

Discreteness of Space from GUP in Strong Gravitational Fields

Ashmita Das*

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India

Saurya Das†

*Theoretical Physics Group and Quantum Alberta,
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Lethbridge,
4401 University Drive, Lethbridge, Alberta T1K 3M4, Canada*

Elias C. Vagenas‡

*Theoretical Physics Group, Department of Physics,
Kuwait University, P.O. Box 5969, Safat 13060, Kuwait*

A large class of quantum theories of gravity show that the Heisenberg's uncertainty principle is modified to the "Generalised Uncertainty Principle" (GUP) near the Planckian scale. It has also been shown that the GUP induces perturbative corrections to all quantum mechanical Hamiltonians, even at low energies, and thereby introduces Planck scale corrections to the Schrödinger equation and to the relativistic quantum mechanical equations. Some of these corrections give rise to potentially measurable effects in the low-energy laboratory. Another prediction of these corrections is that a measured length must be quantized, as seen by studying the solutions of the GUP modified Schrödinger, Klein-Gordon, and Dirac equations in a one, two, and three dimensional box. This result was subsequently extended to spacetimes with weak gravitational fields. In this work, we further extend this length quantization to spacetimes with strong gravitational fields and show that this result continues to hold, thereby showing that it is robust.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the standard quantum field theory (QFT) is successful up to a certain energy scale $\Lambda \ll M_{Pl}c^2$, where M_{Pl} is the Planck mass and $M_{Pl}c^2 \sim 10^{19} GeV$ is the Planck energy. As a result, QFT generally excludes the energy scale associated with quantum gravitational interactions. A related issue is that in quantum gravity (QG), one expects quantum fluctuations of the background spacetime, whereas standard QFT assumes a fixed background spacetime on which quantum fluctuation of matter and gauge fields are studied. As a result, a complete and consistent theory of QG is yet to be formulated, although there are promising candidate theories such as String Theory, Loop Quantum Gravity, Causal Dynamical Triangulations, Doubly Special Relativity (DSR) etc. A consistent theory of QG may be a gateway to the unification of all fundamental forces of nature. One shortcoming of all such theories is the complete absence of direct or indirect experimental evidence in support of or contradicting them. This being clearly undesirable, it is important to explore potential signatures of these theories in current or future experiments. This has been the subject of study of Quantum Gravity Phenomenology [1, 2].

In this respect, one has often exploited a robust prediction of candidate theories of QG, namely a minimum uncertainty in position measurement to $\mathcal{O}(l_{Pl})$ with $l_{Pl} \sim 10^{-35} m$ to be the Planck length, the corresponding existence of a minimum measurable length [3–5], and the modification of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle (HUP) to the so-called Generalised Uncertainty Principle (GUP) [6–9].

The GUP implies a modification of the standard Heisenberg commutator, i.e., $[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}$, by terms induced from QG. Although these terms imply significant contributions near the Planck length or energy scale, they give rise to potentially measurable effects at much lower energy scales. A generalization of the GUP was proposed in Refs. [10–14] of the form

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \left[\delta_{ij} - \alpha \left(p \delta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p} \right) + \alpha^2 \left(p^2 \delta_{ij} + 3 p_i p_j \right) \right] \quad (1a)$$

$$[p_i, p_j] = [x_i, x_j] = 0 . \quad (1b)$$

* ashmita.phy@gmail.com

† saurya.das@uleth.ca

‡ elias.vagenas@ku.edu.kw

This modification of the standard Heisenberg commutator corresponds to the following modified position-momentum uncertainty relation

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x \Delta p &\geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[1 - 2\alpha \langle p \rangle + 4\alpha^2 \langle p^2 \rangle \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\langle p^2 \rangle}} + 4\alpha^2 \right) \Delta p^2 + 4\alpha^2 \langle p \rangle^2 - 2\alpha \sqrt{\langle p^2 \rangle} \right]\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

where i and j take values 1, 2, 3, the magnitude of the squared momentum is $p^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 p_j p_j$, and $\alpha = \alpha_0 / M_{Pl} c = \alpha_0 l_{Pl} / \hbar$ with α_0 to be a dimensionless constant, sometimes assumed to be $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ¹. since GUP as formulated allows for a general α_0 and one does not yet have a direct measurement of quantum gravity parameters, we leave it arbitrary. This in turn implies the existence of an intermediate length scale and imposes meaningful bounds on the quantum gravity parameters, which can in principle be measurable in future experiments [10–13].

The above form of GUP is compatible with the modification of uncertainty principle, as proposed in String Theory, DSR, and black hole (BH) physics. For alternate forms of GUP, we refer our readers to Refs. [5, 10, 17–22] and for phenomenological implications to Refs. [14, 23–25]. Note that having been derived as a consequence of DSR theories, although the form of GUP as given in Eq. (2) is not manifestly Lorentz invariant, it is covariant under the non-linear Lorentz transformations in DSR theories [26–30]. The aforementioned forms of the modified commutation relation, namely Eq. (1a), and of the GUP, namely Eq. (2), imply a minimum measurable length and a maximum measurable momentum of the form

$$\Delta x \geq (\Delta x)_{\min} \approx \alpha_0 l_{Pl} \quad (3a)$$

$$\Delta p \leq (\Delta p)_{\max} \approx \frac{M_{Pl} c}{\alpha_0}. \quad (3b)$$

For ease of calculations, one defines the physical position and momentum operators (which are no longer canonically conjugate) in terms of auxiliary and “canonical” variables x_{0i} and p_{0i} , such that

$$\begin{aligned}x_i &= x_{0i}, & p_i &= p_{0i} (1 - \alpha p_0 + 2\alpha^2 p_0^2) \\ [x_{0i}, p_{0j}] &= i\hbar \delta_{ij}\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

with $p_{0i} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0i}}$ to be interpreted as the components of the low-energy momentum p_0 , where the QG effects are negligible, and $p_0^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^3 p_{0i} p_{0i}$. On the other hand, p_i may be regarded as the momentum at “high energies”. Using the above equations, one can indeed show that Eq. (1a) is satisfied.

