

# Stanley's conjectures on the Stern poset

Arthur L.B. Yang

Center for Combinatorics, LPMC  
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

yang@nankai.edu.cn

**Abstract.** The Stern poset  $\mathcal{S}$  is a graded infinite poset naturally associated to Stern's triangle, which was defined by Stanley analogously to Pascal's triangle. Let  $P_n$  denote the interval of  $\mathcal{S}$  from the unique element of row 0 of Stern's triangle to the  $n$ -th element of row  $r$  for sufficiently large  $r$ . For  $n \geq 1$  let

$$L_n(q) = 2 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} A_{P_k}(q) \right) + A_{P_{2^n}}(q),$$

where  $A_P(q)$  represents the corresponding  $P$ -Eulerian polynomial. For any  $n \geq 1$  Stanley conjectured that  $L_n(q)$  has only real zeros and  $L_{4n+1}(q)$  is divisible by  $L_{2n}(q)$ . In this paper we obtain a simple recurrence relation satisfied by  $L_n(q)$  and affirmatively solve Stanley's conjectures. We also establish the asymptotic normality of the coefficients of  $L_n(q)$ .

*AMS Classification 2020:* 05A15, 11B37, 26C10

*Keywords:* Stern's triangle, the Stern poset,  $P$ -Eulerian polynomials, real zeros, asymptotic normality

## 1 Introduction

Recently, Stanley [9] introduced a class of polynomials  $b_n(q)$  by defining  $b_1(q) = 1$  and

$$b_{2n}(q) = b_n(q), \tag{1.1}$$

$$b_{4n+1}(q) = qb_{2n}(q) + b_{2n+1}(q), \tag{1.2}$$

$$b_{4n+3}(q) = b_{2n+1}(q) + qb_{2n+2}(q). \tag{1.3}$$

Let

$$L_n(q) = 2 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} b_k(q) \right) + b_{2^n}(q). \tag{1.4}$$

The main objective of this paper is to prove Stanley's conjectures on the real-rootedness and divisibility concerning  $L_n(q)$ .

Let us first review some background. Stanley's conjectures considered here arose in the study of Stern's triangle  $S$ , which is an array of numbers similar to Pascal's triangle. We follow Stanley [8] to give a description of Stern's triangle. We number the rows of Stern's triangle by consecutive natural numbers beginning with 0. Row 0 consists of a single 1, row 1 consists of three 1's, and for  $r \geq 2$  row  $r$  is obtained from row  $r - 1$  by inserting between any two consecutive elements their sums and then placing a 1 at the beginning and end. It is clear that row  $n$  consists of  $2^{r+1} - 1$  terms. We number the elements of row  $r$  from 0 to  $2^{r+1} - 2$  and use  $\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \rangle$  to denote the  $(n + 1)$ -th element of row  $r$ . Thus, we have the following recurrence relation

$$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ 2n + 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r - 1 \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle, \quad \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ 2n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r - 1 \\ n - 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r - 1 \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle, \quad (1.5)$$

where we set  $\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \rangle = 0$  for  $n < 0$  or  $n > 2^{r+1} - 2$  for convenience. Stanley [8] showed that for any  $m \geq 1$  the summation

$$\sum_n \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle^m$$

obeys a homogeneous linear recurrence with constant coefficients, and conjectured the least order of a homogeneous linear recurrence. Speyer [7] proved that the above sum satisfies such a recurrence of the conjectured minimal order. For any  $r \geq 1$  Stanley showed that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle x^n = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (1 + x^{2^i} + x^{2 \cdot 2^i}). \quad (1.6)$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in (1.6), we get

$$\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 + x^{2^i} + x^{2 \cdot 2^i}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n x^{n-1},$$

where the sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  with  $b_0 = 0$  is the well-known Stern's diatomic sequence [10]. For more information on Stern's diatomic sequence, see Northshield [6]. It is known that  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies the following recurrence relation

$$b_{2n} = b_n, \quad b_{2n+1} = b_n + b_{n+1}. \quad (1.7)$$

Comparing the above recurrence relation with (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), we see that  $\{b_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a polynomial analogue of Stern's diatomic sequence.

