

$\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of Lie algebras and transposed Poisson algebras¹Bruno Leonardo Macedo Ferreira^a, Ivan Kaygorodov^b & Viktor Lopatkin^c^a Federal University of Technology, Guarapuava, Brazil^b CMCC, Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, Brazil^c Saint Petersburg University, Russia

E-mail addresses:

Bruno Leonardo Macedo Ferreira (brunoferreira@utfpr.edu.br)

Ivan Kaygorodov (kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com)

Viktor Lopatkin (wickktor@gmail.com)

Abstract. *A relation between $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of Lie algebras and transposed Poisson algebras has been established. Some non-trivial transposed Poisson algebras with a certain Lie algebra (Witt algebra, the algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$, the thin Lie algebra and a solvable Lie algebra with abelian nilpotent radical) have been done. In particular, we have developed an example of the transposed Poisson algebra with associative and Lie parts isomorphic to the Laurent polynomials and the Witt algebra. On the other side, it has been proved that there are no non-trivial transposed Poisson algebras with a Lie algebra part isomorphic to a semisimple finite-dimensional algebra, a simple finite-dimensional superalgebra, the Virasoro algebra, $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ superconformal algebras, or a semisimple finite-dimensional n -Lie algebra.*

Keywords: δ -derivation, Lie algebra, transposed Poisson algebra, Witt algebra, Virasoro algebra.

MSC2020: 17A30, 17B40, 17B63.

INTRODUCTION

Poisson algebras arose from the study of Poisson geometry in the 1970s and have appeared in an extremely wide range of areas in mathematics and physics, such as Poisson manifolds, algebraic geometry, operads, quantization theory, quantum groups and classical and quantum mechanics. The study of Poisson algebras also led to other algebraic structures, such as noncommutative Poisson algebras [38], generic Poisson algebras [25], algebras of Jordan brackets and generalized Poisson algebras [7, 24], Gerstenhaber algebras [27], Novikov-Poisson algebras [39], Malcev-Poisson-Jordan

¹The work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 19-71-10016.

algebras [1], double Poisson algebras [37], n -ary Poisson algebras [9], etc. The study of all possible Poisson structures with a certain Lie or associative part is an important problem in the theory of Poisson algebras [2, 6, 16]. Recently, a dual notion of the Poisson algebra (transposed Poisson algebra) by exchanging the roles of the two binary operations in the Leibniz rule defining the Poisson algebra has been introduced in the paper of Bai, Bai, Guo and Wu [4]. They have shown that the transposed Poisson algebra defined this way not only shares common properties of the Poisson algebra, including the closure undertaking tensor products and the Koszul self-duality as an operad but also admits a rich class of identities. More significantly, a transposed Poisson algebra naturally arises from a Novikov-Poisson algebra by taking the commutator Lie algebra of the Novikov algebra. Consequently, the classic construction of a Poisson algebra from a commutative associative algebra with a pair of commuting derivations has a similar construction of a transposed Poisson algebra when there is one derivation. More broadly, the transposed Poisson algebra also captures the algebraic structures when the commutator is taken in pre-Lie Poisson algebras and two other Poisson type algebras.

The study of δ -derivations of Lie algebras was initiated by Filippov in 1998 [12–14]. The space of δ -derivations includes usual derivations, antiderivations and elements from the centroid. During last 20 years, δ -derivations of prime Lie algebras [12, 13], δ -derivations of simple Lie and Jordan superalgebras [19–21, 40], δ -derivations of semisimple Filippov (n -Lie) algebras [22, 23] have been studied. δ -Derivations is a particular case of generalized derivations (see, [5, 10, 28]).

In the present paper, we have found a way how all transposed Poisson algebra structures with a certain Lie algebra can be described. Our main tool is a description of the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of a certain Lie algebra and establishing a connection between the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Lie part of a transposed Poisson algebra and the space of right multiplications of the associative part of this transposed Poisson algebra. Namely, every right *associative* multiplication is a *Lie* $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation. Using the known description of δ -derivations of semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebras, we have found that there are no transposed Poisson algebras with a semisimple finite-dimensional Lie part. In the case of simple infinite-dimensional algebras, we have a different situation. It has been proved that the Witt algebra admits many nontrivial structures of transposed Poisson algebras. Later we studied structures of transposed Poisson algebras defined on one of the most interesting generalizations of the Witt algebra. Namely, we have considered $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ and have proved that the algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ does not admit structures of transposed Poisson algebras if and only if $b \neq -1$. All transposed Poisson algebra structures defined on $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$ have been described. In the next section another example of an algebra well related to the Witt algebra has been considered. We have proved that there are no transposed Poisson algebras defined on the Virasoro algebra. As some corollaries we have proved that there are no transposed Poisson algebras structures defined on $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ superconformal algebras. The rest of the paper is dedicated to a classification of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations and constructions of transposed Poisson algebras defined on the thin Lie algebra and the solvable Lie algebra with abelian nilpotent radical of codimension 1.

1. $\frac{1}{2}$ -DERIVATIONS OF LIE ALGEBRAS AND TRANSPOSED POISSON ALGEBRAS

All algebras and vector spaces we consider over the complex field but many results can be proven over other fields without modifications of proofs.

1.1. Binary case. Let us give some important definitions for our consideration. The definition of the transposed Poisson algebra was given in a paper of Bai, Bai, Guo and Wu [4]. The definition of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations as a particular case of δ -derivations was given in a paper of Filippov [12].

Definition 1. Let \mathfrak{L} be a vector space equipped with two nonzero bilinear operations \cdot and $[\ , \]$. The triple $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ is called a transposed Poisson algebra if (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a commutative associative algebra and $(\mathfrak{L}, [\ , \])$ is a Lie algebra that satisfies the following compatibility condition

$$(1) \quad 2z \cdot [x, y] = [z \cdot x, y] + [x, z \cdot y].$$

The dual Leibniz rule (1) gives the following trivial proposition.

Proposition 2. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra. Then

- (1) if $(\mathfrak{L}, [\ , \])$ is non-perfect (i.e. $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}] \neq \mathfrak{L}$) then (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is non-simple;
- (2) if (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is simple then $(\mathfrak{L}, [\ , \])$ is perfect (i.e. $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}] = \mathfrak{L}$).

Proof. Note that the set $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}] \neq 0$ gives an ideal of the associative algebra (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) and hence we have the statement of the Proposition. \square

Definition 3. Let (\mathbf{A}, \cdot) be an arbitrary associative algebra, and let p and q be two fixed elements of \mathbf{A} . Then a new algebra is derived from \mathbf{A} by using the same vector space structure of \mathbf{A} but defining a new multiplication

$$x * y = x \cdot p \cdot y - y \cdot q \cdot x$$

for $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$. The resulting algebra is denoted by $\mathbf{A}(p, q)$ and is called the (p, q) -mutation of the algebra \mathbf{A} (for more information about mutations see, for example, [11]). In the commutative case, all (p, q) -mutations can be reduced to the case $q = 0$.

Lemma 4. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra. Then every mutation (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot_p) of (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) gives a transposed Poisson algebra $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot_p, [\ , \])$ with the same Lie multiplication.

