

# Conformal scattering theories for tensorial wave equations on Schwarzschild spacetime

Truong Xuan PHAM<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** In this paper, we establish the constructions of conformal scattering theories for the tensorial wave equation such as the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser and the spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations on Schwarzschild spacetime. In our strategy, we first construct the conformal scattering for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations which are obtained from the Maxwell and spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations. Our method combines Penrose's conformal compactification and the energy decay results of the tensorial fields satisfying the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations to prove the energy equality of the fields through the conformal boundary  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}^- \cup \mathcal{I}^-$ ) and the initial Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma_0 = \{t = 0\}$ . We will prove the well-posedness of the Goursat problem by using a generalization of Hörmander's results for the tensorial wave equations. By using the results for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations we will establish the construction of conformal scattering for the spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations.

**Keywords.** Conformal scattering, Goursat problem, black holes, tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations, spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations, Schwarzschild metric, null infinity, Penrose's conformal compactification.

**Mathematics subject classification.** 35L05, 35P25, 35Q75, 83C57.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Geometrical and analytical setting</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Schwarzschild metric and Penrose's conformal compactification . . . . .	5
2.2	The Maxwell and tensorial wave equations . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Energies of the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser field</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Energy conservation for the tensorial field . . . . .	10
3.2	Tensorial field space of initial data and Cauchy problem . . . . .	13
3.3	Energy identity up to $i^+$ and trace operator . . . . .	14
<b>4</b>	<b>Conformal scattering for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1	Generalization of L. Hörmander's result for tensorial wave equations . . . . .	15
4.2	Goursat problem and conformal scattering operator . . . . .	17

<sup>1</sup>Department of informatics, faculty of mathematics, Thuyloi University, 175 Tay Son, Dong Da, Ha Noi, Viet Nam. Email : xuanpt@tlu.edu.vn or phamtruongxuan.k5@gmail.com

<b>5</b>	<b>Conformal scattering for the spin <math>\pm 1</math> Teukolsky equations</b>	<b>19</b>
5.1	The tensorial field and scattering data spaces . . . . .	19
5.2	Goursat problem and conformal scattering operator . . . . .	22

# 1 Introduction

The idea of the conformal compactification structure of spacetimes was posed initially by Penrose [44] in the 1960's. After that this structure plays an important role in the study of peeling and conformal scattering, the two aspects of conformal asymptotic analysis. In particular, the conformal scattering theory has been studied extensively from the early works by Friedlander [15, 16, 17, 18, 19], Baez et al. [6], Hörmander [25] to recent ones by Mason and Nicolas [35], Joudioux [28, 29], Nicolas [42], Mokdad [38, 39], Taujanskas [52] and Pham [47, 48].

The works of Nicolas and Mason [35] and Nicolas [42] put farther a program of conformal scattering theories for the Dirac, Maxwell and scalar wave equations on the asymptotic simple or flat spacetimes. In particular, a conformal scattering theory on the exterior domains of the black hole spacetimes such as Schwarzschild and Kerr ones consists of three steps. First, we solve the Cauchy problem of the rescaled equations on the rescaled spacetime, then we define and extend the trace operators  $\mathcal{T}^\pm$ . Second, we need to show that the extension of the trace operator is injective by proving the energy identity up to the future timelike infinity  $i^+$ . Third, we solve the Goursat problem with the initial data on the conformal boundaries consisting of the future (resp. past) horizon and future (resp. past) null infinity, then as a consequence, we obtain that the extension of the trace operator is surjective. Therefore, the extended trace operator is an isometry between the space of the initial data and the space of the scattering data. As a consequence, we define the conformal scattering operator  $S := \mathcal{T}^+ \circ (\mathcal{T}^-)^{-1}$  that is an isometry that maps the past scattering data to the future scattering data.

Continuing this program Mokdad [38, 39] constructed explicitly the conformal scattering theories for the Maxwell and Dirac equations on the exterior and interior of Reissner-Nordström de Sitter spacetime (which is static and symmetric spherical) respectively. On the other hand, Pham [47] constructed conformal scattering theories for the scalar Reeger-Wheeler and Zerelli equations arising from the linearized gravity fields and the spin  $\pm 2$  Teukolsky equations. This is the first step to obtain the conformal scattering theory for the linearized gravity fields on the Schwarzschild spacetime. The extension of the conformal scattering theory on Kerr spacetime (which is non-static and non-symmetric spherical) has been established recently by Pham [48] for the massless Dirac equation. In the works on the exterior domains of black hole spacetimes [38, 47, 48] the authors used the results about the bounded energy, Morawertz estimate and pointwise decay of the fields to prove the energy identity up to the future (resp. past) timelike infinity  $i^+$  (resp.  $i^-$ ) in the second step of the theory's construction. In order to prove the well-posedness of the Goursat problem, the authors used the generalization of Hörmander's results (see [25, 41]) in the third step of the construction.

There are some related works that use also the energy and pointwise decay results to construct the scattering theory. We refer the reader to the works about the scattering theories for the scalar wave equation on the interior of Reissner-Nordström de Sitter of Keller et al. [31], on the extremal Reissner-Nordström of Angelopoulos et al. [4], and on slowly Kerr spacetimes of Dafermos et

al. [12]. The uniformly bounded energy, Morawertz's estimate, energy and pointwise decays are obtained naturally in the program to prove linear and nonlinear stability of black hole spacetimes and the related problems (see [3, 11, 12, 13, 22, 23, 30, 29, 50, 26]). The method of  $r^p$ -theory of Dafermos and Rodnianski [10] is an essential tool of the proof in a lot of work later.

In the present paper, we explore the method in [38, 42, 47] to establish conformal scattering theories for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser and spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations on Schwarzschild spacetime. The Teukolsky equations are derived from the extreme components of the Maxwell fields (see Subsection 2.2 and more details in [7, 49]). There are two ways to establish the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations. The first one is obtained by commuting the spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations with the projected covariant derivatives  $\nabla_L$  and  $\nabla_{\underline{L}}$  on the 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}_{(t,r)}^2$  at  $(t, r)$ , where  $L$  and  $\underline{L}$  are outgoing and incoming principal null directions respectively. The second one is obtained by commuting the scalar Fackerell-Ipser equation with the angular derivatives  $r\hat{\nabla}$ . The potentials (which are of zero order in the term of derivatives) in the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser and Teukolsky equations decay as  $r^{-2}$ , whence the ones in the scalar Regge-Wheeler and Zerelli equations [47] and also the scalar (real or complex) Fackerell-Ipser equations in [1, 8] decay as  $r^{-3}$ .

First, we construct the conformal scattering theories for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations in sections 3 and 4. In Section 3.1 we will establish the conservation laws for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations (resp. rescaled equations) that depends only on the derivatives with respect to  $L$  and  $\underline{L}$  (or  $\partial_t$  and  $\partial_{r_*}$ , here  $r_*$  is the Regge-Wheeler variable). Integrating these conservation laws, we will obtain the energy equalities between the initial hypersurface  $\Sigma_0 = \{t = 0\}$  and  $\Sigma_T = \{t = T\}$  for  $T > 0$ . By combining these equalities and Leray's theorem for the hyperbolic differential equations, we can establish the well-posedness of Cauchy problem for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations (see Subsection 3.2).

In order to prove the energy identity up to the timelike infinity  $i^+$ , we need to use the energy decay obtained previously in the literature. The decays of the solution of the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations can be established from the decays of the scalar Fackerell-Ipser equations. There are some works on the decay of the solutions of the scalar Fackerell-Ipser equations in Schwarzschild spacetime such as [8, 20, 37]. However, in this work, we will use the energy decay which is obtained in the recent work of Pasqualotto [49]. This energy decay helps us to prove that the energy through the hypersurfaces  $\mathcal{H}_{u_T} = \{u = u_T, v_T \leq v \leq 2v_T\}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{v_T} = \{u_T \leq u \leq 2u_T, v = v_T\}$  tends to zero as  $u_T$  and  $v_T$  tend to infinity, where  $\mathcal{H}_{u_T}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{v_T}$  are parallel to the future horizon  $\mathfrak{H}^+$  and the future null infinity  $\mathcal{I}^+$  respectively. This leads to the energy identity up to  $i^+$ , i.e, the energy of the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser solutions restricted on the hypersurface  $\Sigma_0 = \{t = 0\}$  is equal to the sum of energies of the rescaled tensorial fields restricted on  $\mathfrak{H}^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}^-$ ) and on  $\mathcal{I}^+$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}^-$ ) (see Theorem 2). Therefore, we can define the trace operator  $\mathcal{T}^+ : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^+$  between the energy spaces on  $\Sigma_0 = \{t = 0\}$  and on  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$  (resp. the past trace operator  $\mathcal{T}^- : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^-$ ) that maps the smoothly supported compact initial data to the trace of rescaled tensorial solution on the conformal boundaries  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$ . This trace operator is extended by density. As a consequence of the energy identity up to  $i^+$ , the trace operator is injective (see Theorem 3 in Subsection 3.3).

