

Boundedness of meta-conformal two-point functions in one and two spatial dimensions

Malte Henkel^{a,b,c}, Michal Dariusz Kuczynski^{a1} and Stoimen Stoimenov^d

^aLaboratoire de Physique et Chimie Théoriques (CNRS UMR 7019), Université de Lorraine Nancy, B.P. 70239, F – 54506 Vandœuvre lès Nancy Cedex, France²

^bCentro de Física Teórica e Computacional, Universidade de Lisboa, P – 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

^cMax-Planck Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Straße 38, D – 01187 Dresden, Germany

^dInstitute of Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 72 Tsarigradsko chaussee, Blvd., BG – 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract

Meta-conformal invariance is a novel class of dynamical symmetries, with dynamical exponent $z = 1$, and distinct from the standard ortho-conformal invariance. The meta-conformal Ward identities can be directly read off from the Lie algebra generators, but this procedure implicitly assumes that the co-variant correlators should depend holomorphically on time- and space coordinates. Furthermore, this assumption implies un-physical singularities in the co-variant correlators. A careful reformulation of the global meta-conformal Ward identities in a dualised space, combined with a regularity postulate, leads to bounded and regular expressions for the co-variant two-point functions, both in $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ spatial dimensions.

¹present address: Max-Planck Institut für Plasmaphysik, Wendelsteinstraße 1, D – 17491 Greifswald, Germany

²permanent address

1 Introduction

Dynamical symmetries have been an extremely useful tool in the analysis of strongly interacting many-body systems. Probably the most striking example are the (ortho-)conformal transformations in $(1+1)$ -dimensional time-space [11], for textbooks see [30, 34] or [54, 13, 38]. Expressed in orthogonal light-cone coordinates $z = t + ir$, $\bar{z} = t - ir$, these transformations are isomorphic to the direct product of holomorphic transformations $f(z)$ and anti-holomorphic transformation $\bar{f}(\bar{z})$, see table 1, whose Lie algebra is the direct sum of two Virasoro algebras. For ortho-conformal invariance, a convenient Lie algebra basis is spanned by the generators (with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\ell_j = -z^{j+1}\partial_z - (j+1)\Delta z^j, \quad \bar{\ell}_j = -\bar{z}^{j+1}\partial_{\bar{z}} - (j+1)\bar{\Delta}\bar{z}^j \quad (1.1)$$

where the ortho-conformal weight Δ describes the transformation of the associated scaling operator ϕ on which ℓ_j acts (and similarly $\bar{\ell}_j$ for the variable \bar{z} with the weight $\bar{\Delta}$). Since the ortho-conformal Lie algebra includes the generator $\ell_0 - \bar{\ell}_0$ of rotations, ortho-conformally invariant systems are *a fortiori* rotation-invariant. The most simple example of this is the conformally invariant $(1+1)D$ Laplace equation $\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi(z, \bar{z}) = 0$.

The one-particle generators ℓ_j can be lifted to N -particle generators $\ell_j^{[N]} = \sum_{p=1}^N \ell_j^{(p)}$, where $\ell_j^{(p)}$ gives the action of the generator ℓ_j on the p^{th} scaling operator $\phi_p = \phi_p(z_p, \bar{z}_p)$. One of the most immediate applications of such symmetries is the derivation of co-variant N -point functions $C^{[N]} = C^{[N]}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, \dots, z_N, \bar{z}_N) = \langle \phi_1(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \dots \phi_N(z_N, \bar{z}_N) \rangle$ built from physical scaling operators $\phi_i(z_i, \bar{z}_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. The co-variance of the N -point function is then expressed through a closed set of linear first-order differential equations, the ortho-conformal Ward identities [11]. For $N = 2$ or $N = 3$, it is enough to consider merely the maximal finite-dimensional Lie sub-algebra, when these equations are of the form $\ell_j^{[N]}C^{[N]} = 0$ (with $j = -1, 0, 1$). Their solutions are holomorphic in the variables z_p (or anti-holomorphic in the variables \bar{z}_p) [46]. For example, the ortho-conformal two-point function has the well-known form (C_0 is a normalisation constant)

$$C_{\text{ortho}}^{[2]}(z_1, \bar{z}_1, z_2, \bar{z}_2) = C_0 \delta_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2} \delta_{\bar{\Delta}_1, \bar{\Delta}_2} (z_1 - z_2)^{-2\Delta_1} (\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)^{-2\bar{\Delta}_1} \quad (1.2)$$

In this work, we are interested in new types of (meta-)conformal invariance [35, 44, 57] where the implicit hypothesis of holomorphy in the physical coordinates z_p, \bar{z}_p (or equivalently t_p, r_p , with $p = 1, \dots, N$) is not necessarily satisfied. *Meta-conformal invariance* arises as a dynamical symmetry of the simple equation $\mathcal{S}\varphi(t, \mathbf{r}) = \left(-\mu\partial_t + \partial_{r_{\parallel}}\right)\varphi(t, \mathbf{r}) = 0$ of ballistic transport, which distinguishes a single preferred direction [56], with coordinate r_{\parallel} , from the transverse direction(s), with coordinate \mathbf{r}_{\perp} . This is sketched in figure 1. A preferred spatial direction




Figure 1: Schematic illustration of ballistic transport in a channel, with the spatial coordinates r_{\parallel} , r_{\perp} . The directional bias is indicated.

group	coordinate changes	phys. coordinates	\mathbf{z}	co-variance
ortho-conformal $(1+1)D$	$z' = f(z) \quad \bar{z}' = \bar{z}$ $z' = z \quad \bar{z}' = \bar{f}(\bar{z})$	$z = t + i\mu r$ $\bar{z} = t - i\mu r$	1	correlator
meta-conformal $1D$	$u = f(u) \quad \bar{u}' = \bar{u}$ $u' = u \quad \bar{u}' = \bar{f}(\bar{u})$	$u = t$ $\bar{u} = t + \mu r$	1	correlator
meta-conformal $2D$	$\tau' = b(\tau) \quad w' = w \quad \bar{w}' = \bar{w}$ $\tau' = \tau \quad w' = f(w) \quad \bar{w}' = \bar{w}$ $\tau' = \tau \quad w' = w \quad \bar{w}' = \bar{f}(\bar{w})$	$\tau = t$ $w = t + \mu(r_{\parallel} + ir_{\perp})$ $\bar{w} = t + \mu(r_{\parallel} - ir_{\perp})$	1	correlator
conformal galilean	$t' = b(t) \quad \mathbf{r}' = (db(t)/dt) \mathbf{r}$ $t' = t \quad \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}(t)$ $t' = t \quad \mathbf{r}' = \mathcal{R}(t)\mathbf{r}$	t \mathbf{r}	1	correlator
Schrödinger-Virasoro	$t' = b(t) \quad \mathbf{r}' = (db(t)/dt)^{1/2} \mathbf{r}$ $t' = t \quad \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}(t)$ $t' = t \quad \mathbf{r}' = \mathcal{R}(t)\mathbf{r}$	t \mathbf{r}	2	response

Table 1: Several infinite-dimensional groups of time-space transformations, defined by the corresponding coordinate changes. Unspecified (vector) functions are assumed (complex) differentiable and $\mathcal{R}(t) \in SO(d)$ is a smoothly time-dependent rotation matrix. The physical time- and space-coordinates, the associated dynamical exponent \mathbf{z} of this standard representation and the physical nature of the co-variant n -point functions is also indicated.

is incompatible with rotation-invariance, hence *a fortiori* incompatible with ortho-conformal invariance. A simple example from statistical physics of a system with a dynamical meta-conformal invariance is provided by the kinetic Glauber-Ising model with a bias and long-ranged initial conditions [44, 58]. Ballistic transport occurs in innumerable closed quantum systems, see e.g. [12, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 32, 48, 53] as well as in classical non-equilibrium dynamics, see e.g. [59, 21, 18, 28, 31, 56, 60, 4]. In table 1 several examples of time-space coordinate transformations are listed, including ortho- and meta-conformal transformations. It can be seen that $(1+1)D$ ortho-conformal transformations and $1D$ meta-conformal transformations have the same underlying abstract algebraic structure, namely isomorphic Lie algebras, see [54]. However, they refer to different physical choices which represent the time-space variables. As is further shown in table 1, for $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ space dimensions, the Lie group of meta-conformal transformations is infinite-dimensional. The Lie algebra generators of meta-conformal invariance are read off from table 1 as follows, in terms of the physical time-space coordinates t and r (respectively r_{\parallel}, r_{\perp}). In the $1D$ case, in terms of time- and space-coordinates [35] (with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_n &= -t^{n+1} \left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{\mu} \partial_r \right) - (n+1) \left(\delta - \frac{\gamma}{\mu} \right) t^n \\
\bar{\ell}_n &= -\frac{1}{\mu} (t + \mu r) \partial_r - (n+1) \frac{\gamma}{\mu} (t + \mu r)^n
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