Proof. Every mutation of an associative commutative algebra gives an associative commutative algebra. By the dual Leibniz identity,

$$\begin{aligned} 2z \cdot_p [x, y] &= 2(z \cdot p) \cdot [x, y] = [(z \cdot p) \cdot x, y] + [x, (z \cdot p) \cdot y] \\ &= [z \cdot_p x, y] + [x, z \cdot_p y], \end{aligned}$$

and the statement follows. \square

Definition 5. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be an algebra with multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and φ be a linear map. Then φ is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation if it satisfies

$$(2) \quad \varphi[x, y] = \frac{1}{2} ([\varphi(x), y] + [x, \varphi(y)]).$$

Summarizing Definitions 1 and 5 we have the following key lemma.

Lemma 6. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra and z an arbitrary element from \mathfrak{L} . Then the right multiplication R_z in the associative commutative algebra (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) gives a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$.

The main example of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations is the multiplication by an element from the ground field. Let us call such $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations as trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations. As it follows from the following theorem we are not interested in trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations.

Theorem 7. Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra without non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations. Then every transposed Poisson algebra structure defined on \mathfrak{L} is trivial.

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra. Then $\varphi_y(x) = x \cdot y = \varphi_x(y)$, where φ_x and φ_y are some $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Hence, there are $\kappa_x, \kappa_y \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\varphi_x(z) = \kappa_x z$ and $\varphi_y(z) = \kappa_y z$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{L}$. If $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is non-trivial then $\dim \mathfrak{L} > 1$ and we can take x and y as some linear independent elements. It follows that $\kappa_x = \kappa_y = 0$ and $x \cdot y = 0$ for all elements $x, y \in \mathfrak{L}$. □

The description of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras is given in [13]. There are no non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras. Since every complex semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebra is a direct sum of simple algebras then every $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of this semisimple algebra will be invariant on all simple algebras from this direct sum (see, for example, [23, proof of Theorem 6]). From here and Theorem 7 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 8. There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson algebra structures defined on a complex semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebra.

The next corollary is about a non-semisimple Lie algebra without non-trivial transposed Poisson algebra structures. The Schrödinger algebra $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \ltimes \mathfrak{h}$ is a semidirect product of the 3-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 and the 3-dimensional Heisenberg (nilpotent Lie) algebra \mathfrak{h} (see, for example, [36]). It is easy to see that all $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of \mathfrak{s} are trivial and we have the following statement.

Corollary 9. There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson algebra structures defined on the Schrödinger algebra.

1.2. Supercase. Superization of the definition of the transposed Poisson algebra should be given by the usual way (for many types of superstructures see, for example, the brilliant paper of Kac [17] or the brilliant survey of Leites [29] and references therein).

Definition 10. Let $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{L}_1$ be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space equipped with two nonzero bilinear super-operations \cdot and $[\ , \]$. The triple $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ is called a transposed Poisson superalgebra if (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a supercommutative associative superalgebra and $(\mathfrak{L}, [\ , \])$ is a Lie superalgebra that satisfies the following compatibility condition

$$(3) \quad 2z \cdot [x, y] = [z \cdot x, y] + (-1)^{|x||z|}[x, z \cdot y], \quad x, y, z \in \mathfrak{L}_0 \cup \mathfrak{L}_1.$$

As a first result in the study of transposed Poisson superalgebras we have the following statement. It is a super-analog of Theorem 7 with a similar proof of it.

Lemma 11. Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie superalgebra without non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -superderivations. Then every transposed Poisson superalgebra structure defined on \mathfrak{L} is trivial.

Thanks to [19, 20] we have the description of all $\frac{1}{2}$ -superderivations of complex simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras. All these $\frac{1}{2}$ -superderivations are trivial. Hence summarizing results of [19, 20] and Lemma 11 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 12. There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson superalgebra structures defined on complex simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras.

1.3. n -ary case. The idea of the n -ary generalization of transposed Poisson algebra is based on the idea of n -Nambu-Poisson algebra, introduced by Takhtajan [33], and it also was introduced in [4]. The definition of $\frac{1}{n}$ -derivations of n -ary algebras as a particular case of δ -derivations of n -ary algebras was given in a paper of Kaygorodov [22].

Definition 13. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. A transposed Poisson n -Lie algebra is a triple $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\ , \dots, \])$ where (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a commutative associative algebra and $(\mathfrak{L}, [\ , \dots, \])$ is an n -Lie (Filippov) algebra satisfying the following condition.

$$n w \cdot [x_1, \dots, x_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1, \dots, w \cdot x_i, \dots, x_n].$$

Remark 14. The super-analog of transposed Poisson n -Lie algebras should be defined in the usual way (for more information about n -Lie superalgebras; see, for example, [8, 29]).

Definition 15. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, [\ , \dots, \])$ be an n -ary algebra with the multiplication $[\ , \dots, \]$ and φ be a linear map. Then φ is a $\frac{1}{n}$ -derivation if it satisfies

$$(4) \quad \varphi[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1, \dots, \varphi(x_i), \dots, x_n], \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in L.$$

The main example of $\frac{1}{n}$ -derivations is the multiplication on an element from the basic field. Let us call such $\frac{1}{n}$ -derivations as trivial $\frac{1}{n}$ -derivations.

Now we are ready to talk about n -ary analogs of Corollary 12.

Theorem 16. There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson n -Lie algebra (or superalgebra) structures defined on complex simple finite-dimensional n -Lie algebras (or superalgebras).

Proof. Thanks to [8], every complex simple finite-dimensional n -Lie ($n > 2$) algebra (or superalgebra) is isomorphic to the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional n -ary algebra A_{n+1} . All $\frac{1}{n}$ -derivations of A_{n+1} are trivial [23]. Then following the proof of Theorem 7 we have that the transposed Poisson n -Lie algebra is trivial. \square

Since every complex semisimple finite-dimensional n -Lie algebra is a direct sum of simple algebras [30], and as follows, every $\frac{1}{n}$ -derivation of this semisimple algebra will be invariant on all simple algebras from this direct sum [23], we then have the following corollary.

Corollary 17. *There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson n -Lie algebra structures defined on a complex semisimple finite-dimensional n -Lie algebra.*

2. $\frac{1}{2}$ -DERIVATIONS OF WITT TYPE ALGEBRAS AND TRANSPosed POISSON ALGEBRAS

In the present section we consider the Witt algebra and one of the more interesting generalizations of the Witt algebra. It is proven that the Witt algebra admits many nontrivial structures of transposed Poisson algebras and the algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ does not admit structures of transposed Poisson algebras if and only if $b \neq -1$. All transposed Poisson algebra structures defined on $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$ were described.

2.1. $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Witt algebra and transposed Poisson algebras. Let \mathcal{L} be the algebra of Laurent polynomials with generators $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and the multiplication $e_i e_j = e_{i+j}$. The algebra of derivations of \mathcal{L} gives the well-known Witt algebra. It is the algebra \mathfrak{L} with generators $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and the multiplication given by the following way $[e_i, e_j] = (i - j)e_{i+j}$. By [13, Theorem 5], the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Witt algebra \mathfrak{L} gives an associative commutative algebra. Let us denote the associative algebra of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Witt algebra $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{L})$ by $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{L}))$. The following theorem is a particular case of [40, Theorem 2.1], but our proof is useful for the future consideration.