In the current paper, we re-examine an interesting aspect of GUP, which is the prediction that not only is there a minimum length, but that all measurable lengths are quantized and discrete. Note that the former does not automatically imply the latter. A similar set of results follows for area and volume measurements as well. It has been shown earlier that this discreteness holds not only for flat background spacetimes, but also in the presence of weak gravity. Specifically, in Ref. [13], the authors have shown that the one-dimensional space confining an elementary particle must be discrete, implying all measurable lengths are quantized in units of a fundamental length scale, which can be the Planck length. Subsequently, in Ref. [31], this was extended for a relativistic particle confined in a rectangular as well as in a spherical box in one, two, and three dimensional space by solving the GUP-modified Klein Gordon and Dirac equations. It was shown that the length, area, and volume of the box are quantized in units of a fundamental length scale. Extending the results of flat spacetime to weakly curved spacetime, the authors of Ref. [32] have shown that the quantization of lengths, areas, and volumes continue to hold in the weak gravitational regime. These results support the fundamentally discrete nature of space and a breakdown of the spacetime continuum picture near the Planck scale. Here we will explore the extent to which the length quantization holds for strong gravity, i.e., in the background of spacetimes of high curvature. As a concrete example of a spacetime with strong gravity, we first consider the Schwarzschild BH metric in its high curvature region. Without exploiting any other BH property such as its horizon or singularity, we show that our result for the quantization of the length of the box continues to hold. Then, we obtain similar results for the case of Reissner-Nordström (RN) BH and for the case of the cosmological Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) spacetime. In the above background spacetimes, we apply the aforementioned

¹ Recently, in Ref. [15], using a GUP with only a quadratic term in momentum, a numerical value of the dimensionless GUP parameter β_0 (with $\beta_0 \sim \alpha_0^2$) was obtained, namely $82\pi/5$. Furthermore, in Ref. [16] a similar analysis with linear + quadratic GUP yielded α_0 to be proportional to powers of the dimensionless ratio (M_{Pl}/M).

GUP, which is covariant under the non-linear Lorentz transformation. Following our earlier works, our strategy would be to solve the scalar field equation in the background of the aforementioned spacetimes, impose “box boundary conditions” such that the field is confined to a finite region of space and show that such a region can only be of quantized or discrete size. In other words, the length measurements, which require the confinement of one or multiple particles (described by the scalar field) within a boundary, signifying the end points of the length to be measured, imply in turn that the measurable lengths are discrete as opposed to continuous. Deriving this in the context of strong gravity completes this program. Although discreteness of lengths, areas, and volumes have been derived from other approaches in the past, our approach here for the length quantization is relatively simpler and does not rely on any particular approach of quantum gravity, nor does it require additional assumptions [33–35].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In sections II, III, and IV we present the analysis for the quantum character of space in the strong gravity regions, as produced by the Schwarzschild BH, RN BH, and FLRW metrics, respectively. Finally, in section V, we discuss our findings and conclude. Appendices are provided for the paper to be self-sufficient. Throughout the paper, we consider the metric signature to be $(-, +, \dots, +)$.

II. GUP IN SCHWARZSCHILD BH SPACETIME

In this section we study the scalar field equation in the strong gravitational field of the Schwarzschild BH spacetime. We start working in D spacetime dimensions with coordinates $(t, r, \chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{D-2})$ and, thus, the Schwarzschild BH metric reads [36]

$$ds^2 = -f(r)c^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2 \quad (6)$$

with $f(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r^{D-3}}\right)$ and $d\Omega_{D-2}^2 = d\chi_1^2 + \sin^2\chi_1 d\chi_2^2 + \sin^2\chi_1 \sin^2\chi_2 d\chi_3^2 + \dots + \sin^2\chi_1 \dots \sin^2\chi_{D-3} d\chi_{D-2}^2$, where

Ω_{D-2} is the area of a unit sphere S^{D-2} . The radius of the event horizon is given as $r_H = \left(\frac{2GM}{c^2}\right)^{1/(D-3)}$ with M to be the BH mass. It should be stressed that the above BH spacetime consists of three distinct regions: (i) the asymptotically flat region, i.e., $r \rightarrow \infty$, (ii) the region near the event horizon of the BH, i.e., $r \sim r_H$, and (iii) the region near the BH curvature singularity, i.e., $r \rightarrow 0$. Region (iii) is the strong gravity region in which the metric given by Eq. (6) reduces to

$$ds^2 = \frac{2GM}{c^2 r^{D-3}} c^2 dt^2 - \frac{c^2 r^{D-3}}{2GM} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2. \quad (7)$$

At this point it should be stressed that we will keep the initial formalism general for any D since this is straightforward and it may be useful for future generalizations of our work. We will then specialize to $D = 4$.

It is well known that the scalar field or Klein-Gordon (KG) equation in any D -dimensional curved spacetime is written as

$$\left(\square + \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2}\right)\Phi = 0. \quad (8)$$

Assuming $\Phi(t, r, \chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) = \tau(t)\psi(r) Y_{l,m,n,\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2})$ and separating variables, Eq. (8) now reads (see Appendix A)

$$\underbrace{-\frac{\hbar^2}{r^{D-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^{D-2} \frac{d\psi(r)}{dr} \right]}_{p_0^2} f(r) - \hbar^2 f'(r) \frac{d\psi}{dr} + \left[\frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{E^2}{c^2 f(r)} + m^2 c^2 \right] \psi(r) = 0 \quad (9)$$

where $l = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ is the orbital quantum number and E the energy of the scalar field. It is easily seen that Eq. (9) portrays the radial equation of motion for a scalar field in the Schwarzschild BH background. At this point we need to modify the squared low-energy 3-momentum, i.e., p_0^2 , due to the GUP and for this reason we utilize Eq. (4)². Therefore, the squared low-energy 3-momentum, i.e., p_0^2 , will be transformed to the high-energy 3-momentum, i.e., p_i^2 , as follows [13, 31]

$$p_0^2 \rightarrow p_i^2 = -\hbar^2 \nabla^2 \psi(r) - 2i\alpha\hbar^3 \nabla^2(\nabla\psi(r)) + 5\alpha^2\hbar^4 \nabla^2(\nabla^2\psi(r)) \quad (10)$$

² The angular part can be GUP modified as well [37], although since it does not contain any black hole parameters, it is insensitive to them. Therefore, the result, i.e., discreteness of space in those directions, would not differ from those found in flat spacetimes as well as in weak gravitational backgrounds [13, 23, 31, 32].

where the Laplace operator is written in the Cartesian coordinates. Hence, we transform the operator to the spherical polar coordinates and so we use p_i^2 in Eq. (9). Thus, we obtain the GUP modified scalar field equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{r^{D-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^{D-2} \frac{d\psi(r)}{dr} \right] - \frac{2i\alpha\hbar^3}{r^{D-2}} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \left[r^{D-2} \frac{d}{dr} \right] \frac{d\psi}{dr} \right) + \frac{5\alpha^2\hbar^4}{r^{D-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^{D-2} \frac{d}{dr} \right] \left[\frac{1}{r^{D-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left\{ r^{D-2} \frac{d\psi(r)}{dr} \right\} \right] - \frac{\hbar^2 f'(r)}{f(r)} \frac{d\psi}{dr} + \left[\frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{f(r)r^2} - \frac{E^2}{c^2 f^2(r)} + \frac{m^2 c^2}{f(r)} \right] \psi(r) = 0. \quad (11)$$

The above equation can be viewed as the master equation for the massive KG field in the background of a stationary and spherically symmetric spacetime.