(notice the differences with respect to (1.1)) and in the $2D$ case [44]

$$\begin{aligned}
A_n &= -t^{n+1} \left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{\mu} \partial_{\parallel} \right) - (n+1) \left(\delta - \frac{2\gamma_{\parallel}}{\mu} \right) t^n \\
B_n^{\pm} &= -\frac{1}{2\mu} (t + \mu(r_{\parallel} \pm ir_{\perp}))^{n+1} (\partial_{\parallel} \mp i\partial_{\perp}) - (n+1) \frac{\gamma_{\parallel} \mp i\gamma_{\perp}}{\mu} (t + \mu(r_{\parallel} \pm ir_{\perp}))^n
\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

with the short-hands $\partial_{\parallel} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\parallel}}$ and $\partial_{\perp} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\perp}}$. The constants δ and γ (respectively $\gamma_{\parallel, \perp}$) are the scaling dimension and the rapidity of the scaling operators on which these generators act and μ^{-1} is a constant with the dimension of a velocity. Each of the infinite families of generators in (1.3,1.4) produces a Virasoro algebra (with zero central charge). Therefore, the $1D$ meta-conformal algebra is isomorphic to a direct sum of two Virasoro algebras. In the $2D$ case, there is an isomorphism with the direct sum of three Virasoro algebras. Their maximal finite-dimensional Lie sub-algebras (isomorphic to a direct sum of two or three $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ algebras) fix the form of two-point correlators $C(t, \mathbf{r}) = \langle \varphi_1(t, \mathbf{r}) \varphi_2(0, \mathbf{0}) \rangle$ built from quasi-primary scaling operators. Since the generators (1.3,1.4) already contain the terms which describe how the scaling operators $\varphi = \varphi(t, \mathbf{r})$ transform under their action, the global meta-conformal Ward identities can simply be written down. The requirement of meta-conformal co-variance leads to [44]

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\text{meta}}^{[2]}(t, \mathbf{r}) &= \langle \varphi(t, \mathbf{r}) \varphi(0, \mathbf{0}) \rangle \\
&= \begin{cases} \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \delta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} C_0 t^{-2\delta_1} \left(1 + \mu \frac{r}{t}\right)^{-2\gamma_1/\mu} & ; \text{ if } d = 1 \\ \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \delta_{\gamma_{\parallel, 1}, \gamma_{\parallel, 2}} \delta_{\gamma_{\perp, 1}, \gamma_{\perp, 2}} C_0 t^{-2\delta_1} \left(1 + \mu \frac{r_{\parallel} + ir_{\perp}}{t}\right)^{-2\gamma_1/\mu} \left(1 + \mu \frac{r_{\parallel} - ir_{\perp}}{t}\right)^{-2\bar{\gamma}_1/\mu} & ; \text{ if } d = 2 \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

and where $\mathbf{r} = r \in \mathbb{R}$ for $d = 1$ and $\mathbf{r} = (r_{\parallel}, r_{\perp}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for $d = 2$.

Formally, the procedure to derive (1.5) is completely analogous to the used above for the derivation of (1.2) from ortho-conformal co-variance. The explicit forms (1.5) make it apparent that $C_{\text{meta}}(t, \mathbf{r})$ is not necessarily bounded for all t or \mathbf{r} . In figure 2, we illustrate this for the $1D$ case – a spurious singularity appears whenever $\mu r = -t$.

In the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$, the meta-conformal algebras contract into the *galilean conformal algebras* [33]. Carrying out this limit on the correlator (1.5), one obtains, as has been stated countless times in the literature, see e.g. [5, 6, 7, 8, 51]


$$C_{\text{CGA}}^{[2]}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \delta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \begin{cases} t^{-2\delta_1} \exp\left(-2\frac{\gamma_1 r}{t}\right) & ; \text{ if } d = 1 \\ t^{-2\delta_1} \exp\left(-4\frac{\gamma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}}{t}\right) & ; \text{ if } d = 2 \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

with the definition $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_{\parallel}, \gamma_{\perp})$. While this correlator decays in one spatial direction (‘downstream’, where $\gamma_1 r > 0$ or $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} > 0$, respectively and assuming $t > 0$), it diverges in the opposite (‘upstream’) direction, as defined in figure 1. Again, such a behaviour does not appear to be physical. In view of the large interest devoted to conformal galilean field-theory, see [14, 9, 10, 2, 47, 51, 25, 26, 27, 50, 8] and refs. therein, it appears important to be able to formulate well-defined correlators which remain bounded everywhere in time-space.


We mention in passing that the $1D$ form of (1.6) can also be formally obtained from $2D$ ortho-conformal invariance: it is enough to consider complex conformal weights $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(\delta - i\gamma/\mu)$ and $\bar{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta + i\gamma/\mu)$. Then (1.2) can be rewritten as

$$C_{\text{ortho}}^{[2]}(t, r) = t^{-2\delta} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\mu r}{t}\right)^2\right]^{-\delta} \exp\left[-\frac{2\gamma}{\mu} \arctan \frac{\mu r}{t}\right] \xrightarrow{\mu \rightarrow 0} t^{-2\delta} e^{-2\gamma r/t} \tag{1.7}$$


In what follows, we shall describe a mathematically well-defined procedure how to find meta-conformal correlators bounded everywhere. Since the implicit assumption of holomorphicity in




(a) Spurious singularities arise in eq. (1.5).



(b) Regularised bounded real-valued form eq. (2.15).



(c) For $t = -0.6$, a complex-valued singularity occurs at $r = -t = 0.6$ in eq. (1.5).



(d) For $t = -0.6$, bounded real-valued behaviour throughout in eq. (2.15).

Figure 2: Real part (orange) and imaginary part (blue) of the 1D meta-conformally co-variant two-point function $C(t, r)$, with $\delta_1 = 0.22$, $\gamma_1 = 0.33$ and $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$. The dark straight lines in figure 2(a,b) indicate the section $t = -0.6$ along which the correlator is shown again in figure 2(c,d).

the coordinates gave the unbounded results (1.5,1.6), we shall explore how to derive non-holomorphic correlators. The first case where this question could be treated was the one of the *conformal galilean algebra*, where the regularised form reads in $d \geq 1$ dimensions [41, 42]

$$C_{\text{CGA,reg}}^{[2]}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \delta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} |t|^{-2\delta_1} \exp\left(-2\alpha \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}}{t} \right| \right) F_0(\gamma_1^2) \quad (1.8)$$

instead of (1.6), where the function F_0 remains undetermined and $\alpha = \alpha(d)$ is a possibly dimension-dependent constant. The derivation is briefly reproduced in appendix A for $d = 1$, for the convenience of the reader. In particular, this example shows (i) the importance of the dualisation technique to be explained in section 2 and (ii) the relevance of semi-infinite representation theorems derived from the theory of Hardy spaces [3, 55], see appendix B. Our treatment of the meta-conformal case follows [43], to be generalised to the case $d = 2$ where necessary. This in turn is inspired by methods developed for the Schrödinger algebra [36, 39].

Throughout, we shall admit rotation-invariance in the transverse directions, if applicable. Therefore, in more than three spatial dimensions, the consideration of the two-point function can be reduced to the case of a single transverse direction, r_\perp . Therefore, it should be enough to discuss explicitly either (i) the case of one spatial dimension, referred from now on as the

1D case (without a transverse direction), or else (ii) the case of two spatial dimensions, called the 2D case (with a single transverse direction).

This work is organised as follows. Since the straightforwardish implementation of the global meta-conformal Ward identities leads to un-physical singularities in the time-space behaviour of such correlators, which arise since the meta-conformally co-variant correlators are no longer holomorphic functions of their arguments, we shall present a more careful approach in sections 2 and 3. These cover, respectively, $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ spatial dimensions. Technically, this proceeds via a dualisation and a regularity requirement in dual space. Our main result is the explicit form of a meta-conformally co-variant two-point function which remains bounded everywhere, as stated in eqs. (4.1,4.2) in section 4. Appendix A outlines the construction for the limit case of the conformal galilean algebra CGA(1), including the derivation of the required regularity property. Appendix B contains mathematical background on Hardy spaces in restricted geometries, for both $d = 1$ and $d = 2$.

2 Regularised meta-conformal correlator: the 1D case

Non-holomorphic correlators can only be found by going beyond the local differential operators derived from the meta-conformal Ward identities. We shall do so in a few simple steps [43], restricting in this section to the 1D case. First, we consider the ‘rapidity’ γ as a new variable. Second, it is dualised [39, 41, 42] through a Fourier transformation, which gives the quasi-primary scaling operator

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\zeta, t, r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\gamma e^{i\gamma\zeta} \varphi_{\gamma}(t, r) \quad (2.1)$$

This leads to the following representation of the dualised meta-conformal algebra (using (1.3) from section 1 with $X_n = \ell_n + \bar{\ell}_n$ and $Y_n = \bar{\ell}_n$ [35, 44])

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= \frac{i(n+1)}{\mu} [(t + \mu r)^n - t^n] \partial_{\zeta} - t^{n+1} \partial_t - \frac{1}{\mu} [(t + \mu r)^{n+1} - t^{n+1}] \partial_r - (n+1) \delta t^n \\ Y_n &= \frac{i(n+1)}{\mu} (t + \mu r)^n \partial_{\zeta} - \frac{1}{\mu} (t + \mu r)^{n+1} \partial_r \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

such that the 1D meta-conformal Lie algebra is given by

$$[X_n, X_m] = (n - m)X_{n+m} \quad , \quad [X_n, Y_m] = (n - m)Y_{n+m} \quad , \quad [Y_n, Y_m] = (n - m)Y_{n+m} \quad (2.3)$$

This form will be more convenient for us than the one used in [43], since the parameter μ does no longer appear in the Lie algebra commutators (2.3). Third, it was suggested [36, 39, 43] to look for a further generator N in the Cartan sub-algebra \mathfrak{h} , viz. $\text{ad}_N \mathcal{X} = \alpha_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}$ for any meta-conformal generator \mathcal{X} and $\alpha_{\mathcal{X}} \in \mathbb{C}$. It can be shown that

$$N = -\zeta \partial_{\zeta} - r \partial_r + \mu \partial_{\mu} + i\kappa(\mu) \partial_{\zeta} - \nu(\mu) \quad (2.4)$$

is the only possibility [43], where the functions $\kappa(\mu)$ and $\nu(\mu)$ remain undetermined. Since in this generator, the parameter μ is treated as a further variable, we see the usefulness of the chosen normalisation of the generators in (2.2). On the other hand, the generator of spatial

translations now reads $Y_{-1} = -\mu^{-1}\partial_r$, with immediate consequences for the form of the two-point correlator. In dual space, the two-point correlator is defined as

$$\widehat{F} = \langle \widehat{\varphi}_1(\zeta_1, t_1, r_1, \mu_1) \widehat{\varphi}_2(\zeta_2, t_2, r_2, \mu_2) \rangle = \widehat{F}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t_1, t_2, r_1, r_2, \mu_1, \mu_2) \quad (2.5)$$

Lifting the generators from the representation (2.2) to two-body operators, the global meta-conformal Ward identities (derived from the maximal finite dimensional sub-algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$) become a set of linear partial differential equations of first order $\mathcal{X}^{[2]}\widehat{F} = 0$ for the function \widehat{F} . While the solution will certainly be holomorphic in its (dual) variables, the back-transformation according to (2.1) will lead to a correlator bounded everywhere but can introduce non-holomorphic behaviour in the t_i, r_i .