Stanley [9] showed that the polynomials  $b_n(q)$  also arise as  $P$ -Eulerian polynomials of certain posets  $P$  naturally associated to Stern's triangle  $S$ . To take  $S$  as a poset, we will consider  $\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \rangle$  as a symbol instead of a number. According to (1.5), we may impose a partial order  $\preceq_S$  on  $S$  by letting

$$\left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r - 1 \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \preceq_S \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ 2n + 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle, \quad \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r - 1 \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \preceq_S \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ 2n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle, \quad \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r - 1 \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle \preceq_S \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ 2n + 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$$




Figure 1.1: The Stern poset  $\mathcal{S}$

for  $0 \leq n \leq 2^r - 2$  and then taking the transitive closure. Following Stanley [9] we call  $(S, \preceq_S)$  the Stern poset, denoted by  $\mathcal{S}$ . See Figure 1.1 for the first four levels of the Stern poset.

Fixing a positive integer  $n$ , suppose that

$$2^k \leq n - 1 < 2^{k+1}$$

for some  $k \geq 0$ . A little thought shows that for  $r \geq k + 1$  the interval  $[\langle 0 \rangle_0, \langle r \rangle_{n-1}]$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is the ordinal sum of the chain  $[\langle 0 \rangle_0, \langle r-k-1 \rangle_0]$  and the interval  $[\langle r-k-1 \rangle_0, \langle r \rangle_{n-1}]$ , the latter being isomorphic to the interval  $[\langle 0 \rangle_0, \langle k+1 \rangle_{n-1}]$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  for any  $r \geq k + 1$ . Thus for sufficiently large  $r$  we may associate to the  $n$ -th element of row  $r$  in Stern's triangle the poset  $[\langle 0 \rangle_0, \langle k+1 \rangle_{n-1}]$ , denoted by  $P_n$ . Stanley [9] obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.1** ([9]) *For any  $n \geq 1$  let  $b_n(q)$  be defined as in (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3). Then  $b_n(q)$  is equal to the  $P_n$ -Eulerian polynomial, namely*

$$b_n(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(P_n)} q^{\text{des}(\sigma)},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(P_n)$  denotes the set of linear extensions of the poset  $P_n$ , provided that  $P_n$  is naturally labeled.

Therefore, the polynomials  $L_n(q)$  defined in (1.4) can be considered as Eulerian row sums of Stern's triangle. Stanley [9] proposed the following interesting conjectures.

**Conjecture 1.2** ([9]) *For any  $n \geq 1$  the polynomial  $L_n(q)$  has only real zeros.*

**Conjecture 1.3** ([9]) *For any  $n \geq 1$  the polynomial  $L_{4n+1}(q)$  is divisible by  $L_{2n}(q)$ .*

The aim of this paper is to prove the above conjectures. Motivated by Conjecture 1.2, we also study the asymptotically normality of  $L_n(q)$  since the coefficients of many real-rooted polynomials follow asymptotically normal limit laws, see [1, 3, 4, 12] for instance. Recall that, for a polynomial sequence  $\{F_n(q)\}_{n \geq 0}$  given by

$$F_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} q^k \quad (1.8)$$

with  $a_{n,k}$  all nonnegative, the coefficients  $a_{n,k}$  are said to be asymptotically normal by a central limit theorem if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{k \leq \mu_n + x \sigma_n} p(n, k) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2/2} dt \right| = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$p(n, k) = \frac{a_{n,k}}{\sum_{j=0}^n a_{n,j}}$$

and  $\mu_n, \sigma_n^2$  are respectively the mean and variance of  $a_{n,k}$ . We say that  $a_{n,k}$  are asymptotically normal by a local limit theorem on  $\mathbb{R}$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sigma_n p(n, \lfloor \mu_n + x \sigma_n \rfloor) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \right| = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will first derive a recurrence relation satisfied by  $L_n(q)$  and then give a proof of Conjecture 1.2. In Section 3 we will prove Conjecture 1.3 based on the recurrence relations satisfied by  $L_{2n}(q)$ ,  $L_{2n+1}(q)$  and  $L_{4n+1}(q)$ . In Section 4 we will show that the coefficients of  $L_n(q)$  are asymptotically normal by central and local limit theorems.