Now we focus on a 4-dimensional spacetime, namely $D = 4$, for which Eq. (11) reduces to

$$-\frac{2\hbar^2}{r} \psi'(r) - \hbar^2 \psi''(r) - \frac{4i\alpha\hbar^3}{r} \psi''(r) - 2i\alpha\hbar^3 \psi'''(r) + \frac{20\alpha^2\hbar^4}{r} \psi'''(r) + 5\alpha^2\hbar^4 \psi''''(r) - \frac{\hbar^2 f'(r)}{f(r)} \psi'(r) + \left[\frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{f(r)r^2} - \frac{E^2}{c^2 f^2(r)} + \frac{m^2 c^2}{f(r)} \right] \psi(r) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Utilizing the following approximations in the $r \rightarrow 0$ limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f(r) \approx \left(-\frac{2GM}{rc^2} \right) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f'(r) \approx \left(\frac{2GM}{c^2 r^2} \right) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \approx -\frac{1}{r} \quad (13)$$

and omitting $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ terms, Eq. (12) after taking the limit $r \rightarrow 0$, reduces to

$$-\hbar^2 \psi'(r) - 4i\alpha\hbar^3 \psi''(r) + \left[\frac{c^2 \hbar^2 l(l+1)}{-2GM} \right] \psi(r) = 0. \quad (14)$$

The above equation has a solution of the form ³

$$\psi(r) = C_1 \exp \left[\frac{ir}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha\hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right] + C_2 \exp \left[\frac{ir}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 + \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha\hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right]. \quad (15)$$

Now we impose the boundary conditions on solution given by Eq. (15). To determine the boundary conditions near the $r \rightarrow 0$ region of the Schwarzschild BH, we adopt a similar setup as for a particle confined in a box. Due to the spherical symmetry of the Schwarzschild BH, we imagine a black sphere of radius L inside the event horizon of BH, namely $L < r_H$. Thus, the boundary conditions turned out to be

$$\psi(r=0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(r=L) = 0. \quad (16)$$

At this point it should be stressed that at $r = 0$ a physical (curvature) singularity occurs. However, normalizable wavefunctions should remain finite there and therefore the above boundary condition at $r = 0$ is justified. Furthermore, as we show in Appendix B, if one sets $\psi(r = \epsilon) = 0$ with $\epsilon \approx 0$ and then take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the obtained quantization condition is identical with the one as obtained here by setting $\psi(r = 0) = 0$.

The boundary condition at $r = 0$ on the wave function Eq. (15) yields

$$C_1 = -C_2 \quad (17)$$

which now reads

$$\psi(r) = \tilde{C} \exp \left(\frac{i\rho}{\alpha} - \frac{i\pi}{2} \right) \sin \left[\frac{\rho}{\alpha} (1 - i\alpha\beta)^{1/2} \right] \quad (18)$$

where $\tilde{C} = 2C_1 \equiv |\tilde{C}| \exp(-i\theta_{\tilde{C}})$, $\beta = \frac{16\hbar l(l+1)}{r_H}$, and $\rho = \frac{r}{8\hbar}$. Next, we impose the boundary condition at $r = L$ on the wave function given by Eq. (18) and this yields

$$\psi(r=L) = |\tilde{C}| \exp \left(\frac{iL}{8\alpha\hbar} - \frac{i\pi}{2} - i\theta_{\tilde{C}} \right) \sin \left[\frac{L}{8\alpha\hbar} (1 - i\alpha\beta)^{1/2} \right] = 0. \quad (19)$$

³ For $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (14) becomes a first order differential equation and its solution contains one unknown constant. It can be shown by imposing $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ limit in Eq. (15), which is the solution for second order differential Eq. (14), that only the term associated with constant C_1 matches exactly with the solution of the first order differential equation.

This leads to two possibilities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case 1 :} \quad & \exp\left(\frac{iL}{8\alpha\hbar} - \frac{i\pi}{2} - i\theta_{\bar{c}}\right) = 0 \\ \text{Case 2 :} \quad & \sin\left[\frac{L}{8\alpha\hbar} (1 - i\alpha\beta)^{1/2}\right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Case 1 does not give rise to any consistent condition while, from Case 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{L}{8\alpha\hbar} - \frac{L}{8\hbar} \frac{i\beta}{2} + \frac{L}{8\hbar} \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{8} + O(\alpha^2)\right] = n\pi \\ \implies & \frac{L}{8\alpha\hbar} \left[1 + \frac{\alpha^2\beta^2}{8}\right] = n\pi \\ \implies & \frac{L}{8\alpha\hbar} \approx n\pi \left[1 - 32 \frac{\alpha_0^2 l_{pl}^2 l^2 (l+1)^2}{r_H^2}\right] \\ \implies & \frac{L}{8\alpha\hbar} \approx n\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Therefore, omitting $O(\alpha^2)$ terms, we end up with a length quantization condition of the form

$$L = 8n\pi\alpha_0 l_{pl} \quad (22)$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A number of comments are in order. First, the length quantization condition is independent of the curvature of spacetime and the mass of the test field. This makes the length quantization condition robust and trustworthy. Second, starting from Eq. (14), we have been mostly working only with the linear order of the GUP parameter, i.e., α . For Case 2 here, we retained the $O(\alpha^2)$ term until we obtain the condition in Eq. (21) to demonstrate that the term includes gravitation/curvature in terms of the Schwarzschild BH radius r_H . Third, our result suggests that the space in a strong gravity region of the Schwarzschild BH spacetime is indeed quantized in units of a fundamental length scale and the discrete nature of space continues to hold.

III. GUP IN RN BH SPACETIME

In this section we study the scalar field equation in the strong gravitational field of the RN spacetime. The 4-dimensional RN metric in spherical polar coordinates can be described as

$$ds^2 = -f(r)c^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (23)$$

with

$$f(r) = \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r} + \frac{r_Q^2}{r^2}\right), \quad r_s = \frac{2GM}{c^2}, \quad r_Q^2 = \frac{Q^2 G}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^4}. \quad (24)$$

Using the following approximations in the $r \rightarrow 0$ limit for the RN metric, we obtain

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f(r) \approx -\frac{r_s}{r} \left(1 - \frac{r_Q^2}{r_s r}\right) \approx \frac{r_Q^2}{r^2}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f'(r) \approx -\left(\frac{2r_Q^2}{r^3}\right), \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} \approx -\frac{2}{r}. \quad (25)$$

For the scalar field in RN background one can indeed use the master equation for a 4-dimensional spacetime as given by Eq. (12). Thus, using Eq. (25) into the master equation and implementing the approximations for $r \rightarrow 0$ limit as adopted in case of Schwarzschild BH, we get⁴

$$4i\alpha\hbar^3\psi''(r) - 20\alpha^2\hbar^4\psi'''(r) = 0. \quad (26)$$

⁴ Since we study the high curvature region of the black hole spacetimes, namely $r \rightarrow 0$, in this regime, the Reissner-Nordström metric (which goes as $1/r^2$) does not smoothly go over to the Schwarzschild metric (which goes as $1/r$). This is the reason why Eqs.(14) and (26) are different and one cannot smoothly go from one to the other.