Theorem 18. *Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the Witt algebra \mathfrak{L} . Then there is a set $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of elements from the basic field, such that $\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_j e_{i+j}$. Every finite set $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of elements from the basic field gives a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathfrak{L} . In particular, $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{Der}(\mathcal{L})) \cong \mathfrak{L}$.*

Proof. Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathfrak{L} and $\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{i,j} e_j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2i \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{i,j} e_j &= 2i\varphi(e_i) = 2\varphi[e_i, e_0] = [\varphi(e_i), e_0] + [e_i, \varphi(e_0)] = \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{i,j} [e_j, e_0] + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{0,j} [e_i, e_j] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{i,j} j e_j + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{0,j} (i - j) e_{i+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for every number i we have $\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{0,j-i} e_j$. It is easy to see that for every finite set of numbers $\{\alpha_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the linear mapping φ defined by $\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_j e_{j+i}$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the Witt algebra \mathfrak{L} .

Now we consider three special types of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations. Define

$$\varphi_+(e_i) = e_{i+1}, \varphi_0(e_i) = e_i, \varphi_-(e_i) = e_{i-1}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\varphi_+^k(e_i) = e_{i+k}, \varphi_+\varphi_-(e_i) = \varphi_-\varphi_+(e_i) = e_i, \varphi_-^k(e_i) = e_{i-k}.$$

It follows that the subalgebra generated by $\{\varphi_+, \varphi_0, \varphi_-\}$ coincides with the algebra of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Witt algebra \mathfrak{L} . Now we have that the algebra of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of \mathfrak{L} is isomorphic to \mathcal{L} . \square

Theorem 19. *Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra structure defined on the Witt algebra $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Then $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is not Poisson algebra and (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a mutation of the algebra of Laurent polynomials. On the other hand, every mutation of the algebra of Laurent polynomials gives a transposed Poisson algebra structure with the Lie part isomorphic to the Witt algebra.*

Proof. We aim to describe the multiplication \cdot . By Lemma 6, for every element e_n there is a related $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_n of $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$, such that $\varphi_j(e_i) = e_i \cdot e_j = \varphi_i(e_j)$, where $\varphi_i(e_j) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{i,k} e_{j+k}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{j,k-i} e_k = \varphi_j(e_i) = e_i \cdot e_j = \varphi_i(e_j) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{i,k-j} e_k$$

and $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{0,j-i}$. It follows that

$$(5) \quad e_i \cdot e_j = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k e_{i+j+k} = e_i e_j w,$$

where $w = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k e_k$. Now, analyzing the associative law of \cdot , we have the following:

$$(e_i \cdot e_j) \cdot e_m = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k e_{i+j+k} \cdot e_m = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k \left(\sum_{k^* \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{k^*} e_{i+j+m+k+k^*} \right) = e_i \cdot (e_j \cdot e_m),$$

which implies that the multiplication \cdot defined by (5) is associative. The algebra (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a mutation of \mathcal{L} . On the other hand, it is easy to see that for any element w the product (5) gives a transposed Poisson algebra structure defined on the Witt algebra $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{L} \cdot [\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}] \neq 0$ and, by [4, Proposition 2.4], $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is non-Poisson. It gives the complete statement of the theorem. \square

2.2. $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ and transposed Poisson algebras. In [18,31], a class of representations $I(a, b) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}I_m$ for the Witt algebra \mathbf{W} with two complex parameters a and b had been introduced. The action of \mathbf{W} on $I(a, b)$ is given by $L_m \cdot I_n = -(n + a + bm)I_{m+n}$. $I(a, b)$ is the so called tensor density module. The Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b) = \mathbf{W} \ltimes I(a, b)$, where \mathbf{W} is the Witt algebra and $I(a, b)$ is the tensor density module of \mathbf{W} . Many maps, such that commuting maps, biderivations, 2-local derivations, and also commutative post-Lie algebra structures of the algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ were studied in [15, 34, 35].

Definition 20. The Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ is spanned by generators $\{L_i, I_j\}_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. These generators satisfy

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n}, [L_m, I_n] = -(n + a + bm)I_{m+n}.$$

Theorem 21. There are no non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ for $b \neq -1$. Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$, then there are two finite sets of elements from the basic field $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\beta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$, such that $\varphi(L_m) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t L_{m+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_t I_{m+t}$ and $\varphi(I_m) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t I_{m+t}$.

Proof. Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$. As $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra, we can consider φ as a sum of two $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations φ_0 and φ_1 , such that

$$\varphi_0(\langle L_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \subseteq \langle L_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \varphi_0(\langle I_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \subseteq \langle I_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \varphi_1(\langle L_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \subseteq \langle I_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \varphi_1(\langle I_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) \subseteq \langle L_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Let us consider φ_0 . By Theorem 18, it is easy to see that $\varphi_0(L_i) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k L_{i+k}$ and suppose that $\varphi_0(I_0) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_k I_k$. It follows that for all m , such that $a + mb \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(I_m) &= -\frac{1}{a+mb} \varphi_0[L_m, I_0] = -\frac{1}{2(a+mb)} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k [L_{m+k}, I_0] + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_k [L_m, I_k] \right), \\ \varphi_0(I_m) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{\alpha_k(a+bm+bk) + \beta_k(k+a+mb)}{2(a+mb)} \right) I_{k+m}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} -(-m + a + mb)\varphi(I_0) &= \varphi[L_m, I_{-m}] = \frac{1}{2} ([\varphi(L_m), I_{-m}] + [L_m, \varphi(I_{-m})]) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\alpha_k(-m + a + (k + m)b) + \frac{(k-m+a+mb)(\alpha_k(a-bm+bk) + \beta_k(k+a-mb))}{2(a-mb)} \right) I_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(6) \quad \beta_k = \alpha_k \frac{3a^2 + a(k + 3bk - 3m) + b(k^2 - (2 + b)km + 3(1 - b)m^2)}{3a^2 - k^2 + km + 3(1 - b)bm^2 - a(2k + 3m)}.$$

Analyzing the quotient from (6), we have two cases:

- (1) $b \neq -1$. If $k = 0$, then for any m we have $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$. On the other hand, if $k \neq 0$, then choosing different numbers $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $3a^2 - k^2 + km + 3(1 - b)bm^2 - a(2k + 3m) \neq 0$, $-m + a + mb \neq 0$ and $-m + a + (k + m)b \neq 0$, we have that β_k gives 0 (in the case $\alpha_k = 0$) or many different values (in the case if $\alpha_k \neq 0$). It follows that all $\alpha_k = \beta_k = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$ and $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$, which gives that φ_0 is a trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation.

