data collected for IES 1741+196 to reproduce the low energy bump of the SED since significant UV excess is evident. The second fit to the data using the X-ray spectrum in the energy of 2.0-10 keV reproduced data well without any excess visible in the UV range. According to this fit, the estimated value of the host galaxy mass is $(1.37 \pm 0.08) \cdot 10^{10} M_{\odot}$. The fit parameters of the X-ray spectrum is presented in Table 2 and SED is shown in Figure 4. The studies performed have shown that additional absorption is needed to reproduce the SED of the blazar without significant UV excess.

Ahnen et al. (2017) have found that the X-ray spectrum of IES 1741+196 is well described with a single power-law without any indication of absorption excess. Contrary to these studies, Abeysekera et al. (2016) have concluded analysis of *Swift*/XRT spectra of IES 1741+196 that curvature in the spectrum is needed in order to explain the spectral shape in this range.

IES 2344+514

In the studies of longterm X-ray spectra of blazars Wiercholska & Wagner (2016) have shown that the spectrum of IES 2344+514 is well described with the logparabola model with Galactic column density taken from the survey by Kalberla et al. (2005). This X-ray spectral fit extrapolated to the infrared-to-ultraviolet range, together with a host galaxy template, results in large UV excess. Fit to the same X-ray data, but in the energy range of 2.0-10 keV, together with the host galaxy, gives appropriate fit without UV excess. For the second fit estimated value of host galaxy mass is $(19.2 \pm 00.5) \cdot 10^{10} M_{\odot}$. Results of fits for both cases can be found in Fig. 4, and parameters of all fits are presented in Table 2. The studies performed have shown that additional absorption is needed to reproduce the SED of the blazar without significant UV excess.

No need for a curved model describing spectrum or additional absorbing component has been reported by Aleksić et al. (2013). Kapanadze et al. (2017) also have preferred power-law model to describe the X-ray spectra of this blazar.

We note here also, that for the case of IES 2344+514 due to the low Galactic latitude of the blazar the N_H values taken from different catalogues are affected by large uncertainties.

4.1 N_H calculation

The spectral parameters of the fit in the energy range of 2-10 keV were used as frozen for the fit to data in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV. The only free fit parameter here was N_H , and thus, we were able to calculate N_H values for all targets studied.

- For IES 0229+200 the calculated value of N_H^{tot} is $(13.00 \pm 0.37) \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$. This value is larger than the one from the LAB survey ($8.06 \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$) and consistent with the one provided by Willingale et al. (2013) ($10.80 \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$). The value is also higher than free $N_H = (10.6-10.8) \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$ calculated by Kaufmann et al. (2011).

- For PKS 0548-322 the calculated value of N_H^{tot} is $(3.10 \pm 0.10) \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$. This value is larger than the one from the LAB survey ($2.58 \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$) and consistent with the one provided by Willingale et al. (2013) ($2.87 \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$). However, Sambruna & Mushotzky (1998) have found significantly higher value of N_H of $1.03 \cdot 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$ that is needed to explain X-ray properties of PKS 0548-322.

- For IES 1741+196 the calculated value of N_H^{tot} is $(10.47 \pm 0.41) \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$. This value is larger than the one from the LAB survey ($7.32 \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$) and consistent with the one provided by Willingale et al. (2013) ($9.58 \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$).

- For IES 2344+514 calculated value of N_H^{tot} is $(17.34 \pm 0.15) \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$. This value is larger than the one from the LAB survey ($15.10 \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$), however it is smaller than the one provided by Willingale et al. (2013) ($24.60 \cdot 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$).

