

To the memory of Hagen Neidhardt

FUNCTIONS OF PERTURBED COMMUTING DISSIPATIVE OPERATORS

A.B. ALEKSANDROV AND V.V. PELLER

ABSTRACT. The main objective of the paper is to obtain sharp Lipschitz type estimates for the norm of operator differences $f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2)$ for pairs (L_1, M_1) and (L_2, M_2) of commuting maximal dissipative operators. To obtain such estimates, we use double operator integrals with respect to semi-spectral measures associated with the pairs (L_1, M_1) and (L_2, M_2) . Note that the situation is considerably more complicated than in the case of functions of two commuting contractions and to overcome difficulties we had to elaborate new techniques. We deduce from the main result Hölder type estimates for operator differences as well as their estimates in Schatten–von Neumann norms.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Dissipative operators and functional calculus	2
3. Commuting dissipative operators and functional calculus	5
4. Besov spaces	9
5. Double operator integrals	10
6. A new approach to operator Lipschitz estimates for functions of a single dissipative operator	11
7. Divided differences and Haagerup tensor products	15
8. Functions of pairs of noncommuting maximal dissipative operators	19
9. The principal inequality	19
10. Hölder type estimates and estimates in Schatten–von Neumann norms	22
References	23

1. Introduction

We are going to study the behaviour of functions $f(L, M)$ of commuting maximal dissipative operators L and M under perturbation. In particular, we study conditions on functions f , under which the following Lipschitz type inequality holds:

$$\|f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2)\| \leq \text{const} \max \{ \|L_2 - L_1\|, \|M_2 - M_1\| \}$$

The research on § 4–§ 6 is supported by Russian Science Foundation [grant number 18-11-00053]. The other results are partially supported by RFBR [grant number 20-01-00209a] and were conducted with the support of the RUDN University Program 5-100.

Corresponding author: V.V. Peller; email: peller@math.msu.edu.

for commuting pairs (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) of maximal dissipative operators. We also obtain Hölder type estimates and estimates in Schatten–von Neumann norms \mathcal{S}_p .

We extend earlier results obtained for functions of self-adjoint operators and unitary operators (see [Pe1] and [Pe3]), functions of contractions (see [Pe3] and [Pe4]), functions of dissipative operators (see [AP3] and [AP5]), functions of normal operators (see [APPS]) and functions of commuting contractions (see [Pe6]).

Note that the methods developed in the papers mentioned above do not work in the case of commuting maximal dissipative operators and we had to elaborate new techniques.

In § 2 we give a brief introduction in dissipative operators, we explain how to define the semi-spectral measure of such an operator and how to construct a natural functional calculus.

In § 3 we define a semi-spectral measure of a pair of commuting maximal dissipative operators (L, M) and explain how to construct a natural functional calculus $f \mapsto f(L, M)$ for such a pair of operators.

We give a brief introduction to Besov spaces in § 4 and we define double operator integrals with respect to spectral and semi-spectral measure in § 5.

In our paper [AP5] we obtained Lipschitz type estimates for functions of maximal dissipative operators. We were unable to generalize the methods of [AP5] to the case of functions of pairs of commuting dissipative operators. In § 6 we offer a new approach in the case of functions of a single maximal dissipative operator. This new approach uses an idea, which will be used in § 9 to obtain the main result of this paper. Note that such problems are closely related to the problem of finding an integrable spectral shift function for a pair of maximal dissipative operators with trace class difference. Recall that the last problem was solved in [MNP1] and [MNP2], see also the earlier paper [MN].

In § 7 we obtain estimates of divided differences in Haagerup tensor products. Such estimates will be used in § 9. Section 8 is devoted to functions of noncommuting maximal dissipative operators; this will also be used in § 9.

Finally, we deduce from the main result of the paper Hölder type estimates and estimates of operator differences in Schatten-von Neumann norms.

2. Dissipative operators and functional calculus

In this section we give a brief introduction to maximal dissipative operators. We refer the reader to [So], [AP3] and [AP5] for more detailed information.

Recall that a not necessarily bounded linear operator L in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with domain \mathcal{D}_L is called *dissipative* if $\text{Im}(Lx, x) \geq 0$ for every x in \mathcal{D}_L . It is called *maximal dissipative* if it does not have a proper dissipative extension.

The *Cayley transform* of a dissipative operator L is defined by

$$T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (L - iI)(L + iI)^{-1}$$

with $\mathcal{D}_T = (L + iI)\mathcal{D}_L$ and range $\text{Range } T = (L - iI)\mathcal{D}_L$ (T is not densely defined in general). Then T is a contraction, i.e., $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in \mathcal{D}_T$, 1 is not an eigenvalue of T , and $\text{Range}(I - T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u - Tu : u \in \mathcal{D}_T\}$ is dense.

Conversely, if T is a contraction defined on its domain \mathcal{D}_T , 1 is not an eigenvalue of T , and $\text{Range}(I - T)$ is dense, then it is the Cayley transform of a dissipative operator L . Moreover, L is the *inverse Cayley transform of T* :

$$L = i(I + T)(I - T)^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{D}_L = \text{Range}(I - T).$$

Note that L is maximal if and only if \mathcal{D}_T is the whole Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Every dissipative operator has a maximal dissipative extension. Every maximal dissipative operator L is necessarily closed and its spectrum $\sigma(L)$ is contained in the closed upper half-plane $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+$.

If L_1 and L_2 are maximal dissipative operators, we say that the operator $L_1 - L_2$ is *bounded* if there exists a bounded operator K such that $L_2 = L_1 + K$.

Consider now the minimal unitary dilation U of the Cayley transform T of L , i.e., U is a unitary operator defined on a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} that contains \mathcal{H} such that

$$T^n = P_{\mathcal{H}} U^n|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and $\mathcal{K} = \text{clos span}\{U^n h : h \in \mathcal{H}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Since 1 is not an eigenvalue of T , it follows that 1 is not an eigenvalue of U (see [SNF], Ch. II, §6). The Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş functional calculus allows us to define a functional calculus for T on the Banach algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_{A,1} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{g \in H^\infty : g \text{ is continuous on } \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}\}: \\ g(T) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\mathcal{H}} g(U)|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad g \in \mathbb{C}_{A,1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is linear and multiplicative. Moreover,

$$\|g(T)\| \leq \|g\|_{H^\infty}, \quad g \in \mathbb{C}_{A,1},$$

(see [SNF], Ch. III).

We can define now a functional calculus for our dissipative operator on the Banach algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_{A,\infty} &= \{f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) : f \text{ is continuous on } \mathbb{R}\}: \\ f(L) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (f \circ \omega)(T), \quad f \in \mathbb{C}_{A,\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where ω is the conformal map of \mathbb{D} onto \mathbb{C}_+ defined by $\omega(\zeta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i(1 + \zeta)(1 - \zeta)^{-1}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$.

We can extend now this functional calculus to the class $(z + i)\mathbb{C}_{A,\infty}$. It is easy to see that this class contains the class $\text{Lip}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$ of Lipschitz functions on $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+$ that are analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ .

Suppose that $f \in (z + i)\mathbb{C}_{A,\infty}$. We have

$$f(\zeta) = \frac{f_i(\zeta)}{(\zeta + i)^{-1}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad \text{where } f_i(\zeta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta + i}. \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly, $f_i \in \mathbb{C}_{A,\infty}$. The (possibly unbounded) operator $f(L)$ can be defined by

$$f(L) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (L + iI)f_i(L) \quad (2.2)$$

(see [SNF], Ch. IV, § 1). It follows from Theorem 1.1 of Ch. IV of [SNF] that

$$f(L) \supset f_i(L)(L + iI), \quad (2.3)$$

and so $\mathcal{D}_{f(L)} \supset \mathcal{D}_L$.

