THE $L_\infty$-DEFORMATIONS OF ASSOCIATIVE ROTA-BAXTER ALGEBRAS AND HOMOTOPY ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS

APURBA DAS AND SATYENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

Abstract. A relative Rota-Baxter algebra is a triple $(A, M, T)$ consisting of an algebra $A$, an $A$-bimodule $M$, and a relative Rota-Baxter operator $T$. Using Voronov’s derived bracket and a recent work of Lazarev et al., we construct an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are precisely relative Rota-Baxter algebras. By a standard twisting, we define a new $L_\infty[1]$-algebra that controls Maurer-Cartan deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$. We introduce the cohomology of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$ and study infinitesimal deformations in terms of this cohomology (in low dimensions). As an application, we deduce cohomology of coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras and discuss their infinitesimal deformations. Finally, we define homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators and find their relationship with homotopy dendriform algebras and homotopy pre-Lie algebras.
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1. Introduction

Rota-Baxter operators are an algebraic abstraction of integral operators. They first appeared in the fluctuation theory in probability [6]. Subsequently, Rota [30] and Cartier [7] studied these operators from combinatorial aspects. More precisely, a Rota-Baxter operator on an associative algebra $A$ is a linear map $R : A \to A$ satisfying

$$R(a)R(b) = R(R(a)b + aR(b)), \text{ for } a, b \in A.$$
In the last twenty years, several important applications of Rota-Baxter operators were found in the algebraic approach of the renormalization in quantum field theory [11], splitting of algebras [2], Yang-Baxter solutions [1,2], quasi-symmetric functions [38], and double Lie algebras [19]. See [20] for more details about Rota-Baxter operators. As a variation of a noncommutative Poisson structure, Uchino [34] defined a generalization of a Rota-Baxter operator in the presence of a bimodule over the algebra. Such operators are called generalized Rota-Baxter operators or relative Rota-Baxter operators (also called C-operators). In this paper, we stick with the term relative Rota-Baxter operator.

Rota-Baxter operators and their relative version were also defined on Lie algebras by Kupershmidt [22] as an operator analog of classical r-matrices. In [25], the authors construct an \( L_\infty \)-algebra which controls the deformation of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Subsequently, they find the connection between this \( L_\infty \)-algebra and the graded Lie algebra obtained in [33] that governs the deformation of the relative Rota-Baxter operator.

Our aim in this paper is to follow the approach of [25] to study deformations of relative Rota-Baxter (associative) algebras via \( L_\infty \)-algebras. During the course, we also study deformations of an AssBimod-pair \((A,M)\) consisting of an associative algebra \(A\) and an \(A\)-bimodule \(M\). We construct a graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are given by AssBimod-pairs. This characterization allows us to construct a differential graded Lie algebra controlling deformations of an AssBimod-pair.

Next, using the higher derived brackets of Voronov [36,37], we construct an \( L_\infty \)-algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are given by relative Rota-Baxter algebras. With this characterization and the well-known construction [18] of an \( L_\infty \)-algebra twisted by a Maurer-Cartan element, we construct a new \( L_\infty \)-algebra that controls Maurer-Cartan deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. This new \( L_\infty \)-algebra also allows us to define the cohomology of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Finally, we obtain a long exact sequence connecting the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra \((A,M,T)\), cohomology of the underlying AssBimod-pair \((A,M)\) and the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter operator \(T\) introduced in [13].

The formal deformation theory of algebraic structures was first developed for associative algebras in the classical work of Gerstenhaber [17] and subsequently extended to Lie algebras by Nijenhuis and Richardson [29]. In [5], Balavoine extended the formal deformation theory to algebras over binary quadratic operads. The more general notion of R-deformations over a local Artinian ring (or a complete local ring) \(R\) has been described in [14,15,21]. We apply this more general deformation theory to relative Rota-Baxter algebras. We give special attention to infinitesimal deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra and show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalent infinitesimal deformations and elements in the second cohomology space of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra.

Let \(A\) be a finite dimensional associative algebra. An \(r\)-matrix on \(A\) is an element in \(A \otimes A\) such that it satisfies associative Yang-Baxter equation on \(A\) ([1,3,4]). The relative Rota-Baxter operators on an associative algebra \(A\) with respect to coadjoint bimodule \(A^*\) are in bijective correspondence with skew-symmetric \(r\)-matrices on \(A\) (see [4]). Any skew symmetric \(r\)-matrix gives a coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra [4] structure \((A,\Delta_r)\). We define a cochain complex \((C^\bullet(A,\Delta_r),\delta_{inf})\) for coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras coming from a skew-symmetric \(r\)-matrix and denote the associated cohomology by \(H^\bullet_{inf}(A,\Delta_r)\). We show that there is a long exact sequence of cyclic cohomology spaces of \(A^*\), cohomology spaces \(H^\bullet_{inf}(A,\Delta_r)\) and the Hochschild cohomology spaces of \(A\). Later on, we discuss the infinitesimal deformations in terms of the second cohomology space \(H^2_{inf}(A,\Delta_r)\).

In the sequel, we define homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators on bimodules over \(A_\infty[1]\)-algebras. More precisely, given an \(A_\infty[1]\)-algebra and a bimodule over it, we construct an \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra using Voronov’s construction. This \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra is a graded version of a graded Lie algebra constructed in [13]. We define a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator \(T\) as a Maurer-Cartan element in the above \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra. We also explicitly describe the identities satisfied by the components of \(T\). This notion generalizes the strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator defined in [12]. It is known that a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator induces a \(Dend_\infty[1]\)-algebra structure. In [25], the authors define homotopy relative
Rota-Baxter operators on modules over $L_\infty[1]$-algebras. We show that our definition is suitable compatible with that of [25].

Finally, we construct a pre-Lie$_\infty[1]$-algebra associated to a Dend$_\infty[1]$-algebra, which generalizes the non-homotopic case [2]. The relationship among dendriform algebras, pre-Lie algebras, associative algebras, and Lie algebras is depicted by the following commutative diagram (see [8] for details)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{dendriform algebra} & \longrightarrow & \text{pre-Lie algebras} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{associative algebras} & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie algebras.}
\end{array}
\]

Moreover, there is a relationship between relative Rota-Baxter algebras, relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, dendriform algebras, and pre-Lie algebras that is given by the following commutative diagram (see [13,33])

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{relative Rota-Baxter algebras} & \longrightarrow & \text{dendriform algebras} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras} & \longrightarrow & \text{pre-Lie algebra.}
\end{array}
\]

We extend both these relationships (1) and (2) to the homotopy context.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basics on relative Rota-Baxter algebras and Voronov’s derived bracket construction of $L_\infty$-algebras. Maurer-Cartan characterization of AssBimod-pairs and their cohomology are studied in Section 3. In the next Section (Section 4), we construct the $L_\infty$-algebra that controls Maurer-Cartan deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Using this, we also define the cohomology of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. We discuss deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra in Section 5. In Section 6, we study cohomology and deformations of coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra. In the last section, we introduce homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators and discuss their relationship with homotopy dendriform and homotopy pre-Lie algebras.

All (graded) vector spaces, linear maps and tensor products are over a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0. We denote set of all permutations on $k$ elements by $S_k$. A permutation $\sigma \in S_k$ is called an $(i,k-i)$-shuffle if $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(i)$ and $\sigma(i+1) < \cdots < \sigma(k)$. The set of all $(i,k-i)$-shuffles in $S_k$ is denoted by $S_{(i,k-i)}$. For any permutation $\sigma \in S_k$ and homogeneous elements $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ in a graded vector space $V$, the Koszul sign $\epsilon(\sigma) = \epsilon(\sigma; v_1, \ldots, v_k)$ is given by

$$v_{\sigma(1)} \odot \cdots \odot v_{\sigma(k)} = \epsilon(\sigma) v_1 \odot \cdots \odot v_k,$$

where $\odot$ denotes the product in the reduced symmetric algebra $\overline{\mathcal{S}}(V)$ of $V$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first recall the Hochschild cohomology [17] and cyclic cohomology [10,27] of associative algebras, and the construction of a graded Lie algebra from [13], whose Maurer-Cartan elements are relative Rota Baxter operators. Then, we recall the notion of $V$-data and induced $L_\infty$-algebras via higher derived brackets [36].

2.1. Hochschild and cyclic cohomology of associative algebras. Let $A = (A, \mu)$ be an associative algebra. We denote the multiplication $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ simply by $\mu(a,b) = ab$ for $a, b \in A$. An $A$-bimodule is a vector space $M$ together with two bilinear maps $l : A \otimes M \to M$, $(a,m) \mapsto am$ and $r : M \otimes A \to M$, $(m,a) \mapsto ma$ (called left and right $A$-actions, respectively) satisfying the following identities

$$(ab)m = a(bm), \quad (ma)b = m(ab), \quad \text{and} \quad (am)b = a(mb),$$

for all $a, b \in A$, $m \in M$.

The associative algebra $A$ itself is an $A$-bimodule, where algebra multiplication in $A$ gives the left and right actions on itself. This $A$-bimodule structure on $A$ is called the adjoint $A$-bimodule.
For any vector space $A$, consider the graded vector space $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n+1, A)$ of multilinear maps. There is a graded Lie bracket, called the Gerstenhaber bracket [17] on $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n+1, A)$ given by

$$[f, g] := f \circ g - (-1)^{mn} g \circ f,$$

where $f \in \text{Hom}(A^\otimes m+1, A)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n+1, A)$. Let us denote $C^n(A, A) := \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n, A)$ for $n \geq 1$. Then, for the vector space $A$, there is an associated graded Lie algebra $(C^{*,+}(A, A), [\cdot, \cdot])$. Note that $(A, \mu)$ is an associative algebra if and only if $\mu \in \text{Hom}(A^\otimes 2, A)$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in the above graded Lie algebra. The coboundary operator

$$\delta_{Hoch}(f) = (-1)^n [\mu, f], \quad \text{for all } f \in \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n, A).$$

induced from the Maurer-Cartan element $\mu$ is called the Hochschild coboundary operator. The cohomology of the Hochschild cochain complex $(C^{*,+}_{Hoch}(A)) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(A^\otimes n, A), \delta_{Hoch}$ is called the Hochschild cohomology and it is denoted by $H^*_{Hoch}(A)$. Similarly, we can define the Hochschild cochain complex of $A$ with coefficients in $M$. Let us denote it by $C^*_Hoch(A, M)$ and denote the associated cohomology by $H^*_Hoch(A, M)$.

Cyclic cohomology. The cyclic cohomology of an associative algebra $A$ is the cohomology of a certain subcomplex of the Hochschild cochain complex $(C^{*,+}_{Hoch}(A, A^*), \delta_{Hoch})$, defined as follows: $A^*$ has an $A$-bimodule structure given by $(afia)(c) = f(bac)$, for all $a, b, c \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. Let us consider the Hochschild complex $(C^{*,+}_{Hoch}(A, A^*), \delta_{Hoch})$, then the coboundary $\delta_{Hoch}$ induces a differential $b$ on $C^*(A) := C^{*,+}(A, k)$, where

$$bf(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} f(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_ia_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \ldots, a_{n+2}) + (-1)^{n+1} f(a_{n+2}a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1}).$$

The cohomology of the complex $(C^{*,+}_{Hoch}(A, A^*), \delta_{Hoch})$ is denoted by $HH^*(A)$. Let us consider the Hochschild coboundary $\delta_{Hoch} : C^n(A) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(A)$ of $A$ with trivial coefficients and the cyclic action $\lambda : C^n(A) \rightarrow C^n(A)$ defined by

$$\delta_{Hoch}f(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} f(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_ia_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \ldots, a_{n+2}),$$

$$\lambda f(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1}) := (-1)^n f(a_{n+1}, a_1, \ldots, a_n).$$

Then, the operators $b, \delta_{Hoch}$, and $\lambda$ satisfy the identity $\delta_{Hoch}(1 - \lambda) = (1 - \lambda)b$. Let us define

$$C^*_\lambda(A) := \text{Ker}(1 - \lambda),$$

then $(C^*_\lambda, b)$ forms a cochain complex and the associated cohomology is called the cyclic cohomology of $A$. Let us denote the cyclic cohomology of $A$ by $HC^*(A)$.