In Section 4 we prove that the trace operator is surjective, this corresponds to establish the well-posedness of the Goursat problem with the smoothly supported compact initial data on the conformal boundary  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}^- \cup \mathcal{I}^-$ ). This work is done by developing the works in

[25, 41, 42] for the tensorial wave equations on Schwarzschild spacetime. We project the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations on the basic frame of the unit 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , we get a system that consists of scalar wave equations with potentials at the first order of derivatives. Then we re-write the projected system under the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix wave form. The well-posedness of the Goursat problem consists of two parts: in the first one, we apply the results in [25, 41] to solve the Goursat problem of the matrix wave equation in the future of a spacelike hypersurface  $\mathcal{S}$  whose intersection with the horizon is the crossing sphere and which crosses  $\mathcal{I}^+$  strictly in the past of the support of the data; in the second one, we extend the solution obtained in the first part down to  $\Sigma_0$ , where the method is developed from [42] (see theorems 4 and 5). The well-posedness of the Goursat problem shows that the trace operator is surjective, hence an isometry. Therefore, we can define the conformal scattering operator  $S : \mathcal{H}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^+$  for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations that maps the past scattering data to the future scattering data, i.e.,

$$S := \mathcal{T}^+ \circ (\mathcal{T}^-)^{-1}.$$

Finally, in Section 5 we will construct the conformal scattering theories for the spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations by using the results obtained in sections 3 and 4. Our method is developed from a recent work of Masaoood (see [33]) for the scattering theories of the spin  $\pm 2$  Teukolsky equations. In Subsection 5.1 we define the norm and energy space  $\mathcal{H}^1$  of tensorial fields on the Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma_0 = \{t = 0\}$  which satisfies the Teukolsky equation via the norms of the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser fields. Then, we prove the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem of the Teukolsky equations, define the trace operator and the energy space  $\mathcal{H}^{2,+}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}^{2,-}$ ) on the conformal boundary  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}^- \cup \mathcal{I}^-$ ). The extension of trace operator  $\mathfrak{T}^+ : \mathcal{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2,+}$  on the Sobolev space in energy norm is injective (see Theorem 7). In Subsection 5.2 we will use the well-posedness of the Goursat problem of the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations to prove the well-posedness of the one for the Teukolsky equations (see Theorem 8). As a direct consequence of the Goursat problem, we have that the extension of trace operator  $\mathfrak{T}^+$  is an isometric operator. In the same way, we have the past trace operator  $\mathfrak{T}^- : \mathcal{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2,-}$  which is also an isometric operator. The conformal scattering operators  $\mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{H}^{2,-} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2,+}$  for the Teukolsky equations that map the past scattering data to the future scattering data are given by

$$\mathfrak{S} := \mathfrak{T}^+ \circ (\mathfrak{T}^-)^{-1}.$$

### Notation.

- We denote the bundle tangent to each 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2(t, r)$  at  $(t, r)$  by  $\mathcal{B}$  and the vector space of all smooth sections of  $\mathcal{B}$  by  $\Gamma(\mathcal{B})$ . The space of all 1-forms on  $\mathbb{S}^2_{(t,r)}$  is denoted by  $\Lambda^1(\mathcal{B})$ .
- We denote local coordinates for the unit 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  by  $(\theta^a, \theta^b)$  and the associated vector fields to  $(\theta^a, \theta^b)$  by  $\partial_{\theta^a}$  and  $\partial_{\theta^b}$ . Normally, we have  $(\theta^a, \theta^b) = (\theta, \varphi)$ .
- The space of one-forms on the unit 2-sphere is denoted by  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)$ . The basic frame of  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)$  is denoted by  $(\nabla_{\partial_{\theta^a}}, \nabla_{\partial_{\theta^b}})$ .
- We follow the convention used by Penrose and Rindler [51] about the Hodge dual of a 2-form  $\beta$  on a spacetime  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  (i.e. a 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifold that is oriented and time-oriented)

$$(*\beta)_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} e_{abcd} \beta^{cd},$$

where  $e_{abcd}$  is the volume form on  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ , denoted simply as  $d\text{Vol}_g$ .

- We denote the space of smooth compactly supported scalar functions on  $\mathcal{M}$  (a smooth manifold without boundary) by  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$  and the space of distributions on  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{M})$ . The space of smooth compactly supported 1-forms in  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{M}})$ .
- Let  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be two real functions. We write  $f \lesssim g$  if there exists a constant  $C \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$  for all  $x$ , and write  $f \simeq g$  if both  $f \lesssim g$  and  $g \lesssim f$  are valid.

## 2 Geometrical and analytical setting

### 2.1 Schwarzschild metric and Penrose's conformal compactification

Let  $(\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}_t \times ]0, +\infty[ \times_r \mathbb{S}^2, g)$  be a 4-dimensional Schwarzschild manifold, equipped with the Lorentzian metric  $g$  given by

$$g = F dt^2 - F^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\mathbb{S}^2, \quad F = F(r) = 1 - \mu, \quad \mu = \frac{2M}{r},$$

where  $d\mathbb{S}^2$  is the Euclidean metric on the unit 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , and  $M > 0$  is the mass of the black hole. In this paper, we work on the exterior of the black hole  $\mathcal{B}_I = \{r > 2M\}$ .

We recall that the Regge-Wheeler coordinate  $r_* = r + 2M \log(r - 2M)$  which is satisfied  $dr = F dr_*$ . In the coordinates  $(t, r_*, \theta^a, \theta^b)$ , the Schwarzschild metric takes the form

$$g = F(dt^2 - dr_*^2) - r^2 d\mathbb{S}^2.$$

The retarded and advanced Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates  $u$  and  $v$  are defined by

$$u = t - r_*, \quad v = t + r_*.$$

The outgoing and incoming principal null directions are

$$L = \partial_v = \partial_t + \partial_{r_*}, \quad \underline{L} = \partial_u = \partial_t - \partial_{r_*}$$

respectively.

Putting  $\Omega = 1/r$  and  $\hat{g} = \Omega^2 g$ . We obtain a conformal compactification of the exterior domain in the retarded variables  $(u, R = 1/r, \theta^a, \theta^b)$  that is  $\left(\mathbb{R}_u \times \left[0, \frac{1}{2M}\right] \times \mathbb{S}^2, \hat{g}\right)$  with the rescaled metric

$$\hat{g} = R^2(1 - 2MR)du^2 - 2dudR - d\mathbb{S}^2. \quad (1)$$

The future null infinity  $\mathcal{I}^+$  and the past horizon  $\mathfrak{H}^-$  are null hypersurfaces of the rescaled spacetime

$$\mathcal{I}^+ = \mathbb{R}_u \times \{0\}_R \times \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \mathfrak{H}^- = \mathbb{R}_u \times \{1/2M\}_R \times \mathbb{S}^2.$$

If we use the advanced variables  $(v, R = 1/r, \theta^a, \theta^b)$ , the rescaled metric  $\hat{g}$  takes the form

$$\hat{g} = R^2(1 - 2MR)dv^2 + 2dv dR - d\mathbb{S}^2. \quad (2)$$

The past null infinity  $\mathcal{I}^-$  and the future horizon  $\mathfrak{H}^+$  are described as the null hypersurfaces

$$\mathcal{I}^- = \mathbb{R}_v \times \{0\}_R \times \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \mathfrak{H}^+ = \mathbb{R}_v \times \{1/2M\}_R \times \mathbb{S}^2.$$

Penrose's conformal compactification of  $\mathcal{B}_I$  is

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I = \mathcal{B}_I \cup \mathcal{I}^+ \cup \mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^- \cup \mathfrak{H}^- \cup S_c^2,$$

where  $S_c^2$  is the crossing sphere.

We notice that the compactified space-time is not complete. There are three "points" missing to the boundary:  $i^+$ , or future timelike infinity, defined as the limit point of uniformly timelike curves as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $i^-$ , past timelike infinity, symmetric of  $i^+$  in the distant past, and  $i_0$ , spacelike infinity, the limit point of uniformly spacelike curves as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . These "points", which can be described as 2-spheres, are singularities of the rescaled metric  $\hat{g}$ .




Figure 1: Penrose's conformal compactification of  $\mathcal{B}_I$ .

We have the following relations between the rescaled coordinates and the original ones

$$\hat{L} = r^2 L, \quad \hat{\underline{L}} = \underline{L}, \quad \partial_{\theta^a} = r \partial_{x^a},$$

where  $(x^a, x^b)$  denote local coordinates for the 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}_{(t,r)}^2$  at  $(t, r)$ .

The scalar curvature of the rescaled metric  $\hat{g}$  is

$$\text{Scal}_{\hat{g}} = 12MR.$$

The volume forms associated with the Schwarzschild metric  $g$  and the rescaled metric  $\hat{g}$  are

$$d\text{Vol}_g = r^2 F dt \wedge dr_* \wedge d\mathbb{S}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad d\text{Vol}_{\hat{g}} = R^2 F dt \wedge dr_* \wedge d\mathbb{S}^2 = \frac{R^2 F}{2} du \wedge dv \wedge d\mathbb{S}^2$$

respectively, where  $d\mathbb{S}^2$  is the euclidean area element on unit 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

## 2.2 The Maxwell and tensorial wave equations

Let  $F$  be an antisymmetric 2-form on the exterior domain of Schwarzschild black hole  $\mathcal{B}_I$ . The Maxwell equations take the form

$$dF = 0, \quad d * F = 0,$$

where  $*$  denotes the Hodge dual operator of 2-form, i.e,

$$(*F)_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} e_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} F^{\gamma\delta}.$$

The system can be reformulated as follows

$$\nabla_{[\mu} F_{\kappa\lambda]} = 0, \quad \nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

where the square brackets denote antisymmetrization of indices.

The Maxwell field  $F$  can be decomposed into 1-forms  $\alpha_a, \underline{\alpha}_a \in \Lambda^1(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\rho, \sigma \in C^\infty(\mathcal{B}_I)$  which are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(V) &:= F(V, L), \quad \underline{\alpha}(V) := F(V, \underline{L}) \text{ for all } V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{B}), \\ \rho &:= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} F(\underline{L}, L), \quad \sigma := \frac{1}{2} e^{cd} F_{cd}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_{cd} \in \Lambda^2(\mathcal{B})$  is the volume form of 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}_{(t,r)}^2$  at  $(t, r)$ .

