

SUPERCONVERGENCE AND REGULARITY OF DENSITIES IN FREE PROBABILITY

HARI BERCOVICI, JIUN-CHAU WANG, AND PING ZHONG

ABSTRACT. The phenomenon of superconvergence, first observed in the central limit theorem of free probability, was subsequently extended to arbitrary limit laws for free additive convolution. We show that the same phenomenon occurs for the multiplicative versions of free convolution on the positive line and on the unit circle. We also show that a certain Hölder regularity, first demonstrated by Biane for the density of a free additive convolution with a semicircular law, extends to free (additive and multiplicative) convolutions with arbitrary freely infinitely divisible distributions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a free, identically distributed sequence of bounded random variables with zero mean and unit variance. It is known from [33] that the distributions μ_n of the central limit averages

$$\frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

converge weakly to a standard semicircular distribution. Unlike the classical central limit theorem, it was shown in [9] that the distribution μ_n is absolutely continuous relative to Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} for sufficiently large n , and that the densities $d\mu_n/dt$ converge uniformly to $\sqrt{4-t^2}\chi_{[-2,2]}/2\pi$. This unexpected convergence of densities (along with the fact that the support $[a_n, b_n]$ of μ_n converges to $[-2, 2]$ and the density is analytic on (a_n, b_n)) was called *superconvergence*. The uniform convergence of densities was later proved to hold even when the variables X_n are not bounded [36]. The phenomenon of superconvergence was extended to other limit laws and applied to limit theorems for eigenvalue densities of random matrices (see, for instance, [30, 3]). Eventually, the present authors proved in [15] that uniform convergence of densities holds in the general context of limit laws for triangular arrays with free, identically distributed rows. That is, suppose that $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$ is a sequence of positive integers, and for each n the variables $\{X_{n,j} : j = 1, \dots, k_n\}$ are free and identically distributed. Suppose also that the distribution μ_n of

$$X_{n,1} + \cdots + X_{n,k_n}$$

converges weakly to some nondegenerate distribution μ . The measure μ is \boxplus -infinitely divisible [8] and it is absolutely continuous everywhere, except on a set D_μ that is either empty or a singleton [15, Proposition 5.1]. Let $V \supset D_\mu$ be an

Date: March 15, 2021.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L54.

Key words and phrases. free convolution, infinitely divisible law, superconvergence, cusp regularity.

arbitrary open set in \mathbb{R} ; V can be taken to be empty if $D_\mu = \emptyset$. Then the result of [15] states that μ_n is absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus V$ and the density of μ_n converges uniformly to the density of μ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Of course, the results mentioned above can be formulated just as easily in terms of free *additive* convolution of measures. One purpose of the present note is to prove completely analogous results for free *multiplicative* convolution of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ and on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{e^{it} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Our results here supersede those in [2], since the uniform convergence of densities in [2] was only proved for compact intervals on which the limiting density is nonzero. The multiplicative results are not simply consequences of the additive ones. In fact, each of the three convolutions has its own analytic apparatus, and in each case an important fact is that the respective Voiculescu transform of an infinitely divisible measure has an analytic extension to a certain domain D (that depends on the type of convolution). In each case, the proof is done first for convolutions of infinitely divisible measures. The general case is then obtained via an approximation of infinitesimal measures by infinitely divisible ones, somewhat analogous to the *associated laws* used in the classical treatment of limit laws for sums of independent random variables [25]. These infinitely divisible laws are obtained from the subordination properties that hold for free convolutions.

The methods we develop for superconvergence are useful in other contexts as well. We illustrate this by extending results of Biane [16] concerning the density of a free convolution of the form $\mu \boxplus \gamma$, where γ is a semicircular distribution. Such a convolution is always absolutely continuous, its density h is continuous and, in fact, locally analytic wherever it is positive. If $h(t) = 0$ for some t and $h(x) \neq 0$ in some interval with an endpoint at t , it is shown in [16] that $h(x) = O(|x - t|^{1/3})$ for x close to t in that interval. We show that this result holds if γ is replaced by an arbitrary nondegenerate \boxplus -infinitely divisible distribution. Of course, in this general context, it may happen that $\mu \boxplus \gamma$ has a finite number of atoms and points at which the density is unbounded. The result holds for all other points where the density vanishes. Analogous results are also proved for the two multiplicative free convolutions.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Sections 2–4 deal with free multiplicative convolution on \mathbb{R}_+ . A section presents preliminaries about this operation, including a new observation analogous to the Schwarz lemma, the next section demonstrates superconvergence, and the last section deals with the possible cusps of the free convolution with an infinitely divisible law. Sections 5–7 follow the same program for multiplicative free convolution on the unit circle \mathbb{T} . Finally, Sections 8 and 9 deal with additive free convolution; there is no additive analog of Sections 3 and 6 in the additive case because the corresponding result was already proved in [15]. (The reader may however note that the arguments of [15] can be simplified using the present methods.) Appendix A provides applications of the cusp results to measures in a free convolution semigroup. Finally, Appendix B provides examples that show that the cusp estimates are often sharp.

2. FREE MULTIPLICATIVE CONVOLUTION ON \mathbb{R}_+

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ the collection of all probability measures on \mathbb{R}_+ . The free multiplicative convolution \boxtimes is a binary operation on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$. The mechanics of its

calculation involves analytic functions defined on the domains $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy : x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0\},$$

and $-\mathbb{H}$. The first of these is the *moment generating function* ψ_μ of a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ defined by

$$\psi_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{tz}{1-tz} d\mu(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

This function satisfies $\psi_\mu(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$ and $\psi_\mu((-\infty, 0)) \subset (-1, 0)$ unless μ is the unit point mass at 0, denoted δ_0 , for which $\psi_{\delta_0} = 0$. A closely related function is the *η -transform* of μ given by

$$\eta_\mu(z) = \frac{\psi_\mu(z)}{1 + \psi_\mu(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We have $\eta_\mu(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$ and $\eta_\mu((-\infty, 0)) \subset (-\infty, 0)$ when $\mu \neq \delta_0$. These transforms are related to the *Cauchy transform* defined by

$$G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{d\mu(t)}{z-t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

by the identity

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{z} G_\mu\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{1 - \eta_\mu(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The Stieltjes inversion formula shows that any of these functions can be used to recover the measure μ . More precisely, the measures

$$-\frac{1}{\pi} (\Im G_\mu(x + iy)) dx, \quad y > 0,$$

converge weakly to μ as $y \downarrow 0$. The boundary values

$$G_\mu(x) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} G_\mu(x + iy), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

exists almost everywhere (with respect to Lebesgue measure) on \mathbb{R}_+ , and the density $d\mu/dt$ of μ is equal almost everywhere to $(-1/\pi)\Im G_\mu$ (cf. [32]).