2.2. Relative Rota-Baxter operators.

2.1. Definition [34]. Let $A$ be an associative algebra and $M$ be an $A$-bimodule. A linear map $T : M \rightarrow A$ is said to be a relative Rota-Baxter operator on $M$ over the algebra $A$ if $T$ satisfies

$$T(m)T(n) = T(T(m)n + mT(n)), \quad \text{for all } m, n \in M.$$


Let $(A, M, T)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra, where the associative product in $A$ is given by $\mu$, and the $A$-bimodule actions on $M$ are given by $l$ and $r$. If we need to emphasize the underlying structure maps, we alternatively use the notation $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ to denote the relative Rota-Baxter algebra $(A, M, T)$ with structure maps.
2.2. Definition. Let \((A, M, T)\) and \((A', M', T')\) be two relative Rota-Baxter algebras. A homomorphism of relative Rota-Baxter algebras from \((A, M, T)\) to \((A', M', T')\) is a pair \((\varphi, \psi)\) of an algebra homomorphism \(\varphi: A \to A'\) and a linear map \(\psi: M \to M'\) satisfying
\[ T' \circ \psi = \varphi \circ T, \quad \psi(am) = (\varphi(a))\psi(m), \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(ma) = \psi(m)\varphi(a), \quad \text{for all} \ a \in A, \ m \in M. \]
The morphism \((\varphi, \psi)\) is called an isomorphism if \(\varphi\) and \(\psi\) are linear isomorphisms.

Let \(A\) be an associative algebra and \(M\) be an \(A\)-bimodule. Let \(\mu: A \otimes A \to A\) be the associative product in \(A\), and the linear maps \(l: A \otimes M \to M\), \(r: M \otimes A \to M\) be left and right \(A\)-actions on \(M\). Then, the sum \(\mu + l + r\) is an associative algebra.

Let us consider a graded vector space \(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^\otimes n, A)\) with the bracket
\[ [P, Q] = (-1)^{m-1}[[\mu + l + r, P], Q], \quad \text{for} \ P \in \text{Hom}(M^\otimes m, A) \text{ and } Q \in \text{Hom}(M^\otimes n, A). \]
Here, the bracket \([ \ , \ ]\) on the right hand side is the Gerstenhaber bracket on the graded vector space \(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}((A \otimes M)^{\otimes n+1}, A \otimes M)\) (see [13] for more details). With the above notations, the main result of [13] can be stated as follows:

2.3. Theorem. Let \(A\) be an associative algebra and \(M\) be an \(A\)-bimodule. Then,

(i) The graded vector space \(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^\otimes n, A)\) with the bracket \([ \ , \ ]\) is a graded Lie algebra. A linear map \(T: M \to A\) is a relative Rota-Baxter operator on \(M\) over the algebra \(A\) if and only if \(T \in \text{Hom}(M, A)\) is a Maurer-Cartan element in the graded Lie algebra \((\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^\otimes n, A), [ \ , \ ])\). Consequently, a relative Rota-Baxter operator \(T\) induces a differential \(d_T := [T, \ , ]\), which makes the graded Lie algebra \((\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^\otimes n, A), [ \ , \ ])\) into a differential graded Lie algebra with the differential \(d_T\).

(ii) For a relative Rota-Baxter operator \(T: M \to A\) and a linear map \(T': M \to A\), the sum \(T + T'\) is also a relative Rota-Baxter operator if and only if \(T'\) is a Maurer-Cartan element in the differential graded Lie algebra \((\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(M^\otimes n, A), d_T, [ \ , \ ])\).

More precisely, an explicit description of the differential \(d_T = [T, \ , ]\) is given by
\[
d_T P(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n+1}) = T(P(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)m_{n+1}) - (-1)^n T(m_1 P(m_2, \ldots, m_{n+1})) - (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} P(m_1, \ldots, m_{i-1}, T(m_i)m_{i+1}, m_{i+2}, \ldots, m_{n+1}) - (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i P(m_1, \ldots, m_{i-1}, m_i T(m_{i+1}), m_{i+2}, \ldots, m_{n+1}) + (-1)^n T(m_1) P(m_2, \ldots, m_{n+1}) - P(m_1, \ldots, m_n) T(m_{n+1}),
\]
for all \(P \in \text{Hom}(M^\otimes n, A)\) and \(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n+1} \in M\).

Let us define a cochain complex \((C^\bullet(T), d_T)\) with the graded vector space \(C^\bullet(T) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^n(T)\), where \(C^0(T) = C^1(T) := 0\) and \(C^n(T) := \text{Hom}(M^\otimes n, A)\), for \(n \geq 2\). The associated cohomology is called the cohomology of \(T\) and denoted by \(H^\bullet(T)\).

2.3. \(L_\infty\)-algebras and Voronov’s construction. In this subsection, we give the necessary background on \(L_\infty\)-algebras and their construction form \(V\)-data [36].

The notion of \(L_\infty\)-algebras was introduced by Lada and Stasheff [24] as a homotopy analogy of (graded) Lie algebras. Throughout the paper, we use a ‘shifted’ version of \(L_\infty\)-algebras (called \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebras) in which all multilinear maps are graded symmetric and have degree 1.

2.4. Definition. An \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra is a graded vector space \(W = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} W_i\) together with a collection of degree 1 multilinear maps \(\{l_k : W^\otimes k \to W\}_{k \geq 1}\) satisfying

(i) graded symmetry: for \(k \geq 1\),
\[
l_k(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(k)}) = \epsilon(\sigma)l_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k), \quad \text{for any } \sigma \in S_k,
\]
(ii) shifted higher Jacobi identities: for each $n \geq 1$,
\[
\sum_{i+j=n+1 \sigma \in S_{(i,n-i)}} \epsilon(\sigma) l_j(l_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(i)}), x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = 0.
\]
Here, $S_{(i,n-i)}$ denotes the set of $(i, n-i)$-shuffles in the permutation group $S_n$. For any permutation $\sigma \in S_k$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \in V$, $\epsilon(\sigma) := \epsilon(\sigma, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ denotes the Koszul sign.

2.5. **Remark.** The equivalence between $L_\infty$-algebras and $L_\infty[1]$-algebras is given by a degree shift. More precisely, an $L_\infty$-algebra structure (in the sense of \cite{31}) on a graded vector space $V = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$ is equivalent to an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra structure on the graded vector space $W := V[1]$, where $W_i = (V[1])_i = V_{i+1}$. The correspondence between multi-brackets of these two structures is given by the décalage isomorphisms
\[
V^{\otimes n}[v] \cong (V[1])^{\otimes n}, \quad v_1 \ldots v_n \mapsto (-1)^{\sum \max(v_i - 1, 0)} v_1 \ldots v_n,
\]
where $|v_i|$ denotes the degree of homogeneous element $v_i$ in the graded vector space $V$.

The notion of filtered $L_\infty[1]$-algebras was introduced by Getzler \cite{18}, which ensures the convergence of certain infinite sums. For the results in this paper, we only need the following weaker notion \cite{25}.

2.6. **Definition** \((\cite{25})\). A weakly filtered $L_\infty[1]$-algebra is a triple $(W, \{k_i\}_{k \geq 1}, F_i W)$, where $(W, \{k_i\}_{k \geq 1})$ is an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra and $F_i W$ is a descending filtration of $W$ such that $W = F_1 W \supset \cdots \supset F_n W \supset \cdots$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $l_k(W, \ldots, W) \subset F_n W$, for all $k \geq n$

(ii) $W$ is complete with respect to this filtration. Thus, there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces $W \cong \lim_{\leftarrow} W/F_n W$.

2.7. **Definition.** Let $(W, \{k_i\}_{k \geq 1}, F_i W)$ be a weakly filtered $L_\infty[1]$-algebra. An element $\alpha \in W^0$ is called a Maurer-Cartan element if $\alpha$ satisfies
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (\alpha, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha) = 0.
\]
The set of all Maurer-Cartan elements in $W$ is denoted by $MC(W)$.

It is known that a filtered $L_\infty[1]$-algebra can be twisted by a Maurer-Cartan element \cite{18}. Similarly, for weakly filtered $L_\infty[1]$-algebra, we have the following result from \cite{25}.

2.8. **Theorem** \((\cite{25})\). Let $(W, \{k_i\}_{k \geq 1}, F_i W)$ be a weakly filtered $L_\infty[1]$-algebra and $\alpha \in W^0$ be a Maurer-Cartan element. Then, $(W, \{k_i\}_{k \geq 1}, F_i W)$ is a weakly filtered $L_\infty[1]$-algebra, where
\[
l_k^n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, l_k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} l_{k+n}(\underbrace{\alpha, \ldots, \alpha, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k}_n).
\]

Next, let us recall the construction of an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra from a $V$-data, which is given by Voronov’s higher derived brackets \cite{36}.

2.9. **Definition.** A $V$-data is a quadruple $(L, a, P, \Delta)$, where $L$ is a graded Lie algebra (with graded Lie bracket $[\ ,\ ]$), $a \subset L$ is an abelian graded Lie subalgebra, $P : L \rightarrow a$ is a projection map whose kernel is a graded Lie subalgebra of $L$, and $\Delta$ is a degree 1 homogeneous element in $\text{Ker}(P)$ satisfying $[\Delta, \Delta] = 0$.

For a $V$-data $(L, a, P, \Delta)$, the following theorem yields an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra structure on $a$.

2.10. **Theorem** \((\cite{36})\). Let $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ be a $V$-data. Then, the graded vector space $a \subset L$ together with the operations
\[
l_k(a_1, \ldots, a_k) := P([\cdots [\Delta, a_1], a_2], \ldots, a_k), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1
\]
is an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra.

In fact, there is a larger $L_\infty[1]$-algebra in which the $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $a$, defined by Theorem 2.10, is an $L_\infty[1]$-subalgebra.
2.11. Theorem. Let \((L, a, P, \Delta)\) be a \(V\)-data. Then, the graded vector space \(L[1] \oplus a\) is an \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra with multilinear operations

\[
l_1(x[1], a) = (|\Delta, x[1]|, P(x + |\Delta, a|)),
\]

\[
l_2(x[1], y[1]) = (-1)^{|x|} |x, y[1]|,
\]

\[
l_k(x[1], a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}) = P[\cdots[[x, a_1], a_2], \ldots, a_{k-1}], \quad \text{for} \ k \geq 2,
\]

\[
l_k(x[1], a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}) = P[\cdots[[\Delta, a_1], a_2], \ldots, a_{k-1}], \quad \text{for} \ k \geq 2.
\]

Here, \(x, y\) are homogeneous elements in \(L\) and \(a, a_1, \ldots, a_k\) are homogeneous elements in \(a\). Up to the permutations of the above entries, all other multilinear operations vanish. \(\square\)

2.12. Remark. Let \((L, a, P, \Delta)\) be a \(V\)-data. If \(L'\) is a graded Lie subalgebra of \(L\) such that \(|\Delta, L'| \subset L'\), then \(L'[1] \oplus a\) is an \(L_\infty[1]\)-subalgebra of the \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra \(L[1] \oplus a\) (see [16] for more details).

3. COHOMOLOGY OF AN ASSBIMOD-PAIR

Let \(A\) be an associative algebra and \(M\) be an \(A\)-bimodule. Then, we call the pair \((A, M)\) an \textit{AssBimod-pair}. The aim of this section is to find a graded Lie algebra associated to the vector spaces \(A, M\).

