

Computing Minimal Doubly Resolving Sets and the Strong Metric Dimension of the Layer Sun Graph and the Line Graph of Layer Sun Graph

Jia-Bao Liu^{a,b}, Ali Zafari^{c,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Huainan Normal University, Huainan 232038, P.R. China

^b School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei 230601, P.R. China

^c Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Payame Noor University, P.O. Box 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Let G be a finite, connected graph of order of at least 2, with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. A set S of vertices of the graph G is a doubly resolving set for G if every two distinct vertices of G are doubly resolved by some two vertices of S . The minimal doubly resolving set of vertices of graph G is a doubly resolving set with minimum cardinality and is denoted by $\psi(G)$. In this paper, first, we construct a class of graphs of order $2n + \sum_{r=1}^{k-2} nm^r$, denoted by $LSG(n, m, k)$, and call these graphs as the layer Sun graphs with parameters n, m and k . Moreover, we compute minimal doubly resolving sets and the strong metric dimension of layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ and the line graph of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$.

Keywords: doubly resolving; strong resolving; layer Sun graph; line graph.
2010 MSC: 05C12; 05E30.

1. Introduction

In this paper, suppose G is a finite, simple connected graph of order of, at least 2, with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. If x and y are vertices in the graph G , then the distance x from y in G is denoted by $d_G(x, y)$ or simply $d(x, y)$, where $d(x, y)$ is the length of the shortest path from x to y . The line graph of a graph G is denoted by $L(G)$, with vertex set $V(L(G)) = E(G)$ and where two edges of G are adjacent in $L(G)$ if and only if they are incident in G , see [1]. Vertices x, y of the graph G are said to doubly resolve vertices u, v of G if $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$. A set S of vertices of the graph G is a doubly resolving set of G if every two distinct vertices of G are doubly resolved by some two vertices of S . The minimal doubly resolving set of vertices of graph G is a doubly resolving set with minimum cardinality and is denoted by $\psi(G)$. The notion of a doubly resolving set of vertices of the graph G introduced by Cáceres et al.[2]. A vertex w strongly resolves two vertices u and v if u belongs to a shortest $v - w$ path or v belongs to a shortest $u - w$ path. A vertex set S of the graph G is a strong resolving set of G if every two distinct vertices of G are strongly resolved by some vertex of S . A strong metric basis of G is denoted by $sdim(G)$ defined as the minimum cardinality of a strong resolving set of G . The notion of a strong metric dimension problem set of vertices of the graph G introduced by A. Sebö and E. Tannier [3] and further investigated by O. R. Oellermann and Peters-Fransen [4]. The minimal doubly resolving sets for jellyfish and cocktail party graphs has been obtained in [5]. For more results related to these concepts see [6-16]. In this paper, first, we construct a class of graphs of order $2n + \sum_{r=1}^{k-2} nm^r$, denoted by $LSG(n, m, k)$, and call these graphs as the layer Sun graphs with parameters n, m and k , which is defined as follows:

Let n, m, k be integers such that $n, k \geq 3, m \geq 2$ and G be a graph with vertex set $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k$, where V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k are called the layers of G such that $V_1 = V(C_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, V_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, and for $l \geq 3$ we have $V_l = \{B_{1_1}^{(l)}, B_{1_2}^{(l)}, \dots, B_{1_{m-3}}^{(l)}; B_{2_1}^{(l)}, B_{2_2}^{(l)}, \dots, B_{2_{m-3}}^{(l)}; \dots; B_{n_1}^{(l)}, B_{n_2}^{(l)}, \dots, B_{n_{m-3}}^{(l)}\}$, and let $B_{ij}^{(l)} = \{\cup_{t=1}^m (v_{ij}, t)^l\}$, such that every $(v_{ij}, t)^l$ is a vertex in the layer V_l , and $B_{ij}^{(l)} \cong \overline{K_m}$ in the layer $V_l, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m^{l-3}, 1 \leq t \leq m$, where $\overline{K_m}$ is

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: liujiaboad@163.com; liujiabao@ahjzu.edu.cn (Jia-Bao Liu), zafari.math.pu@gmail.com; zafari.math@pnu.ac.ir (Ali Zafari)