If L is a maximal dissipative operator in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we say that a self-adjoint operator A in a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$, is called a *resolvent self-adjoint dilation* of L if

$$(L - \lambda I)^{-1} = P_{\mathcal{H}}(A - \lambda I)^{-1}\Big|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \text{Im } \lambda < 0.$$

The dilation is called *minimal* if

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{clos span} \{(A - \lambda I)^{-1}v : v \in \mathcal{H}, \text{Im } \lambda < 0\}.$$

If $f \in C_{A,\infty}$, then

$$f(L) = P_{\mathcal{H}}f(A)\Big|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad f \in C_{A,\infty}.$$

A minimal resolvent self-adjoint dilation of a maximal dissipative operator always exists (and is unique up to a natural isomorphism). Indeed, it suffices to take a minimal unitary dilation of the Cayley transform of this operator and apply the inverse Cayley transform to it.

Definition. A *semi-spectral measure* \mathcal{E} on a σ -algebra Σ of subsets of a set \mathcal{X} is a map that takes values in the set of nonnegative operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} that is countably additive in the strong operator topology and such that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X}) = I$.

By a theorem of Naimark (see [Na]), a semi-spectral measure \mathcal{E} is a *compression* of a spectral measure, i.e., there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} such that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{K}$, there is a spectral measure E on \mathcal{K} defined on the same σ -algebra Σ such that

$$\mathcal{E}(\Delta) = P_{\mathcal{H}}E(\Delta)\Big|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \Delta \in \Sigma.$$

In this case E is called a *dilation* of \mathcal{E} . A dilation E is called *minimal* if

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{clos span}\{E(\Delta)v : \Delta \in \Sigma, v \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Note that it was shown in [MM] that if E is a minimal dilation of a semi-spectral measure \mathcal{E} , then \mathcal{E} and E are mutually absolutely continuous.

The semi-spectral measure \mathcal{E}_T of the Cayley transform T of L is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_T(\Delta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\mathcal{H}}E_U(\Delta)\Big|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where Δ is a Borel subset of \mathbb{T} and E_U is the spectral measure of the minimal unitary dilation U of T .

Then

$$g(T) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(\zeta) d\mathcal{E}_T(\zeta), \quad g \in C_{A,1}. \quad (2.4)$$

The semi-spectral measure \mathcal{E}_L of L is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_L(\Delta) = \mathcal{E}_T(\omega^{-1}(\Delta)), \quad \Delta \text{ is a Borel subset of } \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows easily from (2.4) that

$$f(L) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\mathcal{E}_L(x), \quad f \in C_{A,\infty}. \quad (2.5)$$

If A is the minimal self-adjoint resolvent dilation of L and E_A is the spectral measure of A , then

$$\mathcal{E}_L(\Delta) = P_{\mathcal{H}} E_A(\Delta)|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \Delta \text{ is a Borel subset of } \mathbb{R}.$$

3. Commuting dissipative operators and functional calculus

Recall that for not necessarily bounded linear operators T and R in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with domains \mathcal{D}_T and \mathcal{D}_R , the operator TR is defined on its domain

$$\mathcal{D}_{TR} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in \mathcal{D}_R : \text{and } Ru \in \mathcal{D}_T\} \quad \text{and} \quad TRu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T(Ru), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_{TR}.$$

We are going to use repeatedly the following trivial observation.

Remark. If \mathcal{M} is a dense linear subset of \mathcal{H} and T is a closed linear operator with dense range such that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{D}_T$, then $T(\mathcal{M})$ is dense in \mathcal{H} . Indeed, let $y \perp T(\mathcal{M})$. Then for $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $0 = (Tx, y) = (x, T^*y)$, and so $T^*y = 0$, i. e. $y \in (\text{Range } T)^\perp$.

Let L and M be maximal dissipative operators in \mathcal{H} . We say that L and M *commute in the resolvent sense* if their resolvents $(L + iI)^{-1}$ and $(M + iI)^{-1}$ commute. Slightly abusing terminology, we say that L and M commute if they commute in the resolvent sense. It is easy to verify that $(L + iI)^{-1}$ and $(M + iI)^{-1}$ commute if and only if the Cayley transforms $(L - iI)(L + iI)^{-1}$ and $(M - iI)(M + iI)^{-1}$ of L and M commute.

Lemma 3.1. *Let L and M be commuting maximal dissipative operators. Put*

$$\mathcal{L} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Range}(L + iI)^{-1}(M + iI)^{-1} = \text{Range}(M + iI)^{-1}(L + iI)^{-1}.$$

Then \mathcal{L} is a dense subset of \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}_L \cap \mathcal{D}_M$.

Proof. It follows trivially from the definition of \mathcal{L} that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}_{L+iI} = \mathcal{D}_L$ and $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}_{M+iI} = \mathcal{D}_M$. To show that \mathcal{L} is dense in \mathcal{H} it suffices to observe that

$$\mathcal{L} = (L + iI)^{-1}(\text{Range}(M + iI)^{-1}) = (L + iI)^{-1}\mathcal{D}_M,$$

\mathcal{D}_M is dense in \mathcal{H} and $\text{Range}(L + iI)^{-1}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} . ■

Note that it follows from the definition of \mathcal{L} that

$$(L + iI)\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}_M = \mathcal{D}_{M+iI} \quad \text{and} \quad (M + iI)\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}_L = \mathcal{D}_{L+iI}.$$

Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\mathcal{D}_{(L+iI)(M+iI)} = \mathcal{D}_{(M+iI)(L+iI)} = \mathcal{L} \quad \text{and} \quad (L + iI)(M + iI) = (M + iI)(L + iI). \quad (3.1)$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{D}_{LM} \neq \mathcal{D}_{ML}$ in general. Indeed, suppose that L is an unbounded maximal dissipative operator with bounded L^{-1} . Put $M = -L^{-1}$. Then $\mathcal{D}_L \neq \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}_M = \mathcal{H}$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}_{LM} = \mathcal{D}_M = \mathcal{H}$ but $\mathcal{D}_{ML} = \mathcal{D}_L \neq \mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let L and M be commuting maximal dissipative operators and let $u \in \mathcal{D}_L \cap \mathcal{D}_M$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $u \in \mathcal{D}_{LM}$;
- (b) $u \in \mathcal{D}_{ML}$;
- (c) $u \in \mathcal{L}$.

Moreover, $L(Mu) = M(Lu)$ if one of statements (a), (b) or (c) holds.

Proof. The result follows from (3.1). Indeed, let $u \in \mathcal{D}_L \cap \mathcal{D}_M$, then $u \in \mathcal{D}_{(L+iI)(M+iI)}$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{D}_{LM}$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}_{(M+iI)(L+iI)}$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{D}_{ML}$. Moreover, $u \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{D}_{(L+iI)(M+iI)}$. It remains to observe that $u \in \mathcal{D}_{(L+iI)(M+iI)}$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{D}_{LM}$. Finally, the equality $LMu = MLu$ trivially follows from the equality $(L+iI)(M+iI)u = (M+iI)(L+iI)u$. ■

Lemma 3.3. *Let L and M be maximal dissipative operators. Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a dense linear subset of \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{D}_{LM} \cap \mathcal{D}_{ML}$. If $L(Mu) = M(Lu)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{M}$, then L and M commute.*

Proof. Let us first show that $(L+iI)(M+iI)\mathcal{M}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} . Clearly,

$$(M+iI)^{-1}(L+iI)^{-1}u = (L+iI)^{-1}(M+iI)^{-1}u \quad (3.2)$$

for all $u \in (L+iI)(M+iI)\mathcal{M} = (M+iI)(L+iI)\mathcal{M}$. Hence, (3.2) holds for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$. ■

Theorem 3.4. *Let L and M be maximal dissipative operators. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) L and M commute (in the resolvent sense);
- (b) $\mathcal{D}_{LM} \cap \mathcal{D}_{ML}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} and $L(Mu) = M(Lu)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{D}_{LM} \cap \mathcal{D}_{ML}$;
- (c) $(L+iI)(M+iI) = (M+iI)(L+iI)$;
- (d) the Cayley transforms of L and M commute.