First, we recall the following notations from [35]. Let \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) be two vector spaces. For any linear map \(f: A_{i_1} \otimes A_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{i_n} \to A_j\) with \(i_1, \ldots, i_n, j \in \{1, 2\}\), we define a map \(\hat{f} \in \text{Hom}((A_1 \oplus A_2)^{\otimes n}, A_1 \oplus A_2)\) by

\[
\hat{f} = \begin{cases} 
 f & \text{on } A_{i_1} \otimes A_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{i_n}, \\
 0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

The map \(\hat{f}\) is called a horizontal lift of \(f\) or simply a lift of \(f\). In particular, the lifts of the linear maps \(\mu: A_1 \otimes A_1 \to A_1, \ l: A_1 \otimes A_2 \to A_2, \) and \(r: A_2 \otimes A_1 \to A_2\) are respectively given by

\[
\hat{\mu}((a, u), (b, v)) = (\mu(a, b), 0),
\]

\[
\hat{l}((a, u), (b, v)) = (0, l(a, v)),
\]

\[
\hat{r}((a, u), (b, v)) = (0, r(a, b)), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A_1, \ u, v \in A_2.
\]

Let \(A^{k,l}\) be the direct sum of all possible \((k + l)\)-tensor powers of \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) in which \(A_1\) (respectively, \(A_2\)) appears \(k\) times (respectively, \(l\) times). For instance,

\[
A^{2,0} := A_1 \otimes A_1, \quad A^{1,1} := (A_1 \otimes A_2) \oplus (A_2 \otimes A_1), \quad A^{0,2} := A_2 \otimes A_2,
\]

and

\[
A^{1,2} := (A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_2) \oplus (A_2 \otimes A_1 \otimes A_2) \oplus (A_2 \otimes A_2 \otimes A_1).
\]

Note that there is a vector space isomorphism \((A_1 \oplus A_2)^{\otimes n} \cong \oplus_{k+l=n} A^{k,l}\). Therefore, we have the isomorphism (by the horizontal lift)

\[
\text{Hom}((A_1 \oplus A_2)^{\otimes n+1}, A_1 \otimes A_2) \cong \sum_{k+l=n+1} \text{Hom}(A^{k,l}, A_1) \oplus \sum_{k+l=n+1} \text{Hom}(A^{k,l}, A_2).
\]

We say that a linear map \(f \in \text{Hom}((A_1 \oplus A_2)^{\otimes n+1}, A_1 \oplus A_2)\) has bidegree \(k|l\) with \(k + l = n\) if

\[
f(A^{k+l}, 1) \subset A_1, \quad f(A^{k,l+}, 2) \subset A_2, \quad \text{and } f \text{ is zero otherwise}.
\]

Let us denote the bidegree of \(f\) by \(|f| = k|l\). In general, multilinear maps may not have a bidegree. A multilinear map \(f\) is called \textit{homogeneous} if \(f\) has a bidegree. The set of all homogeneous multilinear maps of bidegree \(k|l\) is denoted by \(C^{k,l}(A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2)\). Let us observe that

\[
C^{k,l}(A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2) \cong \text{Hom}(A^{[k+1]}_1, A_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{[k]}_1, A_2),
\]

\[
C^{-1}[l](A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2) \cong \text{Hom}(A^{[l]}_1, A_1).
\]

The following result has been proved in [35, Proposition 2.6].
3.1. Proposition. If \( f \in \text{Hom}(⟨A_1 \oplus A_2⟩^{⊗ m+1}, A_1 \oplus A_2) \) has bidegree \( k_f \| l_f \) and \( g \in \text{Hom}(⟨A_1 \oplus A_2⟩^{⊗ n+1}, A_1 \oplus A_2) \) has bidegree \( k_g \| l_g \), then the Gerstenhaber bracket \([f, g] \) is homogeneous and have the bidegree \((k_f + k_g)\| (l_f + l_g)\).

Let us recall that \((C^{*+1}(A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2), [ , ])\) is a graded Lie algebra associated to the vector space \(A_1 \oplus A_2\) with the Gerstenhaber bracket \([ , ]\). As a consequence of the above proposition, the following result holds.

3.2. Proposition. The graded subspace \( \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} C^{-1|n}(A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2) \) is an abelian subalgebra of the graded Lie algebra \( C^{*+1}(A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2) \).

Proof. If \( ||f|| = -1\|l_f\) and \( ||g|| = -1\|l_g\), then by Proposition 3.1, we have \([f, g] = 0\). Hence, the result follows.

Also, by a direct application of Proposition 3.1, it follows that

3.3. Proposition. The graded vector space \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} C^{k|0}(A_1 \oplus A_2, A_1 \oplus A_2) \) together with the Gerstenhaber bracket is a graded Lie algebra.

4. Proposition. With the above notations, \( \mu \) defines an associative product on \( A \) and \( r \) defines an \( A\)-bimodule structure on \( M \) if and only if \( \pi := \mu + l + r \in C^{1|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \) is a Maurer-Cartan element in the graded Lie algebra \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} C^{k|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \).

Proof. The proof of the proposition is similar to [13, Proposition 2.11].

It follows that a \( \text{AssBimod}\)-pair \((A, M)\) induces a differential \( d_{\mu+l+r} := [\mu + l + r, ]\) on the graded vector space \( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} C^{k|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \), which makes \( (\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} C^{k|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M), d_{\mu+l+r}, [ , ])\) into a differential graded Lie algebra. Moreover, using the standard Maurer-Cartan theory, we can deduce the following theorem.

3.5. Theorem. Let \((A, M)\) be an \( \text{AssBimod}\)-pair and \( \mu + l + r \in C^{1|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) \) be the corresponding Maurer-Cartan element. Then, for linear maps \( \mu' : A \otimes A \to A, l' : A \otimes M \to M, \) and \( r' : M \otimes A \to M, \) the sum \((\mu + \mu') + (l + l') + (r + r')\) corresponds to an \( \text{AssBimod}\)-pair structure on \((A, M)\) if and only if \( \mu' + l' + r' \) is a Maurer-Cartan element in the differential graded Lie algebra \( (\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} C^{k|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M), d_{\mu+l+r}, [ , ])\).

We now define the cohomology of an \( \text{AssBimod}\)-pair \((A, M)\). Let us define the 0-th cochain group \( C^0(A, M) \) to be 0 and for \( n \geq 1 \), the \( n\)-th cochain group

\[
C^n(A, M) = C^{(n-1)|0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) = \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n-1, 1}, M).
\]

Here, \( A^{r-1, 1} \) is the direct sum of all possible \( n\)-tensor powers of \( A \) and \( M \) in which \( A \) appears \( n - 1 \) times (and thus, \( M \) appears only once). Finally, we define a differential \( \partial : C^n(A, M) \to C^{n+1}(A, M) \), which is induced from the Maurer-Cartan element, i.e.

\[
\partial f = (-1)^n(-1)^{-1}[\mu + l + r, f], \text{ for } f \in C^n(A, M).
\]

The cohomology of the cochain complex \((C^*(A, M), \partial)\) is called the cohomology of the \( \text{AssBimod}\)-pair \((A, M)\).

4. \( L_\infty \)-algebras associated to relative Rota-Baxter algebras

Let \( A \) and \( M \) be two vector spaces. Then, \( L = (\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(⟨A \oplus M⟩^{⊗ n+1}, A \oplus M), [ , ]) \) is a graded Lie algebra where the graded Lie bracket is given by the Gerstenhaber bracket. It has been observed in
Proposition 3.2 that the graded subspace
\[ a = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^{-1|n+1}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(M^{\otimes n+1}, A) \]
is an abelian subalgebra of \( L \). Let \( P : L \to a \) be the projection onto the subspace \( a \) and take \( \triangle = 0 \in \ker(P)_1 \).
It is also easy to see that the kernel of \( P \) is a graded Lie subalgebra of \( L \). Hence, with all these notations, the quadruple \((L, a, P, \triangle)\) is a \( V \)-data. Thus, Theorem 2.11 yields the following result.

4.1. Theorem. There is an \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra on the graded vector space \( L[1] \oplus a \) with structure maps
\[ l_1(Q[1], \theta) = P(Q), \]
\[ l_2(Q[1], Q'[1]) = (\lambda)^Q[Q, Q'][1], \]
\[ l_k(Q[1], \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1}) = P[\cdots[[Q, \theta_1], \theta_2], \ldots, \theta_{k-1}], \]
for homogeneous elements \( Q, Q' \in L \) and \( \theta, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1} \in a \).

4.2. Remark. From Proposition 3.3, \( L' = (\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^{\otimes 0}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M), [ \ , \ ] \) is a graded Lie subalgebra of the graded Lie algebra \( L \). Now, Remark 2.12 implies that there is an \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra on the graded vector space \( L'[1] \oplus a \), whose structure maps are given by
\[ l_2(Q[1], Q'[1]) = (\lambda)^Q[Q, Q'][1], \]
\[ l_k(Q[1], \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1}) = P[\cdots[[Q, \theta_1], \theta_2], \ldots, \theta_{k-1}], \]
for homogeneous elements \( Q, Q' \in L' \) and \( \theta, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1} \in a \). Furthermore, this \( L_\infty \)-algebra is weakly filtered with \( n = 3 \) and the filtration is given by
\[ F_1 = L'[1] \oplus a, \ F_2 = P[L'[1] \oplus a], a \text{ and } F_k = P[\cdots[L'[1] \oplus a], a], a \text{ for } k \geq 3. \]

Note that, the degree 0 component of the graded vector space \( L'[1] \oplus a \) is given by
\[ (L'[1] \oplus a)_0 = L'_1 \oplus a_0 = \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2}, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A \otimes M, M) \oplus \text{Hom}(M \otimes A, M) \oplus \text{Hom}(M, A). \]

Let \( A \) and \( M \) be two vector spaces. Suppose there are maps
\[ \mu \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2}, A), \ l \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes M, M), \ r \in \text{Hom}(M \otimes A, M) \text{ and } T \in \text{Hom}(M, A). \]
Consider the element \( \pi = \mu + l + r \in C^{\otimes 10}(A \oplus M, A \oplus M) = L'_1 \). Then we have \( (\pi, T) \in (L'[1] \oplus a)_0 \).

4.3. Theorem. With the above notations, the triple \((A, \mu, (M, l, r), T)\) is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if \((\pi, T)\) is a Maurer-Cartan element in the \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra \((L'[1] \oplus a, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1})\).

Proof. The triple \((A, \mu, (M, l, r), T)\) is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if \((A, M)\) is a \textbf{AssBimod}-pair and \( T : M \to A \) is a relative Rota-Baxter operator on \( M \) over the algebra \( A \). Equivalently, by Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 3.4, \((A, \mu, (M, l, r), T)\) is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if
\[ [\mu + l + r, \mu + l + r] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [T, T] = [[\mu + l + r], T], T] = 0. \]

If \( \pi := \mu + l + r \), then Remark 4.2 implies that
\[ l_2((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T)) = -[\pi, \pi][1], \]
\[ l_3((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T)) = [[\pi, T], T] = [T, T]. \]

Let us consider the sum
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} l_k((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T), \ldots, (\pi[1], T)) = \frac{1}{2} l_2((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T)) + \frac{1}{6} l_3((\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T), (\pi[1], T)) \]
\[ = (\frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi][1], \frac{1}{6}[[\pi, T], T]) = (\frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi][1], \frac{1}{6}[T, T]). \]
From equation (8) and equation (9), it is clear that \(((A,\mu),(M,l,r),T)\) is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if 
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} l_k((\pi[1],T),(\pi[1],T),\ldots,(\pi[1],T)) = 0.
\]
Hence, the theorem holds true. \(\square\)

The above theorem implies that relative Rota-Baxter algebras can be interpreted as Maurer-Cartan elements in a \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra. This allows us to construct a new \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra twisted by the Maurer-Cartan element associated with a given relative Rota-Baxter algebra. More precisely, let \(((A,\mu),(M,l,r),T)\) be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Consider the Maurer-Cartan element \(\alpha = (\pi[1],T) \in (L'[1] \oplus a)_0\) in the \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra \((L'[1] \oplus a,\{l_k\}_{k \geq 1})\). By applying Theorem 2.8 to this case, we get the twisted \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra that controls deformations of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra.

