

Generic singularity of general relativity and its quantum fate

Włodzimierz Piechocki^{1,*}

¹*Department of Fundamental Research,
National Centre for Nuclear Research, Pasteura 7, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland*

(Dated: January 20, 2022)

Abstract

The Belinski-Khalatnikov-Lifshitz scenario concerns the existence of generic singularity of general relativity. At the singularity, there is a breakdown of all known laws of physics. Quantization of this scenario leads, however, to regular quantum evolution. The singularity is avoided by a quantum bounce. It is fairly probable that quantum general relativity, to be constructed, would be free from singularities. Thus, it could be used to address issues such as the quantum fates of cosmological and black holes singularities.

arXiv:2006.05242v2 [gr-qc] 17 Jul 2020

* wlodzimierz.piechocki@ncbj.gov.pl

I. INTRODUCTION

Based on the assumption that the universe is spatially isotropic and homogeneous, Alexander Friedmann in 1922 derived simple dynamics from Einstein's field equations. The solution to this dynamics includes gravitational singularity. It is characterised by the divergence of gravitational and matter field invariants so that there is breakdown of all the known laws of physics at the singularity. Friedmann's-type model, called Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker universe, is commonly used in cosmology and astrophysics. However, in 1946, Evgeny Lifshitz found that the isotropy is unstable in the evolution towards the singularity [1]. This important discovery initiated extensive examination of the dynamics of anisotropic but homogeneous models, that is, Bianchi-type, in particular the Bianchi VIII and IX [2]. The result of these investigations carried out by Belinski, Khalatnikov and Lifshitz (BKL), led to the conclusion that general relativity includes the generic solution with the singularity [3]. Roughly speaking, by generic solution one means that it corresponds to non-zero measure subset of all initial data, it is stable against perturbation of the initial data, and depends on some arbitrary functions of space. This conjecture concerns both cosmological and astrophysical singularities. The BKL scenario can be seen in the low energy bosonic sectors of all five types of superstring models [4].

Quite independently, Roger Penrose [5] proved, among other things, that under some conditions spacetime may include incomplete geodesics. They are called singular despite they do not need to imply that the invariants diverge. This theorem states little about the dynamics of the gravitational field near the end points of such pathological geodesics. Thus, it is of little usefulness in the context of finding possible quantum dynamics.

On the contrary, the BKL assumption states that the terms with temporal derivatives in the dynamics dominate over the terms with spatial derivatives when approaching the singularity. Consequently, the points in space decouple and the dynamics becomes effectively the same as of the non-diagonal (general) Bianchi IX universe (see App. A for more details). The dynamics of the latter towards the singularity includes infinite number of oscillations of gravitational field, which lead to a chaotic process that finally approaches, in finite proper time, the singularity. Described evolution is called the BKL scenario.

II. CLASSICAL DYNAMICS

The asymptotic form of the BKL scenario can be derived from the general forms of the dynamics of the Bianchi IX spacetime if one makes the following assumptions [7]: (i) stress-energy tensor components can be ignored, (ii) Ricci tensor components R_a^0 have negligible influence on the dynamics, and (iii) anisotropy of space may grow without bound. These assumptions lead to enormous simplification of the mathematical form of the dynamics. It can be well approximated by the following system of equations [6, 7]:

$$\frac{d^2 \ln a}{d\tau^2} = \frac{b}{a} - a^2, \quad \frac{d^2 \ln b}{d\tau^2} = a^2 - \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{b}, \quad \frac{d^2 \ln c}{d\tau^2} = a^2 - \frac{c}{b}, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d \ln a}{d\tau} \frac{d \ln b}{d\tau} + \frac{d \ln a}{d\tau} \frac{d \ln c}{d\tau} + \frac{d \ln b}{d\tau} \frac{d \ln c}{d\tau} = a^2 + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{b}, \quad (2)$$

where $a = a(\tau)$, $b = b(\tau)$ and $c = c(\tau)$ are called the directional scale factors.