Let us now consider the ‘‘odd’’ part of φ , i.e. φ_1 . It is known that the commutator of a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation and a derivation gives a new $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation. Then, $[\varphi_1, \mathbb{L}_{I_m}]$, where \mathbb{L}_{I_m} is the left multiplication on I_m , gives a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation, such that it keeps invariant of subspaces $\langle L_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$

and $\langle I_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Thus it is a trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation. Then, if $\varphi_1(I_k) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_t^k I_t$, we have

$$\alpha_m I_k = [\varphi_1, \mathbb{L}_{I_m}](I_k) = [I_m, \varphi_1(I_k)] = - \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_t^k (m + a + tb) I_{m+t},$$

which gives $\alpha_m = 0$ and $\varphi_1(I_k) = 0$. Hence, if $\varphi_1(L_n) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_t^n I_{n+t}$, then

$$2n\varphi_1(L_n) = 2\varphi_1[L_n, L_0] = [\varphi_1(L_n), L_0] + [L_n, \varphi_1(L_0)] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\gamma_{n+t}^n (n + t + a) - \gamma_t^0 (t + a + nb)) I_{n+t}$$

and

$$\gamma_{n+t}^n = \gamma_t^0 \frac{t+a+nb}{t+a-n}.$$

It follows

$$2(m-n)\varphi_1(L_{m+n}) = [\varphi_1(L_m), L_n] + [L_m, \varphi_1(L_n)] = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} ((m+t+a+nb)\gamma_{m+t}^m - (n+t+a+mb)\gamma_{n+t}^n) I_{m+n+t}$$

and

$$\frac{2(m-n)(t+a+(n+m)b)}{t+a-(n+m)} \gamma_t^0 = \left(\frac{(m+t+a+nb)(t+a+mb)}{t+a-m} - \frac{(n+t+a+mb)(t+a+nb)}{t+a-n} \right) \gamma_t^0,$$

which gives

$$\frac{nm(1+b)(m-n)(a(2-b)+b(m+n-t)+2t)}{(a-m+t)(a-n+t)(a-m-n+t)} \gamma_t^0 = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $\gamma_t^0 = 0$, $\varphi_1 = 0$ and φ is trivial.

(2) $b = -1$. Then from (6), it is easy to see that $\alpha_k = \beta_k$. It gives

$$(7) \quad \varphi_0(L_m) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k L_{m+k} \text{ and } \varphi_0(I_m) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k I_{m+k}.$$

It is easy to see, that for every finite set $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of elements from the basic field, the linear map defined as (7) gives a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$.

Let us now consider φ_1 of $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$. Obviously, if $\varphi_1(I_0) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_t I_t$, then if $m \neq a$, we have

$$\varphi_1(I_m) = \frac{1}{2(m-a)} \varphi_1[L_m, I_0] = \frac{1}{2(m-a)} ([\varphi_1(L_m), I_0] + [L_m, \varphi_1(I_0)]) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\beta_t}{2(m-a)} L_{m+t},$$

which gives

$$0 = 2\varphi_1[I_k, I_n] = [\varphi_1(I_k), I_n] + [I_k, \varphi_1(I_n)] = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_t \left(\frac{k+t-n-a}{2(k-a)} - \frac{n+t-k-a}{2(n-a)} \right) I_{k+n+t}.$$

That gives $\varphi_1(I_m) = 0$ for $m \neq a$. For the separate case $m = a$, we consider

$$\varphi_1(I_a) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1[L_{a+1}, I_{-1}] = \frac{1}{4}([\varphi_1(L_{a+1}), I_{-1}] + [L_{a+1}, \varphi_1(I_{-1})]) = 0.$$

It follows that $\varphi_1(I_m) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, let us consider the action of φ_1 on $\langle L_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$: if $m \neq a$, then

$$(8) \quad \varphi_1(L_m) = \frac{1}{m-a} ([\varphi_1(L_m), I_0] + [L_m, \varphi_1(I_0)]) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_k I_{m+t}.$$

The separate case $m = a$ also gives $\varphi_1(L_a) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_t I_{a+t}$.

It is easy to see that for any finite set $\{\beta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the linear map defined by (8) gives a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$. Hence, we have the statement of the theorem. \square

Hence, by Theorem 7, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 22. *There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson algebra structures defined on a Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$, for $b \neq -1$.*

Similar to the present generalization of the Witt algebra, we can define a generalization of the algebra of Laurent polynomials in the following way: it is a direct sum of the algebra of Laurent polynomials and the regular module over it.

Definition 23. *The algebra of extended Laurent polynomials \mathbb{L} is a commutative algebra generated by $\langle L_i, I_j \rangle_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and it satisfies $L_i L_j = L_{i+j}$ and $L_i I_j = I_{i+j}$.*

Theorem 24. *Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra structure defined on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$. Then $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is not Poisson algebra and (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a mutation of the algebra of extended Laurent polynomials. On the other hand, every mutation of the algebra of extended Laurent polynomials gives a transposed Poisson algebra structure with Lie part isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$.*

Proof. Using ideas from the proof of Theorem 19, it is easy to see that there are two sets $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\beta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$, such that $L_i \cdot L_j = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t L_{i+j+t} + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_t I_{i+j+t}$. It follows that $L_i \cdot I_j = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t I_{i+j+t}$ and

for every $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation ϕ_m of $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$ corresponding to the right multiplication on I_m , we have that $\phi_m(\langle L_t \rangle_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}) \subseteq \langle I_t \rangle_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$, which gives $I_i \cdot I_j = 0$. Let us define $\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t L_t + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_t I_t$ as w . Then

$$(9) \quad L_i \cdot L_j = L_i w L_j, \quad L_i \cdot I_j = L_i w I_j, \quad I_i \cdot I_j = I_i w I_j = 0,$$

which gives that (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) is a mutation of the extended algebra of Laurent polynomials. On the other hand, it is easy to see that for any element w product (9) gives a transposed Poisson algebra structure defined on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, -1)$ for an arbitrary complex number a . It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{L} \cdot$

$[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}] \neq 0$ and, by [4, Proposition 2.4], $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is non-Poisson. It gives the complete statement of the theorem. \square

3. $\frac{1}{2}$ -DERIVATIONS OF VIRASORO TYPE ALGEBRAS AND TRANSPOSED POISSON ALGEBRAS

The present section is devoted to the study of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Virasoro algebra and some Lie superalgebras related to the Virasoro algebra. Namely, we describe all $\frac{1}{2}$ -superderivations of $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ conformal superalgebras. As a corollary, we obtain that there are no non-trivial transposed Poisson structures defined by the Virasoro algebra, in both $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ conformal superalgebras.

3.1. $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of Virasoro algebra and transposed Poisson algebras. The Virasoro algebra is the unique central extension of the Witt algebra, considered in the previous section.

Definition 25. *The Virasoro algebra \mathbf{Vir} is spanned by generators $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and the central charge c . These generators satisfy*

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{m^3 - m}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}c.$$

Theorem 26. *There are no non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the Virasoro algebra \mathbf{Vir} .*

Proof. Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathbf{Vir} and $\varphi(L_n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n,i}L_i + \rho_n c$. We then get

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi(L_p), L_q] &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (i - q)\alpha_{p,i}L_{i+q} + \frac{i^3 - i}{12}\delta_{i+q,0}\alpha_{p,i}c \\ [L_p, \varphi(L_q)] &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (p - j)\alpha_{q,j}L_{p+j} + \frac{p^3 - p}{12}\delta_{p+j,0}\alpha_{q,j}c \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi[L_p, L_q] = (p - q) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{p+q,k}L_k + (p - q)\rho_{p+q}c + \frac{p^3 - p}{12}\delta_{p+q,0}\varphi(c).$$

It is easy to see that

$$0 = 2\varphi[L_p, c] = [\varphi(L_p), c] + [L_p, \varphi(c)] = [L_p, \varphi(c)].$$

Hence, $\varphi(c) = \rho_c c$. Since the identity map is obviously a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation we then can put $\varphi(c) = 0$, i.e., $\rho_c = 0$.