4.4. **Theorem.** Let \(((A,\mu),(M,l,r),T)\) be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Then there is a twisted \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra \((L'[1] \oplus a,\{l_k^{(\pi[1],T)}\}_{k \geq 1})\). Moreover, for any linear maps \(\mu',l',r',T'\) as of (7), the triple 
\[(A,\mu+\mu'),(M,l+l',r+r'),T+T')\]
is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra if and only if \((\pi'[1],T')\) is a Maurer-Cartan element in the \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra \((L'[1] \oplus a,\{l_k^{(\pi[1],T)}\}_{k \geq 1})\), where \(\pi' = \mu' + l' + r' \in L'_1\). \(\square\)

4.5. **Remark.** Let \(A\) be an associative algebra and \(M\) be an \(A\)-bimodule. Suppose \(T: M \rightarrow A\) is a relative Rota-Baxter operator which makes the triple \((A,M,T)\) a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. We have already seen various graded Lie algebras (hence \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebras by degree shift) and \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebras.

- In Proposition 3.3, we find a graded Lie algebra structure on \(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^n[0](A \oplus M, A \oplus M)\), hence an \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra on \((\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^n[0](A \oplus M, A \oplus M))[1]\).
- In Theorem 2.3, we have a graded Lie algebra structure on \(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} Hom(M \otimes^n, A)\), hence we get an \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra.
- Finally, in Theorem 4.4, we obtain an \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra \((L'[1] \oplus a,\{l_k^{(\pi[1],T)}\}_{k \geq 1})\).

Similar to the Lie algebra case [25, Theorem 3.16], one can prove that there is a short exact sequence of \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebras
\[
0 \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} Hom(M \otimes^{n+1}, A) \right) \xrightarrow{i} L'[1] \oplus a \xrightarrow{p} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C^n[0](A \oplus M, A \oplus M)\right)[1] \rightarrow 0
\]
by strict morphisms of \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebras, where \(i(P) = (0,P)\) and \(p(f[1],P) = f[1]\) for \(P \in Hom(M \otimes^{n+1}, A)\) and \(f \in C^n[0](A \oplus M, A \oplus M)\).

4.1. **Cohomology of relative Rota-Baxter algebras.** Using the twisted \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra constructed in Theorem 4.4, we define the cohomology of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra \((A,M,T)\). This cohomology is related to the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter operator \(T\) and the cohomology of the AssBimod pair \((A,M)\) by a long exact sequence.

Let \(((A,\mu),(M,l,r),T)\) be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Consider the twisted \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra
\[(L'[1] \oplus a,\{l_k^{(\pi[1],T)}\}_{k \geq 1})\]
as given in Theorem 4.4. Then it follows from the definition of an \(L_{\infty}[1]\)-algebra that \(l_1^{(\pi[1],T)} o l_1^{(\pi[1],T)} = 0\). In other words, \(l_1^{(\pi[1],T)}\) is a differential. In the following, we will use this differential to define the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra \((A,M,T)\).

Define the space of 0-cochains \(C^0(A,M,T)\) to be 0, and the space of 1-cochains \(C^1(A,M,T)\) to be \(Hom(A,A) \oplus Hom(M,M)\). For \(n \geq 2\), the space of \(n\)-cochains \(C^n(A,M,T)\) to be defined by
\[
C^n(A,M,T) = C^n(A,M) \oplus C^n(T) = (Hom(A \otimes^n, A) \oplus Hom(A^n-1,1,M)) \oplus Hom(M \otimes^{n-1}, A).
\]
To define the coboundary, we observe that if \((f, P) \in C^n(A, M, T)\), then \((f[1], P) \in (L'[1] \oplus a)_{n-2}\). We define the coboundary \(\mathcal{D} : C^n(A, M, T) \to C^{n+1}(A, M, T)\) by
\[
\mathcal{D}(f, P) = (-1)^{n-2} (\pi[T, f]) (f[1], P).
\]
Then, it follows that \(\mathcal{D}^2 = 0\). The explicit description of \(\mathcal{D}\) is given by
\[
\mathcal{D}(f, P) = (-1)^{n-2} \left( -\left[\pi, f\right] + \left[\pi, T\right], P + \frac{1}{n!} \left[\ldots \left[ f, T\right], T\right], \ldots, T\right)\).
\]
It follows that the coboundary map \(\mathcal{D} : C^n(A, M, T) \to C^{n+1}(A, M, T)\) can also be written as
\[
\mathcal{D}(f, P) = (\partial f, (-1)^{n} d_T P + \Omega f),
\]
where \(\partial f = [\mu + l + r, f]\) (given by equation (6)), \(d_T P = [T, P]\) (given in Theorem 2.3), and \(\Omega\) is defined by
\[
(\Omega f)(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (-1)^n f(Ta_1, \ldots, Ta_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} T f(a_1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, a_n).
\]
Therefore, \((C^\bullet(A, M, T), \mathcal{D})\) is a cochain complex. The cohomology of this complex is called the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra \((A, M, T)\) and denoted by \(H^\bullet(A, M, T)\). From the definition of the coboundary map \(\mathcal{D}\), we have the following short exact sequence of cochain complexes
\[
0 \longrightarrow (C^\bullet(T), \delta_T) \biggarrow i ((C^\bullet(A, M, T), \mathcal{D}) \biggarrow p (C^\bullet(A, M), \partial) \longrightarrow 0,
\]
where \(i(P) = (0, P)\) and \(p(f, P) = f\) for \(P \in C^\bullet(T)\) and \(f \in C^\bullet(A, M)\). Therefore, we get the following result.

**4.6. Theorem.** Let \((A, M, T)\) be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Then there is a long exact sequence of cohomology spaces
\[
\cdots \longrightarrow H^n(T) \biggarrow H^n(A, M, T) \biggarrow H^n(A, M) \biggarrow \delta^n H^n(A, M, T) \longrightarrow \cdots,
\]
where the connecting homomorphism \(\delta^n\) is given by \(\delta^n([f]) = [d_T(f)]\), for \([f] \in H^n(A, M)\). \(\Box\)

**4.2. Cohomology of Rota-Baxter algebras.** In this subsection, we focus on Rota-Baxter algebras, i.e. relative Rota-Baxter algebras with respect to the adjoint representation. We deduce cohomology of Rota-Baxter algebras following the cohomology of relative Rota-Baxter algebras modulo certain modifications.

Let \((A, T)\) be a Rota-Baxter algebra. We define the space of 0-cochains \(C^0_{RB}(A, T)\) to be 0, and the space of 1-cochains \(C^1_{RB}(A, T)\) to be \(\text{Hom}(A, A)\). The space of \(n\)-cochains \(C^n_{RB}(A, T)\), for \(n \geq 2\), is defined by
\[
C^n_{RB}(A, T) := \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n-1}, A).
\]
We define the coboundary map using the following inclusion map
\[
i : C^n_{RB}(A, T) \to C^n(A, A, T), (f, P) \mapsto (f, f, P).
\]

Here, \(C^n(A, A, T)\) is the \(n\)-th cochain group of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra \((A, A, T)\). Let us write an element \(f \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n-1,1}, M)\) as a pair \((f_A, f_M)\) with the components \(f_A \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)\) and \(f_M \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n-1,1}, M)\). Then, we can write the differential \(\partial : \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n-1,1}, M) \to \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n+1}, A) \oplus \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes n-1,1}, M)\) as follows
\[
\partial(f) = ((\partial f)_A, (\partial f)_M).
\]
In the case, when \(M = A\) is the adjoint \(A\)-bimodule, it is clear that
\[
(\partial f)_A = (\partial f)_M = (-1)^{n-1}[\mu, f] = \delta_{Hoch} f.
\]
Thus, we have the following proposition.

**4.7. Proposition.** The complex \((C^*_{RB}(A, T), \mathcal{D})\) is a subcomplex of the cochain complex \((C^*(A, A, T), \mathcal{D})\) associated to the relative Rota-Baxter algebra \((A, A, T)\). \(\Box\)
The induced coboundary map \( D : C_{RB}^\ast(A, T) \to C_{RB}^{\ast+1}(A, T) \) is explicitly given by

\[
D(f, P) = (\delta_{\text{Hoch}} f, (-1)^n dT P + \Omega f),
\]

where \( \delta_{\text{Hoch}} \) is the Hochschild coboundary (given by equation (3)), \( dT P = [T, P] \) (given in Theorem 2.3), and \( \Omega \) is given by

\[
(\Omega f)(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (-1)^n \left( f(T a_1, \ldots, T a_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n T f(a_1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, T a_n) \right).
\]

The cohomology of the cochain complex \((C_{RB}^\ast(A, T), D)\) is called the cohomology of the Rota-Baxter algebra \((A, T)\). We denote the cohomology spaces by \( H_{RB}^n(A, T) \).

4.8. Remark. There is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes

\[
0 \longrightarrow (C^\ast(T), \delta_T) \xrightarrow{i} (C_{RB}^\ast(A, T), D) \xrightarrow{p} (C_{\text{Hoch}}^\ast(A), \delta_{\text{Hoch}}) \longrightarrow 0,
\]

where \( i(P) = (0, P) \) and \( p(f, P) = f \). Consequently, there is a long exact sequence in cohomology spaces

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow H^n(T) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} H^n_{RB}(A, T) \xrightarrow{H^n(p)} H^n_{\text{Hoch}}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} H^{n+1}(T) \longrightarrow \cdots,
\]

where the connecting homomorphism \( \delta^n \) is given by \( \delta^n[f] = [\Omega f] \).

5. Deformations of relative Rota-Baxter algebras

In this section, we study \( R \)-deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra, where \( R \) is a local pro-Artinian \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebra. In particular, we consider the case \( R = \mathbb{K}[[t]]/(t^2) \), that is the infinitesimal deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. We show that equivalence classes of infinitesimal deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra \((A, M, T)\) are in bijective correspondence with the cohomology classes in \( H^2(A, M, T) \).

In the case of a Rota-Baxter algebra \((A, T)\), we can interpret the infinitesimal deformations in terms of the cohomology space \( H^2_{RB}(A, T) \) via results similar to this section.

Let \( R \) be a local pro-Artinian \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebra. One may define \( R \)-relative Rota-Baxter algebras and morphisms between them similar to the Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 by replacing the vector spaces and the linear maps by \( R \)-modules and \( R \)-linear maps. Since \( R \) is local pro-Artinian \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebra, there is an augmentation \( \epsilon : R \to \mathbb{K} \). Thus, any relative Rota-Baxter algebra \((A, M, T)\) can be realised as an \( R \)-relative Rota-Baxter algebra, where the \( R \)-module structures on \( A \) and \( M \) are respectively given by

\[
r a = \epsilon(r) a, \quad r u = \epsilon(r) u \quad \text{for} \quad r \in R, \ a \in A, \text{and} \ u \in M.
\]

5.1. Definition. An \( R \)-deformation of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra \(((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)\) consists of an \( R \)-algebra structure \( \mu_R \) on \( R \otimes \mathbb{K} A \), two \( R \)-linear maps \( l_R : (R \otimes \mathbb{K} A) \otimes (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M) \to (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M) \), \( r_R : (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M) \otimes (R \otimes \mathbb{K} A) \to (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M) \), and an \( R \)-linear map \( T_R : R \otimes \mathbb{K} M \to R \otimes \mathbb{K} A \), which makes the triple \(((R \otimes \mathbb{K} A, \mu_R), (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M, l_R, r_R), T_R)\) into an \( R \)-relative Rota-Baxter algebra such that

\[
(\epsilon \otimes \text{id}_A, \epsilon \otimes \text{id}_M) : ((R \otimes \mathbb{K} A, \mu_R), (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M, l_R, r_R), T_R) \to ((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)
\]

is a morphism of \( R \)-relative Rota-Baxter algebras.

5.2. Definition. Let \(((R \otimes \mathbb{K} A, \mu_R), (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M, l_R, r_R), T_R)\) and \(((R \otimes \mathbb{K} A, \mu'_R), (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M, l'_R, r'_R), T'_R)\) be two \( R \)-deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra \(((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)\). Then, they are said to be equivalent if there exists an \( R \)-relative Rota-Baxter algebra isomorphism

\[
(\Phi, \Psi) : ((R \otimes \mathbb{K} A, \mu_R), (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M, l_R, r_R), T_R) \to ((R \otimes \mathbb{K} A, \mu'_R), (R \otimes \mathbb{K} M, l'_R, r'_R), T'_R),
\]

satisfying \((\epsilon \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \Phi = (\epsilon \otimes \text{id}_A)\) and \((\epsilon \otimes \text{id}_M) \circ \Psi = (\epsilon \otimes \text{id}_M)\).