## 2 Real zeros

The aim of this section is to prove Conjecture 1.2. Our proof is based on the following recurrence relation satisfied by  $L_n(q)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *For any  $n \geq 2$  we have*

$$L_{n+1}(q) = 3L_n(q) + 2(q-1)L_{n-1}(q). \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Substituting (1.4) into (2.1) we obtain that

$$2 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n+1}-1} b_k(q) \right) + b_{2^{n+1}}(q) = 3 \cdot \left( 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} b_k(q) + b_{2^n}(q) \right) \\ + 2(q-1) \cdot \left( 2 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_k(q) \right) + b_{2^{n-1}}(q) \right).$$

By using (1.1) and (1.3), we find that

$$b_{2^n}(q) = b_2(q) = b_1(q) = 1 \text{ and } b_3(q) = 1 + q.$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$2 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=4}^{2^{n+1}-1} b_k(q) \right) + 2(1+q) + 5 = 3 \cdot \left( 2 \sum_{k=2}^{2^n-1} b_k(q) + 3 \right) \\ + 2(q-1) \cdot \left( 2 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_k(q) \right) + 1 \right),$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{k=4}^{2^{n+1}-1} b_k(q) = 3 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=2}^{2^n-1} b_k(q) \right) + 2(q-1) \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_k(q) \right). \quad (2.2)$$

By using (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), it is easy to verify that

$$b_{4m}(q) + b_{4m+1}(q) + b_{4m+2}(q) + b_{4m+3}(q) = (1+q)b_{2m}(q) + 3b_{2m+1}(q) + qb_{2m+2}(q). \quad (2.3)$$

Substituting (2.3) into the left hand side of (2.2), we get that for  $n \geq 2$

$$\sum_{k=4}^{2^{n+1}-1} b_k(q) = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} (b_{4m}(q) + b_{4m+1}(q) + b_{4m+2}(q) + b_{4m+3}(q)) \\ = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} ((1+q)b_{2m}(q) + 3b_{2m+1}(q) + qb_{2m+2}(q))$$

By rewriting  $(1+q)b_{2m}(q)$  as  $3b_{2m}(q) + (q-2)b_{2m}(q)$ , we find that

$$\sum_{k=4}^{2^{n+1}-1} b_k(q) = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} (3b_{2m}(q) + 3b_{2m+1}(q) + (q-2)b_{2m}(q) + qb_{2m+2}(q)) \\ = 3 \cdot \left( \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} (b_{2m}(q) + b_{2m+1}(q)) \right) + \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} ((q-2)b_{2m}(q) + qb_{2m+2}(q))$$

By virtue of (1.1), we further obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=4}^{2^{n+1}-1} b_k(q) &= 3 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=2}^{2^n-1} b_k(q) \right) + \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} ((q-2)b_m(q) + qb_{m+1}(q)) \\
&= 3 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=2}^{2^n-1} b_k(q) \right) + q \cdot \left( \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_m(q) \right) \\
&\quad + q \cdot \left( \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_{m+1}(q) \right) - 2 \cdot \left( \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_m(q) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, for the third summation on the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_{m+1}(q) &= b_{2^{n-1}}(q) + \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-2} b_{m+1}(q) \\
&= b_1(q) + \sum_{m=2}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_m(q) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_m(q)
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds since  $b_{2^{n-1}}(q) = b_1(q) = 1$  by (1.1). Thus

$$\sum_{k=4}^{2^{n+1}-1} b_k(q) = 3 \cdot \left( \sum_{k=2}^{2^n-1} b_k(q) \right) + 2(q-1) \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} b_k(q) \right),$$

as desired in (2.2). This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

In view of (2.1) the polynomial sequence  $\{L_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  lies in the framework of polynomial sequence of type  $(0, 1)$  studied by Gross, Mansour, Tucker and Wang [2], who obtained the following result.

**Theorem 2.2** ([2, Theorem 2.6]) *Let  $\{M_n(q)\}_{n \geq 0}$  be the polynomial sequence defined by the recursion*

$$M_{n+1}(q) = aM_n(q) + (bq + c)M_{n-1}(q)$$

*with initial values  $M_0(q) = 1$  and  $M_1(q) = t(q - r)$ , where  $a, b, t > 0, c, r \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $r \neq -c/b$ . Then for any  $n \geq 1$  the polynomial  $M_n(q)$  has only real zeros.*

The main result of this section is as follows, which gives an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.2.