In terms of the η -transform, the relation (2.1) shows that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{x} \frac{d\mu}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \frac{1}{1 - \eta_\mu(x)}$$

almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}_+ , where $\eta_\mu(x)$ is defined almost everywhere as

$$\eta_\mu(x) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} \eta_\mu(x + iy).$$

The collection of functions $\{\eta_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \setminus \{\delta_0\}\}$ is described as follows.

Lemma 2.1. [4] *Let $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function. Then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ such that $f = \eta_\mu$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $f(\bar{z}) = \overline{f(z)}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$,
- (2) $\lim_{x \uparrow 0} f(x) = 0$, and
- (3) $\arg f(z) \geq \arg z$, $z \in \mathbb{H}$, where the arguments are in $(0, \pi)$.

Equality occurs in (3) for some z precisely when $\mu = \delta_a$ for some $a > 0$, in which case $f(z) = \eta_\mu(z) = az$.

In fact, condition (3) above can be replaced by $f(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$, as can be seen from Lemma 2.3, which we may view as an analog of the Schwarz lemma for analytic functions in the unit disk. (This version of Lemma 2.1 is useful in Lemma 4.4.)

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $F : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic, $F(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$, $F((-\infty, 0)) \subset (-\infty, 0)$, and*

$$F(\bar{z}) = \overline{F(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Then there exist constants $\alpha, \beta \in [0, +\infty)$ and a finite Borel measure ρ on $(0, +\infty)$ such that $\int_{(0, +\infty)} d\rho(t)/t < +\infty$ and

$$F(z) = -\alpha + \beta z + \int_{(0, +\infty)} \frac{z(1+t^2)}{t(t-z)} d\rho(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Proof. Since $F(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$, F has a Nevanlinna representation of the form

$$F(z) = \alpha_0 + \beta z + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+zt}{t-z} d\rho(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

with $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in [0, +\infty)$, and a finite Borel measure ρ on \mathbb{R} (cf. [1]). Because F is analytic and real-valued on $(-\infty, 0)$, the measure ρ is supported on $[0, +\infty)$. The formula

$$F'(z) = \beta + \int_{[0, +\infty)} \frac{1+t^2}{(t-z)^2} d\rho(t)$$

shows that F is increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$. Now, $F((-\infty, 0)) \subset (-\infty, 0)$, so $\lim_{z \uparrow 0} F(z) \leq 0$. The monotone convergence theorem yields now

$$\alpha_0 + \int_{[0, +\infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\rho(t) = \lim_{z \uparrow 0} F(z) \leq 0.$$

In particular, $\rho(\{0\}) = 0$ and ρ satisfies the condition in the statement. We set

$$\alpha = -\alpha_0 - \int_{(0, +\infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\rho(t),$$

and obtain the formula

$$F(z) = -\alpha + \beta z + \int_{(0, +\infty)} \left[\frac{1+zt}{t-z} - \frac{1}{t} \right] d\rho(t),$$

valid in the entire region $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ by reflection. This is easily seen to be precisely the formula in the statement. \square

Notation: $\Omega_\alpha = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+ : |\arg z| > \alpha\}$. Here $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ and the argument takes values in $(-\pi, \pi)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Under the conditions of Lemma 2.2, we have $F(\Omega_\alpha) \subset \Omega_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $F(\Omega_\alpha \cap \mathbb{H}) \subset \Omega_\alpha \cap \mathbb{H}$. Since $\Omega_\alpha \cap \mathbb{H}$ is a convex cone, the representation formula in Lemma 2.2 reduces the proof to the following three cases:

- (1) $F(z) = -1$,
- (2) $F(z) = z$,
- (3) $F(z) = z/(t-z)$ for some $t > 0$.

The result is trivial in the first two cases. In the third case one observes that F maps $\Omega_\alpha \cap \mathbb{H}$ conformally onto a region D_α bounded by the interval $(-1, 0)$ and by a circular arc C joining -1 and 0 . Moreover, since $F'(0) > 0$, the tangent to C at 0 is the line $\{\arg z = \alpha\}$. It follows immediately that $D_\alpha \cap \mathbb{H} \subset \Omega_\alpha \cap \mathbb{H}$. \square

Mapping $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ conformally to a strip by the logarithm, we obtain another version of the Schwarz lemma as follows. We set $\mathcal{S}_t = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im z| < t\}$ for $t > 0$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $F : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1$ be an analytic function such $F(\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathbb{H}) \subset \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathbb{H}$ and*

$$F(\bar{z}) = \overline{F(z)}, \quad z \in \mathcal{S}_1.$$

Then $F(\mathcal{S}_t) \subset \mathcal{S}_t$ for every $t \in (0, 1)$.

Given a measure $\mu \neq \delta_0$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, the function η_μ is conformal in an open set U containing some interval $(\beta, 0)$ with $\beta < 0$, and the restriction $\eta_\mu|_U$ has an inverse $\eta_\mu^{(-1)}$ defined in an open set containing an interval of the form $(\alpha, 0)$ with $\alpha < 0$. The free multiplicative convolution $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ of two measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \setminus \{\delta_0\}$ is the unique measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \setminus \{\delta_0\}$ that satisfies the identity

$$(2.3) \quad z\eta_\mu^{(-1)}(z) = \eta_{\mu_1}^{(-1)}(z)\eta_{\mu_2}^{(-1)}(z)$$

for z in some open set containing an interval $(\alpha, 0)$ with $\alpha < 0$ (see [11]). (We also have $\delta_0 \boxtimes \mu = \delta_0$ for every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$.) Based on the characterization of η -transform, another approach to free convolution is given by the following reformulation of the subordination results in [17].