Proof. The implication (a) \Rightarrow (b) follows from Lemma 3.2. The implication (b) \Rightarrow (a) follows from Lemma 3.3. Next, (c) follows from (a) by (3.1). Finally, let us establish that (c) \Rightarrow (a). Suppose that

$$(L+iI)(M+iI) = (M+iI)(L+iI).$$

Then

$$(L+iI)(M+iI)(L+iI)^{-1}(M+iI)^{-1} = I,$$

whence

$$(L+iI)^{-1}(M+iI)^{-1} = (M+iI)^{-1}(L+iI)^{-1}.$$

The equivalence of (a) and (d) was mentioned above. ■

Consider now the Cayley transforms $T = (L-iI)(L+iI)^{-1}$ and $R = (M-iI)(M+iI)^{-1}$ of L and M . It is well known that $\text{Ker}(I-T) = \text{Ker}(I-T^*) = \text{Ker}(I-R) = \text{Ker}(I-R^*) = \{0\}$.

By Ando's theorem [An], T and R have commuting unitary dilations. In other words, there exist a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} that contains \mathcal{H} and commuting unitary operators U and V on \mathcal{K} such that

$$P_{\mathcal{H}}U^jV^k|_{\mathcal{H}} = T^jR^k, \quad j, k \geq 0,$$

where $P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the orthogonal projections onto \mathcal{H} .

Let us show that without loss of generality we may assume that $1 \notin \sigma_p(U)$ and $1 \notin \sigma_p(V)$. Indeed, let $\mathcal{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ker}(U - I)$. Then \mathcal{N} is a reducing subspace of U . Let us show that \mathcal{N} also reduces V . Indeed, suppose that $x \in \mathcal{N}$. Then

$$UVx = VUx = Vx \quad \text{and} \quad UV^{-1}x = V^{-1}Ux = V^{-1}x$$

and so both Vx and $V^{-1}x$ belong to \mathcal{N} .

It is well known that the minimal unitary dilation of T has no point spectrum at 1, and so $\mathcal{N} \perp \mathcal{H}$. We can replace now \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ and the unitary operators U and V with their restrictions to $\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{N}$. Thus, we may assume that 1 is not an eigenvalue of U . Similarly, we can consider $\text{Ker}(V - I)$ and consider the restrictions of the unitary dilations to its orthogonal complement.

We proceed now to the construction of a functional calculus

$$f \mapsto f(L, M).$$

First, we construct a functional calculus for the Cayley transforms T and R .

We define the subclass $C_{A,1}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ of the Hardy class $H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ of functions on the bidisk \mathbb{T}^2 by

$$C_{A,1}(\mathbb{T}^2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) : g \text{ is continuous on } \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \{1, 1\}\}.$$

For a function g in $C_{A,1}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, we put

$$g(T, R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\mathcal{H}} g(U, V) \Big|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Note that $g(T, R)$ does not depend on the choice of commuting unitary dilations. Indeed, it is easy to see that

$$g(T, R)x = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} g_r(T, R)x, \quad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $g_r(\zeta, \tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(r\zeta, r\tau)$, and

$$g_r(T, R) = \sum_{j,k \geq 0} r^{j+k} \widehat{g}(j, k) T^j R^k$$

which does not depend on U or V .

Consider now the class

$$C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+^2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+^2) : f \text{ is continuous on } \mathbb{R}^2\},$$

where $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+^2)$ is the Hardy class of bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{C}_+^2 . We can define now the functional calculus $f \mapsto f(L, M)$ on the class $C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+^2)$ by

$$f(L, M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (f \circ \omega)(T, R),$$

where

$$\omega(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (i(1 + \zeta_1)(1 - \zeta_1)^{-1}, i(1 + \zeta_2)(1 - \zeta_2)^{-1}), \quad \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Consider now the joint spectral measure $E_{U,V}$ of the commuting pair (U, V) . We can define now the semi-spectral measure \mathcal{E} by

$$\mathcal{E}(\Delta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\mathcal{H}} E_{U,V}(\Delta) \Big|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where Δ is a Borel subset of \mathbb{T}^2 . We say that \mathcal{E} is a *semi-spectral measure of the pair* (T, R) *of commuting contractions*. We do not know whether it can depend on the choice of the commuting unitary dilation (U, V) . However, we can select one of such semi-spectral measures and denote it by $\mathcal{E}_{T,R}$. It is easy to see that

$$g(T, R) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} g(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) d\mathcal{E}_{T,R}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2), \quad g \in C_{A,1}(\mathbb{T}^2).$$

This allows us to define the semi-spectral measure $\mathcal{E}_{L,M}$ of the pair (L, M) by

$$\mathcal{E}_{L,M}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{E}_{U,V}(\omega^{-1}(\Lambda)),$$

where Λ is a Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . It is easy to verify that

$$f(L, M) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\zeta) d\mathcal{E}_{L,M}(\zeta)$$

for an arbitrary function f in $C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+^2)$. We are going to say that $\mathcal{E}_{L,M}$ is a *semi-spectral measure of the commuting pair* (L, M) .

We can extend now this functional calculus to the class $(z_1+i)(z_2+i)C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+^2)$, which contains the class $\text{Lip}_A(\mathbb{C}_+^2)$ of Lipschitz functions on $(\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+)^2$ that are analytic in \mathbb{C}_+^2 .

If f belongs to this class, we put

$$f_i(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{f(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)}{(\zeta_1 + i)(\zeta_2 + i)}, \quad \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Clearly, $f_i \in C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+^2)$. We define the (not necessarily bounded operator) $f(L, M)$ by

$$f(L, M) = (L + iI)(M + iI)f_i(L, M). \quad (3.3)$$

As in the case of functions of one dissipative operator, we have

$$f(L, M) \supset f_i(L, M)(L + iI)(M + iI)$$

(cf. (2.3)).

To see this, we can use the same argument as in the case of functions of a single maximal dissipative operator (see Ch. IV of [SNF]). We present a proof for the sake of reader's convenience.

Equality (3.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned} f(L, M)((L + iI)(M + iI))^{-1} &= (L + iI)(M + iI)f_i(L, M)((L + iI)(M + iI))^{-1} \\ &= (L + iI)(M + iI)((L + iI)(M + iI))^{-1}f_i(L, M) = f_i(L, M). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$f(L, M)((L + iI)(M + iI))^{-1}(L + iI)(M + iI) = f_i(L, M)(L + iI)(M + iI)$$

Taking into account that $((L + iI)(M + iI))^{-1}(L + iI)(M + iI) \subset I$, we see that

$$f(L, M) \supset f_i(L, M)(L + iI)(M + iI).$$

4. Besov spaces

In this paper we deal with the homogeneous Besov class $B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, we need only the analytic Besov class $(B_{\infty,1}^1)_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We refer the reader to the book [Pee] and the papers [ANP] and [AP4] for more information on Besov classes $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here we give the definition only in the case when $p = \infty$, $q = s = 1$. Let w be an infinitely differentiable function on \mathbb{R} such that

$$w \geq 0, \quad \text{supp } w \subset \left[\frac{1}{2}, 2 \right], \quad \text{and} \quad w(t) = 1 - w\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \quad \text{for } t \in [1, 2]. \quad (4.1)$$

Consider the functions W_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$(\mathcal{F}W_n)(x) = w\left(\frac{\|x\|_2}{2^n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d), \quad \|x\|_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where \mathcal{F} is the *Fourier transform* defined on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-i(x,t)} dx, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d), \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_d), \quad (x, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^d x_j t_j.$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{F}W_n)(t) = 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

With each tempered distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we associate the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$,

$$f_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f * W_n. \quad (4.2)$$

The formal series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n$ is a Littlewood–Paley type expansion of f . This series does not necessarily converge to f .