Now for every numbers i, j we have

$$[\varphi(L_p), L_q] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (i - 2q)\alpha_{p,i-q}L_i + \frac{q - q^3}{12}\alpha_{p,-q}c,$$

and

$$[L_p, \varphi(L_q)] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2p - j) \alpha_{q, j-p} L_j + \frac{p^3 - p}{12} \alpha_{q, -p} c.$$

Adding up similar terms we obtain

$$[\varphi(L_p), L_q] + [L_p, \varphi(L_q)] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} ((k - 2q) \alpha_{p, k-q} + (2p - k) \alpha_{q, k-p}) L_k + \frac{c}{12} ((q - q^3) \alpha_{p, -q} + (p^3 - p) \alpha_{q, -p}).$$

Thus for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we get

$$(10) \quad (p - q) \alpha_{p+q, k} = \frac{1}{2} ((k - 2q) \alpha_{p, k-q} + (2p - k) \alpha_{q, k-p})$$

and

$$(11) \quad (p - q) \rho_{p+q} = \frac{1}{24} ((q - q^3) \alpha_{p, -q} + (p^3 - p) \alpha_{q, -p})$$

Set $q = 0$, by (10),

$$p \alpha_{p, k} = \frac{1}{2} (k \alpha_{p, k} + (2p - k) \alpha_{0, k-p})$$

hence

$$(12) \quad \alpha_{p, k} = \alpha_{0, k-p}.$$

By (11,12), for $p \neq q$,

$$(p - q) \rho_{p+q} = \frac{1}{24} ((q - q^3) \alpha_{0, -q-p} + (p^3 - p) \alpha_{0, -p-q}) = \frac{p - q}{24} (p^2 + pq + q^2 - 1) \alpha_{0, -p-q}$$

and

$$\rho_{p+q} = \frac{1}{24} (p^2 + pq + q^2 - 1) \alpha_{0, -p-q},$$

which gives that $\rho_p = 0$ and $\alpha_{0, p} = 0$ for all numbers p . Hence, $\varphi = 0$ and every $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathbf{Vir} can be expressed as multiplication on some fixed element from the basic field. □

Hence, by Theorem 7, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 27. *There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson algebra structures defined on the Virasoro algebra \mathbf{Vir} .*

3.2. $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of super Virasoro algebra and transposed Poisson superalgebras. In mathematical physics, a super Virasoro algebra is an extension of the Virasoro algebra to a Lie superalgebra. There are two extensions with particular importance in superstring theory: the Ramond algebra (named after Pierre Ramond) and the Neveu–Schwarz algebra (named after André Neveu and John Henry Schwarz). Both algebras have $N = 1$ supersymmetry and an even part given by the Virasoro algebra. They describe the symmetries of a superstring in two different sectors, called the Ramond sector and the Neveu–Schwarz sector.

Definition 28. Let \mathfrak{sVir} be a Lie superalgebra generated by even elements $\{L_m, c\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and odd elements $\{G_r\}_{r \in i + \mathbb{Z}}$, where $i = 0$ (the Ramond case), or $i = \frac{1}{2}$ (the Neveu–Schwarz case). In both cases, c is central in the superalgebra, and the additional graded brackets are given by

$$[L_m, G_r] = \left(\frac{m}{2} - r\right) G_{m+r} \text{ and } [G_r, G_s] = 2L_{r+s} + \frac{c}{3} \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \delta_{r+s,0}.$$

Lemma 29. There are no non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of the super Virasoro algebra \mathfrak{sVir} .

Proof. Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -superderivation of \mathfrak{sVir} . Then the even part φ_0 and the odd part φ_1 of φ are, respectively, an even $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation and an odd $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathfrak{sVir} . Now, the restriction $\varphi_0|_{\mathfrak{Vir}}$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathfrak{Vir} and it is trivial. Hence, we can suppose that $\varphi_0|_{\mathfrak{Vir}} = 0$. Note that

$$m\varphi(G_m) = [L_m, \varphi(G_0)] \text{ and } 0 = \varphi[G_0, G_0] = [G_0, \varphi(G_0)],$$

which gives $\varphi_0 = 0$.

It is known the supercommutator of a $\frac{1}{2}$ -superderivation and one superderivation gives a new $\frac{1}{2}$ -superderivation. Now, let ϕ_x be an inner odd derivation of \mathfrak{sVir} , then $[\varphi_1, \phi_x]$ is an even $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation (left multiplication of $x \in \mathfrak{sVir}_1$) of \mathfrak{sVir} , which is trivial. Then, if $\varphi_1(c) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k G_k$ we have

$$\alpha c = [\varphi_1, \phi_{G_i}](c) = \varphi_1[G_i, c] + [G_i, \varphi_1(c)] = 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k L_{i+k} + \frac{c}{3} \left(i^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \gamma_{-i},$$

which gives that $\alpha = 0$. Hence,

$$0 = 2(\varphi_1[G_i, L_k] + [G_i, \varphi_1(L_k)]) = [\varphi_1(G_i), L_k] + [G_i, \varphi_1(L_k)],$$

$$2\varphi_1[L_k, G_i] = [\varphi_1(L_k), G_i] + [L_k, \varphi_1(G_i)].$$

Hence

$$\varphi_1[L_k, G_i] = [\varphi_1(L_k), G_i] = [L_k, \varphi_1(G_i)].$$

Let $\varphi(G_i) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_t^i L_t + \Gamma^i c$, then

$$- \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} t \Gamma_t^i L_t = [L_0, \varphi_1(G_i)] = \varphi_1[L_0, G_i] = -i\varphi_1(G_i) = -i \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_t^i L_t - i\Gamma^i c,$$

which gives that $\varphi_1(G_i) = \Gamma_i^i L_i$, for $i \neq 0$. On the other hand we have

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} (i-t) \Gamma_t^0 L_{i+t} + \frac{\Gamma^0 (i^3 - i)c}{12} = [L_i, \varphi_1(G_0)] = \varphi_1[L_i, G_0] = \frac{i}{2} \varphi_1(G_i) = \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_i^i L_i,$$

which gives $\Gamma_i^i = 2\Gamma^0$, for $i \neq 0$. Doing a similar calculation we have

$$(k-i) \Gamma_i^i L_{k+i} + \frac{\Gamma_{-k}^i (k^3 - k)c}{12} = [L_k, \varphi_1(G_i)] = \varphi_1[L_k, G_i] = \left(\frac{k}{2} - i\right) \varphi_1(G_{k+i}) = \left(\frac{k}{2} - i\right) \Gamma_{k+i}^{k+i} L_{k+i},$$

which gives $\varphi_1(G_i) = 0$, for $i \neq 0$. At the end, we note that

$$\varphi_1(G_0) = \frac{1}{3} \varphi_1[[G_2, G_{-1}], G_{-1}] =$$

$$\frac{1}{12} [[\varphi_1(G_2), G_{-1}], G_{-1}] - \frac{1}{12} [[G_2, \varphi_1(G_{-1})], G_{-1}] + \frac{1}{6} [[G_2, G_{-1}], \varphi_1(G_{-1})] = 0,$$

which gives $\varphi_1(\mathfrak{sVir}_1) = 0$ and it follows that $\varphi_1(\mathfrak{sVir}_0) = \varphi_1[\mathfrak{sVir}_1, \mathfrak{sVir}_1] = 0$. Hence, it is easy to see that $\varphi_1 = 0$ and φ is trivial.

