

# The polar-generalized normal distribution

Masoud Faridi, Majid Jafari Khaledi<sup>a</sup>

masoudfaridi@modares.ac.ir

<sup>a</sup> Department of Statistics, Tarbiat Modares University, P.O. Box 14115-134, Tehran, Iran

jafari-m@modares.ac.ir

## Abstract

This paper introduces an extension to the normal distribution through the polar method to capture bimodality and asymmetry, which are often observed characteristics of empirical data. The later two features are entirely controlled by a separate scalar parameter. Explicit expressions for the cumulative distribution function, the density function and the moments were derived. The stochastic representation of the distribution facilitates implementing Bayesian estimation via the Markov chain Monte Carlo methods. Some real-life data as well as simulated data are analyzed to illustrate the flexibility of the distribution for modeling asymmetric bimodality.

*Keywords and phrases:* Skewness; Bimodality; Polar method; Beta distribution; Bayesian estimation.

## 1 Introduction

The normal distribution is often used to model data with symmetric distributions. However, the distribution of data were collected from a wide range of applications reveals asymmetry. Examples arise, for instance, in wind speed data (Zhu and Genton, 2012), temperature data (North et al., 2011), soil and air pollution data (Jafari Khaledi and Rivaz, 2009; Zareifard and Jafari Khaledi; 2013), precipitation data (Xu and Genton, 2017) and diabetes data (Kristensen et al., 2010). The skew-normal (SN) distribution, introduced by Azzalini (1985), has been used successfully to model data sets that have asymmetric behavior. The normal distribution is a special case of this distribution. Despite attractive features of this distribution, it is not suitable when the distribution of the data are biomodal. Since many data sets from applied fields are bimodal (for example gene expression patterns in breast cancer (Wang et al., 2009; Ertel, 2010), material characterization in engineering (Dierickx et al., 2000), water vapor and temperature in meteorology (Zhang et al. , 2003; Dahdouh and Jafari Khaledi, 2020), there is extensive research on modeling bimodality. The mixture of normal distributions is often used in many different areas to model data with biomodal distributions. This strategy is often criticized for its non-identifiability problem (McLachlan and Peel, 2000; Marin, Mengersen and Robert, 2005). Gomez et al. (2011) introduced a bimodal extension of the skew-normal distribution with application to a pollen dissemination data set, but it suffers complexity and estimation problems inherited from the skewed normal model.

The main objective of this paper is to provide a parsimonious probability distribution that could be used as an alternative to the mixture models and can account for both asymmetry and bimodality. The basic idea relies on extending the standard polar method. In this method, one generate a random pair  $(X, Y) = (R \cos(2\pi U), R \sin(2\pi U))$  where  $R$  and  $U$  are random polar coordinates. The random variables  $R$  and  $U$  are independent. The random variable  $U$  is uniformly distributed on the unit interval  $(0, 1)$ , and  $R$  has a given distribution that is easy to sample from. In the context of one-liners, we thus have

$$X = R \cos(2\pi U). \quad (1)$$

In this case, if  $R \sim \sqrt{\chi_2^2}$ ,  $\chi_2^2$  has a chi-squared distribution with 2 degrees of freedom, then the distribution of  $X$  is normal. The well-known Box-Muller formula for normal random variables,  $X = \sqrt{-2 \log U_2} \cos(2\pi U_1)$  is thus a simple one-linear, where  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are independent random variables from the uniform distribution on the unit interval  $(0, 1)$ .

In our construction, instead of the uniform distribution, we propose to consider the beta distribution for the random variable  $U$  in (1). We call the resulting construction the polar-generalized normal (PGN) distribution. We refer to Alexander et al. (2012), Mameli and Musio (2013 and 2016), Alleva and Giommi (2016) and Eugene, Lee and Famoye (2002) for information on other generalizations of normal distribution that present bimodality and involve the beta distribution. The PGN distribution can model asymmetry and bimodality and include as special cases the normal distribution. In particular, it contains easily interpretable and estimable parameters. Our approach allows for testing normality and symmetry within the *PGN* family via Bayesian framework.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to the extension of the polar method. Moreover, basic properties and other characteristics of the PGN distribution are presented. In particular, some expressions are derived which simplify moments derivation. In Section 3, the stochastic representation for a random variable following the PGN distribution is used to implement Bayesian estimation. The results of a simulation study reported in Section 4 reveal satisfactory behaviour of the Bayesian estimates. In Section 5, we provide some empirical applications. Finally, this paper concludes with a brief review of the main results.

## 2 The PGN model

Below we consider an extension of the polar method given in equation (1). Let  $V$ ,  $U$  be independent random variables. Assume that  $V$  has a chi-squared distribution with  $k$  degrees of freedom, that is, the density is given by  $f_V(v) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} v^{\frac{k}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{v}{2}}$  for  $0 < v < +\infty$  where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the gamma function and  $k > 0$ . Suppose  $U$  has a beta distribution with parameters  $2\mu$  and  $2(1-\mu)$ , that is, the density is given by  $f_U(u) = \frac{1}{B(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))} u^{2\mu-1} (1-u)^{2(1-\mu)-1}$  for  $0 < u < 1$  where  $0 < \mu < 1$  and  $B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}$  is the beta function. We define the polar-generalized normal distribution as the distribution of the product

$$X = \sqrt{V} \cos(\pi U). \quad (2)$$

We will use the short notation  $PGN(\mu, k)$  distribution. The standard normal distribution is a special case of the  $PGN(\mu, k)$  distribution when  $\mu$  is 0.5 and  $k$  is 2. In the following subsections, we study distributional properties and present some expansions for moments of  $PGN(\mu, k)$ .

### 2.1 The distributional properties

**Theorem 2.1.** *The cumulative distribution function (cdf) of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  is given by*

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{I_{0.5}(2(1-\mu), 2\mu)}{B(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \int_{0.5}^1 \gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & \text{if } x < 0, \\ \frac{I_{0.5}(2(1-\mu), 2\mu)}{B(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \int_0^{0.5} \gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & \text{if } x \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $I_c(\alpha, \beta)$  is the regularized incomplete beta function,  $\gamma(s, t)$  is the regularized incomplete gamma function and  $f_U(u)$  is the density of beta distribution with parameters  $2\mu$  and  $2(1-\mu)$ . Specifically,  $I_c(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^c u^{\alpha-1} (1-u)^{\beta-1} du$  and  $\gamma(s, t) = \int_0^t y^{s-1} e^{-y} dy$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(x) &= P(X \leq x) = P(\sqrt{V} \cos(\pi U) \leq x) = \int_0^1 P(\sqrt{V} \cos(\pi u) \leq x) f_U(u) du \\ &= \int_0^{0.5} P(\sqrt{V} \cos(\pi u) \leq x) f_U(u) du + \int_{0.5}^1 P(\sqrt{V} \cos(\pi u) \leq x) f_U(u) du. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The first term of the Equation (4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{0.5} P(\sqrt{V} \cos(\pi u) \leq x) f_U(u) du &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ \int_0^{0.5} P(\sqrt{V} \leq \frac{x}{\cos(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \int_0^{0.5} \gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & \text{if } x \geq 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and the second term of the Equation (4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{0.5}^1 P(\sqrt{V} \cos(\pi u) \leq x) f_U(u) du &= \begin{cases} \int_{0.5}^1 P(\sqrt{V} \geq \frac{x}{\cos(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & \text{if } x < 0 \\ \int_{0.5}^1 f_U(u) du & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{0.5}^1 f(u) du - \int_{0.5}^1 P(\sqrt{V} < \frac{x}{\cos(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & \text{if } x < 0 \\ \int_{0.5}^1 f_U(u) du & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{I_{0.5}(2(1-\mu), 2\mu)}{B(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \int_{0.5}^1 \gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & \text{if } x < 0, \\ \frac{I_{0.5}(2(1-\mu), 2\mu)}{B(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))} & \text{if } x \geq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Then, the cdf can be computed as follows:

