

# Combinatorial interpretations of two identities of Guo and Yang

Mircea Merca\*

Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova, 200585 Craiova, Romania  
Academy of Romanian Scientists, Ilfov 3, Sector 5, Bucharest, Romania

## Abstract

The restricted partitions in which the largest part is less than or equal to  $N$  and the number of parts is less than or equal to  $k$  were investigated by Andrews in [1]. These partitions were extended recently by the author to the partitions into parts of two kinds. In this paper, we use a new class of restricted partitions into parts of two kinds to provide new combinatorial interpretations for two identities of Guo and Yang.

**Keywords:** integer partitions, restricted partitions

**MSC 2010:** 11P81, 11P83, 05A17

## 1 Introduction

A partition of  $n$  into at most  $k$  parts, each part less than or equal to  $N$  is an unordered sum of  $n$  that uses at most  $k$  positive integers less than or equal to  $N$ . These partitions were investigated by Andrews in [1]. Following the notation in [1], the number of such partitions will be denoted in this paper by  $p(N, k, n)$ . According to [1, Theorem 3.1], the generating function of  $p(N, k, n)$  is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{Nk} p(N, k, n)q^n = \begin{bmatrix} N+k \\ N \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k < 0 \text{ or } k > n, \\ \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is the Gaussian polynomial or the  $q$ -binomial coefficient. Recall that

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } n = 0, \\ (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1}), & \text{for } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

---

\*mircea.merca@profinfo.edu.ro

is the  $q$ -shifted factorial and

$$(a; q)_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n.$$

Because the infinite product  $(a; q)_\infty$  diverges when  $a \neq 0$  and  $|q| \geq 1$ , whenever  $(a; q)_\infty$  appears in a formula, we shall assume that  $|q| < 1$ .

Assume there are positive integers of two kinds:  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$ . We denote by  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  the number of partitions of  $n$  into parts of two kinds with at most  $k_1$  parts of the first kind, each part divisible by  $r$  and less than or equal to  $N_1 r$ , and at most  $k_2$  parts of the second kind, each part less than or equal to  $N_2$ . For example,  $\bar{p}_2(2, 3, 2, 2, 4) = 6$  because the six partitions in question are:

$$4, \quad 2 + 2, \quad 2 + \bar{2}, \quad 2 + \bar{1} + \bar{1}, \quad \bar{3} + \bar{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{2} + \bar{2}.$$

Recently, Merca [4] considered some properties of the Gaussian polynomials and obtained few properties of  $\bar{p}_1(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  (see for instance [4, Theorems 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.5, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1]). In this paper, motivated by these results, we provide some similar results for  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ . Two partition formulas involving  $\bar{p}_2(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  and  $\bar{p}_4(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  are derived in the last section of this paper as corollaries of two identities of Guo and Yang [2]:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ n-2k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{n-2k}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^4} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ n-4k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{n-4k}{2}} \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} m+n-2k \\ n-2k \end{bmatrix}_q. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We remark that these identities are specializations of two convolutions for the complete and elementary symmetric functions (see [3, Theorems 2.1 and 2.5]).

## 2 Some general results

The following convolution is a connection between the partition functions  $p(N, k, n)$  and  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/r \rfloor} p(N_1, k_1, j) p(N_2, k_2, n - rj).$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_a + \bar{\lambda}_1 + \cdots + \bar{\lambda}_b = n$$

be a partition into parts of two kinds with  $\lambda_i \leq N_1 r$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_i \leq N_2$ ,  $\lambda_i$  divisible by  $r$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq k_1$  and  $0 \leq b \leq k_2$ . This partition can be rewritten as

$$r \sum_{i=1}^a \lambda'_i + \sum_{i=1}^b \bar{\lambda}_i = n,$$

where  $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i/r$  and  $\lambda'_i \leq N_1$ . The identity follows easily from this relation.  $\square$

This convolution allows us to give the generating function for  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N_1 k_1 r + N_2 k_2} \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) q^n = \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 \\ N_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 \\ N_2 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

*Proof.* Taking into account (1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 \\ N_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 \\ N_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N_1 k_1} p(N_1, k_1, n) q^{nr} \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N_2 k_2} p(N_2, k_2, n) q^n \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/r \rfloor} p(N_1, k_1, j) p(N_2, k_2, n - rj) \right) q^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we have invoked the Cauchy multiplication of two power series. The proof follows considering Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

The recurrence relations for the Gaussian polynomials

$$\begin{bmatrix} N \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} = q^{kr} \begin{bmatrix} N-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} + \begin{bmatrix} N-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r}. \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} N \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} = \begin{bmatrix} N-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} + q^{(N-k)r} \begin{bmatrix} N-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r}. \quad (5)$$

can be used to derive the following generalization of [4, Theorem 3.3].