Equations (1) and (2) define a highly nonlinear coupled system of equations. They present the essence of the BKL scenario. By applying dynamical system analysis to the above evolutions, it can be observed that it has critical points of non-hyperbolic type so that the dynamics cannot be approximated by linearised equations [8]. The space of the critical points is related to the gravitational singularity. Numerical simulations of the evolution of the non-diagonal BIX model towards the singularity confirm the existence of the asymptotic form of the dynamics [9].

The evolution defined by Eqs. (1)–(2) is different from the commonly known Misner’s mixmaster dynamics [10] specific to the diagonal (simplified) Bianchi IX model [11]. The mixmaster dynamics has different symmetry and does not take into account the effect of rotation of the so-called Kasner’s axes. Other important difference is that the system (1)–(2) describes the asymptotic dynamics of both BVIII and BIX spacetimes [7].

The existence of the generic singularity in solutions to Einstein’s equations signals the existence of the limit of validity of general relativity and means that this classical theory is incomplete. It is expected that the imposition of quantum rules onto general relativity may lead to quantum theory devoid of singularities to be used to explain observational data. Thus, it is tempting to quantize the dynamics defined by Eqs. (1)–(2) to determine if one can get regular quantum dynamics.

The first step towards quantization of the asymptotic dynamics is rewriting it in terms of the Hamiltonian system devoid of any dynamical constraint. Making use of the reduced phase space technique enables rewriting the dynamics (1)–(2) in the form of the Hamiltonian system [8]:

$$dq_1/dt = \partial H/\partial p_1 = (p_2 - p_1 + t)/2F, \quad (3)$$

$$dq_2/dt = \partial H/\partial p_2 = (p_1 - p_2 + t)/2F, \quad (4)$$

$$dp_1/dt = -\partial H/\partial q_1 = (2e^{2q_1} - e^{q_2 - q_1})/F, \quad (5)$$

$$dp_2/dt = -\partial H/\partial q_2 = -1 + e^{q_2 - q_1}/F, \quad (6)$$

where the Hamiltonian reads

$$H(q_1, q_2; p_1, p_2; t) := -q_2 - \ln F(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2, t), \quad (7)$$

and where

$$F := -e^{2q_1} - e^{q_2 - q_1} - \frac{1}{4}(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + t^2) + \frac{1}{2}(p_1 p_2 + p_1 t + p_2 t) > 0. \quad (8)$$

The canonical variables $\{q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2\}$ and the evolution parameter t are related to the scale factors $\{a, b, c\}$.

The singularity of this dynamics turns out to be defined by the condition:

$$q_1 \rightarrow -\infty, \quad q_2 - q_1 \rightarrow -\infty, \quad F \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (9)$$

The Hamiltonian (7) is not of polynomial-type so that canonical quantization cannot be applied. Since the physical phase space consists of the two half-planes, it can be identified with the Cartesian product of two affine groups. Thus, quantization can be carried out using the affine coherent states (ACS) method [12, 13].

III. QUANTUM DYNAMICS

Here we outline the main features of the affine coherent states quantization scheme. More details are given in App. B.

Roughly speaking, by quantization of classical system represented by observables defined on phase space, we mean¹:

- ascribing to that system self-adjoint operators acting in Hilbert space ,
- ascribing to Hamilton's dynamics Schrödinger's dynamics ,
- examination of time dependence of probability amplitude .

A. Choice of Hilbert space

The physical phase space Π consists of the two half-planes:

$$\Pi = \Pi_1 \times \Pi_2 := \{(q_1, p_1) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+\} \times \{(q_2, p_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+\},$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$.

Separately, Π_1 and Π_2 can be identified with the affine group $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$. This group has the unitary irreducible representation realized in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\nu(x))$, where $d\nu(x) = dx/x$, defined by

$$U(q, p)\psi(x) = e^{iqx}\psi(px).$$

This enables defining the continuous family of affine coherent states $|q, p\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\nu(x))$ as follows

$$|q, p\rangle = U(q, p)|\phi\rangle, \quad (10)$$

where $|\phi\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\nu(x))$, is the so-called fiducial vector, which is a free 'parameter' of this quantization scheme.