the complement of the complete graph on m vertices. Now, suppose that every vertex i in the cycle C_n is adjacent to exactly one vertex in the layer V_2 say $v_i \in V_2$, and every vertex v_i in the layer V_2 is adjacent to exactly m vertices $(v_{i1}, 1)^3, (v_{i1}, 2)^3, \dots, (v_{i1}, m)^3 \in B_{i1}^{(3)} \in V_3$, in particular for $l \geq 3$, every vertex $(v_{ir}, t)^l \in B_{ir}^{(l)} \in V_l$ is adjacent to exactly m vertices $\cup_{t=1}^m (v_{ij}, t)^{l+1} \in B_{ij}^{(l+1)} \in V_{l+1}$, and then, the resulting graph is called the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ with parameters n, m and k . Also, for $l \geq 3$, we recall that $B_{ij}^{(l)}$ as the components of the layer V_l , $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m^{l-3}$. In particular, we say that two components $B_{ij}^{(l)}, B_{rs}^{(l)} \in V_l$, $1 \leq i, r \leq n, 1 \leq j, s \leq m^{l-3}$ are fundamental if $i = r$ and $j \neq s$. It is natural to consider its vertex set of layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ as partitioned into k layers. The layers V_1 and V_2 consist of the vertices $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, respectively. In particular, each layer V_l ($l \geq 3$), consists of the nm^{l-2} vertices. Note that, for each vertex i in the layer V_1 and every vertex $x \in B_{ij}^{(l)} \in V_l$, $l \geq 3, 1 \leq j \leq m^{l-3}$, we have $d(i, x) = l - 1$. In this paper, we consider the problem of determining the cardinality $\psi(LSG(n, m, k))$ of minimal doubly resolving sets of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$. First, we find the metric dimension of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$; in fact, we prove that if $n, k \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$, then the metric dimension of layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ is $nm^{k-2} - nm^{k-3}$. Moreover, we consider the problem of determining the cardinality $\psi(LSG(n, m, k))$ of minimal doubly resolving sets of $LSG(n, m, k)$ and the strong metric dimension for layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ and the line graph of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$. The graph $LSG(3, 3, 4)$ is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: $LSG(3, 3, 4)$

2. Definitions And Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let G be a graph. A vertex $x \in V(G)$ is said to resolve a pair $u, v \in V(G)$ if $d_G(u, x) \neq d_G(v, x)$. For an ordered subset $W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ of vertices in the graph G and a vertex v of G , the metric representation of v with respect to W is the k -vector $r(v|W) = (d(v, w_1), d(v, w_2), \dots, d(v, w_k))$. If every pair of distinct vertices of G have different metric representations, then the ordered set W is called a resolving set of G . If the set W is as small as

possible, then it is called a metric basis of the graph G . We recall that the metric dimension of G , denoted by $\beta(G)$, is defined as the minimum cardinality of a resolving set for G .

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a graph. It is well known that a doubly resolving set is also a resolving set and $\beta(G) \leq \psi(G)$. In particular, every strong resolving set is a resolving set and $\beta(G) \leq \text{sdim}(G)$.*

3. Main Results

Minimal Doubly Resolving Sets and the Strong Metric Dimension for Layer Sun Graph $LSG(n, m, k)$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = LSG(n, m, k)$ be the layer Sun graph which is defined already. Suppose that n, m, k are integers such that $n, k \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. Then, the metric dimension of $LSG(n, m, k)$ is $nm^{k-2} - nm^{k-3}$.*

Proof. Let $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k$, where V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k , are called the layers of vertices in the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$, which is defined already. It is clear that if W is an ordered subset of the layers $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_{k-1}$, then W is not a resolving set in $LSG(n, m, k)$. We may assume that the layer V_k is equal to

$$V_k = \{B_{1_1}^{(k)}, B_{1_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}; B_{2_1}^{(k)}, B_{2_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{2_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}; \dots; B_{n_1}^{(k)}, B_{n_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}\},$$

where $B_{ij}^{(k)} = \{\cup_{t=1}^m (v_{ij}, t)^k\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$. In the following cases, it can be shown that the metric dimension of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ is $nm^{k-2} - nm^{k-3}$.

Case 1: Let W be an ordered subset of the layer V_k in the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ such that

$$W = \{B_{1_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}; B_{2_1}^{(k)}, B_{2_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{2_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}; \dots; B_{n_1}^{(k)}, B_{n_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}\}.$$

Hence, $V(G) - W = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{k-1}, B_{1_1}^{(k)}\}$. We know that the cardinality of W is $nm^{k-2} - m$, because $|B_{ij}^{(k)}| = m, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$. Therefore, the metric representation of all the vertices $(v_{1_1}, 1)^k, (v_{1_1}, 2)^k, \dots, (v_{1_1}, m)^k$ in the component $B_{1_1}^{(k)}$ is the same as $nm^{k-2} - m$ -vector with respect to W . Thus, W is not a resolving set in $LSG(n, m, k)$.

Case 2. Let W be an ordered subset of the layer V_k in the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ such that

$$W = \{B_{1_1}^{(k)} - \{(v_{1_1}, 1)^k, (v_{1_1}, 2)^k\}, B_{1_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}; B_{2_1}^{(k)}, B_{2_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{2_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}; \dots; B_{n_1}^{(k)}, B_{n_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}\}.$$

Hence, $V(G) - W = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{k-1}, (v_{1_1}, 1)^k, (v_{1_1}, 2)^k\}$. We know that $|W| = nm^{k-2} - 2$. Therefore, the metric representation of two vertices $(v_{1_1}, 1)^k, (v_{1_1}, 2)^k$ in the component $B_{1_1}^{(k)}$ is the same as $nm^{k-2} - 2$ -vector with respect to W . Thus, W is not a resolving set in $LSG(n, m, k)$.