Let  $F$  be in  $\Lambda^2(\mathcal{B}_I)$  such that  $F$  satisfies the Maxwell equation on  $\mathcal{B}_I$ . Then, we have the following formulas (see [49, Proposition 3.6]):

$$\frac{1}{r} \nabla_L(r\underline{\alpha}_a) = -(1 - \mu)(\nabla_a \rho - e_{ab} \nabla^b \sigma)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{r} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) = (1 - \mu)(\nabla_a \rho + e_{ab} \nabla^b \sigma).$$

From this, we can define the 1-forms in  $\Lambda^1(\mathcal{B})$ :

$$\phi_a := \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a), \quad \underline{\phi}_a := \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_L(r\underline{\alpha}_a). \quad (3)$$

Moreover, the extreme components  $\alpha_a$  and  $\underline{\alpha}_a$  satisfy the spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations respectively (see original proof in [7] and recent [49, Proposition 3.6]):

$$\nabla_L \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) + \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right) \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) - F \Delta(r\alpha_a) + \frac{F}{r^2} r\alpha_a = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla_L \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\underline{\alpha}_a) - \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right) \nabla_L(r\underline{\alpha}_a) - F \Delta(r\underline{\alpha}_a) + \frac{F}{r^2} r\underline{\alpha}_a = 0, \quad (5)$$

where  $F = 1 - 2MR$ ,  $\nabla$  and  $\Delta$  are the orthogonal projection of covariant derivative  $\nabla$  and covariant laplacian operator on the bundle tangent  $\mathcal{B}$  of 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}_{(t,r)}^2$  respectively.

The tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations are established from the spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations by the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose that  $(\alpha_a, \underline{\alpha}_a, \rho, \sigma)$  satisfy the Maxwell equation, then the 1-forms  $\phi_a$  and  $\underline{\phi}_a$  satisfy the following tensorial Fackerell-IPser equations*

$$\nabla_g(\phi_a) + \frac{F}{r^2}\phi_a = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla_g(\underline{\phi}_a) + \frac{F}{r^2}\underline{\phi}_a = 0, \quad (7)$$

where we denote the tensorial wave operator by

$$\nabla_g = \frac{1}{F}\nabla_L\nabla_{\underline{L}} - \Delta.$$

*Proof.* We treat the equation for  $\underline{\phi}_a$ , the one for  $\phi_a$  is obtained similarly. A straightforward calculation gives

$$\underline{L}\left(\frac{r^2}{F}\right) = -\frac{r^2}{F}\frac{2}{r}\left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right).$$

Hence, the Teukolsky equation (5) is equivalent to

$$\frac{F}{r^2}\nabla_{\underline{L}}\left(\frac{r^2}{F}\nabla_L(r\underline{\alpha}_a)\right) - F\Delta(r\underline{\alpha}_a) + \frac{F}{r^2}r\underline{\alpha}_a = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\nabla_{\underline{L}}\left(\frac{r^2}{F}\nabla_L(r\underline{\alpha}_a)\right) - r^2\Delta(r\underline{\alpha}_a) + r\underline{\alpha}_a = 0.$$

By applying  $\nabla_L$  to the above equation with noting that  $[\nabla_L, r^2\Delta] = 0$  and  $[\nabla_L, \nabla_{\underline{L}}] = 0$ , we get the Fackerell-IPser equation for  $\underline{\phi}_a$  which is given by,

$$\nabla_L\nabla_{\underline{L}}(\underline{\phi}_a) - F\Delta(\underline{\phi}_a) + \frac{F}{r^2}\underline{\phi}_a = 0.$$

□

Another way to obtain the tensorial Fackerell-IPser equations (6) and (7) is to use the scalar Fackerell-IPser equation. In particular, we have the scalar wave operator on Schwarzschild space-time as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \square_g\psi &= \frac{1}{F}\left(\partial_t^2 - \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_{r_*}r^2\partial_{r_*}\right)\psi - \frac{1}{r^2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi, \text{ where } L = \partial_t + \partial_{r_*}, \underline{L} = \partial_t - \partial_{r_*} \\ &= \frac{1}{F}L\underline{L} - \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r_*}\psi - \frac{1}{r^2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi \\ &= \frac{1}{F}L\underline{L} - \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r_*}\psi - \Delta\psi, \text{ where } \Delta = \frac{1}{r^2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\square_g\psi + \frac{2}{r}\partial_{r_*}\psi = \frac{1}{F}L\underline{L}\psi - \Delta\psi.$$



The right-hand side is the scalar Fackerell-Ipser operator which has the same form as the rescaled scalar wave operator by multiplying the factor  $r^2$  due to

$$\square_{\tilde{g}} = \frac{r^2}{F} L \underline{L} \psi - \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi.$$

Moreover, we have the following relations

$$\phi_a = r^3(\nabla_a \rho + e_{ab} \nabla^b \sigma), \quad \underline{\phi}_a = r^3(-\nabla_a \rho + e_{ab} \nabla^b \sigma),$$

where  $r^2 \rho$  and  $r^2 \sigma$  satisfy the scalar Fackerell-Ipser equation (see [49, Remark 2.10]):

$$\square_g \psi + \frac{2}{r} \partial_{r_*} \psi = \frac{1}{F} L \underline{L} \psi - \Delta \psi = 0. \quad (8)$$

Since  $r \nabla_a = \nabla_{\partial_{\theta^a}}$ , we have the commutators  $[r \nabla, \nabla_L] = [r \nabla, \nabla_{\underline{L}}] = 0$ . Applying the projected covariant angular derivative  $r \nabla$  on the unit 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  to the scalar wave equation (8) with  $\psi = \pm r^2 \rho + r^2 \sigma$ , we get the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations with the appearance of the additional zeroth order term since  $[r \nabla, \Delta] = \frac{1}{r^2}$ . Therefore, we can also obtain the vectorial Fackerell-Ipser equations (6) and (7) from Equation (8) (see also [49, Remark 2.10] and [13, Remark 7.1]).

Since  $r^2 \rho$  and  $r^2 \sigma$  satisfy Equation (8), we have that  $r \rho$  and  $r \sigma$  satisfy the scalar wave equation  $\square_g \psi = 0$ . Applying the projected covariant angular derivative  $r \nabla$  to this equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_g \tilde{\phi}_a - \frac{2}{r} \nabla_{r_*} \tilde{\phi}_a + \frac{1}{r^2} \tilde{\phi}_a &= \frac{1}{F} \nabla_L \nabla_{\underline{L}} \tilde{\phi}_a - \Delta \tilde{\phi}_a - \frac{2}{r} \nabla_{r_*} \tilde{\phi}_a + \frac{1}{r^2} \tilde{\phi}_a \\ &= \frac{1}{F} \nabla_L \nabla_{\underline{L}} \tilde{\phi}_a - \Delta \tilde{\phi}_a - \frac{1}{r} (\nabla_L - \nabla_{\underline{L}}) \tilde{\phi}_a + \frac{1}{r^2} \tilde{\phi}_a = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}_a = r[\nabla_a(r\rho) + e_{ab} \nabla^b(r\sigma)] = r^2[\nabla_a \rho + e_{ab} \nabla^b \sigma].$$

Similarly

$$\frac{1}{F} \nabla_L \nabla_{\underline{L}} \tilde{\phi}_a - \Delta \tilde{\phi}_a - \frac{1}{r} (\nabla_L - \nabla_{\underline{L}}) \tilde{\phi}_a + \frac{1}{r^2} \tilde{\phi}_a = 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}_a = r[-\nabla_a(r\rho) + e_{ab} \nabla^b(r\sigma)] = r^2[-\nabla_a \rho + e_{ab} \nabla^b \sigma].$$

The conformal rescaled equation of (9) (resp. (10)) is the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) (resp. (7)). In the rest of this paper, we will construct the conformal scattering theory for the tensorial wave equation (9) (resp. (10)), i.e, the scattering theory for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) (resp. (7)). Then, using the scattering for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations we will also establish the conformal scattering for the Teukolsky equations (4) and (5).

**Remark 1.** We can see that the potentials of the scalar Regge-Wheeler and Zerilli equations (see [47]) decay as  $r^{-3}$ , whence the ones of the tensorial wave equations (9) and (10) decay as  $r^{-2}$ .

### 3 Energies of the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser field

#### 3.1 Energy conservation for the tensorial field

Let  $\mathcal{g}_{ab}$  be the restriction of the Schwarzschild metric  $g$  to  $\mathbb{S}_{(t,r)}^2$ . We have  $\mathcal{g}_{ab} = r^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  and the following identities hold

$$\nabla_L \mathcal{g}^{ab} = \nabla_L \mathcal{g}^{ab} = 0.$$

Indeed, by a straightforward calculation we have  $\nabla_L \partial_{\theta^a} = FR \partial_{\theta^a}$ , then

$$\nabla_L \mathcal{g}^{ab} = (\nabla_L r^{-2}) g_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{-1} + r^2 \nabla_L g_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{-1} = -2FR^3 g_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{-1} + 2FR^3 g_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{-1} = 0.$$

Therefore, for a 1-form  $\phi_a \in \Lambda^1(\mathcal{B})$  on the 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}_{(t,r)}^2$ , we can define

$$\phi^a = \phi_b \mathcal{g}^{ab}, \quad \nabla_L \phi^a = \nabla_L \phi_b \mathcal{g}^{ab} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_L \phi^a = \nabla_L \phi_b \mathcal{g}^{ab}.$$

Putting

$$|\Phi|^2 := \phi_a \phi^a, \quad |\nabla_L \Phi|^2 := \nabla_L \phi_a \nabla_L \phi^a \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla_L \Phi|^2 := \nabla_L \phi_a \nabla_L \phi^a,$$

where the scalar product depends on the metric  $\mathcal{g}_{ab}$ .

The tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_L \nabla_L \phi_a - F \Delta \phi_a + R^2 F \phi_a) \nabla_L \phi^a \\ & + (\nabla_L \nabla_L \phi_a - F \Delta \phi_a + R^2 F \phi_a) \nabla_L \phi^a = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \nabla_L (|\nabla_L \Phi|^2 + F |R \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_L (|\nabla_L \Phi|^2 + F |R \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

here  $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  is the angular derivative on the unit 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

Since  $\hat{g}^{-1} = r^2 F^{-1} (\partial_t^2 - \partial_{r_*}^2) - g_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{-1}$ , Equation (11) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r^2}{2} F^{-1} \nabla^L (|\nabla_L \Phi|^2 + F |R \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + (2MR + 1) R^2 F |\Phi|^2) \\ & + \frac{r^2}{2} F^{-1} \nabla^L (|\nabla_L \Phi|^2 + F |R \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + (2MR + 1) R^2 F |\Phi|^2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Equation (11) is also equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} (\nabla_L + \nabla_L) (|\nabla_L \Phi|^2 + |\nabla_L \Phi|^2 + 2F |R \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} (\nabla_L - \nabla_L) (|\nabla_L \Phi|^2 - |\nabla_L \Phi|^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in the coordinates  $(t, r_*, \omega)$ , Equation (11) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\partial_t} (|\nabla_L \Phi|^2 + |\nabla_L \Phi|^2 + 2F |R \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\partial_{r_*}}(|\nabla_L\Phi|^2-|\nabla_{\underline{L}}\Phi|^2)=0.$$

Since  $\hat{g}^{-1}=r^2F^{-1}(\partial_t^2-\partial_{r_*}^2)-g_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{-1}$ , the above equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{r^2}{2}F^{-1}\nabla^{\partial_t}(|\nabla_L\Phi|^2+|\nabla_{\underline{L}}\Phi|^2+2F|R\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Phi|^2+R^2F|\Phi|^2) \\ &+\frac{r^2}{2}F^{-1}\nabla^{\partial_{r_*}}(|\nabla_L\Phi|^2-|\nabla_{\underline{L}}\Phi|^2)=0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Equations (12) and (13) are the expressions of the tensorial conservation law for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser Equation (6) in the coordinates  $(u, v, \omega)$  and  $(t, r_*, \omega)$  respectively. Using (12) we can calculate the energy fluxes through the null hypersurfaces which are parallel to the future null infinity  $\mathcal{I}^+$  or the future horizon  $\mathfrak{H}^+$ . On the other hand, the energy flux through the spacelike hypersurface such as  $\{t=0\}$  can be computed from (13). We can re-write (12) and (13) by a generalized form as

$$g(r, F)\nabla^a C(\Phi)=0, \quad (14)$$

where  $\partial_a \in \{L, \underline{L}, \partial_t, \partial_{r_*}\}$  and  $g(r, F)=\frac{r^2}{2}F^{-1}$ .

Suppose  $\phi_a$  is a solution of Equation (6) with the smooth compactly supported initial data. We integrate the conservation law (14) of  $\phi_a$  on the domain  $O$  bounded by the hypersurfaces  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_t$  in  $(\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I, g)$  and then by using Stokes's formula we get

$$\int_O g(r, F)\nabla^a C(\Phi)d\text{Vol}_{\hat{g}}=\int_{\partial O} C(\Phi)g(r, F)\partial_a \lrcorner d\text{Vol}_{\hat{g}}, \quad (15)$$

where  $d\text{Vol}_g=R^2Fdt dr_* d\mathbb{S}^2$ . This implies that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_t}(\Phi)=\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_0}(\Phi), \quad (16)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_0}(\Phi)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma_0}(|\nabla_{\partial_t}\Phi|^2+|\nabla_{\partial_{r_*}}\Phi|^2+R^2F|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Phi|^2+R^2F|\Phi|^2)dr_*d\mathbb{S}^2 \quad (17)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_t}(\Phi)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma_t}(|\nabla_{\partial_t}\Phi|^2+|\nabla_{\partial_{r_*}}\Phi|^2+R^2F|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Phi|^2+R^2F|\Phi|^2)dr_*d\mathbb{S}^2. \quad (18)$$

We consider a domain  $\Omega \subset \bar{\mathcal{B}}_I$  which has the boundary obtained by five hypersurfaces as follows

$$\bar{\Sigma}_0=\{t=0, u \leq u_{2T}, v \leq v_{2T}\}, \mathcal{H}_{2u_T}^+=\{u=2u_T, v \leq v_T\}, \mathcal{I}_{2v_T}^+=\{v=2v_T, u \leq u_T\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{u_T}=\{u=u_T, v_T \leq v \leq 2v_T\}, \mathcal{I}_{v_T}=\{v=v_T, u_T \leq u \leq 2u_T\}.$$




Figure 2: The domain  $\Omega$  in Penrose conformal compactification  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I$ .

By integrating the conservation law (14) of  $\phi_a$  on the domain  $\Omega$  in  $(\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I, g)$  and by using again Stokes's formula we get

$$\int_{\Omega} g(r, F) \nabla^a C(\Phi) d\text{Vol}_{\hat{g}} = \int_{\partial\Omega} C(\Phi) g(r, F) \partial_a \lrcorner d\text{Vol}_{\hat{g}}, \quad (19)$$

where  $d\text{Vol}_{\hat{g}} = \frac{R^2 F}{2} du dv d\mathbb{S}^2 = R^2 F dt dr_* d\mathbb{S}^2$ . This implies that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{2u_T}^+}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}_{v_T}}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{u_T}}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}_{2v_T}^+}(\Phi) = \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_0}(\Phi), \quad (20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_0}(\Phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\bar{\Sigma}_0} (|\nabla_{\partial_t} \Phi|^2 + |\nabla_{\partial_{r_*}} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) dr_* d\mathbb{S}^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}_{2v_T}^+}(\Phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{u_T} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (|\nabla_{\underline{L}} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) du d\mathbb{S}^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}_{v_T}}(\Phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{u_T}^{2u_T} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (|\nabla_{\underline{L}} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) du d\mathbb{S}^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{2u_T}^+}(\Phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{v_T} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (|\nabla_{\underline{L}} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) dv d\mathbb{S}^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{u_T}}(\Phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{v_T}^{2v_T} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (|\nabla_{\underline{L}} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) dv d\mathbb{S}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The energy fluxes of  $\phi_a$  (resp.  $\underline{\phi}_a$ ) through the future horizon  $\mathfrak{H}^+$  and the future null infinity hypersurface  $\mathcal{S}^+$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}^+}(\Phi) &= \lim_{2u_T, v_T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{2u_T}^+}(\Phi) \\ &= \lim_{F \rightarrow 0, v_T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{v_T} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (|\nabla_{\underline{L}} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) dv d\mathbb{S}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |(\nabla_L \Phi)|_{\mathfrak{S}^+}|^2 dv d\mathbb{S}^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{S}^+} |(\nabla_L \Phi)|_{\mathfrak{S}^+}|^2 dv d\mathbb{S}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{S}^+}(\Phi) &= \lim_{2v_T, u_T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{S}^+_{2v_T}}(\Phi) \\
&= \lim_{R \rightarrow 0, u_T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{v_T} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (|\nabla_L \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi|^2) dud\mathbb{S}^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |(\nabla_L \Phi)|_{\mathfrak{S}^+}|^2 dud\mathbb{S}^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathfrak{S}^+} |(\nabla_L \Phi)|_{\mathfrak{S}^+}|^2 dud\mathbb{S}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

### 3.2 Tensorial field space of initial data and Cauchy problem

Since the energy of the tensorial field  $\phi_a$  through the hypersurface  $\Sigma_t$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) is given by (18), we define the finite energy space of the tensorial fields as follows

**Definition 1.** We define  $\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) which is the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_t}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_t})$  in the norm

$$\|(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1})\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_t)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \int_{\Sigma_0} (|\Phi_1|^2 + |\nabla_{\partial_{r^*}} \Phi_0|^2 + R^2 F |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi_0|^2 + R^2 F |\Phi_0|^2) dr_* d\mathbb{S}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $|\Phi_0|^2 = \phi_{a0} \phi_{b0} g^{ab}$  and  $|\Phi_1|^2 = \phi_{a1} \phi_{b1} g^{ab}$ . In the rest of this paper we denote that  $\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_0) = \mathcal{H}$ .

Before starting the theorem of the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem, we need the following definition of the Sobolev spaces for tensorial fields that are defined on open sets (see [42, Definition 2] for the original definition of scalar fields):

**Definition 2.** Let  $s \in [0, +\infty)$ , a tensorial field  $u_a$  on  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{B}_I}$  is said to belong to  $H_{loc}^s(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{B}_I})$  if for any local chart  $(\Omega, \zeta)$ , such that  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}_I$  is an open set with smooth compact boundary in  $\mathcal{B}_I$  (note that this excludes neighbourhoods of either  $i^\pm$  or  $i^0$  but allows open sets whose boundary contains parts of the conformal boundary) and  $\zeta$  is a smooth diffeomorphism from  $\Omega$  onto a bounded open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  with smooth compact boundary, we have  $u_a \circ \zeta^{-1} \in H^s(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_U)$ .

By using Leray's theorem for the hyperbolic differential equations and the energy identity (16), we can establish the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for Equation (6) (resp. Equation (7)) as follows

**Proposition 2.** The Cauchy problem for (6) on  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{B}_I}$  is well-posed in  $\mathcal{H}$ . This means that for any  $(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1}) \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a unique solution  $\phi_a \in \mathcal{D}'(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{B}_I})$  of (6) such that

$$(\phi_a, \nabla_{\partial_t} \phi_a) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathcal{H}) : \phi_a|_{t=0} = \phi_{a0}; \nabla_{\partial_t} \phi_a|_{t=0} = \phi_{a1}.$$

Moreover,  $\phi_a$  belongs to  $H_{loc}^1(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{B}_I})$ . The same assertion holds for (7).