Next, we explicitly describe \( R \)-deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra for \( R = \mathbb{K}[[t]]/(t^2) \). Such deformations are called infinitesimal deformations. Note that the augmentation \( \epsilon : \mathbb{K}[[t]]/(t^2) \to \mathbb{K} \) is given by \( \epsilon(f) = f(0) \), the evaluation of \( f \) at 0. Thus, an infinitesimal deformation of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra \(((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)\) consists of maps \( \mu_0, \mu_1 \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes A, A) \), \( l_0, l_1 \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes M, M) \),
$r_0, r_1 \in \text{Hom}(M \otimes A, M)$, and $T_0, T_1 \in \text{Hom}(M, A)$ such that the maps

$$\mu_R := \mu_0 + t\mu_1, \quad l_R := l_0 + t\ell_1, \quad r_R := r_0 + t\tau_1, \quad T_R : T_0 + tT_1$$

makes $((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R)$ into an $\mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2)$-relative Rota-Baxter algebra and

$$\langle \epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_A, \epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_M \rangle : ((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R) \to ((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$$

is a morphism of $\mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2)$-relative Rota-Baxter algebras. The morphism condition implies that $\mu_0 = \mu$, $l_0 = l$, $r_0 = r$, and $T_0 = T$. Therefore, an infinitesimal deformation is determined by the quadruple $(\mu_1, l_1, r_1, T_1)$.

5.3. Theorem. Let an infinitesimal deformation of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ be determined by the quadruple $(\mu_1, l_1, r_1, T_1)$. Then, the quadruple is a 2-cycle in the cohomology of the relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$. Conversely, any infinitesimal deformation is obtained by a 2-cycle in this way.

Proof. Let $R = \mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2)$ and $((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R)$ be an infinitesimal deformation of relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$. Then, equivalently we have the following conditions.

(i) $(R \otimes_K A, \mu_R = \mu + t\mu_1)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-associative algebra, i.e.

$$\mu_R(a, \mu_R(b, c)) = \mu_R(\mu_R(a, b), c), \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in A.$$

On comparing the coefficients of $t$ from both sides of the above identity, we have

$$\mu_1(a, bc) + a\mu_1(b, c) = \mu_1(ab, c) + \mu_1(a, bc), \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in A,$$

(ii) the triple $(R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R)$ is an $(R \otimes_K A, \mu_R = \mu + t\mu_1)$-bimodule, i.e.

$$l_R(\mu_R(a, b), m) = l_R(a, l_R(b, m)), \quad r_R(r_R(m, a), b) = r_R(m, \mu_R(a, b)), \quad \text{and} \quad r_R(l_R(a, m), b) = l_R(r_R(m, a), b),$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$. On comparing the coefficients of $t$ from both sides of the above identities, we have

$$l_1(ab, m) + \mu_1(a, b)m = l_1(a, bm) + a\ell_1(b, m)$$

(iii) the map $T_R : R \otimes_K M \to R \otimes_K A$ is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra, i.e.

$$\mu_R(T_R(m), T_R(n)) = T_R(l_R(T_R(m), n) + r_R(m, T_R(n))).$$

On comparing the coefficients of $t$ from both sides of the above identity, we have

$$\mu_1(T(m), T(n)) - T(l_1(T(m), n) + r_1(m, T(n)))$$

By definition of the map $\partial$ is clear that equation (10) and equation (11) are equivalent to $\partial(\mu_1 + l_1 + r_1) = 0$. Moreover (12) is equivalent to $\Omega(\mu_1 + l_1 + r_1) - d\tau(T_1) = 0$. Thus, $((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R)$ is an infinitesimal deformation of relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ if and only if

$$\Delta(\mu_1 + l_1 + r_1, T_1) = 0.$$

Hence, the statement of the theorem follows. \hfill \Box

5.4. Theorem. Let $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ be a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. There is a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of infinitesimal deformations of $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$ and the cohomology classes in the second cohomology space $H^2(A, M, T)$.

Proof. Let us fix $R = \mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2)$. Let $((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R), (R \otimes_K M, l_R, r_R), T_R)$ and $((R \otimes_K A, \mu'_R), (R \otimes_K M, l'_R, r'_R), T'_R)$ be two infinitesimal deformations of a relative Rota-Baxter algebra $((A, \mu), (M, l, r), T)$. Then, Theorem 5.3 implies that these infinitesimal deformations are determined by 2-cocycles (say) $(\mu_1, l_1, r_1, T_1)$ and
Moreover, comparing the coefficients of \((15)\) from a skew-symmetric associative algebra and let
\[
(\Phi, \Psi) : ((R \otimes_K A, \mu_R) , (R \otimes_K M , l_R , r_R) , T_R) \rightarrow ((R \otimes_K A , \mu'_R) , (R \otimes_K M , l'_R , r'_R) , T'_R) ,
\]
satisfying
\[
(13) \quad \epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_A \circ \Phi = (\epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_A) \quad \text{and} \quad (\epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_M) \circ \Psi = (\epsilon \otimes_K \text{id}_M).
\]
From equation \((13)\), it follows that
\[
\Phi = \text{id}_A + t \phi_1 , \quad \Psi = \text{id}_M + t \psi_1 , \quad \text{for some } \phi_1 \in \text{Hom}(A, A) \text{ and } \psi_1 \in \text{Hom}(M , M).
\]
If \((\Phi, \Psi) : ((R \otimes_K A , \mu_R) , (R \otimes_K M , l_R , r_R) , T_R) \rightarrow ((R \otimes_K A , \mu'_R) , (R \otimes_K M , l'_R , r'_R) , T'_R)\) is an \(R\)-relative Rota-Baxter algebra isomorphism, then one can observe the following.

(i) The map \(\text{id}_A + t \phi_1 : (R \otimes_K A , \mu_R) \rightarrow (R \otimes_K A , \mu'_R)\) is an associative \(R\)-algebra isomorphism, which implies that
\[
\Phi(\mu_R(a, b)) = \mu'_R(\Phi(a), \Phi(b)) , \quad \text{for all } a , b \in A.
\]
On comparing the coefficients of \(t\),
\[
(14) \quad \mu_1 - \mu'_1 = \delta_{\text{Hoch}} \phi_1.
\]
(ii) The map \((\Phi, \Psi)\) is an isomorphism of \(R\)-relative Rota-Baxter operators from \(T_R\) to \(T'_R\). Then,
\[
(1) \quad \Psi(l_R(a , m)) = l'_R(\Phi(a), \Psi(m)) , \quad \Psi(r_R(m , a)) = r'_R(\Psi(m), \Phi(a)) , \quad \text{for all } m \in M , \quad a \in A
\]
and
\[
(2) \quad \Phi \circ T_R = T'_R \circ \Psi.
\]
Thus, comparing the coefficients of \(t\) in the above identities given in the condition \((1)\), we have
\[
\psi_1(am) + l_1(a , m) = l'_1(a , m) + \phi_1(a)m + a\psi_1(m)
\]
\[
\psi_1(ma) + r_1(m , a) = r'_1(m , a) + \psi_1(m)a + m\phi_1(a).
\]
Moreover, comparing the coefficients of \(t\) from both sides of the identity in the condition \((2)\), we get
\[
(16) \quad T_1 + \phi_1 \circ T = T'_1 + T \circ \psi_1.
\]
Therefore, from equations \((14)-(16)\), the pair \((\Phi, \Psi)\) is an \(R\)-relative Rota-Baxter algebra isomorphism if and only if
\[
(\mu_1 , l_1 , r_1 , T_1) - (\mu'_1 , l'_1 , r'_1 , T_1) = D(\phi_1 , \psi_1).
\]
Hence, there is a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of infinitesimal deformations of \(((A, \mu) , (M, l , r) , T)\) and the second cohomology space \(H^2(A , M , T)\). \(\square\)

6. Cohomology and deformations of skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras

In this section, we define cohomology of (coboundary) skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras coming from a skew-symmetric associative \(r\)-matrix. Throughout the section, we assume that all the vector spaces are finite-dimensional.

6.1. Skew-symmetric \(r\)-matrices and skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras. Let \(A\) be an associative algebra and let \(r \in \wedge^2 A\) given by \(r := \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes A\). We recall from [4] that the associative Yang-Baxter equation in \(A\) is given by
\[
(17) \quad r_{12}r_{13} + r_{13}r_{23} - r_{23}r_{12} = 0,
\]
where

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{12}r_{13} &= \sum_{ij} a_i a_j \otimes b_i \otimes b_j, \\
    r_{13}r_{23} &= \sum_{ij} a_i \otimes a_j \otimes b_i b_j, \\
    r_{23}r_{12} &= \sum_{ij} a_j \otimes a_i b_j \otimes b_i.
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

A solution \( r \in \wedge^2 A \) of the associative Yang-Baxter equation (17) is called a skew-symmetric \( r \)-matrix on associative algebra \( A \). An element \( r \in \wedge^2 A \) induces a linear map \( r^* A^* \to A \) given by

\[
\langle \beta, r^*(\alpha) \rangle := r(\alpha, \beta), \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in A^*.
\]

Let us also recall the following relationship between the skew-symmetric \( r \)-matrices and relative Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras, see \([4]\) for details.

6.1. Proposition. An element \( r \in \wedge^2 A \) is a skew-symmetric associative \( r \)-matrix on an associative algebra \( A \) if and only if \( (A, A^*, r^2) \) is a relative Rota-Baxter algebra. Here, \( A^* \) is an \( A \)-bimodule with coadjoint actions.

Let \( L_a : A \to A \) and \( R_a : A \to A \) be left and right multiplication operators on the associative algebra \( A \) for \( a \in A \).

6.2. Definition \([4]\)]. A skew-symmetric (antisymmetric) infinitesimal bialgebra structure on an associative algebra \( A \) is a linear map \( \Delta : A \to A \otimes A \) such that the map \( \Delta^* : A^* \otimes A^* \to A^* \) defines an associative algebra structure on \( A^* \), and for all \( a, b \in A \), the map \( \Delta \) satisfies the following equations

\[
\Delta(ab) = (id \otimes L_a)\Delta(y) + (R_b \otimes id)\Delta(z),
\]

(19)

\[
(L_b \otimes id - id \otimes R_b)\Delta(a) + \sigma((L_a \otimes id - id \otimes R_a)\Delta(b)) = 0.
\]

(20)

Here, the map \( \sigma : A \otimes A \to A \otimes A \) is defined by \( \sigma(a \otimes b) := b \otimes a \).

A skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra \((A, \Delta)\) is called coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra if there exists an element \( r \in A \otimes A \) such that

\[
\Delta_r(a) = (id \otimes L_a - R_a \otimes id)r, \quad \text{for all } a \in A.
\]

(21)

6.3. Proposition \([4]\)]. Let \( A \) be an associative algebra and \( r \in \wedge^2 A \). Then, the map \( \Delta_r \) given by the equation (21) defines a skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra \((A, \Delta_r)\) if \( r \) satisfies the associative Yang-Baxter equation (17) in \( A \).

Let \((A_1, \Delta_{r_1})\) and \((A_2, \Delta_{r_2})\) be two coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras. A homomorphism of coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras is an algebra homomorphism \( \phi : A_1 \to A_2 \) satisfying \((\phi \otimes \phi)(r_1) = r_2\).

6.2. Cohomology of coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras. Let \( A \) be an associative algebra and \( r \in \wedge^2 A \) be a solution of associative Yang-Baxter equation (17). Then, \((A, \Delta_r)\) is a coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra.