It is evident that in Eq. (26) if we keep terms up to the linear order in α , no GUP modifications will show up. This leads us to keep terms up to the quadratic order of α in the GUP modified KG equation. Therefore, we write

$$i\psi''(r) - 5\alpha\hbar\psi'''(r) = 0 \quad (27)$$

and solving the above equation, we obtain

$$\psi(r) = (-25\alpha^2\hbar^2 C_1) e^{ir/5\alpha\hbar} + C_2 r + C_3. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, in case of the KG equation in the background of the strong gravity region of the RN spacetime, the corrections due to GUP start to emerge from the quadratic order of α . This feature distinguishes the strong gravity region of RN from that of Schwarzschild spacetime.

Following a similar analysis as in section II, we implement the boundary condition at $r = 0$ and obtain the condition

$$C_3 = 25 C_1 \alpha^2 \hbar^2. \quad (29)$$

Then, implementing the boundary condition at $r = L$, we obtain

$$C_2 L + 25 C_1 \alpha^2 \hbar^2 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{L}{5\alpha\hbar}\right) \right] + i 25 C_1 \alpha^2 \hbar^2 \sin\left(\frac{L}{5\alpha\hbar}\right) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Equating the imaginary parts from both sides of Eq. (30), we obtain a quantization condition similar to Eq. (21)

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\left(\frac{L}{5\alpha\hbar}\right) &= 0 \\ \implies \frac{L}{5\alpha\hbar} &= n_1 \pi \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Equating the real parts from both sides of Eq. (30), we obtain the following condition

$$\cos\left(\frac{L}{5\alpha\hbar}\right) = 1 + \frac{C_2 L}{25 C_1 \alpha^2 \hbar^2}. \quad (32)$$

The LHS of the above equation contains the even powers of L , thus, two conditions emerge of the following form

$$\text{condition 1 : } \cos\left(\frac{L}{5\alpha\hbar}\right) = 1 \implies \frac{L}{5\alpha\hbar} = 2n_2 \pi \quad (33)$$

$$\text{condition 2 : } \frac{C_2 L}{25 C_1 \alpha^2 \hbar^2} = 0 \quad (34)$$

where, $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. From condition 2, C_2 turns out to be zero as $L \neq 0$.

Combining the conditions Eqs. (31) and (33) which have to be satisfied simultaneously, we end up with a length quantization condition of the form

$$L = 10n\pi\alpha_0 l_{pl} \quad (35)$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Our result suggests that the space in a strong gravity region of the RN BH spacetime is indeed quantized in units of a fundamental length scale and the discrete nature of space continues to hold.

IV. GUP IN FLRW SPACETIME

In this section we study the scalar field equation in the background of the FLRW spacetime. Studying the KG equation in the background of FLRW spacetime will lead us to the discrete nature of space as well, as we shall see. We consider the observed spatially flat FLRW metric in spherical polar coordinates

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right] \quad (36)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the Universe. As is well known, the above spacetime correctly describes the Universe at large scales for all epochs except the very early Planck epoch. This includes the inflationary epoch, radiation (RD) and matter dominated (MD) phases, as well as the current accelerated expanding phase. To identify the strong gravity regime for the FLRW spacetime, we first do a comparative study of the various phases starting from the inflationary epoch to the MD epoch and estimate the scalar curvature in each epoch.

A. Identification of strong gravity domain in FLRW background

The Ricci scalar for the FLRW metric is given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{6}{a^2 c^2} \left(a\ddot{a} + \dot{a}^2 \right) \quad (37)$$

where $\dot{} \equiv d/dt$. Using the Einstein's equation for FLRW metric one obtains the Friedmann equations as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} &= -\frac{4\pi G}{3c^2} (\rho + 3p) \\ H^2 &= \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3c^2} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (38)$$

where $\omega = \frac{p}{\rho}$ is the parameter specifying the equation of state, ρ is the proper energy density, p the pressure in the rest frame of the proper fluid, and H the Hubble parameter. Using the above equations, we write the curvature scalar as following

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{c^4} (1 - 3\omega). \quad (39)$$

It is well known that $\rho_{inf} (\sim 10^{60} \text{ GeV}^4) \gg \rho_{RD} (\sim \text{MeV}^4) > \rho_{MD} (\sim \text{eV}^4)$, where ρ_{inf} , ρ_{RD} , ρ_{MD} are the proper energy densities of inflationary, RD, and MD phases of the Universe, respectively [38]. Now using ρ_{inf} , ρ_{RD} , ρ_{MD} and ($\omega = -1, 1/3, 2/3$) for inflationary, RD and MD phases, respectively, one can perceive that the curvature scalar corresponding to the inflationary epoch is much larger than the curvature scalar for the RD and MD eras.

B. GUP modified KG equation in the FLRW background

In the background of the FLRW metric (36), the scalar field equation (8) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{c^2 a^3(t)} \partial_t \left[a^3(t) \partial_t \Phi \right] + \frac{1}{a^2(t)r^2} \partial_r \left[r^2 \partial_r \Phi \right] + \frac{1}{a^2(t)r^2 \sin\theta} \partial_\theta \left[\sin\theta \partial_\theta \Phi \right] \\ + \frac{1}{a^2(t)r^2 \sin^2\theta} \partial_\phi^2 \Phi - \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \Phi = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Furthermore, assuming $\Phi(t, r, \theta, \phi) = \tau(t)\psi(r)Y_{l,m}(\theta, \phi)$ the separated time and space parts are

$$\hbar^2 \frac{d}{dt} \left[a^3(t) \frac{d}{dt} \tau(t) \right] + m^2 c^4 a^3(t) \tau(t) + E^2 a(t) \tau(t) = 0 \quad (41)$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \psi(r) \right] + \frac{\hbar^2}{r^2} l(l+1) \psi(r) - \frac{E^2}{c^2} \psi(r) = 0. \quad (42)$$

As before, we focus on the radial part (42) and incorporate the GUP to linear order in α to get

$$\frac{2\hbar^2}{r} \frac{d\psi(r)}{dr} + \hbar^2 \frac{d^2\psi(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{4i\alpha\hbar^3}{r} \frac{d^2\psi(r)}{dr^2} + 2i\alpha\hbar^3 \frac{d^3\psi(r)}{dr^3} - \frac{\hbar^2}{r^2} l(l+1) \psi + \frac{E^2}{c^2} \psi(r) = 0. \quad (43)$$

It is noteworthy that the above equation holds for all the epochs of the Universe including strong and weak gravity regimes. This implies that any space quantization obtained from the above will also hold for strong and weak gravity regimes. Now, we study Eq.(43) under the small and large r condition in our subsequent analysis.