Case 3. Let W be an ordered subset of the layer V_k in the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ such that

$$W = \{B_{1_1}^{(k)} - (v_{1_1}, 1)^k, B_{1_2}^{(k)} - (v_{1_2}, 1)^k, \dots, B_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)} - (v_{1_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k; \dots; B_{n_1}^{(k)} - (v_{n_1}, 1)^k, B_{n_2}^{(k)} - (v_{n_2}, 1)^k, \dots, B_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)} - (v_{n_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k\}.$$

Hence,

$$V(G) - W = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{k-1}, (v_{1_1}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{1_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{n_1}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{n_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k\}.$$

We know that $|W| = nm^{k-2} - nm^{k-3}$. We can show that all the vertices in $V(G) - W$ have different representations with respect to W . Let u be the vertex of the layer $V_1 = V(C_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We can assume without loss of generality that $u = i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, $d(u, (v_{ij}, t)^k) = k - 1$, where $(v_{ij}, t)^k \in B_{ij}^{(k)}, 1 \leq t \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$; otherwise, if $u \neq i$, then $d(u, (v_{ij}, t)^k) > k - 1$. Now, let $u \in V_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. We can assume without loss of generality that $u = v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, $d(u, (v_{ij}, t)^k) = k - 2$, where $(v_{ij}, t)^k \in B_{ij}^{(k)}, 1 \leq t \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$; otherwise, if $u \neq v_i$, then $d(u, (v_{ij}, t)^k) > k - 2$. In a similar way, we can show that all the vertices in the layers V_3, \dots, V_{k-1} have different representations

with respect to W . In particular, for every vertex $u \in \{(v_{1_1}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{1_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{n_1}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{n_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k\}$, we have $d(u, (v_{i_j}, t)^k) = 2$, $2 \leq t \leq m$, if $u = (v_{i_j}, 1)^k$; otherwise, if $u \in \{(v_{1_1}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{1_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{n_1}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{n_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k\}$ and $u \neq (v_{i_j}, 1)^k$, then $d(u, (v_{i_j}, t)^k) > 4$. Therefore, all the vertices in $V(G) - W$ have different representations with respect to W . This implies that W is a resolving set in $LSG(n, m, k)$. From the above-mentioned cases we can be concluded that the minimum possible cardinality of a resolving set in $LSG(n, m, k)$ is $nm^{k-2} - nm^{k-3}$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $G = LSG(n, m, k)$ be the layer Sun graph which is defined already. Suppose that n, m, k are integers such that $n, k \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. Then, the cardinality of minimum doubly resolving set of the $LSG(n, m, k)$ is nm^{k-2} .*

Proof. In the following cases, it can be shown that the cardinality of minimum doubly resolving set of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ is nm^{k-2} .

Case 1. We know that the ordered subset

$$W = \{B_{1_1}^{(k)} - (v_{1_1}, 1)^k, B_{1_2}^{(k)} - (v_{1_2}, 1)^k, \dots, B_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)} - (v_{1_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k; \dots; B_{n_1}^{(k)} - (v_{n_1}, 1)^k, B_{n_2}^{(k)} - (v_{n_2}, 1)^k, \dots, B_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)} - (v_{n_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k\},$$

of vertices in the layer V_k of $LSG(n, m, k)$ is a resolving set for $LSG(n, m, k)$ of cardinality $nm^{k-2} - nm^{k-3}$. We show that this subset is not a doubly resolving set for $LSG(n, m, k)$. Because if the vertex $u = (v_{i_j}, 1)^k \in B_{i_j}^{(k)} \in V_k$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$ is adjacent to a vertex $v \in V_{k-1}$, then for every $x, y \in W$, we have $d(u, x) - d(u, y) = d(v, x) - d(v, y)$.

Case 2. Now, let the subset of vertices in $LSG(n, m, k)$ be $W = \{B_{1_1}^{(k)} - (v_{1_1}, 1)^k, B_{1_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{n_1}^{(k)}, B_{n_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}\}$. In a similar fashion as in Case 3 of Theorem 3.1, we can show that all the vertices in the layers V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{k-1} of $LSG(n, m, k)$ and the vertex $(v_{1_1}, 1)^k$ in the layer V_k of $LSG(n, m, k)$ have different representations with respect to W . So, W is a resolving set in $LSG(n, m, k)$ of cardinality $nm^{k-2} - 1$. Note that, in this case, by a similar way as in Case 1, we can show that this subset is not a doubly resolving set for $LSG(n, m, k)$.

