

# Blowup relations on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ from Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations

Anton Shchepochkin

## Abstract

We obtain bilinear relations on Nekrasov partition functions, arising from study of tau functions of quantum  $q$ -Painlevé equations, from Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations by an elementary algebraic approach.

Additionally, using this approach, we prove certain relations on Nekrasov partition functions modified by Chern-Simons term.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Nekrasov functions and Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	5d Nekrasov partition function . . . . .	5
2.2	Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Blowup relations on <math>\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2</math> from blowup relations on <math>\mathbb{C}^2</math></b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	General scheme . . . . .	8
3.2	Relations in even sector . . . . .	10
3.3	Relations in odd sector . . . . .	11
3.4	Chern-Simons modification . . . . .	12
<b>4</b>	<b>Applications</b>	<b>12</b>
4.1	Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations of higher order . . . . .	12
4.2	Proof of equalities (2.10) and (2.9) . . . . .	13
<b>5</b>	<b>Further questions</b>	<b>15</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>15</b>

arXiv:2006.08582v1 [math-ph] 15 Jun 2020

# 1 Introduction

**Background and main results.** This paper is motivated by studies of so-called Painlevé/gauge theory (or Isomonodromy/CFT)<sup>1</sup> correspondence, starting with the work [GIL12], where Painlevé VI tau function was written as a Fourier series of  $SU(2)$  Nekrasov partition function of instantons on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with four matters and  $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = 0$

$$\tau(\sigma, s|z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s^n \mathcal{Z}(a + 2n\epsilon_2; -\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2|z). \quad (1.1)$$

Then plenty generalizations of this formula appeared, in particular for the tau functions of Painlevé V, III's equations [GIL13], for the tau functions of  $q$ -Painlevé equations [BS16q], [JNS17],[MN18] as well as for isomonodromic problems, more sophisticated than those corresponding to Painlevé equations ([G15], [ILT14] etc.) The main idea of this generalization (and of Painlevé/gauge theory correspondence) is that for each tau function on the Painlevé side we should relate certain instanton partition function on gauge side, such that tau function will be given by the Fourier series (1.1). Particularly, for the Painlevé III( $D_8^{(1)}$ ) equation it is pure gauge  $SU(2)$  Nekrasov instanton partition function on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , for  $q$ -Painlevé equations one should take 5d instanton partition functions, adding one compact dimension of radius  $R = -\log q$ , for isomonodromic problems of rank  $N$  we should take  $SU(N)$  gauge group etc.

It turns out that Painlevé (differential and  $q$ -difference) equations and, presumably, more sophisticated isomonodromic problems are written as bilinear equations on these tau functions. According to (1.1), such equations are equivalent to certain bilinear relations on Nekrasov partition functions, which have form

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2} D \left( \mathcal{Z}(a - 2n\epsilon_2; -2\epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_2|z), \mathcal{Z}(a + 2n\epsilon_2; -2\epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_2|z) \right) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $D$  is certain differential or  $q$ -difference operator. One of the approaches to the proof of Painlevé/gauge theory correspondence in particular cases is to find such relations on appropriate partition functions. For differential Painlevé equations that was done from the CFT side of AGT relation, using representation theory of Super Virasoro algebra ([BS14],[BS16b]). On the gauge theory side bilinear relations on Nekrasov partition functions appear from Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (proved in [NY05])

$$\beta_j^d(q_1, q_2|z) \mathcal{Z}(u; q_1, q_2|z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2} \left( \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1, q_2q_1^{-1}|q_1^d z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_2^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2|q_2^d z) \right), \quad q_i = e^{R\epsilon_i}, \quad (1.3)$$

namely, by excluding partition function in the l.h.s. from two such relations. However, in r.h.s. of these relations  $\Omega$ -background parameters differ from that in (1.5).

Appropriate relations from the gauge theory side of AGT possibly could be obtained from the blowup relations on  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  (possibly modified by 5th compact dimension). Namely, in [BMT11] (see also [BPSS13]) 4d blowup formula was proved

$$\mathcal{Z}_{X_2}(a, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2|z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \mathcal{Z}(a + 2n\epsilon_1; 2\epsilon_1, -\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2|z), \mathcal{Z}(a + 2n\epsilon_2; \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_2|z) \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $X_2$  is minimal resolution of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ . However, 5d modification of these blowup relations seem to be missing in the literature.

---

<sup>1</sup>Reason for such two names for one correspondence is that Painlevé equations arise from particular cases of isomonodromic problems on Riemann surfaces with punctures, and that instanton partition functions of supersymmetric gauge theories equal to certain CFT conformal blocks according to the AGT relation [AGT09].

First relation of such type, considered in the study of the  $q$ -Painlevé equations, is

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2q_1^{-1}|q_1^2z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2^2|q_2^2z) = (1 - (q_1q_2)^{1/2}z^{1/2}) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2q_1^{-1}|z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2^2|z). \quad (1.5)$$

It was proposed in [BS16q] (see (B.5) in loc. cit.) and proved in [BS18] for Painlevé equations case  $q_1q_2 = 1$ . It was proved in an elementary way, using Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations, but it seems that used approach cannot be generalized for arbitrary  $q_1, q_2$ . This and analogous relations are important for study of the quantum Painlevé equations, namely they appear in Conjecture 4.2 from [BGM17] and also as in [BGM18] for Nekrasov partition function modified by Chern-Simons term. In this paper we find elementary way to obtain such relations for arbitrary  $q_1, q_2$  from Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations.

Namely, results are as follows

- We proved relations from Conjecture 4.2 from [BGM17]. These relations are above mentioned (1.5), and (3.18), (3.21), (3.22) from the main text.
- We proved relation (3.23) on level 1 Chern-Simons-modified Nekrasov partition functions.
- Using our approach, we prove certain relations on Chern-Simons modified Nekrasov partition functions, namely

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[2]}(u; q_1, q_2|z) = (z; q_1, q_2)_\infty \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[0]}(u; q_1, q_2|z), \quad (1.6)$$

and (2.9) in the main text. Here the number in the square brackets indicates the Chern-Simons level.

All these relations are relations on 5d  $SU(2)$  pure gauge Nekrasov partition functions and we proved them for arbitrary  $q_1, q_2^2$ . As we mentioned above, (3.19) as well as (3.23), were proved in [BS18] for  $q_1q_2 = 1$ . Relation (1.6) was proved in [BS18] for  $q_1q_2 = 1, q_1q_2^2 = 1, q_1^2q_2 = 1$  and relation (2.9) was proved in [GNY06, Prop. 1.38] for  $q_1^2q_2 = 1$ . It is easy to take standard limit to bilinear relations on 4d Nekrasov partition functions, we do not discuss this.

**Method.** The method is based on the fact, that blowing up  $\mathbb{C}^2$  twice in a way, represented on Fig. 1 (where filled circle is point of an actual blowup) we get certain  $-2$ -curve (thick line on the scheme).<sup>3</sup> Note that presence or absence of additional 5th compact dimension does not affect on blowup geometry.