**Theorem 2.3** For any  $n \geq 1$  the polynomial  $L_n(q)$  has only real zeros.

*Proof.* By (1.4) it is straightforward to compute that  $L_1(q) = 3$  and  $L_2(q) = 2q + 7$ . For any  $n \geq 0$  let

$$\tilde{L}_n(q) = \frac{L_{n+1}(q)}{3}.$$

It is clear that

$$\tilde{L}_{n+1}(q) = 3\tilde{L}_n(q) + 2(q-1)\tilde{L}_{n-1}(q)$$

with  $\tilde{L}_1(q) = 1$  and  $\tilde{L}_2(q) = \frac{2}{3}q + \frac{7}{3}$ . It is easy to verify that  $\{\tilde{L}_n(q)\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2. Thus for each  $n \geq 0$  the polynomial  $\tilde{L}_n(q)$  has only real zeros, so does  $L_{n+1}(q)$ .  $\blacksquare$

*Remark.* Based on the recurrence relation (2.1), Theorem 2.3 can also be proved by using a result due to Wang and Yeh, see [11, Theorem 1] or [5, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 2.1 also has some other consequences. For instance, we can determine the ordinary generating function of  $\{L_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$ . Let

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} L_n(q)x^n.$$

By (2.1) it is easy to show that

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{x(2(q-1)x+3)}{1-3x-2(q-1)x^2} = \frac{x(2(q-1)x+3)}{1-3x-2(q-1)x^2} = \frac{1}{1-x(2(q-1)x+3)} - 1.$$

We can also determine the shifted Hankel determinants of  $\{L_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H}_n^{(k)} = (L_{i+j+k-1}(q))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad H_n^{(k)} = \det \mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}.$$

We obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.4** For any  $k \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 3$  we have

$$H_1^{(k)} = L_{k+1}(q), \quad H_2^{(k)} = (-1)^{k+1}2^{k+2}(q-1)^{k+2}, \quad H_m^{(k)} = 0.$$

*Proof.* We only need to compute  $H_2^{(k)}$  and  $H_m^{(k)}$  for  $m \geq 3$ . It is routine to compute that

$$H_2^{(0)} = \det \begin{pmatrix} L_1(q) & L_2(q) \\ L_2(q) & L_3(q) \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2q+7 \\ 2q+7 & 12q+15 \end{pmatrix} = -2^2(q-1)^2.$$

For  $k \geq 1$  applying (2.1) to  $H_2^{(k)}$  gives

$$H_2^{(k)} = \det \begin{pmatrix} L_{k+1}(q) & L_{k+2}(q) \\ L_{k+2}(q) & L_{k+3}(q) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} L_{k+1}(q) & 3L_{k+1}(q) + 2(q-1)L_k(q) \\ L_{k+2}(q) & 3L_{k+2}(q) + 2(q-1)L_{k+1}(q) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} L_{k+1}(q) & 2(q-1)L_k(q) \\ L_{k+2}(q) & 2(q-1)L_{k+1}(q) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 2(q-1) \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} L_{k+1}(q) & L_k(q) \\ L_{k+2}(q) & L_{k+1}(q) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -2(q-1) \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} L_k(q) & L_{k+1}(q) \\ L_{k+1}(q) & L_{k+2}(q) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -2(q-1)H_2^{(k-1)}. \tag{2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

By iterating 2.4 we obtain

$$H_2^{(k)} = (-1)^k 2^k (q-1)^k H_2^{(0)} = (-1)^{k+1} 2^{k+2} (q-1)^{k+2},$$

as desired.

We proceed to compute  $H_m^{(k)}$  for  $m \geq 3$ . By definition

$$\mathcal{H}_m^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{k+1}(q) & L_{k+2}(q) & L_{k+3}(q) & \cdots & L_{k+m}(q) \\ L_{k+2}(q) & L_{k+3}(q) & L_{k+4}(q) & \cdots & L_{k+m+1}(q) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ L_{k+m}(q) & L_{k+m+1}(q) & L_{k+m+2}(q) & \cdots & L_{k+2m-1}(q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (2.1) the third column of  $\mathcal{H}_m^{(k)}$  is a linear combination of the first column and the second column, and thus the Hankel determinant  $H_m^{(k)}$  vanishes. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

### 3 Divisibility

In this section we aim to prove Conjecture 1.3. The idea of the proof is to introduce a polynomial sequence  $\{M_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  as defined below, and then to show that  $\{M_n(q)L_{2n}(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{L_{4n+1}(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfy the same recurrence relation with the same initial conditions.

We first give recurrence relations which are satisfied by  $\{L_{2n}(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{L_{2n-1}(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{L_{4n+1}(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  respectively. For notational convenience let

$$E_n(q) = L_{2n}(q), \quad O_n(q) = L_{2n-1}(q), \quad J_n(q) = L_{4n+1}(q) \tag{3.1}$$

for any  $n \geq 1$ . The recurrence relations are as follows.