Case 3. Finally, let the subset of vertices in $LSG(n, m, k)$ be $W = \{B_{1_1}^{(k)}, B_{1_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}; \dots; B_{n_1}^{(k)}, B_{n_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}\}$. In a similar fashion as in Theorem 3.1, we can show that all the vertices in the layers V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{k-1} of $LSG(n, m, k)$ have different representations with respect to W . So, this subset is also a resolving set in $LSG(n, m, k)$ of cardinality nm^{k-2} . We show that this subset is a doubly resolving set for $LSG(n, m, k)$. It is sufficient to prove that for two vertices u and v in $LSG(n, m, k)$, there are vertices $x, y \in W$ such that $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$. Consider two vertices u and v in $LSG(n, m, k)$. Then, we have the following:

Case 3.1. Suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer V_1 . Hence, there are $r, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $u = r$ and $v = s$. Moreover, we know that the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ has the property that, for each vertex r in the layer V_1 , there is some vertex such as $x = (v_{r_j}, t)^k$ in the component $B_{r_j}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$ in the layer V_k , at distance $k - 1$ from u ; in fact, $d(u, x) = k - 1$. In the same way, there is some vertex such as $y = (v_{s_j}, t)^k$ in the component $B_{s_j}^{(k)}$ in the layer V_k , at distance $k - 1$ from v . In particular, it is easy to prove that $d(u, x) - d(u, y) < 0$ because $d(u, y) \geq k$. Also, $d(v, x) - d(v, y) > 0$ because $d(v, x) \geq k$.

Case 3.2. Now, suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer V_2 . In a similar way as in Case 3.1, we can show that there are vertices $x, y \in W$ such that $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$.

Case 3.3. Suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer V_l , $l \geq 3$ such that these vertices lie in the one component of the layer V_l , say $B_{i_j}^{(l)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{l-3}$. In this case, $d(u, v) = 2$. Moreover, we know that the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ has the property that, for each vertex $u \in B_{i_j}^{(l)}$ in the layer V_l , there is a component of the layer V_k , say $B_{i_r}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq r \leq m^{k-3}$ such that for any vertex $x \in B_{i_r}^{(k)}$, we have $d(u, x) = k - l$. In the same way, for the vertex $v \in B_{i_j}^{(l)}$ in the layer V_l , there is a component of the layer V_k , say $B_{i_s}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq s \leq m^{k-3}$, $r \neq s$ such that, for any vertex $y \in B_{i_s}^{(k)}$, we have $d(v, y) = k - l$. Thus, $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$ because $d(u, y) = k - l + 2$ and $d(v, x) = k - l + 2$.

Case 3.4. Suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer V_l , $l \geq 3$ such that these vertices lie in the two distinct components of the layer V_l . We can assume without loss of generality that $u \in B_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$ and $v \in B_{q_{j_2}}^{(l)}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq n$, and $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq m^{l-3}$. Moreover, we know that the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ has the property that, for each vertex $u \in B_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$ in the layer V_l , there is a component of the layer V_k , say $B_{p_r}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq r \leq m^{k-3}$ such that for any vertex $x \in B_{p_r}^{(k)}$, we have $d(u, x) = k - l$. In the same way, for the vertex $v \in B_{q_{j_2}}^{(l)}$ in the layer V_l , there is a component of the layer V_k , say $B_{q_s}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq s \leq m^{k-3}$, such that for any vertex $y \in B_{q_s}^{(k)}$, we have $d(v, y) = k - l$. In the following, let two components $B_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$, and $B_{q_{j_2}}^{(l)}$ are fundamental; indeed, $p = q$. Hence, $d(u, v) = 2l - 4$, $d(u, y) = d(v, x) = k + l - 4$. Thus, $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$. Now, let two components $B_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$, and $B_{q_{j_2}}^{(l)}$ are not fundamental; indeed, $p \neq q$. Hence $d(u, v) = 2l - 2 + d_{C_n}(p, q)$, $d(u, y) = d(v, x) = k + l - 2 + d_{C_n}(p, q)$. Thus, $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$.

Case 3.5. Suppose that vertices u and v lie in distinct layers V_a, V_b , respectively. Note that if $a = 1$ and $b = 2$, $a = 1$ and $b > 2$, or $a = 2$ and $b > 2$, there is nothing to do. Now, let $3 \leq a < b$. Hence, there is a component of the layer V_a , say $B_{i_j}^{(a)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{a-3}$ such that $u \in B_{i_j}^{(a)}$. Also, there is a component of the layer V_b , say $B_{p_q}^{(b)}$, $1 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq m^{b-3}$ such that $v \in B_{p_q}^{(b)}$. In particular, there is a component of the layer V_k , say $B_{i_r}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq r \leq m^{k-3}$ such that for any vertex $x \in B_{i_r}^{(k)}$ we have $d(u, x) = k - a$. Now, let $i = p$; if we consider $y \in B_{z_s}^{(k)}$, $z \neq i$, $1 \leq z \leq n$, and $1 \leq s \leq m^{k-3}$, then we have $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$. Because $d(u, y) = k + a - 2 + d_{C_n}(i, z)$, $d(v, y) = k + b - 2 + d_{C_n}(i, z)$ and $d(u, x) \neq d(v, x)$. Note that if $i \neq p$, then there is a component of the layer V_k , say $B_{p_s}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq s \leq m^{k-3}$ such that for any vertex $y \in B_{p_s}^{(k)}$, we have $d(v, y) = k - b$, and then we have $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$ because $d(u, x) - d(u, y) < 0$ and $d(v, x) - d(v, y) > 0$. Thus, from the above-mentioned cases we can be concluded that the cardinality of minimum doubly resolving set of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ is nm^{k-2} . \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $G = LSG(n, m, k)$ be the layer Sun graph which is defined already. Suppose that n, m, k are integers such that $n, k \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. Then the strong metric dimension of $LSG(n, m, k)$ is $nm^{k-2} - 1$.*