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{I_{0.5}(2(1-\mu), 2\mu)}{B(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \int_{0.5}^1 \gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & \text{if } x < 0, \\ \frac{I_{0.5}(2(1-\mu), 2\mu)}{B(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \int_0^{0.5} \gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & \text{if } x \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad \blacksquare$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *The probability density function (pdf) of PGN( $\mu, k$ ) has a two-piece form as*

$$f_X(x) = \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2}) 2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \times \begin{cases} \int_{0.5}^1 \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}} f_U(u) du & \text{if } x < 0, \\ \int_0^{0.5} \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}} f_U(u) du & \text{if } x \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* The proof of this result follows directly by the derivation. By the derivation of  $F_X(x)$ ,  $f_X(x)$  can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \int_{0.5}^1 \frac{d}{dx} \gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & x < 0 \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \int_0^{0.5} \frac{d}{dx} \gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}) f_U(u) du & x \geq 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{|x|(x^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2}) 2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \int_{0.5}^1 \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}} f_U(u) du & x < 0, \\ \frac{|x|(x^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2}) 2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \int_0^{0.5} \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}} f_U(u) du & x \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.1.** *We could equivalently write the density of PGN( $\mu, k$ ) as follows:*

$$f_X(x) = \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2}) 2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \times \int_{0.5}^1 \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}} (f_U(u) 1_{\{x < 0\}} + f_U(1-u) 1_{\{x \geq 0\}}) du \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2}) 2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \times \int_0^{0.5} \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u)}} (f_U(1-u) 1_{\{x < 0\}} + f_U(u) 1_{\{x \geq 0\}}) du. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* By changing variable  $v$  to  $1 - u$  and knowing that  $\cos(\pi(1 - v)) = -\cos(\pi v)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{0.5}^1 \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{2\cos^2(\pi u)}\right)} f_U(u) du &= \int_0^{0.5} \frac{1}{((\cos(\pi(1 - v)))^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{2(\cos(\pi(1 - v)))^2}\right)} f_U(1 - v) dv \\ &= \int_0^{0.5} \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi v))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{2\cos^2(\pi v)}\right)} f_U(1 - v) dv. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we have

$$\int_0^{0.5} \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{2\cos^2(\pi u)}\right)} f_U(u) du = \int_{0.5}^1 \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi v))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{2\cos^2(\pi v)}\right)} f_U(1 - v) dv. \quad \blacksquare$$

The probability density function of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  distribution for different choices of the parameters  $\mu$  and  $k$  are plotted in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 1a shows some specific densities of the distribution  $PGN(\mu, k)$  and illustrates the flexibility of this family. The distribution may be symmetric, skewed to the left, or skewed to the right. At the same time, it can be unimodal or bimodal. It is noteworthy that the densities can display quite different shapes depending on the values of the two parameters. In particular, it can be symmetric when  $\mu = 0.5$  or asymmetric when  $\mu \neq 0.5$ . For  $\mu < 0.5$ , it is easy that to see  $P(U < \frac{1}{2}) > \frac{1}{2}$ , or equivalently,  $P(0 < U < \frac{1}{2}) > P(\frac{1}{2} < U < 1)$ . Accordingly,  $P(\cos(\pi U) > 0) > P(\cos(\pi U) < 0)$  and hence  $P(X > 0) > P(X < 0)$ . So,  $\mu$  controls the symmetry of the distribution. It confirmed by the plots of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  in Figures 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, 2a and 2b. As we expected, it is observed that for  $\mu < 0.5$  the distribution is left skewed and for  $\mu > 0.5$  is right skewed. The distribution also approaches symmetric when  $\mu$  tends to 0.5. Asymmetry increases as  $\mu$  tends to zero or one. So we call  $\mu$  the asymmetric parameter because it regulates the asymmetry of the density function.

We call  $k$  the peak parameter because by increasing  $k$ , the distribution tends to have two peaks. These peaks are distinguished for  $\mu$  close to 0.5, but as  $\mu$  tends to 0 or 1, the small peak tends to smooth out. From the plots of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  in Figures 2c, 2d and 2e it is observed that for  $k < 2$  and  $\mu = 0.5$  the distribution has a symmetric shape and the distribution tails are shorter than the tails of a Normal distribution. It is also observed that by reducing  $k$  the distribution tends to has a sharp peak. For  $k < 2$  and  $\mu \neq 0.5$  the distribution is skewed and by reducing  $k$  the distribution tends to has a sharp peak.

Figure 1b shows under  $\mu = 0.5$ , the distribution is symmetric and for  $k = 2$ , it is also a unimodal distribution (it has one peak). However, by increasing  $k$ , the distribution bifurcates, becomes bimodal and the distance between peaks increase. This happens since as  $k$  increases, the mode of the distribution of the random variable  $\sqrt{V}$  increases, and consequently, the distance between the mode and the zero point increases. Accordingly, by multiplying  $\cos(\pi U)$ , which is between  $-1$  and  $1$ , to  $\sqrt{V}$ , two distinct modes are created (at both sides of zero point) and the distance between two modes increases.

The  $PGN$  distribution becomes bimodal for certain values of the parameters  $\mu$  and  $k$ , and the analytical solution of  $\mu$  and  $k$ , where the distribution becomes bimodal, cannot be solved algebraically. However, by observing density function of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  for different values of the parameters  $\mu$  and  $k$ , we obtain Table (1). The table shows a grid of values where the distribution is bimodal. In the table, “1” indicates that PGN distribution is unimodal and “2” indicates that PGN distribution is bimodal.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $\mu = 0.5$ , the cdf of the  $PGN(\mu, k)$  model is given by*


$$f_X(x) = \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{k}{2}) 2^{\frac{k}{2}}} U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}\right), \quad (10)$$

where  $U(a, b, z)$  is Tricomi's (confluent hypergeometric) function, that is,


$$U(a, b, z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-zu} u^{a-1} (1+u)^{b-a-1} du.$$

Figure 1: Shapes of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  densities for different combinations of parameters.


(a) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  densities for different values of parameters.




(b) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu = 0.5, k)$  densities for different values of  $k$ .




(c) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu = 0.75, k)$  densities for different values of  $k$ .



(d) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu = 0.25, k)$  densities for different values of  $k$ .



(e) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu, k = 5)$  densities for different values of  $\mu$ .



(f) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu, k = 5)$  densities for different values of  $\mu$ .






Figure 2: Shapes of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  densities for different combinations of parameters.


(a) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu, k = 10)$  densities for different values of  $\mu$ .




(b) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu, k = 10)$  densities for different values of  $\mu$ .



(c) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu = 0.5, k)$  densities for different values of  $k < 2$ .



(d) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu = 0.75, k)$  densities for different values of  $k < 2$ .



(e) Shapes of  $PGN(\mu = 0.25, k)$  densities for different values of  $k < 2$ .




Table 1: Number of mods of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  for different values of the parameters. “2” indicates where bimodality occurs and “1” indicates where unimodality occurs.

	k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
$\mu$														
0.50		1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.51, 0.49		1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.52, 0.48		1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.53, 0.47		1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.54, 0.46		1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.55, 0.45		1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.56, 0.44		1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.57, 0.43		1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.58, 0.42		1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.59, 0.41		1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.60, 0.40		1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.61, 0.39		1	1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.62, 0.38		1	1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.63, 0.37		1	1	1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.64, 0.36		1	1	1	1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2
0.65, 0.35		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2
0.66, 0.34		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2
0.67, 0.33		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2	2	2
0.68, 0.32		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	<b>2</b>	2
0.69-1.00, 0.00-0.31		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

*Proof.* By Equation 9 and knowing that for  $\mu = 0.5$ ,  $f_U(u) = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_X(x) &= \frac{|x|(x^2)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \int_0^{0.5} \frac{1}{(\cos^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\cos^2(\pi u)}} du \\
 &= \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \int_0^{0.5} (1 + \tan^2(\pi u))^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}(\tan^2(\pi u))} du \\
 &= \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \int_0^{+\infty} (1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}t} \frac{1}{\pi 2(1+t)\sqrt{t}} dt \\
 &= \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}t} t^{\frac{1}{2}-1} (1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} dt \\
 &= \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})2^{\frac{k}{2}}} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}t} t^{\frac{1}{2}-1} (1+t)^{\frac{k+1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-1} dt \\
 &= \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})2^{\frac{k}{2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}\right). \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of this section, we provide some distributional properties of the  $PGN(\mu, k)$  distribution.

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $\mu = 0.5$ , the density of the  $PGN(\mu, k)$  model is symmetric about zero.*

*Proof.* The proof is simple since the cdf 10 satisfy  $f_X(-x) = f_X(x)$ .  $\blacksquare$

Table 2 shows some special cases of the Tricomi confluent hypergeometric function (Wolfram Research, 2020).