As mentioned above, we are interested in bilinear relations, which could be obtained from blowup of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Its exceptional divisor is  $-2$  curve in contrast to  $\mathbb{C}^2$  blowup, where it is  $-1$  curve. In terms of  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$  this is represented by the value  $I = \epsilon_1^{(1)}/\epsilon_2^{(1)} + \epsilon_2^{(2)}/\epsilon_1^{(2)}$ , where  $\epsilon_{1,2}^{(\eta)}, \eta = 1, 2$  are  $\Omega$ -background parameters for the first and the second partition functions in blowup equation respectively. For Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relation (1.3) this equals to  $-1$ , and for  $X_2$  blowup relation (1.4) it equals to  $-2$ . Therefore we will call bilinear relations on Nekrasov partition functions with  $I = -2$  as  $-2$  bilinear relations.

Using this observation, we try to represent it at the level of instanton partition functions. Practically our approach breaks down into several steps:

1. We take conjectured  $-2$  bilinear relation  $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(u; q_1, q_2) = 0$  and convolute it with another Nekrasov partition function (here we omit dependence on  $z$  for simplicity)

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(u(q_1q_2)^{2m}; q_1q_2, q_1^{-2}) \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(uq_2^{2m}; q_1, q_2). \quad (1.7)$$

<sup>2</sup>Strictly speaking, proof is done only for the case  $\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$ , because only in this case we can guarantee convergence of appropriate Nekrasov partition functions, see Subsection 2.1 for details. There is no such problem in 4d case.

<sup>3</sup>We are grateful to Hiraku Nakajima, who suggested to use this observation to study of bilinear relations on Nekrasov partition functions, arising from Painlevé equations.




Figure 1: Blowup scheme of the approach

2. Substituting  $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(q_1, q_2) = \sum_{terms} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1}) \mathcal{Z}(q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2)$  and using Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (1.3) twice, we obtain linear combination of Nekrasov partition functions

$$\sum_{terms} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(q_1 q_2, q_1^{-2}) \mathcal{Z}(q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1}) \mathcal{Z}(q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2) = \sum_{terms} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(q_1 q_2, q_2 q_1^{-1}) \mathcal{Z}(q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2) = \sum_{terms} \mathcal{Z}(q_1 q_2, q_2^2), \quad (1.8)$$

where we also omitted dependence on  $u$ , which is shifted appropriately. First sum represents several terms in the initial bilinear relation.

3. Suppose that this linear combination is zero. Finally we prove, that initial  $-2$  bilinear relation was also zero. It is done step by step, proving that all  $z$ -powers of the initial relations equal zero.

Note that connection between  $-1$  and  $-2$  blowups is used in the theory of Donaldson invariants [FS94], [B94].

**Content.** In Section 2 we recall necessary facts about Nekrasov partition functions and Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations. There we also prove convergence of 5d pure gauge  $SU(2)$  Nekrasov partition function for  $\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_{<0}$ .

Section 3 describes our approach to obtain  $-2$  bilinear relations on Nekrasov partition functions. We start from general scheme in Subsection 3.1, then we obtain  $-2$  bilinear relations in even (Subsection 3.2) and odd sector (Subsection 3.3). We finish by generalization of our approach for Chern-Simons-modified Nekrasov partition functions.

In Section 4 we obtain higher order Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations as a byproduct of our approach and prove certain symmetry relations on Chern-Simons-modified Nekrasov partition functions.

We conclude with several directions for further study.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Hiraku Nakajima for telling the idea, that inspired writing this paper, and pointing us to references [FS94], [B94], Mikhail Bershtein for interest to our work and stimulating discussions, Roman Gonin for discussion of Prop. 3.1.

We are grateful to Pavlo Gavrylenko and Mykola Semenyakin for a careful reading of the Introduction.

This work is partially supported by HSE University Basic Research Program and funded (partially) by the Russian Academic Excellence Project '5-100'. Classification of  $-2$  bilinear relations was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project 16-11-10316).

## 2 Nekrasov functions and Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations

### 2.1 5d Nekrasov partition function

We start from reviewing Nekrasov partition functions  $\mathcal{Z}$  of pure SUSY  $SU(2)$  gauge theory on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  extended by the 5th compact dimension and discuss their components.

Full Nekrasov partition function  $\mathcal{Z}$  splits into three factors (we follow conventions of [NY03L], [NY05])

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{cl} \mathcal{Z}_{1-loop} \mathcal{Z}_{inst}. \quad (2.1)$$

In loc. cit.  $\mathcal{Z}_{cl}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{1-loop}$  appear from the so-called "perturbative" part. Nekrasov function depends on parameters of the  $\Omega$ -background  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ , vacuum expectation values  $a_1, a_2$  with condition  $a_1 + a_2 = 0$  (we denote  $a = a_1 - a_2$ ) and also on the radius  $R$  of the 5th compact dimension. In 5d case it is convenient to use multiplicative parameters, connected with above by

$$u_i = e^{Ra_i}, \quad q_i = e^{R\epsilon_i}, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (2.2)$$

with condition  $u_1 u_2 = 1$  (we denote  $u = u_1/u_2$ ). To obtain pure SUSY  $SU(2)$  gauge theory on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  one should tend  $R \rightarrow 0$ , we will not discuss such limit in this paper. Sometimes we also want to modify our pure SUSY  $SU(2)$  gauge theory by additional Chern-Simons theory of level  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote such instanton partition function (and related objects) by superscript  $[l]$ .

In this paper we will consider only region  $\epsilon_1 < 0 < \epsilon_2$ , which corresponds to central charge  $c \leq 1$  under the AGT correspondence, due to several reasons. This region contains cases  $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = 0$  and  $2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = 0$  which are interesting in context of applications to Painlevé equations, as we explained in the Introduction. This region is also closed under Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (see (2.21) below), which we discuss at the end of this Section.

**Instanton part of Nekrasov partition functions.** Instanton part of 5d Nekrasov function, modified by Chern-Simons theory of level  $l$  is given by Nekrasov formula

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[l]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z) = \sum_{\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^2 (q_1 q_2)^{-\frac{l}{2} |\lambda^{(i)}|} \mathbb{T}_{\lambda^{(i)}}^l(u_i; q_1, q_2)}{\prod_{i,j=1}^2 \mathbb{N}_{\lambda^{(i)}, \lambda^{(j)}}(u_i/u_j; q_1, q_2)} (q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1} z)^{|\lambda^{(1)}| + |\lambda^{(2)}|}, \quad (2.3)$$

written in terms of combinatorial block

$$\mathbb{N}_{\lambda, \mu}(u; q_1, q_2) = \prod_{s \in \lambda} \left( 1 - u q_2^{-a_\mu(s)-1} q_1^{l_\lambda(s)} \right) \prod_{s \in \mu} \left( 1 - u q_2^{a_\lambda(s)} q_1^{-l_\mu(s)-1} \right) \quad (2.4)$$

and Chern-Simons term

$$\mathbb{T}_\lambda(u; q_1, q_2) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} u^{-1} q_1^{1-i} q_2^{1-j}. \quad (2.5)$$

Here  $\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}$  are partitions,  $|\lambda| = \sum \lambda_j$  and  $a_\lambda(s), l_\lambda(s)$  denote lengths of arms and legs for the box  $s$  in the Young diagram corresponding to the partition  $\lambda$ .