Proof. In the following cases, it can be seen that the cardinality of minimum strong resolving set of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ is $nm^{k-2} - 1$.

Case 1. We know that the ordered subset

$$W = \{B_{1_1}^{(k)} - (v_{1_1}, 1)^k, B_{1_2}^{(k)} - (v_{1_2}, 1)^k, \dots, B_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)} - (v_{1_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k; \dots; B_{n_1}^{(k)} - (v_{n_1}, 1)^k, B_{n_2}^{(k)} - (v_{n_2}, 1)^k, \dots, B_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)} - (v_{n_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k\},$$

of vertices in the layer V_k of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ is a resolving set for $LSG(n, m, k)$ of cardinality $nm^{k-2} - nm^{k-3}$. Now, let $N = V_k - W = \{(v_{1_1}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{1_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{n_1}, 1)^k, \dots, (v_{n_{m^{k-3}}}, 1)^k\}$. By considering distinct vertices $u, v \in N$ we can show that there is not a vertex $w \in W$ such that u belongs to a shortest $v - w$ path or v belongs to a shortest $u - w$ path because the valency of every vertex in the layer V_k is one. So, this subset is not a strong resolving set for G . Thus, we can conclude that if W is a strong resolving set for graph G , then $|W| \geq nm^{k-2} - 1$, because $|N|$ must be less than 2.

Case 2. On the other hand, we can show that the subset

$$W = \{B_{1_1}^{(k)} - (v_{1_1}, 1)^k, B_{1_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{n_1}^{(k)}, B_{n_2}^{(k)}, \dots, B_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}\},$$

of vertices in the graph G is a resolving set for graph G . We show that this subset is a strong resolving set in graph G . It is sufficient to prove that every two distinct vertices $u, v \in V(G) - W$ is strongly resolved by a vertex $w \in W$. Then, we have the following:

Case 2.1. Suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer V_1 . Hence, there are $r, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $u = r$ and $v = s$. Moreover, we know that the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ has the property that, for each vertex r in the layer V_1 , there is a component $B_{r_j}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$ in the layer V_k such that, for every vertex such as $w \in B_{r_j}^{(k)}$, we have $d(u, w) = k - 1$ and $d(v, w) > k - 1$, and hence, u belongs to a shortest $w - v$ path.

Case 2.2. Now suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer V_2 . In a similar way as in Case 2.1, we can show that the vertices u and v is strongly resolved by a vertex $w \in W$.

Case 2.3. Suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer V_l , $l \geq 3$ such that these vertices lie in the one component of the layer V_l , say $B_{i_j}^{(l)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{l-3}$. In this case, $d(u, v) = 2$. Moreover, we know that the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ has the property that, for each vertex $u \in B_{i_j}^{(l)}$ in the layer V_l , there is a component of the layer V_k , say $B_{i_r}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq r \leq m^{k-3}$ such that, for any vertex $w \in B_{i_r}^{(k)}$, we have $d(u, w) = k - l$, and hence, u belongs to a shortest $w - v$ path.

Case 2.4. Suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer V_l , $l \geq 3$ such that these vertices lie in the two distinct components of the layer V_l . We can assume without loss of generality that $u \in B_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$ and $v \in B_{q_{j_2}}^{(l)}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq n$, and $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq m^{l-3}$. Moreover, we know that the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ has the property that, for each vertex $u \in B_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$ in the layer V_l , there is a component of the layer V_k , say $B_{p_r}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq r \leq m^{k-3}$ such that for any vertex $w \in B_{p_r}^{(k)}$, we have $d(u, w) = k - l$, and hence, u belongs to a shortest $w - v$ path.