**Theorem 3.1** *Let*

$$a = (5 + 4q), b = -4(q - 1)^2, h = 2O_3(q).$$

*Then for any  $n \geq 2$  we have*

$$E_{n+1}(q) = aE_n(q) + bE_{n-1}(q), \quad (3.2)$$

$$O_{n+1}(q) = aO_n(q) + bO_{n-1}(q), \quad (3.3)$$

$$J_{n+1}(q) = ab^{n-1}h + a^2J_n(q) + b^2J_{n-1}(q) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} 2a^2b^{n-k}J_k(q). \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof.* Let us first prove (3.2). From (2.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n+1}(q) &= L_{2(n+1)}(q) = 3L_{2n+1}(q) + 2(q-1)L_{2n} \\ &= 3(3L_{2n}(q) + 2(q-1)L_{2n-1}(q)) \\ &\quad + 2(q-1)(3L_{2n-1}(q) + 2(q-1)L_{2(n-1)}(q)). \end{aligned}$$

By simplification we obtain

$$E_{n+1}(q) = 9L_{2n}(q) + 12(q-1)L_{2n-1}(q) + 4(q-1)^2L_{2(n-1)}(q),$$

which could be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n+1}(q) &= (5 + 4q)L_{2n}(q) - 4(q-1)^2L_{2(n-1)}(q) \\ &\quad + 4(1-q)(L_{2n}(q) - 3L_{2n-1}(q) - 2(q-1)L_{2(n-1)}(q)). \end{aligned}$$

Again by (2.1) we obtain

$$E_{n+1}(q) = (5 + 4q)E_n(q) - 4(q-1)^2E_{n-1}(q),$$

as desired.

Similarly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} O_{n+1}(q) &= L_{2(n+1)+1}(q) \\ &= 3L_{2(n+1)}(q) + 2(q-1)L_{2n+1} \\ &= 3(3L_{2n+1}(q) + 2(q-1)L_{2n}(q)) + 2(q-1)(3L_{2n}(q) + 2(q-1)L_{2n-1}(q)) \\ &= 9L_{2n+1}(q) + 12(q-1)L_{2n}(q) + 4(q-1)^2L_{2n-1}(q) \\ &= (5 + 4q)L_{2n+1}(q) - 4(q-1)^2L_{2(n-1)+1}(q) \\ &\quad + 4(1-q)(L_{2n+1}(q) - 3L_{2n}(q) - 2(q-1)L_{2n-1}(q)) \\ &= (5 + 4q)O_n(q) - 4(q-1)^2O_{n-1}(q), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (2.1). This completes the proof of (3.3).

We proceed to prove (3.4). Note that

$$J_{n+1}(q) = L_{4(n+1)+1}(q) = O_{2(n+1)}(q).$$

By virtue of (3.3), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n+1}(q) &= aO_{2n+1}(q) + bO_{2n}(q) \\ &= a(aO_{2n}(q) + bO_{2n-1}(q)) + b(aO_{2n-1}(q) + bO_{2n-2}(q)) \\ &= a^2J_n(q) + b^2J_{n-1}(q) + 2abO_{2n-1}(q). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, for any  $m \geq 2$  we have

$$O_{2m-1}(q) = aO_{2m-2}(q) + bO_{2m-3}(q) = aJ_{m-1}(q) + bO_{2m-3}(q).$$

Iterating the above process leads to

$$\begin{aligned} O_{2n-1}(q) &= aJ_{n-1}(q) + bO_{2n-3}(q) \\ &= aJ_{n-1}(q) + b(aJ_{n-2}(q) + bO_{2n-5}(q)) \\ &= aJ_{n-1}(q) + b(aJ_{n-2}(q) + b(aJ_{n-3}(q) + bO_{2n-7}(q))) \\ &= \dots \\ &= b^{n-2}O_3(q) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ab^{n-k-1}J_k(q). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n+1}(q) &= a^2J_n(q) + b^2J_{n-1}(q) + 2ab \left( b^{n-2}O_3(q) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ab^{n-k-1}J_k(q) \right) \\ &= 2ab^{n-1}O_3(q) + a^2J_n(q) + b^2J_{n-1}(q) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} 2a^2b^{n-k}J_k(q), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. The proof is complete. ■

We continue to prove Conjecture 1.3. Now define the sequence  $\{M_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  by letting  $M_1(q) = \frac{J_1(q)}{E_1(q)}$  and  $M_2(q) = \frac{J_2(q)}{E_2(q)}$  and

$$M_{n+1}(q) = aM_n(q) + bM_{n-1}(q), \tag{3.7}$$

where  $a = (5 + 4q)$  and  $b = -4(q - 1)^2$  as in Theorem 3.1. The main result of this section is as follows, which gives an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.3.