The function  $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[l]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z)$  satisfies elementary symmetry properties:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[l]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z) = \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[l]}(u; q_2, q_1 | z) = \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[l]}(u^{-1}; q_1, q_2 | z). \quad (2.6)$$

For  $l = 0$  there is also elementary symmetry

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}(u; q_1, q_2 | z) = \mathcal{Z}_{inst}(u; q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1} | z). \quad (2.7)$$

Its proof is based on term by term coincidence of the power series, that's why we have immediately

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[-l]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z) = \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[l]}(u; q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1} | z) \quad (2.8)$$

In the case  $q_1 q_2 = 1$  the symmetry  $q_1, q_2 \mapsto q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}$  is equivalent to the symmetry  $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$  for arbitrary  $l$ .

For general  $q_1, q_2$ , the situation with  $q_1, q_2 \mapsto q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}$  symmetry is more subtle. For  $l \neq 0$  term by term comparison does not work. For  $l = 1$ , however, one has

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[1]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z) = \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[1]}(u; q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1} | z). \quad (2.9)$$

The proof for the case  $q_1 = q^{-1}, q_2 = q^2$  case is given in [GNY06, Prop. 1.38]. We will prove this equality in Subsection 4.2 for arbitrary  $q_1, q_2$ .

For  $l = 2$  it turns out that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[2]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z) = (z; q_1, q_2)_\infty \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[0]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z), \quad (2.10)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[2]}(u; q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1} | z) = \frac{1-z}{(z; q_1)_\infty (z; q_2)_\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[2]}(u; q_1, q_2 | za). \quad (2.11)$$

We will discuss and prove equality (2.10) in Subsection 4.2.

**Convergence of Nekrasov partition functions.** Let us consider the convergence of the series (2.3). We proved that

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $q_1 = q^{-m}, q_2 = q^n$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $|q| \neq 1$  and  $u \neq q^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then series (2.3) for  $l = 0$  converges uniformly and absolutely on every bounded subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

The proof of this Proposition is similar to the one in [ItsLTy14, Prop 1 (i)] and generalize Proposition [BS16q, Prop. 3.1.] to the case  $\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_{<0}$ .

*Proof.* There exist constants  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , such that

$$\left| \frac{q^{k/2} - q^{-k/2}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}} \right| > |k| L_1^{1/2}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}, \quad \left| \frac{u^{1/2} q^{k/2} - u^{-1/2} q^{-k/2}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}} \right| > L_2^{1/2}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.12)$$

Then we can bound  $\prod_{i,j=1}^2 N_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(u_i/u_j; q^{-m}, q^n)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| N_{\lambda_1, \lambda_1}(1; q^{-m}, q^n) N_{\lambda_2, \lambda_2}(1; q^{-m}, q^n) \right| = \\ & \prod_{s \in \lambda_1} |q|^{\frac{m-n}{2}} \left| q^{\frac{1}{2}(n(a_{\lambda_1}(s)+1)+m l_{\lambda_1}(s))} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}(n(a_{\lambda_1}(s)+1)+m l_{\lambda_1}(s))} \right| \left| q^{\frac{1}{2}(n a_{\lambda_1}(s)+m(l_{\lambda_1}(s)+1))} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}(n a_{\lambda_1}(s)+m(l_{\lambda_1}(s)+1))} \right| \\ & \cdot \prod_{s \in \lambda_2} (\lambda_1 \leftrightarrow \lambda_2) > \left( \prod_{s \in \lambda_1} |h_{\lambda_1}|^2 \right) \left( \prod_{s \in \lambda_2} |h_{\lambda_2}|^2 \right) \left| q^{\frac{m-n}{2}} \min^2(m, n) L_1 (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^2 \right|^{| \lambda_1 | + | \lambda_2 |} > \\ & > \frac{|\lambda_1|!^2 |\lambda_2|!^2}{(\dim \lambda_1 \dim \lambda_2)^2} \left| q^{\frac{m-n}{2}} \min^2(m, n) L_1 (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^2 \right|^{| \lambda_1 | + | \lambda_2 |}, \quad (2.13) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| N_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u; q^{-m}, q^n) N_{\lambda_2, \lambda_1}(u^{-1}; q^{-m}, q^n) \right| = \\ & = \prod_{s \in \lambda_2} |q|^{\frac{m-n}{2}} \left| u^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n(a_{\lambda_2}(s)+1)+m l_{\lambda_1}(s))} - u^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}(n(a_{\lambda_2}(s)+1)+m l_{\lambda_1}(s))} \right| \\ & \cdot \left| u^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n a_{\lambda_2}(s)+m(l_{\lambda_1}(s)+1))} - u^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{1}{2}(n a_{\lambda_2}(s)+m(l_{\lambda_1}(s)+1))} \right| \\ & \cdot \prod_{s \in \lambda_1} (\lambda_1 \leftrightarrow \lambda_2, u \leftrightarrow u^{-1}) > \left| q^{\frac{m-n}{2}} L_2 (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^2 \right|^{| \lambda_1 | + | \lambda_2 |}, \quad (2.14) \end{aligned}$$

where we used hook length formula for  $\dim \lambda$ . Since  $\sum_{|\lambda|=n} (\dim \lambda)^2 = n!$  we have  $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}(u; q^{-1}, q|z) < \exp \left| \frac{2z}{q^{m-n} \min^2(m, n) L_1 L_2 (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})^4} \right|$ .  $\square$

For  $\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$  arguments of above proof do not work. In this case poles in  $u$  of sum (2.3) are dense and it seems that the series diverges. However, we do not have any proof. For  $l \neq 0$  we also don't know any proof, however numerical experiments suggest that it diverges when  $|l| > 2$ . According to symmetry (2.8) below we will restrict ourselves to the levels  $l = 0, 1, 2$ . Such restriction is also natural from the cluster point of view [BGM18]. We will consider case  $l = 2$  only to discuss relation (2.10).

Note also that results on convergence of Nekrasov instanton partition functions in other sector (namely  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$  and also complex conjugated non-imaginary  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ ) were obtained in paper[FML17].

**Classical and 1-loop part of Nekrasov partition functions.** Classical and 1-loop parts of 5d Nekrasov function are given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{cl}(u; q_1, q_2|z) = (q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1} z)^{-\frac{\log^2 u}{4 \log q_1 \log q_2}}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{1-loop}(u; q_1, q_2) = (u; q_1, q_2)_\infty (u^{-1}; q_1, q_2)_\infty, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $q$ -Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(z; q_1, \dots, q_N)_\infty = \prod_{i_1, \dots, i_N=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - z \prod_{k=1}^N q_k^{i_k} \right) \quad (2.17)$$

satisfy  $q$ -shift relations

$$(z; q_1, \dots, q_N)_\infty / (zq_1; q_1, \dots, q_N)_\infty = (z; q_2, \dots, q_N)_\infty, \quad (z; q)_\infty / (zq; q)_\infty = 1 - z. \quad (2.18)$$

These parts do not depend on  $l$  (however,  $\mathcal{Z}_{cl}$  becomes depend on  $l$  in case of  $SU(r)$ ,  $r > 2$  gauge group). One can see that symmetries  $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$ ,  $u \mapsto u^{-1}$  are also satisfied by classical and 1-loop parts of the full Nekrasov function  $\mathcal{Z}$ . However, for the symmetry  $q_1, q_2 \mapsto q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}$  we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{cl}(u; q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}|z) = (q_1 q_2)^{-\frac{\log^2 u}{2 \log q_1 \log q_2}} \mathcal{Z}_{cl}(u; q_1, q_2|z), \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{1-loop}(u; q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}) = (uq_1; q_1)_\infty^{-1} (u^{-1}; q_1)_\infty^{-1} (u; q_2)_\infty^{-1} (q_2 u^{-1}; q_2)_\infty^{-1} \mathcal{Z}_{1-loop}(u; q_1, q_2) \quad (2.20)$$

(where we used properties  $(z; q_1^{-1}, q_2, \dots, q_N)_\infty = (zq_1; q_1, \dots, q_N)_\infty^{-1}$  and (2.18) successively), so symmetry is broken for all cases except  $q_1 q_2 = 1$ .