The function \widehat{F} is obtained as follows [43, 44]. First, co-variance under X_{-1} and Y_{-1} gives

$$\widehat{F} = \widehat{F}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, t, \xi, \mu_1, \mu_2) \ ; \ t = t_1 - t_2 \ , \ \xi = \mu_1 r_1 - \mu_2 r_2 \quad (2.6)$$

The action of the generators Y_0 and Y_1 on \widehat{F} is used best by introducing the new variables $\eta := \mu_1 \zeta_1 + \mu_2 \zeta_2$ and $\zeta := \mu_1 \zeta_1 - \mu_2 \zeta_2$. Then the corresponding Ward identities become

$$(2i\partial_\eta - (t + \xi)\partial_\xi)\widehat{F} = 0 \ , \ \partial_\zeta \widehat{F} = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

Finally, the Ward identities coming from the generators X_0 and X_1 become

$$(-t\partial_t - \xi\partial_\xi - \delta_1 - \delta_2)\widehat{F} = 0 \ , \ t(\delta_1 - \delta_2)\widehat{F} = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

The second of these gives the constraint $\delta_1 = \delta_2$. The two remaining equations have the general solution

$$\widehat{F} = (t_1 - t_2)^{-2\delta_1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 \zeta_1 + \mu_2 \zeta_2) + i \ln \left(1 + \frac{\mu_1 r_1 - \mu_2 r_2}{t_1 - t_2} \right); \mu_1, \mu_2 \right) \quad (2.9)$$

with an undetermined function $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. Spatial translation-invariance only holds in a more weak form, which could become useful for the description of physical situations where the propagation speed of each scaling operator can be different.¹

In [43], we tried to use co-variance under the further generator N in order to fix the function $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, in close analogy with the conformal galilean algebra, see appendix A. However, therein a choice of basis in the meta-conformal Lie algebra was used where the parameter μ appears in the structure constants. In this way, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is fixed and furthermore one can show that \widehat{F} with respect to the variable η is in the Hardy space H_2^+ , see appendix B for the mathematical details. If we want to consider μ as a further variable, as it is necessary because of the explicit form of N , objects such as “ μY_{n+m} ” which arise in the commutators are not part of the meta-conformal Lie algebra. Therefore, it is necessary, to use the normalisation (2.2) which leads to the Lie algebra (2.3) which is independent of μ . In order to illustrate the generic consequences, let $\nu = \nu(\mu)$ and $\sigma = -\mu\kappa(\mu)$ be constants (hence independent of $\mu_{1,2}$). The co-variance condition $N\widehat{F} = 0$ gives

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(w; \mu_1, \mu_2) = (\mu_1 \mu_2)^\nu \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \left(w + i\sigma \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2}, \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \right) \quad (2.10)$$

¹See [52] for inhomogeneous ortho-conformal invariance without translation-invariance.

where the function $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ remains undetermined. In contrast to our earlier treatment, we can no longer show that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ had to be in the Hardy space H_2^+ . On the other hand, this mathematical property had turned out to be very useful for the derivation of bounded correlators, at least in the conformal galilean case. This motivates the following.

First, return to the result (2.9), rewritten as follows (with the constraint $\delta_1 = \delta_2$)

$$\widehat{F} = (t_1 - t_2)^{-2\delta_1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\zeta_+ + i\lambda) \quad , \quad \zeta_+ := \frac{\mu_1 \zeta_1 + \mu_2 \zeta_2}{2} \quad , \quad \lambda := \ln \left(1 + \frac{\mu_1 r_1 - \mu_2 r_2}{t_1 - t_2} \right) \quad (2.11)$$

and we denote $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda(\zeta_+) := \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\zeta_+ + i\lambda)$. Then, we require (see appendix B for details):

Postulate. *If $\lambda > 0$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda \in H_2^+$ and if $\lambda < 0$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda \in H_2^-$.*

The Hardy spaces H_2^\pm on the upper and lower complex half-planes \mathbb{H}_\pm are defined in appendix B. There, it is also shown that, under mild conditions, that if $\lambda > 0$ and if there exist finite positive constants $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{(0)} > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\zeta_+ + i\lambda)| < \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{(0)} e^{-\varepsilon\lambda}$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda$ is indeed in the Hardy space H_2^+ . Physically, this amounts to a requirement that the dual correlator should decay algebraically, viz. $|\widehat{\mathcal{F}}| \leq \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0 \left| 1 + \frac{\mu_1 r_1 - \mu_2 r_2}{t_1 - t_2} \right|^{-\varepsilon}$, with respect to the scaling variable. The above postulate also appears natural since in the $\mu \rightarrow 0$ limit of conformal galilean invariance, it can be derived from the condition of co-variance under the extra generator N [39, 41, 42].

The utility of our postulate is easily verified, following [43]. From Theorem 1 of appendix B, especially (B.3), we can write

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda(\zeta_+) = \frac{\Theta(\lambda)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty d\gamma_+ e^{i(\zeta_+ + i\lambda)\gamma_+} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_+(\gamma_+) + \frac{\Theta(-\lambda)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty d\gamma_- e^{-i(\zeta_+ + i\lambda)\gamma_-} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_-(\gamma_-) \quad (2.12)$$

where the Heaviside functions $\Theta(\pm\lambda)$ select the two cases. For $\lambda > 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2 e^{-i\gamma_1 \zeta_1 - i\gamma_2 \zeta_2} \widehat{F} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2 t^{-2\delta_1} \int_0^\infty d\gamma_+ e^{-i\gamma_1 \zeta_1 - i\gamma_2 \zeta_2} e^{i(\mu_1 \zeta_1 + \mu_2 \zeta_2 + 2i\lambda)\gamma_+ / 2} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_+(\gamma_+) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{32\pi}}{\mu_1 \mu_2} t^{-2\delta_1} \int_0^\infty d\gamma_+ e^{-\lambda\gamma_+} \delta\left(\gamma_+ - \frac{2\gamma_1}{\mu_1}\right) \delta\left(\gamma_+ - \frac{2\gamma_2}{\mu_2}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_+(\gamma_+) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{32\pi}}{\mu_1 \mu_2} t^{-2\delta_1} \delta_{\gamma_1/\mu_1, \gamma_2/\mu_2} \int_0^\infty d\gamma_+ e^{-\lambda\gamma_+} \delta\left(\gamma_+ - \frac{2\gamma_1}{\mu_1}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_+(\gamma_+) \\ &= \text{cste.} \delta_{\gamma_1/\mu_1, \gamma_2/\mu_2} (t_1 - t_2)^{-2\delta_1} \left(1 + \frac{\mu_1 r_1 - \mu_2 r_2}{t_1 - t_2} \right)^{-2\gamma_1/\mu_1} \Theta\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\mu_1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where the definitions (2.11) were used. Similarly, for $\lambda < 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2 e^{-i\gamma_1 \zeta_1 - i\gamma_2 \zeta_2} \widehat{F} \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2 t^{-2\delta_1} \int_0^\infty d\gamma_- e^{-i\gamma_1 \zeta_1 - i\gamma_2 \zeta_2} e^{-i(\mu_1 \zeta_1 + \mu_2 \zeta_2 + 2i\lambda)\gamma_- / 2} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_-(\gamma_-) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{32\pi}}{\mu_1 \mu_2} t^{-2\delta_1} \int_0^\infty d\gamma_- e^{\lambda\gamma_-} \delta\left(\gamma_- + \frac{2\gamma_1}{\mu_1}\right) \delta\left(\gamma_- + \frac{2\gamma_2}{\mu_2}\right) \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_-(\gamma_-) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{32\pi}}{\mu_1 \mu_2} t^{-2\delta_1} \delta_{\gamma_1/\mu_1, \gamma_2/\mu_2} \int_0^\infty d\gamma_- e^{\lambda\gamma_-} \delta\left(\gamma_- - \left|\frac{2\gamma_1}{\mu_1}\right|\right) \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_-(\gamma_-) \\
&= \text{cste. } \delta_{\gamma_1/\mu_1, \gamma_2/\mu_2} (t_1 - t_2)^{-2\delta_1} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_1 r_1 - \mu_2 r_2}{t_1 - t_2}\right)^{-2|\gamma_1/\mu_1|} \Theta\left(-\frac{\gamma_1}{\mu_1}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Combining these two forms gives our final 1D two-point correlator

$$F = \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \delta_{\gamma_1/\mu_1, \gamma_2/\mu_2} \left(1 + \left|\frac{\mu_1 r_1 - \mu_2 r_2}{t_1 - t_2}\right|\right)^{-2|\gamma_1/\mu_1|} \tag{2.15}$$

up to normalisation. As illustrated in figure 2, this is real-valued and bounded in the entire time-space, although not a holomorphic function of the time-space coordinates.