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,

1.  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) - \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2 - 1, k_1, k_2, n - k_1 r - k_2)$   
 $- \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2, k_1, k_2 - 1, n - k_1 r) - \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2 - 1, k_1 - 1, k_2, n - k_2)$   
 $- \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1, n) = 0;$

$$\begin{aligned}
2. & \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) - \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2 - 1, k_1, k_2, n) \\
& - \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2, k_1, k_2 - 1, n - N_2) - \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2 - 1, k_1 - 1, k_2, n - N_1 r) \\
& - \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1, n - N_1 r - N_2) = 0; \\
3. & \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) - \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2 - 1, k_1, k_2, n - k_1 r) \\
& - \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2, k_1, k_2 - 1, n - k_1 r - N_2) - \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2 - 1, k_1 - 1, k_2, n) \\
& - \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1, n - N_2) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
& = \left( q^{k_1 r} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 1 + k_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} + \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 - 1 \\ k_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \right) \left( q^{k_2} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - 1 + k_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 - 1 \\ k_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \right) \\
& = q^{k_1 r + k_2} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 1 + k_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - 1 + k_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q + q^{k_1 r} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 1 + k_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 - 1 \\ k_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\
& \quad + q^{k_2} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 - 1 \\ k_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - 1 + k_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 - 1 \\ k_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 - 1 \\ k_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_q.
\end{aligned}$$

This allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{N_1 k_1 r + N_2 k_2} \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) q^n \\
& = \sum_{n=0}^{(N_1 - 1)k_1 r + (N_2 - 1)k_2} \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2 - 1, k_1, k_2, n) q^{n + k_1 r + k_2} \\
& \quad + \sum_{n=0}^{(N_1 - 1)k_1 r + N_2(k_2 - 1)} \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2, k_1, k_2 - 1, n) q^{n + k_1 r} \\
& \quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N_1(k_1 - 1)r + (N_2 - 1)k_2} \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2 - 1, k_1 - 1, k_2, n) q^{n + k_2} \\
& \quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N_1(k_1 - 1)r + N_2(k_2 - 1)} \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1, n) q^n.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the first relation follows equating the coefficient of  $q^n$  in this identity. Similarly, considering (5) we obtain the second recurrence relation. The last relation follows combining (4) and (5).  $\square$

For  $r \geq 1$ , it is clear that the Gaussian polynomial

$$\begin{bmatrix} N+k \\ N \end{bmatrix}_{q^r}$$

is symmetric in  $N$  and  $k$ . In addition, this polynomial is self-reciprocal. These properties allow us to derive the following relations for the partition function  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** For all  $N_1, N_2, k, n \geq 0, r \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) &= \bar{p}_r(k_1, N_2, N_1, k_2, n) = \bar{p}_r(N_1, k_2, k_1, N_2, n) = \bar{p}_r(k_1, k_2, N_1, N_2, n); \\ \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) &= \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, N_1 k_1 r + N_2 k_2 - n). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The poof is similar to [4, Theorem 3.4]. □

For  $r > 1$ , we remark that the Gaussian polynomial

$$\begin{bmatrix} N+k \\ N \end{bmatrix}_{q^r}$$

is not unimodal. Thus the third relation of [4, Theorem 3.4] can not be generalized in this way.

We denote by  $\bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  the number of partitions of  $n$  into parts of two kinds with exactly  $k_1$  distinct parts of the first kind, each part divisible by  $r$  and less than or equal to  $N_1$  and exactly  $k_2$  distinct parts of the second kind, each part less than or equal to  $N_2$ . We have the following bijection between restricted partitions into parts of two kinds.

**Theorem 2.5.** For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n \geq 0, r \geq 1$ ,

$$\bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) = \bar{p}_r\left(N_1 - k_1, N_2 - k_2, k_1, k_2, n - r \binom{k_1+1}{2} - \binom{k_2+1}{2}\right).$$

*Proof.* The poof is similar to [4, Theorem 6.1]. □

The generating function for  $\bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  can be easily derived from Theorems 2.2 and 2.5.