**Corollary 2.3.** *The standard normal distribution is a special case of the  $PGN(\mu, k)$  distribution.*

*Proof.* For the special case  $\mu = 0.5$  and  $k = 2$ ,  $U(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$ , we obtain the standard normal distribution since  $f_X(x) = \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{2-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})2^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{x^2}{2}}} = \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ . ■

**Corollary 2.4.** *For the special case  $\mu = 0.5$  and  $k = 4$ , the  $PGN(\mu, k)$  distribution is an equal mixture of two different families, normal distribution and Chi distribution with 3 degree of freedom.*

*Proof.* According to  $U(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, z) = \frac{2z+1}{2z^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{4-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{5}{2})2^{\frac{5}{2}}} U(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2}) \\ &= \frac{(x^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2)2^2} \frac{2\frac{x^2}{2} + 1}{2(\frac{x^2}{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{(x^2 + 1)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2 e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is an equal mixture of two different families, normal distribution and Chi distribution with 3 degree of freedom. ■

With regard to Corollary 2.4, the distribution is bimodal, as you will see in Figure(2d). From the table we can see for  $k \geq 3$  the pdf can be written as a mixture of two pdf and this result could be seen in Figure(2d). Thus, the PGN distribution provides great flexibility in modeling symmetric, able to accommodate both unimodal and bimodal cases.

**Theorem 2.4.** *For  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ , the  $PGN(\mu, K)$  distribution becomes  $\chi_k$ , the Chi distribution with  $k$  degrees of freedom, that is, the density is given by  $f_X(x) = \frac{x^{k-1} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})}$  for  $x > 0$ . For  $\mu \rightarrow 1$  the  $PGN(\mu, K)$  distribution becomes  $-\chi_k$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ , the beta distribution becomes a Degenerate distribution at point 0 and for  $\mu \rightarrow 1$ , the beta distribution becomes a Degenerate distribution at point 1. ■

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X \sim PGN(\mu, k)$ , the fraction  $\frac{P(X>0)}{P(X<0)}$  only depends on  $\mu$ , we denote  $\varphi(\mu) = \frac{P(X>0)}{P(X<0)}$ . We have*

$$\varphi(\mu) > 1 \iff \mu < 0.5, \tag{11}$$

$$\varphi(\mu) < 1 \iff \mu > 0.5, \tag{12}$$

$$\varphi(\mu) = 1 \iff \mu = 0.5. \tag{13}$$



Table 2: Special cases of the Tricomi confluent hypergeometric function. In this table,  $K_a$  is modified Bessel function of the second kind, that is,  $K_\alpha(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_{-\alpha}(x) - I_\alpha(x)}{\sin \alpha x}$ , where  $I_\alpha(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m! \Gamma(m+\alpha+1)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2m+\alpha}$  is the modified Bessel functions of the first kind.

	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2}, z\right)$
$k = 1$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, z\right) = \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}} K_0\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}$
$k = 2$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, z\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$
$k = 3$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2, z\right) = \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}} \left(K_0\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + K_1\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right)}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$
$k = 4$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, z\right) = \frac{2z+1}{2z^{\frac{3}{2}}}$
$k = 5$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, 3, z\right) = \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}} \left(zK_0\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + (z+1)K_1\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right)}{2\sqrt{\pi}z}$
$k = 6$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, z\right) = \frac{4z(z+1)+3}{4z^{\frac{5}{2}}}$
$k = 7$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, 4, z\right) = \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}} \left(z(2z+1)K_0\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + (z(2z+3)+4)K_1\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right)}{4\sqrt{\pi}z^2}$
$k = 8$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{9}{2}, z\right) = \frac{2z(4z^2+6z+9)+15}{8z^{\frac{7}{2}}}$
$k = 9$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, 5, z\right) = \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}} \left(z(2z(z+1)+3)K_0\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + 2(z(z(z+2)+4)+6)K_1\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right)}{4\sqrt{\pi}z^3}$
$k = 10$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{11}{2}, z\right) = \frac{8z(z(2z(z+2)+9)+15)+105}{16z^{\frac{9}{2}}}$
$k = 11$	$U\left(\frac{1}{2}, 6, z\right) = \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}} \left(z(z(4z^2+6z+15)+24)K_0\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + (z(z(2z(2z+5)+27)+60)+96)K_1\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right)}{8\sqrt{\pi}z^4}$




Figure 3: The shape of  $\varphi(\mu)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\mu) &= \frac{P(X > 0)}{P(X < 0)} = \frac{P(\sqrt{V} \cos(\pi U) > 0)}{P(\sqrt{V} \cos(\pi U) < 0)} \\
&= \frac{P(U < 0.5)}{P(U > 0.5)} = \frac{\frac{1}{B(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))} \int_0^{0.5} u^{2\mu-1} (1-u)^{1-2\mu} du}{\frac{1}{B(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))} \int_{0.5}^1 u^{2\mu-1} (1-u)^{1-2\mu} du} \\
&= \frac{\int_0^{0.5} (\frac{u}{1-u})^{2\mu-1} du}{\int_{0.5}^1 (\frac{u}{1-u})^{2\mu-1} du} = \frac{\int_0^{0.5} (\frac{u}{1-u})^{2\mu-1} du}{\int_0^{0.5} (\frac{u}{1-u})^{1-2\mu} du} \\
&= \frac{\int_0^{0.5} h(u)^{2\mu-1} du}{\int_0^{0.5} h(u)^{1-2\mu} du},
\end{aligned}$$

with  $h(u) = \frac{u}{1-u}$  it is easy to check that  $0 < h(u) < 1$  for every  $u \in (0, 0.5)$ . Hence, if  $2\mu - 1 < 0$ , i.e. if  $\mu < 0.5$ , we have that  $h(u)^{2\mu-1} > h(u)^{1-2\mu}$ ,  $\forall u \in (0, 0.5)$ , so that  $\varphi(\mu) > 1$ . On the other hand, the inequality is reversed if  $\mu > 0.5$  and, finally,  $\varphi(\mu) = 1$  if  $\mu = 0.5$ . ■

Figure 3 shows  $\varphi(\mu)$  for  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ . Accordingly we came to the conclusion that  $\mu$  controls the asymmetric of the distribution. On the other hand,  $\mu$  controls the allocation of mass to each side of the point 0. To be more specific, the asymmetry of the distribution is only controlled by  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *The PGN( $\mu, k$ ) distribution can not be symmetric around any  $a \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* To see this, let the PGN( $\mu, k$ ) distribution be symmetric around  $a$ . Let  $a > 0$ , we have  $\forall x > 0$   $P(X < a - x) = P(X > a + x)$ . For  $x = a$  we obtain

$$P(X < 0) = P(X > 2a). \quad (14)$$

The left hand side of 14 only depend of  $\mu$ , so by changing  $k$  it does not change, But as changing  $k$ , the right hand side of 14 will change. So the only way to the PGN( $\mu, k$ ) distribution be symmetric is for  $a = 0$ . The proof of this for  $a < 0$  is similar to the proof for  $a > 0$ . ■

**Corollary 2.5.** *From the above Proposition and Proposition (2.2), we deduce that the PGN( $\mu, k$ ) is symmetric around 0, if and only if  $\mu = 0.5$*

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $X \sim \text{PGN}(\mu, k)$ , then  $-X \sim \text{PGN}((1 - \mu), k)$ .*

*Proof.* Knowing that  $1 - U \sim \text{Beta}(2(1 - \mu), 2\mu)$ , the proof of this result follows directly from definition (2) as follows:

$$-X = -\sqrt{V} \cos(\pi U) = \sqrt{V} \cos(\pi - \pi U) = \sqrt{V} \cos(\pi(1 - U)) \sim \text{PGN}(1 - \mu, k). \quad \blacksquare$$