B. Quantum observables

The irreducibility of the representation leads (due to Schur' lemma) to the resolution of the unity in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\nu(x))$:

$$\frac{1}{A_\phi} \int_{\Pi} d\mu(q, p) |q, p\rangle \langle q, p| = \mathbb{I}, \quad (11)$$

¹ We specify the simplest case of quantization.

where $d\mu(q, p) := dq dp/p^2$ is the left invariant measure on Π , and where $A_\phi := \int_0^\infty |\phi(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{x^2} < \infty$ is a constant.

Using (11), enables quantization of any observable $f : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$f \longrightarrow \hat{f} = \frac{1}{A_\phi} \int_{\Pi} d\mu(q, p) |q, p\rangle f(q, p) \langle q, p|. \quad (12)$$

The operator \hat{f} is symmetric (Hermitian) by construction. No ordering ambiguity occurs (familiar disaster of canonical quantization).

C. Quantum BKL scenario

Since the Hamiltonian (7) is the generator of the evolution in the physical phase space, the Hermitian operator corresponding to it can be used to define the Schrödinger equation (units are chosen so that $\hbar = 1 = c = G$). For the case (7), the following equation is obtained [12]:

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(t, x_1, x_2) = \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{i}{2x_2} - K(t, x_1, x_2) \right) \Psi(t, x_1, x_2), \quad (13)$$

where

$$K = \frac{1}{A_{\Phi_1} A_{\Phi_2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dp_1}{p_1^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dp_2}{p_2^2} \ln \left(F_0(t, \frac{p_1}{x_1}, \frac{p_2}{x_2}) \right) |\Phi_1(x_1/p_1)|^2 |\Phi_2(x_2/p_2)|^2, \quad (14)$$

and where

$$F_0(t, p_1, p_2) := p_1 p_2 - \frac{1}{4} (t - p_1 - p_2)^2. \quad (15)$$

The general solution to the Schrödinger equation (13) reads

$$\Psi = \eta(x_1, x_2 + t - t_0) \sqrt{\frac{x_2}{x_2 + t - t_0}} \exp \left(i \int_{t_0}^t K(t', x_1, x_2 + t - t') dt' \right), \quad (16)$$

where $t \geq t_0 > 0$, and where $\eta(x_1, x_2) := \Psi(t_0, x_1, x_2)$ is the initial state satisfying the condition

$$\eta(x_1, x_2) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x_2 < t_H, \quad (17)$$

with $t_H > 0$ being the parameter of our model.

For $t < t_H$ we get

$$\langle \Psi(t) | \Psi(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_{t_H}^\infty \frac{dx_2}{x_2} |\eta(x_1, x_2)|^2, \quad (18)$$

so that the inner product is time independent, which implies that the quantum evolution is unitary for $t > 0$.

The operator of the time reversal, $\hat{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, is defined to be

$$\hat{T} \psi(t, x_1, x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(t, x_1, x_2) := \psi(-t, x_1, x_2)^*, \quad \text{where } \psi \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (19)$$

Due to (13), the Schrödinger equation for $\tilde{\psi}$ reads

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\Psi}(t, x_1, x_2) = \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{i}{2x_2} - K(-t, t, x_1, x_2) \right) \tilde{\Psi}(t, x_1, x_2). \quad (20)$$

The general solution to (20), for $t < 0$, is found to be

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \eta(x_1, x_2 + |t| - |t_0|) \sqrt{\frac{x_2}{x_2 + |t| - |t_0|}} \exp \left(i \int_{t_0}^t K(-t', x_1, x_2 - t + t') dt' \right), \quad (21)$$

where $|t| \geq |t_0|$, and where $\eta(x_1, x_2) := \tilde{\Psi}(t_0, x_1, x_2)$ is the initial state.

The unitarity of the evolution (with $t_0 = 0$) can be obtained again if

$$\eta(x_1, x_2) = 0 \quad \text{for } x_2 < |t_H|, \quad (22)$$

which corresponds to the condition (17).