Finally, it appears that our original motivation for allowing the μ_j to become free variables, is not very strong. We might have fixed the μ_j from the outset, had not included a factor $1/\mu$ into the generators Y_n (such that the spatial translations are generated by $Y_{-1} = -\partial_r$ and continue immediately with our Postulate. Since a consideration of the meta-conformal three-point function shows that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$ [37, chap. 5], we can then consider μ^{-1} as an universal velocity.²

3 Regularised meta-conformal correlator: the 2D case

The derivation of the 2D meta-conformal correlator starts essentially along the same lines as in the 1D case, but is based now on the generators (1.4). The dualisation is now carried out with respect to the chiral rapidities $\gamma = \gamma_{\parallel} - i\gamma_{\perp}$ and $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma_{\parallel} + i\gamma_{\perp}$ and we also use the light-cone coordinates $z = r_{\parallel} + ir_{\perp}$ and $\bar{z} = r_{\parallel} - ir_{\perp}$. The dualisation proceeds as follows

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}, t, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\gamma d\bar{\gamma} e^{i(\gamma\zeta + \bar{\gamma}\bar{\zeta})} \varphi_{\gamma, \bar{\gamma}}(t, \mathbf{r}) \tag{3.1}$$

Taking the translation generators A_{-1}, B_{-1}^{\pm} into account, we consider the dual correlator

$$\widehat{F} = \widehat{F}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \bar{\zeta}_1, \bar{\zeta}_2, t, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \mu_1, \mu_2) \tag{3.2}$$

where we defined the variables

$$t = t_1 - t_2 \quad , \quad \xi = \mu_1 z_1 - \mu_2 z_2 \quad \bar{\xi} = \mu_1 \bar{z}_1 - \mu_2 \bar{z}_2 \tag{3.3}$$

²In the conformal galilean limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$, recover the bounded result $F \sim \exp(-2|\gamma_1 r|/t)$ [43].

In complete analogy with the 1D case, we further define the variables

$$\eta = \mu_1 \zeta_1 + \mu_2 \zeta_2 \quad , \quad \bar{\eta} = \mu_1 \bar{\zeta}_1 + \mu_2 \bar{\zeta}_2 \quad (3.4)$$

such that the correlator $\widehat{F} = \widehat{F}(\eta, \bar{\eta}, t, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \mu_1, \mu_2)$ obeys the equations

$$(2i\partial_\eta - (t + \xi)\partial_\xi) \widehat{F} = 0 \quad , \quad (2i\partial_{\bar{\eta}} - (t + \bar{\xi})\partial_{\bar{\xi}}) \widehat{F} = 0 \quad , \quad (t\partial_t + \xi\partial_\xi + \bar{\xi}\partial_{\bar{\xi}} + 2\delta_1) \widehat{F} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

along with the constraint $\delta_1 = \delta_2$. The most general solution of this system is promptly obtained. However, in order to obtain real-valued results in terms of the physical coordinates t, r_\parallel, r_\perp , we expand the logarithms and go back to parallel and perpendicular dual coordinates, via $\zeta_j = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_{\parallel,j} + i\zeta_{\perp,j})$ and $\bar{\zeta}_j = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_{\parallel,j} - i\zeta_{\perp,j})$. Since the coordinates $\xi, \bar{\xi}$ which occur are complex, we need the complex logarithm

$$\ln(a + ib) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(a^2 + b^2) + i \arctan \frac{b}{a} \quad (3.6)$$

and find (the first step is the solution of eqs. (3.5))

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F} &= t^{-2\delta_1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{\eta}{2} + i \ln(1 + \xi/t), \frac{\bar{\eta}}{2} + i \ln(1 + \bar{\xi}/t) \right) \\ &= t^{-2\delta_1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} (\zeta_{\parallel,1} + \zeta_{\parallel,2}) + i\lambda_\parallel, \frac{\mu}{2} (\zeta_{\perp,1} + \zeta_{\perp,2}) + i\lambda_\perp \right) \\ &= t^{-2\delta_1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}} (u + i\lambda_\parallel, \bar{u} + i\lambda_\perp) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

with the abbreviations (\bar{u} is obtained from u by replacing $\zeta_{\parallel,j} \mapsto \zeta_{\perp,j}$)

$$u := \frac{\mu}{2} (\zeta_{\parallel,1} + \zeta_{\parallel,2}) \quad , \quad \lambda_\parallel := \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\left(1 + \frac{\mu r_\parallel}{t} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mu r_\perp}{t} \right)^2 \right] \quad , \quad \lambda_\perp := \arctan \frac{\mu r_\perp / t}{1 + \mu r_\parallel / t} \quad (3.8)$$

and we simplified the notation by letting $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu$ and assumed translation-invariance in time and space. As before, we expect that a Hardy space will permit to derive the boundedness, see appendix B for details. Define $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\lambda_\parallel, \lambda_\perp}(u, \bar{u}) := \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(u + i\lambda_\parallel, \bar{u} + i\lambda_\perp)$ and require:

$$\textbf{Postulate:} \quad \text{If the parameters} \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_\parallel > 0 \text{ and } \lambda_\perp > 0, \text{ then } \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\lambda_\parallel, \lambda_\perp} \in H_2^{++} \\ \lambda_\parallel > 0 \text{ and } \lambda_\perp < 0, \text{ then } \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\lambda_\parallel, \lambda_\perp} \in H_2^{+-} \\ \lambda_\parallel < 0 \text{ and } \lambda_\perp > 0, \text{ then } \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\lambda_\parallel, \lambda_\perp} \in H_2^{-+} \\ \lambda_\parallel < 0 \text{ and } \lambda_\perp < 0, \text{ then } \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\lambda_\parallel, \lambda_\perp} \in H_2^{--} \end{cases}$$

The important point is that these postulates are made with respect to the parallel and perpendicular dual coordinates $\zeta_\parallel = \zeta + \bar{\zeta}$ and $\zeta_\perp = \frac{1}{i}(\zeta - \bar{\zeta})$.

Theorem 2 in appendix B, especially (B.12), then states that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\lambda_\parallel, \lambda_\perp}(u, \bar{u}) &= \frac{\Theta(\lambda_\parallel)\Theta(\lambda_\perp)}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_0^\infty d\bar{\tau} e^{i(u+i\lambda_\parallel)\tau + i(\bar{u}+i\lambda_\perp)\bar{\tau}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{++}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \\ &+ \frac{\Theta(\lambda_\parallel)\Theta(-\lambda_\perp)}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_0^\infty d\bar{\tau} e^{i(u+i\lambda_\parallel)\tau - i(\bar{u}+i\lambda_\perp)\bar{\tau}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{+-}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \\ &+ \frac{\Theta(-\lambda_\parallel)\Theta(\lambda_\perp)}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_0^\infty d\bar{\tau} e^{-i(u+i\lambda_\parallel)\tau + i(\bar{u}+i\lambda_\perp)\bar{\tau}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{-+}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \\ &+ \frac{\Theta(-\lambda_\parallel)\Theta(-\lambda_\perp)}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_0^\infty d\bar{\tau} e^{-i(u+i\lambda_\parallel)\tau - i(\bar{u}+i\lambda_\perp)\bar{\tau}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{--}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