**Theorem 3.2** For any  $n \geq 1$  let  $E_n(q), J_n(q)$  be given by (3.1), let  $M_n(q)$  be given by (3.7), and let  $H_n(q)$  be defined by

$$H_n(q) = E_n(q)M_n(q). \quad (3.8)$$

Then  $H_n(q) = J_n(q)$ , and hence  $L_{4n+1}(q)$  is divisible by  $L_{2n}(q)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $H_1(q) = J_1(q)$  and  $H_2(q) = J_2(q)$ , it suffices to show that the sequence  $\{H_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies the same recurrence relation as  $\{J_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$ , namely

$$H_{n+1}(q) = ab^{n-1}h + a^2H_n(q) + b^2H_{n-1}(q) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} 2a^2b^{n-k}H_k(q), \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$a = (5 + 4q), b = -4(q - 1)^2, h = 2O_3(q).$$

By (3.2) and (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n+1}(q) &= E_{n+1}(q)M_{n+1}(q) \\ &= (aE_n(q) + bE_{n-1}(q))(aM_n(q) + bM_{n-1}(q)) \\ &= a^2H_n(q) + b^2H_{n-1}(q) + ab(E_n(q)M_{n-1}(q) + E_{n-1}(q)M_n(q)). \end{aligned}$$

For notational convenience, let

$$T_n(q) = E_n(q)M_{n-1}(q) + E_{n-1}(q)M_n(q). \quad (3.10)$$

Then by (3.2) and (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(q) &= E_n(q)M_{n-1}(q) + E_{n-1}(q)M_n(q) \\ &= (aE_{n-1}(q) + bE_{n-2}(q))M_{n-1}(q) + E_{n-1}(q)(aM_{n-1}(q) + bM_{n-2}(q)) \\ &= 2aH_{n-1}(q) + bT_{n-1}(q), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to (3.8) and (3.10).

Iterating the above process, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n+1}(q) &= a^2H_n(q) + b^2H_{n-1}(q) + abT_n(q) \\ &= a^2H_n(q) + b^2H_{n-1}(q) + ab(2aH_{n-1}(q) + bT_{n-1}(q)) \\ &= a^2H_n(q) + b^2H_{n-1}(q) + 2a^2bH_{n-1}(q) + ab^2T_{n-1}(q) \\ &= a^2H_n(q) + b^2H_{n-1}(q) + 2a^2bH_{n-1}(q) + ab^2(2aH_{n-2}(q) + bT_{n-2}(q)) \\ &= a^2H_n(q) + b^2H_{n-1}(q) + 2a^2bH_{n-1}(q) + 2a^2b^2H_{n-2}(q) + ab^3T_{n-2}(q) \\ &= \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a^2 H_n(q) + b^2 H_{n-1}(q) + 2a^2 b H_{n-1}(q) + 2a^2 b^2 H_{n-2}(q) + \cdots \\
&\quad + 2a^2 b^{n-2} H_2(q) + ab^{n-1} T_2(q) \\
&= a^2 H_n(q) + b^2 H_{n-1}(q) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} 2a^2 b^{n-k} H_k + ab^{n-1} T_2(q).
\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that

$$T_2(q) = 2O_3(q).$$

Thus  $\{H_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{J_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfy the same recurrence relation with the same initial conditions. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

## 4 Asymptotic normality

In this section we will show that the coefficients of  $L_n(q)$  are asymptotically normal by central and local limit theorems. For a polynomial sequence  $\{F_n(q)\}_{n \geq 0}$  as in (1.8), it is not hard to show that if the coefficients  $a_{n,k}$  are asymptotically normal by a local limit theorem on  $\mathbb{R}$  then these numbers are also asymptotically normal by a central limit theorem. Thus, we will focus on proving that the coefficients of  $L_n(q)$  are asymptotically normal by a local limit theorem.

The main tool we use here is the following criterion, which goes back to Harper [3].