## 2.2 Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations

Functions  $\mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(u; q_1, q_2|z)$  are known to satisfy Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations [NY05], [GNY06]

$$\beta_j^d(q_1, q_2|z) \mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(u; q_1, q_2|z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2} \left( \mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1^{d + \frac{1}{2}l(j-1)} z) \mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(uq_2^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2 | q_2^{d + \frac{1}{2}l(j-1)} z) \right), \quad (2.21)$$

for  $j = 0, l \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d = -1, 0, 1$ . Such coefficients  $\beta_j^d$  turned out to be independent from  $l$ , they are given in the table

$\beta_j^d$	$d = -1$	$d = 0$	$d = 1$
$j = 0$	1	1	1
$j = 1$	$(q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1} z)^{1/4}$	0	$-(q_1 q_2 z)^{1/4}$

These results were proved in Theorem 2.4 in [NY05] for  $l = 0$  and in Theorem 2.11 in [NY09] for the case  $l = 1, 2, j = 0$  and case  $l = 1, 2, j = 1, d = 0$ . We have not found cases  $l = 1, j = 1, d = \pm 1$  in the literature but they follow from the results of [NY09] (see footnote 6 in [BS18]) One could ask about higher order Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (with  $|d| > 1$ ). We will show, how to obtain these relations (especially  $d = \pm 2$ ) in Subsection 4.1.

Note that, in fact, Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations are relations on  $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[l]}$ , and  $\mathcal{Z}_{cl}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{1-loop}$  give  $(\mathbf{I}_n^d)^{-1} z^{n^2}$ , where  $z$ -independent coefficient  $\mathbf{I}_n^d$  is called blowup factor.

**Remark 2.1.** According to symmetries (2.8), (2.19), (2.20) coefficients  $\beta_j^{d,[l]}$  for arbitrary  $d$  satisfy

$$\beta_j^{d,[l]}(q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}|z) = (-1)^j \beta_j^{-d,[-l]}(q_1, q_2|z), \quad (2.22)$$

where we restored  $\beta_j^{d,[l]}$  dependence on  $l$  for arbitrary  $d$ .

### 3 Blowup relations on $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ from blowup relations on $\mathbb{C}^2$

#### 3.1 General scheme

There are also blowup relations on Nekrasov partition functions on  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  which have form

$$\mathcal{Z}_{X_2}^{[l]}(u; q_1, q_2|z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2} D\left(\mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2q_1^{-1}|z), \mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(uq_2^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2^2|z)\right), \quad j = 0, 1 \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_{X_2}^{[l]}$  is certain instanton partition function on  $X_2$ , which is minimal resolution of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Explicit type of this partition function depends on bilinear  $q$ -difference in  $z$  operator  $D$  in r.h.s.

As already mentioned in Introduction, such relations can be used to prove formula (1.1) for tau functions of  $q$ -difference Painlevé equations. However, to do this one needs only bilinear relations on Nekrasov partition functions, which can be obtained from the above relations by excluding  $\mathcal{Z}_{X_2}^{[l]}$ . These relations are given by sum of following terms

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_d^{[l]}(u; q_1, q_2|z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2} \epsilon^n \mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2q_1^{-1}|q_1^d z) \mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(uq_2^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2^2|q_2^d z), \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.2)$$

with coefficients, independent from  $u$ . We will see below that sign  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  is essential only in case  $j = 1$ .

We call such bilinear relations "–2 bilinear relations", emphasize that for these relations  $\epsilon_1^{(1)}/\epsilon_2^{(1)} + \epsilon_2^{(2)}/\epsilon_1^{(2)} = -2$  in contrast to Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations, where such l.h.s. equals  $-1$ . Our aim is to find approach to derive –2 bilinear relations from Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (2.21). In this Subsection we will illustrate general scheme on the case, when  $l = 0$ . Generalization for  $l = 1$  will be given in Subsection 3.4.

Let us make a certain convolution of  $\mathcal{Z}(u; q_1q_2, q_1^{-2}|z)$  with (3.2)

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2} \epsilon^m \mathcal{Z}(u(q_1q_2)^{2m}; q_1q_2, q_1^{-2}|(q_1q_2)^{d_1+d_2} z) \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_d(uq_2^{2m}; q_1, q_2|q_2^{d_1+d_2} z) \quad (3.3)$$

or, explicitly writing –2 bilinear term

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2} \epsilon^{m+n} \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2m} q_2^{2m}; q_1q_2, q_1^{-2}|(q_1q_2)^{d_1+d_2} z) \\ & \times \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n} q_2^{2m}; q_1^2, q_2q_1^{-1}|q_1^{d_2-d_1} q_2^{d_1+d_2} z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_2^{2(m+n)}, q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2^2|q_2^{d_2-d_1} q_2^{d_1+d_2} z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$



where we introduced  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , such that  $d = d_2 - d_1$ . Let us make substitution  $m = m' + n'$ ,  $n = m' - n'$  in this expression

$$\epsilon^j \left( \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2, n' \in \mathbb{Z}} + \epsilon \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2 + \frac{1}{2}, n' \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \mathcal{Z}(u(q_1 q_2)^{2(m'+n')}; q_1 q_2, q_1^{-2} | (q_1 q_2)^{d_2 + d_1} z) \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(u(q_1 q_2)^{2m'} (q_1^{-1} q_2)^{2n'}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1^{d_2 - d_1} q_2^{d_2 + d_1} z) \times \mathcal{Z}(u q_2^{4m'}, q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | q_2^{2d_2} z)$$

Using Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (2.21) for the first pair of Nekrasov partition functions (summing up over  $n'$ ), we obtain

$$\sum_{2m' \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon^{2m'} \beta_{2m'+j \pmod 2}^{d_1} (q_1 q_2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | (q_1 q_2)^{d_2} z) \mathcal{Z}(u(q_1 q_2)^{2m'}; q_1 q_2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | (q_1 q_2)^{d_2} z) \mathcal{Z}(u q_2^{4m'}, q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | q_2^{2d_2} z), \quad (3.6)$$

which could be summed up again, using Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (2.21), to

$$\left( \sum_{i=0,1} \epsilon^i \beta_{i+j \pmod 2}^{d_1} (q_1 q_2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | (q_1 q_2)^{d_2} z) \beta_i^{d_2} (q_1 q_2, q_2^2 | z) \right) \mathcal{Z}(u; q_1 q_2, q_2^2 | z) \quad (3.7)$$

Let us take sum of terms (3.2) and make convolution (3.3) with the whole sum. Assume that after such convolution we obtain  $\mathcal{Z}(u; q_1 q_2, q_2^2 | z)$  with zero coefficient. Note that to make such convolution, uniform for all terms, we should take terms in the sum with the same shift  $d_1 + d_2$ .

