

mlr3proba: Machine Learning Survival Analysis in R

Raphael Sonabend¹

RAPHAEL.SONABEND.15@UCL.AC.UK

Franz J. Király¹

F.KIRALY@UCL.AC.UK

Andreas Bender²

ANDREAS.BENDER@STAT.UNI-MUENCHEN.DE

Bernd Bischl²

BERND.BISCHL@STAT.UNI-MUENCHEN.DE

Michel Lang²

MICHEL.LANG@STAT.UNI-MUENCHEN.DE

¹ *Department of Statistical Science, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK*² *Department of Statistics, LMU Munich, Munich, 80539, Germany***Editor:** TBD

Abstract

As machine learning has become increasingly popular over the last few decades, so too has the number of machine learning interfaces for implementing these models. However, no consistent interface for evaluation and modelling of survival analysis has emerged despite its vital importance in many fields, including medicine, economics, and engineering. `mlr3proba` is part of the `mlr3` ecosystem of machine learning packages for R and facilitates `mlr3`'s general model tuning and benchmarking by providing a multitude of performance measures and learners for survival analysis with a clean and systematic infrastructure for their evaluation. `mlr3proba` provides a comprehensive machine learning interface for survival analysis, which allows survival modelling to finally be up to the state-of-art.

Keywords: machine learning, survival analysis, R, benchmarking, AutoML

1. Introduction

`mlr3proba` introduces survival modelling to the `mlr3` (Lang et al., 2019a) ecosystem of machine learning packages. Survival modelling is the field of Statistics concerned with making predictions about the time until an event (often death) takes place. By utilising a probabilistic supervised learning (Gressmann et al., 2018) framework `mlr3proba` allows for multiple survival analysis predictions: predicting the time to an event, the probability of an event over time, and the relative risk of an event. `mlr3proba` includes an extensive collection of classical and machine learning models, and many specialised survival measures.

R (R Core Team, 2020) is a favoured programming language for both survival analysis and machine learning as it has an extensive library of statistical functions and models implemented in both its core functionality, and in open source packages from CRAN and Bioconductor. `mlr3proba` leverages these packages by connecting a multitude of machine learning models and measures for survival analysis. By interfacing these packages, `mlr3proba` currently supports simulation of survival data, classical survival models, prediction of survival distributions by machine learning, and support for high-dimensional data (for example genomics and imaging). Interfacing other packages in the `mlr3` family allows optimisation and benchmarking for all of these.

2. Implemented Functionality

A standard pipeline for survival analysis consists of: i) Defining a survival task as a set of features and survival outcome (time until the event and a censoring indicator); ii) Training a model on survival data, with the possibility of optimisation via tuning of hyper-parameters; iii) Making predictions from the trained model on new data; iv) Evaluating the quality of predictions with survival-specific measures, possibly including visualisation.

`mlr3proba` streamlines this process by: i) Standardising survival tasks, with the `Surv` object from the `survival` (Therneau, 2015) package, into a single object capable of handling left-, interval-, and right-censoring (`TaskSurv`); ii) Unifying all survival learners (`LearnSurv*`) with iii) prediction objects that clearly distinguish model prediction types (`PredictionSurv`); iv) Unifying survival measures for different survival prediction types (`MeasureSurv*`).

More than 20 survival learners are currently implemented, ranging from classical statistical models like Cox regression (Cox, 1972) to machine learning methods including Random Survival Forests (Ishwaran et al., 2008), gradient boosting machines, and many others. When models return a survival probability prediction, these are cast into standardized distribution objects using the `distr6` package (Sonabend and Kiraly, 2019), which allows clean post-processing, such as predicting survival and hazard functions, among other uses.

For comparison of different models, 19 survival measures are implemented in `mlr3proba`, including discrimination measures (variants of concordance indices) and scoring rules, for example the (time-dependent) Graf score (Graf et al., 1999). Several of these are implemented directly in `mlr3proba` with an `Rcpp` (Eddelbuettel and Francois, 2011) implementation for fast and reliable performance.

Careful design and documentation of models and measures clearly demonstrates the precise return type provided by models and evaluated by measures, which has historically been problematic in survival analysis (see section 3). Model tuning and optimisation is available via `mlr3tuning` (Lang et al., 2019b) and preprocessing, feature selection, and more general pipelines from `mlr3pipelines` (Binder et al., 2020).

3. Return Types

A key advantage of `mlr3proba`, is a clear distinction between model prediction types. Survival models can be used to produce a variety of different prediction types but implementation has historically not reflected this. In other supervised learning fields this is not a problem as regression always predicts a continuous value for the outcome, and classification either predicts a category or a probability (the two can immediately be seen to be different). However in survival analysis there are several different possible predictions that could be made and without clear documentation, these can look very similar. For example, if a user wanted to compare predictions from Cox PH and survival tree models without `mlr3proba`, this would require the following steps: i) Train and predict from the models using two separate packages; ii) identify that the model predictions are not directly comparable; iii) use a third package to transform the predictions into a compatible form (for example combining a relative risk prediction with a baseline hazard estimation); iv) identify which measures can be used to evaluate this form; and v) find the package that includes these measures and potentially write functions to interface with the package.