For small values of r , i.e, $r \rightarrow 0$, Eq.(43) reads

$$2i\alpha\hbar \frac{d^2\psi(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{d\psi(r)}{dr} - \frac{l(l+1)}{2r} \psi(r) = 0. \quad (44)$$

For large values of r , i.e., $r \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (43) reads

$$2i\alpha\hbar^3 \frac{d^3\psi(r)}{dr^3} + \hbar^2 \frac{d^2\psi(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{E^2}{c^2} \psi(r) = 0. \quad (45)$$

Solving Eq.(44), we get

$$\psi(r) = C_1 \frac{{}_1F_1\left(1 - \frac{l^2}{2} - \frac{l}{2}; 2; \frac{ir}{2\alpha\hbar}\right)}{2\alpha\hbar} r + C_2 G_{1,2}^{2,0}\left(-\frac{ir}{2\alpha\hbar} \middle| \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(l^2 + l + 2) \\ 0, 1 \end{matrix}\right) \quad (46)$$

where ${}_1F_1\left(1 - \frac{l^2}{2} - \frac{l}{2}; 2; \frac{ir}{2\alpha\hbar}\right)$ is a confluent hypergeometric function and $G_{1,2}^{2,0}$ denotes a Meijer-G function. We set the boundary conditions for small r to be

$$\psi(r \rightarrow 0) = 0 \quad \psi(r = L) = 0. \quad (47)$$

The first condition demands that

$$\frac{C_2}{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}(l^2 + l + 2)\right]} = 0 \implies C_2 = 0. \quad (48)$$

Implementing the second boundary condition, we obtain

$$\psi(L) = {}_1F_1\left(1 - \frac{l^2}{2} - \frac{l}{2}; 2; \frac{iL}{2\alpha\hbar}\right) = 0. \quad (49)$$

Restricting ourselves to the test field Φ (and hence $\psi(r)$) preserving the homogeneity and isotropy of the background spacetime, i.e. for $l = 0$, we have ${}_1F_1\left(1 - \frac{l^2}{2} - \frac{l}{2}; 2; \frac{iL}{2\alpha\hbar}\right) = \frac{2i\alpha\hbar}{L}\left(e^{\frac{iL}{2\alpha\hbar}} - 1\right)$, so Eq. (49) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2i\alpha\hbar}{L}\left(e^{\frac{iL}{2\alpha\hbar}} - 1\right) &= 0 \\ \implies \left[\left\{\cos\left(\frac{L}{2\alpha\hbar}\right) - 1\right\} + i\sin\left(\frac{L}{2\alpha\hbar}\right)\right] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

and we end up with a length quantization condition of the form

$$L = 4n\pi\alpha_0 l_{pl} \quad (51)$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We now turn our attention to large r . It is easily seen that Eq. (45) is identical to that for a particle in a box in flat spacetime as in Ref. [13]. For a detailed analysis, we refer the reader to Eq. (11) of Ref. [13] and the subsequent discussion. Solving Eq. (45) and keeping terms up to the leading order in α , we obtain a solution for $\psi(r)$ of the form

$$\psi(r) = A e^{ik' r} + B e^{-ik'' r} + C e^{\frac{ir}{2\alpha\hbar}} \quad (52)$$

where, $k' = k(1 + k\alpha\hbar)$, $k'' = k(1 - k\alpha\hbar)$, and $k^2 = \frac{E^2}{c^2\hbar^2}$. It should be pointed out that in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (45) reduces to the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. The appearance of the first term in Eq. (45) is due to the GUP and as a consequence, an additional oscillatory solution appears in Eq. (52). For this to go away in the $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ limit, we require $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} |C| = 0$. Furthermore, for simplification we consider A to be real and absorb any phase factor of A within $\psi(r)$.

Next we set the boundary conditions in the form $\psi(r = L_1) = \psi(r = L_2) = 0$. We impose these boundary conditions in Eq. (52) and consider $L = L_2 - L_1$, with L to be the characteristic length of the space where the particle resides and is a small quantity. We also expand $\exp[i(\dots)L]$ and keep terms up to the leading order in L . Thus, we obtain

$$e^{\frac{iL_2}{2\alpha\hbar}}(iL) \left[A e^{ik' L_1} \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha\hbar} - k'\right) + B e^{-ik'' L_1} \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha\hbar} + k''\right) \right] = 0. \quad (53)$$

From the above equation, three possibilities emerge

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{Case 1 :} & \quad e^{\frac{iL_2}{2\alpha\hbar}} = 0 \\ \text{Case 2 :} & \quad L = 0 \\ \text{Case 3 :} & \quad A e^{ik' L_1} \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha\hbar} - k'\right) + B e^{-ik'' L_1} \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha\hbar} + k''\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (54)$$

As can be seen, Case 1 does not give a condition on L . Case 2 does not turn out to be useful either because we chose the boundaries such that $L \neq 0$. Finally, Case 3 yields

$$B = A e^{2i k L_1} \left[\frac{k + k^2 \alpha \hbar - \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}}{k - k^2 \alpha \hbar + \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}} \right]. \quad (55)$$

Now we explore Case 3 by substituting Eq. (55) in Eq.(52), and using $r = L_1$ and $C = |C| e^{-i\theta_C}$, we obtain

$$\frac{2 A k}{k - k^2 \alpha \hbar + \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}} = e^{i \left(\frac{L_1}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_1 - \theta_C \right)} (|C| i \alpha \hbar L_1 k^2 - |C|) \quad (56)$$

where in the above equation, we have expanded $e^{i k^2 \alpha \hbar L_1}$ and kept terms up to the linear order in α , i.e., $e^{-i k^2 \alpha \hbar L_1} \approx (1 - i k^2 \alpha \hbar L_1)$ since $k^2 \alpha \hbar L_1$ is small. Then, in Eq. (56), this term is multiplied with $|C|$ which is also small (as explained three (3) lines below Eq. (52)) and, thus, we can neglect the first term in the RHS of Eq. (56) compared to the second one.

$$|C| \cos \left[\frac{L_1}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_1 - \theta_C \right] + i |C| \sin \left[\frac{L_1}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_1 - \theta_C \right] = - \frac{2 k A}{k - k^2 \alpha \hbar + \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}}. \quad (57)$$

Equating the imaginary parts from both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$\frac{L_1}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_1 - \theta_C = n_1 \pi \quad (58)$$

where $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, following similar analysis as above, we substitute Eq. (55) in Eq. (52) and use $r = L_2$. Thus, we obtain

$$A \left[1 + e^{-2i k L} \left\{ \frac{k + k^2 \alpha \hbar - \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}}{k - k^2 \alpha \hbar + \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}} \right\} \right] = -|C| e^{i \left(\frac{L_2}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_2 - \theta_C \right)} e^{-i k^2 \alpha \hbar L_2}. \quad (59)$$