Theorem 2.5. *For every $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \setminus \{\delta_0\}$, there exist unique $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \setminus \{\delta_0\}$ such that*

$$\eta_{\mu_1}(\eta_{\rho_1}(z)) = \eta_{\mu_2}(\eta_{\rho_2}(z)) = \frac{\eta_{\rho_1}(z)\eta_{\rho_2}(z)}{z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Moreover, we have $\eta_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2} = \eta_{\mu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho_1}$. If μ_1 and μ_2 are nondegenerate (that is, μ_1 and μ_2 are not point masses), then so are ρ_1 and ρ_2 .

We recall that a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ is said to be \boxtimes -infinitely divisible if there exist measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ satisfying the identities

$$\underbrace{\mu_n \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mu_n}_{n \text{ times}} = \mu, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Obviously, δ_0 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible; one can take $\mu_n = \delta_0$. It was shown in [35, 11] that a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \setminus \{\delta_0\}$ is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible precisely when the inverse $\eta_\mu^{(-1)}$ continues analytically to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ and this analytic continuation has the special form

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi(z) = \gamma z \exp \left[\int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1 + tz}{z - t} d\sigma(t) \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

for some $\gamma > 0$ and some finite Borel measure σ on the one point compactification of \mathbb{R}_+ . The fraction in the above formula must be interpreted as $-z$ when $t = +\infty$. This is, of course, an analog of the classical Lévy-Hinč'in formula. The pair (γ, σ) is uniquely determined by μ , and every such pair corresponds with a unique \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure, sometimes denoted $\nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma, \sigma}$. Another description of the class of functions defined by (2.4) is as follows:

$$\Phi(z) = z \exp(u(z)),$$

where $u : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function such that $u(\mathbb{H}) \subset -\mathbb{H}$ and $u(\bar{z}) = \overline{u(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$. This equivalent description is used in Lemma 4.1.

Suppose now that $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ is a nondegenerate \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure and that $\eta_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ has the analytic continuation given in (2.4). The equation $\Phi(\eta_\mu(z)) = z$ holds in some open set and therefore it holds on the entire $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ by analytic continuation. In particular, η_μ maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ conformally onto a domain $\Omega_\mu \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ that is symmetric relative to the real line. The domain Ω_μ is easily identified as the connected component of the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+ : \Phi(z) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+\}$ containing $(-\infty, 0)$. This set and its boundary were thoroughly investigated in [28, 29], and the results are important in the sequel. Because of the symmetry of Ω_μ , we consider only the upper half of Ω_μ , namely, $\Omega_\mu \cap \mathbb{H}$. A simple calculation shows that

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi(re^{i\theta}) = \gamma \exp[u(re^{i\theta}) + iv(re^{i\theta})],$$

where the real and imaginary parts u and v are given by

$$(2.6) \quad u(re^{i\theta}) = \log r + \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{(1-t^2)r \cos \theta + t(r^2-1)}{|re^{i\theta} - t|^2} d\sigma(t),$$

and

$$v(re^{i\theta}) = \theta \left[1 - \frac{r \sin \theta}{\theta} \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{|re^{i\theta} - t|^2} d\sigma(t) \right],$$

for $r > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. As noted in [28, 29], a remarkable situation occurs: for fixed $r > 0$, the function

$$(2.7) \quad I_r(\theta) = \frac{r \sin \theta}{\theta} \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{|re^{i\theta} - t|^2} d\sigma(t), \quad \theta \in (0, \pi],$$

is continuous, strictly decreasing, and $I_r(\pi) = 0$. Thus, the set $\{\theta \in (0, \pi) : I_r(\theta) < 1\}$ is an interval, say

$$(2.8) \quad \{\theta \in (0, \pi) : I_r(\theta) < 1\} = (f(r), \pi).$$

The value $f(r)$ is 0 precisely when the limit

$$(2.9) \quad I_r(0) = \lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} I_r(\theta) = r \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{(r-t)^2} d\sigma(t)$$

is at most 1. Otherwise, we have $I_r(f(r)) = 1$. The following statement summarizes results from [28, 29].

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ be a nondegenerate \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure, let Φ defined by (2.4) be the analytic continuation of $\eta_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$, let $I_r : [0, \pi] \rightarrow (0, +\infty]$ be defined by (2.7) and (2.9), and let $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, \pi)$ be defined by (2.8). Then:*

- (1) η_μ maps \mathbb{H} conformally onto

$$\Omega_\mu \cap \mathbb{H} = \{re^{i\theta} : r > 0, \theta \in (f(r), \pi)\}.$$

- (2) The function f is continuous on $(0, +\infty)$ and continuously differentiable on the open set $\{r : f(r) > 0\}$.

- (3) The topological boundary of the set $\Omega_\mu \cap \mathbb{H}$ is $(-\infty, 0] \cup \{re^{if(r)} : r > 0\}$.

- (4) η_μ extends continuously to the closure $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, Φ extends continuously to the closure $\overline{\Omega_\mu \cap \mathbb{H}}$, and these extensions are homeomorphisms, inverse to each other. In particular, the function $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ defined by

$$h(r) = \Phi(re^{if(r)}), \quad r > 0,$$

is an increasing homeomorphism from $(0, +\infty)$ onto $(0, +\infty)$ and the image $\eta_\mu((0, +\infty))$ is parametrized implicitly as

$$(2.10) \quad \eta_\mu(h(r)) = re^{if(r)}, \quad r > 0.$$

It is known that $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$ for every \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \setminus \{\delta_0\}$. For such a measure μ , we can define a measure $\mu_* \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \setminus \{\delta_0\}$ such that $d\mu_*(t) = d\mu(1/t)$. An easy calculation yields the identities

$$\psi_{\mu_*}(z) = -1 - \psi_\mu(1/z), \quad \eta_{\mu_*}(z) = \frac{1}{\eta_\mu(1/z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and therefore

$$\eta_{\mu_*}^{\langle -1 \rangle}(z) = \frac{1}{\eta_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/z)}$$