□

Hence, by Lemma 11, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 30. *There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson superalgebra structures defined on a super Virasoro algebra.*

3.3. $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of $N = 2$ superconformal algebra and transposed Poisson superalgebras. In mathematical physics, the $2D$ $N = 2$ superconformal algebra is an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra, related to supersymmetry, that occurs in string theory and two-dimensional conformal field theory. It has important applications in mirror symmetry. It was introduced by Ademollo, Brink, and D’Adda et al. in 1976 as a gauge algebra of the $U(1)$ fermionic string. There are two slightly different ways to describe the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra, called the $N = 2$ Ramond algebra and the $N = 2$ Neveu–Schwarz algebra, which are isomorphic (see below) but differ in the choice of standard basis.

Definition 31. *The $N = 2$ superconformal algebra is the Lie superalgebra with basis of even elements $\{c, L_n, J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and odd elements $\{G_r^+, G_r^-\}_{r \in i + \mathbb{Z}}$, $i = 0$ (the Ramond basis), or $i = \frac{1}{2}$ (the Neveu–Schwarz basis). Additional graded brackets are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0} & [L_m, J_n] &= -nJ_{m+n} \\ [J_m, J_n] &= \frac{c}{3}m\delta_{m+n,0} & [J_m, G_r^\pm] &= \pm G_{m+r}^\pm \\ [G_r^+, G_s^-] &= L_{r+s} + \frac{1}{2}(r - s)J_{r+s} + \frac{c}{6}(r^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta_{r+s,0} & [L_m, G_r^\pm] &= (\frac{m}{2} - r)G_{r+m}^\pm \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 32. *There are no non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of $N = 2$ superconformal algebra.*

Proof. The main idea of the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 29 and we will give only a brief sketch to prove it. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0 + \mathcal{N}_1$ be a $N = 2$ superconformal algebra and $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1$ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -superderivation of \mathcal{N} . Now, $\mathcal{N}_0 = (\mathcal{N}_0)_0 + (\mathcal{N}_0)_1$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra, where $(\mathcal{N}_0)_0$ is generated by $\{L_n, c\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. It is isomorphic to the Virasoro algebra, $(\mathcal{N}_0)_1$ is generated by $\{J_n\}$; and $(\varphi_0)|_{\mathcal{N}_0} = ((\varphi_0)|_{\mathcal{N}_0})_0 + ((\varphi_0)|_{\mathcal{N}_0})_1$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathcal{N}_0 . By Theorem 26, $((\varphi_0)|_{\mathcal{N}_0})_0$ is a trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of $(\mathcal{N}_0)_0$ and we can consider $((\varphi_0)|_{\mathcal{N}_0})_0 = 0$. By some easy calculations similar with the proof of Lemma 29, we have $(\varphi_0)|_{\mathcal{N}_0} = 0$ and it is a trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathcal{N}_0 . After that, we have that every even $\frac{1}{2}$ -superderivaton φ_0 of \mathcal{N}_0 is trivial. Now, let ϕ_x be an inner odd derivation (left multiplication on $x \in \mathcal{N}_1$) of \mathcal{N} , then $[\varphi_1, \phi_x]$ is an even $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathcal{N} , which is trivial. Hence, it is easy to see that $\varphi_1 = 0$ and φ is trivial.

□

Hence, by Lemma 11, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 33. *There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson superalgebra structures defined on a $N = 2$ superconformal algebra.*

4. $\frac{1}{2}$ -DERIVATIONS OF THIN LIE ALGEBRA AND TRANSPOSED POISSON ALGEBRAS

There are several papers that deal with the problem of classification of nilpotent algebras (for example, low dimensional algebras, filiform algebras, algebras with an abelian ideal, etc). Nilpotent Lie algebras with an abelian ideal of codimension 1 were described in [26]. Local derivations of nilpotent Lie algebras with an abelian ideal of codimension 1 were studied in [34]. In the present section, we describe all transposed Poisson structures on nilpotent Lie algebras with an abelian ideal of codimension 1. In our proof we consider the infinite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra with an abelian ideal of codimension 1, but the statement of the theorem can be adapted for the finite-dimensional case.

Definition 34. *The thin Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} is spanned by generators $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. These generators satisfy*

$$[e_1, e_n] = e_{n+1}, \quad n > 1.$$

We denote the operator of left multiplication on e_1 by L .

Lemma 35. *Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathfrak{L} . Then*

$$\varphi(e_1) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i e_i, \quad \varphi(e_2) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_i e_i, \quad \varphi(e_n) = (1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_1 e_n + 2^{2-n} L^{n-2} \varphi(e_2).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(e_1) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i e_i$ and $\varphi(e_2) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_i e_i$, then

$$\varphi(e_n) = \frac{1}{2} ([\varphi(e_1), e_{n-1}] + [e_1, \varphi(e_{n-1})]) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 e_n + L \varphi(e_{n-1}).$$

Hence, by induction, the statement follows. □

Theorem 36. *Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [,])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra structure defined on the thin Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{L}, [,])$. Then $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [,])$ is not Poisson algebra and it is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{L}, *, [,])$, where $e_1 * e_1 = e_k$, for some $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. We aim to describe the multiplication \cdot . By lemma 6, for every element e_n there is a related $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_n of $(\mathfrak{L}, [,])$, such that $\varphi_j(e_i) = e_i \cdot e_j = \varphi_i(e_j)$. Now, by Lemma 35,

$$\varphi_i(e_1) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{ij} e_j, \quad \varphi_i(e_2) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_{ij} e_j, \quad \varphi_i(e_n) = (1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_{i1} e_n + 2^{2-n} L^{n-2} \varphi_i(e_2).$$

Let us use the following notations

$$\varphi_1(e_1) = \sum_{r=1}^p \alpha_r e_r = x, \quad \varphi_2(e_1) = \sum_{r=1}^q \beta_r e_r = y, \quad \varphi_2(e_2) = \sum_{r=1}^t \gamma_r e_r = z.$$

Then

$$e_1 \cdot e_1 = \varphi_1(e_1) = x, \quad e_2 \cdot e_1 = e_1 \cdot e_2 = \varphi_1(e_2) = \varphi_2(e_1) = y, \quad e_2 \cdot e_2 = \varphi_2(e_2) = z.$$