It can be noted that for $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ the factor $\left\{ \frac{k + k^2 \alpha \hbar - \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}}{k - k^2 \alpha \hbar + \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}} \right\} \rightarrow -1$. Therefore, in the $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ limit both sides of the above equation vanish when $kL = p\pi$ and $|C| = 0$, where $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, when $\alpha \neq 0$, we can expect that $kL = p\pi + \delta$, where $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \delta = 0$. This implies that δ has to be proportional to α^q where $q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $q > 0$. Now, following the previous analysis, Eq. (59) further reduces to

$$- \frac{2 k A}{k - k^2 \alpha \hbar + \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}} = |C| e^{i \left(\frac{L_2}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_2 - \theta_C \right)} - 2i k A L \left[\frac{k + k^2 \alpha \hbar - \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}}{k - k^2 \alpha \hbar + \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}} \right]. \quad (60)$$

Equating the RHS of Eq. (60) with the LHS of Eq. (57), we obtain

$$|C| e^{i \left(\frac{L_2}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_2 - \theta_C \right)} \left[1 - e^{-i L \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar} - k \right)} \right] = 2i k A L \left[\frac{k + k^2 \alpha \hbar - \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}}{k - k^2 \alpha \hbar + \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}} \right]. \quad (61)$$

Expanding $e^{-i L \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar} - k \right)}$ with respect to L and keeping terms up to the leading order in L , we obtain

$$|C| \cos \left[\frac{L_2}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_2 - \theta_C \right] + i |C| \sin \left[\frac{L_2}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_2 - \theta_C \right] = \frac{2 k A}{\left(\frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar} - k \right)} \left[\frac{k + k^2 \alpha \hbar - \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}}{k - k^2 \alpha \hbar + \frac{1}{2\alpha \hbar}} \right]. \quad (62)$$

Equating the imaginary parts from both sides of the above equation, we obtain the following condition

$$\frac{L_2}{2\alpha \hbar} - k L_2 - \theta_C = n_2 \pi \quad (63)$$

where $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Subtracting Eq. (58) from Eq. (63), we get

$$\frac{L}{2\alpha \hbar} = (n_2 - n_1)\pi + k L \quad (64)$$

where $n_2 > n_1$ since $L_2 > L_1$. Following the previous discussion, we use $kL = p\pi + \delta$ in the above equation and end up with a length quantization condition of the form

$$\frac{L}{2\alpha\hbar} = \underbrace{(n_2 - n_1 + p)}_n \pi + \delta$$

$$L = 2n\alpha\hbar\pi + 2\alpha\hbar\delta \quad (65)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It was mentioned earlier that δ ought to be proportional to α^q which leads the last term of Eq. (65) to be of the order of a higher power in α . Therefore, we discard this term and obtain a length quantization condition of the form

$$L = 2n\pi\alpha_0 l_{pl} . \quad (66)$$

From the imaginary parts of Eqs. (57) and (62), the sine functions are determined, and this leads to $\cos[\frac{L_1}{2\alpha\hbar} - kL_1 - \theta_C] = \cos[\frac{L_2}{2\alpha\hbar} - kL_2 - \theta_C] = \pm 1$, by the well known trigonometric identity. Therefore, the cosine functions in Eqs. (57) and (62) will also give rise to similar quantization condition as in Eq. (65). Furthermore, the real parts of Eqs. (57) and (62) will determine the relation between the two constants A and C . It should be noted that the length quantization condition given in Eq. (66) is consistent with the length quantization condition given in Eq. (51).

The results of this section show that despite the time dependence of the background spacetime, the discreteness of space in terms of the same fundamental unit remains in all epochs of the Universe. This shows the robustness of our results as well as the fundamental nature of the scale of discreteness.

V. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have derived the discreteness of measured lengths in strong gravitational fields in a number of BH and cosmological spacetimes. In particular, we worked with the Schwarzschild and RN BH spacetimes as well as the FLRW cosmological spacetime. For the BH spacetime, the strong gravity region is close to the singular region of the BH whereas for the FLRW spacetime it is in the inflationary epoch of the Universe. Remarkably, the derived discreteness turns out to be independent of parameters such as the Schwarzschild mass, the RN charge, the KG mass or for that matter any particular epoch during the evolution of our Universe. In addition, it agrees with the corresponding results derived for zero gravity (flat spacetime) and weak gravity (weakly curved spacetime) regimes and employing test fields of various spins [13, 23, 32]. Furthermore, all length quantization conditions independently of the gravitational background are of the form $L \sim n\pi\alpha_0 l_{pl}$ modulo a natural number that depends on the specific gravitational background under study. Finally, as in many previous works [10–13, 31, 32], our results suggest the existence of a “new” length scale $l_{new} \equiv \alpha_0 l_{pl}$. A recent theoretical work suggests $\alpha_0 = \mathcal{O}(1) - \mathcal{O}(10)$ [15]. However, since no values of α_0 are experimentally ruled out, we leave the possibility open for α_0 to assume higher values, resulting in an intermediate length scale between the Planck and the electroweak length scales. This would lead to length quantization conditions of the form $L \sim n\pi l_{new}$. This points towards the fundamental nature and in fact the potential universality of the discreteness of measured spaces. As we saw this follows directly from the application of GUP, which is another robust predictions of most candidate theories of QG. As for the concrete computation to arrive at the above results, we studied the massive KG equation in the background of a spacetime with strong gravity. Since QG effects cannot be ignored in this regime, we included this by implementing GUP in the KG equation. The form of GUP that we employed in our analysis is not manifestly Lorentz invariant. Therefore one may ask as to why we did not try to implement a relativistic version of GUP, e.g. as in Refs. [39–45]. It should be stressed that while the aforesaid relativistic version of GUP is Lorentz covariant in flat spacetimes, it still needs to be extended to curved spacetimes. Furthermore, the form of GUP utilized here can be viewed as an “effective theory” from a fully covariant theory as described in Ref. [46]. Of course, our results may undergo further modifications due to higher order QG effects arising from the higher order terms in α which we have ignored. We hope to explore the implications of our results in the future.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. A. Das would like to thank D. Kothawala for useful discussions. The authors would like to thank the Referees for their constructive comments. This research was supported by the Quantum Major Innovation Fund Project, funded by the Government of Alberta.