### 3.3 Energy identity up to $i^+$ and trace operator

In this section, we will show that  $\lim_{u_T, v_T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{u_T}}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}_{v_T}}(\Phi) \right) = 0$  and then we can obtain the energy equality

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_0}(\Phi) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}^+}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}^+}(\Phi).$$

We shall use the following energy decay of the tensorial field  $\phi_a$  which satisfies Equation (6). This result is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.8 in [49]).

**Theorem 1.** (*Energy Decay*) *There exist a positive number  $(r_{FH})_*$  and positive constants  $C$  and  $D$  depending only on  $M$  and  $(r_{FH})_*$  such that: for a smooth tensorial solution  $\phi_a$  (resp.  $\underline{\phi}_a$ ) of the tensorial Fackerell-IPser equation (6) (resp. (7)) on  $\{u \geq u_0, v \geq v_0\}$ . We have the following decay energy for the original field  $\phi_a$  on  $\{u = u_T, v \geq v_T\} \cup \{u \geq u_T, v = v_T\}$  (where  $u_T \geq u_0$  and  $v_T = u_T + 2(r_{FH})_*$ ):*

$$\mathcal{E}_{u=u_T, v \geq v_T}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}_{u \geq u_T, v=v_T}(\Phi) \leq Cu^{-2}. \quad (23)$$

resp.

$$\mathcal{E}_{u=u_T, v \geq v_T}(\underline{\Phi}) + \mathcal{E}_{u \geq u_T, v=v_T}(\underline{\Phi}) \leq Cu^{-2}. \quad (24)$$

Using the energy decay we prove the energy equality between the energy flux of  $\phi_a$  (resp.  $\underline{\phi}_a$ ) through the Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma_0$  and the one through conformal boundary  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}^- \cup \mathcal{I}^-$ ) in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\phi_a$  (resp.  $\underline{\phi}_a$ ) be the solution of the rescaled tensorial Fackerell-IPser Equation (6) (resp. (7)). The energies of  $\phi_a$  (resp.  $\underline{\phi}_a$ ) through the hypersurfaces  $\mathcal{H}_T$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{v_T}$  tend to zero as  $T$  tends to infinity, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{u_T, v_T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{u_T}}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}_{v_T}}(\Phi) \right) = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$\text{(resp. } \lim_{u_T, v_T \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{u_T}}(\underline{\Phi}) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}_{v_T}}(\underline{\Phi}) \right) = 0.) \quad (26)$$

As a consequence, we have the energy equality between the energy flux of  $\phi_a$  (resp.  $\underline{\phi}_a$ ) through  $\Sigma_0$  and the ones through the conformal boundary  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$ , i.e., the energy identity up to  $i^+$  as follows

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_0}(\Phi) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}^+}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}^+}(\Phi), \quad (27)$$

$$\text{(resp. } \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_0}(\underline{\Phi}) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}^+}(\underline{\Phi}) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}^+}(\underline{\Phi}).) \quad (28)$$

The same energy identity up to  $i^-$  holds.

*Proof.* The convergences (25) and (26) allow from the energy decay in Theorem 1. Combining these convergences and (20) we obtain the energy identity up to  $i^+$  such as (27) and (28).  $\square$

The energy equalities (27) and (28) lead us to define the trace operator on the conformal boundary as follows

**Definition 3.** (*Trace operator for tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equation*) Let  $(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1}) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0})$ . Consider the solution of Equation (6), and let  $\phi_a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I})$  such that

$$\phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}} = \phi_{a0}, \quad \nabla_t \phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}} = \phi_{a1}.$$

We define the trace operator  $\mathcal{T}^+$  from  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0})$  to  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+})$  by

$$\mathcal{T}^+(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1}) = (\phi_a|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}, \phi_a|_{\mathcal{I}^+}).$$

The definition for the solution  $\underline{\phi}_a$  of Equation (7) is similar.

We can extend the tensorial field space for scattering data by density as in the following definition

**Definition 4.** *The tensorial field space for scattering data  $\mathcal{H}^+$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+})$  in the norm*

$$\|(\xi_a, \zeta_a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \int_{\mathfrak{H}^+} |\nabla_L \xi|^2 dv d\mathbb{S}^2 + \int_{\mathcal{I}^+} |\nabla_{\underline{L}} \zeta|^2 dud\mathbb{S}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This means that

$$\mathcal{H}^+ \simeq \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_v; L^2(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2))) \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_u; L^2(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2))).$$

As a direct consequence of the energy equality (27), we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *The trace operator extends uniquely as a bounded linear map from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H}^+$ . The extended operator is a partial isometry, i.e., an injective operator. This means that for any  $(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1}) \in \mathcal{H}$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{T}^+(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1})\|_{\mathcal{H}^+} = \|(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1})\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

The same assertion holds for  $\underline{\phi}_a$ .

## 4 Conformal scattering for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equations

### 4.1 Generalization of L. Hörmander's result for tensorial wave equations

To construct the conformal scattering operator, we need to show that the trace operator is surjective. This corresponds to prove the well-posedness of the Goursat problem for the rescaled Equation (6) with the initial data on the conformal boundaries  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}^- \cup \mathcal{I}^-$ ) in Penrose's conformal compactification  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I$ .

Hörmander [25] proved the well-posedness of the Goursat problem for the second-order scalar wave equations with regular first-order potentials in the spatially compact spacetime. Nicolas [41] extended the results of Hörmander with very slightly more regular metric and potential, precisely a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  metric and potential with continuous coefficients of the first-order terms and locally  $L^\infty$  coefficients for the terms of order 0. Here, we will prove the well-posedness of the Goursat problem for the tensorial wave equations with regular first-order tensorial potentials. More precisely, we will show how we can apply the results of Hörmander and Nicolas for the tensorial wave equation

(6) (or (7)) with the smooth compactly supported initial data on the conformal boundary, i.e.,  $(\xi_a, \zeta_a) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+})$  in Schwarzschild background.




Figure 3: The extension of  $\mathcal{I}^+(S)/\mathcal{V}$  onto a global hyperbolic cylinder.

By the same manner in Appendix B in [42], the conformal compactification domain  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I$  is embedded partly in a cylindrical globally hyperbolic spacetime by the following technique: let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a spacelike hypersurface on  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I$  whose intersection with the horizon is the crossing sphere and which crosses  $\mathcal{I}^+$  strictly in the past of the support of the data. We cut off the future  $\mathcal{V}$  of a point in  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I$  lying in the future of the support of the Goursat data and get a spacetime denoted by  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Then we extend  $\mathfrak{M}$  as a cylindrical globally hyperbolic spacetime  $(\mathbb{R}_t \times S^3, \mathfrak{g})$ . The conformal boundary  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$  is extended inside  $\mathcal{I}^+(S)/\mathcal{V}$  as a null hypersurface  $\mathcal{C}$ , that is the graph of a Lipschitz function over  $S^3$  and the data by zero on the rest of the extended hypersurface.

We project Equation (6) on the basic frame  $(\nabla_{\partial_\theta^a}, \nabla_{\partial_\theta^b})$  of  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)$  and get

$$P\Phi + L_1\Phi = 0, \quad (29)$$

with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \square_{\hat{g}} & 0 \\ 0 & \square_{\hat{g}} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix diagram,  $\square_{\hat{g}} = L\underline{L} - FR^2\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ ,

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\phi_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are the components of  $\phi_a$  decomposed on the basic frame  $(\nabla_{\partial_\theta^a}, \nabla_{\partial_\theta^b})$  of  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)$  and the first-order operator

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} L_1^{11} & L_1^{12} \\ L_1^{21} & L_1^{22} \end{pmatrix}$$



is the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix, where the components  $L^{ij}$  have the smooth coefficients

$$L_1^{ij} = b_0^{ij} \partial_t + b_a^{ij} \partial_a + c^{ij}.$$

In the spacetime  $(\mathbb{R}_t \times S^3, \mathfrak{g})$ , Equation (29) becomes

$$P_{\mathfrak{g}} \Phi + L_1 \Phi = 0, \quad (30)$$

where

$$P_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} \square_{\mathfrak{g}} & 0 \\ 0 & \square_{\mathfrak{g}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  metric, the first-order terms in  $L_1$  have continuous coefficients and the terms of order 0 have locally  $L^\infty$  coefficients, the Goursat problem for (30) is well-posed by applying the results in [41, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4] for the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix wave equation.

By local uniqueness and causality, using in particular the fact that as a consequence of the finite propagation speed, the solution  $\Phi$  vanishes in  $\mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{S})/\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I$ , the Goursat problem of the equation (29) has a unique smooth solution in the future of  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Therefore, we have established the well-posedness of the Goursat problem of (29), hence (6) in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *With the initial data  $(\xi, \zeta) \in C_0^\infty(\mathfrak{H}^+) \times C_0^\infty(\mathcal{I}^+)$  where  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$  and  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ , Equation (29) has a unique solution  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  satisfying*

$$\phi_i \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathcal{S})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathcal{S}))$$

for all  $i = 1, 2$ .

*In the term of tensor: with the initial data  $(\xi_a, \zeta_a) \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}) \times C_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+})$ , the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) has a unique solution  $\phi_a$  such that*

$$\phi_a \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}})).$$

*The same assertion holds for Equation (7).*

## 4.2 Goursat problem and conformal scattering operator

In the previous section, we have proved that the Goursat problem for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) is well-posed in the future  $\mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{S})$ . In order to establish the full solution of the Goursat problem, we need to extend the solution (which is obtained in the previous section) down to  $\Sigma_0$ , i.e, we prove the well-posedness of the Goursat problem in the past  $\mathcal{I}^-(\mathcal{S})$ . The solution of the Goursat problem is a union of the two solutions in  $\mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{S})$  and  $\mathcal{I}^-(\mathcal{S})$ .