for z in some open set containing $(-\infty, 0)$. It follows that $\eta_{\mu_*}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ has an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$. In fact, if Φ is the continuation of $\eta_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ given by (2.4), then the function

$$\Phi_*(z) = \frac{1}{\Phi(1/z)} = \frac{1}{\gamma} z \exp \left[\int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} d\sigma_*(t) \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

extends $\eta_{\mu_*}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$, where $d\sigma_*(t) = d\sigma(1/t)$ with the convention that $1/0 = +\infty$ and $1/+\infty = 0$. Thus, μ_* is also infinitely divisible, and the boundary of $\Omega_{\mu_*} \cap \mathbb{H}$ is described as above using a continuous function $f_* : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, \pi)$. This function and the associated homeomorphism $h_*(r) = \Phi_*(re^{if_*(r)})$ are easily seen to satisfy the identities

$$f_*(r) = f(1/r), \quad h_*(r) = \frac{1}{h(1/r)}, \quad r \in (0, +\infty).$$

The following result gives estimates for the growth of h at 0 and $+\infty$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let μ, Φ , and h be as in Theorem 2.6. Then*

$$h(r) \leq \gamma r \exp(\sigma([0, +\infty]) + 2), \quad r \in (0, 1/4),$$

and

$$h(r) \geq \gamma r \exp(-\sigma([0, +\infty]) - 2), \quad r \in (4, +\infty).$$

In particular, $\lim_{r \downarrow 0} h(r) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose for the moment that the first inequality was proved. Applying the result to the measure μ_* , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h(1/r)} = h_*(r) &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} r \exp(\sigma_*([0, +\infty]) + 2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} r \exp(\sigma([0, +\infty]) + 2) \end{aligned}$$

for $r < 1/4$. The second inequality follows after replacing r by $1/r$.

Fix now $r \in (0, 1/4)$, and use relations (2.5), (2.6), and the fact that $r^2 - 1 \leq 0$ to deduce the inequality

$$|\Phi(re^{i\theta})| \leq \gamma r \exp \left[r \cos \theta \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1-t^2}{|re^{i\theta} - t|^2} d\sigma(t) \right], \quad \theta \in (0, \pi).$$

We distinguish two cases, according to whether $f(r) < \pi/2$ or $f(r) \geq \pi/2$. In the first case, we have $I_r(f(r)) \leq 1$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r \cos \theta \int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{1-t^2}{|re^{i\theta}-t|^2} d\sigma(t) \right| &\leq r \int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{|re^{i\theta}-t|^2} d\sigma(t) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} I_r(\theta) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} I_r(f(r)) < 2 \end{aligned}$$

for $\theta \in (f(r), \pi/2)$. It follows that $|h(r)| = \lim_{\theta \downarrow f(r)} |\Phi(re^{i\theta})| \leq \gamma re^2$, verifying the first inequality in this case. In the second case, we observe, for $\theta = f(r)$, that

$$r \cos \theta \int_{[0,1]} \frac{1-t^2}{|re^{i\theta}-t|^2} d\sigma(t) \leq 0,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} r \cos \theta \int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{1-t^2}{|re^{i\theta}-t|^2} d\sigma(t) &\leq r \int_{[1,+\infty]} \frac{t^2-1}{|re^{i\theta}-t|^2} d\sigma(t) \\ &\leq r \int_{[1,+\infty]} \frac{t^2-1}{|t-\frac{1}{2}|^2} d\sigma(t) \\ &\leq r \int_{[1,+\infty]} 2 d\sigma(t) \leq \sigma([0,+\infty]). \end{aligned}$$

This verifies the inequality in the second case and concludes the proof. \square

The continuity of the function Φ on some parts of Ω_μ can be established as follows.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ be a nondegenerate \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure, and let Φ defined by (2.4) be the analytic continuation of $\eta_\mu^{(-1)}$. Set*

$$u(z) = \int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} d\sigma(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Suppose that $z_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j} \in \Omega_\mu \cap \mathbb{H}$ and that $\theta_j \leq \pi/2$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$|u(z_1) - u(z_2)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{\sqrt{|z_1 z_2|}}.$$

Proof. We have $\theta_j \in (f(r_j), \pi/2]$, $j = 1, 2$. In particular,

$$I_{r_j}(\theta_j) \leq I_{r_j}(f(r_j)) \leq 1, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z_1) - u(z_2)| &= \left| (z_1 - z_2) \int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{(1+t^2)^{1/2}}{z_1-t} \frac{(1+t^2)^{1/2}}{z_2-t} d\sigma(t) \right| \\ &\leq |z_1 - z_2| \left[\int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{|z_1-t|^2} d\sigma(t) \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{[0,+\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{|z_2-t|^2} d\sigma(t) \right]^{1/2} \\ &= |z_1 - z_2| \left[\frac{\theta_1}{r_1 \sin \theta_1} I_{r_1}(\theta_1) \right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{\theta_2}{r_2 \sin \theta_2} I_{r_2}(\theta_2) \right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{\sqrt{r_1 r_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Schwarz inequality. \square

We conclude this section with a few known facts about convolution powers. Given a measure $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \setminus \{\delta_0\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we use the notation

$$\nu^{\boxtimes k} = \underbrace{\nu \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \nu}_{k \text{ times}}$$

for the free multiplicative convolution of k copies of ν . By Theorem 2.5, there exists a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ such that $\eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}} = \eta_\nu \circ \eta_\mu$. It is shown in [4] that

$$\Phi(\eta_\mu(z)) = z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{z^k}{\eta_\nu(z)^{k-1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is easily seen to have the form (2.4). As seen earlier, this means that μ is in fact \boxtimes -infinitely divisible, and therefore η_μ has a continuous (and injective) extension to $(0, +\infty)$. The relation between η_μ and $\nu^{\boxtimes k}$ can also be written as

$$(2.11) \quad \eta_\mu(z)^k = z \eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}}(z)^{k-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

As observed in [4, 18], this equality implies that $\eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}}$ also has a continuous extension to $(0, +\infty)$ and (2.11) remains true for real values of z . We use below this identity under the equivalent form

$$x \eta_\mu(1/x)^k = \eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}}(1/x)^{k-1}, \quad x \in (0, +\infty).$$

This is of interest because it allows us to calculate the density $d\nu^{\boxtimes k}/dt$ in terms of the density of μ . Indeed, rewriting the above identity as

$$\eta_\mu(1/x) [x \eta_\mu(1/x)]^{1/(k-1)} = \eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}}(1/x), \quad x \in (0, +\infty),$$

one may be able to argue (as we do in Section 3) that $\eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}}(1/x)$ is very close to $\eta_\mu(1/x)$ if k is large, and then (2.2) allows us to conclude that these two measures have close densities.