From the above proof we can deduce that if the distribution of  $X$  is bimodal, the distribution of  $-X$  is bimodal too. We can see this in Table (1). By the table the region of bimodality of the PGN distribution for  $\mu$  and  $1 - \mu$  is same. Since by the above lemma, if  $X \sim \text{PGN}(\mu, k)$ ,  $-X \sim \text{PGN}((1 - \mu), k)$  and  $k$ , that controls bimodality of the distribution, does not change.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $V \sim \chi_k^2$  and  $U \sim \text{Beta}(2\mu, 2(1 - \mu))$  the following properties are deduced immediately from the definition:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{V} \sin\left(\pi\left(U - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) &\sim \text{PGN}(\mu, k). \\ \sqrt{V} \sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{1}{2} - U\right)\right) &\sim \text{PGN}(1 - \mu, k). \\ \sqrt{V} \left(2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi U}{2}\right) - 1\right) &\sim \text{PGN}(\mu, k). \\ \sqrt{V} \left(1 - 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi U}{2}\right)\right) &\sim \text{PGN}(\mu, k). \\ \sqrt{V} \left(\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi U}{2}\right) - \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi U}{2}\right)\right) &\sim \text{PGN}(\mu, k). \\ \sqrt{V} \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\pi U} + e^{-i\pi U}) &\sim \text{PGN}(\mu, k). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof is obvious from both Definition (2) and

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(\pi U) &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\pi U} + e^{-i\pi U}) \\ &= 2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi U}{2}\right) - 1 \\ &= 1 - 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi U}{2}\right) \\ &= \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi U}{2}\right) - \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi U}{2}\right). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Moments derivation

In this section, some results on the moment properties of  $\text{PGN}(\mu, k)$  distribution are obtained.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $U$  has a beta distribution with parameters  $a$  and  $b$ . Define  $I_{(m)}(a, b) = E(\cos^m(\pi U))$ , so*

$$I_{(1)}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} ({}_1F_1(a; a + b; i\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a + b; -i\pi)), \quad (15)$$

$$I_{(2)}(a, b) = \frac{1}{4} (2 + {}_1F_1(a; a + b; i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a + b; -i2\pi)), \quad (16)$$

$$I_{(3)}(a, b) = \frac{3}{8} \left( {}_1F_1(a; a + b; i\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a + b; -i\pi) \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( {}_1F_1(a; a + b; i3\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a + b; -i3\pi) \right), \quad (17)$$

$$I_{(4)}(a, b) = \frac{1}{16} \left( 6 + 4{}_1F_1(a; a + b; i2\pi) + 4{}_1F_1(a; a + b; -i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a + b; i4\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a + b; -i4\pi) \right), \quad (18)$$

where

$$(a)_{(n)} = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (a+k), \quad (19)$$

and  ${}_1F_1(a; b; z)$  is the Kummer's (confluent hypergeometric) functions, that is,

$${}_1F_1(a; b; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{(n)}}{(b)_{(n)}} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(a,b)} e^{zu} u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-a-1} du. \quad (20)$$

*Proof.* Define  $J_{(m)}(a, b) = E(\cos(m\pi U))$  so

$$\begin{aligned} J_{(m)}(a, b) &= \frac{1}{B(a,b)} \int_0^1 \cos(m\pi u) u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du \\ &= \frac{1}{B(a,b)} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} (e^{im\pi u} + e^{-im\pi u}) u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (CF(im\pi) + CF(-im\pi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; im\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -im\pi)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $CF(\cdot)$  is the characteristic function of the beta distribution. According to

$$\begin{aligned} \cos^2(x) &= \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos(2x)), \\ \cos^3(x) &= \frac{1}{4} (3\cos(x) + \cos(3x)), \\ \cos^4(x) &= \frac{1}{8} (3 + 4\cos(2x) + \cos(4x)), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{(1)}(a, b) &= J_{(1)}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i\pi)), \\ I_{(2)}(a, b) &= \frac{1}{2} (1 + J_{(2)}(a, b)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i2\pi)) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (2 + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i2\pi)), \\ I_{(3)}(a, b) &= \frac{3}{4} J_{(1)}(a, b) + \frac{1}{4} J_{(3)}(a, b) \\ &= \frac{3}{8} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i\pi)) + \frac{1}{8} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i3\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i3\pi)), \\ I_{(4)}(a, b) &= \frac{1}{8} (3 + 4J_{(2)}(a, b) + J_{(4)}(a, b)) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \left( 3 + 4 \frac{1}{2} \{ {}_1F_1(a; a+b; i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i2\pi) \} + \frac{1}{2} \{ {}_1F_1(a; a+b; i4\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i4\pi) \} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{16} \left( 6 + 4 {}_1F_1(a; a+b; i2\pi) + 4 {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; i4\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i4\pi) \right). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We will now determine the moments of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  using Lemma 2.9.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $X \sim PGN(\mu, k)$ , we can deduce the following properties:

$$E(X) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} ({}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i\pi)), \quad (21)$$

$$E(X^2) = \frac{k}{4} (2 + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i2\pi)), \quad (22)$$

$$E(X^3) = (k+1) \frac{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{8\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \left\{ 3{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i\pi) + 3{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i3\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i3\pi) \right\}, \quad (23)$$

$$E(X^4) = \frac{k(k+2)}{16} \left( 6 + 4{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i2\pi) + 4{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i4\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i4\pi) \right). \quad (24)$$

*Proof.* Since  $V$  and  $U$  are independent, we have

$$E(X^m) = E(\sqrt{V}^m)E(\cos^m(U)) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{m}{2}} E(\cos^m(\pi U)) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{m}{2}} I_m(2\mu, 2(1-\mu)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{2} ({}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i\pi)) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} ({}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i\pi)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^2) &= E(\sqrt{V}^2)E(\cos^2(\pi U)) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{2}{2}} E(\cos^2(\pi U)) \\ &= kI_{(2)}(2\mu, 2(1-\mu)) \\ &= k \frac{1}{4} (2 + {}_1F_1(\mu; 1; i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(\mu; 1; -i2\pi)) \\ &= \frac{k}{4} (2 + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i2\pi)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^3) &= E(\sqrt{V}^3)E(\cos^3(\pi U)) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{3}{2}} E(\cos^3(\pi U)) = (k+1) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \sqrt{2} I_{(3)}(2\mu, 2(1-\mu)) \\ &= (k+1) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \sqrt{2} \left\{ \frac{3}{8} ({}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i\pi)) + \frac{1}{8} ({}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i3\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i3\pi)) \right\} \\ &= (k+1) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} \left\{ 3{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i\pi) + 3{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i3\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i3\pi) \right\} \\ &= (k+1) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} \left\{ 3{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i\pi) + 3{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i3\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i3\pi) \right\} \\ &= (k+1) \frac{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{8\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \left\{ 3{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i\pi) + 3{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i3\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i3\pi) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^4) &= E(\sqrt{V}^4)E(\cos^4(\pi U)) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+4}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{4}{2}} E(\cos^4(\pi U)) = k(k+2)I_{(4)}(2\mu, 2(1-\mu)) \\ &= k(k+2) \frac{1}{16} \left( 6 + 4{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i2\pi) + 4{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i4\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i4\pi) \right) \\ &= \frac{k(k+2)}{16} \left( 6 + 4{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i2\pi) + 4{}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i4\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i4\pi) \right). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.6.** *The variance of the PGN( $\mu, k$ ) is*

$$\text{Var}(X) = \frac{k}{4} (2 + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i2\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i2\pi)) - \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} ({}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i\pi)) \right)^2. \quad (25)$$

**Theorem 2.11.** *If  $X \sim \text{PGN}(\mu, k)$ , the moment of order  $m$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^m) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{m}{2}} I_m(2\mu, 2(1-\mu)) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{k-2m}{2}} \times \begin{cases} (\sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} ({}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i(m-2r)\pi))) + \binom{m}{\frac{m}{2}} & m = 2, 4, \dots \\ (\sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} ({}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(2\mu; 2; -i(m-2r)\pi))) & m = 1, 3, \dots \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