Since the solutions (16) and (21) differ only by the corresponding phases, the probability density is continuous at $t = 0$, which means that we are dealing with quantum bounce at $t = 0$ (that marks the classical singularity). This result is robust in the sense that it does not depend on the details of the ACS quantization [13].

Equations (1) and (2) define the best prototype of the BKL scenario [2, 6]. The quantum version of it presents a unitary evolution. The generic gravitational singularity is avoided by a quantum bounce. This result strongly suggests that quantum general relativity has a good chance to be free from singularities so that preliminary versions of it can be applied to address the issues of cosmological and black hole singularities.

IV. PROSPECTS

Cosmological model can be used to describe black hole, BH, after imposing the condition that one deals with an isolated object. This may be reduced to the problem of merging finite region of specific spacetime with the Schwarzschild spacetime [14]. The results concerning Hamiltonian formulation of dust cloud collapse are promising [15]. The quantization of the Oppenheimer-Snyder model, done recently within affine coherent states quantization, reveals quantum bouncing scenario [16]. One may expect that quantization of the collapsing star modelled by the Lemaître-Tolman-Bondi spacetime, that may include naked or covered singularity, can bring highly interesting results.

The sophisticated approach would be modelling of an isolated compact object with the Bianchi IX spacetime. Here, the merging process is an open issue. Near the singularity, a link with the BKL scenario is expected. Since BIX dynamics has strong anisotropic oscillatory modes, it is expected that BIX BH would radiate gravitational waves so that

one might detect them. The major challenge is however the construction of the rotating BH which might be used in the description of the real black holes.

Quantum bounce, i.e. black to white hole transition, may lead to astrophysical small bang (analogy with cosmological Big Bang). Quantum gravity may be used to get insight into the origin of numerous highly energetic explosions in distant galaxies like GRBs, pulsars, etc, and vice versa.

Appendix A: Metric of the Bianchi IX spacetime

The general form of a line element of the Bianchi IX model, in the synchronous reference system, reads:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - \gamma_{ab}(t)e_\alpha^a e_\beta^b dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where a, b, \dots run from 1 to 3 and label frame vectors; α, β, \dots take values 1, 2, 3 and concern space coordinates, and where γ_{ab} is a spatial metric.

The homogeneity of the Bianchi IX model means that the three independent differential 1-forms $e_\alpha^a dx^\alpha$ are invariant under the transformations of the isometry group of the Bianchi IX model.

The cosmological time variable t is redefined as follows:

$$dt = \sqrt{\gamma} d\tau, \quad \gamma := \det[\gamma_{ab}] \quad (\text{A2})$$

where γ is the volume density, and $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ denotes the singularity.

Appendix B: Affine coherent states quantization

The phase space $\Pi = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ can be identified with the affine group $G = \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$ by defining the multiplication law as follows

$$(q', p') \cdot (q, p) = (p'q + q', p'p), \quad (\text{B1})$$

with the unity $(0, 1)$ and the inverse

$$(q', p')^{-1} = \left(-\frac{q'}{p'}, \frac{1}{p'}\right). \quad (\text{B2})$$

The affine group has two, nontrivial, inequivalent irreducible unitary representations. Both are realized in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\nu(x))$, where $d\nu(x) = dx/x$ is the invariant measure on the multiplicative group (\mathbb{R}_+, \cdot) . In what follows we choose the one defined by

$$U(q, p)\psi(x) = e^{iqx}\psi(px). \quad (\text{B3})$$

The integration over the affine group reads

$$\int_G d\mu(p, q) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \int_0^{\infty} dq/q^2, \quad (\text{B4})$$

where the measure $d\mu(p, q)$ is left invariant.