3. SUPERCONVERGENCE IN $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$

We begin by studying the weak convergence of a sequence of nondegenerate \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$. Thus, suppose that γ and $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are positive numbers, σ and $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are finite, nonzero Borel measures on $[0, +\infty]$, μ and $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are nondegenerate \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, and the inverses $\eta_\mu^{(-1)}, \{\eta_{\mu_n}^{(-1)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ have analytic continuations $\Phi, \{\Phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by (2.4) for μ and by analogous formulas for μ_n (with γ_n and σ_n in place of γ and σ). The sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to μ if and only if $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to σ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = \gamma$. (This fact is implicit in the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [13].) When these conditions are satisfied, it is also true that the sequences $\{\eta_{\mu_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\Phi_{\mu_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to η_μ and Φ_μ , respectively, and the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$.

In order to show that superconvergence occurs, we need to understand the behavior of the functions f and h defined in Section 2 in relation to μ and that of the functions f_n and h_n associated to μ_n . By Proposition 2.7, it is understood that h_n and h are extended to \mathbb{R}_+ so that $h(0) = h_n(0) = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *With the above notation, suppose that the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to μ . Then:*

- (1) The sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, +\infty)$.
- (2) The sequence $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to h uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}_+ .
- (3) The sequence of inverses $\{h_n^{(-1)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $h^{(-1)}$ uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, +\infty)$.
- (4) The sequence $\{f_n \circ h_n^{(-1)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $f \circ h^{(-1)}$ uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, +\infty)$.
- (5) The sequence $\{\eta_{\mu_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to η_μ uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, +\infty)$.

Proof. Fix $r > 0$, let $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi - f(r))$, and let $J = [r - \delta, r + \delta]$ be such that $|f(s) - f(r)| < \varepsilon$ for every $s \in J$. Observe that the compact set

$$C = \{se^{i\theta} : \theta \in [f(r) + \varepsilon, \pi], s \in J\}$$

has the property that $\Phi(C) \subset \mathbb{H}$. Since Φ_n converges to Φ uniformly on C , it follows that $\Phi_n(C) \subset \mathbb{H}$ for sufficiently large n , and thus $f_n(s) < f(r) + \varepsilon < f(s) + 2\varepsilon$, $s \in J$, for such n . This proves (1) in case $f(r) = 0$. If $f(r) > 0$, there exists a positive angle $\theta_0 \in (f(r) - \varepsilon, f(r))$ such that $\Phi(re^{i\theta_0}) \in -\mathbb{H}$. Shrink the number δ such that $\Phi(se^{i\theta_0}) \in -\mathbb{H}$ for every $s \in J$. It follows from uniform convergence that $\Phi_n(se^{i\theta_0}) \in -\mathbb{H}$, $s \in J$, for sufficiently large n , and thus $f_n(s) > \theta_0 > f(r) - \varepsilon > f(s) - 2\varepsilon$, $s \in J$, thus completing the proof of (1).

For (2) and (3), it suffices to prove pointwise convergence because pointwise convergence of continuous increasing functions to a continuous limit is automatically locally uniform. Since convergence obviously holds at 0, fix $r > 0$. Suppose first that $f(r) > 0$. In this case, $se^{if(s)} \in \mathbb{H}$ for s in some compact neighborhood of r , and hence Φ_n converges uniformly to Φ in a neighborhood of $re^{if(r)}$. By (1), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(r) = f(r)$, and the local uniform convergence of Φ_n yields

$$h(r) = \Phi(re^{if(r)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_n(re^{if_n(r)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(r),$$

thus proving (1) in this case. Suppose now that $f(r) = 0$, and thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(r) = 0$. Assume, for simplicity, that $f_n(r) < 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and define functions $\Psi_n : (0, \pi/2 - 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by setting

$$\Psi_n(\theta) = \Phi_n(re^{i\theta + f_n(r)}), \quad 0 < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.8 that the functions Ψ_n are uniformly equicontinuous. The local uniform convergence of Φ_n to Φ shows that Ψ_n converges pointwise to $\Phi(re^{i\theta})$. Now, both Ψ_n and $\Phi(re^{i\theta})$ extend continuously to $\theta = 0$ with

$$\Psi_n(0) = h_n(r), \quad \Phi(r) = h(r).$$

The uniform equicontinuity of Ψ_n implies that the convergence also holds (even uniformly) for these continuous extensions, and at $\theta = 0$ this yields the desired equality $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(r) = h(r)$.

The pointwise convergence of $h_n^{(-1)}$ to $h^{(-1)}$ follows directly from (2). Indeed, suppose that $t_0 > 0$, $s_0 = h(t_0)$, and $0 < \varepsilon < t_0$. We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(t_0 - \varepsilon) = h(t_0 - \varepsilon)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(t_0 + \varepsilon) = h(t_0 + \varepsilon)$, and the open interval $(h(t_0 - \varepsilon), h(t_0 + \varepsilon))$ contains s_0 . It follows that the interval $(h_n(t_0 - \varepsilon), h_n(t_0 + \varepsilon))$ also contains s_0 for sufficiently large n , and thus $h_n^{(-1)}(s_0) \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)$ for such n . Since ε is arbitrarily small, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n^{(-1)}(s_0) = t_0 = h^{(-1)}(s_0)$.