*Proof.* With regard to

$$\cos^m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} \cos(m-2r)x \right) + \frac{1}{2^m} \binom{m}{\frac{m}{2}} & m = 2, 4, \dots \\ \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} \cos(m-2r)x \right) & m = 1, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{(m)}(a, b) &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(a, b)} \cos^m(\pi u) u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(a, b)} \cos((m-2r)\pi u) u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du \right) + \frac{1}{2^m} \binom{m}{\frac{m}{2}} & m = 2, 4, \dots \\ \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(a, b)} \cos((m-2r)\pi u) u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du \right) & m = 1, 3, \dots \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} \frac{1}{2} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i(m-2r)\pi)) \right) + \frac{1}{2^m} \binom{m}{\frac{m}{2}} & m = 2, 4, \dots \\ \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} \frac{1}{2} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i(m-2r)\pi)) \right) & m = 1, 3, \dots \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^m} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i(m-2r)\pi)) \right) + \frac{1}{2^m} \binom{m}{\frac{m}{2}} & m = 2, 4, \dots \\ \frac{1}{2^m} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i(m-2r)\pi)) \right) & m = 1, 3, \dots \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X^m) &= E(\sqrt{V}^m) E(\cos^m(\pi U)) = E(\sqrt{V}^m) E(\cos^m(\pi U)) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} I_{(m)}(a, b) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^m} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i(m-2r)\pi)) \right) + \frac{1}{2^m} \binom{m}{\frac{m}{2}} & m = 2, 4, \dots \\ \frac{1}{2^m} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i(m-2r)\pi)) \right) & m = 1, 3, \dots \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{k-2m}{2}} \times \begin{cases} (\sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i(m-2r)\pi))) + \binom{m}{\frac{m}{2}} & m = 2, 4, \dots \\ (\sum_{r=0}^{2r < m} \binom{m}{2r} ({}_1F_1(a; a+b; i(m-2r)\pi) + {}_1F_1(a; a+b; -i(m-2r)\pi))) & m = 1, 3, \dots \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

the result is proved by replacing  $a = 2\mu$  and  $b = 2(1-\mu)$ . ■

From the Equation (26),  $E(X^m)$  can be easily calculated. Table 6 in Appendix gives the value of  $I_m(2\mu, 2(1-\mu))$  under different values of  $\mu$  and  $m$ . The hypergeo package (Hankin, 2015) of R routines is

used for numerical calculation of hypergeometric functions. For example, under  $\mu = 0.3$  and  $k = 5$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \sqrt{2} I_1(2\mu, 2(1-\mu)) = 2.1277 \times 0.46 = 0.9787, \\ E(X^2) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{2}{2}} E I_2(2\mu, 2(1-\mu)) = 5 \times 0.5995 = 2.9975, \\ E(X^3) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{3}{2}} I_3(2\mu, 2(1-\mu)) = 12.7661 \times 0.3942 = 5.0324, \\ E(X^4) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k+4}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 2^{\frac{4}{2}} I_4(2\mu, 2(1-\mu)) = 35 \times 0.4916 = 17.2060. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Bayesian analysis

Here we specify a Bayesian model that accounts for asymmetry and bimodality. In what follows, the posterior inference from this model is discussed. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  independent and identically distributed random variables from  $PGN(\mu, k)$ . The Bayesian model specification requires a prior distributions for all the unknown parameters, i.e.,  $\theta = (\mu, k)$ . In the absence of prior information and in order to guarantee posterior property, we adopt proper but diffuse priors. For convenience but not always optimal, we suppose that elements of  $\theta$  are independent so the joint prior distribution  $\pi(\theta) = \pi(\mu, k) = \pi(\mu)\pi(k)$ . we adopt the following prior distributions

$$\mu \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1), \quad (28)$$

$$k \sim \Gamma(k_0, k_1). \quad (29)$$

Here, the hyper-parameters  $k_0$  and  $k_1$  are known (to be specified) positive scalars. We choose the non-informative uniform prior for parameter  $\mu$  to allow the data to select appropriate value for  $\mu$ . Then the posterior distribution is proportional to

$$\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \propto \pi(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(\theta) \quad (30)$$

$$\propto \left( \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\mu, k) \right) \pi(\mu)\pi(k), \quad (31)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $p$  denotes the density function of  $PGN(\mu, k)$  given in (7). The joint posterior distribution of all unknown quantities involved is given by

$$\pi(\theta, \mathbf{u}|\mathbf{x}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|u_i, k) p(u_i|\mu) \pi(\mu)\pi(k), \quad (32)$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_i|u_i, k) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\cos(\pi u_i)| 2^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \left( \frac{x_i}{\cos(\pi u_i)} \right)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u_i)}} & u_i > \frac{1}{2} \quad x_i < 0 \\ \frac{1}{|\cos(\pi u_i)| 2^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \left( \frac{x_i}{\cos(\pi u_i)} \right)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u_i)}} & u_i < \frac{1}{2} \quad x_i > 0 \\ 0 & \text{O.W.} \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\cos(\pi u_i)| 2^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} \left( \left| \frac{x_i}{\cos(\pi u_i)} \right| \right)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u_i)}} \mathbf{1}_{\{x_i \times (\frac{1}{2} - u_i)\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The posterior distribution is in a complicated form and we will use a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method to generate samples from posterior distributions. To generate samples from the posterior distribution, we exploit the full conditional distributions in a Gibbs sampling framework. The full conditional

$p(u_i|x_i, \mu, k)$  does not defines a standard probability distribution, so sampling from this distribution is not simply practicable. Some methods like rejection sampling, importance sampling or Metropolis-Hastings sampler can be used for sampling from this full conditional. We use Metropolis-Hastings sampler with generate proposals of beta distribution that the mean of it, centered on the current value. That is, in the Gibbs sampling algorithm, at step  $t$ ,  $u_i^{(t+1)}$  is generated from  $Beta(2u_i^{(t)}, 2(1 - u_i^{(t)}))$ .

The full conditional posterior distribution of the parameter  $k$ , i. e.  $\pi(k|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mu)$ , can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(k|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mu) &\propto p(x_1|u_1, k)p(x_2|u_2, k) \cdots p(x_n|u_n, k)\pi(k) \\
&\propto \pi(k) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|\cos(\pi u_i)|^{\frac{k}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2 \cos^2(\pi u_i)}} \left(\frac{u_i}{1-u_i}\right)^{2\mu-1} 1_{\{x_i \times (\frac{1}{2}-u_i)\}} \\
&\propto \pi(k) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|2 \cos(\pi u_i)|^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} 1_{\{x_i \times (\frac{1}{2}-u_i)\}} \\
&\propto \frac{\pi(k)}{2^{\frac{nk}{2}} |\prod_{i=1}^n \cos(\pi u_i)|^{\frac{k}{2}} \Gamma^n(\frac{k}{2})} \prod_{i=1}^n 1_{\{x_i \times (\frac{1}{2}-u_i)\}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The full conditional of  $k$  does not define a standard probability distribution too. The Metropolis–Hastings sampler can be used for sampling from this full conditional with generate proposals of an exponential distribution, centered on the current value. That is, in the Gibbs sampling algorithm, at step  $t$ ,  $k^{(t+1)}$  is generated from  $Exp(k^{(t)})$ .

The full conditional posterior distribution of the parameter  $k$ ,  $\pi(\mu|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, k)$ , is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\mu|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, k) &\propto p(u_1|\mu)p(u_2|\mu) \cdots p(u_n|\mu)\pi(\mu) \\
&\propto \frac{\pi(\mu)}{\Gamma^n(2\mu)\Gamma^n(2(1-\mu))} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i}{1-u_i}\right)^{2\mu-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The full conditional does not defines a standard probability distribution too. The Metropolis–Hastings sampler can be used for sampling from this full conditional with generate proposals of beta distribution, centered on the current value. That is, in the Gibbs sampling algorithm, at step  $t$ ,  $\mu^{(t+1)}$  is generated from  $Beta(2\mu^{(t)}, 2(1 - \mu^{(t)}))$ .

Based on these full conditional distributions, we use the following algorithm for sampling from the joint posterior distribution: The main steps of the Gibbs sampling algorithm at step  $(t + 1)$  as

1. Draw  $u_i^{(t+1)}$  from  $p(u_i|x_i, \mu^{(t)}, k^{(t)})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .
2. Draw  $k^{(t+1)}$  from  $\pi(k|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(t+1)}, \mu^{(t)})$ .
3. Draw  $\mu^{(t+1)}$  from  $\pi(\mu|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^{(t+1)}, k^{(t+1)})$ .
4. Iterate above steps until we get the appropriate number of MCMC samples.

## 4 Simulation study

Here we assess the finite sample behaviour of the Bayesian estimators of the parameters in a PGN model using a simulation study. We perform 100 Monte Carlo replications. In each replication a random sample of size  $n = 50$  is drawn from  $PGN(\mu, k)$ . In order to evaluate the accuracy of posterior estimates under different scenarios, we consider various different values for the parameters  $\mu$  and  $k$ . The true parameter values used in the data generating process are  $\mu = 0.1, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.75, 0.8, 0.9$  and  $k = 1, 2, 5, 10, 15$ . The parameters are estimated from the sample by the Bayesian approach. For a comparison, we computed two criteria: the average of bias (Bias) and the root of mean-square error (RMSE). The OpenBUGS is



Table 3: Biases and RMSE of the parameter estimators of the PGN model for various values of  $\mu$  and  $k = 1, 2, 5$ .