Case 2.5. Suppose that vertices u and v lie in distinct layers V_a, V_b , respectively. Note that if $a = 1$ and $b = 2$, $a = 1$ and $b > 2$ or $a = 2$ and $b > 2$ there is nothing to do. Now, let $3 \leq b < a$. Hence, there is a component of the layer V_a , say $B_{i_j}^{(a)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{a-3}$ such that $u \in B_{i_j}^{(a)}$. Also, there is a component of the layer V_b , say $B_{p_q}^{(b)}$, $1 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq m^{b-3}$ such that $v \in B_{p_q}^{(b)}$. In particular, there is a component of the layer V_k , say $B_{i_r}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq r \leq m^{k-3}$ such that, for any vertex $w \in B_{i_r}^{(k)}$, we have $d(u, w) = k - a$, and hence, u belongs to a shortest $w - v$ path.

Case 2.6. Let u, v be two distinct vertices in $V(G) - W$ such that $u = (v_{1_1}, 1)^k \in V_k$ and $v \in V_l$, $l \geq 3$. So, there is a component $B_{r_{j_1}}^{(l)}$, in the layer V_l , $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_1 \leq m^{l-3}$ such that $v \in B_{r_{j_1}}^{(l)}$. Thus, there is some vertex in the component $B_{r_{j_2}}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq j_2 \leq m^{k-3}$ say w such that $d(w, v) = k - l$, $d(w, u) > k - l$, and v belongs to a shortest $w - u$ path.

Case 2.7. Let u, v be two distinct vertices in $V(G) - W$ such that $u = (v_{1_1}, 1)^k \in V_k$ and $v \in V_1$. So, there is some $i \in V_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $v = i$. If $i = 1$, indeed $d(u, v) = k - 1$, and then, there is a component $B_{r_j}^{(k)}$, in the layer V_k , $r \neq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$ such that, for every vertex such as w in the component $B_{r_j}^{(k)}$ we have $d(w, v) \geq k$, $d(w, u) \geq 2k - 1$ and v belongs to a shortest $w - u$ path. Now, let $i \neq 1$; indeed $d(u, v) \geq k$, and hence, there is a component $B_{i_j}^{(k)}$, in the layer V_k , $1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$ such that, for every vertices such as w in the components $B_{i_j}^{(k)}$, we have $d(w, v) = k - 1$, $d(w, u) \geq 2k - 1$, and v belongs to a shortest $w - u$ path.

Thus, from the above-mentioned cases we can be concluded that the cardinality of minimum strong resolving set of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ is $nm^{k-2} - 1$. \square

Minimal Doubly Resolving Sets and the Strong Metric Dimension for the Line Graph of Layer Sun Graph $LSG(n, m, k)$

Let $G = LSG(n, m, k)$ be the layer Sun graph which is defined already. Now, let H be a graph with vertex set $V(H) = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_k$, where U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k are called the layers of H which is defined as follows:

Let $U_1 = V(C_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $U_2 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$, and for $l \geq 3$ we have

$$U_l = \{D_{1_1}^{(l)}, D_{1_2}^{(l)}, \dots, D_{1_{m^{l-3}}}^{(l)}; D_{2_1}^{(l)}, D_{2_2}^{(l)}, \dots, D_{2_{m^{l-3}}}^{(l)}; \dots; D_{n_1}^{(l)}, D_{n_2}^{(l)}, \dots, D_{n_{m^{l-3}}}^{(l)}\},$$

and let $D_{ij}^{(l)} = \{\cup_{t=1}^m (u_{ij}, t)^l\}$ such that every $(u_{ij}, t)^l$ is a vertex in the layer U_l and $D_{ij}^{(l)} \cong K_m$ in the layer U_l , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{l-3}$, and $1 \leq t \leq m$, where K_m is the complete graph on m vertices. Now, suppose that every vertex $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ in the cycle C_n or the layer U_1 is adjacent to exactly two vertices in the layer U_2 , say $u_i, u_{i-1} \in U_2$. In particular, for the vertex 1 in the layer U_1 , we have 1 is adjacent to exactly two vertices in the layer U_2 , say $u_1, u_n \in U_2$. Also, every vertex u_i in the layer U_2 is adjacent to exactly m vertices $(u_{i_1}, 1)^3, (u_{i_1}, 2)^3, \dots, (u_{i_1}, m)^3 \in D_{i_1}^{(3)} \in U_3$, in particular for $l \geq 3$, every vertex $(u_{i_r}, t)^l \in D_{i_r}^{(l)} \in U_l$ is adjacent to exactly m vertices $\cup_{t=1}^m (u_{ij}, t)^{l+1} \in D_{ij}^{(l+1)} \in U_{l+1}$, and then the resulting graph is isomorphic with the line graph of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ with parameters n, m and k ; in fact, $L(G) \cong H$. Note that simply we use refinement of the natural relabelling of the line graph of the graph

the graph G , and hence, $\beta(L(G)) = \psi(L(G))$. It is sufficient to prove that, for any two vertices u and v in $L(G)$, there are vertices $x, y \in W$ such that $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$. Consider two vertices u and v in $L(G)$. Then, we have the following:

Case 1. Suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer U_1 . Hence, there are $r, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $u = r$ and $v = s$. Moreover, we know that the line graph of the graph G has the property that, for each vertex r in the layer U_1 there is some vertex such as $x = (u_{r_j}, t)^k$ in the component $D_{r_j}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{k-3}$ in the layer U_k , at distance $k - 1$ from u , and in fact, $d(u, x) = k - 1$. In the same way, there is some vertex such as $y = (u_{s_j}, t)^k$ in the component $D_{s_j}^{(k)}$ in the layer U_k , at distance $k - 1$ from v . In particular, it is easy to prove that $d(u, x) - d(u, y) < 0$ because $d(u, y) \geq k$. Also, $d(v, x) - d(v, y) > 0$ because $d(v, x) \geq k$.