Below we prove that the initial sum is also zero in case  $j = 0$ . Case  $j = 1$  is more subtle: we prove that initial sum vanishes, when there are two different convolutions of the initial sum. In principle, we have such possibility, because we could take different pairs  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , s.t.  $d_2 - d_1 = d$ . Below for simplicity we denote  $d_{12} = d_1 + d_2$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Consider  $-2$  bilinear relation on Nekrasov partition functions which is sum of terms (3.2).*

(i) *Case  $j = 0$ . If there is a vanishing convolution, given by (3.3), then initial  $-2$  bilinear relation is satisfied.*

(ii) *Case  $j = 1$ . If there is at least two vanishing convolutions, s.t.  $d_{12} \neq d'_{12}$ , then initial  $-2$  bilinear relation is satisfied.*

*Proof.* In terms of  $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}$ , summands (3.2) have the form

$$(q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1} z)^{-\frac{\log^2 u}{8 \log q_1 \log q_2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2} \frac{\epsilon^n u^{\frac{nd}{2}} (q_1 q_2)^{\frac{n^2 d}{2}} (q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1} z)^{\frac{n^2}{2}}}{\mathfrak{l}_n^d} \mathcal{Z}_{inst}(u q_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1^d z) \mathcal{Z}_{inst}(u q_2^{2n}, q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | q_2^d z), \quad (3.8)$$

where  $\mathfrak{l}_n^d$  are blowup coefficients for  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  blowup, resulting from  $\mathcal{Z}_{1-loop}$ .

We convolute relation from these summands with

$$\mathcal{Z}(u; q_1 q_2, q_1^{-2} | z) = z^{\frac{\log^2 u}{8 \log q_1 (\log q_2 + \log q_1)}} \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} b_p(u) z^p, \quad (3.9)$$

$$b_0(u) = (q_1 q_2^{-1})^{\frac{\log^2 u}{8 \log q_1 (\log q_2 + \log q_1)}} \mathcal{Z}_{1-loop}(u; q_1 q_2, q_1^{-2}) \quad (3.10)$$

(i) In the case  $j = 0$  the whole sum, as series in  $z$ , has form

$$z^{-\frac{\log^2 u}{8 \log q_1 \log q_2}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k(u) \epsilon^k z^{k/2}, \quad (3.11)$$

so we see that  $-2$  bilinear relation splits into relations with integer and half-integer powers of  $z$  and sign  $\epsilon$  signifies that.

After convolution we have up to common factor

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon^m u^{(d_{12}) \frac{m}{2}} (q_1 q_2^2)^{(d_{12}) \frac{m^2}{2}} z^{\frac{m^2}{2}} \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} b_p(u q_1^{2m} q_2^{2m}) ((q_1 q_2)^{(d_{12})} z)^p \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k(u q_2^{2m}) (q_2^{d_{12}} z)^{k/2} = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

Then, equating to zero coefficients in front of power  $z^{n/2}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , due to  $b_0(u) \neq 0$  we obtain  $c_0 = 0, c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0 \dots$  successively.

(ii) In the case  $j = 1$  there is no such splitting

$$z^{\frac{1}{8} - \frac{\log^2 u}{8 \log q_1 \log q_2}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} d_k(u) z^k. \quad (3.13)$$

Two vanishing convolutions with  $d_{12} \neq d'_{12}$  give us up to common factor

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2} \epsilon^m u^{d_{12} \frac{m}{2}} (q_1 q_2^2)^{d_{12} \frac{m^2}{2}} z^{\frac{m^2}{2}} \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} b_p(u (q_1 q_2)^{2m}) ((q_1 q_2)^{d_{12}} z)^p \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} d_k(u q_2^{2m}) (q_2^{d_{12}} z)^k = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2} \epsilon^m u^{d'_{12} \frac{m}{2}} (q_1 q_2^2)^{d'_{12} \frac{m^2}{2}} z^{\frac{m^2}{2}} \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} b_p(u (q_1 q_2)^{2m}) ((q_1 q_2)^{d'_{12}} z)^p \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} d_k(u q_2^{2m}) (q_2^{d'_{12}} z)^k = 0 \quad (3.15)$$

Equating to zero coefficients in front of power  $z^{n+1/8}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we will obtain  $2 \times 2$  linear system on  $d_n(u q_2)$  and  $d_n(u q_2^{-1})$  with inhomogeneity that equals linear combination of  $d_k, k < n$ . Fundamental matrix of this system is

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^{d_{12}/4} (q_1 q_2^2)^{d_{12}/8} b_0(u q_1 q_2) q_2^{d_{12} p} & u^{-d_{12}/4} (q_1 q_2^2)^{d_{12}/8} b_0(u q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1}) q_2^{d_{12} p} \\ u^{d'_{12}/4} (q_1 q_2^2)^{d'_{12}/8} b_0(u q_1 q_2) q_2^{d'_{12} p} & u^{-d'_{12}/4} (q_1 q_2^2)^{d'_{12}/8} b_0(u q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1}) q_2^{d'_{12} p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.16)$$

its determinant is equal to

$$(q_1 q_2^2)^{(d_{12} + d'_{12})/8} b_0(u q_1 q_2) b_0(u (q_1 q_2)^{-1}) q_2^{(d_{12} + d'_{12}) p} (u^{(d_{12} - d'_{12})/4} - u^{(d'_{12} - d_{12})/4}), \quad (3.17)$$

which is nonzero for general  $u$ . So we obtain  $d_0 = 0, d_1 = 0, d_2 = 0 \dots$  successively.  $\square$

We see that in case  $j = 0$  sign  $\epsilon$  corresponds to the branch of square root  $z^{1/2}$ , and we will omit it in next Subsection.

### 3.2 Relations in even sector

Let us itemize different  $-2$  bilinear relations that we could obtain from above approach. As explained above, we can take  $-2$  bilinear relation consisting only from terms with same  $d_1 + d_2$ . We know Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (2.21) only for cases  $d = -1, 0, 1$ , that's our another restriction.

- **Case  $d_1 + d_2 = 2$ .** We have only one term with  $d_1 = d_2 = 1$  and coefficient in (3.7) is nonzero, so there is no relation.
- **Case  $d_1 + d_2 = 1$ .** We have two terms: with  $d_1 = 1, d_2 = 0$  and  $d_1 = 0, d_2 = 1$ . Both coefficients in (3.7) equal 1. We obtain relation

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(u q_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1 z) \mathcal{Z}(u q_1^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | q_2 z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(u q_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1^{-1} z) \mathcal{Z}(u q_1^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | q_2^{-1} z), \quad (3.18)$$

this is conjectured relation (4.24) from [BGM17].

- **Case  $d_1 + d_2 = 0$ .** We have three terms: with  $d_1 = 0, d_2 = 0, d_1 = 1, d_2 = -1$  and  $d_1 = -1, d_2 = 1$ . Coefficients in (3.7) are equal to  $1, 1 - (q_1 q_2)^{-1/2} z^{1/2}$  and  $1 - (q_1 q_2)^{1/2} z^{1/2}$  respectively. We obtain relations

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1^2 z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | q_2^2 z) \\ &= (1 - (q_1 q_2)^{1/2} z^{1/2}) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1^{-2} z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | q_2^{-2} z) \\ &= (1 - (q_1 q_2)^{-1/2} z^{1/2}) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

which is equivalent to previous relation (3.19) under substitution  $q_1, q_2 \mapsto q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}$  according to Remark 2.1. Relation (3.19) is conjectured relation (B.5) from [BS16q] and (4.25) from [BGM17]

One can see easily that cases  $d_1 + d_2 = -1, -2$  don't give any new relations according to Remark 2.1.