The skew-symmetric matrix \( r \) corresponds to a relative Rota-Baxter operator \( r^2 : A^* \to A \). Thus, we have an associative algebra structure on \( A^* \) given by

\[
\alpha \star \beta = r^2(\alpha)\beta + \alpha r^2(\beta), \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in A^*.
\]

Here, the first and second term on the right hand side denotes left and right coadjoint actions of \( A \) on \( A^* \), respectively. Let us consider the cyclic complex \((C_r^\bullet(A^*), b)\) for the associative algebra \( A^* \). We define a cochain complex \((C_r^\bullet(A), \delta_r)\) associated to an skew-symmetric \( r \)-matrix as follows: for \( n = 0, 1 \), define \( C_r^n(A) := 0 \) and for \( n \geq 2 \), define \( C_r^n(A) := C_r^{n-1}(A^*) \). The coboundary map \( \delta_r := b \). We denote the cohomology of the complex by \( H_r^\bullet(A) \).
Let us consider the canonical monomorphism $\varphi : C_r^\bullet (A^*) \rightarrow C_B^{\bullet} (A^*, A)$ given by

$$\langle \varphi (\eta)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), \alpha_{n+1} \rangle := \eta(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}), \quad \text{for all } \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1} \in A^*.$$ 

Then, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{c}
C^0_r (A^*) \xrightarrow{\varphi} C^0_B (A^*, A) \\
\delta \downarrow \quad \delta_H \downarrow \\
C^n_r (A^*) \xrightarrow{\varphi} C^n_B (A^*, A)
\end{array}$$

(22)

In fact, for any $\eta \in C^n_r (A^*)$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in A^*$, we get

$$\delta_H (\varphi (\eta))(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) = (-1)^{n-1} r^2 (\varphi (\eta)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) \alpha_n) - r^2 (\alpha_1 \varphi (\eta)(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n))$$

(23)

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \varphi (\eta_1, \ldots, \alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n)$$

$$+ r^2 (\alpha_1 \varphi (\eta)(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)) - (-1)^{n-1} \varphi (\eta)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) r^2 (\alpha_n).$$

For any $\beta \in A^*$, the first and second term on the right hand side of the equation (23) can be written as

$$\langle r^2 (\varphi (\eta)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) \alpha_n), \beta \rangle = -\langle \varphi (\eta)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) \alpha_n, r^2 (\beta) \rangle = (-1)^{n-1} \varphi (\eta)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}) r^2 (\alpha_n)$$

(24)

$$= -\eta(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n r^2 (\beta)).$$

Similarly, for any $\beta \in A^*$, the fourth and fifth term on the right hand side of the equation (23) can be written as

$$\langle r^2 (\alpha_1 \varphi (\eta)(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)), \beta \rangle = -\langle \varphi (\eta)(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n), r^2 (\beta) \rangle = -\eta(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n, r^2 (\beta) \alpha_1).$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the cyclic coboundary $\delta_r = b : C^n_r (A^*) \rightarrow C^n_r (A^*)$, for $\eta \in C^n_r (A^*)$, we have

$$\langle \varphi (b(\eta))(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n), \beta \rangle = b(\eta)(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \beta)$$

(25)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} \eta(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta)$$

$$+ (-1)^{n+1} \eta(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n \beta) + (-1)^n \eta(\beta \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n).$$

From equations (23)-(28), it follows that for any $\eta \in C^n_r (A^*)$,

$$\langle \delta_H (\varphi (\eta))(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), \beta \rangle = \langle \varphi (b(\eta))(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n), \beta \rangle, \quad \text{for all } \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta \in A^*.$$ 

Therefore, the diagram (22) commutes. The complex $(C_B^{\bullet} (A^*, A), (-1)^{\bullet} \delta_H)$ is the same as the complex $(C^\bullet (r^2), d_{rs})$ associated to the relative Rota-Baxter operator $r^2 : A^* \rightarrow A$. Thus, the diagram (22) implies that $(1 m \varphi, d_{rs})$ is a subcomplex of the cochain complex $(C^\bullet (r^2), d_{rs})$.

Let us now define a complex $(C^\bullet (A, \Delta_\tau), \delta_{inf})$ for the coboundary infinitesimal bialgebra $(A, \Delta_\tau)$ with $r \in \wedge^2 A$.

- For $n = 0$, define $C^0 (A, \Delta_\tau) := 0$;
- For $n = 1$, define $C^1 (A, \Delta_\tau) := Hom(A, A)$;
- For $n \geq 2$, define $C^n (A, \Delta_\tau) := C^n_B (A, A) \oplus C^n_r (A^*)$.

To define the coboundary map $\delta_{inf}$, we first define an embedding $i : C^\bullet (A, \Delta_\tau) \rightarrow C^\bullet (A, A^*, r^2)$. For $n = 1$, the map $i : C^1 (A, \Delta_\tau) = Hom(A, A) \rightarrow C^1 (A, A^*, r^2) = Hom(A, A) \oplus Hom(A^*, A^*)$ is given by $\lambda(f) = (f, \bar{f})$, for $f \in Hom(A, A)$. Here, the map $\bar{f} \in Hom(A^*, A^*)$ is the dual of $f$.

For $n \geq 2$, let us recall that $C^n (A, A^*, r^2) = Hom(\otimes^n A, A) \oplus Hom(\otimes^{n-1} A, A^*) \oplus Hom(\otimes^{n-1} A^*, A)$, where $A^{n-1,1}$ are all those $n$-tensor powers of $A$ and $A^*$ such that $A^*$ appears only once. Define the map $i :
$C^n(A, \Delta_r) \to C^n(A, A^*, r^2)$ by

$$i(f, \eta) = (f, \bar{f}, \varphi(\eta))$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^n A, A)$ and $\eta \in C^{n-1}_\lambda (A^*)$. Here, $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}(A, A^{n-1, 1}, A^*)$ is given by

$$\langle \bar{f}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \rangle := (\bar{f}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \delta \text{ by Definition})$$

Let us denote the cohomology of the cochain complex $(C, \Delta)$.

Since $(\mathcal{D}, \varphi)$ and $r$ are $A, K$-Artinian

6.3. Deformations of coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras.

Let $f \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^n A, A)$, then observe that $\Omega(f + \bar{f})$ is skew-symmetric and thus by the definition of the coboundary $\mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}(i(f)) = \mathcal{D}(f, \bar{f}) = \partial(f + \bar{f}), \Omega(f + \bar{f}) = i(\delta_{Hoch}(f), \varphi^{-1}(\Omega(f + \bar{f})))$$

For $n \geq 2$ and $(f, \eta) \in C^n(A, \Delta_r)$,

$$\mathcal{D}(i(f, \eta)) = \mathcal{D}(f, \bar{f}, \varphi(\eta)) = (\partial(f + \bar{f}), (1)^n d_{r, \text{r}} \eta(\eta) + \Omega(f + \bar{f}))$$

Since $(1)^n d_{r, \text{r}} \eta(\eta) + \Omega(f + \bar{f}) \in Im \varphi$, we get

$$\mathcal{D}(i(f, \eta)) = i(\delta_{Hoch}(f), \varphi^{-1}(1)^n d_{r, \text{r}} \eta(\eta) + \Omega(f + \bar{f}))$$

Thus, $\mathcal{D}(i(f, \eta)) \in Im(i)$ for any $(f, \eta) \in C^*(A, \Delta_r)$. Let us consider a map $\theta : Im(i) \to C^*(A, \Delta_r)$ given by $\theta(i(f, \eta)) = (f, \eta)$. Now, define the coboundary $\delta_{\text{inf}} : C^*(A, \Delta_r) \to C^{*+1}(A, \Delta_r)$ by the composition $\delta_{\text{inf}} := \theta \circ \mathcal{D} \circ i$. The condition $\mathcal{D}(i(Im(i))) \subseteq Im(i)$ and the fact that $i \circ \theta \mid i(Im(i)) = \text{id}$ imply that $\delta_{\text{inf}} \circ \delta_{\text{inf}} = 0$.

Let us denote the cohomology of the cochain complex $(C^*(A, \Delta_r), \delta_{\text{inf}})$ by $H^*_\text{inf}(A, \Delta_r)$. We call it the cohomology of the coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra $(A, \Delta_r)$.

Let us observe that by the definition of the coboundary map $\delta_{\text{inf}}$, it can be rewritten as

$$\delta_{\text{inf}}(f, \eta) = (\delta_{Hoch}(f), \delta_r(\eta) + \varphi^{-1}(\Omega(f + \bar{f})))$$

for any $(f, \eta) \in C^n(A, \Delta_r)$. Thus, we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to (\oplus_{n \geq 2} C^n_{\lambda}(A^*), b) \overset{i}{\to} (\oplus_{n \geq 1} C^n(A, \Delta_r), \delta_{\text{inf}}) \overset{p}{\to} (\oplus_{n \geq 1} C^n_{\text{Hoch}}(A), \delta_{\text{Hoch}}) \to 0,$$

where $i(\eta) = (0, \eta)$ and $p(f, \eta) = f$ for any $f \in C^n_{\text{Hoch}}(A)$ and $\eta \in C^*_\lambda (A^*) = C^{n-1}_\lambda (A^*)$. Therefore, we have the following result.

6.4. Theorem. There is a long exact sequence of cohomology spaces

$$\cdots \to HC^{n-1}(A^*) \overset{H^n(\mu)}{\to} H^n_{\text{inf}}(A, \Delta_r) \overset{H^n(\rho)}{\to} H^n_{\text{Hoch}}(A) \overset{\delta^n}{\to} HC^n(A^*) \to \cdots,$$

where the connecting homomorphism $\delta^n$ is given by $\delta^n[f] = [\varphi^{-1}(\Omega(f + \bar{f}))]$, for any $[f] \in H^n_{\text{Hoch}}(A)$. \hfill $\square$

6.3. Deformations of coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebras. Let $R$ be a local pro-Artinian $K$-algebra and thus, equipped with an augmentation $\epsilon : R \to K$. Let $A$ be an associative algebra and $r \in \wedge^2 A$. Then, any coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra $(A, \Delta_r)$ can be realised as a skew-symmetric $R$-infinitesimal bialgebra, where the $R$-module structures on $A$ is given by

$$r.A = \epsilon(r)a, \quad \text{for any } r \in R, \quad a \in A.$$

6.5. Definition. Let $A$ be an associative algebra and $r \in \wedge^2 A$ be an associative $r$-matrix. An $R$-deformation of a coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra $(A, \Delta_r)$ is a triplet $(R \otimes A, \mu_R, r_R)$ consisting of an $R$-linear associative product $\mu_R : (R \otimes A) \otimes_R (R \otimes A) \to (R \otimes A)$ and a skew-symmetric $r$-matrix $r_R \in (R \otimes A) \otimes_R (R \otimes A)$ such that

(i) the map $\epsilon \otimes \text{id} : (R \otimes A, \mu_R) \to (A, \mu)$ is an $R$-associative algebra homomorphism, and

(ii) $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id}) \otimes_R (\epsilon \otimes \text{id})(r_R) = r$.

Let $(A, \Delta_r)$ be a coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra. Two $R$-deformations of $(A, \Delta_r)$ given by the triplings $(R \otimes A, \mu_R, r_R)$ and $(R \otimes A, \mu'_R, r'_R)$ are said to be equivalent if there exists an isomorphism of coboundary skew-symmetric $R$-infinitesimal bialgebras

$$\Phi : (R \otimes A, \mu_R, r_R) \to (R \otimes A, \mu'_R, r'_R)$$

satisfying $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Phi = \epsilon \otimes \text{id}$. 

Let $R = \mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2)$, then an $R$-deformation $(R \otimes A, \mu_R, r_R)$ is called an infinitesimal deformation of $(A, \Delta_r)$. There exists $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in C^2_{\text{Hoch}}(A)$ and $r_0, r_1 \in C^1(A^*)$ such that $\mu_R = \mu_0 + t \mu_1$ and $r_R = r_0 + tr_1$. The conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 6.5 imply that $\mu_0 = \mu$ and $r_0 = r$.

6.6. Theorem. Let $(A, \Delta_r)$ be a coboundary skew-symmetric infinitesimal bialgebra. Let $\mu_1 \in C^2_{\text{Hoch}}(A)$ and $r_1 \in C^1(A^*)$. Then an infinitesimal deformation of $(A, \Delta_r)$ is determined by the pair $(\mu_1, r_1)$ if and only if $(\mu_1, r_1) \in C^2(A, \Delta_r)$ is a 2-cocycle.