Finally, (4) and (5) follow from (1) and (3) (see [23, Theorem XII.2.2]). \square

We are now ready to show that the weak convergence of infinitely divisible measures implies the convergence of the densities of these measures, locally uniformly outside a singleton. We first identify the density of an infinitely divisible μ , for which $\eta_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ has the continuation Φ in (2.4), in terms of the functions f and h . The fact that the extension of η_μ to $(0, +\infty)$ is continuous and injective shows that $A_\mu = \{t \in (0, +\infty): \eta_\mu(t) = 1\}$ is either empty or a singleton. It is clear from the definition of f that the set A_μ is nonempty precisely when $I_1(0) \leq 1$. If this condition is satisfied, the set A_μ consists of $h(1)$ and $\mu(\{1/h(1)\}) = 1 - I_1(0)$. Accordingly, we denote $D_\mu = \{1/h(1)\}$ if $I_1(0) \leq 1$, and $D_\mu = \emptyset$ otherwise. It follows that μ is absolutely continuous with a continuous density $p_\mu = d\mu/dt$ on $(0, +\infty) \setminus D_\mu$. Equations (2.10) and (2.2) give the implicit formula

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{h(r)} p_\mu \left(\frac{1}{h(r)} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{r \sin f(r)}{|1 - r e^{if(r)}|^2}, \quad r > 0, h(r) \notin A_\mu.$$

We record for further use a simple consequence of (3.1). For fixed r , the function $|1 - r e^{i\theta}|$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, achieves its minimum at $\theta = 0$, and thus

$$\frac{1}{h(r)} p_\mu \left(\frac{1}{h(r)} \right) \leq \frac{r}{\pi(1-r)^2}, \quad r \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \{1\},$$

or, equivalently,

$$(3.2) \quad t p_\mu(t) \leq \frac{h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t)}{\pi(1 - h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t))^2}, \quad t \in (0, +\infty) \setminus D_\mu.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let μ and $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be nondegenerate \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and let U be an arbitrary open neighborhood of the set D_μ ; if $D_\mu = \emptyset$, take $U = \emptyset$. Then $D_{\mu_n} \subset U$ for sufficiently large n , and the functions $t p_{\mu_n}(t)$ converge to $t p_\mu(t)$ uniformly for $t \in (0, +\infty) \setminus U$.*

Proof. We use the notation established above: $\eta_{\mu_n}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ has the analytic continuation Φ_n determined by the parameters γ_n and σ_n , and f_n, h_n play the roles of f, h for the measure μ_n . The relation $D_{\mu_n} = \{1/h_n(1)\} \subset U$ for large n follows directly from Lemma 3.1(2). We focus on the proof of uniform convergence. We show first that it suffices to prove that $t p_{\mu_n}(t)$ converges to $t p_\mu(t)$ locally uniformly on $(0, +\infty) \setminus U$. For this purpose, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\alpha, \beta \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\frac{x}{\pi(1-x)^2} < \varepsilon, \quad x \in (0, +\infty) \setminus [\alpha, \beta].$$

Since $h^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ is an increasing homeomorphism of $(0, +\infty)$, there exist $a, b \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/b) < \alpha$ and $h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/a) > \beta$. Lemma 3.1 shows that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h_n^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/b) < \alpha$ and $h_n^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/a) > \beta$ for $n \geq N$, and hence

$$t p_{\mu_n}(t), t p_\mu(t) < \varepsilon, \quad t \in (0, +\infty) \setminus [a, b],$$

by (3.2). It suffices therefore to prove uniform convergence on $[a, b] \setminus U$, and this would follow from local uniform convergence on $(0, +\infty) \setminus D_\mu$. For this purpose, it is convenient to write (3.1) in the explicit form

$$(3.3) \quad t p_\mu(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t) \sin f(h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t))}{|1 - h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t) e^{if(h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t))}|^2}, \quad t \notin D_\mu.$$

Suppose that $t_0 \notin D_\mu$, and choose a compact neighborhood W of t_0 such that

$$1 - h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t) e^{if(h^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t))} \neq 0, \quad t \in W.$$

Lemma 3.1 shows that there exists an integer N such that

$$1 - h_n^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t)e^{if_n(h_n^{\langle -1 \rangle}(1/t))} \neq 0, \quad t \in W, \quad n \geq N,$$

and then we conclude from (3.3) (applied to μ_n), and from Lemma 3.1, that $tp_n(t)$ converges to $tp_\mu(t)$ uniformly on W . \square

An immediate consequence is as follows.

Corollary 3.3. *Under the conditions of Proposition 3.2, the sequence $\{p_{\mu_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to p locally uniformly on $(0, +\infty) \setminus D_\mu$.*

We can now prove a general version of superconvergence.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ be positive integers, and let μ and $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be nondegenerate measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ such that μ is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible. Suppose that the sequence $\{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to μ . Let $K \subset (0, +\infty) \setminus D_\mu$ be an arbitrary compact set. Then $\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}$ is absolutely continuous on K for sufficiently large n , and the sequence $\{d\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}/dt\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $d\mu/dt$ uniformly on K .*

Proof. As noted at the end of Section 2, there exist nondegenerate \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ such that $\eta_{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}} = \eta_{\nu_n} \circ \eta_{\mu_n}$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \eta_{\mu_n}(1/x) [x\eta_{\mu_n}(1/x)]^{1/(k_n-1)} = \eta_{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}}(1/x), \quad x \in (0, +\infty), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is known from [13] that the sequence $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to δ_1 , and therefore the functions $\eta_{\nu_n}(z)$ converge to z uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$. Similarly, the functions $\eta_{\nu_n}^{\langle -1 \rangle}(z)$ converge uniformly to z on compact subsets of $(-\infty, 0)$. Since $\eta_{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}}$ converges to η_μ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$, we deduce that $\eta_{\mu_n}(z) = \eta_{\nu_n}^{\langle -1 \rangle}(\eta_{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}}(z))$ converge to η_μ uniformly on compact subsets of $(-\infty, 0)$. It follows that the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to μ . By Lemma 3.1(5), $\eta_{\mu_n}(x)$ tends to $\eta_\mu(x)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, +\infty)$, and therefore

$$[x\eta_{\mu_n}(1/x)]^{1/(k_n-1)}$$

converges to 1 uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, +\infty)$ since $k_n \rightarrow \infty$. Then (3.4) shows that $\eta_{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}}(1/x)$ converges to $\eta_\mu(1/x)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $(0, +\infty)$. The conclusion of the theorem follows now from (2.2) applied to these measures, as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