$\mu$	$\hat{\mu}$	Bias	RMSE	$k$	$\hat{k}$	Bias	RMSE
0.10	0.2640	-0.1640	0.0113	1	1.2980	-0.2979	0.1971
0.20	0.2955	-0.0955	0.0194	1	1.1630	-0.1629	0.1790
0.25	0.3188	-0.0688	0.0222	1	1.1100	-0.1103	0.1672
0.30	0.3460	-0.0460	0.0269	1	1.0760	-0.0755	0.1667
0.40	0.4173	-0.0173	0.0345	1	1.0350	-0.0352	0.1635
0.50	0.4997	0.0003	0.0415	1	1.0070	-0.0075	0.1644
0.60	0.5827	0.0173	0.0343	1	1.0370	-0.0367	0.1651
0.70	0.6538	0.0462	0.0265	1	1.0740	-0.0744	0.1647
0.75	0.6812	0.0688	0.0218	1	1.1100	-0.1103	0.1680
0.80	0.7046	0.0954	0.0195	1	1.1610	-0.1610	0.1834
0.90	0.7352	0.1648	0.0111	1	1.2980	-0.2979	0.1935
0.10	0.2592	-0.1592	0.0117	2	2.6750	-0.6754	0.3754
0.20	0.2863	-0.0863	0.0168	2	2.3430	-0.3427	0.4539
0.25	0.3177	-0.0678	0.0229	2	2.2910	-0.2914	0.3564
0.30	0.3442	-0.0442	0.0259	2	2.1930	-0.1933	0.3870
0.40	0.4217	-0.0217	0.0348	2	2.0600	-0.0603	0.3241
0.50	0.4839	0.0161	0.0349	2	1.8600	0.1395	0.2312
0.60	0.5776	0.0224	0.0375	2	2.0210	-0.0213	0.3166
0.70	0.6556	0.0444	0.0259	2	2.1980	-0.1975	0.3843
0.75	0.6822	0.0678	0.0227	2	2.2930	-0.2931	0.3558
0.80	0.7042	0.0958	0.0206	2	2.3590	-0.3586	0.3204
0.90	0.7411	0.1589	0.0123	2	2.6160	-0.6164	0.3735
0.10	0.2471	-0.1471	0.0116	5	6.3180	-1.3180	0.6207
0.20	0.2852	-0.0852	0.0183	5	5.8600	-0.8605	0.6903
0.25	0.3132	-0.0632	0.0228	5	5.6490	-0.6493	0.6628
0.30	0.3398	-0.0398	0.0267	5	5.4490	-0.4491	0.8543
0.40	0.4134	-0.0134	0.0330	5	5.1830	-0.1826	0.8613
0.50	0.5006	-0.0006	0.0389	5	5.1970	-0.1971	0.8556
0.60	0.5867	0.0133	0.0332	5	5.1880	-0.1876	0.8483
0.70	0.6601	0.0399	0.0264	5	5.4460	-0.4464	0.8517
0.75	0.6869	0.0631	0.0226	5	5.6480	-0.6476	0.6690
0.80	0.7148	0.0852	0.0189	5	5.8550	-0.8554	0.6862
0.90	0.7530	0.1470	0.0117	5	6.3280	-1.3280	0.6187

used for fitting the model. We generate 2,000 MCMC samples after a burn-in 1,000 iterations in one chain with different starting values. We resampled the components every 10 iterations to improve mixing. Visual inspection of the trace plots does not reveal any obvious mixing or convergence problems, and autocorrelations seem within reasonable levels.

The posterior results are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. As seen, the results indicate overwhelming support for the proposed model. Overall, it is observed that the Bias and RMSE of the parameter estimates are relatively small. This illustrates the effectiveness of the estimation procedure outlined in Section 3. As expected, the smallest Bias's correspond to  $\mu = 0.5$ . This is a direct consequence of the fact that we have maximum information when the density is symmetric. There is a small bias in the estimation of the parameters when  $\mu$  is close to 0 or 1. It must be noted that the results have been reported based on small sample sizes.

Table 4: Biases and RMSE of the parameter estimators of the PGN model for various values of  $\mu$  and  $k = 10, 15$ .

$\mu$	$\hat{\mu}$	Bias	RMSE	$k$	$\hat{k}$	Bias	RMSE
0.10	0.2397	-0.1397	0.0115	10	11.8100	-1.8060	0.9044
0.20	0.2805	-0.0805	0.0185	10	11.1200	-1.1220	1.0240
0.25	0.3088	-0.0588	0.0223	10	10.9100	-0.9116	1.1080
0.30	0.3379	-0.0379	0.0253	10	10.6100	-0.6143	1.3300
0.40	0.4125	-0.0125	0.0332	10	10.1200	-0.1246	1.4200
0.50	0.5005	-0.0005	0.0397	10	10.2000	-0.1959	1.4120
0.60	0.5867	0.0133	0.0330	10	10.1400	-0.1384	1.4130
0.70	0.6618	0.0382	0.0252	10	10.6000	-0.6005	1.3070
0.75	0.6912	0.0588	0.0222	10	10.9100	-0.9125	1.1240
0.80	0.7188	0.0812	0.0191	10	11.1700	-1.1670	1.0520
0.90	0.7591	0.1409	0.0110	10	11.9000	-1.9010	0.8830
0.10	0.2351	-0.1351	0.0122	15	17.3800	-2.3850	1.0470
0.20	0.2773	-0.0773	0.0190	15	16.3800	-1.3840	1.4030
0.25	0.3070	-0.0570	0.0222	15	16.2900	-1.2910	1.4550
0.30	0.3374	-0.0374	0.0261	15	15.8300	-0.8268	1.6610
0.40	0.4132	-0.0132	0.0326	15	15.1400	-0.1433	1.8900
0.50	0.5009	-0.0009	0.0394	15	15.2100	-0.2117	1.7480
0.60	0.5870	0.0130	0.0322	15	15.1500	-0.1490	1.8940
0.70	0.6627	0.0373	0.0261	15	15.8300	-0.8327	1.6950
0.75	0.6929	0.0571	0.0219	15	16.2900	-1.2870	1.4540
0.80	0.7223	0.0777	0.0191	15	16.4100	-1.4050	1.4350
0.90	0.7648	0.1352	0.0126	15	17.3900	-2.3930	1.0530

## 5 Illustrative example

In this section, we apply the location and scale extension of the standard PGN model to five illustrative data sets. This extension, denoted by  $PGN(\beta, \sigma^2, \mu, k)$ , is given by the distribution of  $Y = \beta + \sigma X$ , where  $X \sim PGN(\mu, k)$  and  $\beta \in R$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  are the location and scale parameters, respectively.

The data sets contain clinical annotations and the log expression of 150 genes for a set of 444 lung cancer patients. The data (lung.dataset) are available in *oompaData* package from <https://cran.r-project.org/>. The original data are also available in FTP from here and in the Gene Expression Omnibus at here. The data were log transformed by mapping the expression value  $x$  to  $\log 2(1+x)$ . The PGN model is fitted to the gene #37145 (row 2), the gene #202459 (row 12), the gene #208288 (row 63), the gene #215456 (row 106) and the gene #216437 (row 113). These data sets display bimodality and asymmetry (see Figure 6). The parameters are estimated via the Bayesian approach as described in Section 3. The priors of the parameters  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are specified as:

$$\beta | \sigma^2 \sim \text{Normal}(\beta_0, c\sigma^2), \quad (36)$$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sim \Gamma(\tau_0, \tau_1). \quad (37)$$

Here, the hyper-parameters  $c$ ,  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_1$  are known (to be specified) positive scalars and  $\beta_0$  is a real-valued scalar. The hyper-parameter  $\beta_0$  are set to be close to mean of the data. The hyper-parameters  $\tau_0$  and  $\tau_1$  are set to be some values such that to ensure large prior variance for the parameter  $\tau$ . If the histogram of the data be unimodal the hyper-parameters  $k_0$  and  $k_1$  are chosen in the sense that  $E(k) \approx 2$ . For the bimodality cases, these hyperparameters are considered such that  $E(K) > 2$ .