Appendix A: Equation of motion for a scalar field in D-dimensional spacetime

The Klein-Gordon equation in a curved background is

$$\square\Phi = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}}\partial_M \left[\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}g^{MN}\partial_N\Phi \right] \quad (\text{A1})$$

with

$$\sqrt{-g^{(D)}} = r^{D-2} (\sin\chi_1)^{D-3} (\sin\chi_2)^{D-4} \dots (\sin\chi_{D-3}) . \quad (\text{A2})$$

In the above equation (M, N) runs from $(0, 1, \dots, D-1)$. We write the mode solution for the scalar field as following

$$\Phi(t, r, \chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) = \tau(t)\psi(r) Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) . \quad (\text{A3})$$

The scalar field equation given by Eq. (8) can be explicitly written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c^2\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}}\partial_t \left[\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}g^{tt}\partial_t\Phi \right] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}}\partial_r \left[\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}g^{rr}\partial_r\Phi \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}}\partial_{\chi_1} \left[\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}g^{\chi_1\chi_1}\partial_{\chi_1}\Phi \right] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}}\partial_{\chi_2} \left[\sqrt{-g^{(D)}}g^{\chi_2\chi_2}\partial_{\chi_2}\Phi \right] + \dots - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\Phi = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Employing Eq. (A2) and utilizing the metric as given in Eq. (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{c^2f(r)}\partial_t^2\Phi + \frac{1}{r^{D-2}}\partial_r \left[r^{D-2}f(r)\partial_r\Phi \right] + \frac{1}{r^2(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3}}\partial_{\chi_1} \left[(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3}\partial_{\chi_1}\Phi \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{r^2(\sin^2\chi_1)}\frac{1}{(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4}}\partial_{\chi_2} \left[(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4}\partial_{\chi_2}\Phi \right] + \dots - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\Phi = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Then, we can write the solution for the scalar field mode in the form

$$\Phi(t, r, \chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) = \tau(t)\psi(r) Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) \quad (\text{A5})$$

and, thus, Eq. (A4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{c^2f(r)}\partial_t^2[\tau(t)]\psi(r)Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) + \frac{1}{r^{D-2}}\partial_r \left[r^{D-2}f(r)\partial_r\psi(r) \right] \tau(t)Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) + \\ & \frac{1}{r^2(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3}}\partial_{\chi_1} \left[(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3}\partial_{\chi_1}Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) \right] \psi(r)\tau(t) \\ & + \frac{1}{r^2(\sin^2\chi_1)}\frac{1}{(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4}}\partial_{\chi_2} \left[(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4}\partial_{\chi_2}Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) \right] \psi(r)\tau(t) + \\ & \dots - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\tau(t)\psi(r)Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

By dividing both sides of the above equation with $\tau(t)\psi(r)Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{c^2f(r)\tau(t)}\partial_t^2[\tau(t)] + \frac{1}{r^{D-2}}\partial_r \left[r^{D-2}f(r)\partial_r\psi(r) \right] \frac{1}{\psi(r)} + \\ & \frac{1}{r^2(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3}Y_{l,m,n\dots}}\partial_{\chi_1} \left[(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3}\partial_{\chi_1}Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{r^2(\sin^2\chi_1)}\frac{1}{(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4}}\frac{1}{Y_{l,m,n\dots}}\partial_{\chi_2} \left[(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4}\partial_{\chi_2}Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) \right] + \dots - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

which is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{r^2\hbar^2}{c^2f(r)\tau(t)}\partial_t^2[\tau(t)] + \frac{\hbar^2r^2}{r^{D-2}}\partial_r \left[r^{D-2}f(r)\partial_r\psi(r) \right] \frac{1}{\psi(r)} - m^2r^2c^2 = \\ & -\hbar^2 \left[\frac{1}{(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3}Y_{l,m,n\dots}}\partial_{\chi_1} \left[(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3}\partial_{\chi_1}Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) \right] \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{(\sin^2\chi_1)}\frac{1}{(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4}}\frac{1}{Y_{l,m,n\dots}}\partial_{\chi_2} \left[(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4}\partial_{\chi_2}Y_{l,m,n\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) \right] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

In Eq. (A8), we equate both sides with $\hbar^2 l(l+1)$ and, therefore, the RHS which is the angular part becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\hbar^2}{(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3} Y_{l,m,n,\dots}} \partial_{\chi_1} \left[(\sin\chi_1)^{D-3} \partial_{\chi_1} Y_{l,m,n,\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) \right] \\ & + \frac{\hbar^2}{(\sin^2\chi_1)} \frac{1}{(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4}} \frac{1}{Y_{l,m,n,\dots}} \partial_{\chi_2} \left[(\sin\chi_2)^{D-4} \partial_{\chi_2} Y_{l,m,n,\dots}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \dots, \chi_{D-2}) \right] + \dots = -\hbar^2 l(l+1) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

and, consequently, the LHS part of Eq. (A8) will be

$$-\frac{\hbar^2 r^2}{c^2 f(r) \tau(t)} \partial_t^2 [\tau(t)] + \frac{\hbar^2 r^2}{r^{D-2}} \partial_r \left[r^{D-2} f(r) \partial_r \psi(r) \right] \frac{1}{\psi(r)} - m^2 r^2 c^2 = \hbar^2 l(l+1). \quad (\text{A10})$$

In $D = 4$ spacetime dimensions, the angular momentum operator can be identified as follows

$$L^2 = -\hbar^2 \left[\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2} \right] \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$L^2 Y_{l,m}(\theta, \phi) = \hbar^2 l(l+1) Y_{l,m}(\theta, \phi). \quad (\text{A12})$$

By adopting the analysis followed before, Eq. (A10) for $D = 4$ reads

$$-\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{c^2} \right) \frac{1}{\tau(t)} \partial_t^2 [\tau(t)] = -\frac{\hbar^2 f(r)}{r^2} \partial_r \left[r^2 f(r) \partial_r \psi(r) \right] \frac{1}{\psi(r)} + m^2 c^2 f(r) + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{r^2} f(r). \quad (\text{A13})$$

Now we equate both sides of Eq. (A13) with the quantity $\frac{E^2}{c^2}$ and obtain

$$-\frac{1}{\tau(t)} \partial_t^2 [\tau(t)] = \frac{E^2}{\hbar^2} \quad (\text{A14})$$

$$\implies \tau(t) \sim \text{Exp}[\pm iEt/\hbar] \quad (\text{A15})$$

while the radial part reduces to

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 f(r) \frac{d\psi(r)}{dr} \right] + \left[\frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{E^2}{c^2 f(r)} + m^2 c^2 \right] \psi(r) = 0 \quad (\text{A16})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\hbar^2}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 f(r) \frac{d\psi(r)}{dr} \right] &= -\frac{f(r) \hbar^2}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 \frac{d\psi(r)}{dr} \right] - \hbar^2 f'(r) \frac{d\psi}{dr} = -f(r) \hbar^2 \nabla^2 \psi(r) - \hbar^2 f'(r) \frac{d\psi}{dr} \\ &= f(r) p_0^2 \psi(r) - \hbar^2 f'(r) \frac{d\psi}{dr}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A17})$$