### 3.3 Relations in odd sector

According to Prop. 3.1 (ii) we start from itemizing different vanishing convolutions. After that we will look for appropriate pairs of convolutions and write corresponding  $-2$  bilinear relations.

- **Case  $d_1 + d_2 = 2$ .** We have only one term with  $d_1 = d_2 = 1$  and coefficient in (3.7) is  $-(q_2^3 q_1 z)^{1/4} (1 + \epsilon)$ , so we have vanishing convolution only for  $\epsilon = -1$ .
- **Case  $d_1 + d_2 = 1$ .** We have two terms: with  $d_1 = 1, d_2 = 0$  and  $d_1 = 0, d_2 = 1$ . Coefficients in (3.7) equal  $-(q_2^2 z)^{1/4}$  and  $-\epsilon (q_1 q_2)^{1/4} (q_2^2 z)^{1/4}$  respectively, so we have two vanishing convolutions with  $\epsilon = \pm 1$ .
- **Case  $d_1 + d_2 = 0$ .** We have three terms: with  $d_1 = 0, d_2 = 0, d_1 = 1, d_2 = -1$  and  $d_1 = -1, d_2 = 1$ . Coefficients are equal to  $0, (q_2 q_1^{-1} z)^{1/4} (\epsilon q_2^{-1} - 1)$  and  $\epsilon q_2 (q_2 q_1^{-1} z)^{1/4} (\epsilon q_2^{-1} - 1)$  respectively, so we have vanishing convolutions consisting from first term or from next two terms, both with  $\epsilon = \pm 1$ .
- **Case  $d_1 + d_2 = -1$ .** We have two terms: with  $d_1 = -1, d_2 = 0$  and  $d_1 = 0, d_2 = -1$ . Coefficients in (3.7) equal  $(q_2^{-2} z)^{1/4}$  and  $\epsilon (q_1 q_2)^{-1/4} (q_2^{-2} z)^{1/4}$  respectively, so we have two vanishing convolutions with  $\epsilon = \pm 1$ .
- **Case  $d_1 + d_2 = -2$ .** We have only one term with  $d_1 = d_2 = -1$  and coefficient in (3.7) is  $(q_2^{-3} q_1^{-1} z)^{1/4} (1 + \epsilon)$ , so we have vanishing convolution only for  $\epsilon = -1$ .

We see that we have  $-2$  bilinear relations, which follow from vanishing conditions in the cases  $d_1 + d_2 = \pm 1$  both for  $\epsilon = \pm 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2} \epsilon^n \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1 z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | q_2 z) \\ &= \epsilon (q_1 q_2)^{1/4} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2} \epsilon^n \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1^{-1} z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2^2 | q_2^{-1} z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

This is just relation (4.23) from [BGM17], it could be seen by taking sum and difference of relations with two different  $\epsilon$ .

We also have  $-2$  bilinear relations, which follow from vanishing conditions in cases  $d_1 + d_2 = 0$  and  $d_1 + d_2 = \pm 2$  only for  $\epsilon = -1$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2} (-1)^n \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2q_1^{-1}|z) \mathcal{Z}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2^2|z) = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

This is just relation (4.22) from [BGM17].

This exhausts the relations, which follow from the above vanishing convolutions.

### 3.4 Chern-Simons modification

Obtaining  $-2$  bilinear relations on  $\mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(u; q_1, q_2|z)$  by our approach seems to be much more subtle and cumbersome. Let us illustrate this approach on relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}^{[1]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2q_1^{-1}|q_1^2z) \mathcal{Z}^{[1]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2^2|q_2^2z) \\ &= (1 - (q_1q_2)^{1/2}z^{1/2}) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}^{[1]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1^2, q_2q_1^{-1}|q_1z) \mathcal{Z}^{[1]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2^2|q_2z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

which for  $q_1q_2 = 1$  was proposed in [BGM18] (in terms of tau functions for  $k = 1, N = 2$  see formula (3.7) in loc. cit.).

We should modify our convolution (3.3) for  $l = 1$  in the following way. Split our  $-2$  bilinear relation into two parts with integer and half-integer powers of  $z$  (up to common factor)

$$z^{-\frac{\log^2 u}{8 \log q_1 \log q_2}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_{2k}(u) z^k + \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_{2k+1}(u) z^{k+1/2} \right) = \widehat{Z}_0^{[1]}(z) + \widehat{Z}_1^{[1]}(z) \quad (3.24)$$

Then make a modified convolution (braces denote fractional part of the number)

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, p=0,1} \mathcal{Z}^{[1]}(u(q_1q_2)^{2m}; q_1q_2, q_1^{-2}|(q_1q_2)^{l(2\{(m+p)/2\}-1)}z) \widehat{Z}_n^{[1]}(uq_2^{2m}, q_1, q_2; q_2^{l(2\{(m+p)/2\}-1)}z), \quad (3.25)$$

namely, convolution shift become dependent on relative parity of  $m$  and  $p$

As before, using Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (2.21) twice we obtain

$$\beta_0^{-1}\beta_0^1 + \beta_1^{-1}(q_1q_2, q_2q_1^{-1}|q_1q_2z) \beta_1^1(q_1q_2, q_2^2|z) = \beta_0^0\beta_0^0 + \beta_1^0\beta_1^0 - (q_1q_2)^{1/2}z^{1/2}(\beta_0^0\beta_0^1 + q_2^{-1/2}\beta_1^{-1}\beta_1^0), \quad (3.26)$$

where we wrote dependence on variables only where it is necessary. This expression turns out to be an identity.

Finally, above convolution, written as sum of  $z$ -powers, is

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon^m z^{\frac{m^2}{2}} \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} b_p(uq_1^{2m}q_2^{2m}) ((q_1q_2)^{l(2\{(m+p)/2\}-1)}z)^p \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k(uq_2^{2m}) (q_2^{l(2\{(m+p)/2\}-1)}z)^{k/2} = 0, \quad (3.27)$$

and, as before,  $c_n = 0, n \geq 0$  successively as before. So we obtained the proof of (3.23).