In `mlr3proba`, this distinction is made clear by defining four distinct prediction types: i) `response`, which returns the predicted survival time (expected time until the event occurs); ii) `lp`, which returns a prediction for the linear predictor of a linear model; iii) `crank`, a continuous ranking for comparing the relative risk between observations in the test sample; iv) `distr`, a survival distribution implemented via `distr6`, which includes functionality for evaluating the survival and hazard function.

This problem of return types is important as different types are not comparable and historically this has not been successfully managed, leading to impractical benchmark experiments. By defining these prediction types as different objects in `mlr3proba`, incompatible benchmark experiments are avoided by internal validation checks. Finally, by making use of `mlr3pipelines` (Binder et al., 2020), transformations between prediction types can be made, with clear documentation and parameter setting that ensure a simple interface for the user. The example in Listing 1 demonstrates a GBM learner using the `distrcompositor` to transform a linear predictor prediction to a distribution by assuming a PH form and estimating the baseline survival function with the Kaplan-Meier estimator (Kaplan and Meier, 1958). Mathematically, this transformation is $S_{\text{PH}}(\tau) = \hat{S}_0(\tau)^{\exp(\hat{\eta})}$ where \hat{S}_0 is the baseline hazard estimated by the Kaplan-Meier estimator and $\hat{\eta}$ is the predicted linear predictor.

4. Related Work

There are an increasing number of machine learning packages across programming languages, including `caret` (Kuhn, 2008), `mlr` (Bischl et al., 2016), `tidymodels` (Kuhn and Wickham, 2020), and `scikit-learn` (Pedregosa et al., 2011). However, functionality for survival analysis has been mostly limited to ‘classical’ statistical models with relatively few packages supporting a machine learning framework.

R ships with the package `survival` (Therneau, 2015), which supports left-, interval-, and right-censoring, competing risks, time-dependent models, stratification, and model evaluation. However the package is limited to classical statistical models, with no support for machine learning and limited support for formal comparison or non-linear models.

`pec` (Mogensen et al., 2014) implements no models itself but instead interfaces with many different survival packages to create survival probability predictions. The package’s main focus is on model evaluation via prediction error curves (‘pec’s) with little support for model building/training and predicting.

`skpro` (Gressmann et al., 2018) is a probabilistic supervised learning interface in Python. `skpro` extends the `scikit-learn` (Pedregosa et al., 2011) interface to probabilistic models and appears to be the only package (in any language) dedicated to domain-agnostic probabilistic supervised learning. The interface provides an infrastructure for machine learning based survival analysis with design choices influencing `mlr3proba`, but `skpro` does not currently support survival models.

`pysurvival` (Fotso et al., 2019) is another Python package, which implements classical and machine learning survival analysis models. The package has the advantage of being able to natively leverage neural network survival models, which are almost exclusively implemented in Python. Whilst not directly interfacing the `scikit-learn` interface, the package introduces unified functions for model fitting, predicting, and evaluation.

`scikit-survival` (Páúlsterl, 2019) builds directly on `scikit-learn` to implement a few survival models and measures in a machine learning framework. Unlike `pysurvival`, no neural networks are included, thus the two packages complement each other well.

5. Example

Listing 1 gives an example of how to benchmark three survival models, set hyper-parameter values, and make use of the distribution compositor.

Line 1: Required packages are loaded, `mlr3proba` always requires `mlr3`. Lines 2-3: Kaplan-Meier and Cox PH learners are constructed with default parameters. Line 4: A decision tree is initialized with user-specified choices for the hyper-parameters `maxdepth` and `minsplit`. Lines 5-6: The GBM learner is wrapped in the `distrcompositor` to transform its ranking prediction to a probabilistic prediction. Line 7: Learners are combined into a list for use in the benchmark function. Line 8: Benchmarking is performed on a pre-specified task using the `rats` dataset from `survival`. Line 9: Three-fold cross-validation is specified. Line 10: The infrastructure for the experiment is automatically determined by supplying the task(s), learners, and resampling method. Line 11: Learners are resampled according to the chosen scheme and benchmarked. Line 12: Predictions are aggregated over all folds and scored with Uno’s concordance index (Uno et al., 2011) to provide a final comparison.

```

1 library(mlr3); library(mlr3proba); library(mlr3learners.gbm)
2 kaplan = lrn("surv.kaplan")
3 cox = lrn("surv.coxph")
4 rpart = lrn("surv.rpart", maxdepth = 10, minsplit = 10)
5 gbm = distrcompositor(lrn("surv.gbm", n.trees = 50), estimator = "kaplan",
6                       form = "ph")
7 learns = list(cox, kaplan, rpart, gbm)
8 task = tsk("rats")
9 resample = rsmp("cv", folds = 3)
10 design = benchmark_grid(task, learns, resample)
11 bm = benchmark(design)
12 bm$aggregate(msr("surv.cindex", weight_meth = "G2"))

```

Listing 1: Example code for constructing, benchmarking, and evaluating survival models.