Appendix B: Boundary condition

In order to be precise, we impose the boundary conditions of the form

$$\psi(r = \epsilon) = 0 \quad \psi(r = L) = 0 \quad (\text{B1})$$

where ϵ is a radial distance close to BH singularity, i.e., $r = 0$. The above boundary conditions imply

$$\psi(r = \epsilon) = C_1 \exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha \hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right] + C_2 \exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 + \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha \hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right] \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\psi(r = L) = C_1 \exp \left[\frac{iL}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha \hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right] + C_2 \exp \left[\frac{iL}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 + \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha \hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right] \quad (\text{B3})$$

Solving the above equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & C_2 \left[\exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 + \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha \hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right] \exp \left[\frac{iL}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha \hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \exp \left[\frac{i\epsilon}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha \hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right] \exp \left[\frac{iL}{8\alpha\hbar} \left\{ 1 + \left(1 - \frac{8ic^2 \alpha \hbar l(1+l)}{GM} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right] \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

Then, we simplify Eq. (B4) and we get

$$\exp\left[\frac{\epsilon + L}{8\alpha\hbar} - \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \sin\left[\frac{L - \epsilon}{8\alpha\hbar} (1 - i\alpha\beta)^{1/2}\right] = 0. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Finally, the above equation reduces to

$$\frac{L + \epsilon}{8\alpha\hbar} = (n + 1)\pi, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$\frac{L - \epsilon}{8\alpha\hbar} \approx p\pi, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

It can be noted that for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit the above equation will give rise to the quantization conditions as obtained in section(II).

-
- [1] G. Amelino-Camelia, Living Rev. Rel. **16**, 5 (2013) [arXiv:0806.0339 [gr-qc]].
- [2] S. Hossenfelder, Living Rev. Rel. **16**, 2 (2013) [arXiv:1203.6191 [gr-qc]].
- [3] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B **216**, 41-47 (1989)
- [4] L. J. Garay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10**, 145-166 (1995) [arXiv:gr-qc/9403008 [gr-qc]].
- [5] A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1108-1118 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9412167 [hep-th]].
- [6] M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. B **304**, 65-69 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9301067 [hep-th]].
- [7] M. Maggiore, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 5182-5187 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9305163 [hep-th]].
- [8] M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. B **319**, 83-86 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9309034 [hep-th]].
- [9] F. Scardigli, Phys. Lett. B **452**, 39-44 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9904025 [hep-th]].
- [10] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 221301 (2008) [arXiv:0810.5333 [hep-th]].
- [11] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 119002 (2010) [arXiv:1003.3208 [hep-th]].
- [12] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, Can. J. Phys. **87**, 233-240 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1768 [hep-th]].
- [13] A. F. Ali, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. B **678**, 497-499 (2009) [arXiv:0906.5396 [hep-th]].
- [14] A. F. Ali, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, in "On recent developments in theoretical and experimental general relativity, astrophysics and relativistic field theories". Proceedings, 12th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity, Paris, France, July 12-18, 2009. Vol. 1-3, pp 2407-2409 (2010) [arXiv:1001.2642 [hep-th]].
- [15] F. Scardigli, G. Lambiase and E. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. B **767**, 242-246 (2017) [arXiv:1611.01469 [hep-th]].
- [16] E. C. Vagenas, S. M. Alsaleh and A. Farag, EPL **120**, no.4, 40001 (2017) [arXiv:1801.03670 [hep-th]].
- [17] A. Kempf, J. Phys. A **30**, 2093-2102 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9604045 [hep-th]].
- [18] F. Brau, J. Phys. A **32**, 7691-7696 (1999) [arXiv:quant-ph/9905033 [quant-ph]].
- [19] S. Hossenfelder, M. Bleicher, S. Hofmann, J. Ruppert, S. Scherer and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B **575**, 85-99 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305262 [hep-th]].
- [20] R. J. Adler and D. I. Santiago, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14**, 1371 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9904026 [gr-qc]].
- [21] F. Scardigli and R. Casadio, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 3915-3926 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0307174 [hep-th]].

- [22] P. Jizba, H. Kleinert and F. Scardigli, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 084030 (2010) [arXiv:0912.2253 [hep-th]].
- [23] A. F. Ali, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 044013 (2011) [arXiv:1107.3164 [hep-th]].
- [24] S. Basilakos, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, *JCAP* **09**, 027 (2010) [arXiv:1009.0365 [hep-th]].
- [25] F. Scardigli and R. Casadio, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, no.9, 425 (2015) [arXiv:1407.0113 [hep-th]].
- [26] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 190403 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112090 [hep-th]].
- [27] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11**, 35-60 (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0012051 [gr-qc]].
- [28] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Phys. Lett. B* **510**, 255-263 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0012238 [hep-th]].
- [29] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 044017 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0207085 [gr-qc]].
- [30] J. Cortes and J. Gamboa, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 065015 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405285 [hep-th]].
- [31] S. Das, E. C. Vagenas and A. F. Ali, *Phys. Lett. B* **690**, 407-412 (2010) [Erratum: *Phys.Lett.B* 692, 342–342 (2010)] [arXiv:1005.3368 [hep-th]].
- [32] S. Deb, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, *Phys. Lett. B* **755**, 17-23 (2016) [arXiv:1601.07893 [gr-qc]].
- [33] T. Thiemann, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, 3372-3392 (1998) [arXiv:gr-qc/9606092 [gr-qc]].
- [34] C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, *Nucl. Phys. B* **442**, 593-622 (1995) [arXiv:gr-qc/9411005 [gr-qc]].
- [35] H. Nicolai, K. Peeters and M. Zamaklar, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, R193 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501114 [hep-th]].
- [36] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, *Annals Phys.* **172**, 304 (1986)
- [37] P. Bosso and S. Das, *Annals Phys.* **383**, 416-438 (2017) [arXiv:1607.01083 [gr-qc]].
- [38] D. Baumann, [arXiv:0907.5424 [hep-th]].
- [39] S. Hossenfelder, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 105013 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603032 [hep-th]].
- [40] M. Kober, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 085017 (2010) [arXiv:1008.0154 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [41] S. Capozziello, G. Lambiase and G. Scarpetta, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **39**, 15-22 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/9910017 [gr-qc]].
- [42] C. Quesne and V. Tkachuk, *Czech. J. Phys.* **56**, 1269-1274 (2006) [arXiv:quant-ph/0612093 [quant-ph]].
- [43] V. Todorinov, P. Bosso and S. Das, *Annals Phys.* **405**, 92-100 (2019) [arXiv:1810.11761 [gr-qc]].
- [44] P. Bosso, S. Das and V. Todorinov, [arXiv:2005.03771 [gr-qc]].
- [45] P. Bosso, S. Das and V. Todorinov, [arXiv:2005.03772 [gr-qc]].
- [46] P. Bosso and S. Das, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **28**, no.04, 1950068 (2019) [arXiv:1812.05595 [gr-qc]].