Initially we define the (homogeneous) Besov class $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as the space of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^n \|f_n\|_{L^\infty} < \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

According to this definition, the space $\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains all polynomials and all polynomials f satisfy the equality $\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,1}^1} = 0$. Moreover, the distribution f is determined by the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ uniquely up to a polynomial. It is easy to see that the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} f_n$ converges in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. However, the series $\sum_{n < 0} f_n$ can diverge in general. Obviously, the series

$$\sum_{n < 0} \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d, \quad (4.4)$$

converges uniformly on \mathbb{R}^d . Now we say that f belongs to the *homogeneous Besov class* $B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if (4.3) holds and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d.$$

A function f is determined uniquely by the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ up to a constant, and a polynomial g belongs to $B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if it is a constant.

Put

$$(B_{\infty,1}^1)_+(\mathbb{R}^d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) : \text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset [0, +\infty)^d\}.$$

Functions of class $B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be considered as functions analytic in \mathbb{C}_+^d and continuous on $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+^d$.

5. Double operator integrals

Double operator integrals with respect to spectral measures are expressions of the form

$$\iint_{\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2} \Phi(x_1, x_2) dE_1(x) Q dE_2(y). \quad (5.1)$$

Here E_1 and E_2 are spectral measures on a Hilbert space, Φ is a bounded measurable function and Q is a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{H} .

Double operator integrals appeared first in [DK]. Later Birman and Solomyak elaborated a beautiful theory of double operator integrals in [BS1]–[BS3]. The starting point of the Birman–Solomyak approach is the definition of the double operator integral in the case when Q belongs to the Hilbert–Schmidt class \mathcal{S}_2 , in which case the double operator integral in (5.1) must also be in \mathcal{S}_2 and $\|\iint \Phi dE_1 Q dE_2\|_{\mathcal{S}_2} \leq \sup |\Phi| \cdot \|Q\|_{\mathcal{S}_2}$.

However, if we want to define the double operator integral for an arbitrary bounded linear operator Q , we have to impose additional assumptions on Φ . The natural class of functions Φ , for which the double operator integral determines a bounded linear operator for all bounded Q is the *Haagerup tensor product* $L_{E_1}^\infty \otimes_{\text{h}} L_{E_2}^\infty$, which consists of functions Φ of the form

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2) = \sum_n \varphi_n(x_1) \psi_n(x_2),$$

where φ_n and ψ_n are functions in $L_{E_1}^\infty$ and $L_{E_2}^\infty$ satisfying

$$\left\| \sum_n |\varphi_n|^2 \right\|_{L_{E_1}^\infty} \left\| \sum_n |\psi_n|^2 \right\|_{L_{E_2}^\infty} < \infty$$

(see [BS3], [Pe1] and [AP4]). In this case

$$\iint \Phi dE_1 Q dE_2 = \sum_n \left(\int \varphi_n dE_1 \right) Q \left(\int \psi_n dE_2 \right)$$

and the series converges in the weak operator topology.

In a similar way we can define Haagerup tensor products of subspaces of L^∞ .

Double operator integrals with respect to semi-spectral measures were first considered in [Pe2]. Let \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 be semi-spectral measures on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let E_1 and E_2 are spectral measures on Hilbert spaces \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 , which are minimal dilations of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 (recall that $\mathcal{K}_1 \supset \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}_2 \supset \mathcal{H}$). As we have mentioned in § 2, E_j and \mathcal{E}_j are mutually absolutely continuous, $j = 1, 2$.

Suppose that Φ is a function in the Haagerup tensor product $L^\infty_{E_1} \otimes_{\text{h}} L^\infty_{E_2} = L^\infty_{\mathcal{E}_1} \otimes_{\text{h}} L^\infty_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ and let Q be a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{H} . Then

$$\iint \Phi(x_1, x_2) d\mathcal{E}_1(x_1) Q d\mathcal{E}_2(x_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{K}_1} \iint \Phi(x_1, x_2) dE_1(x_1) Q_{\flat} dE_2(x_2) \Big|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where Q_{\flat} is the bounded linear from \mathcal{K}_2 to \mathcal{K}_1 defined by $Q_{\flat} u = Q P_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{K}_2} u$, $u \in \mathcal{K}_2$, where $P_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{K}_j}$ is the orthogonal projections from \mathcal{K}_j onto \mathcal{H} , $j = 1, 2$.

6. A new approach to operator Lipschitz estimates for functions of a single dissipative operator

The purpose of this section is to give an alternative proof of Theorem 6.1 below, which is the main result of [AP4]. Recall that a function f continuous on the upper half-plane $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+$ is called an *operator Lipschitz function on $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+$* if there exists a constant C such that

$$\|f(N_1) - f(N_2)\| \leq C \|N_1 - N_2\| \tag{6.1}$$

for arbitrary normal operators N_1 and N_2 with spectra in $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+$. We denote by $\text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$ the space of operator Lipschitz functions on $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+$ that are analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ . For $f \in \text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$, we denote by $\|f\|_{\text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)}$ be the smallest constant C , for which (6.1) holds. Note that the class $\text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$ coincides with the class $\text{CL}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ of commutator Lipschitz functions on $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+$, see [AP4]. Let us also mention that the class $\text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$ can be identified with the class operator of Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{R} whose derivative belongs to $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$.

Suppose that $f \in \text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and consider its restriction to the real line \mathbb{R} . We are going to use the same notation f for the restriction. Then f is an *operator Lipschitz function on the real line \mathbb{R}* , i.e.,

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\| \leq \text{const} \|A - B\|$$

whenever A and B are self-adjoint operators with bounded $A - B$. By a theorem of Johnson and Williams (see [AP4]), such a function f must be differentiable everywhere on \mathbb{R} . Thus, we can define the divided difference $\mathfrak{D}f$

$$(\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}, & x \neq y, \\ 0, & x = y. \end{cases}$$

It is well known (see [AP4], Th. 3.9.6) that for operator Lipschitz functions f on \mathbb{R} , the divided difference $\mathfrak{D}f$ is a Schur multiplier with respect to arbitrary Borel spectral

measures E_1 and E_2 , and so

$$\left\| \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) dE_1(x) Q dE_2(y) \right\| \leq \text{const } \|Q\|$$

for an arbitrary bounded operator Q . Clearly, the same estimate holds if we replace spectral measures with semi-spectral measures.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $f \in \text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$. Then for arbitrary maximal dissipative operators L and M with bounded $L - M$, the following formula holds:*

$$f(L) - f(M) = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x) (L - M) d\mathcal{E}_M(y), \quad (6.2)$$

and so

$$\|f(L) - f(M)\| \leq \text{const } \|f\|_{\text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)} \|L - M\|.$$

Comment. We assume that the operators $L - M$ and $f(L) - f(M)$ are extended by continuity to the whole space.

To prove the theorem, we need a lemma. Put $\iota(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} z(1 - iz)^{-1}$, $L_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \iota(L)$ and $M_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \iota(M)$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let L , M and f satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 6.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x) (L_1 - M_1) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) \\ &= (I - iL)^{-1} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x) (L - M) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) \right) (I - iM)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. We have

$$L_1 - M_1 = L(I - iL)^{-1} - M(I - iM)^{-1} = (I - iL)^{-1} (L - M) (I - iM)^{-1}$$

by a version of the Hilbert resolvent identity. Applying this identity, we obtain the desired equality. ■

Proof of Theorem 6.1. In view of (6.3), it suffices to prove that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x) (L_1 - M_1) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) = (I - iL)^{-1} (f(L) - f(M)) (I - iM)^{-1}. \quad (6.4)$$

Let us first assume that f is bounded. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x)(L_1 - M_1) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x)L_1 d\mathcal{E}_M(y) \\
& - \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x)M_1 d\mathcal{E}_M(y) = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \iota(x)(\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) \\
& - \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \iota(y)(\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (f(x) - f(y))(\mathfrak{D}\iota)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) \\
& = (I - iL)^{-1} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (f(x) - f(y)) d\mathcal{E}_L(x) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) \right) (I - iM)^{-1} \\
& = (I - iL)^{-1}(f(L) - f(M))(I - iM)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (6.4).