We generate 2,000 MCMC samples after a burn-in 1,000 iterations in one chain with different starting values. We resampled the components every 10 iterations. The posterior means and the 95% highest probability density (HPD) credible intervals of the model parameters are given in Table 5. The HPD

interval is one of the most useful tools to measure the posterior uncertainty. The short HPD intervals for the parameters, in all data set, indicate the accuracy of the estimates. Our approach allows for testing symmetry and normality within the *PGN* family via the HPD intervals. The central position  $\mu = 0.5$  allows for testing symmetry because under  $\mu = 0.5$  the *PGN* family is symmetric. In a similar way, a normality test is  $H_0 : \mu = 0.5 \& k = 2$ . The HPD intervals are used to make inferences about hypothesis testing of parameters. Specifically, in the first four data sets, the HPD reject the hypothesis  $\mu = 0.5$ , so these data sets are not symmetric. However, for the data set 5, although we reject the normality assumption but we can not reject that the distribution is symmetric.

The observed and estimated densities plotted in Figure 6. As seen, the *PGN* model is able to capture different distribution shapes which are observed in the data sets. This result confirms the great flexibility of the model in accommodating bimodality and asymmetry.

Table 5: The HPD intervals and the estimated values of the parameters under the location and scale *PGN* model.

Data set	$\hat{\beta}$	HPD $\beta$	$\hat{\sigma}$	HPD $\sigma$	$\hat{\mu}$	HPD $\mu$	$\hat{k}$	HPD $k$
Data set 1	5.7520	(5.7400, 5.7720)	1.5655	(1.3700, 1.7380)	0.6380	(0.6117, 0.6647)	2.9210	(2.3000, 3.6860)
Data set 2	8.6950	(8.6920, 8.6970)	1.0490	(0.9273, 1.1570)	0.4367	(0.4106, 0.4651)	1.3970	(1.1870, 1.6420)
Data set 3	3.5410	(3.5170, 3.5470)	0.6692	(0.5961, 0.7790)	0.3959	(0.3686, 0.4246)	7.6185	(5.2380, 9.4610)
Data set 4	0.2123	(0.2086, 0.2156)	0.6879	(0.5605, 0.7654)	0.5605	(0.5323, 0.5866)	4.3435	(3.2770, 6.3830)
Data set 5	0.0553	(0.0521, 0.0585)	0.8850	(0.8114, 1.0000)	0.5111	(0.4817, 0.5388)	2.5330	(2.0400, 2.9790)

## 6 Conclusions


This article proposed a new class of generalized normal distributions which includes as a special case the normal distribution. Two well known distributions, i.e. the Chi distribution and the half normal distribution, are limiting cases of the general construction. The proposed model provides a flexible approach to account for asymmetry and bimodality which are two pervasive features of the complex data. The peak parameter and the asymmetric parameter control the bimodality and asymmetry of the model, respectively. A Gibbs algorithm was developed for the Bayesian inference. A simulation study is performed. The simulation results established that the proposed model has an appropriate ability to estimate model parameters. Several applications to real data have shown the usefulness of the *PGN* model for applied statistical research. There is a bias in the estimation of the parameters when  $\mu$  is close 0 or 1, so further research could be conducted to obtain bias corrections for these estimators, thus reducing their systematic errors in the estimation of the parameters. It is also provide a test for symmetry and a test for normality in the context of Bayesian framework. The class was in the context of univariate, so further research could be conducted to extend this class to multivariate contexts. It would be interesting if the properties and the flexibility of this extension were to be explored and compared in a further work.

## References


- [1] Alleva, G., and Giommi, A. (2016). Topics in theoretical and applied statistics. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [2] Alexander, C., Cordeiro, G.M., Ortega, E.M.M. and Sarabia, J.M. (2012). Generalized beta-generated distributions. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, **56**, 1880-189.
- [3] Azzalini, A. (1985). A class of distributions which includes the normal ones. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, **12**, 171-178

Figure 4: Markov chain history for asymmetric and the peak parameters.


(a) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 1).




(b) Peak parameter (Data set 1).




(c) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 2).




(d) Peak parameter (Data set 2).




(e) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 3).




(f) Peak parameter (Data set 3).




(g) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 4).



(h) Peak parameter (Data set 4).



(i) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 5).



(j) Peak parameter (Data set 5).






Figure 5: Histograms of posterior samples for the asymmetric and the peak parameters.


(a) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 1).




(b) Peak parameter (Data set 1).




(c) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 2).




(d) Peak parameter (Data set 2).




(e) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 3).




(f) Peak parameter (Data set 3).




(g) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 4).



(h) Peak parameter (Data set 4).



(i) Asymmetric parameter (Data set 5).



(j) Peak parameter (Data set 5).






Figure 6: Plots of observed and estimated densities for five data sets.


(a) Gene #202459 (Data set 2).




(b) Gene #202459 (Data set 2).




(c) Gene #208288 (Data set 3).



(d) Gene #215456 (Data set 4).



(e) Gene #216437 (Data set 5).



- [4] McLachlan, G., and Peel, D. (2000). *Mixture Models: Inference and Applications to Clustering*. Marcel Dekker, N.Y.
- [5] Dahdouh, O., and Jafari Khaledi, M. (2020). Generalized Spatial Stick-Breaking Processes. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation*, 1-20.
- [6] Dierickx, D., Basu, B., Vleugels, J., and Van der Biest, O. (2000). Statistical extreme value modeling of particle size distributions: experimental grain size distribution type estimation and parameterization of sintered zirconia. *Mater. Characteriz.*, **45**(1): 61–70.
- [7] Ertel, A. (2010). Bimodal gene expression and biomarker discovery. *Cancer Inform*, **9**, 11–14.
- [8] Eugene, N., Lee, C., and Famoye, F. (2002). Beta-normal distribution and its application. *Commun Stat Theory Methods*, **31**(4), 497–512.
- [9] Gómez, HW., Elal-Olivero, D., Salinas, HS., et al. (2011). Bimodal extension based on the skew-normal distribution with application to pollen data. *Environmetrics*, **22**(1), 50–62.
- [10] Hankin, R. K. (2015). Numerical Evaluation of the Gauss Hypergeometric Function with the hypergeo Package. R J., **7**(2), 81.
- [11] Khaledi, M.J., and Rivaz, F. (2009). Empirical Bayes spatial prediction using a Monte Carlo EM algorithm. *Stat. Methods Appl.*, **18**: 35–47.
- [12] Kristensen, P.L., Pedersen-Bjergaard, U., Schalkwijk, C., Olsen, N.V., and Thorsteinsson, B. (2010). Erythropoietin and vascular endothelial growth factor as risk markers for severe hypoglycaemia in type 1 diabetes. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **163**(3): 391–398.
- [13] Mameli, V., and Musio, M. (2013). A generalization of the skew-normal distribution: the beta skew-normal. *Commun Stat Theory Methods*, **42**(12), 2229–2244.
- [14] Mameli, V., and Musio, M. (2016). Some new results on the beta skew-normal distribution. In: Alleva G, Giommi A, editors. *Topics in theoretical and applied statistics*. Cham: Springer International Publishing; p. 25–35.
- [15] Marin, JM., Mengersen, K., and Robert, C. (2005). Bayesian modeling and inference on mixtures of distributions. *Handbook of Statistics*, **25**, 459–503.
- [16] North, G. R., Wang, J., and Genton, M. G. (2011) Correlation models for temperature fields *Journal of Climate*, **24**, 5850-5862.
- [17] Wang, J., Wen, S., Symmans, W.F., Pusztai, L., and Coombes, K.R. (2009). The bimodality index: a criterion for discovering and ranking bimodal signatures from cancer gene expression profiling data. *Cancer Inform*, **7**, 199–216.
- [18] Xu, G., and Genton, M.G. (2017). Tukey g-and-h random fields. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **112**(519), 1236-1249
- [19] Zareifard, H., and Jafari Khaledi, M. (2013). Non-Gaussian modelling of spatial data using scale mixing of a unified skew Gaussian process. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **114**, 16-28.
- [20] Zhang, C., Mapes, B.E., and Soden, B.J. (2003). Bimodality in tropical water vapor. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, **129**(594), 2847–2866.
- [21] Zhu, X., and Genton, MG.(2012). Short-term wind speed forecasting for power system operation. *International Statistical Review* , **38**, 2-23. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-5823.2011.00168.x>.
- [22] Wolfram Research. (2020). *Wolfram alpha*. Wolfram Research, Inc. Champaign, IL.