For example, we can write the two-point function in the case $\lambda_{\parallel} > 0$ and $\lambda_{\perp} > 0$, with the short-hand $\mathcal{D}\zeta := d\zeta_{\parallel,1}d\zeta_{\perp,1}d\zeta_{\parallel,2}d\zeta_{\perp,2}$ and the abbreviations from (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathcal{D}\zeta e^{-i\gamma_{\parallel,1}\zeta_{\parallel,1} - i\gamma_{\perp,1}\zeta_{\perp,1} - i\gamma_{\parallel,2}\zeta_{\parallel,2} - i\gamma_{\perp,2}\zeta_{\perp,2}} \widehat{F} \\
&= \frac{t^{-2\delta_1}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathcal{D}\zeta e^{-i\gamma_{\parallel,1}\zeta_{\parallel,1} - i\gamma_{\perp,1}\zeta_{\perp,1} - i\gamma_{\parallel,2}\zeta_{\parallel,2} - i\gamma_{\perp,2}\zeta_{\perp,2}} \times \\
&\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \int_0^{\infty} d\bar{\tau} e^{i(\mu(\zeta_{\parallel,1} + \zeta_{\parallel,2}))\tau/2 - \lambda_{\parallel}\tau} e^{i(\mu(\zeta_{\perp,1} + \zeta_{\perp,2}))\bar{\tau}/2 - \lambda_{\perp}\bar{\tau}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{++}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \\
&= \frac{t^{-2\delta_1}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \int_0^{\infty} d\bar{\tau} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{++}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) e^{-\lambda_{\parallel}\tau - \lambda_{\perp}\bar{\tau}} \times \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathcal{D}\zeta e^{i(\mu\tau/2 - \gamma_{\parallel,1})\zeta_{\parallel,1}} e^{i(\mu\tau/2 - \gamma_{\parallel,2})\zeta_{\parallel,2}} e^{i(\mu\bar{\tau}/2 - \gamma_{\perp,1})\zeta_{\perp,1}} e^{i(\mu\bar{\tau}/2 - \gamma_{\perp,2})\zeta_{\perp,2}} \\
&= \frac{t^{-2\delta_1}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \int_0^{\infty} d\bar{\tau} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{++}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) e^{-\lambda_{\parallel}\tau - \lambda_{\perp}\bar{\tau}} \times \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathcal{D}\zeta e^{i(\mu\tau - \gamma_{\parallel,1} - \gamma_{\parallel,2})\zeta_{\parallel,+}} e^{-i(\gamma_{\parallel,1} - \gamma_{\parallel,2})\zeta_{\parallel,-}} e^{i(\mu\bar{\tau} - \gamma_{\perp,1} - \gamma_{\perp,2})\zeta_{\perp,+}} e^{-i(\gamma_{\perp,1} - \gamma_{\perp,2})\zeta_{\perp,-}} \quad (3.10) \\
&= \text{cste. } t^{-2\delta_1} \delta_{\gamma_{\parallel,1}/\mu, \gamma_{\parallel,2}/\mu} \delta_{\gamma_{\perp,1}/\mu, \gamma_{\perp,2}/\mu} \exp \left[-2\lambda_{\parallel} \frac{\gamma_{\parallel,1}}{\mu} - 2\lambda_{\perp} \frac{\gamma_{\perp,1}}{\mu} \right] \Theta \left(\frac{\gamma_{\parallel,1}}{\mu} \right) \Theta \left(\frac{\gamma_{\perp,1}}{\mu} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Herein, variables were changed according to $\zeta_{\parallel,1} = \zeta_{\parallel,+} + \zeta_{\parallel,-}$ and $\zeta_{\parallel,2} = \zeta_{\parallel,+} - \zeta_{\parallel,-}$ and similarly for the $\zeta_{\perp,j}$. The other cases are treated in the same manner, in complete analogy with the 1D situation. For example, if $\lambda_{\parallel} < 0$ and $\lambda_{\perp} < 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \frac{t^{-2\delta_1}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathcal{D}\zeta e^{-i\gamma_{\parallel,1}\zeta_{\parallel,1} - i\gamma_{\perp,1}\zeta_{\perp,1} - i\gamma_{\parallel,2}\zeta_{\parallel,2} - i\gamma_{\perp,2}\zeta_{\perp,2}} \times \\
&\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \int_0^{\infty} d\bar{\tau} e^{-i(\mu(\zeta_{\parallel,1} + \zeta_{\parallel,2}))\tau/2 + \lambda_{\parallel}\tau} e^{-i(\mu(\zeta_{\perp,1} + \zeta_{\perp,2}))\bar{\tau}/2 + \lambda_{\perp}\bar{\tau}} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{--}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \quad (3.11) \\
&= \text{cste. } t^{-2\delta_1} \delta_{\gamma_{\parallel,1}/\mu, \gamma_{\parallel,2}/\mu} \delta_{\gamma_{\perp,1}/\mu, \gamma_{\perp,2}/\mu} \exp \left[-2 \left| \lambda_{\parallel} \frac{\gamma_{\parallel,1}}{\mu} \right| - 2 \left| \lambda_{\perp} \frac{\gamma_{\perp,1}}{\mu} \right| \right] \Theta \left(-\frac{\gamma_{\parallel,1}}{\mu} \right) \Theta \left(-\frac{\gamma_{\perp,1}}{\mu} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We also observe the implied positivity conditions on the $\gamma_{\parallel,1}$ and $\gamma_{\perp,1}$.

In order to understand the meaning of these expressions, we return to the physical interpretation of the conditions $\lambda_{\parallel,\perp} > 0$, or $\lambda_{\parallel,\perp} < 0$. From (3.8), we see first that $\lambda_{\perp} > 0$ if and only if $\mu r_{\perp}/t [1 + \mu r_{\parallel}/t]^{-1} > 0$. On the other hand, concerning the condition $\lambda_{\parallel} > 0$, the most restrictive case occurs for $r_{\perp} = 0$. Then $\lambda_{\parallel} > 0$ is equivalent to $r_{\parallel}/t > 0$. Summarising, we conclude that

$$F = \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \delta_{\gamma_{\parallel,1}, \gamma_{\parallel,2}} \delta_{\gamma_{\perp,1}, \gamma_{\perp,2}} t^{-2\delta_1} \left[\left(1 + \left| \frac{\mu r_{\parallel}}{t} \right| \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mu r_{\perp}}{t} \right)^2 \right]^{-|\gamma_{\parallel,1}/\mu|} \exp \left[- \left| \frac{2\gamma_{\perp,1}}{\mu} \arctan \frac{\mu r_{\perp}/t}{1 + \mu r_{\parallel}/t} \right| \right] \quad (3.12)$$

up to normalisation, is the final form for the 2D meta-conformally co-variant two-point correlator which is bounded in the entire time-space with points $(t, r_{\parallel}, r_{\perp}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

In figure 3, we show that the shape of this correlator (3.12) smoothly interpolates between the preferred and the transverse direction, when the transverse rapidity $\gamma_{\perp,1} = 0$. This is




Figure 3: Shape of the 2D meta-conformal two-point correlator $C(r \cos \phi, r \sin \phi) = C_{\text{meta}, 2D}^{[2]}(1, 0, r \cos \phi, 0, r \sin \phi, 0)$ of eq. (4.2), with rapidities $\gamma_{\parallel,1} = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\gamma_{\perp,1} = 0$ and with the scales set to $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$, for the angles $\phi = [0^\circ, 30^\circ, 60^\circ, 90^\circ]$. The inset shows the algebraic decay $C(r) \sim r^{-1/2}$ for large distances.

qualitatively very similar to the 1D meta-conformal correlator, which has been described before [44, 45]. For $\phi \neq 90^\circ$, the cusp at the origin indicates a non-analyticity.

A very different behaviour is observed whenever $\gamma_{\perp,1} \neq 0$, as is illustrated in figure 4. For all values of ϕ , there is a cusp at the origin, but the decay for larger values of r is considerably changed with respect to figure 3, whenever $\phi \neq 0^\circ$. Indeed, for a non-vanishing transverse rapidity $\gamma_{\perp,1} \neq 0$, the decay with r becomes more fast when the angle ϕ increases, *a contrario* to what is seen in figure 3 where we have $\gamma_{\perp,1} = 0$. Instead of an algebraic decay, we rather find from figure 4 an exponentially decaying correlator and only when for very large distances the ratio $r_{\perp}/(1 + r_{\parallel}) \rightarrow \tan \phi$, there is a cross-over to an algebraic decay,³ $C(r) \sim r^{-2\gamma_{\parallel,1}/\mu_1}$.

Several further comments are in order:

1. Only if the Hardy property is postulated on the parallel and perpendicular dual coordinates ζ_{\parallel} and ζ_{\perp} , does one obtain a completely bounded expression. Analogous postulates on different coordinates will lead to more weak results (typically for only one of the parameters λ_{\parallel} or λ_{\perp}).
2. the 1D case is contained in (3.12) as the special case $r_{\perp} = 0$.
3. in the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$, one recovers the expected result of the 2D conformal galilean algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{\text{CGA}}^{[2]}(t, r_{\parallel}, r_{\perp}) &= \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} C_{\text{meta}}^{[2]}(t, r_{\parallel}, r_{\perp}) \\
 &= \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \delta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_{\parallel,2}} \delta_{\gamma_{\perp,1}, \gamma_{\perp,2}} t^{-2\delta_1} \exp\left(-2 \left| \frac{\gamma_{\parallel,1} r_{\parallel}}{t} \right| - 2 \left| \frac{\gamma_{\perp,1} r_{\perp}}{t} \right| \right) \quad (3.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

³In the extreme case $\gamma_{\parallel,1} = 0$, the (connected !) correlator $C(r)$ tends towards a constant when $r \rightarrow \infty$.




Figure 4: Shape of the $2D$ meta-conformal two-point correlator $C(r \cos \phi, r \sin \phi) = C_{\text{meta}, 2D}^{[2]}(1, 0, r \cos \phi, 0, r \sin \phi, 0)$ of eq. (4.2), with rapidities $\gamma_{\parallel,1} = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\gamma_{\perp,1} = \frac{3}{2}$ and with the scales set to $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$, for the angles $\phi = [0^\circ, 30^\circ, 60^\circ, 90^\circ]$. The left panel shows the correlator on a linear scale, the right panel is on a double logarithmic scale.

which could be recast into a vectorial form, as in (1.6), but now the correlator is bounded everywhere.

4. the special case $r_{\parallel} = 0$ reproduces the (non-unitary) *ortho*-conformal correlator (1.7), with the identifications $\gamma = \gamma_{\perp,1}$ and $\delta = |\gamma_{\parallel,1}/\mu| = \delta_1$.