We note here that the reddening $E(B - V)$ and the atomic hydrogen column density (N_H) are related. According to recent work, Liszt (2014) has described this dependence by the following formula $N_H = 8.3 \cdot 10^{21} E(B - V)$. The formula gives N_H values of $9.7 \cdot 10^{20}$, $2.5 \cdot 10^{20}$, $0.6 \cdot 10^{20}$, $6.3 \cdot 10^{20}$, and $15 \cdot 10^{20}$ for IES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, RX J1136+6737, IES 1741+196, IES 2344+514, respectively. The quoted values are consistent with the ones from the LAB survey and do not indicate a need for an additional absorption. All values of N_H values, including the catalogue ones, are collected in Table 3.

4.2 Constrains on the model parameters

The model used to describe the infrared to X-ray emission of the blazars is characterized by: host galaxy, curvature seen in the X-ray spectrum, total absorption (which includes both Galactic component and possibly additional ones), and ultraviolet excess. The host galaxy, understood as the entire component described with the template by Silva (1999), is well constrained with the model used. Still, the remaining three parameters cannot be disentangled using only information from the X-ray spectral fitting. The limitations on three entangled parameters are the following:

- β : For all of the blazars studied, the convex curvature of the X-ray spectrum is assumed. This implies that negative values of β are not accepted. Furthermore, Wiercholska & Wagner (2016) have demonstrated that in the case of a fixed value of absorption (e.g., from the LAB survey), X-ray spectra can be characterized with β from 0.1 up to 0.9. Albeit, in the case of the free value of N_H , the value of β ranges from 0.04 up to 0.4. In our studies, spectral curvatures of extreme HBL blazars range between 0.05 and 0.37, which is consistent with the work by Wiercholska & Wagner (2016) and also with other studies focusing on spectral curvatures seen in the X-ray range (Massaro et al. 2004; Tramacere et al. 2007).

- N_H : According to the LAB survey, values of the Galactic absorption range between 10^{20} - 10^{22} . For the blazars in the sample, N_H values do not exceed 10^{21} , and additional value required to explain UV excess is of about 60% higher than the survey ones, which is still in the range of reasonable value.

- **UV excess:** The value of UV excess cannot be negative.

To check the impact of a UV excess on other parameters, for each source, we performed a study on parameters variability in the following steps:




Figure 2. Broadband SED of 1ES 0229+200. Left: modelling with X-ray spectrum fitted with the power-law model in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV with N_H value taken from Kalberla et al. (2005); right: same as left but X-ray spectrum fitted with the logparabola model. Red points present WISE data, light blue 2MASS data, dark blue ATOM data, and green Swift-UVOT.




Figure 3. Broadband SED of 1ES 0229+200. Left: modelling with X-ray spectrum fitted with the power-law model in the energy range of 2.0-10 keV with N_H value taken from Kalberla et al. (2005); right: modelling with X-ray spectrum fitted with the power-law model in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV with N_H value taken from Willingale et al. (2013). Points colour coding same as in Fig.2.

- Range of possible N_H values is selected. The starting value is the lowest one from the surveys.
- Spectral fitting of the X-ray spectrum in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV with the frozen value of N_H is performed.
- Fitting to the host galaxy template as described in 3.3.
- Calculation of the UV excess as a distance of the model to UVW2 datapoint.

Figure 6 presents comparison of α , β , and UV excess as a function of N_H for 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, 1ES 1741+196, and 1ES 2344+514. The figures illustrate the strong dependence of α , β , and UV excess parameters for all blazars. The α and β parameters of the model change significantly within changes of the N_H value, and this also generates different UV excesses.

According to the limitations of the values of β and UV excess, we can conclude that the values of N_H must be limited to: $13.3 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, $3.1 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, $10.5 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, and

$17.4 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, 1ES 1741+196, and 1ES 2344+514, respectively.

5 SUMMARY

The X-ray spectra of blazars in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV are commonly well explained using a power-law or curved power-law model. Both these forms can well describe the particle distribution responsible for the emission observed in a given energy range (e.g. Tramacere et al. 2007; Massaro et al. 2008). The curvature parameter reported for X-ray spectra of blazar, ranging between 0.1-0.9, illustrates a variety of spectral properties of blazars' X-ray spectra (see, e.g. Massaro et al. 2004; Tramacere et al. 2007; Wiercholska & Wagner 2016). The curved power-law model is successfully used for all subclasses of blazars, including FSRQs, LBLs, IBLs, and HBLs (e.g., Wiercholska & Wagner 2016).