Case 2. Now, suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer U_2 . In a similar way as in Case 1, we can show that there are vertices $x, y \in W$ such that $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$.

Case 3. Suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer U_l , $l \geq 3$ such that these vertices lie in the one component of the layer U_l , say $D_{i_j}^{(l)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{l-3}$. In this case, $d(u, v) = 1$. Moreover, we know that the line graph of the graph G has the property that, for each vertex $u \in D_{i_j}^{(l)}$ in the layer U_l , there is a component of the layer U_k , say $D_{i_r}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq r \leq m^{k-3}$ such that, for every vertex $x \in D_{i_r}^{(k)}$, we have $d(u, x) = k - l$. In the same way, for the vertex $v \in D_{i_j}^{(l)}$ in the layer V_l there is a component of the layer U_k , say $D_{i_s}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq s \leq m^{k-3}$, $r \neq s$ such that, for every vertex $y \in D_{i_s}^{(k)}$, we have $d(v, y) = k - l$. Thus, $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$ because $d(u, y) = k - l + 1$ and $d(v, x) = k - l + 1$.

Case 4. Suppose that both vertices u and v lie in the layer U_l , $l \geq 3$ such that these vertices lie in the two distinct components of the layer U_l . We can assume without loss of generality that $u \in D_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$ and $v \in D_{q_{j_2}}^{(l)}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq n$, and $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq m^{l-3}$. Moreover, we know that the line graph of the graph G has the property that, for each vertex $u \in D_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$ in the layer U_l there is a component of the layer U_k , say $D_{p_r}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq r \leq m^{k-3}$ such that for every vertex $x \in D_{p_r}^{(k)}$, we have $d(u, x) = k - l$. In the same way, for the vertex $v \in D_{q_{j_2}}^{(l)}$ in the layer V_l , there is a component of the layer U_k , say $D_{q_s}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq s \leq m^{k-3}$, such that, for every vertex $y \in D_{q_s}^{(k)}$, we have $d(v, y) = k - l$. In the following, let two components $D_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$, and $D_{q_{j_2}}^{(l)}$ are fundamental; indeed, $p = q$. Hence $d(u, v) = 2l - 5$, $d(u, y) = d(v, x) = k + l - 5$. Thus, $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$. Now, let two components $D_{p_{j_1}}^{(l)}$ and $D_{q_{j_2}}^{(l)}$ are not fundamental; indeed, $p \neq q$. Hence $d(u, v) = 2l - 4 + d_{U_2}(u_p, u_q)$, $d(u, y) = d(v, x) = k + l - 4 + d_{U_2}(u_p, u_q)$. Thus, $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$.

Case 5. Suppose that vertices u and v lie in distinct layers U_a, U_b , respectively. Note that if $a = 1$ and $b = 2$, $a = 1$ and $b > 2$ or $a = 2$ and $b > 2$ there is nothing to do. Now let, $3 \leq a < b$. Hence, there is a component of the layer U_a , say $D_{i_j}^{(a)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m^{a-3}$ such that $u \in D_{i_j}^{(a)}$. Also, there is a component of the layer U_b , say $D_{p_q}^{(b)}$, $1 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq m^{b-3}$ such that $v \in D_{p_q}^{(b)}$. In particular, there is a component of the layer U_k , say $D_{i_r}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq r \leq m^{k-3}$ such that, for any vertex $x \in D_{i_r}^{(k)}$, we have $d(u, x) = k - a$. Now, let $i = p$; if we consider $y \in D_{z_s}^{(k)}$, $z \neq i$, $1 \leq z \leq n$, and $1 \leq s \leq m^{k-3}$, then we have $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$ because $d(u, y) = k + a - 4 + d_{U_2}(u_i, u_z)$, $d(v, y) = k + b - 4 + d_{U_2}(u_i, u_z)$, and $d(u, x) \neq d(v, x)$. Note that if $i \neq p$, then there is a component of the layer U_k , say $D_{p_s}^{(k)}$, $1 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq s \leq m^{k-3}$ such that, for any vertex $y \in D_{p_s}^{(k)}$, we have $d(v, y) = k - b$, and then, we have $d(u, x) - d(u, y) \neq d(v, x) - d(v, y)$.