4. CUSP BEHAVIOR IN $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$

In this section, we describe the qualitative behavior of a convolution $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$, where $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ are nondegenerate measures and μ_2 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible, subject to a mild additional condition. It was shown in [16] how an analytic function argument provides examples in which the density of $\mu \boxplus \nu$, with ν a semicircle law, can have a cusp behavior at some points. More precisely, if h is the density, then, at some of its zeros $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the ratio $h(t)/|t - t_0|^{1/3}$ is bounded away from zero and infinity. Then it is shown in [16] that this is the worst possible cusp behavior that such a density can have. Arguments, similar to those in [16], show that the density of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ can also be bounded by a cubic root near a zero if μ_2 is the multiplicative analog of the semicircular law. Our purpose in this section is to show that this is the worst possible behavior for such densities if μ_2 is an almost arbitrary \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure. The argument proceeds in two steps. First,

we work with the case in which μ_2 is the multiplicative analog of a semicircular measure, thus producing a multiplicative analog of Proposition 4 and Corollary 5 in [16]. For general μ_2 , we show that the density of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ can be estimated using a different convolution $\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2$, where ν_2 is one of these multiplicative analogs of the semicircular measure, chosen with appropriate parameters.

We recall an observation first made in [16] in the free additive case. (The simple proof is provided for convenience as well as for establishing notation.)

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ be such that μ_2 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible, and let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ be given by Theorem 2.5. Then ρ_1 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible.*

Proof. Let Φ given by (2.4) be the analytic continuation of $\eta_{\mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$. Then (2.3) can be written as

$$\frac{\Phi(z)}{z} \eta_{\mu_1}^{-1}(z) = \eta_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}(z),$$

and applying this equality with $\eta_{\mu_1}(z)$ in place of z , we obtain

$$\frac{\Phi(\eta_{\mu_1}(z))}{\eta_{\mu_1}(z)} z = \eta_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}(\eta_{\mu_1}(z)) = \eta_{\rho_1}^{\langle -1 \rangle}(z)$$

for $z \in (\beta, 0)$ and $\beta < 0$. The lemma follows because the function

$$(4.1) \quad \Psi(z) = \frac{\Phi(\eta_{\mu_1}(z))}{\eta_{\mu_1}(z)} z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is of the form $z \exp(v(z))$, where

$$v(z) = \log \gamma + \int_{[0, +\infty)} \frac{1 + t \eta_{\mu_1}(z)}{\eta_{\mu_1}(z) - t} d\sigma(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is an analytic function satisfying $v(\mathbb{H}) \subset -\mathbb{H}$ (since $\eta_{\mu_1}(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$) and $v(\bar{z}) = \overline{v(z)}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$. \square

With the notation of the preceding lemma, we recall that the domain

$$\eta_{\rho_1}(\mathbb{H}) = \Omega_{\rho_1} \cap \mathbb{H}$$

can be described as

$$\eta_{\rho_1}(\mathbb{H}) = \{r e^{i\theta} : r > 0, f(r) < \theta < \pi\}$$

for some continuous function $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, \pi)$, and that η_{ρ_1} extends to a homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ onto $\overline{\eta_{\rho_1}(\mathbb{H})}$. It was shown in [29] that η_{μ_1} extends continuously to $\overline{\eta_{\rho_1}(\mathbb{H})}$ provided that we allow ∞ as a possible value. Using, as before, the increasing homeomorphism

$$h(r) = \Psi(r e^{if(r)}), \quad r \in (0, +\infty),$$

the density $q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$ of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$, relative to the Haar measure dx/x on $(0, +\infty)$, is calculated using the formula

$$(4.2) \quad q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(1/x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \frac{1}{1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(r e^{if(r)})}, & x = h(r) \text{ and } f(r) > 0, \\ 0, & x = h(r) \text{ and } f(r) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The following proposition examines the density of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ when μ_2 is analogous to the semicircular measure, that is, when σ is a point mass at $t = 1$. (The equation (4.3) regarding this density also appeared in [37].)

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that $\beta, \gamma \in (0, +\infty)$, and that $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ is such that*

$$\gamma z \exp \left[\beta \frac{z+1}{z-1} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$$

is an analytic continuation of $\eta_{\mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$. Let $q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$ be the density of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ relative to the Haar measure dx/x and define $k(x) = q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(1/x)$. Then:

- (1) $|k'(x)| k(x)^2 \leq (4\pi^3 \beta^2 x)^{-1}$ for every $x \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $k(x) \neq 0$.
- (2) If $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ is an interval with one endpoint $x_0 > 0$, $k(x) > 0$ for $x \in I$, and $k(x_0) = 0$, then

$$k(x)^3 \leq \frac{3}{4\pi^3 \beta^2} |\log x - \log x_0|, \quad x \in I.$$

In particular, $k(x)/|x - x_0|^{1/3}$ and $k(x)/|x^{-1} - x_0^{-1}|^{1/3}$ remain bounded for $x \in I$ close to x_0 .

Proof. Part (2) follows from (1) because

$$k(x)^3 = \left| \int_{x_0}^x 3k(s)^2 k'(s) ds \right|.$$

By (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(z) &= \gamma z \exp \left[\beta \frac{\eta_{\mu_1}(z) + 1}{\eta_{\mu_1}(z) - 1} \right] \\ &= \gamma z \exp \beta \left[1 - \frac{2}{1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)} \right] \\ &= e^{-\beta} \gamma z \exp [-2\beta \psi_{\mu_1}(z)]. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\arg \Psi(re^{if(r)}) = 0$ leads to the identity

$$(4.3) \quad f(r) = 2\beta \Im \frac{1}{1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})} = 2\pi\beta k(\Psi(re^{if(r)})).$$

We note for further use that

$$(4.4) \quad \Im \frac{1}{1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})} = \Im(1 + \psi_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{tr \sin(f(r))}{|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^2} d\mu_1(t).$$