4 Conclusions

Having raised the question how to formulate sufficient criteria such that the meta-conformally co-variant two-point functions remain bounded in the entire time-space, we have shown that a refined form of the global Ward identities is needed. These are formulated in a dual space, where the dual variables are naturally confined to a tube on a half-space for $d = 1$ or on one of the quadrants of the dual plane for $d = 2$. Then the regularity condition, namely that these dual two-point functions belong to a certain Hardy space, is a sufficient condition for the construction of bounded two-point functions. This leads to

$$C_{\text{meta}, 1D}^{[2]}(t_1, t_2, r_1, r_2) = \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \delta_{\gamma_1/\mu_1, \gamma_2/\mu_2} (t_1 - t_2)^{-2\delta_1} \left(1 + \left| \frac{\mu_1 r_1 - \mu_2 r_2}{t_1 - t_2} \right| \right)^{-2|\gamma_1/\mu_1|} \quad (4.1)$$

(up to normalisation) in $d = 1$ spatial dimensions, see also figure 2, and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\text{meta}, 2D}^{[2]}(t_1, t_2, r_{\parallel,1}, r_{\parallel,2}, r_{\perp,1}, r_{\perp,2}) &= \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \delta_{\gamma_{\parallel,1}/\mu_1, \gamma_{\parallel,2}/\mu_2} \delta_{\gamma_{\perp,1}/\mu_1, \gamma_{\perp,2}/\mu_2} (t_1 - t_2)^{-2\delta_1} \times \\
&\times \left[\left(1 + \left| \frac{\mu_1 r_{\parallel,1} - \mu_2 r_{\parallel,2}}{t_1 - t_2} \right| \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mu_1 r_{\perp,1} - \mu_2 r_{\perp,2}}{t_1 - t_2} \right)^2 \right]^{-|\gamma_{\parallel,1}/\mu_1|} \times \\
&\times \exp \left[- \left| \frac{2\gamma_{\perp,1}}{\mu_1} \arctan \frac{(\mu_1 r_{\perp,1} - \mu_2 r_{\perp,2})/(t_1 - t_2)}{1 + (\mu_1 r_{\parallel,1} - \mu_2 r_{\parallel,2})/(t_1 - t_2)} \right| \right] \quad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

in $d = 2$ spatial dimensions. We also see that the parallel and perpendicular rapidities $\gamma_{\parallel,1}$ and $\gamma_{\perp,1}$, respectively, enter into the $2D$ two-point correlator in very different ways. The qualitative shapes of the correlator have been shown in figures 3 and 4 which illustrate clearly the respective importance of the longitudinal and transverse rapidities, γ_{\parallel} and γ_{\perp} , together with the richness of possible scaling forms, in the real physical coordinates. In general, one would have expected that rotation-invariance in the transverse space coordinates \mathbf{r}_{\perp} should reduce the case of $d \geq 3$ dimensions to (4.2). Surprisingly, this only seems to work⁴ if the transverse rapidity $\gamma_{\perp,1} = 0$, when the two-point correlator takes indeed a form which is rotation-invariant in the $d - 1$ transverse directions. In that case, one may replace $r_{\perp,j} \mapsto \mathbf{r}_{\perp,j}$.

These explicit results clearly show that boundedness on the entire set of time-space points $(t, r_{\parallel}, r_{\perp}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is not compatible with a holomorphic dependence of the two-point function on the time- and space-coordinates. According to the Wiener-Khintchine theorem [29], the Fourier transform of a two-point correlator which obeys spatial translation-invariance must be positive. We have checked that eqs. (4.1,4.2) obey this necessary condition for a physical correlator.

The known regularised two-point correlators of the conformal galilean algebra [41, 43], see eq. (1.8), are reproduced in the $\mu \rightarrow 0$ limit.

Remarkably, questions of causality and boundedness appear to find different answers for the non-semi-simple Schrödinger and conformal galilean algebras than for the semi-simple conformal and meta-conformal algebras, see table 1. In the first case, it turned out to be sufficient to enlarge the Cartan sub-algebra \mathfrak{h} of the Lie algebra by a further generator N . This either immediately yielded the physically required causality condition of the response functions whose form is described by the Schrödinger algebras [36, 39], or made it possible to demonstrate that the dualised correlator is in the Hardy space H_2^{\pm} (if $d = 1$) [41, 42, 43], see appendix A. Although the extra generator N ceases to be useful for the meta-conformal algebra, the Hardy-space criterion does remain useful, even as a postulate. Of course, for *ortho*-conformal representations the implicit analyticity in the time-space coordinates permits to sidestep the question. It would be interesting to extend these results to the logarithmic representations [40] of conformal galilean and meta-conformal algebras.

⁴There is no obvious rotation-invariant generalisation of the last exponential factor in (4.2), beyond a single transverse dimension.

Appendix A. Bounded correlators for the conformal galilean algebra

To make this work more self-contained, we briefly recall the corresponding argument for the limit case $\mu \rightarrow 0$ of the conformal galilean algebra CGA(1) [41, 42, 43]. In this case, the Hardy-space property of the dualised correlator can be derived and need not be postulated. In order to carry out the required contraction, we start from the 1D meta-conformal generators (2.2) and rescale the generators $\mu Y_n \mapsto Y_n$. This changes the commutators (2.3) into

$$[X_n, X_m] = (n - m)X_{n+m} \ , \ [X_n, Y_m] = (n - m)Y_{n+m} \ , \ [Y_n, Y_m] = (n - m)\mu Y_{n+m} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Taking now the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$ in (2.2) gives the contracted generators

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= i(n+1)nt^{n-1}r\partial_\zeta - t^{n+1}\partial_t - (n+1)t^n r\partial_r - (n+1)\delta t^n \\ Y_n &= i(n+1)t^n\partial_\zeta - t^{n+1}\partial_r \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

of the non-semi-simple conformal galilean algebra CGA(1), with the commutators

$$[X_n, X_m] = (n - m)X_{n+m} \ , \ [X_n, Y_m] = (n - m)Y_{n+m} \ , \ [Y_n, Y_m] = 0 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We proceed as in section 2 of the main text. Add an extra generator N to the Cartan subalgebra, which must read

$$N = -\zeta\partial_\zeta - r\partial_r - \nu \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where ν is a constant. As in section 2 of the main text, the dualised two-point correlator must take the form $\widehat{F} = \delta_{\delta_1, \delta_2} |t|^{-2\delta_1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\zeta_+ + ir/t)$. Recall the notation $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda(\zeta_+) := \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\zeta_+ + i\lambda)$ and $\zeta_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_1 + \zeta_2)$. In the case at hand co-variance under N leads to the specific form [41, 42]

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(u) = u^{-2\nu} \ , \ 2\nu := \nu_1 + \nu_2 \quad (\text{A.5})$$

up to an unspecified normalisation constant. We can now make contact with the Hardy spaces H_2^\pm introduced in appendix B.

Proposition [41, 42]. *Let $\nu > \frac{1}{4}$. If $\lambda > 0$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda \in H_2^+$ and if $\lambda < 0$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda \in H_2^-$.*

Proof: $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda$ is clearly analytic in the complex half-planes \mathbb{H}_\pm , respectively and we must check the bound (B.1). Observe that $|\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda(u + iv)| = |(u + i(v + \lambda))^{-2\nu}| = (u^2 + (v + \lambda)^2)^{-\nu}$. Since $\nu > \frac{1}{4}$ the integral in (B.1) converges and we have explicitly, for $\lambda > 0$

$$M^2 = \sup_{v>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} du \left| \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\lambda(u + iv) \right|^2 = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2\nu - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2\nu)} \sup_{v>0} (v + \lambda)^{1-4\nu} < \infty$$

as required (Γ denotes Euler's Gamma function [1]). For $\lambda < 0$ the argument is analogous. \square

The final correlator is found from the Hardy space representation theorem (B.3). For $\lambda > 0$, this gives (with the constraint $\delta_1 = \delta_2$)

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{|t|^{-2x_1}}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\zeta_+ d\zeta_- e^{-i(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)\zeta_+} e^{-i(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\zeta_-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\gamma_+ \Theta(\gamma_+) \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_+(\gamma_+) e^{-\gamma_+ \lambda} e^{i\gamma_+ \zeta_+} \\ &= \frac{|t|^{-2x_1}}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\gamma_+ \Theta(\gamma_+) \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_+(\gamma_+) e^{-\gamma_+ \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\zeta_- e^{-i(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\zeta_-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\zeta_+ e^{i(\gamma_+ - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\zeta_+} \\ &= \delta(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \Theta(\gamma_1) F_{0,+}(\gamma_1) e^{-2\gamma_1 \lambda} |t|^{-2\delta_1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Herein, $F_{0,+}$ contains the unspecified dependence on the positive constant γ_1 . Similar, for $\lambda < 0$, we find $F = \delta(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\Theta(-\gamma_1)F_{0,-}(\gamma_1)e^{2\gamma_1|\lambda|}|t|^{-2\delta_1}$.

Before writing down a single combined form, we generalise to GCA(d) in $d > 1$ dimensions. Using a vector notation, the dualised generators are (with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $1 \leq j, k \leq d$) [41]

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= +i(n+1)nt^{n-1}\mathbf{r} \cdot \partial_{\zeta} - t^{n+1}\partial_t - (n+1)t^n\mathbf{r} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}} - (n+1)\delta t^n \\ \mathbf{Y}_n &= +i(n+1)t^n\partial_{\zeta} - t^{n+1}\partial_{\mathbf{r}} \\ R_n^{(jk)} &= -t^n(r_j\partial_{r_k} - r_k\partial_{r_j}) - t^n(\zeta_j\partial_{\zeta_k} - \zeta_k\partial_{\zeta_j}) \\ N &= -\boldsymbol{\zeta} \cdot \partial_{\zeta} - \mathbf{r} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}} - \nu \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

Taking also rotation-invariance (notice the specific form of the dualised spatial rotation generator $R_0^{(jk)}$) into account, we recover eq. (1.8) of the main text.

Appendix B. Background on Hardy spaces

In the main text (and appendix A), we need precise statements on the Fourier transform on semi-infinite spaces. These can be conveniently formulated in terms of Hardy spaces, where we restrict to the special case H_2 . Our brief summary is based on [3, 55].

We begin with the case of functions of a single complex variable z , defined in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = x + iy \text{ and } y > 0\}$.

Definition 1: A function $f : \mathbb{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the Hardy space H_2^+ if it is holomorphic on \mathbb{H}_+ and if

$$M^2 := \sup_{y>0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |f(x + iy)|^2 < \infty \tag{B.1}$$

The main results of interest to us can be summarised as follows.

Theorem 1: [3] Let $f : \mathbb{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $f \in H_2^+$
2. there exists a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is square-integrable $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} f(x + iy) = f(x)$ and

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z}, \quad 0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z^*} \tag{B.2}$$

where $z^* = x - iy$ denotes the complex conjugate of z . For notational simplicity, one often writes $f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} f(x + iy)$, with $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. there exists a function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, such that for all $y > 0$

$$f(z) = f(x + iy) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} d\zeta e^{i(x+iy)\zeta} \hat{f}(\zeta) \tag{B.3}$$

The property (B.3) is of major interest to us in the main text.