Let now f be an arbitrary function in $\text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$. Put $f_\varepsilon(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1 - i\varepsilon z)^{-1}f(z)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. Clearly, the functions f_ε are bounded. Moreover, $f_\varepsilon(z) \in \text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$. This follows from Theorem 4.6 in [A]

We prove this for the reader's convenience. It suffices to consider the case when $f(0) = 0$. Then f can be represented in the form $f(z) = zg(z)$, where $g \in H^\infty \cap C(\mathbb{R})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_\varepsilon(N_1) - f_\varepsilon(N_2) &= (I - i\varepsilon N_1)^{-1}(f(N_1) - f(N_2)) \\
&+ ((I - i\varepsilon N_1)^{-1} - (I - i\varepsilon N_2)^{-1})f(N_2) \\
&= (I - i\varepsilon N_1)^{-1}(f(N_1) - f(N_2)) - (I - i\varepsilon N_1)^{-1}(N_1 - N_2)g(N_2) \\
&+ (N_1(I - i\varepsilon N_1)^{-1} - N_2(I - i\varepsilon N_2)^{-1})g(N_2) \\
&= (I - i\varepsilon N_1)^{-1}(f(N_1) - f(N_2)) - (I - i\varepsilon N_1)^{-1}(N_1 - N_2)g(N_2) \\
&+ (I - i\varepsilon N_1)^{-1}(N_1 - N_2)(I - i\varepsilon N_2)^{-1}g(N_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now it is clear that $f_\varepsilon \in \text{OL}_A(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and we have

$$f_\varepsilon(L) - f_\varepsilon(M) = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f_\varepsilon)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x)(L - M) d\mathcal{E}_M(y)$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

We can still assume that $f(0) = 0$. Then $|f(z)| \leq k|z|$ everywhere in \mathbb{C}_+ for some $k > 0$. Hence, $|f_\varepsilon(z)| \leq k\varepsilon^{-1}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

Let us observe that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f_\varepsilon(L)x = f(L)x$ for every x in \mathcal{D}_L . Indeed, keeping in mind that $|(1 - i\varepsilon z)^{-1}| \leq 1$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (1 - i\varepsilon z)^{-1} = 1$ whenever $\text{Im } z \geq 0$, we see that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (I - i\varepsilon L)^{-1} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 - i\varepsilon x)^{-1} d\mathcal{E}_L(x) = I$$

in the strong operator topology. Hence,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (I - i\varepsilon L)^{-1} f(L) (L + iI)^{-1} = f(L) (L + iI)^{-1}$$

in the strong operator topology. It follows that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f_\varepsilon(L)u = f(L)u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{D}_L$. Similarly, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f_\varepsilon(M)u = f(M)u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{D}_M = \mathcal{D}_L$.

To prove that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (f_\varepsilon(L) - f_\varepsilon(M)) = f(L) - f(M)$ in the strong operator topology it suffices to verify that $\|f_\varepsilon(L) - f_\varepsilon(M)\| \leq c\|L - M\|$, where c can depend only on f .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{D}f_\varepsilon)(x, y) &= \frac{(1 - i\varepsilon x)^{-1} f(x) - (1 - i\varepsilon y)^{-1} f(y)}{x - y} \\ &= \frac{f(x) - i\varepsilon y f(x) - f(y) + i\varepsilon x f(y)}{(1 - i\varepsilon x)(x - y)(1 - i\varepsilon y)} \\ &= (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y)(1 - i\varepsilon y)^{-1} + i\varepsilon f_\varepsilon(x)(1 - i\varepsilon y)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathfrak{D}f_\varepsilon)(x, y)\|_{C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \|(\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y)(1 - i\varepsilon y)^{-1}\|_{C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + \|i\varepsilon f_\varepsilon(x)(1 - i\varepsilon y)^{-1}\|_{C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \|(\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y)\|_{C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + \varepsilon \|f_\varepsilon\|_{C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \|(\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y)\|_{C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + k, \end{aligned}$$

whence $\|f_\varepsilon(L) - f_\varepsilon(M)\| \leq \left(\|(\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y)\|_{C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + k \right) \|L - M\|$.

It remains to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f_\varepsilon)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x)(L - M) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x)(L - M) d\mathcal{E}_M(y)$$

in the strong operator topology. Applying (6.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(L) - f_\varepsilon(M) &= \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f_\varepsilon)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x)(L - M) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) \\ &= \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (\mathfrak{D}f)(x, y) d\mathcal{E}_L(x)(L - M) d\mathcal{E}_M(y) + i\varepsilon f_\varepsilon(L)(L - M) \right) (1 - i\varepsilon M)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now to pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ it suffices to observe that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (1 - i\varepsilon M)^{-1} = I$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon f_\varepsilon(L) = \mathbf{0}$ in the strong operator topology. The first equality has been proved above while the second equality can be proved in the same way. ■

7. Divided differences and Haagerup tensor products

The main result of the paper will be obtained in § 9. To obtain such an estimate, we are going to use an integral formula for the operator difference $f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2)$, where (L_1, M_1) and (L_2, M_2) are pairs of commuting maximal dissipative operators and $f \in (B_{\infty,1}^1)_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We are going to establish the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2) &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1)(M_1 - M_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_2) - f(s_2, t_2)}{s_1 - s_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1)(L_1 - L_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2), \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where \mathcal{E}_1 is a semi-spectral measure of (L_1, M_1) and \mathcal{E}_2 is a semi-spectral measure of (L_2, M_2) .

It is easy to see that it suffices to prove (7.1) for functions f in the class $(\mathcal{E}_\sigma^\infty)_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defined below.

To establish this formula, we first have to show that the integrands in both double operator integrals on the right belong to the Haagerup integral product $L_{\mathcal{E}_1}^\infty \otimes_{\text{h}} L_{\mathcal{E}_2}^\infty$, and so the right-hand side of (7.1) makes sense. Then we have to prove that the right-hand side of (7.1) coincides with its left-hand side.

To this end we show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1)(M_1 - M_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &= f(L_1, M_1) - \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} f(s, t) d\mathcal{E}_{L_1}(s) d\mathcal{E}_{M_2}(t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_2) - f(s_2, t_2)}{s_1 - s_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1)(L_1 - L_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} f(s, t) d\mathcal{E}_{L_1}(s) d\mathcal{E}_{M_2}(t) - f(L_2, M_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that both identities involve the double operator integral

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} f(s, t) d\mathcal{E}_{L_1}(s) d\mathcal{E}_{M_2}(t).$$

It can be interpreted as a function $f(L_1, M_2)$ of our (*not necessarily commuting*) maximal dissipative operators L_1 and M_2 . We define in § 8 such functions of noncommutative maximal dissipative operators and we will prove the above identities in § 9.

Let $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_\sigma^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of the functions $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \sigma B^d$, where B^d denotes the closed unit ball of \mathbb{R}^d . It is well known that each function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\sigma^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the restriction to \mathbb{R}^d of an entire function on \mathbb{C}^d of exponential type at most σ . Put

$$(\mathcal{E}_\sigma^p)_+(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) : \text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset [0, \infty)^d\}.$$

We are going to consider the cases $d = 1$ and $d = 2$. In the case $d = 1$ we write \mathcal{E}_σ^p and $(\mathcal{E}_\sigma^p)_+$ instead $\mathcal{E}_\sigma^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $(\mathcal{E}_\sigma^p)_+(\mathbb{R})$.