**Theorem 5.** *The Goursat problem of the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) is well-posed. This means that for the initial data  $(\xi, \zeta) \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}) \times C_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+})$ , there exists a unique solution of (6) satisfying*

$$(\phi_a, \nabla_t \phi_a) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathcal{H}) \text{ and } \mathcal{T}^+(\phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}}, \nabla_t \phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}}) = (\xi_a, \zeta_a).$$

*The same assertion holds for Equation (7).*

*Proof.* Following Theorem 4 there exists a unique solution  $\phi_a$  of (6) which satisfies the following properties.

- $\phi_a \in H^1(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{S})})$ , where  $\mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{S})$  is the causal future of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I$ . Since the support of the initial data is compact, the solution vanishes in the neighbourhood of  $i^+$ . Then we do not need to distinguish between  $H^1(\mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{S}))$  and  $H_{loc}^1(\mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{S}))$ .
- $\phi_a$  is continuous in  $\tau$  with values in  $H^1$  of the slices and  $C^1$  in  $\tau$  with values in  $L^2$  of the slices.
- $\phi_a|_{\mathcal{S}^+} = \zeta_a$ ,  $\phi_a|_{\mathcal{H}^+} = \xi_a$ .




Figure 4: Extending the solution  $\hat{\phi}_a$  down to  $\Sigma_0$ .

We need to extend the solution down to  $\Sigma_0$  in a manner that avoids the singularity at  $i_0$ . Since the hypersurface  $\mathcal{S}$  intersects the horizon at the crossing sphere and intersects  $\mathcal{S}^+$  strictly in the past of the support of the data, we have that the restriction of  $\phi_a$  to  $\mathcal{S}$  is in  $H^1(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}})$  and its trace on  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}^+$  is also the trace of  $\zeta_a$  on  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}^+$ , hence this trace is zero. Therefore,  $\phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}}$  can be approached by a sequence  $\{\phi_{a0,\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the smooth tensorial fields on  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}$  supported away from  $\mathcal{S}^+$  that converges towards  $\phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}}$  in  $H^1(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}})$ . Moreover,  $\nabla_{\partial_t} \phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}}$  can be approached by a sequence  $\{\phi_{a1,\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the smooth tensorial fields on  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}$  supported away from  $\mathcal{S}^+$  that converges towards  $\nabla_{\partial_t} \phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}}$  in  $L^2(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}})$ . Consider  $\psi_a^n$ , the smooth tensorial solution of (6) on  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I}$  with data  $(\phi_{a0,\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}}^n, \hat{\phi}_{a1,\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{S}}}^n)$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ . This tensorial solution vanishes in the neighbourhood of  $i_0$  and we can establish energy estimates for  $\psi_a^n$  between  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\Sigma_0$  as follows

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\partial_t}(\psi_a^n) = \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_0}^{\partial_t}(\psi_a^n). \quad (31)$$

By the same way we have energy identities between  $\mathcal{S}$  and the hypersurfaces  $\Sigma_t$  for  $t > 0$ . Therefore, the sequence  $(\psi_a^n, \nabla_{\partial_t} \psi_a^n)$  converges towards  $(\psi_a, \nabla_{\partial_t} \psi_a)$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $\psi_a$  is a solution of (6). By local uniqueness  $\hat{\psi}_a$  coincides with  $\phi_a$  in the future of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Therefore, if we denote

$$\phi_{a0} = \phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}}, \quad \phi_{a1} = \nabla_{\partial_t} \phi_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}},$$

we have

$$(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1}) \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } (\xi_a, \zeta_a) = \mathcal{T}^+(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1}).$$

Therefore, the range of  $\mathcal{T}^+$  contains  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.** *Theorem 5 shows that the trace operator  $\mathcal{T}^+ : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^+$  is surjective. Combining with Theorem 3 we obtain that the trace operator  $\mathcal{T}^+ : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^+$  is an isometric operator. Similarly, we can construct the space  $\mathcal{H}^-$  of past scattering data on the past horizon and the past null infinity and the past trace operator  $\mathcal{T}^- : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^-$  which is an isometric operator.*

We now define the conformal scattering operator for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser Equation (6) (resp. (7)) as follows

**Definition 5.** *The conformal scattering operator  $S : \mathcal{H}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^+$  of the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) (resp. (7)) is an isometry that maps the past scattering data to the future scattering data, i.e.,*

$$S := \mathcal{T}^+ \circ (\mathcal{T}^-)^{-1}.$$

## 5 Conformal scattering for the spin $\pm 1$ Teukolsky equations

In this section we will use the results obtained in Section 4 to establish the conformal scattering operator for the spin +1 Teukolsky equations (4). The construction for the spin  $-1$  Teukolsky equation (5) is done by the same way. Our method is developed from the recent work [33].

### 5.1 The tensorial field and scattering data spaces

First, we define the finite energy space for the spin +1 Teukolsky equation (4) by the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** *If we put*

$$\|(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1})\| := \|(\phi_{a0}, \nabla_{\partial_t} \phi_{a0})\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_t)}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (32)$$

then (32) determines a norm for  $\alpha_a$  on  $\Sigma_t$  and we define by  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma_t)$  the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_t}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_t}^2)$  in the norm (32). Here,  $\phi_{a0} = \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_{a0})$ ,  $\alpha_{a1} = \nabla_{\partial_t} \phi_{a0}$  and the space  $\mathcal{H}(\Sigma_t)$  is defined by Definition 1. We denote that  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma_0) = \mathcal{H}^1$  in the rest of this paper.

*Proof.* We need to prove that if  $\|(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1})\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma_t)} = 0$  for a smooth, compactly supported tensors  $\alpha_{a0}$  and  $\alpha_{a1}$ , then  $\alpha_{a0}|_{\Sigma_t} = \alpha_{a1}|_{\Sigma_t} = 0$ . Indeed, it is clearly that  $\phi_{a0}|_{\Sigma_t} = \nabla_{\partial_t} \phi_{a0}|_{\Sigma_t} = 0$ . Since Equation (3) and the Teukolsky equation (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\underline{L}} \phi_a &= \nabla_{\underline{L}} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) \\ &= \nabla_{\underline{L}} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \right) \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) + \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2r}{F} \left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right) \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) + \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_L \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \\
&= \frac{2r}{F} \left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right) \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) + \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_L \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \\
&= \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(r\alpha_a) - r\alpha_a.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\partial_t$  is a Killing vector field, we obtain also that

$$\nabla_L(\nabla_{\partial_t}\phi_a) = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(r\nabla_{\partial_t}\alpha_a) - r(\nabla_{\partial_t}\alpha_a).$$

Combining with  $\phi_{a0}|_{\Sigma_t} = \phi_{a1}|_{\Sigma_t} = 0$  we get

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(r\alpha_{a0}|_{\Sigma_t}) - r\alpha_{a0}|_{\Sigma_t} = 0.$$

Since the operator  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} - Id$  is uniformly elliptic on the set of symmetric, traceless 2-tensor field on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , we have that  $\alpha_{a0}|_{\Sigma_t} = 0$ . Similarly, we get also that  $\alpha_{a1}|_{\Sigma_t} = 0$ . Our proof is completed.  $\square$

The well-posedness of Cauchy problem for the Teukolsky equation (4) is obtained in the following theorem

**Theorem 6.** *The Cauchy problem for (4) on  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I}$  is well-posed in  $\mathcal{H}^1$ . This means that for any  $(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1}) \in \mathcal{H}^1$ , there exists a unique solution  $\alpha_a \in \mathcal{D}'(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I})$  of (4) such that*

$$(\alpha_a, \nabla_{\partial_t}\alpha_a) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathcal{H}^1) : \alpha_a|_{t=0} = \alpha_{a0}; \nabla_{\partial_t}\alpha_a|_{t=0} = \alpha_{a1}.$$

Moreover,  $\alpha_a$  belongs to  $H_{loc}^1(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I})$ .

*Proof.* By the energy identity (16) for the Fackerell-Ipser equation and Proposition 3 we obtain that

$$\|(\alpha_a, \nabla_{\partial_t}\alpha_a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma_t)} = \|(\alpha_a, \nabla_{\partial_t}\alpha_a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}.$$

Combining this energy identity and Leray's theorem for the hyperbolic differential equation we have the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for (4).  $\square$

We define the trace operator for Equation (4) on the conformal boundary as follows

**Definition 6.** *(Trace operator for Teukosky equation). Let  $(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1}) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0})$ . Consider the solution of Equation (4), and let  $\alpha_a \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_I})$  such that*

$$\alpha_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}} = \alpha_{a0}, \nabla_t\alpha_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}} = \alpha_{a1}.$$

We define the trace operator  $\mathfrak{T}^+$  from  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0})$  to  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+})$  by

$$\mathfrak{T}^+(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1}) = (\alpha_a|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}, \alpha_a|_{\mathcal{I}^+}).$$

Now we define the tensor space for scattering data on  $\mathfrak{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}^- \cup \mathcal{I}^-$ ) for the Teukolsky equation (4). In the proof of Proposition 3 we have proved that

$$\nabla_L\phi_a = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(r\alpha_a) - r\alpha_a.$$

By the same way we establish that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\phi_a &= \mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\left(\frac{r^2}{F}\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a)\right) \\
&= \mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\left(\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\left(\frac{r^3}{F}\alpha_a\right) - \mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\left(\frac{r^2}{F}\right)(r\alpha_a)\right) \\
&= \mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}^2\left(\frac{r^3\alpha_a}{F}\right) + \mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\left(\frac{2r^2\alpha_a}{F}\left(1 - \frac{3M}{r}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