# Appendix 1

## References

- [1] Zhang, H., and El-Shaarawi, A. (2010). On spatial skew-Gaussian processes and applications. *Environmetrics*. **21(1)**, 33-47.



Table 6: Table of  $I_m(2\mu, 2(1 - \mu))$ Table 7:  $0 \leq \mu \leq 0.50$ 

	m = 1	m = 2	m = 3	m = 4
$\mu = 0$	1	1	1	1
$\mu = 0.01$	0.9868	0.9856	0.9811	0.9803
$\mu = 0.02$	0.9733	0.9710	0.9620	0.9606
$\mu = 0.03$	0.9593	0.9563	0.9427	0.9408
$\mu = 0.04$	0.9449	0.9416	0.9231	0.9211
$\mu = 0.05$	0.9302	0.9268	0.9035	0.9015
$\mu = 0.06$	0.9151	0.9120	0.8836	0.8819
$\mu = 0.07$	0.8996	0.8971	0.8636	0.8624
$\mu = 0.08$	0.8837	0.8823	0.8436	0.8430
$\mu = 0.09$	0.8675	0.8676	0.8234	0.8238
$\mu = 0.10$	0.8510	0.8528	0.8031	0.8048
$\mu = 0.11$	0.8341	0.8382	0.7827	0.7860
$\mu = 0.12$	0.8169	0.8237	0.7623	0.7674
$\mu = 0.13$	0.7993	0.8092	0.7418	0.7491
$\mu = 0.14$	0.7815	0.7949	0.7213	0.7310
$\mu = 0.15$	0.7633	0.7808	0.7008	0.7132
$\mu = 0.16$	0.7449	0.7669	0.6802	0.6957
$\mu = 0.17$	0.7262	0.7531	0.6596	0.6785
$\mu = 0.18$	0.7071	0.7396	0.6390	0.6617
$\mu = 0.19$	0.6879	0.7263	0.6185	0.6452
$\mu = 0.20$	0.6683	0.7132	0.5979	0.6291
$\mu = 0.21$	0.6485	0.7004	0.5774	0.6134
$\mu = 0.22$	0.6284	0.6879	0.5569	0.5980
$\mu = 0.23$	0.6081	0.6756	0.5364	0.5831
$\mu = 0.24$	0.5876	0.6637	0.5159	0.5687
$\mu = 0.25$	0.5668	0.6521	0.4955	0.5547
$\mu = 0.26$	0.5459	0.6409	0.4752	0.5411
$\mu = 0.27$	0.5247	0.6300	0.4549	0.5280
$\mu = 0.28$	0.5033	0.6194	0.4346	0.5154
$\mu = 0.29$	0.4817	0.6093	0.4144	0.5033
$\mu = 0.30$	0.4600	0.5995	0.3942	0.4916
$\mu = 0.31$	0.4380	0.5901	0.3741	0.4805
$\mu = 0.32$	0.4160	0.5812	0.3540	0.4699
$\mu = 0.33$	0.3937	0.5727	0.3340	0.4598
$\mu = 0.34$	0.3713	0.5646	0.3140	0.4503
$\mu = 0.35$	0.3488	0.5569	0.2941	0.4413
$\mu = 0.36$	0.3261	0.5497	0.2743	0.4329
$\mu = 0.37$	0.3033	0.5430	0.2545	0.4250
$\mu = 0.38$	0.2804	0.5367	0.2347	0.4176
$\mu = 0.39$	0.2574	0.5309	0.2150	0.4109
$\mu = 0.40$	0.2343	0.5256	0.1953	0.4047
$\mu = 0.41$	0.2112	0.5208	0.1757	0.3991
$\mu = 0.42$	0.1879	0.5164	0.1560	0.3940
$\mu = 0.43$	0.1646	0.5126	0.1365	0.3896
$\mu = 0.44$	0.1412	0.5093	0.1169	0.3857
$\mu = 0.45$	0.1177	0.5064	0.0974	0.3825
$\mu = 0.46$	0.0942	0.5041	0.0779	0.3798
$\mu = 0.47$	0.0707	0.5023	0.0584	0.3777
$\mu = 0.48$	0.0471	0.5010	0.0389	0.3762
$\mu = 0.49$	0.0236	0.5003	0.0195	0.3753
$\mu = 0.50$	0.0000	0.5000	0.0000	0.3750

Table 8:  $0.51 \leq \mu \leq 1$ 

	m = 1	m = 2	m = 3	m = 4
$\mu = 0.51$	-0.0236	0.5003	-0.0195	0.3753
$\mu = 0.52$	-0.0471	0.5010	-0.0389	0.3762
$\mu = 0.53$	-0.0707	0.5023	-0.0584	0.3777
$\mu = 0.54$	-0.0942	0.5041	-0.0779	0.3798
$\mu = 0.55$	-0.1177	0.5064	-0.0974	0.3825
$\mu = 0.56$	-0.1412	0.5093	-0.1169	0.3857
$\mu = 0.57$	-0.1646	0.5126	-0.1365	0.3896
$\mu = 0.58$	-0.1879	0.5164	-0.1560	0.3940
$\mu = 0.59$	-0.2112	0.5208	-0.1757	0.3991
$\mu = 0.60$	-0.2343	0.5256	-0.1953	0.4047
$\mu = 0.61$	-0.2574	0.5309	-0.2150	0.4109
$\mu = 0.62$	-0.2804	0.5367	-0.2347	0.4176
$\mu = 0.63$	-0.3033	0.5430	-0.2545	0.4250
$\mu = 0.64$	-0.3261	0.5497	-0.2743	0.4329
$\mu = 0.65$	-0.3488	0.5569	-0.2941	0.4413
$\mu = 0.66$	-0.3713	0.5646	-0.3140	0.4503
$\mu = 0.67$	-0.3937	0.5727	-0.3340	0.4598
$\mu = 0.68$	-0.4160	0.5812	-0.3540	0.4699
$\mu = 0.69$	-0.4380	0.5901	-0.3741	0.4805
$\mu = 0.70$	-0.4600	0.5995	-0.3942	0.4916
$\mu = 0.71$	-0.4817	0.6093	-0.4144	0.5033
$\mu = 0.72$	-0.5033	0.6194	-0.4346	0.5154
$\mu = 0.73$	-0.5247	0.6300	-0.4549	0.5280
$\mu = 0.74$	-0.5459	0.6409	-0.4752	0.5411
$\mu = 0.75$	-0.5668	0.6521	-0.4955	0.5547
$\mu = 0.76$	-0.5876	0.6637	-0.5159	0.5687
$\mu = 0.77$	-0.6081	0.6756	-0.5364	0.5831
$\mu = 0.78$	-0.6284	0.6879	-0.5569	0.5980
$\mu = 0.79$	-0.6485	0.7004	-0.5774	0.6134
$\mu = 0.80$	-0.6683	0.7132	-0.5979	0.6291
$\mu = 0.81$	-0.6879	0.7263	-0.6185	0.6452
$\mu = 0.82$	-0.7071	0.7396	-0.6390	0.6617
$\mu = 0.83$	-0.7262	0.7531	-0.6596	0.6785
$\mu = 0.84$	-0.7449	0.7669	-0.6802	0.6957
$\mu = 0.85$	-0.7633	0.7808	-0.7008	0.7132
$\mu = 0.86$	-0.7815	0.7949	-0.7213	0.7310
$\mu = 0.87$	-0.7993	0.8092	-0.7418	0.7491
$\mu = 0.88$	-0.8169	0.8237	-0.7623	0.7674
$\mu = 0.89$	-0.8341	0.8382	-0.7827	0.7860
$\mu = 0.90$	-0.8510	0.8528	-0.8031	0.8048
$\mu = 0.91$	-0.8675	0.8676	-0.8234	0.8238
$\mu = 0.92$	-0.8837	0.8823	-0.8436	0.8430
$\mu = 0.93$	-0.8996	0.8971	-0.8636	0.8624
$\mu = 0.94$	-0.9151	0.9120	-0.8836	0.8819
$\mu = 0.95$	-0.9302	0.9268	-0.9035	0.9015
$\mu = 0.96$	-0.9449	0.9416	-0.9231	0.9211
$\mu = 0.97$	-0.9593	0.9563	-0.9427	0.9408
$\mu = 0.98$	-0.9733	0.9710	-0.9620	0.9606
$\mu = 0.99$	-0.9868	0.9856	-0.9811	0.9803
$\mu = 1$	-1	1	-1	1