Figure 4. From top to bottom: Broadband SED of PKS 0548-322. Left: modelling with X-ray spectrum fitted with the logparabola model in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV; right: same as left but X-ray spectrum fitted in the energy range of 2.0-10 keV.; Broadband SED of 1ES 1741+196. Left: modelling with X-ray spectrum fitted with the logparabola model in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV; right: same as left but X-ray spectrum fitted in the energy range of 2.0-10 keV.; Broadband SED of 1ES 2344+514. Left: modelling with X-ray spectrum fitted with the logparabola model in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV; right: same as left but X-ray spectrum fitted in the energy range of 2.0-10 keV. Broadband SED of RXJ 1136+6737: modelling with X-ray spectrum fitted with the power-law model in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV. Points colour coding same as in Fig. 2.

Source (1)	Model (2)	Energy range (3)	N (4)	γ or α (5)	β (6)	N_H (7)	$\chi^2_{red}(n_{d.o.f})$ (8)	M (9)	ID (10)
1ES 0229+200	power-law	0.3-10	3.70 ± 0.05	1.59 ± 0.02	-	8.06	1.38(266)	2.15 ± 0.10	A
1ES 0229+200	logparabola	0.3-10	3.81 ± 0.06	1.41 ± 0.03	0.37 ± 0.05	8.06	1.16(265)	2.36 ± 0.13	B
1ES 0229+200	power-law	2.0-10	4.01 ± 0.06	1.67 ± 0.02	-	8.06	0.98(114)	1.97 ± 0.09	C
1ES 0229+200	power-law	0.3-10	4.21 ± 0.06	1.70 ± 0.02	-	11.8	1.20(266)	1.87 ± 0.09	D
PKS 0548-322	logparabola	0.3-10	10.28 ± 0.06	1.73 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.02	2.58	1.09(750)	0.67 ± 0.04	E
PKS 0548-322	logparabola	2.0-10	10.29 ± 0.06	1.78 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.01	2.58	1.10(584)	0.47 ± 0.03	F
RXJ 1136+6737	power-law	0.3-10	4.02 ± 0.04	1.73 ± 0.01	-	1.06	1.11(468)	2.08 ± 0.13	G
1ES 1741+196	logparabola	0.3-10	2.55 ± 0.05	1.89 ± 0.03	0.26 ± 0.01	9.58	1.05(350)	1.56 ± 0.10	H
1ES 1741+196	logparabola	2.0-10	2.62 ± 0.05	1.95 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.03	9.58	0.98(186)	1.39 ± 0.08	I
1ES 2344+514	logparabola	0.3-10	5.51 ± 0.03	1.96 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.02	15.1	1.03(707)	1.10 ± 0.07	J
1ES 2344+514	logparabola	2.0-10	5.55 ± 0.04	2.00 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.03	15.1	1.00(539)	0.92 ± 0.05	K

Table 2. Fit parameters and results for the sample: (1) name of the source; (2) model used to describe X-ray observations; (3) energy range of the X-ray spectrum; (4) normalization given in $10^{-3} \text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{keV}^{-1}$; (5) photon index: γ in case of power-law model and α in the case of logparabola one; (6) curvature coefficient β for logparabola model; (7) Galactic absorption value, given in 10^{20}cm^2 ; (8) χ^2_{red} for a fit of X-ray data with a number of degree of freedom; (9) Estimated mass of the host galaxy given in $10^{10} M_{\odot}$; (10) ID of the fit.