It follows from the results of [Pe3] that

$$f \in \mathcal{E}_\sigma^\infty \implies \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \right\|_{\mathfrak{M}(E_1, E_2)} \leq \text{const } \sigma \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \quad (7.2)$$

for all Borel spectral measures E_1 and E_2 on \mathbb{R} . It was shown in [AP4] that inequality (7.2) holds with constant equal to 1.

In [APPS] for $f \in \mathcal{E}_\sigma^\infty$, an explicit representation of the divided difference $\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$ was obtained as an element of $C_b(\mathbb{R}) \otimes_h C_b(\mathbb{R})$, where $C_b(\mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$. Clearly such a representation holds for all functions f in $(\mathcal{E}_\sigma^\infty)_+$.

However, we need an expansion $\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} = \sum_n \varphi_n(x) \psi_n(y)$ with the additional requirement that φ_n and $\psi_n \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $f \in (\mathcal{E}_\sigma^\infty)_+$. Then*

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma \cdot \frac{f(x) - f(2\sigma^{-1}\pi n)}{\sigma x - 2\pi n} \cdot \frac{e^{i\sigma y} - 1}{i(\sigma y - 2\pi n)} \quad (7.3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x) - f(t)}{x - t} \cdot \frac{e^{i\sigma(y-t)} - 1}{y - t} dt, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7.4)$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|f(x) - f(2\pi\sigma^{-1}n)|^2}{(\sigma x - 2\pi n)^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(x) - f(t)|^2}{(x - t)^2} dt \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (7.5)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|e^{i\sigma y} - 1|^2}{(\sigma y - 2\pi n)^2} = 1 = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|e^{i\sigma(y-t)} - 1|^2}{(y - t)^2} dt, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7.6)$$

Note that the identities in (7.6) are elementary and well known.

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to consider the case $\sigma = 1$.

We are going to use the well-known facts that the family $\left\{ \frac{e^{iz}-1}{i(z-2\pi n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms an orthogonal basis in the space $(\mathcal{E}_1^2)_+$,

$$g(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(2\pi n) \frac{e^{iz} - 1}{i(z - 2\pi n)}, \quad (7.7)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(2\pi n)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(t)|^2 dt. \quad (7.8)$$

for every $g \in (\mathcal{E}_1^2)_+$ (this follows from Th. 1 in [L], Lect. 20.2). It follows immediately from (7.8) that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(2\pi n) \overline{h(2\pi n)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) \overline{h(t)} dt \quad \text{for every } g, h \in (\mathcal{E}_1^2)_+. \quad (7.9)$$

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the function g defined by $g(\lambda) = \frac{f(x)-f(\lambda)}{x-\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Clearly, $g \in (\mathcal{E}_1^2)_+$.

It is easy to see that (7.3) is a consequence of (7.7) and the equality in (7.5) is a consequence of (7.8). It is also easy to see that (7.4) follows from (7.9).

It remains to prove that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(x) - f(t)|^2}{(x-t)^2} dt \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

for arbitrary $f \in (\mathcal{E}_1^\infty)_+$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = 1$. Then $\|f'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ by the Bernstein inequality. Hence, $|f(x) - f(t)| \leq \min\{2, |x-t|\}$, and we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(x) - f(t)|^2}{(x-t)^2} dt \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\min\{4, (x-t)^2\}}{(x-t)^2} dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^2 dt + \frac{4}{\pi} \int_2^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2} = \frac{4}{\pi}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. Note that the equality

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x) - f(t)}{x - t} \cdot \frac{e^{i\sigma(y-t)} - 1}{y - t} dt,$$

is an immediate consequence of the well-known fact that $\frac{e^{i\sigma(x-y)} - 1}{2\pi i(x-y)}$ is the reproducing kernel for the functional Hilbert space $(\mathcal{E}_\sigma^2)_+$.

Let $f \in (\mathcal{E}_\sigma^\infty)_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Put

$$(\mathfrak{D}_x f)(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathfrak{D}_x f)(x_1; x_2, y_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{f(x_1, y_2) - f(x_2, y_2)}{x_1 - x_2}$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{D}_y f)(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathfrak{D}_y f)(x_1, y_1; y_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, y_2)}{y_1 - y_2}.$$

Let $C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) : \text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset [0, \infty)^d\}$, $C_{A,\infty} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+)$.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\sigma > 0$ and let $f \in (\mathcal{E}_\sigma^\infty)_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{D}_x f, \mathfrak{D}_y f \in C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

$$\|\mathfrak{D}_x f\|_{C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sigma \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

and

$$\|\mathfrak{D}_y f\|_{C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sigma \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Proof. Clearly, f is the restriction to \mathbb{R}^2 of an entire function of two complex variables. Moreover, $f(\cdot, a), f(a, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_\sigma^\infty$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$. It suffices to consider the case $\sigma = 1$. By Theorem 7.1, we have

$$(\mathfrak{D}_x f)(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) = \frac{f(x_1, y_2) - f(x_2, y_2)}{x_1 - x_2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{ix_1} - 1}{i(x_1 - 2\pi n)} \cdot \frac{f(x_2, y_2) - f(2\pi n, y_2)}{x_2 - 2\pi n}$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{D}_y f)(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2) = \frac{f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, y_2)}{y_1 - y_2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, 2\pi n)}{y_1 - 2\pi n} \cdot \frac{e^{iy_2} - 1}{i(y_2 - 2\pi n)}.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, 2\pi n)|^2}{(y_1 - 2\pi n)^2} &\leq \frac{4}{\pi} \|f(x_1, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 3 \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \\ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|f(x_2, y_2) - f(2\pi n, y_2)|^2}{(x_2 - 2\pi n)^2} &\leq \frac{4}{\pi} \|f(\cdot, y_2)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 3 \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|e^{ix_1} - 1|^2}{(x_1 - 2\pi n)^2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|e^{iy_2} - 1|^2}{(y_2 - 2\pi n)^2} = 1.$$

This implies the result. ■

Put $\varkappa(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1 - ix)^{-1}$.

Corollary 7.3. *Let $f \in (\mathcal{E}_\sigma^\infty)_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where $\sigma > 0$. Then*

$$\varkappa(x)f(x, y) \in C_{A,\infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \varkappa(y)f(x, y) \in C_{A,\infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|\varkappa(x)f(x, y)\|_{C_{A,\infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A,\infty}} \leq \text{const}(1 + \sigma) \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

and

$$\|\varkappa(y)f(x, y)\|_{C_{A, \infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A, \infty}} \leq \text{const}(1 + \sigma)\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the result for the function $\varkappa(x)f(x, y)$. We have $f(x, y) = x(\mathfrak{D}_x f)(x; 0, y) + f(0, y)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varkappa(x)f(x, y)\|_{C_{A, \infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A, \infty}} &\leq \|(1 - ix)^{-1}x(\mathfrak{D}_x f)(x; 0, y)\|_{C_{A, \infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A, \infty}} \\ &+ \|(1 - ix)^{-1}f(0, y)\|_{C_{A, \infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A, \infty}} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sigma\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

8. Functions of pairs of noncommuting maximal dissipative operators

In this section we consider functions of noncommuting maximal dissipative operators. Let (L, M) be a pair of not necessarily commuting maximal dissipative operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

For $f \in C_{A, \infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A, \infty}$, we put

$$f(L, M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} f(s, t) d\mathcal{E}_L(s) d\mathcal{E}_M(t).$$

Clearly, $\|f(L, M)\| \leq \|f\|_{C_{A, \infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A, \infty}}$. It is easy to see that if $f \in C_{A, \infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A, \infty}$, $g \in C_{A, \infty}$ and f_{\sharp} is the function defined by $f_{\sharp}(s, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(t)f(s, t)$, then $f_{\sharp} \in C_{A, \infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A, \infty}$ and $f_{\sharp}(L, M) = f(L, M)g(M)$. This gives us an idea how to define $f(L, M)$ in a more general case.