Fixing the normalized vector $|\Phi\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\nu(x))$, called the fiducial vector, one can define a continuous family of affine coherent states $|q, p\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\nu(x))$ as follows

$$|q, p\rangle = U(q, p)|\Phi\rangle. \quad (\text{B5})$$

The irreducibility of the representation, used to define the coherent states (B5), enables making use of Schur's lemma, which leads to the resolution of the unity in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\nu(x))$:

$$\int_G d\mu(q, p)|q, p\rangle\langle q, p| = A_\Phi \mathbb{I}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

where

$$A_\Phi = \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{p^2} |\Phi(p)|^2. \quad (\text{B7})$$

Making use of the resolution of the unity (B6), we define the quantization of a classical observable $f : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$f \longrightarrow \hat{f} := \frac{1}{A_\Phi} \int_G d\mu(q, p)|q, p\rangle f(q, p)\langle q, p|, \quad (\text{B8})$$

where $\hat{f} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is the corresponding quantum observable. The mapping (B8) is covariant in the sense that one has

$$U(\xi_0)\hat{f}U^\dagger(\xi_0) = \frac{1}{A_\Phi} \int_G d\mu_L(\xi)|\xi\rangle f(\xi_0^{-1} \cdot \xi)\langle \xi| = \widehat{\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0}^L f}, \quad (\text{B9})$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_0}^L f(\xi) = f(\xi_0^{-1} \cdot \xi)$ is the left shift operation, and where $\xi_0^{-1} \cdot \xi = (q_0, p_0)^{-1} \cdot (q, p) = (\frac{q-p_0}{p_0}, \frac{p}{p_0})$, with $\xi := (q, p)$. It means, no point in the phase space Π is privileged.

Eq. (B8) defines a linear mapping and the observable \hat{f} is a symmetric operator by the construction. Let us evaluate the norm of this operator:

$$\|\hat{f}\| \leq \frac{1}{A_\Phi} \int_G d\mu(q, p)|f(q, p)|\| |q, p\rangle\langle q, p| \| = \frac{1}{A_\Phi} \int_G d\mu(q, p)|f(q, p)|. \quad (\text{B10})$$

This implies that, if the classical function f belongs to the space of integrable functions $L^1(G, d\mu_L(q, p))$, the operator \hat{f} is bounded so that it is a self-adjoint operator. Otherwise, it is defined on a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\nu(x))$ and its possible self-adjointness becomes an open problem to be examined.

[1] E. M. Lifshitz, J. Phys. (USSR) **10**, 116 (1946); E. M. Lifshitz and I. M. Khalatnikov, Adv. Phys. **12**, 185 (1963).

[2] V. A. Belinski, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23**, 1430016 (2014).

- [3] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov and E. M. Lifshitz, *Adv. Phys.* **19**, 525 (1970); **31**, 639 (1982).
- [4] T. Damour, M. Henneaux, and H. Nicolai, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) R145.
- [5] R. Penrose, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14**, 57 (1965).
- [6] V. A. Belinskii, I. M. Khalatnikov, and M. P. Ryan, “The oscillatory regime near the singularity in Bianchi-type IX universes”, Preprint **469** (1971), Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow (unpublished); the work due to V. A. Belinskii and I. M. Khalatnikov is published as sections 1 and 2 in M. P. Ryan, *Ann. Phys.* **70**, 301 (1971).
- [7] V. Belinski and M. Henneaux, *The Cosmological Singularity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017).
- [8] E. Czuchry and W. Piechocki, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 084021 (2013).
- [9] C. Kiefer, N. Kwidzinski, and W. Piechocki, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 691 (2018).
- [10] C. W. Misner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **22**, 1071 (1969); *Phys. Rev.* **186**, 1319 (1969).
- [11] E. Czuchry, N. Kwidzinski, and W. Piechocki, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 172 (2019).
- [12] A. Gózdź, W. Piechocki, and G. Plewa, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 45 (2019).
- [13] A. Gózdź and W. Piechocki, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 142 (2020).
- [14] W. Israel, *Nuovo Cimento B* **44**, 1 (1966); **48**, 463 (1966).
- [15] N. Kwidzinski, D. Malafarina, J. Ostrowski, W. Piechocki, and T. Schmitz, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 104017 (2020).
- [16] W. Piechocki and T. Schmitz, arXiv:2004.02939.