Clearly, any function $f : \mathbb{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which also admits a representation (B.3) with $y > 0$ and $\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is in the Hardy space H_2^+ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx |f(x+iy)|^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\zeta \int_0^\infty d\bar{\zeta} \widehat{f}(\zeta) \widehat{f}^*(\bar{\zeta}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx e^{i(x+iy)\zeta} e^{-i(x-iy)\bar{\zeta}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\zeta \int_0^\infty d\bar{\zeta} \widehat{f}(\zeta) \widehat{f}^*(\bar{\zeta}) e^{-2y(\zeta+\bar{\zeta})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx e^{ix(\zeta-\bar{\zeta})} \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\zeta |\widehat{f}(\zeta)|^2 e^{-4y\zeta} \leq \int_0^\infty d\zeta |\widehat{f}(\zeta)|^2 =: M^2 < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The more difficult part is to show that any $f \in H_2^+$ indeed admits such a representation. For the proof, (B.2) is needed [3]. Elements of a Hardy space enjoy certain limit behaviours, e.g. if $f \in H_2^+$, it can easily be shown that [3]

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} f(x+iy) = 0 \quad ; \quad \text{uniformly for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{B.5a})$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(x+iy) = 0 \quad ; \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } y \geq y_0 > 0 \quad (\text{B.5b})$$

Indeed, eq. (B.5a) follows from the bound (which in turn can be obtained from (B.3) [3, 49])

$$|f(x+iy)| \leq f_\infty y^{-1/2} \quad (\text{B.5c})$$

which holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and where the constant $f_\infty > 0$ depends on the function f . A simple sufficient criterion establishes whether a given function f is in the Hardy space H_2^+ :

Lemma: *If the complex function $f(z) = f(x+iy)$ is holomorphic for all $y \geq 0$, obeys the bound $|f(z)| < f_0 e^{-\delta y}$, with constants $f_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, and if $\int_{-\infty}^\infty dx |f(x)|^2 < \infty$, then $f \in H_2^+$.*

Proof: Since $f(z)$ is holomorphic on the closure $\overline{\mathbb{H}_+}$ (which includes the real axis), one has the Cauchy formula

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} dw \frac{f(w)}{w-z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-R}^R dw \frac{f(w)}{w-z} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{sup}}} dw \frac{f(w)}{w-z} =: F_1(z) + F_2(z)$$

where the integration contour \mathcal{C} consists of the segment $[-R, R]$ on the real axis and the superior semi-circle \mathcal{C}_{sup} . One may write $w = u+iv = Re^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sup}}$. It follows that on the superior semi-circle $|f(w)| < f_0 e^{-\delta v} = f_0 e^{-\delta R \sin\theta}$. Now, for R large enough, one has $|w-z| = |w(1-z/w)| \geq R \frac{1}{2}$, for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}_+}$ fixed and $w \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sup}}$. One estimates the contribution $F_2(z)$ of the superior semi-circle, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |F_2(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\text{sup}}} |dw| \frac{|f(w)|}{|w(1-z/w)|} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \frac{f_0 e^{-\delta R \sin\theta} R}{R \frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{2f_0}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \exp\left(-\frac{2\delta}{\pi} R\theta\right) \leq \frac{f_0}{\delta} \frac{1}{R} \rightarrow 0 \quad , \quad \text{for } R \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $R \rightarrow \infty$, one has the integral representation $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dw f(w)(w-z)^{-1}$. Since $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the assertion follows from eq. (B.2) of theorem 1. \square

One may also define a Hardy space H_2^- for functions holomorphic on the lower complex half-plane \mathbb{H}_- , by adapting the above definition. All results transpose in an evident way.

Further conceptual preparations are necessary for the generalisation of these results to higher dimensions, although we shall merely treat the $2D$ case, which is enough for our purposes (and generalisations to $n > 2$ will be obvious). We denote $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and write the scalar product $\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{w} = z_1 w_1 + z_2 w_2$ for $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Following [55], H_2 -spaces can be defined as follows.

Definition 2: *If $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an open set, the tube T_B with base B is*

$$T_B := \{ \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \mathbf{y} \in B, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

A function $f : T_B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is holomorphic on T_B is in the Hardy space $H_2(T_B)$ if

$$M^2 := \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in B} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x} |f(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})|^2 < \infty \quad (\text{B.7})$$

However, it turns out that this definition is too general. More interesting results are obtained if one uses c\^one as a base of the tubes.

Definition 3: *(i) An open c\^one $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the properties $0 \notin \Gamma$ and if $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$, then $\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y} \in \Gamma$. A closed c\^one is the closure $\overline{\Gamma}$ of an open c\^one Γ .*

(ii) If Γ is a c\^one, and if the set

$$\Gamma^* := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t} \geq 0 \text{ with } \mathbf{t} \in \Gamma \} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

has a non-vanishing interior, then Γ^ is the dual c\^one with respect to Γ . The c\^one Γ is called self-dual, if $\Gamma^* = \overline{\Gamma}$.*

For illustration, note that in one dimension ($n = 1$) the only c\^one is $\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\} = \mathbb{R}_+$. It is self-dual, since $\Gamma^* = \overline{\Gamma} = \mathbb{R}_{0,+}$. In two dimensions ($n = 2$), consider the c\^one $\Gamma^{++} := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \text{ with } x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0 \}$ which is the *first quadrant* in the $2D$ plane. Since

$$\Gamma^{++*} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t} \geq 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{t} \in \Gamma^{++} \} = \mathbb{R}_{0,+} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{0,+} = \overline{\Gamma^{++}} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

the c\^one Γ^{++} is self-dual.

Hardy spaces defined on the tubes $T_{\Gamma^{++}}$ of the first quadrant provide the required structure.

Definition 4: [55] *If Γ^{++} denotes the first quadrant of the plane \mathbb{R}^2 , a function $f : T_{\Gamma^{++}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic on $T_{\Gamma^{++}}$ is in the Hardy space H_2^{++} if*

$$M^2 := \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \Gamma^{++}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x} |f(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})|^2 < \infty \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Theorem 2: [55] *Let the function $f : T_{\Gamma^{++}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $f \in H_2^{++}$
2. *there exists a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is square-integrable $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, such that $\lim_{\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}^+} f(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x})$ and*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{w} \frac{f(\mathbf{w})}{\mathbf{w} - z}, \quad 0 = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{w} \frac{f(\mathbf{w})}{\mathbf{w} - z^*} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

where $(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z})^{-1} := (w_1 - z_1)^{-1}(w_2 - z_2)^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{z}^* = \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y}$ denotes the complex conjugate of \mathbf{z} . For notational simplicity, one often writes $f(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}^+} f(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$, with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

3. there exists a function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}_+ \oplus \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, with $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \oplus \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that for all $z_i \in \mathbb{H}_+$

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma^{++}} dt e^{iz \cdot \mathbf{t}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dt_1 \int_0^\infty dt_2 e^{i(z_1 t_1 + z_2 t_2)} \hat{f}(\mathbf{t}) \quad (\text{B.12})$$

The property (B.12) is of major interest to us in the main text. Summarising, the restriction to the first quadrant Γ^{++} allows to carry over the known results from the $1D$ case, separately for each component.

Hardy spaces H_2^{+-} , H_2^{-+} , H_2^{--} on the other quadrants can be defined in complete analogy.

Acknowledgements: MH thanks the PHC Rila for support and the MPIPKS Dresden (Germany) for warm hospitality, where part of this work was done. SS is supported by Bulgarian National Science Fund Grant KP-06-N28/6.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover (New York 1965).
- [2] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, Invariant partial differential equations with two-dimensional exotic centrally extended conformal Galilei symmetry, *J. Math. Phys.* **57**, 041701 (2016), [[arXiv:1512.02290](#)].
- [3] N.I. Akhiezer, *Lectures on integral transforms*, American Mathematical Society (Providence R.I. 1988).
- [4] R. Alonso, V. Bagland, B. Lods, Convergence to self-similarity for ballistic annihilation dynamics, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **138**, 88 (2020), [[arXiv:1804.06192](#)].
- [5] A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, Galilean conformal algebras and AdS/CFT, *JHEP* 0907:037 (2009), [[arXiv:0902.1385](#)].
- [6] A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, I. Mandal, A. Miwa, CGA in 2D, *JHEP* 1008:004 (2010), [[arXiv:0912.1090](#)].
- [7] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, R. Fareghbal, J. Simón, Holographies of 3D flat cosmological horizons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 141302 (2013) [[arXiv:1208.4372](#)].
- [8] A. Bagchi, M. Gary, Zodinmawia, Bondi-Metzner-Sachs bootstrap, *Phys. Rev.* **D96**, 025007 (2017), [[arxiv:1612:01730](#)].
- [9] G. Barnich and G. Compère, Classical central extension for asymptotic symmetries at null infinity in three spacetime dimensions, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** F15 (2007); corrigendum **24**, 3139 (2007) [[gr-qc/0610130](#)].