Figure 5. Spectral energy distribution of 1ES 0229+200 derived from the extrapolated NuSTAR X-ray spectra. First row - observations with the ObsID of nu60002047002; from left to right: the log-parabola fit to the NuSTAR data only in the energy range of 3-79 keV, the joint *Swift*/*XRT*-NuSTAR fit in the energy range of 0.3-79 keV with the log-parabola model and N_H value as provided by [Kalberla et al. \(2005\)](#), the joint *Swift*/*XRT*-NuSTAR fit in the energy range of 0.3-79 keV with the log-parabola model and N_H value as provided by [Willingale et al. \(2013\)](#). Second row: same as first but for the ObsID of nu60002047004. Last row: same as first but for the ObsID of nu60002047006.




Figure 6. Comparison of model parameters: α (top panel), β (middle panel), and UV excess (bottom panel) as a function of N_H value for 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, 1ES 1741+196, 1ES 2344+514.

Source (1)	LAB (2)	DL (3)	Will (4)	$N_{E(B-V)}$ (5)	this work (6)
1ES 0229+200	8.06	9.20	11.80	9.7	13.00 ± 0.37
PKS 0548-322	2.58	2.18	2.87	2.5	3.01 ± 0.10
RXJ 1136+6737	1.03	1.33	1.06	0.6	–
1ES 1741+196	7.32	6.82	9.58	6.3	10.47 ± 0.41
1ES 2344+514	15.10	16.80	24.60	15	17.34 ± 0.15

Table 3. Summary of Galactic column densities values. The following columns presents: (1) source name; (2)-(4) N_H as provided by [Kalberla et al. \(2005\)](#), [Dickey & Lockman \(1990\)](#), [Willingale et al. \(2013\)](#), respectively; (6) N_H value calculated as a result of this work. All values are given in 10^{20}cm^{-2} .

However, a concave curvature seen in the soft X-ray range can be either an intrinsic feature of the blazar or can be caused by the absorption that is not included in the spectral model. Usually, using only X-ray observations of the blazars, it is not possible to judge either intrinsic curvature or a need for an additional absorbing component is a more plausible scenario that can explain the spectral shape. One way to verify the origin of spectral curvature is dedicated observations that confirm the existence of gas that could be intrinsic to the blazar or located somewhere between the source and an observer. Absorption features in the X-ray regime, around 0.5-0.6 keV have been detected in the case of few blazars including: PKS 2155-304 ([Canizares & Kruper 1984](#); [Madejski et al. 1991](#)), H 1426-428 ([Sambruna et al. 1997](#)), 3C 273 ([Grandi et al. 1997](#)), PKS 1034-293 ([Sambruna 1997](#)), PKS 0548-322 ([Sambruna & Mushotzky \(1998\)](#)). Also, absorption features have been reported

in regime of 0.15-0.20 keV for PKS 2155-304 ([Koenigl et al. 1995](#)) and Mrk 421 ([Kartje et al. \(1997\)](#)).

Alternatively, simultaneous multiwavelength data covering a significant part of the broadband spectral energy distribution can be used to get more constraints on the X-ray spectrum and absorption in the soft X-ray range.

We use the models characterized with host galaxy, curvature of the X-ray spectrum, absorption and UV excess in order to describe broadband emission observed from infrared frequencies up to the X-ray band of five extreme HBLs: 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, RXJ 1136+6737, 1ES 1741+196, 1ES 2344+514.

We investigate whether curvature that is seen in the X-ray spectra in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV is an intrinsic feature or caused by the absorption.

Our findings are summarized as follows:

- In the case of four blazars: 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, 1ES 1741+196, 1ES 2344+514 preferred spectral characteristic in the X-ray range is a log-parabola model, while for RXJ 1136+6737 a power-law model is favourable. For all four blazars described with a log-parabola model, spectral fits with the LAB N_H value are characterized with a UV excess. If such excess is a real feature of the broadband spectral energy distribution, it can be interpreted either as an additional component such as a blue bump or by unaccounted thermal emission from the AGN. The latter case, however, seen to be less plausible since it then should be at the order of one or even two magnitudes above the host galaxy template.