On the other hand, if $i, j, n \geq 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot e_n &= \varphi_1(e_n) = (1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_{11}e_n + 2^{2-n}L^{n-2}\varphi_1(e_2) = (1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_{11}e_n + 2^{2-n}L^{n-2}y, \\ e_2 \cdot e_n &= \varphi_2(e_n) = (1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_{21}e_n + 2^{2-n}L^{n-2}\varphi_2(e_2) = (1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_{21}e_n + 2^{2-n}L^{n-2}z, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e_i \cdot e_j &= (1 - 2^{2-j})\alpha_{i1}e_j + 2^{2-j}L^{j-2}\varphi_i(e_2) \\ &= (1 - 2^{2-j})\alpha_{i1}e_j + (2^{2-j} - 2^{4-i-j})\alpha_{21}L^{j-2}e_i + 2^{4-i-j}L^{i+j-4}z, \\ e_j \cdot e_i &= (1 - 2^{2-i})\alpha_{j1}e_i + 2^{2-i}L^{i-2}\varphi_j(e_2) \\ &= (1 - 2^{2-i})\alpha_{j1}e_i + (2^{2-i} - 2^{4-i-j})\alpha_{21}L^{i-2}e_j + 2^{4-i-j}L^{i+j-4}z. \end{aligned}$$

From the last two relations, for $i \neq j$, we have

$$\alpha_{j1} = \alpha_{21} = 0, \quad \text{which gives} \quad e_i \cdot e_j = 2^{4-i-j}L^{i+j-4}z, \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq 2.$$

Now we have defined the commutative multiplication \cdot , but it is also an associative multiplication. Let us study the associative property of the multiplication \cdot .

For $i \neq j, n \geq 2$, we have

$$e_i \cdot (e_j \cdot e_n) = e_i \cdot (2^{4-j-n}L^{j+n-4}z) = 2^{4-j-n} \left(\sum_{r=1}^t 2^{8-i-j-n-r} \gamma_r L^{i+j+n+r-8} z \right)$$

and on the other hand

$$e_j \cdot (e_i \cdot e_n) = e_j \cdot (2^{4-i-n}L^{i+n-4}z) = 2^{4-i-n} \left(\sum_{r=1}^t 2^{8-i-j-n-r} \gamma_r L^{i+j+n+r-8} z \right)$$

it implies that $z = 0$.

Summarizing, we have that there are only the following nonzero products:

$$e_1 \cdot e_1 = x, \quad e_1 \cdot e_n = (1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_1 e_n + 2^{2-n}L^{n-2}y.$$

Now, we are interested in the associative relation for e_1, e_1 and e_n :

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot (e_1 \cdot e_n) &= e_1 \cdot ((1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_1 e_n + 2^{2-n}L^{n-2}y) \\ &= (1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_1 e_1 \cdot e_n + 2^{2-n} \sum_{r=1}^q \beta_r e_1 \cdot e_{n-2+r} \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand, we have

$$e_n \cdot (e_1 \cdot e_1) = e_n \cdot x = \alpha_1 e_1 \cdot e_n,$$

which gives

$$(13) \quad \sum_{r=n-1}^{n-2+q} (1 - 2^{2-r})\alpha_1 e_r + 2^{2-r}L^{r-2}y = (1 - 2^{2-n})\alpha_1 e_n + 2^{2-n}L^{n-2}y,$$

hence, if $q \geq 2$, then comparing the highest number of indexes of the basis elements in the last relation we have $n + 2q - 4 = n + q - 2$, which gives $q = 2$.

Next, for $q = 1, 2$ relation (13) implies that $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = 0$. It is easy see, that if $e_1 \cdot e_1 \neq 0$, then $[e_1 \cdot e_1, e_1] \neq 0$ and by [4, Proposition 2.4], $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [,])$ is not a Poisson algebra.

Let $e_1 \cdot e_1 = \sum_{r=k}^{k+p} \alpha_r$, with $\alpha_k \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{k+p} \neq 0$. By choosing a new bases

$$E_1 = e_1, \dots, E_{k+w} = \sum_{r=k}^{k+p} \alpha_r e_{r+w}, \dots, \text{ where } 2 - k \leq w,$$

we have an isomorphic algebra structure with the following table of multiplications:

$$E_1 * E_1 = E_k, [E_1, E_n] = E_{n+1}, n \geq 2,$$

and the statement follows. □

5. $\frac{1}{2}$ -DERIVATIONS OF THE SOLVABLE LIE ALGEBRA WITH ABELIAN NILPOTENT RADICAL AND TRANSPOSED POISSON ALGEBRAS

Several papers deal with the problem of classification of all solvable Lie algebras with a given nilradical (for example, Abelian, Heisenberg, filiform, quasi-filiform nilradicals, etc. [32]). Local derivations of solvable Lie algebras with an abelian radical of codimension 1 were studied in [3]. In the present section, we describe all transposed Poisson structures on solvable Lie algebras with abelian radical of codimension 1. In our proof we consider the solvable infinite-dimensional Lie algebra with an abelian radical of codimension 1 but the statement of the theorem can be adapted for the finite-dimensional case.

Definition 37. *Let \mathfrak{L} be the solvable Lie algebra with abelian nilpotent radical of codimension 1 which spanned by generators $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying*

$$[e_1, e_n] = e_n, n \geq 2.$$

Lemma 38. *Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathfrak{L} . Then*

$$\varphi(e_1) = \alpha e_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i, \quad \varphi(e_n) = \alpha e_n,$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(e_n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{ni} e_i$, then if $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\varphi(e_n) = \frac{1}{2} ([\varphi(e_1), e_n] + [e_1, \varphi(e_n)]) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{11} e_n + \frac{1}{2} \varphi(e_n) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i1} e_1.$$

Hence, we have the statement of the Lemma. □

Theorem 39. *Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra structure defined on the solvable Lie algebra with abelian nilpotent radical of codimension 1, $(\mathfrak{L}, [\ , \])$. Then $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\ , \])$ is not Poisson algebra and it is isomorphic to one of the following structures*

- (1) $(\mathfrak{L}, *, [\ , \])$, where $e_1 * e_1 = e_1 + e_2$, $e_1 * e_n = e_n$, $n \geq 2$;
- (2) $(\mathfrak{L}, *, [\ , \])$, where $e_1 * e_1 = e_2$;
- (3) $(\mathfrak{L}, *, [\ , \])$, where $e_1 * e_n = e_n$, $n \geq 1$.

Proof. We aim to describe the multiplication \cdot . By Lemma 6, for every element e_n there is a corresponding $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_n of $(\mathfrak{L}, [\ , \])$, such that $\varphi_j(e_i) = e_i \cdot e_j = \varphi_i(e_j)$ and $\varphi_1(e_1) = \alpha e_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i$.

By Lemma 38,

- (1) if $i, j \geq 2$, then $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$;
- (2) if $i \geq 2$, then $e_1 \cdot e_i = \varphi_1(e_i) = \alpha e_i$;
- (3) $e_1 \cdot e_1 = \varphi_1(e_1) = \alpha e_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i$.

It is easy to see that \cdot is an associative commutative multiplication.