**Theorem 2.6.** For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2 \geq 0, r \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) q^n = q^{r \binom{k_1+1}{2} + \binom{k_2+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

A similar result to Theorem 2.1 is also possible for  $\bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  if we consider the number of partitions of  $n$  into exactly  $k$  distinct parts, each part less than or equal to  $N$  which is denoted in [4] by  $Q(N, k, n)$ . In fact, all results obtained for  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  can be rewritten in terms of the partition functions  $\bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

### 3 Two partition formulas

As we can see in [4, Theorems 4.5 and 5.1], the partition function  $p(N, k, n)$  can be expressed in terms of the partition function  $\bar{p}_1(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ . For instance, the identity

$$p(N, k, n) = \sum_{j=0}^k \bar{p}_1(N-j, k-j, j, j, n-j^2)$$

is a specialization of [4, Theorem 5.1]. The following result shows that the partition function  $p(N, k, n)$  can be expressed in terms of the partition function  $\bar{p}_2(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $N, k, n \geq 0$ ,*

$$p(N, k, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \bar{p}_2 \left( N, N+1-k+2j, j, k-2j, n - \binom{k-2j}{2} \right).$$

*Proof.* Taking into account the first identity of Guo and Yang (2), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{Nk} p(N, k, n) q^n \\ &= \left[ \begin{matrix} N+k \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \left[ \begin{matrix} N+j \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[ \begin{matrix} N+1 \\ k-2j \end{matrix} \right]_q q^{\binom{k-2j}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{2Nj+(N+1-k+2j)(k-2j)} \bar{p}_2(N, N+1-k+2j, j, k-2j, n) q^{n+\binom{k-2j}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{n=\binom{k-2j}{2}}^{Nk-\binom{k-2j}{2}} \bar{p}_2 \left( N, N+1-k+2j, j, k-2j, n - \binom{k-2j}{2} \right) q^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{Nk} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \bar{p}_2 \left( N, N+1-k+2j, j, k-2j, n - \binom{k-2j}{2} \right) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

The identity follows equating the coefficient of  $q^n$  in this relation.  $\square$

As a consequence of this theorem, we remark the following formula for the partition function  $p(n)$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *For  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$p(n) = \sum_{j=\lceil \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \sqrt{\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{16}} \rceil}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \bar{p}_2 \left( n, n-2j, j, 2j+1, n - \binom{n-2j}{2} \right).$$

It is clear that the expansion of  $p(n)$  by this corollary requires about  $1 + \sqrt{n/2}$  terms. For example, the case  $n = 6$  of this corollary read as

$$p(6) = \bar{p}_2(6, 4, 1, 3, 0) + \bar{p}_2(6, 2, 2, 5, 5) + \bar{p}_2(6, 0, 3, 7, 6) = 1 + 7 + 3 = 11.$$

The partition functions  $\bar{p}_2(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  and  $\bar{p}_4(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  are related by the following identity.

**Theorem 3.3.** For  $N, k, n \geq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \bar{p}_4 \left( N, N+1-k+4j, j, k-4j, n - \binom{k-4j}{2} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \bar{p}_2(N, N, j, k-2j, n).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} N+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^4} \begin{bmatrix} N+1 \\ k-4j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{k-4j}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{4Nj+(N+1-k+4j)(k-4j)} \bar{p}_4(N, N+1-k+4j, j, k-4j, n) q^{n+\binom{k-4j}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \sum_{n=\binom{k-4j}{2}}^{Nk-\binom{k-4j}{2}} \bar{p}_4 \left( N, N+1-k+4j, j, k-4j, n - \binom{k-4j}{2} \right) q^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{Nk} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \bar{p}_4 \left( N, N+1-k+4j, j, k-4j, n - \binom{k-4j}{2} \right) q^n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} N+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} N+k-2j \\ k-2j \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{2Nj+N(k-2j)} (-1)^j \bar{p}_2(N, N, j, k-2j, n) q^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{Nk} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \bar{p}_2(N, N, j, k-2j, n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

The identity follows easily considering the identity (3).  $\square$

Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 provide new combinatorial interpretations for the identities (2) and (3) of Guo and Yang.

## References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, 1976.
- [2] V.J.W. Guo, D.-M. Yang, A  $q$ -analogue of some binomial coefficient identities of Y. Sun, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 18 (2011), #P78.
- [3] M. Merca, Generalizations of two identities of Guo and Yang, *Quaest. Math.*, 41(5) (2018) 643–652.
- [4] M. Merca, Combinatorial interpretations of  $q$ -Vandermonde’s identities, *Ann. Acad. Rom. Sci. Ser. Math. Appl.*, 11(1) (2019) 98–114.