These equalities lead to

$$\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\phi_a|_{\mathcal{I}^-} = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(r\alpha_a)|_{\mathcal{I}^-} - (r\alpha_a)|_{\mathcal{I}^-}, \quad \mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\phi_a|_{\mathfrak{H}^+} = 2M\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\alpha_a)|_{\mathfrak{H}^+} - 2M\alpha_a|_{\mathfrak{H}^+} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\phi_a|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}^2(r^3\alpha_a)|_{\mathcal{I}^+}, \quad \mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\phi_a|_{\mathfrak{H}^-} = 8M^3\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}^2\left(\frac{\alpha_a}{F}\right)|_{\mathfrak{H}^-} - 4M^2\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\left(\frac{\alpha_a}{F}\right)|_{\mathfrak{H}^-}. \quad (34)$$

Therefore, we can define the tensor space for scattering data for the Teukolsky equation (4) by density as follows

**Definition 7.** *The tensor space for scattering data  $\mathcal{H}^{2,+}$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+})$  in the norm*

$$\|(\xi_a, \zeta_a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,+}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \int_{\mathfrak{H}^+} |2M\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\xi_a - 2M\xi_a|^2 d\text{v}d\mathbb{S}^2 + \int_{\mathcal{I}^+} |\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}^2\zeta_a|^2 d\text{u}d\mathbb{S}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This means that

$$\mathcal{H}^{2,+} \simeq \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_v; L^2(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2))) \times \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_u; L^2(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2))).$$

On the other hand, the tensor space for scattering data  $\mathcal{H}^{2,-}$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{H}^-}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^-})$  in the norm

$$\|(\xi_a, \zeta_a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,-}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \int_{\mathfrak{H}^-} |8M^3\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}^2\xi_a - 4M^2\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}\xi_a|^2 d\text{v}d\mathbb{S}^2 + \int_{\mathcal{I}^-} |\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}\zeta_a - \zeta_a|^2 d\text{u}d\mathbb{S}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This means that

$$\mathcal{H}^{2,-} \simeq \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_v; L^2(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2))) \times \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_u; L^2(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2))).$$

Another consequence of the equality energy (27), we have the following theorem

**Theorem 7.** *The trace operator extends uniquely as a bounded linear map from  $\mathcal{H}^1$  to  $\mathcal{H}^{2,+}$ . The extended operator is a partial isometry, i.e, for any  $(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1}) \in \mathcal{H}^1$  we have*

$$\|\mathfrak{T}^+(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,+}} = \|(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1})\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}.$$

*Proof.* For  $(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1}) \in \mathcal{H}^1$ , we have that  $(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1}) = \left(\frac{r^2}{F}\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_{a0}), \frac{r^2}{F}\mathring{\nabla}_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_{a1})\right) \in \mathcal{H}$ . With the initial data  $(\phi_{a0}, \phi_{a1}) \in \mathcal{H}$  the Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) has a unique solution  $\phi_a \in \mathcal{H}^1$ . Since the energy equality (27), we have that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Sigma_0}(\Phi) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}^+}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}^+}(\Phi).$$

Combining with Proposition 3, equalities (33), (34) and Definition 7 we obtain that

$$\|(\alpha_a|_{\mathfrak{H}^+}, \alpha_a|_{\mathcal{I}^+})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,+}} = \|(\alpha_{a0}, \alpha_{a1})\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}.$$

This completes our proof.  $\square$

## 5.2 Goursat problem and conformal scattering operator

In this Subsection we will use the results in Subsection 4.2 to prove the well-posedness of the Goursat problem of the spin +1 Teukolsky equation (4). In particular, the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) is a consequence equation from (4) by commuting with the operator  $\nabla_{\underline{L}}$ . Using this fact and the relation  $\phi_a = \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a)$  we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 8.** *The Goursat problem of the spin +1 Teukolsky equation (4) is well-posed. This means that for the initial data  $(\xi_a, \zeta_a) \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathfrak{I}_+^+}) \times C_0^\infty(\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathcal{I}^+})$ , there exists a unique solution of (4) satisfying*

$$(\alpha_a, \nabla_t \alpha_a) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathcal{H}^1) \text{ and } \mathfrak{T}^+(\alpha_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}}, \nabla_t \alpha_a|_{\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\Sigma_0}}) = (\xi_a, \zeta_a).$$

*Proof.* Since equations (33) and (34), we have that

$$\nabla_{\underline{L}} \phi_a|_{\mathfrak{I}_+^+} = 2M \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \xi_a - 2M \xi_a, \quad \nabla_{\underline{L}} \phi_a|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \nabla_{\underline{L}}^2 \zeta_a. \quad (35)$$

We find that the following tensor fields satisfy the above relation

$$\phi_a|_{\mathfrak{I}_+^+} = \int_v^\infty 2M (\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \xi_a - 2M \xi_a) dv, \quad \phi_a|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \nabla_{\underline{L}} \zeta_a. \quad (36)$$

By Theorem 5 we have that with the initial data (36), the Goursat problem of the Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) has a unique solution  $(\phi_a, \nabla_{\partial_t} \phi_a) \in (\mathbb{R}_t, \mathcal{H})$ .

Now, if we define that

$$r\alpha_a = \xi_a - \int_u^\infty \frac{F}{r^2} \phi_a du, \quad (37)$$

then  $\phi_a$  and  $\alpha_a$  satisfy the relation (3), i.e.  $\phi_a := \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a)$ . Since  $\phi_a$  satisfies the Fackerell-Ipser equation (6) and the proof of Proposition 1, we have that

$$\nabla_{\underline{L}} \left( \nabla_{\underline{L}} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) - r^2 \Delta(r\alpha_a) + r\alpha_a \right) = 0.$$

This corresponds to

$$\nabla_{\underline{L}} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \mathbb{T}(r\alpha_a) \right) = 0, \quad (38)$$

where  $\mathbb{T}$  is Teukolsky operator

$$\mathbb{T}(r\alpha_a) = \nabla_{\underline{L}} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) + \frac{2}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{3M}{r} \right) \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) - F \Delta(r\alpha_a) + \frac{F}{r^2} r\alpha_a.$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \mathbb{T}(r\alpha_a) \right)|_{\mathfrak{I}_+^+} &= \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right)|_{\mathfrak{I}_+^+} - \frac{1}{2M} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right)|_{\mathfrak{I}_+^+} \\ &\quad - 2M \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \alpha_a|_{\mathfrak{I}_+^+} + 2M \alpha_a|_{\mathfrak{I}_+^+} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \nabla_L \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} - \left( \nabla_L \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \right) \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2M} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} - 2M \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \alpha_a |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} + 2M \alpha_a |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} \\
&= \nabla_L \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} - \left( \frac{2}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{3M}{r} \right) \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2M} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} - 2M \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \alpha_a |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} + 2M \alpha_a |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} \\
&= \nabla_L \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} + \frac{1}{2M} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2M} \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} - 2M \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \alpha_a |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} + 2M \alpha_a |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} \\
&= \nabla_L \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} - 2M \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \alpha_a |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} + 2M \alpha_a |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} \\
&= \nabla_L \phi_a |_{\mathfrak{H}^+} - 2M \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \xi_a + 2M \xi_a \\
&= 0 \quad (\text{due to Equation (35)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, integrating Equation (38) follows  $u$  we get

$$\frac{r^2}{F} \mathbb{T}(r\alpha_a) = 0.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\underline{L}} \zeta_a &= \phi_a |_{\mathcal{I}^+} \\
&= \left( \frac{r^2}{F} \nabla_{\underline{L}}(r\alpha_a) \right) |_{\mathcal{I}^+} \\
&= \nabla_{\underline{L}} \left( \frac{r^3}{F} \alpha_a \right) |_{\mathcal{I}^+} + \frac{r^2}{F} \frac{2}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{3M}{r} \right) (r\alpha_a) |_{\mathcal{I}^+} \\
&= \nabla_{\underline{L}} (r^3 \alpha_a) |_{\mathcal{I}^+}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining with the support compact of  $\zeta_a$ , the above equality leads to  $(r^3 \alpha_a) |_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \zeta_a$ . This means that  $\alpha_a$  (given by (37)) is a solution of the Goursat problem for the Teukolsky equation (4). The uniqueness allows from the energy identity (see the proof of Theorem 6).  $\square$

As a direct consequence of the well-posedness of the Goursat problem we have that the trace operator  $\mathfrak{T}^+ : \mathcal{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2,+}$  is surjective, hence an isometric operator. By the same way we can construct an isometric operator  $\mathfrak{T}^- : \mathcal{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2,-}$ . Therefore, we can define the conformal scattering operator for the spin +1 Teukolsky equation (4) as follows

**Definition 8.** *The conformal scattering operator  $\mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{H}^{2,-} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2,+}$  of the spin +1 Teukolsky equation (4) is an isometric operator that maps the past scattering data to the future scattering data, i.e.,*

$$\mathfrak{S} := \mathfrak{T}^+ \circ (\mathfrak{T}^-)^{-1}.$$

### Remark 3.

- By the same way as above we can construct the conformal scattering operator for the spin  $-1$  Teukolsky equation (5).
- This work can be extended to construct conformal scattering theories for the tensorial Fackerell-Ipser and spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky equations on the other symmetric spherical spacetimes such as Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter back hole. First, we extend the work [49] to obtain the energy decays (where the results of Giorgi [21, 22] can be useful) and then use these decays to establish the construction of the theory, where it remains useful to use the timelike Killing vector field  $\partial_t$  to establish the energies of the fields on the Cauchy hypersurface  $\Sigma_T = \{t = T\}$ .
- The extension of the conformal scattering theory for the scalar wave or tensorial wave equations on the non-static and non-symmetric spherical spacetimes such as Kerr spacetimes is more complicated. In Kerr spacetimes, we have the energy and pointwise decay results obtained by Dafermos et al. [11]. However, the existence of the orbiting null geodesics and the fact that the vector field  $\partial_t$  is no longer global timelike in the exterior domains. This fact leads to an issue that the energy on  $\Sigma_T$  can be not defined by using  $\partial_t$  as in Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter spacetimes. We need to choose another global timelike vector field on the exterior domain to define the energies on  $\Sigma_T$  and we will not obtain conserved currents for the equations. This fact leads to the complication of the case of Kerr spacetime. In a recent work [48] we have established the conformal scattering theory for the massless Dirac equation on Kerr spacetime, where it remains to have a conserved current for the equation. This work can be useful for the construction of conformal scattering theories on Kerr spacetime for the scalar wave, tensorial wave and Maxwell equations.
- The peeling property of the tensorial wave equations (9) and (10) (where their rescaled forms are the Fackerell-Ipser equations (6) and (7) respectively) is valid by the same way as in the previous work [36]. However, the peeling problems for the Teukolsky equations (4) and (5) on Schwarzschild and Kerr spacetimes remain open and put an interesting question, where the method can be developed from [36, 43].