- [10] G. Barnich, A. Gomberoff, H.A. González, Three-dimensional Bondi-Metzner-Sachs invariant two-dimensional field-theories as the flat limit of Liouville theory, *Phys. Rev.* **D87**, 124032 (2007), [[arXiv:1210.0731](#)].
- [11] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.B. Zamolodchikov, Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field-theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B241**, 333 (1984).
- [12] A. Biella, M. Collura, D. Rossini, A. De Luca, L. Mazza, Ballistic transport and boundary resistance in inhomogeneous quantum spin chains, *Nature Comm.* **10**, 4820 (2019), [[arXiv:1905.00088](#)].
- [13] R. Blumenhagen, E. Plauschinn, *Introduction to conformal field-theory*, Springer Lecture Notes in Physics **779**, Springer (Heidelberg 2009).
- [14] H. Bondi, M.G.J. van der Burg, A.W.K. Metzner, Gravitational waves in general relativity, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London*, **A269**, 21 (1962).
- [15] H. Bluhm, T. Brückel, M. Morgenstern, G.v. Plessen, C. Stampfer, *Electrons in solids*, de Gruyter (Berlin 2019).
- [16] P. Calabrese, J.L. Cardy, Entanglement and correlation functions following a local quench: a conformal field theory approach, *J. Stat. Mech.* P10004 (2007), [[arXiv:0708.3750](#)].
- [17] P. Calabrese, J.L. Cardy, Quantum quenches in 1+1 dimensional conformal field theories, *J. Stat. Mech.* P064003 (2016), [[arXiv:1603.02889](#)].
- [18] A. Campa, T. Dauxois, D. Fanelli, S. Ruffo, *Physics of Long-Range Interacting Systems* Oxford University Press (Oxford 2014).
- [19] O.A. Castro-Alvaredo, B. Doyon, T. Yoshimura, Emergent hydrodynamics in integrable quantum systems out of equilibrium, *Phys. Rev.* **X6**, 041065 (2016), [[arXiv:1605.07331](#)].
- [20] J.-S. Caux, B. Doyon, J. Dubail, R. Konik, T. Yoshimura, Hydrodynamics of the interacting Bose gas in the Quantum Newton Cradle setup, *Sci Post Phys.* **6**, 070 (2019), [[arXiv:1711.00873](#)].
- [21] F. Coppex, M. Droz, J. Piasecki, E. Trizac, P. Wittwer, Some exact results for Boltzmann's annihilation dynamics, *Phys. Rev.* **E67**, 021103 (2003), [[arXiv:cond-mat/0210376](#)].
- [22] G. Delfino, Correlation spreading and properties of the quantum state in quench dynamics, *Phys. Rev.* **E97**, 062138 (2018), [[arXiv:1710.06275](#)].
- [23] B. Doyon, J. Dubail, R. Konik, T. Yoshimura, Large-scale description of interacting one-dimensional Bose gases: generalized hydrodynamics supersedes conventional hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 195301 (2017) [[arXiv:1704.04151](#)].
- [24] A. Dutta, G. Aeppli, B.K. Chakrabarti, U. Divakaran, T.F. Rosenbaum, D. Sen, *Quantum phase transitions in transverse-field spin models*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge 2015).

- [25] C. Duval, P.A. Horváthy, Non-relativistic conformal symmetries and Newton-Cartan structures, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 465206 (2009), [[arXiv:0904.0531](#)].
- [26] C. Duval, G.W. Gibbons, P.A. Horváthy, Conformal Carroll groups, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47**, 335204 (2014), [[arXiv:1403.4213](#)].
- [27] C. Duval, G.W. Gibbons, P.A. Horváthy, Conformal Carroll groups and BMS symmetry, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **34**, 092001 (2014), [[arXiv:1402.5894](#)].
- [28] Y. Elskens, D. Escande, F. Doveil, Vlasov equation and N -body dynamics, *Eur. Phys. J.* **D68**, 218 (2014) [[arXiv:1403.0056](#)].
- [29] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, vol. 2 (2nd ed), Wiley (New York 1971).
- [30] P. di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Sénéchal, *Conformal field theory*, Springer (Heidelberg 1997).
- [31] C. Godrèche, M. Pleimling, Dynamics of the two-dimensional directed Ising model: zero-temperature coarsening, *J. Stat. Mech.* P07023 (2015) [[arXiv:1505.06587](#)].
- [32] T.H. Hansson, M. Hermanns, S.H. Simon, S.F. Viefers, Quantum Hall physics: hierarchies and conformal field-theory techniques, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 025005 (2017), [[arXiv:1601.01697](#)].
- [33] P. Havas and J. Plebanski, Conformal extensions of the Galilei group and their relation to the Schrödinger group, *J. Math. Phys.* **19**, 482 (1978).
- [34] M. Henkel, *Conformal invariance and critical phenomena*, Springer (Heidelberg 1999).
- [35] M. Henkel, Phenomenology of local scale-invariance: from conformal invariance to dynamical scaling, *Nucl. Phys.* **B641**, 405 (2002) [[hep-th/0205256](#)].
- [36] M. Henkel, J. Unterberger, Schrödinger invariance and space-time symmetries, *Nucl. Phys.* **B660**, 407 (2003) [[hep-th/0302187](#)].
- [37] M. Henkel, M. Pleimling, *Non-equilibrium phase transitions vol. 2: ageing and dynamical scaling far from equilibrium*, Springer (Heidelberg 2010).
- [38] M. Henkel, D. Karevski (eds), *Conformal Invariance: an introduction to loops, interfaces and stochastic Loewner evolution*, Springer Lecture Notes in Physics **853**, Springer (Heidelberg 2012).
- [39] M. Henkel, Causality from dynamical symmetry: an example from local scale-invariance, in A. Makhlof *et al.* (eds.), *Algebra, Geometry and Mathematical Physics*, Springer Proc. Math. & Statistics **85**, 511 (2014), [[arXiv:1205.5901](#)].
- [40] M. Henkel, A. Hosseiny, S. Rouhani, Logarithmic Exotic Conformal Galilean Algebras, *Nucl. Phys.* **B879** [PM], 292 (2014); ([arXiv:1311.3457](#)).
- [41] M. Henkel, Dynamical symmetries and causality in non-equilibrium phase transitions, *Symmetry* **7**, 2108 (2015), [[arXiv:1509.03669](#)].

- [42] M. Henkel, S. Stoimenov, Physical ageing and Lie algebras of local scale-invariance, in V. Dobrev (ed) *Lie Theory and its Applications in Physics*, Springer Proc. Math. & Statistics **111**, 33 (2015) [[arXiv:1401.6086](#)].
- [43] M. Henkel and S. Stoimenov, Meta-conformal invariance and the boundedness of two-point correlation functions. *J. Phys A: Math. Theor.* **49**, 47LT01 (2016), [[arXiv:1607.00685](#)].
- [44] M. Henkel, S. Stoimenov, Infinite-dimensional meta-conformal Lie algebras in one and two spatial dimensions, *J. Stat. Mech.* 084009 (2019), [[arXiv:1810.09855v3](#)].
- [45] M. Henkel, S. Stoimenov, Infinite-dimensional meta-conformal symmetries: 1D diffusion-limited erosion and ballistic transport in (1 + 2) dimensions, in V.K. Dobrev (ed) *Quantum Theory and Symmetries with Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics*, vol. 1, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics **263**, pp. 113-135, Springer (Heidelberg 2018).
- [46] E. Hille, *Ordinary differential equations in the complex domain*, Wiley (New York 1976) & Dover (New York 1997).
- [47] A. Hosseiny, S. Rouhani, Affine extension of galilean conformal algebra in 2+1 dimensions, *J. Math. Phys.* **51**, 052307 (2016) [[arXiv:0909.1203](#)].
- [48] J.S. Kang, M. Li, H. Wu, H. Nguyen, Y. Hu, Experimental observation of high thermal conductivity in boron arsenide, *Science* **361**, 575 (2018)
- [49] P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p spaces*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press (Cambridge 1998).
- [50] S. Krivonos, O. Lechtenfeld, A. Sorin, Minimal realization of ℓ -conformal Galilei algebra, Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillators and their deformation, *JHEP* **1610**, 073 (2016) [[arXiv:1607.03756](#)].
- [51] D. Martelli, Y. Tachikawa, Comments on Galilean conformal field theories and their geometric realization, *JHEP* 1005:091 (2010), [[arXiv:0903.5184](#)].
- [52] P. Moosavi, Inhomogeneous conformal field theory out of equilibrium, [[arXiv:1912.04821](#)].
- [53] L. Piroli, J. de Nardis, M. Collura, B. Bertini, M. Fagotti, Transport in out-of-equilibrium XXZ chains: Nonballistic behaviour and correlation functions, *Phys. Rev.* **B96**, 115124 (2017), [[arXiv:1706.00413](#)].
- [54] M. Schottenloher, *A Mathematical Introduction to Conformal Field-Theory*, Springer Lecture Notes Physics **759**, Springer (Heidelberg 2008).
- [55] E.M. Stein, G. Weiss, *Fourier analysis in euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press (Princeton, N.J. 1971).
- [56] S. Stoimenov and M. Henkel, From conformal invariance towards dynamical symmetries of the collisionless Boltzmann equation, *Symmetry* **7**, 1595 (2015) [[arXiv:1509.00434](#)].

- [57] S. Stoimenov and M. Henkel, Construction of meta-conformal algebras in d spatial dimensions, AIP Conf. Proc. **2075**, 090026 (2019).
- [58] S. Stoimenov and M. Henkel, Meta-conformal invariance in the directed Glauber-Ising chain, in V. Dobrev (ed), “Proceedings of the XIII International Workshop Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics”, (Varna, Bulgaria, June 2019), Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **335**, at press, Springer (Heidelberg 2020).
- [59] E. Trizac, Scaling in ballistic annihilation kinetics, J. Phys. Cond. Matt. **14**, 2159 (2002).
- [60] C. Weiss, S.A. Gardiner, H.-P. Breuer, From short-time diffusive to long-time ballistic dynamics: the unusual center-of-mass motion of quantum bright solitons, Phys. Rev. **A91**, 063616 (2015), [[arXiv:1407.7633](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7633)].