**Theorem 4.1** ([1, Theorem 2]) *Suppose that  $\{F_n(q)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a real-rooted polynomial sequence with nonnegative coefficients as in (1.8). Let*

$$\mu_n = \frac{F'_n(1)}{F_n(1)}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{F''_n(1)}{F_n(1)} + \mu_n - \mu_n^2. \tag{4.2}$$

*If  $\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow +\infty$  when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then the coefficients of  $F_n(q)$  are asymptotically normal by a local limit theorem with mean  $\mu_n$  and variance  $\sigma_n^2$ .*

In order to compute the mean and variance corresponding to  $L_n(q)$ , we next derive Binet's formula for these polynomials by solving (2.1). Note that the characteristic equation of this recurrence relation is

$$x^2 - 3x - 2(q-1) = 0$$

with roots

$$r(q) = \frac{3 + \sqrt{8q+1}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad s(q) = \frac{3 - \sqrt{8q+1}}{2}$$

where  $r(q) + s(q) = 3$  and  $r(q)s(q) = -2(q - 1)$ . So the general solution of (2.1) is

$$L_n(q) = C(r(q))^{n-1} + D(s(q))^{n-1},$$

where the coefficients  $C$  and  $D$  are to be determined.

The initial conditions  $L_1(q) = 3$  and  $L_2(q) = 7 + 2q = 3r(q) + 3s(q) - r(q)s(q)$  yield the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} C + D &= 3, \\ Cr(q) + Ds(q) &= 2q + 7. \end{aligned}$$

Solving this, we get

$$C = \frac{2q + 7 - 3s(q)}{r(q) - s(q)} \quad \text{and} \quad D = -\frac{2q + 7 - 3r(q)}{r(q) - s(q)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(q) &= \frac{2q + 7 - 3s(q)}{r(q) - s(q)} \cdot (r(q))^{n-1} - \frac{2q + 7 - 3r(q)}{r(q) - s(q)} \cdot (s(q))^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{3 - s(q)}{r(q) - s(q)} \cdot (r(q))^n - \frac{3 - r(q)}{r(q) - s(q)} \cdot (s(q))^n \\ &= 3 \cdot \frac{(r(q))^n - (s(q))^n}{r(q) - s(q)} - r(q)s(q) \cdot \frac{(r(q))^{n-1} - (s(q))^{n-1}}{r(q) - s(q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The main result of this section is as follows.

**Theorem 4.2** *Let the sequence  $\{L_n(q)\}_{n \geq 1}$  be defined as in (1.4). Then the coefficients of  $L_n(q)$  are asymptotically normal by a local limit theorem.*

*Proof.* Let us first compute  $\mu_n$  and  $\sigma_n^2$ . It is easy to show that

$$r(q) = \frac{3 + \sqrt{8q + 1}}{2},$$

$$r'(q) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{8q + 1}},$$

$$r''(q) = -\frac{8}{(8q + 1)\sqrt{8q + 1}},$$

$$s(q) = \frac{3 - \sqrt{8q + 1}}{2},$$

$$s'(q) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{8q + 1}},$$

$$s''(q) = \frac{8}{(8q+1)\sqrt{8q+1}}.$$

Thus

$$r(1) = 3, \quad r'(1) = \frac{2}{3}, \quad r''(1) = -\frac{8}{27}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$s(1) = 0, \quad s'(1) = -\frac{2}{3}, \quad s''(1) = \frac{8}{27}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let

$$F_n(q) = \frac{(r(q))^n - (s(q))^n}{r(q) - s(q)}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that

$$F'_n(q) = \frac{n[(r(q))^{n-1}r'(q) - (s(q))^{n-1}s'(q)](r(q) - s(q))}{(r(q) - s(q))^2} \\ - \frac{[(r(q))^n - (s(q))^n](r'(q) - s'(q))}{(r(q) - s(q))^2}$$

and

$$F''_n(q) = \frac{G'_n(q)(r(q) - s(q))^2 - 2G_n(q)(r(q) - s(q))(r'(q) - s'(q))}{(r(q) - s(q))^4}.$$

with

$$G_n(q) = (n-1)((r(q))^n r'(q) + (s(q))^n s'(q)) \\ + ((s(q))^{n-1} - n(r(q))^{n-1})r'(q)s(q) + ((r(q))^{n-1} - n(s(q))^{n-1})s'(q)r(q)$$

and

$$G'_n(q) = (n-1)((r(q))^n r''(q) + n(r(q))^{n-1}(r'(q))^2 + (s(q))^n s''(q) + n(s(q))^{n-1}(s'(q))^2) \\ + ((s(q))^{n-1} - n(r(q))^{n-1})(r''(q)s(q) + r'(q)s'(q)) \\ + ((n-1)(s(q))^{n-2}s'(q) - n(n-1)(r(q))^{n-2}r'(q))r'(q)s(q) \\ + ((r(q))^{n-1} - n(s(q))^{n-1})(s''(q)r(q) + s'(q)r'(q)) \\ + ((n-1)(r(q))^{n-2}r'(q) - n(n-1)(s(q))^{n-2}s'(q))s'(q)r(q).$$