- In several works focusing on X-ray spectra authors have discussed also problem of proper N_H correction (e.g. [Acciari et al. 2009](#); [Wierzcholska & Wagner 2016](#)). By using multiwavelength

NuSTAR obsId	Time	NuSTAR exposure [s]	Swift/XRT obsIds	Time	Exposure [s]
60002047002	2013-10-02 00:06:07	16258	00080245001	2013-10-01 23:36:59	612
			00080245003	2013-10-02 00:45:59	3209
60002047004	2013-10-05 23:31:07	20291	00080245004	2013-10-06 00:51:59	5277
60002047006	2013-10-10 23:11:07	18023	00080245005	2013-10-10 23:47:58	581
			00080245006	2013-10-11 01:29:59	5417

Table 4. Summary of NuSTAR and *Swift*/XRT joint observations of 1ES 0229+200.

Table 5. Fits and results for 1ES 0229+200. (1) model used to describe X-ray observations; (2) energy range of the X-ray spectrum; (3) normalization given in $10^{-3}\text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{keV}^{-1}$; (4) photon index: γ in case of power-law model and α in the case of logparabola one; (5) curvature coefficient β for logparabola model; (6) Galactic absorption value, given in 10^{20}cm^2 ; (7) χ^2_{red} for a fit of X-ray data with a number of degree of freedom (8) Additional information i.e. NuSTAR observation ID.

Model (1)	Energy range (2)	N (3)	γ or α (4)	β (5)	N_H (6)	$\chi^2_{red}(n.d.o.f)$ (7)	NuSTAR obsId (8)
power-law	3-79	6.67±0.20	1.96±0.04	-	8.06	1.019(86)	nu60002047002
power-law	3-79	7.46±0.50	1.97±0.04	-	8.06	1.269(77)	nu60002047004
power-law	3-79	7.60±0.50	1.97±0.04	-	8.06	1.040(72)	nu60002047006
logparabola	3-79	5.53±0.13	1.78±0.07	0.10±0.02	8.06	1.012(85)	nu60002047002
logparabola	3-79	6.35±0.15	1.80±0.20	0.10±0.03	8.06	1.280(76)	nu60002047004
logparabola	3-79	6.35±0.20	1.80±0.15	0.10±0.02	8.06	1.058(71)	nu60002047006
logparabola	0.3-79	5.30±0.10	1.70±0.10	0.16±0.02	8.06	1.015(130)	nu60002047002
logparabola	0.3-79	4.41±0.09	1.70±0.11	0.09±0.03	8.06	1.224(154)	nu60002047004
logparabola	0.3-79	4.36±0.08	1.70±0.11	0.08±0.01	8.06	1.331(175)	nu60002047006
logparabola	0.3-79	6.15±0.03	1.80±0.07	0.10±0.01	11.8	1.028(130)	nu60002047002
logparabola	0.3-79	4.88±0.10	1.77±0.11	0.06±0.01	11.8	1.260(154)	nu60002047004
logparabola	0.3-79	4.70±0.09	1.75±0.11	0.04±0.01	11.8	1.044(175)	nu60002047006

data, we could constrain the X-ray spectrum with all its aspects, including proper correction for hydrogen column absorption. We then conclude that in the case of four sources mentioned, additional absorption, than the values quoted in by Kalberla et al. (2005), is needed to explain the spectral properties of these targets in the energy range of 0.3-10 keV. In the case of 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548-322, 1ES 1741+196 N_H values proposed in this work are higher than previously reported. This column density absorption can be either intrinsic to the source or caused by Galactic absorption in addition to the atomic neutral hydrogen from Kalberla et al. (2005). In the case of PKS 0548-322, Sambruna & Mushotzky (1998) have reported the possibility of a presence of circumnuclear ionized gas that could explain the need for an additional absorption. The upper limit of the intergalactic gas luminosity is about 15% of the BL Lac luminosity.