Proof. The triplet $(R \otimes A, \mu_R := \mu + t \mu_1, r_R := r + tr_1)$ is an $R$-deformation if and only if

(i) $(R \otimes A, \mu_R := \mu + t \mu_1)$ is an $R$-associative algebra, or equivalently $\delta_{\text{Hoch}}(\mu_1) = 0$, and

(ii) $r_R := r + tr_1 \in (R \otimes A) \otimes_R (R \otimes A)$ is a skew-symmetric $r$-matrix of the $R$-associative algebra $(R \otimes A, \mu_R := \mu + t \mu_1)$, or equivalently $\delta_r (r_1) + \varphi^{-1}(\Omega(\mu_1 + \mu_1)) = 0$.

Therefore, the triplet $(R \otimes A, \mu_R := \mu + t \mu_1, r_R := r + tr_1)$ is an $R$-deformation if and only if

$$\delta_{\text{inf}}(\mu_1, r_1) = (\delta_{\text{Hoch}}(\mu_1), \delta_r (r_1) + \varphi^{-1}(\Omega(\mu_1 + \mu_1))) = 0.$$

Hence, the theorem follows. □

6.7. Theorem. The equivalence classes of infinitesimal deformations of $(A, \Delta_r)$ corresponds bijectively to cohomology classes in $H^2(A, \Delta_r)$.

Proof. Two infinitesimal deformations $(R \otimes A, \mu_R := \mu + t \mu_1, r_R := r + tr_1)$ and $(R \otimes A, \mu'_R := \mu + t \mu'_1, r'_R := r + tr'_1)$ of $(A, \Delta_r)$ are equivalent if and only if there exists an isomorphism of coboundary skew-symmetric $R$-infinitesimal bialgebras

$$\Phi : (R \otimes A, \mu_R, r_R) \rightarrow (R \otimes A, \mu'_R, r'_R)$$

satisfying $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Phi = \epsilon \otimes \text{id}$. The condition $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Phi = \epsilon \otimes \text{id}$ implies that there exists an element $\phi_1 \in C^1_{\text{Hoch}}(A)$ such that $\Phi = \text{id} + t \phi_1$.

The map $\Phi : (R \otimes A, \mu_R, r_R) \rightarrow (R \otimes A, \mu'_R, r'_R)$ is an isomorphism if and only if

(i) The map $\text{id} + t \phi_1 : (R \otimes A, \mu_R := \mu + t \mu_1) \rightarrow (R \otimes A, \mu'_R := \mu + t \mu'_1)$ is an isomorphism of $R$-associative algebras, or equivalently $\mu'_1 - \mu'_1 = \delta_{\text{Hoch}}(\phi_1)$, and

(ii) $(\text{id} + t \phi_1) \otimes_R (\text{id} + t \phi_1) (r + tr_1) = r + tr'_1$ or equivalently $r - r'_1 = \varphi^{-1}(\Omega(\phi_1 + \phi_1))$.

The pairs $(\mu_1, r_1)$ and $(\mu'_1, r'_1)$ are 2-cocycles in $C^2(A, \Delta_r)$. By (i) and (ii) it is clear that $\Phi = \text{id} + t \phi_1 : (R \otimes A, \mu_R, r_R) \rightarrow (R \otimes A, \mu'_R, r'_R)$ is an isomorphism if and only if $(\mu_1, r_1) - (\mu'_1, r'_1) = \delta_{\text{inf}}(\phi_1)$. Thus, $(R \otimes A, \mu_R, r_R)$ and $(R \otimes A, \mu'_R, r'_R)$ are equivalent infinitesimal deformations if and only if $(\mu_1, r_1)$ and $(\mu'_1, r'_1)$ belong to the same cohomology class in $H^2(A, \Delta_r)$. □

7. Homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators

In this section, we introduce homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators on bimodules over strongly homotopy associative algebras. Our notion will generalize (strict) Rota-Baxter operators on $A_{\infty}$-algebras introduced in [12]. We compare homotopy relative Rota-Baxter algebras and homotopy relative Rota-Baxter Lie algebras introduced in [25]. Finally, we construct a pre-Lie$_{\infty}[1]$-algebra from any Dend$_{\infty}[1]$-algebra generalizing the similar result from non-homotopic case [2]. This construction suitably fits with the various relations among dendriform algebras, pre-Lie algebras, associative algebras, Lie algebras and Rota-Baxter algebras in the homotopy context.

7.1. Definition. An $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is a graded vector space $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i$ together with a collection of degree 1 multilinear maps $\{\mu_k : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A\}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfying the following identities

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1, \lambda=1}^j (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_{\lambda-1}|} \mu_j(a_1, \ldots, a_{\lambda-1}, \mu_i(a_\lambda, \ldots, a_{\lambda+i-1}), a_{\lambda+i}, \ldots, a_n) = 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$ 

Note that $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra structure on a graded vector space $A$ can be described by Maurer-Cartan element in a suitable graded Lie algebra [32]. Let $A$ be a graded vector space. Consider the free reduced tensor algebra $\overline{T}(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A^{\otimes n}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $C^n(A) = \text{Hom}_n(\overline{T}(A), A)$ the space of degree $n$ linear maps. An element $\mu \in \text{Hom}_n(\overline{T}(A), A)$ is the sum of degree $n$ multilinear maps $\mu_k : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A$, for $k \geq 1$. 

With these notations $\bigoplus_{n\in \mathbb{Z}} C^n(A) = \bigoplus_{n\in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(A), A)$ is a graded Lie algebra with the bracket given by $[\mu_k, \gamma_l] = \mu_k \circ \gamma_l - (-1)^{|\mu_k||\gamma_l|} \gamma_l \circ \mu_k$, where

$$(\mu_k \circ \gamma_l)(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+l-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{|a_1| + \cdots + |a_{i-1}|} \mu_k(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, \gamma_l(a_i, \ldots, a_{i+l-1}), a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{k+l-1}),$$

for $\mu = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k \in C^n(A)$ and $\gamma = \sum_{l \geq 1} \gamma_l \in C^m(A)$. An element $\mu \in C^1(A) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in the above graded Lie algebra if and only if $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra.

7.2. Definition. Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra. A bimodule over it consists of a graded vector space $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ together with a collection of degree 1 maps $\{\eta_k : A^{k+1} \to M\}_{k \geq 1}$ satisfying the identities (29) with exactly one of $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ is from $M$ and the corresponding multilinear operation $\mu_i$ or $\mu_j$ replaced by $\eta_i$ or $\eta_j$. Here, $A^{k+1}$ denotes all those $k$-tensor powers of $A$ and $M$, in which $M$ appears only once.

It follows from the definition that any $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is a bimodule over itself with $\eta_k = \mu_k$, for $k \geq 1$. This is called the adjoint bimodule.

7.1. Homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators. Here, we use a homotopy version of Theorem 2.3 to describe relative Rota-Baxter operators in the homotopy context.

Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra and $(M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a bimodule over it. We consider the graded Lie algebra

$$L = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C^n(A \oplus M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(A \oplus M), A \oplus M), [\ , \ ].$$

It is easy to see that $a = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(M), A)$ is an abelian subalgebra of $L$. Let $P : L \to a$ be the projection onto the subspace $a$. Then $\Delta = \sum_{k \geq 1} (\mu_k + \eta_k) \in \ker(P)$ and satisfies $[\Delta, \Delta] = 0$. Therefore, we obtain that $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ is a $V$-data. Hence by Theorem 2.10, we get an $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra generalizing the graded Lie algebra of Theorem 2.3 to the homotopy context.

7.3. Theorem. Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra and $(M, \{\gamma_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a bimodule over it. Then there is an $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra structure on the graded vector space $a = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(M), A)$. Moreover, this $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is weakly filtered.

Note that the filtration here is given by $F_n(a) = \bigoplus_{i \geq n} \text{Hom}_i(M^{\otimes i}, A)$, for $n \geq 1$. Inspired by the characterization of relative Rota-Baxter operators in the usual case, we define the following definition.

7.4. Definition. Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra and $(M, \{\gamma_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a bimodule. A Maurer-Cartan element $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k \in \text{Hom}_0(T(M), A)$ in the above $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is called a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on $(M, \{\gamma_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ over the $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$.

In the next, we give a characterization of a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k$ in terms of its components.

7.5. Proposition. An element $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k \in \text{Hom}_0(T(M), A)$ is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator if and only if its components satisfy the following identities: for each $p \geq 1$,

$$(30) \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_p = p} \frac{1}{n!} \mu_0(T_{k_1}(u_1, \ldots, u_{k_1}), T_{k_2}(u_{k_1+1}, \ldots, u_{k_1+k_2}), \ldots, T_{k_n}(u_{k_1+\cdots+k_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, u_p))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j+k_1+\cdots+k_{i-1}+1 \atop +k_{i}+\cdots+k_{n}+t=p} \frac{(-1)^{|u_1|+\cdots+|u_j|}}{(n-1)!} T_{j+1+t}(u_1, \ldots, u_j, \eta(T_{k_1}(u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_{j+k_1}), \ldots, T_{k_{i-1}}(\ldots, u_{j+k_{i-1}+1}), \ldots, T_{k_n}(\ldots, u_{p-t}), u_{p-t+1}, \ldots, u_p)).$$

Proof. We will use the interpretation of the elements of $C^\bullet(A \oplus M, A \oplus M)$ as coderivations on the free tensor coalgebra $\mathcal{T}^\bullet(A \oplus M)$ and the Gerstenhaber bracket on $C^\bullet(A \oplus M, A \oplus M)$ as the commutator bracket
of coderivations on $\mathcal{T}(A \oplus M)$. Let $\overline{\mu}, \overline{n}$ and $\overline{T}$ denote the coderivations on $\mathcal{T}(A \oplus M)$ corresponding to the maps $\sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k, \sum_{k \geq 1} n_k$ and $\sum_{k \geq 1} T_k$ in $C^*(A \oplus M, A \oplus M)$. Hence $T$ is a Maurer-Cartan element if and only if
\begin{equation}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathcal{T}[\cdots [\overline{\mu} + \overline{n}, \overline{T}], \cdots, \overline{T}] = 0,
\end{equation}
where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the projection onto the abelian subalgebra $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(\mathcal{T}(M), A)$. First observe that
\begin{equation}
\begin{split}
\mathcal{T}[\cdots [\overline{\mu} + \overline{n}, \overline{T}], \cdots, \overline{T}] (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \\
= \text{pr}_A \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \mathcal{T}[\cdots \mathcal{T} \circ (\overline{n} + \overline{\mu}) \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{T}] (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \right)
\end{split}
\end{equation}
By a straightforward calculation, we obtain
\begin{equation}
\begin{split}
&\left( \text{pr}_A \circ \overline{\mu} \circ \overline{T} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{T} \right) (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \\
= &\sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n = p} \mu_n (T_{k_1} (u_1, \ldots, u_{k_1}), T_{k_2} (u_{k_1+1}, \ldots, u_{k_1+k_2}), \ldots, T_{k_n} (u_{k_1+\cdots+k_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, u_p)),
\end{split}
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\begin{split}
n &\left( \text{pr}_A \circ \overline{\mu} \circ \overline{T} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{T} \right) (u_1, \ldots, u_p) \\
= &n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j+k_i+\cdots+k_{i-1}+1} \sum_{k_{i}+\cdots+k_{i-1}+1} (-1)^{u_1+\cdots+u_j} T_{j+1+1} \left( u_1, \ldots, u_j, n \left(T_{k_1} (u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_{j+k_1}), \ldots, T_{k_{i-1}} (\cdots, u_{j+k_1}, \ldots) \right), \ldots, u_{p-t} \right), u_{p-t+1}, \ldots, u_p \right).
\end{split}
\end{equation}
Therefore, it follows from equations (31) and (32) that $T$ is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator if and only if the identity (30) holds.

7.6. Remark. The identities given by equation (30) can be alternatively used as a definition of a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator. A homotopy Rota-Baxter operator on an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the adjoint bimodule.

7.7. Definition. (i) A homotopy relative Rota-Baxter algebra is a triple $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1}, \{T_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ consisting of an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra, a bimodule and a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator.