From the above formulas we deduce that

$$F_n(1) = 3^{n-1}, \quad F'_n(1) = 2(n-2)3^{n-3}, \quad G_n(1) = 2(n-2)3^{n-1}, \\ G'_n(1) = 4(n^2 - 3n + 4)3^{n-3}, \quad F''_n(1) = 4(n^2 - 7n + 12)3^{n-5}.$$

By Binet's formula for  $L_n(q)$ , we obtain

$$L_n(q) = 3F_n(q) - r(q)s(q)F_{n-1}(q)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} L'_n(q) &= 3F'_n(q) - r'(q)s(q)F_{n-1}(q) - r(q)s'(q)F_{n-1}(q) - r(q)s(q)F'_{n-1}(q) \\ L''_n(q) &= 3F''_n(q) - r''(q)s(q)F_{n-1}(q) - r'(q)s'(q)F_{n-1}(q) - r'(q)s(q)F'_{n-1}(q) \\ &\quad - r'(q)s'(q)F_{n-1}(q) - r(q)s''(q)F_{n-1}(q) - r(q)s'(q)F'_{n-1}(q) \\ &\quad - r'(q)s(q)F'_{n-1}(q) - r(q)s'(q)F'_{n-1}(q) - r(q)s(q)F''_{n-1}(q). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$L_n(1) = 3^n, \quad L'_n(1) = 2(n-1) \cdot 3^{n-2}, \quad L''_n(1) = 4(n-2)(n-3) \cdot 3^{n-4}.$$

From (4.1) it follows that

$$\mu_n = \frac{L'_n(1)}{L_n(1)} = \frac{2(n-1)}{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^2 &= \frac{L''_n(1)}{L_n(1)} + \mu_n - \mu_n^2 \\ &= \frac{4(n-2)(n-3)}{81} + \frac{2(n-1)}{9} - \frac{4(n-1)^2}{81} \\ &= \frac{2(n-1)(2n+7)}{81}, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to  $+\infty$  as  $n$  approaches infinity. Then combining Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 4.1, we complete the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Acknowledgments.** This work is supported in part by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the National Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11522110, 11971249).

## References

- [1] E.A. BENDER, *Central and local limit theorems applied to asymptotic enumeration*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **15** (1973) 91–111.
- [2] J.L. GROSS, T. MANSOUR, T.W. TUCKER AND D.G.L. WANG, *Root geometry of polynomial sequences I: type (0,1)*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **433** (2016) 1261–1289.

- [3] L.H. HARPER, *Stirling behavior is asymptotically normal*, Ann. Math. Statist. **38** (1967) 410–414.
- [4] H.-K. HWANG, H.-H. CHERN AND G.-H. DUH, *An asymptotic distribution theory for Eulerian recurrences with applications*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **112** (2020) 101960.
- [5] L.L. LIU AND Y. WANG, *A unified approach to polynomial sequences with only real zeros*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **38** (2007) 542–560.
- [6] S. NORTHSHIELD, *Sterns diatomic sequence  $0, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 2, 3, 1, 4, \dots$* , Amer. Math. Monthly, **117** (2010) 581–598.
- [7] D.E. SPEYER, *Proof of a conjecture of Stanley about Sterns array*, arxiv.org/abs/1901.06301.
- [8] R.P. STANLEY, *Some linear recurrences motivated by Sterns diatomic array*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **127** (2020) 99–111.
- [9] R.P. STANLEY, *From Stern’s triangle to upper homogeneous posets*, Institut Mittag-Leffler Algebraic Combinatorics Online Workshop (ACOW), 20 April 2020.
- [10] M. STERN, *Ueber eine zahlentheoretische Funktion*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **55** (1858) 193–220.
- [11] Y. WANG AND Y.-N. YEH, *Polynomials with real zeros and Pólya frequency sequences*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **109** (2005) 63–74.
- [12] Y. WANG, H.-X. ZHANG AND B.-X. ZHU, *Asymptotic normality of Laplacian coefficients of graphs*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **455** (2017) 2030–2037.