## 4 Applications

### 4.1 Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations of higher order

Using our approach in opposite direction, we could obtain Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relation (2.21) for  $l = 0, j = 0, d = 2$ . We could always write such relation with unknown function  $\beta_2^{0,1}$ , which a priori

is a power series in  $z$  and depends on  $u$ . Let's calculate it. Let us take convolution (3.3) of (3.19), taking  $d_1 = 0, d_2 = 2$  and  $d_1 = d_2 = 1$  for the corresponding summands of the relation. Because (3.19) is already proved, reducing convolution to linear combination of Nekrasov partition functions as was done in Subsection 3.1, we obtain

$$\beta_0^0 \beta_0^2(u; q_1 q_2, q_2^2 | z) + \beta_1^0 \beta_1^2 = (1 - (q_1 q_2^3 z)^{1/2})(\beta_0^1 \beta_0^1 + \beta_1^1(q_1 q_2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1 q_2 z) \beta_1^1(q_1 q_2, q_2^2 | z)) = 1 - q_1 q_2^3 z, \quad (4.1)$$

where we wrote dependence on variables only where it is necessary. So, thanks to  $\beta_1^0 = 0$ , we find  $\beta_0^2$

$$\beta_0^2(q_1, q_2 | z) = 1 - q_1 q_2 z \quad (4.2)$$

and, according to (2.22)

$$\beta_0^{-2}(q_1, q_2 | z) = 1 - q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1} z. \quad (4.3)$$

In the same manner we can find  $\beta_0^{2,[1]}(q_1, q_2 | z)$  for  $l = 1$  (in fact, it differs from above  $\beta_0^2$ ). Analogous calculation with (3.23) and convolution (3.25), where other shift  $l(2\{(m+p)/2\} - 1) \mapsto 2 + l(2\{(m+p)/2\} - 1)$  is taken, we obtain

$$\beta_0^0 \beta_0^2(u; q_1 q_2, q_2^2 | z) + \beta_1^0 \beta_1^2 = \beta_0^1 \beta_0^1 + \beta_1^1(q_1 q_2, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1 q_2 z) \beta_1^1(q_1 q_2, q_2^2 | z) - (q_1 q_2^3)^{1/2} z^{1/2} (\beta_0^1 \beta_0^2(u; q_1 q_2, q_2^2 | z) + q_2^{-1} \beta_1^0 \beta_1^1), \quad (4.4)$$

so we find that  $\beta_0^{2,[1]}(q_1, q_2 | z) = 1$ , which differ from (4.2).

## 4.2 Proof of equalities (2.10) and (2.9)

This Subsection starts from proving equality (2.10), using Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup equations (2.21) for  $l = 2$  and  $l = 0$  in sector  $j = 0$ .

As already mentioned in [BS18], in terms of topological strings this relation means a relation between the geometry of local  $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and local Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Particularly, the relation between Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of these manifolds is given in e.g. [IKP02, eq. (94)]. Case  $q_1 q_2 = 1$  of (2.10) appear in [BGM18], it is proved together with cases  $q_1^2 q_2 = 1, q_1 q_2^2 = 1$  in [BS18, Prop. 4.3.]. Before this papers we have not found equality (2.10) in the literature, but it is maybe known. For full Nekrasov functions we have the same equality, because  $\mathcal{Z}_{cl}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{1-loop}$  do not depend on  $l$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Nekrasov function  $\mathcal{Z}^{[2]}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{Z}^{[0]}$  up to double  $q$ -Pochhammer symbol*

$$\mathcal{Z}^{[2]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z) = (z; q_1, q_2)_\infty \mathcal{Z}^{[0]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z), \quad (4.5)$$

We follow proof of [BS18, Prop. 4.3.], slightly modifying it for arbitrary  $q_1, q_2$ .

Consider Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (2.21) for  $l = 2, j = 0, d = -1, 0, 1$  and exclude  $\mathcal{Z}^{[2]}(u; q_1, q_2 | z)$  from them

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}^{[2]}(u q_1^{2n}; q_1, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1^{-2} z) \mathcal{Z}^{[2]}(u q_2^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2 | q_2^{-2} z) = \\ & = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}^{[2]}(u q_1^{2n}; q_1, q_2 q_1^{-1} | q_1^{-1} z) \mathcal{Z}^{[2]}(u q_2^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2 | q_2^{-1} z) = \\ & = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}^{[2]}(u q_1^{2n}; q_1, q_2 q_1^{-1} | z) \mathcal{Z}^{[2]}(u q_2^{2n}; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2 | z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

These equations are equations on  $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[2]}$ , or bilinear equations on coefficients  $c_k^{(1)}, c_k^{(2)}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  of the corresponding power series  $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[2]}(u; q_1, q_2 q_1^{-1} | z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k^{(1)}(u; q_1, q_2) z^k$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[2]}(u; q_1 q_2^{-1}, q_2 | z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k^{(2)}(u; q_1, q_2) z^k$ . Namely, relations (4.6) split into the relations corresponding to powers  $z^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  (up to the power  $\Lambda^{-\frac{\log^2 u}{\log q_1 \log q_2}}$  from  $\mathcal{Z}_{cl}$ ).

**Lemma 4.1.** ([BS18, Lemma 4.1.]) Relations (4.6) recursively determine coefficients  $c_k^{(1)}, c_k^{(2)}, k \in \mathbb{N}$  starting from normalization  $c_0^{(1)} = c_0^{(2)} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let us take the coefficient of the power  $z^k$  in the relation (4.6), then coefficients  $c_k^{(1)}, c_k^{(2)}$  appear only for  $n = 0$ . Other coefficients in these relations have lower index, so they are known due to the induction supposition. Therefore we obtain system of two linear equations on two unknown variables  $c_k^{(1)}, c_k^{(2)}$ . The fundamental matrix of this system is as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1^{-k} - 1 & q_2^{-k} - 1 \\ q_1^{-2k} - 1 & q_2^{-2k} - 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.7)$$

its determinant equals  $(q_1^{-k} - 1)(q_2^{-k} - 1)(q_2^{-k} - q_1^{-k})$  which is non-zero iff none of  $q_1, q_2, q_1/q_2$  is a root of unity.  $\square$

In our sector  $|q_1| \leq 1, |q_2| \geq 1$ , these special cases are not realized.

*Proof of the Proposition 4.1.* Consider Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations (2.21) for  $l = 0, j = 0, d = -2, -1, 0$  (where we needed (4.3), found above by our approach) and exclude from them  $\mathcal{Z}^{[2]}(u; q_1, q_2|z)$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - q_1^{-1}q_2^{-1}z)^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}^{[0]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1, q_2q_1^{-1}|q_1^{-2}z) \mathcal{Z}^{[0]}(uq_2^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2|q_2^{-2}z) = \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}^{[0]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1, q_2q_1^{-1}|q_1^{-1}z) \mathcal{Z}^{[0]}(uq_2^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2|q_2^{-1}z) = \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}^{[0]}(uq_1^{2n}; q_1, q_2q_1^{-1}|z) \mathcal{Z}^{[0]}(uq_2^{2n}; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2|z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Let us replace  $\mathcal{Z}^{[0]}$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{[2]}$ , formally defined by (4.5). From calculation with  $q$ -Pochhammers

$$\frac{(q_1^{-1}z; q_1, q_2q_1^{-1})_\infty (q_2^{-1}z; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2)_\infty}{(z; q_1, q_2q_1^{-1})_\infty (z; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2)_\infty} = 1, \quad \frac{(q_1^{-2}z; q_1, q_2q_1^{-1})_\infty (q_2^{-2}z; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2)_\infty}{(z; q_1, q_2q_1^{-1})_\infty (z; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2)_\infty} = \frac{1}{1 - q_1^{-1}q_2^{-1}z}, \quad (4.9)$$

we obtain that  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{[2]}$  satisfies (4.6). Therefore, according to Lemma 4.1  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{[2]} = \mathcal{Z}^{[2]}$  (for general  $q_1, q_2$ ), which is desired relation (4.5).  $\square$

Relation (2.9) is obtained, using the same idea, but even simpler.