(ii) A homotopy Rota-Baxter algebra is a pair $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1}, \{T_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ of an $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebra and a homotopy Rota-Baxter operator on it.

In [25], the authors introduce homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators on modules over $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra. Here we first recall their definition and then we compare with homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on bimodules over $A_{\infty}[1]$-algebras. Let $(W, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra and $(V, \{\rho_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a representation of it. Then
\begin{equation}
(L = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(W \otimes V), W \oplus V), a = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(V), W), P, \Delta = \sum_{k \geq 1} (l_k + \rho_k))
\end{equation}
is a $V$-data, where the graded vector space $L$ is equipped with the standard Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket [25,29]. Hence by Theorem 2.10, the graded space $a = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(V), W)$ carries an $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra structure which turns out to be weakly filtered. A Maurer-Cartan element $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k \in \text{Hom}(S(V), W)$ on this $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra is said to be a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the module $(V, \{\rho_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ over the $L_{\infty}[1]$-algebra $(W, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1})$. A homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k$ is said to be strict if $T_k = 0$ for $k \geq 2$. It has been shown in [25] that a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator
If \((D, \{k\})_{k \geq 1}\) maps \((33)\) algebra is a graded vector space.

Moreover, the above symmetrization process yields a morphism of graded Lie algebras \((34)\) for

\[
\Psi : \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(T(A \oplus M), A \oplus M) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(\mathfrak{g}(A \oplus M), A \oplus M).
\]

As a consequence, we get the following.

7.8. Proposition. With the above notations, if \(T\) is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on \((M, \{\eta\})_{k \geq 1}\)

\(\text{over the } A^\infty(1)\)-algebra \(A, \{\mu\}_{1 \geq 1}\), then \(\Psi(T)\) is a homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the module

\(\text{over the } L^\infty(1)\)-algebra \(A, \{l\}_{k \geq 1}\).

7.2. Homotopy dendriform and homotopy pre-Lie algebras. Dendriform algebras were introduced by Loday [26] as a Koszul dual of associative dialgebras. The notion of homotopy dendriform algebras \((\text{Dend}^\infty)\)-algebras was defined in [28] and explicitly described in [12]. Here, we recall the definition of a \(\text{Dend}^\infty\)-algebra in slightly different way using Maurer-Cartan elements in a graded Lie algebra.

Let \(C_k\) be the set of first \(n\) natural numbers. For convenience, we denote the elements of \(C_k\) by

\[C_k = \{1, 2, \ldots, [k]\}.\]

There are some distinguished maps \(R_0(k; 1,\ldots, l) : C_{k+i-1} \rightarrow C_k\) and \(R_i(k; 1,\ldots, l) : C_{k+i-1} \rightarrow K[C_i]\) given by

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
[r] & 1 \leq r \leq i-1 & i \leq r < i + l - 1 & i + l \leq r \leq k + l - 1 \\
R_0(k; 1,\ldots, l,i) & [r] & [i] & [r-l+1] \\
R_i(k; 1,\ldots, l, i+1) & [1] + \cdots + [l] & [r-i+1] & [1] + \cdots + [l] \\
\end{array}
\]

Let \(D = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_i\) be a graded vector space. Suppose \(\mu_k : TD \otimes D \otimes TD \rightarrow D\) is a map which is nonzero only on \(\bigoplus_{i+j=k+1, i,j \geq 1} D^{i-1} \otimes D \otimes D^{j-1}\). Then \(\mu_k\) induces \(k\) maps \(\mu_{k,1}, \ldots, \mu_{k,k} : D^k \rightarrow D\), such that

\[
\mu_{k,1}(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \mu_k(1 \otimes a_1 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots) = \mu_k(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes \cdots), \quad \mu_{k,2}(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \mu_k(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes \cdots) = \mu_k(a_1 \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 \otimes \cdots).
\]

Consider the graded space \(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D)\) of such maps. It carries a graded Lie bracket defined by \([\mu_k, \eta] = \mu_k \circ \eta - (-1)^{mn} \eta \circ \mu_k\), where

\[
[\mu_k, \eta](a_1, \ldots, a_r, a_{r+1} \otimes a_1 \otimes a_{r+1} \otimes a_{k+1-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{i-1} a_{i-1}} \mu_k(\mu_{k, i+1}(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{k+1-1})) \eta(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{k+1-1}),
\]

for \(\mu = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k \in \text{Hom}_m(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D)\) and \(\eta = \sum_{k \geq 1} \eta_k \in \text{Hom}_n(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D)\). A \(D^\infty\)-algebra is a graded vector space \(D = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_i\) together with a Maurer-Cartan element \(\mu = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_k\) of the graded Lie algebra \(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D)\). Note that each \(\mu_k\) determines \(k\) many degree 1 maps \(D^k \rightarrow D\). Therefore, for now onward, we will denote a \(D^\infty\)-algebra by \((D, \{\mu_{k,[r]}\}_{k \geq 1, [r] \in C_k}\).

If \((D, \{\mu_{k,[r]}\}_{k \geq 1, [r] \in C_k}\) is a \(D^\infty\)-algebra, then it has been proved in [12, 28] that \((D, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})\) is an
$A_\infty[1]$-algebra, where

$$\mu_k = \mu_{k,[1]} + \cdots + \mu_{k,[k]}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$  

The notion of $\text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1]$-algebras are defined by Chapoton and Livernet [9]. Here we will not recall the definition rather mention that there is a graded Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{MN}}$, known as Matsushima-Nijenhuis bracket on $\bigoplus_{n\in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(W) \otimes W, W)$, for any graded vector space $W$. See [9,25] for details. A $\text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1]$-algebra structure on a graded vector space $W$ is by definition a Maurer-Cartan element in the graded Lie algebra $\left( \bigoplus_{n\in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(W) \otimes W, W), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{MN}} \right)$. A $\text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1]$-algebra induces an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra structure on $W$ with structure maps given by

$$l_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{|x_1|(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_i|)} \theta_k(x_1, \ldots, x_i, x_i, x_i), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$  

This is called the subadjacent $L_\infty[1]$-algebra of the $\text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1]$-algebra.

It is known that a dendriform algebra gives rise to a pre-Lie algebra structure. Here we prove a homotopy version of this result.

7.9. Theorem. Let $(D, \{\mu_{k,[r]}\}_{k \geq 1, r \in \mathbb{C}_k})$ be a $\text{Dend}_\infty[1]$-algebra. Then $(D, \{\theta_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ is a $\text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1]$-algebra, where

$$\theta_k(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma)\mu_{k,[\sigma^{-1}(k)]}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)}), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$  

Proof. We define a map

$$\Psi : \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(TD \otimes D \otimes TD, D) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_n(S(D) \otimes D, D)$$  

by

$$\Psi(\mu_k)(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma)\mu_{k,[\sigma^{-1}(k)]}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)}).$$  

It is a straightforward but tedious calculation to check that $\Psi$ preserves the graded Lie algebra structures. Hence the result follows from the definition of $\text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1]$-algebra structure by Maurer-Cartan element. $\square$

The above construction is functorial with respect to strict morphisms in the categories of $\text{Dend}_\infty[1]$-algebras and $\text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1]$-algebras.

7.10. Proposition. With the above construction, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Dend}_\infty[1] & \longrightarrow & \text{pre-Lie}_\infty[1] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{A}_\infty[1] & \longrightarrow & \text{L}_\infty[1].
\end{array}$$

Proof. Let $(D, \{\mu_{k,[r]}\}_{k \geq 1, r \in \mathbb{C}_k})$ be a $\text{Dend}_\infty$-algebra with the corresponding $\text{A}_\infty[1]$-algebra $(D, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ where $\mu_k$’s are given by equation (35). Therefore, the corresponding $L_\infty[1]$-algebra structure on $D$ is given by

$$l_k(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma) \mu_k(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)})$$  

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_{k,[i]}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)}).$$  

Theorem 7.9, Proposition 7.10. Theorem 7.9, Proposition 7.10.
On the other hand, consider the pre-Lie$_{\infty}$[1]-algebra $(D, \{\theta_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ where $\theta_k$’s are given in equation (36). Hence, the subadjacent $L_{\infty}$[1]-algebra on $D$ has structure maps

\[
l_k^t(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{|a_i|(|a_{i+1}|+\cdots+|a_k|)} \theta_k(a_1, \ldots, a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_k)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{|a_i|(|a_{i+1}|+\cdots+|a_k|)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma\tau) \mu_{k,|\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}|}(a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(k)})
\]

(39)

In the second equality, given a fixed $i$, we use the permutation $\tau \in S_k$ given by

\[\tau(j) = j \text{ for } j \leq i - 1, \quad \tau(j) = j + 1 \text{ for } i \leq j \leq k - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(k) = i.\]

Finally, the third equality follows as $\epsilon(\tau) = (-1)^{|a_i|(|a_{i+1}|+\cdots+|a_k|)}$. From equations (38) and (39), it follows that $l_k = l_k^t$ for $k \geq 1$. Hence the result follows.

\[\square\]

7.3. Strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators. A homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator $T = \sum_{k \geq 1} T_k$ on a bimodule $(M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ over an $A_{\infty}$[1]-algebra is said to be strict if $T_k = 0$ for $k \geq 2$. It follows from equation (30) that a degree 0 linear map $T : M \to A$ is a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator if $T$ satisfies

\[\mu_k(Tu_1, \ldots, Tu_k) = \sum_{r=1}^{k} T(\eta_k(Tu_1, \ldots, u_r, \ldots, Tu_k)), \text{ for } k \geq 1.\]

Strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operators are considered in [12] and the following result is proved in the same reference.

7.11. Proposition. Let $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be an $A_{\infty}$[1]-algebra and $(M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ be a bimodule. If $T$ is a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator, then $M$ carries a Dend$_{\infty}$[1]-algebra structure, where

\[\mu_{k,|\tau|}(u_1, \ldots, u_k) = \eta_k(Tu_1, \ldots, u_r, \ldots, Tu_k), \text{ for } k \geq 1 \text{ and } |\tau| \in C_k.\]

The Dend$_{\infty}$[1]-algebra constructed in the above proposition is called the subadjacent Dend$_{\infty}$[1]-algebra associated to the strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator $T$.

7.12. Proposition. The following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{strict homotopy relative Rota–Baxter algebra} & \longrightarrow & \text{Dend}_{\infty}[1] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{strict homotopy relative Rota–Baxter Lie algebra} & \longrightarrow & \text{pre-Lie}_{\infty}[1].
\end{array}
\]

Proof. Let $T : M \to A$ be a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the bimodule $(M, \{\eta_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ over the $A_{\infty}$[1]-algebra $(A, \{\mu_k\}_{k \geq 1})$. Let $(M, \{\mu_{k,|\tau|}\}_{k \geq 1, |\tau| \in C_k})$ denote the corresponding Dend$_{\infty}$[1]-algebra, where $\mu_{k,|\tau|}$’s are given by equation (40). Hence by Theorem 7.9, the corresponding pre-Lie$_{\infty}$[1]-algebra structure on $M$ are given by

\[\theta_k(u_1, \ldots, u_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma)\mu_{k,|\sigma^{-1}|}(u_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, u_{\sigma(k)})
\]

\[= \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma)\eta_k(Tu_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, u_{\sigma(j)}, \ldots, u_{\sigma(k)})_{|\sigma(j)=k}.\]

On the other hand, by considering $T$ as a strict homotopy relative Rota-Baxter operator on the module $(M, \{\rho_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ over the $L_{\infty}$[1]-algebra $(A, \{l_k\}_{k \geq 1})$ given in equations (33) and (34), the pre-Lie$_{\infty}$[1]-algebra on $M$ is given by

\[\theta_k^t(u_1, \ldots, u_k) = \rho_k(Tu_1, \ldots, Tu_{k-1}, u_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \epsilon(\sigma)\eta_k(Tu_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, u_{\sigma(j)}, \ldots, Tu_{\sigma(k)})_{|\sigma(j)=k}.\]
Thus we have $\theta_k = \theta'_k$, for $k \geq 1$ which proves the result. \qed
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