Next,

- (1) if $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i \neq 0$, where $\sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i = \sum_{i \geq u} \alpha_i e_i$ and $\alpha_u \neq 0$, then by choosing

$$E_1 = \alpha^{-1} e_1, \quad E_2 = \alpha^{-2} \sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i, \quad E_k = e_{k+1}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq u-1, \quad E_k = e_k, \quad u+1 \leq k,$$

we have an isomorphic algebra structure with the following table of multiplications:

$$E_1 * E_1 = E_1 + E_2, \quad E_1 * E_n = E_n, \quad n \geq 2.$$

- (2) if $\alpha = 0$ and $\sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i \neq 0$, where $\sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i = \sum_{i \geq u} \alpha_i e_i$ and $\alpha_u \neq 0$, then by choosing

$$E_1 = e_1, \quad E_2 = \sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i, \quad E_k = e_{k+1}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq u-1, \quad E_k = e_k, \quad u+1 \leq k,$$

we have an isomorphic algebra structure with the following table of multiplications:

$$E_1 * E_1 = E_2.$$

- (3) if $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i \geq 2} \alpha_i e_i = 0$, then by choosing

$$E_1 = \alpha^{-1} e_1, \quad E_k = e_k, \quad k \geq 2,$$

we have an isomorphic algebra structure with the following table of multiplications:

$$E_1 * E_n = E_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

It is easy to see that $(\mathfrak{L}, *, [\ , \])$ is non-Poisson.

Hence, we have the statement of the theorem. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Ait Ben Haddou M., Benayadi S., Boulmane S., Malcev–Poisson–Jordan algebras, *Journal of Algebra and its Applications*, 15 (2016), 9, 1650159, 26 pp.
- [2] Albuquerque H., Barreiro E., Benayadi S., Boucetta M., Sánchez J.M., Poisson algebras and symmetric Leibniz bialgebra structures on oscillator Lie algebras, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 160 (2021), 103939.
- [3] Ayupov Sh., Khudoyberdiyev A., Local derivations on Solvable Lie algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 69 (2021), 7, 1286–1301.
- [4] Bai C., Bai R., Guo L., Wu Y., Transposed Poisson algebras, Novikov-Poisson algebras, and 3-Lie algebras, *arXiv:2005.01110*
- [5] Beites P., Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., Generalized derivations of multiplicative n -ary Hom- Ω color algebras, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 42 (2019), 1, 315–335.
- [6] Benayadi S., Boucetta M., Special bi-invariant linear connections on Lie groups and finite-dimensional Poisson structures, *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 36 (2014), 66–89.
- [7] Cantarini N., Kac V., Classification of linearly compact simple Jordan and generalized Poisson superalgebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 313 (2007), 100–124.
- [8] Cantarini N., Kac V., Classification of simple linearly compact n -Lie superalgebras, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 298 (2010), 3, 833–853.
- [9] Cantarini N., Kac V., Classification of linearly compact simple Nambu-Poisson algebras, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57 (2016), 5, 051701, 18 pp.
- [10] Dorado-Aguilar E., García-Delgado R., Martínez-Sigala E., Rodríguez-Vallarte M. C., Salgado G., Generalized derivations and some structure theorems for Lie algebras, *Journal of Algebra and its Applications*, 19 (2020), 2, 2050024, 18 pp.
- [11] Elduque A., Montaner F., On mutations of associative algebras, *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 28 (1991), 1, 143–156.
- [12] Filippov V., δ -Derivations of Lie algebras, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 39 (1998), 6, 1218–1230.
- [13] Filippov V., δ -Derivations of prime Lie algebras, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 40 (1999), 1, 174–184.
- [14] Filippov V., On δ -derivations of prime alternative and Mal'tsev algebras, *Algebra and Logic*, 39 (2000), 5, 354–358.
- [15] Han X., Wang D., Xia C., Linear commuting maps and biderivations on the Lie algebras $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$, *Journal of Lie Theory*, 26 (2016), 3, 777–786.
- [16] Jaworska-Pastuszek A., Pogorzały Z., Poisson structures for canonical algebras, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 148 (2020), 103564.
- [17] Kac V., Lie superalgebras, *Advances in Mathematics*, 26 (1977), 1, 8–96.
- [18] Kac V., Raina A., Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics*, 2. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1987. xii+145 pp.
- [19] Kaygorodov I., δ -Derivations of classical Lie superalgebras, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 50 (2009), 3, 434–449.
- [20] Kaygorodov I., δ -Superderivations of simple finite-dimensional Jordan and Lie superalgebras, *Algebra and Logic*, 49 (2010), 2, 130–144.
- [21] Kaygorodov I., δ -Superderivations of semisimple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras, *Mathematical Notes*, 91 (2012), 2, 187–197.
- [22] Kaygorodov I., δ -Derivations of n -ary algebras, *Izvestiya: Mathematics*, 76 (2012), 5, 1150–1162.
- [23] Kaygorodov I., $(n + 1)$ -Ary derivations of semisimple Filippov algebras, *Mathematical Notes*, 96 (2014), 2, 208–216.
- [24] Kaygorodov I., Algebras of Jordan brackets and generalized Poisson algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 65 (2017), 6, 1142–1157.
- [25] Kaygorodov I., Shestakov I., Umirbaev U., Free generic Poisson fields and algebras, *Communications in Algebra*, 46 (2018), 4, 1799–1812.

- [26] Khakimdjano ν K., Khakimdjano ν Yu., Sur une classe d'algebres de Lie de dimension infinie, *Communications in Algebra*, 29 (2001), 1, 177–191.
- [27] Kosmann-Schwarzbach Y., From Poisson to Gerstenhaber algebras, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 46 (1996), 1243–1274.
- [28] Leger G., Luks E., Generalized derivations of Lie algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 228 (2000), 1, 165–203.
- [29] Leites D., Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds, *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 35 (1980), 1, 1–64.
- [30] Ling W., On Structure of n -Lie Algebras, Thesis (Universitat Siegen, Siegen, 1993).
- [31] Mathieu O., Sur un probleme de V. G. Kac: la classification de certaines algebres de Lie graduees simples, *Journal of Algebra*, 86 (1986), 2, 505–536.
- [32] Ndogmo J.C., Winternitz P., Solvable Lie algebras with abelian nilradicals, *Journal of Physics A*, 27 (1994), 405–423.
- [33] Takhtajan L., On foundations of generalized Nambu mechanics, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 160 (1994), 295–315.
- [34] Tang X., 2-Local derivations on the W-algebra $W(2, 2)$, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, 2020, DOI: 10.1142/S0219498821502376
- [35] Tang X., Biderivations and commutative post-Lie algebra structures on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 22 (2018), 6, 1347–1366.
- [36] Yang Yu., Tang X., Derivations of the Schrodinger algebra and their applications, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 58 (2018), 1-2, 567–576.
- [37] Van den Bergh M., Double Poisson algebras, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 360 (2008), 11, 5711–5769.
- [38] Xu P., Noncommutative Poisson algebras, *American Journal of Mathematics*, 116 (1994), 1, 101–125.
- [39] Xu X., Novikov-Poisson algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 190 (1997), 253–279.
- [40] Zusmanovich P., On δ -derivations of Lie algebras and superalgebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 324 (2010), 12, 3470–3486.