- The log-parabola model is commonly used to describe the X-ray spectra of blazars in the energy regime of 0.3-10 keV. By using extrapolated X-ray data together with host galaxy template and multiwavelength observations of blazars, these preferred spectral shapes were confirmed. Albeit, curvature seen in the spectra of 1ES 1741+196, 1ES 2344+514 is negligible within the uncertainties. We then conclude that only in the case of the blazar PKS 0548-322 the intrinsic spectral curvature is confirmed. This suggests that for PKS 0548-322 particle population responsible for the synchrotron emission observed should be assumed to be curved as well.

- For four blazars with the UV excess in the broadband SED while using the LAB value of N_H . The excess is no longer present

in the spectrum, in the case when a higher amount of the absorption is used.

The component needed to explain UV excess is consistent with the Galactic column density value from the survey by Willingale et al. (2013) (5%-10% difference only) and up to 60% higher than the amount quoted by Kalberla et al. (2005). The uncertainties of the LAB survey are estimated as 2%.

- In the case of 1ES 0229+200 existence of the cut-off in the UV regime is not confirmed. Such a feature has been previously reported by Kaufmann et al. (2011). However, the authors constrained the synchrotron peak in the SED of 1ES 0229+200 with poorer multiwavelength coverage, as presented in this work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A.W. is supported by Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange (NAWA). The plots presented in this paper are rendered using Matplotlib (Hunter 2007). This research has been supported by BMBF through Verbundforschung Astroteilchenphysik, grant number 05A17VH5.

REFERENCES

- Abdo A. A. et al., 2010, ApJ, 716, 30
 Abeysekera A. U. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 459, 2550
 Acciari V. A. et al., 2009, ApJ, 707, 612
 Aharonian F. et al., 2010, A&A, 521, A69
 Aharonian F. et al., 2007, ApJ, 664, L71