## References

- [1] L. Andersson and P. Blue, *Uniform energy bound and asymptotics for the Maxwell field on a slowly rotating Kerr black hole exterior*, Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations, Vol. 12, No. 4 (2015) 689–743.
- [2] L. Andersson, P. Blue and J. Wang, *Morawertz estimate for linearized gravity in Schwarzschild*, Ann. Henri Poincaré 21 (2020), 761-813, [arXiv:1708.06943](#).
- [3] L. Andersson, T. Backdahl, P. Blue and S. Ma, *Stability for linearized gravity on the Kerr spacetime*, [arXiv:1903.03859](#).
- [4] Y. Angelopoulos, S. Aretakis and D. Gajic, *A Non-degenerate Scattering Theory for the Wave Equation on Extremal Reissner–Nordström*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **380**, pages 323-408 (2020).



- [5] J. C. Baez, *Scattering and the geometry of the solution manifold of  $\square f + \lambda f^3 = 0$* , J. Funct. Anal. **83** (1989), 317-332.
- [6] J.C. Baez, I.E. Segal and Z. F. Zhou, *The global Goursat problem and scattering for non-linear wave equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **93** (1990), 2, 239-269.
- [7] J.M. Bardeen and W.H. Press, *Radiation fields in the Schwarzschild background*, J. Math. Phys. **14**, 7–19 (1973).
- [8] P. Blue, *Decay of the Maxwell field on the Schwarzschild manifold*, Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations, Vol. 05, No. 04, pp. 807-856 (2008).
- [9] S. Chandrasekhar, *The mathematical theory of black holes*, Oxford University Press 1983.
- [10] M. Dafermos, I. Rodnianski, *The black hole stability problem for linear scalar perturbations*, XVIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics, pp. 421-432 (2010).
- [11] M. Dafermos, I. Rodnianski, Y. Shlapentokh-Rothman, *Decay for solutions of the wave equation on Kerr exterior spacetimes III: the full subextremal case  $|a| < M$* , Ann. of Math., **183** (2016), 787–913.
- [12] M. Dafermos, I. Rodnianski, Y. Shlapentokh-Rothman, *A scattering theory for the wave equation on Kerr black hole exteriors*, Annales Scientifiques de l’Ecole Normale Superieure, Vol. 51, Iss.2, 371-486 (2018), [arXiv:1412.8379](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.8379).
- [13] M. Dafermos, G. Holzegel and I. Rodnianski, *The linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution to gravitational perturbations*, Acta Math., **222** (2019), 1–214.
- [14] M. Dafermos, G. Holzegel and I. Rodnianski, *Boundedness and Decay for the Teukolsky Equation on Kerr Spacetimes I: The Case  $|a| \ll M$* , Annals of PDE, **1-118** (2019) 5:2.
- [15] F.G. Friedlander, *On the radiation field of pulse solutions of the wave equation I*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **269** (1962), 53–65.
- [16] F.G. Friedlander, *On the radiation field of pulse solutions of the wave equation II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **279** (1964), 386–394.
- [17] F.G. Friedlander, *On the radiation field of pulse solutions of the wave equation III*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **299** (1967), 264–278.
- [18] F.G. Friedlander, *Radiation fields and hyperbolic scattering theory*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **88** (1980), 483-515.
- [19] F.G. Friedlander, *Notes on the Wave Equation on Asymptotically Euclidean Manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **184** (2001), 1-18.
- [20] S. Ghanem, *On uniform decay of the Maxwell fields on black hole space-times*, [arXiv:1409.8040](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8040).

- [21] E. Giorgi, *Boundedness and decay for the Teukolsky system of spin 1 on Reissner-Nordström spacetime: the  $l = 1$  spherical mode*, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, Vol. 36, Number 20 (2019).
- [22] E. Giorgi, *The linear stability of Reissner-Nordström spacetime: the full subextremal range*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **380**, 1313–1360 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-020-03893-z>
- [23] E. Giorgi, S. Klainerman and J. Szeftel, *A general formalism for the stability of Kerr*, [arXiv:2002.02740](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.02740).
- [24] D. Häfner, P. Hintz and A. Vasy, *Linear stability of slowly rotating Kerr black holes*, *Invent. math.* **223**, pages 1227–1406 (2021).
- [25] L. Hörmander, *A remark on the characteristic Cauchy problem*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **93** (1990), 270–277.
- [26] P. K. Hung, J. Keller and M. T. Wang, *Linear Stability of Schwarzschild Spacetime: Decay of Metric Coefficients*, [arXiv:1702.02843](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.02843).
- [27] T. W. Johnson, *The Linear Stability of the Schwarzschild Solution to Gravitational Perturbations in the Generalised Wave Gauge*, *Annals of PDE* (2019) 5:13.
- [28] J. Joudioux, *Conformal scattering for a nonlinear wave equation*, *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **9** (2012), 1, 1-65.
- [29] J. Joudioux, *Hörmander’s method for the characteristic Cauchy problem and conformal scattering for a non linear wave equation*, *Lett. Math. Phys* (2020), [arXiv:1903.12591](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.12591).
- [30] S. Klainerman, J. Szeftel, *Global Nonlinear Stability of Schwarzschild Spacetime under Polarized Perturbations*, 2018, [arXiv:1711.07597](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.07597).
- [31] C. Kehle and Y. Shlapentokh-Rothman, *A Scattering Theory for Linear Waves on the Interior of Reissner-Nordström Black Holes*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **20** (2019), 1583-1650.
- [32] P.D. Lax, R.S. Phillips, *Scattering theory*, *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 26, Academic Press, New York-London, 1967, xii + 276 pp.(1 plate) pages.
- [33] H. Masao, *A Scattering Theory for Linearised Gravity on the Exterior of the Schwarzschild Black Hole I: The Teukolsky Equations*, preprint 2020, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2007.13658.pdf>
- [34] S. Ma, *Almost Price’s law in Schwarzschild and decay estimates in Kerr for Maxwell field*, preprint 2020, [arXiv:2005.12492](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.12492).
- [35] L.J. Mason, J.-P. Nicolas, *Conformal scattering and the Goursat problem*, *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **1** (2004), 2, 197-233.
- [36] L.J. Mason and J.-P. Nicolas, *Regularity an space-like and null infinity*, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **8** (2009), 1, 179-208.

- [37] J. Metcalfe, D. Tataru, M. Tohaneanu, *Price's law on nonstationary space-times*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012), 3, 995–1028.
- [38] M. Mokdad, *Conformal Scattering of Maxwell fields on Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter Black Hole Spacetimes*, Annales de l'institut Fourier, **69** (2019), 5, 2291-2329.
- [39] M. Mokdad, *Conformal Scattering and the Goursat Problem for Dirac Fields in the Interior of Charged Spherically Symmetric Black Holes*, Reviews in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 34, No. 01, 2150037 (2022).
- [40] J.-P. Nicolas, *Non linear Klein-Gordon equation on Schwarzschild-like metrics*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 74 (1995), p. 35-58.
- [41] J.-P. Nicolas, *On Lars Hörmander's remark on the characteristic Cauchy problem*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, **56** (2006), 3, 517-543.
- [42] J.-P. Nicolas, *Conformal scattering on the Schwarzschild metric*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, **66** (2016), 3, 1175-1216.
- [43] J.-P. Nicolas and T.X. Pham, *Peeling on Kerr spacetime: linear and non linear scalar fields*, Annales Henri Poincaré, Vol. 20, Issue 10 (2019), p. 3419-3470.
- [44] R. Penrose, *Conformal approach to infinity*, in Relativity, groups and topology, Les Houches 1963, ed. B.S. De Witt and C.M. De Witt, Gordon and Breach, New-York, 1964.
- [45] T.X. Pham, *Peeling and conformal scattering on the spacetimes of the general relativity*, Phd's thesis, Brest university (France) (4/2017), <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01630023/document>.
- [46] T.X. Pham, *Peeling of Dirac field on Kerr spacetime*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **61**, 032501 (2020).
- [47] T.X. Pham, *Conformal scattering theory for the linearized gravity fields on Schwarzschild spacetime*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **60**, 589–608 (2021), [arXiv:2005.12043](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.12043).
- [48] T.X. Pham, *Conformal scattering theory for the Dirac equation on Kerr spacetime*, Ann. Henri Poincaré (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-022-01155-3>
- [49] F. Pasqualotto, *The Spin  $\pm 1$  Teukolsky Equations and the Maxwell System on Schwarzschild*, Annales Henri Poincaré volume 20, pages 1263-1323 (2019).
- [50] F. Pasqualotto, *Nonlinear Stability for the Maxwell–Born–Infeld System on a Schwarzschild Background*, Ann. PDE 5, 19 (2019).
- [51] R. Penrose, W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time*, Vol. I & II, Cambridge monographs on mathematical physics, Cambridge University Press 1984 & 1986.
- [52] G. Taujanskas, *Conformal scattering of the Maxwell-scalar field system on de Sitter space*, Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations, Vol. 16, No. 04, pp. 743-791 (2019).