**Proposition 4.2.** Nekrasov instanton partition function  $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[1]}$  is invariant under  $q_1, q_2 \mapsto q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[1]}(u; q_1, q_2|z) = \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[1]}(u; q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}|z). \quad (4.10)$$

*Proof.* Using (2.8), we find out that we should prove

$$\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[-1]}(u; q_1, q_2|z) = \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{[1]}(u; q_1, q_2|z) \quad (4.11)$$

For  $l = 1$  take Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations  $d = 0, 1, 2$  and for  $l = -1$  take relations  $d = -1, 0, 1$ . Excluding  $\mathcal{Z}^{[\pm 1]}(u; q_1, q_2|z)$  from these relations we obtain two bilinear relations on  $\mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(u; q_1, q_2q_1^{-1}|z)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}^{[l]}(u; q_1q_2^{-1}, q_2|z)$  both for  $l = -1$  and  $l = 1$ . And these pairs of relations are identical. Here analogue of fundamental matrix (4.7) is matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1^{k/2} - q_1^{-k/2} & q_2^{k/2} - q_2^{-k/2} \\ q_1^{3k/2} - q_1^{-k/2} & q_2^{3k/2} - q_2^{-k/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.12)$$

which determinant is equal to  $(q_1q_2)^{-k/2}(q_1^{-k} - 1)(q_2^{-k} - 1)(q_2^{-k} - q_1^{-k})$  which is non-zero iff none of  $q_1, q_2, q_1/q_2$  is a root of unity. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Further questions

- Relation (3.19) was already written in terms of quantum tau functions, i.e., tau functions of form (1.1), where  $[\sigma, \log s] = \hbar$  (see (4.15) in [BGM17]) It will be interesting to rewrite our approach in terms of certain quantum tau functions.
- Using this approach, we possibly could find  $-3, -4 \dots$  bilinear relations on Nekrasov partition functions, which result from blowup relations on orbifolds  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $p > 2$ .
- In terms of quantum tau functions this approach probably will be easy to generalize for  $SU(N)$ ,  $N > 2$  Nekrasov partition functions. Other possibly useful generalizations include adding matter supermultiplets, circular quiver gauge theories etc.

## References

- [AGT09] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, and Y. Tachikawa, *Liouville correlation functions from four-dimensional gauge theories*, Lett. Math. Phys. **91** (2010) 167–197; [arXiv:0906.3219]
- [BGM17] M. Bershtein, P. Gavrylenko, A. Marshakov, *Cluster integrable systems,  $q$ -Painlevé equations and their quantization*, JHEP **1802**, (2018), 077; [arXiv:1711.02063].
- [BGM18] M. Bershtein, P. Gavrylenko, A. Marshakov, *Cluster Toda chains and Nekrasov functions*; TMF, **198:2** (2019), 179–214; Theoret. and Math. Phys., **198:2** (2019), 157–188 [arXiv:1804.10145].
- [BS14] M. Bershtein, A. Shchepochkin, *Bilinear equations on Painlevé tau functions from CFT*, Comm. Math. Phys. **339** (3), (2015), 1021–1061; [arXiv:1406.3008].
- [BS16q] M. Bershtein, A. Shchepochkin,  *$q$ -deformed Painlevé tau function and  $q$ -deformed conformal blocks*, J. Phys. A. **50** (8) (2017) 085202; [arXiv:1608.02566].
- [BS16b] M. Bershtein, A. Shchepochkin, *Bäcklund transformation of Painlevé III( $D_8$ )  $\tau$  function*, J. Phys. A. **50** (11) (2017) 115205; [arXiv:1608.02568].
- [BS18] M. Bershtein, A. Shchepochkin, *Painlevé equations from Nakajima-Yoshioka blowup relations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **109** (11), (2019), 2359-2402; [arXiv:1811.04050].
- [BMT11] G. Bonelli, K. Maruyoshi, and A. Tanzini, *Instantons on ALE spaces and Super Liouville Conformal Field Theories*, JHEP **1108** (2011) 056; [arXiv:1106.2505].
- [B94] R. Brussee, *Blow-up formulas for (-2)-spheres*; [arXiv:dg-ga/9412004].
- [BPSS13] U. Bruzzo, M. Pedrini, F. Sala and R. Szabo, *Framed sheaves on root stacks and supersymmetric gauge theories on ALE spaces*, Adv. Math. **288** (2016), 1175–1308; [arXiv:1312.5554].
- [FML17] G. Felder, M. Müller-Lennert, *Analyticity of Nekrasov Partition Functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **364** (2), (2018), 683–718; [arXiv:1709.05232].
- [FS94] R. Fintushel and R. J. Stern, *The Blowup Formula for Donaldson Invariants*, The Annals of Mathematics **143** 3, 529–546; [arXiv:alg-geom/9405002].
- [GIL12] O. Gamayun, N. Iorgov, O. Lisovyy, *Conformal field theory of Painlevé VI*, JHEP **1210**, (2012), 38; [arXiv:1207.0787].

- [GIL13] O. Gamayun, N. Iorgov, O. Lisovyy, *How instanton combinatorics solves Painlevé VI, V and III's*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46** (2013) 335203; [arXiv:1302.1832].
- [G15] P. Gavrylenko, *Isomonodromic  $\tau$ -functions and  $W_N$  conformal blocks*, JHEP **0915**, (2015), 167; [arXiv:1509.00259].
- [GNY06] L. Göttsche, H. Nakajima, K. Yoshioka, *K-theoretic Donaldson invariants via instanton counting*, Pure Appl. Math. Quart. **5** (2009) 1029–1111; [arXiv:math/0611945].
- [ILT14] N.Iorgov, O.Lisovyy, J.Teschner, *Isomonodromic  $\tau$  functions from Liouville conformal blocks*, Commun. Math.Phys. **336(2)**, (2015), 671-694; [arXiv:1401.6104]
- [IKP02] A. Iqbal and A. K. Kashani-Poor, *Instanton counting and Chern-Simons theory*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7 3** (2003), 457—497; [arXiv:hep-th/0212279].
- [ItsLTy14] A. Its, O. Lisovyy, Yu. Tykhyy, *Connection problem for the sine-Gordon/Painlevé III tau function and irregular conformal blocks*, IMRN (2014); [arXiv:1403.1235].
- [JNS17] M. Jimbo, H. Nagoya and H. Sakai, *CFT approach to the  $q$ -Painlevé VI equation*, J. Int. Syst. **2** (2017) 1; [arXiv:1706.01940].
- [MN18] Y. Matsuhira, H. Nagoya, *Combinatorial expressions for the tau functions of  $q$ -Painlevé V and III equations*; [arXiv:arXiv:1811.03285].
- [NY03L] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, *Lectures on Instanton Counting*, In: Algebraic Structures and Moduli Spaces, CRM Proc. & Lect. Notes 38, AMS, 2004, 31–101; [arXiv:math/0311058].
- [NY05] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, *Instanton counting on blowup. II. K-theoretic partition function*, Transform. Groups **10 3–4**, (2005), 489–519; [arXiv:math/0505553].
- [NY09] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, *Perverse coherent sheaves on blow-up. III. Blow-up formula from wall-crossing*, Kyoto J. Math. **51 2** (2011), 263; [arXiv:0911.1773].

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA  
 CENTER FOR ADVANCED STUDIES, SKOLKOVO INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MOSCOW,  
 RUSSIA

*E-mail:* [shch145@gmail.com](mailto:shch145@gmail.com)