Suppose that $f_{\sharp}(s, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1 - it)^{-1}f(s, t) \in C_{A, \infty} \otimes_{\text{h}} C_{A, \infty}$. Put

$$f(L, M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_{\sharp}(L, M)(I - iM) = \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} f_{\sharp}(s, t) d\mathcal{E}_L(s) d\mathcal{E}_M(t) \right) (I - iM).$$

Note that $f(L, M)$ is not necessarily a bounded operator but the operator $f(L, M)(I - iM)^{-1}$ is bounded.

Remark. Corollary 7.3 implies now that $f(L, M)$ is defined for every $f \in (\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}^{\infty})_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where $\sigma > 0$. Moreover, $f(L, M)(I - iM)^{-1}$ is bounded for such functions.

9. The principal inequality

In this section we obtain the main results of the paper.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $f \in (\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}^{\infty})_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where $\sigma > 0$. Suppose that (L_1, M_1) and (L_2, M_2) are pairs of commuting maximal dissipative operators such that the operators $L_1 - L_2$*

and $M_1 - M_2$ are bounded. Then the following formulas hold

$$\begin{aligned} & f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_1, M_2) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1)(M_1 - M_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \end{aligned} \quad (9.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & f(L_1, M_2) - f(L_2, M_2) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_2) - f(s_2, t_2)}{s_1 - s_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1)(L_1 - L_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2), \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

where \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are semi-spectral measures of the pairs (L_1, M_1) and (L_2, M_2) .

Moreover, the operator $f(L_1, M_2)$ is bounded.

Proof. First, we prove equality (9.1). Let X and Y be the left-hand side and the right-hand side of (9.1). Clearly, Y is a bounded operator. Note that $f(L_1, M_1)$ is bounded (see §2). To prove that $f(L_1, M_2)$ is bounded, we first recall that $f(L_1, M_2)(I - iM_2)^{-1}$ is bounded, see §8. Clearly, $Y(I - iM_2)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator. To prove that $X = Y$ it suffices to verify that $(I - iM_1)^{-1}(X(I - iM_2)^{-1}) = (I - iM_1)^{-1}(Y(I - iM_2)^{-1})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (I - iM_1)^{-1}f(L_1, M_1)(I - iM_2)^{-1} - (I - iM_1)^{-1}f(L_1, M_2)(I - iM_2)^{-1} \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} (f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2))(1 - it_1)^{-1}(1 - it_2)^{-1} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} \left(\frac{t_1}{1 - it_1} - \frac{t_2}{1 - it_2} \right) d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{t_1}{1 - it_1} \cdot \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} \cdot \frac{t_2}{1 - it_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1) M_1 (I - iM_1)^{-1} d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1) M_2 (I - iM_2)^{-1} d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &= (I - iM_1)^{-1} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1) (M_1 - M_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \right) (I - iM_2)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

which implies (9.1). In the same way we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & (I - iL_1)^{-1}f(L_1, M_1)(I - iL_2)^{-1} - (I - iL_1)^{-1}f(L_1, M_2)(I - iL_2)^{-1} \\ = & (I - iL_1)^{-1} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_2) - f(s_2, t_2)}{s_1 - s_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1)(L_1 - L_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \right) (I - iL_2)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (9.2).

To see that the operator $f(L_1, M_2)$ is bounded, it suffices to observe that $f(L_1, M_1)$ is bounded and the double operator integral on the right-hand side of (9.1) is also bounded. ■

Corollary 9.2. *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 9.1, the following formula holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2) &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_1) - f(s_1, t_2)}{t_1 - t_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1)(M_1 - M_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2) \\ &+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(s_1, t_2) - f(s_2, t_2)}{s_1 - s_2} d\mathcal{E}_1(s_1, t_1)(L_1 - L_2) d\mathcal{E}_2(s_2, t_2). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 9.3. *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 9.1,*

$$\|f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2)\| \leq \text{const } \sigma \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \max(\|L_1 - L_2\|, \|M_1 - M_2\|).$$

Let $f \in (B_{\infty,1}^1)_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then $f(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)(\zeta_1 + i)^{-1}(\zeta_2 + i)^{-1} \in C_{A,\infty}(\mathbb{C}_+^2)$, and $f(L, M)$ is defined for any pair (L, M) of commuting maximal dissipative operators, see §1.

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 9.4. *Let $f \in (B_{\infty,1}^1)_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Suppose that (L_1, M_1) and (L_2, M_2) are pairs of commuting maximal dissipative operators such that the operators $L_1 - L_2$ and $M_1 - M_2$ are bounded. Then*

$$\|f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2)\| \leq \text{const } \|f\|_{(B_{\infty,1}^1)(\mathbb{R}^2)} \max\{\|L_1 - L_2\|, \|M_1 - M_2\|\}.$$

Proof. Consider the functions f_n , $n \geq 0$, defined by (4.2). Clearly, by Corollary 9.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2)\| &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \|f_n(L_1, M_1) - f_n(L_2, M_2)\| \\ &\leq \text{const} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \|f_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right) \max\{\|L_1 - L_2\|, \|M_1 - M_2\|\} \\ &\leq \text{const } \|f\|_{(B_{\infty,1}^1)(\mathbb{R}^2)} \max\{\|L_1 - L_2\|, \|M_1 - M_2\|\}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

10. Hölder type estimates and estimates in Schatten–von Neumann norms

In this section we use the main result of the previous section to obtain Hölder type estimates and estimates in Schatten–von Neumann norms for functions of pairs of commuting dissipative operators under perturbation.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Recall that the *analytic Hölder class* $(\Lambda_\alpha(\mathbb{C}_+))_+$ is defined as the space of functions f analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ , continuous on $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+$ and satisfying the inequality

$$|f(\zeta) - f(\tau)| \leq \text{const } |\zeta - \tau|^\alpha, \quad \zeta, \tau \in \text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Theorem 10.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $f \in (\Lambda_\alpha(\mathbb{C}_+))_+$. Suppose that (L_1, M_1) and (L_2, M_2) are pairs of commuting maximal dissipative operators such that the operators $L_1 - L_2$ and $M_1 - M_2$ are bounded. Then*

$$\|f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2)\| \leq \text{const } \|f\|_{(\Lambda_\alpha(\mathbb{C}_+))_+} \max\{\|L_1 - L_2\|^\alpha, \|M_1 - M_2\|^\alpha\}.$$

Let us consider now a more general case. Let ω be a *modulus of continuity*, i.e., ω is a real continuous nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\omega(t + s) \leq \omega(t) + \omega(s)$, $s, t \geq 0$. The space $(\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{C}_+))_+$ is, by definition, the class of functions f analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ , continuous on $\text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+$ and satisfying the inequality

$$|f(\zeta) - f(\tau)| \leq \text{const } \omega(|\zeta - \tau|), \quad \zeta, \tau \in \text{clos } \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Given a modulus of continuity ω , we put

$$\omega_*(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s \int_s^\infty \frac{\omega(t)}{t^2} dt = \int_1^\infty \frac{\omega(st)}{t^2} dt, \quad s > 0.$$

It is easy to see that if $\omega_*(s) < \infty$ for some $s > 0$, then $\omega_*(s) < \infty$ for all $s > 0$ and ω_* is a modulus of continuity.

Theorem 10.2. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity and let $f \in (\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{C}_+))_+$. Suppose that (L_1, M_1) and (L_2, M_2) are pairs of commuting maximal dissipative operators such that the operators $L_1 - L_2$ and $M_1 - M_2$ are bounded. Then*

$$\|f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2)\| \leq \text{const } \|f\|_{(\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{C}_+))_+} \max \omega_* (\{\|L_1 - L_2\|, \|M_1 - M_2\|\}).$$

The next theorem allows us to obtain estimates in Schatten–von Neumann norms \mathbf{S}_p .