Thus, from the above-mentioned cases we conclude that the cardinality of minimum doubly resolving set in the line graph of the graph G is $nm^{k-2} - nm^{k-3}$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $G = LSG(n, m, k)$ be the layer Sun graph which is defined already. Suppose that n, m, k are integers such that $n, k \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. Then, the strong metric dimension in the line graph of the graph G is $nm^{k-2} - 1$.*

Proof. In a similar way which is done in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we can show that the subset

$$W = \{D_{1_1}^{(k)} - (v_{1_1}, 1)^k, D_{1_2}^{(k)}, \dots, D_{1_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}, \dots, D_{n_1}^{(k)}, D_{n_2}^{(k)}, \dots, D_{n_{m^{k-3}}}^{(k)}\},$$

of vertices in the line graph of the graph G is a minimal resolving set of $L(G)$. \square

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have constructed a layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$, discussed of this graph, and computed the minimum cardinality of doubly resolving set and strong resolving set of layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$ and the line graph of the layer Sun graph $LSG(n, m, k)$. We deduce that, by this way, we can construct a layer jellyfish graph $JFG(n, m, k)$, of order $n + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} nm^r$, where the jellyfish graph $JFG(n, m)$, which is defined in [5], and by a similar way, we can obtain and compute the minimum cardinality of doubly resolving set and strong resolving set of layer jellyfish graph $JFG(n, m, k)$ and the line graph of the layer jellyfish graph $JFG(n, m, k)$.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgements

The work was partially supported by the Project of Anhui Jianzhu University under grant nos. 2016QD116 and 2017dc03.

Authors' informations

Jia-Bao Liu^{a,b} (liujiabao@163.com; liujiabao@ahjzu.edu.cn)

Ali Zafari^c (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) (zafari.math.pu@gmail.com; zafari.math@pnu.ac.ir)

^a Department of Mathematics, Huainan Normal University, Huainan 232038, P.R. China.

^b School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei 230601, P.R. China.

^c Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Payame Noor University, P.O. Box 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran.

References

- [1] C. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic graph theory, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [2] J. Cáceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, M. L. Puertas, C. Serra and D. R. Wood, *On the metric dimension of Cartesian products of graphs*, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 21(2), pp.423-441, 2007.
- [3] A. Sebö and E. Tannier, *On metric generators of graphs*, *Math. Oper. Res.*, vol. 29(2), pp.383-393, 2004.
- [4] O. R. Oellermann and J. Peters-Fransen, *The strong metric dimension of graphs and digraphs*, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, vol. 155, pp.356-364, 2007.
- [5] J.-B. Liu, A. Zafari, and H. Zarei, *Metric Dimension, Minimal Doubly Resolving Sets, and the Strong Metric Dimension for Jellyfish Graph and Cocktail Party Graph*, *Complexity*, vol. 2020, pp.1-7, 2020.
- [6] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M. A. Johnson and O. R. Oellermann, *Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph*, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, vol. 105, pp.99-113, 2000.
- [7] F. Harary and R. A. Melter, *On the metric dimension of a graph*, *Combinatoria*, vol. 2, pp.191-195, 1976.
- [8] J. Kratica, M. Čangalović and V. Kovačević-Vujčić, *Computing minimal doubly resolving sets of graphs*, *Comput. Oper. Res.*, vol. 36(7), pp.2149-2159, 2009.
- [9] J. Kratica, V. Kovačević-Vujčić, M. Čangalović and M. Stojanović, *Minimal doubly resolving sets and the strong metric dimension of Hamming graphs*, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, vol. 6, pp.63-71, 2012.
- [10] J. Kratica, V. Kovačević-Vujčić, M. Čangalović and M. Stojanović, *Minimal doubly resolving sets and the strong metric dimension of some convex polytopes*, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, pp.9790-9801, 2012.
- [11] J. Kratica, V. Kovačević-Vujčić, M. Čangalović and N. MLADENović, *STRONG METRIC DIMENSION: A SURVEY*, *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research*, vol. 24, pp.187-198, 2014.
- [12] J.-B. Liu, M. F. Nadeem, H. M. A. Siddiqui and W. Nazir, *Computing Metric Dimension of Certain Families of Toeplitz Graphs*, *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp.126734-126741, 2019.
- [13] X. Ma and Y. She, *The metric dimension of the enhanced power graph of a finite group*, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 19, pp.1-14, 2019.
- [14] S. Pirzada, M. Aijaz and S. P. Redmond, *On upper dimension of graphs and their bases sets*, *Discrete Mathematics Letters*, vol. 3, pp.37-43, 2020.
- [15] Z. Shao, S. M. Sheikholeslami, P. Wu and J.-B. Liu, *The Metric Dimension of Some Generalized Petersen Graphs*, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2018, pp.1-10, 2018.

- [16] P. J. Slater, *Leaves of trees*, in *Proceedings of the 6th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph theory and Computing*, Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp.549-559, 1975.