6. Availability, Documentation, and Code Quality Control

Packages in the `mlr3` ecosystem are released under the GNU Lesser General Public License version 3 (LGPL-3) on GitHub (<https://github.com/mlr-org>) and CRAN. Documentation is available at <https://mlr3proba.ml-r.org.com/>. Survival learners are available from the `mlr3learners` GitHub organisation (<https://github.com/mlr3learners>). Further use-case centric information is available in the (work-in-progress) `mlr3` book (<https://mlr3book.ml-r.org.com>) and examples in the `mlr3` gallery (<https://mlr3gallery.ml-r.org.com>). An extensive suite of unit tests is run on different continuous integration systems. Contributor guidelines detail expectations and requirements for external contributions.

Acknowledgments

RS receives a PhD stipend from EPSRC (EP/R513143/1). ML is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) under Grant No. 01IS18036A and by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the Collaborative Research Center SFB 876 “Providing Information by Resource-Constrained Analysis”.

References

Martin Binder, Florian Pfisterer, Bernd Bischl, Michel Lang, and Susanne Dandl. mlr3pipelines: Preprocessing Operators and Pipelines for ‘mlr3’, 2020. URL <https://mlr3pipelines.mlr-org.com>.

Bernd Bischl, Michel Lang, Lars Kotthoff, Julia Schiffner, Jakob Richter, Erich Studerus, Giuseppe Casalicchio, and Zachary M Jones. mlr: Machine Learning in R. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(170):1—5, 2016. URL <http://jmlr.org/papers/v17/15-066.html>.

D. R. Cox. Regression Models and Life-Tables. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, (Vol. 34, No. 2.):187–220, 1972. ISSN 00359246. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1972.tb00899.x.

Dirk Eddelbuettel and Romain Francois. Rcpp: Seamless R and C++ Integration. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 40(8):1–18, 2011. doi: 10.18637/jss.v040.i08. URL <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v40/i08/>.

Stephane Fotso et al. PySurvival: Open source package for survival analysis modeling, 2019. URL <https://www.pysurvival.io/>.

Erika Graf, Claudia Schmoor, Willi Sauerbrei, and Martin Schumacher. Assessment and comparison of prognostic classification schemes for survival data. *Statistics in Medicine*, 18(17-18):2529–2545, 1999. ISSN 0277-6715. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(19990915/30)18:17/18<2529::AID-SIM274>3.0.CO;2-5. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/%28SICI%291097-0258%2819990915/30%2918%3A17>

Frithjof Gressmann, Franz J. Király, Bilal Mateen, and Harald Oberhauser. Probabilistic supervised learning. 2018. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.00753>.

Hemant Ishwaran, Udaya B. Kogalur, Eugene H. Blackstone, and Michael S. Lauer. Random survival forests. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 2(3):841–860, September 2008. ISSN 1932-6157, 1941-7330. doi: 10.1214/08-AOAS169. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.aos/1223908043>.

E. L. Kaplan and Paul Meier. Nonparametric Estimation from Incomplete Observations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 53(282):457–481, 1958. ISSN 01621459. doi: 10.2307/2281868.

- Max Kuhn. Building Predictive Models in R Using the caret Package. *Journal of Statistical Software; Vol 1, Issue 5 (2008)*, nov 2008. doi: 10.18637/jss.v028.i05. URL <https://www.jstatsoft.org/v028/i05>.
- Max Kuhn and Hadley Wickham. tidymodels: Easily Install and Load the 'Tidymodels' Packages, 2020. URL <https://cran.r-project.org/package=tidymodels>.
- Michel Lang, Martin Binder, Jakob Richter, Patrick Schratz, Florian Pfisterer, Stefan Coors, Quay Au, Giuseppe Casalicchio, Lars Kotthoff, and Bernd Bischl. mlr3: A modern object-oriented machine learning framework in R. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(44):1903, 2019a. doi: 10.21105/joss.01903. URL <https://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.01903>.
- Michel Lang, Jakob Richter, Bernd Bischl, and Daniel Schalk. mlr3tuning: Tuning for 'mlr3', 2019b. URL <https://cran.r-project.org/package=mlr3tuning>.
- Ulla B Mogensen, Hemant Ishwaran, and Thomas A Gerds. Evaluating Random Forests for Survival Analysis using Prediction Error Curves, 2014. URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4194196/>.
- F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay. Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12:2825–2830, 2011. URL <http://jmlr.org/papers/v12/pedregosa11a.html>.
- Sebastian Pfülsterl. scikit-survival, July 2019. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3352343>.
- R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, 2020. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Raphael Sonabend and Franz Kiraly. distr6: The Complete R6 Probability Distributions Interface, jul 2019. URL <https://cran.r-project.org/package=distr6>.
- Terry M. Therneau. A Package for Survival Analysis in S, 2015. URL <https://cran.r-project.org/package=survival>.
- Hajime Uno, Tianxi Cai, Michael J. Pencina, Ralph B. D'Agostino, and L J Wei. On the C-statistics for Evaluating Overall Adequacy of Risk Prediction Procedures with Censored Survival Data. *Statistics in Medicine*, 30(10):1105–1117, 2011. ISSN 02776715. doi: 10.1002/sim.4154.