Theorem 10.3. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $p > 1$. Suppose that (L_1, M_1) and (L_2, M_2) are pairs of commuting maximal dissipative operators such that $L_1 - L_2 \in \mathbf{S}_p$ and $M_1 - M_2 \in \mathbf{S}_p$. Then $f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2) \in \mathbf{S}_{p/\alpha}$ and*

$$\|f(L_1, M_1) - f(L_2, M_2)\|_{\mathbf{S}_{p/\alpha}} \leq \text{const } \|f\|_{(\Lambda_\alpha(\mathbb{C}_+))_+} \max\{\|L_1 - L_2\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^\alpha, \|M_1 - M_2\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^\alpha\}.$$

Theorems 10.1, 10.2 and 10.3 can be deduced from Theorem 9.4 in the same way as it was done for functions of self-adjoint operators, see [AP1] and [AP2].

REFERENCES

- [A] A. B. ALEKSANDROV, *Operator Lipschitz functions and linear fractional transformations*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI **401** (2012), Issledovaniya po Lineinym Operatoram i Teorii Funktsii. **40**, 5–52;
English transl.: J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.), **194:6** (2013), 603–627.
- [ANP] A.B. ALEKSANDROV, F.L. NAZAROV and V.V. PELLER, *Functions of noncommuting self-adjoint operators under perturbation and estimates of triple operator integrals*, Adv. Math. **295** (2016), 1–52.
- [AP1] A.B. ALEKSANDROV and V.V. PELLER, *Operator Hölder–Zygmund functions*, Advances in Math. **224** (2010), 910–966.
- [AP2] A.B. ALEKSANDROV and V.V. PELLER, *Functions of operators under perturbations of class S_p* , J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), 3675–3724.
- [AP3] A.B. ALEKSANDROV and V.V. PELLER, *Functions of perturbed dissipative operators*, Algebra i Analiz **21:2** (2011), 9–51.
English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J. **23:2** (2012), 209–238.
- [AP4] A.B. ALEKSANDROV and V.V. PELLER, *Operator Lipschitz functions*, Uspekhi Matem. Nauk **71:4** (2016), 3–106.
English transl.: Russian Mathematical Surveys **71:4** (2016), 605–702.
- [AP5] A.B. ALEKSANDROV and V.V. PELLER, *Dissipative operators and operator Lipschitz functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147:5** (2019), 2081 – 2093.
- [AP6] A.B. ALEKSANDROV and V.V. PELLER, *Functions of perturbed pairs of noncommuting contractions*, Izvestia RAN, 2020.
- [APPS] A.B. ALEKSANDROV, V.V. PELLER, D. POTAPOV, and F. SUKOCHEV, *Functions of normal operators under perturbations*, Advances in Math. **226** (2011), 5216–5251.
- [An] ANDÔ, *On a pair of commutative contractions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **24** (1963), 88–90.
- [BS1] M.S. BIRMAN and M.Z. SOLOMYAK, *Double Stieltjes operator integrals*, Problems of Math. Phys., Leningrad. Univ. **1** (1966), 33–67 (Russian).
English transl.: Topics Math. Physics **1** (1967), 25–54, Consultants Bureau Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York.
- [BS2] M.S. BIRMAN and M.Z. SOLOMYAK, *Double Stieltjes operator integrals. II*, Problems of Math. Phys., Leningrad. Univ. **2** (1967), 26–60 (Russian).
English transl.: Topics Math. Physics **2** (1968), 19–46, Consultants Bureau Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York.
- [BS3] M.S. BIRMAN and M.Z. SOLOMYAK, *Double Stieltjes operator integrals. III*, Problems of Math. Phys., Leningrad. Univ. **6** (1973), 27–53 (Russian).
- [DK] YU.L. DALETSKII and S.G. KREIN, *Integration and differentiation of functions of Hermitian operators and application to the theory of perturbations* (Russian), Trudy Sem. Funct. Anal., Voronezh. Gos. Univ. **1** (1956), 81–105.
- [GK] I. C. GOHBERG and M.G. KREIN, *Introduction to the theory of linear non-selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space*, Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow, 1965.
- [KPSS] E. KISSIN, D. POTAPOV, V. S. SHULMAN and F. SUKOCHEV, *Operator smoothness in Schatten norms for functions of several variables: Lipschitz conditions, differentiability and unbounded derivations*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **105:4** (2012), 661–702.
- [L] B.YA. LEVIN, *Lectures on entire functions*, Transl. Math. Monogr., vol. 150, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1996, xvi+248 pp.
- [MM] M.M. MALAMUD and S.M. MALAMUD, *Spectral theory of operator measures in a Hilbert space*, Algebra i Analiz **15:3** (2003), 1–77.
- [MN] M. MALAMUD and H. NEIDHARDT, *Trace formulas for additive and non-additive perturbations*, Adv. Math. **274** (2015), 736–832.
- [MNP1] M.M. MALAMUD, H. NEIDHARDT and V.V. PELLER, *Analytic operator Lipschitz functions in the disk and a trace formula for functions of contractions* (Russian), Funkts. Anal. i Pril., **51:3** (2017), 33–55.
English Transl.: Funct. Anal. and its Appl. **51:3** (2017), 185–203.

- [MNP2] M.M. MALAMUD, H. NEIDHARDT and V.V. PELLER, *Absolute continuity of spectral shift*, J. Funct. Anal. **276** (2019), 1575–1621.
- [Na] M.A. NAIMARK, *Spectral functions of a symmetric operator*, (Russian) Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Ser. Math. [Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR] **4**, (1940), 277–318.
- [Pee] J. PEETRE, *New thoughts on Besov spaces*, Duke Univ. Press., Durham, NC, 1976.
- [Pe1] V.V. PELLER, *Hankel operators in the theory of perturbations of unitary and self-adjoint operators*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **19:2** (1985), 37–51 (Russian).
English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl. **19** (1985), 111–123.
- [Pe2] V.V. PELLER, *For which f does $A - B \in \mathbf{S}_p$ imply that $f(A) - f(B) \in \mathbf{S}_p$?*, Operator Theory, Birkhäuser, **24** (1987), 289–294.
- [Pe3] V.V. PELLER, *Hankel operators in the perturbation theory of unbounded self-adjoint operators*, Analysis and partial differential equations, 529–544, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **122**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.
- [Pe4] V.V. PELLER, *Differentiability of functions of contractions*, In: Linear and complex analysis, AMS Translations, Ser. 2 **226** (2009), 109–131, AMS, Providence.
- [Pe5] V.V. PELLER, *Multiple operator integrals in perturbation theory*, Bull. Math. Sci. **6** (2016), 15–88.
- [Pe6] V.V. PELLER, *Functions of commuting contractions under perturbation*, Math. Nachr. **291** (2019), 1151–1160.
- [PS] D. POTAPOV and F. SUKOCHEV, *Operator-Lipschitz functions in Schatten-von Neumann classes*, Acta Math. **207** (2011), 375–389.
- [So] B.M. SOLOMYAK, *A functional model for dissipative operators. A coordinate-free approach*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **178** (1989), Issled. Linein. Oper. Teorii Funktsii. **18**, 57–91, 184–185 (Russian)
English transl.: J. Soviet Math. **61** (1992), no. 2, 1981–2002.
- [SNF] B. SZ.-NAGY AND C. FOIAŞ, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1970.

A.B. Aleksandrov
St.Petersburg Branch
Steklov Institute of Mathematics
Fontanka 27, 191023 St.Petersburg
Russia
email: alex@pdmi.ras.ru

V.V. Peller
Department of Mathematics
and Computer Sciences
St.Petersburg State University
Universitetskaya nab., 7/9,
199034 St.Petersburg, Russia

Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan 48824
USA
and
Peoples' Friendship University
of Russia (RUDN University)
6 Miklukho-Maklaya St., Moscow,
117198, Russian Federation
email: peller@math.msu.edu