- Ahnen M. L. et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 468, 1534
- Aleksić J. et al., 2013, *A&A*, 556, A67
- Begelman M. C., Blandford R. D., Rees M. J., 1984, *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 56, 255
- Bhatta G., Mohorian M., Bilinsky I., 2018, *A&A*, 619, A93
- Böttcher M. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 725, 2344
- Böttcher M., Reimer A., Sweeney K., Prakash A., 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 54
- Campana S. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 441, 3634
- Canizares C. R., Kruper J., 1984, *ApJ*, 278, L99
- Costamante L., Bonnoli G., Tavecchio F., Ghisellini G., Tagliaferri G., Khangulyan D., 2018, *MNRAS*, 477, 4257
- Costamante L. et al., 2001, *A&A*, 371, 512
- Cutri R. M. et al., 2003, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, 2246
- Dame T. M., Hartmann D., Thaddeus P., 2001, *ApJ*, 547, 792
- Dermer C. D., Schlickeiser R., Mastichiadis A., 1992, *A&A*, 256, L27
- Dickey J. M., Lockman F. J., 1990, *ARA&A*, 28, 215
- Fossati G., Maraschi L., Celotti A., Comastri A., Ghisellini G., 1998, *MNRAS*, 299, 433
- Furniss A., Fumagalli M., Falcone A., Williams D. A., 2013, *ApJ*, 770, 109
- Gaur H., Mohan P., Wiercholska A., Gu M., 2018, *MNRAS*, 473, 3638
- Gehrels N. et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 1005
- Giommi P. et al., 2006, *A&A*, 456, 911
- Grandi P. et al., 1997, *A&A*, 325, L17
- Harrison F. A. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 770, 103
- Hauser M., Möllenhoff C., Pühlhofer G., Wagner S. J., Hagen H.-J., Knoll M., 2004, *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 325, 659
- H.E.S.S. Collaboration, 2014, *A&A*, 571, A39
- Hunter J. D., 2007, *Computing In Science & Engineering*, 9, 90
- Jones E., Oliphant T., Peterson P., et al., 2001, *SciPy: Open source scientific tools for Python*
- Kalberla P. M. W., Burton W. B., Hartmann D., Arnal E. M., Bajaja E., Morras R., Pöppel W. G. L., 2005, *A&A*, 440, 775
- Kapanadze S., Kapanadze B., Romano P., Vercellone S., Tabagari L., 2017, *Ap&SS*, 362, 196
- Kartje J. F., Königl A., Hwang C.-Y., Bowyer S., 1997, *ApJ*, 474, 630
- Kaufmann S., Wagner S. J., Tibolla O., Hauser M., 2011, *A&A*, 534, A130
- Koenigl A., Kartje J. F., Bowyer S., Kahn S. M., Hwang C.-Y., 1995, *ApJ*, 446, 598
- Liao N. H., Bai J. M., 2015, *New A*, 34, 134
- Liszt H., 2014, *ApJ*, 780, 10
- Madejski G. M., Mushotzky R. F., Weaver K. A., Arnaud K. A., Urry C. M., 1991, *ApJ*, 370, 198
- MAGIC Collaboration et al., 2019, *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1911.06680
- Massaro E., Perri M., Giommi P., Nesci R., 2004, *A&A*, 413, 489
- Massaro E., Tramacere A., Perri M., Giommi P., Tosti G., 2006, *A&A*, 448, 861
- Massaro F., Tramacere A., Cavaliere A., Perri M., Giommi P., 2008, *A&A*, 478, 395
- Morrison R., McCammon D., 1983, *ApJ*, 270, 119
- Mücke A., Protheroe R. J., Engel R., Rachen J. P., Stanev T., 2003, *Astroparticle Physics*, 18, 593
- Padovani P., Giommi P., 1995, *ApJ*, 444, 567
- Paggi A., Massaro F., Vittorini V., Cavaliere A., D'Ammando F., Vagnetti F., Tavani M., 2009, *A&A*, 504, 821
- Pandey A., Gupta A. C., Wiita P. J., 2017, *ApJ*, 841, 123
- Perri M. et al., 2007, *A&A*, 462, 889
- Poole T. S. et al., 2008, *MNRAS*, 383, 627
- Rybicki G. B., Lightman A. P., 1979, *Radiative processes in astrophysics*
- Sambruna R. M., 1997, *ApJ*, 487, 536
- Sambruna R. M., George I. M., Madejski G., Urry C. M., Turner T. J., Weaver K. A., Maraschi L., Treves A., 1997, *ApJ*, 483, 774
- Sambruna R. M., Mushotzky R. F., 1998, *ApJ*, 502, 630
- Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 103
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525
- Siejkowski H., Wiercholska A., 2017, *MNRAS*, 468, 426
- Sikora M., Begelman M. C., Rees M. J., 1994, *ApJ*, 421, 153
- Silva L., 1999, PhD thesis, SISSA - Trieste, Italy
- Silva L., Granato G. L., 2012, *GRASIL: Spectral evolution of stellar systems with dust*. *Astrophysics Source Code Library*
- Silva L., Granato G. L., Bressan A., Danese L., 1998, *ApJ*, 509, 103
- Tramacere A. et al., 2007, *A&A*, 467, 501
- Urry C. M., Padovani P., 1995, *PASP*, 107, 803
- Wagner S., 2009, in *Astrophysics with All-Sky X-Ray Observations*, Kawai N., Mihara T., Kohama M., Suzuki M., eds., p. 186
- Wiercholska A., 2015, *A&A*, 580, A104
- Wiercholska A., Siejkowski H., 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 2350
- Wiercholska A., Wagner S. J., 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 56
- Willingale R., Starling R. L. C., Beardmore A. P., Tanvir N. R., O'Brien P. T., 2013, *MNRAS*, 431, 394
- Wilms J., Allen A., McCray R., 2000, *ApJ*, 542, 914
- Wright E. L. et al., 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1868
- Xue Y., Yuan F., Cui W., 2006, *ApJ*, 647, 194