Of course, our estimate applies to points $x = x(r) = \Psi(re^{if(r)})$ such that $f(r) > 0$, and f is continuously differentiable at such r . By the chain rule and (4.3),

$$(4.5) \quad k'(x(r)) = \frac{(d/dr)k(x(r))}{(d/dr)x(r)} = \frac{(1/2\pi\beta)f'(r)}{[x'(r)/x(r)]x(r)},$$

and thus we must find lower estimates for the logarithmic derivative $x'(r)/x(r)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(d/dr)\Psi(re^{if(r)})}{\Psi(re^{if(r)})} \right| &= \left| \frac{\Psi'(re^{if(r)})}{\Psi(re^{if(r)})} \right| \left| \frac{d(re^{if(r)})}{dr} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{re^{if(r)}} - 2\beta\psi'_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)}) \right| \left| e^{if(r)}(1 + irf'(r)) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \left| 1 - 2\beta re^{if(r)}\psi'_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)}) \right| \sqrt{1 + r^2 f'(r)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\psi'_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t}{(1 - tre^{if(r)})^2} d\mu_1(t),$$

and use relations (4.3) and (4.4) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 2\beta re^{if(r)} \psi'_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)}) &= \frac{2\beta}{f(r)} \Im \frac{1}{1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})} - 2\beta re^{if(r)} \psi'_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)}) \\ &= 2\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left[\frac{1}{f(r)} \frac{tr \sin(f(r))}{|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^2} - \frac{tre^{if(r)}}{(1 - tre^{if(r)})^2} \right] d\mu_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

We now calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re \left[\frac{1}{f(r)} \frac{tr \sin(f(r))}{|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^2} - \frac{tre^{if(r)}}{(1 - tre^{if(r)})^2} \right] \\ &= tr \frac{\sin(f(r))|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^2 - f(r) \Re [tre^{if(r)}(1 - tre^{-if(r)})^2]}{f(r)|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^4} \\ &= tr \frac{(1 + t^2r^2) [\sin(f(r)) - f(r) \cos(f(r))] + tr [2f(r) - \sin(2f(r))]}{f(r)|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^4} \\ &\geq \frac{t^2r^2 [2f(r) - \sin(2f(r))]}{f(r)|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^4}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\sin f - f \cos f \geq 0$ for $f \in (0, \pi)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - 2\beta re^{if(r)} \psi'_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})| &\geq 2\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Re \left[\frac{1}{f(r)} \frac{tr \sin(f(r))}{|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^2} - \frac{tre^{if(r)}}{(1 - tre^{if(r)})^2} \right] d\mu_1(t) \\ &\geq 2\beta \frac{2f(r) - \sin(2f(r))}{f(r)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t^2r^2}{|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^4} d\mu_1(t) \\ \text{(Schwarz inequality)} &\geq 2\beta \frac{2f(r) - \sin(2f(r))}{f(r)} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{tr}{|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^2} d\mu_1(t) \right]^2 \\ \text{(by (4.3) and (4.4))} &= 2\beta \frac{2f(r) - \sin(2f(r))}{f(r)} \left[\frac{f(r)}{2\beta \sin(f(r))} \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{2f(r) - \sin(2f(r))}{f(r) \sin^2(f(r))} \left[\frac{f(r)^2}{2\beta} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

A further lower bound is obtained using the inequality $2f - \sin(2f) \geq f \sin^2 f$, valid for $f \in (0, \pi)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{x'(r)}{x(r)} \right| &= \left| \frac{(d/dr)\Psi(re^{if(r)})}{\Psi(re^{if(r)})} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{f(r)^2 \sqrt{1 + r^2 f'(r)^2}}{2\beta r}, \end{aligned}$$

and finally from (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} |k'(x(r))| &= \left| \frac{(1/2\pi\beta)f'(r)}{(x'(r)/x(r))x(r)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi f(r)^2 x(r)} \frac{r|f'(r)|}{\sqrt{1+r^2 f'(r)^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi f(r)^2 x(r)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.3), this is precisely the inequality in (1). \square

Remark 4.3. With the notation of the preceding lemma, it is easy to verify that the inequality in (1) is equivalent to

$$|q'_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)| q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^3 \beta^2 x}, \quad \text{where } x \in (0, +\infty), q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x) \neq 0.$$

One essential observation that allows us to extend the preceding result to more general \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures μ_2 is as follows. The density of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ depends largely, via (4.2) on the function f , and thus on the \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure ρ_1 . In many cases, it is possible to find another convolution $\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2$, such that $\eta_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2} = \eta_{\nu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho_1}$ (with the same measure ρ_1), and such that ν_2 is a multiplicative analog of the semicircular measure. The verification of the following result is a simple calculation. The details are left to the reader. Note that the existence of the measure ν_1 below follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ be such that μ_2 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible, and let*

$$\Phi(z) = \gamma z \exp \left[\int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} d\sigma(t) \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

be an analytic continuation of $\eta_{\mu_2}^{(-1)}$. Denote by $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ the \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure such that $\eta_{\rho_1}^{(-1)}$ has the analytic continuation

$$\Psi(z) = \gamma z \exp \left[\int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+t\eta_{\mu_1}(z)}{\eta_{\mu_1}(z)-t} d\sigma(t) \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Suppose that

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{[0, +\infty]} \left(\frac{1}{t} + t \right) d\sigma(1/t)$$

is finite and nonzero. Denote by $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ the measure satisfying

$$\psi_{\nu_1}(z) = \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{t\eta_{\mu_1}(z)}{1-t\eta_{\mu_1}(z)} \left(\frac{1}{t} + t \right) d\sigma(1/t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and denote by $\nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ the \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure such that $\eta_{\nu_2}^{(-1)}$ has the analytic continuation

$$\gamma' z \exp \left[\beta \frac{z+1}{z-1} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where

$$\gamma' = \gamma \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{[0, +\infty]} \left(\frac{1}{t} - t \right) d\sigma(1/t) \right].$$

Then $\eta_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2} = \eta_{\mu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho_1}$ and $\eta_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2} = \eta_{\nu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho_1}$.

For the final proof in this section, we need some results from [29], which we formulate using the notation established in Lemma 4.1. According to [29, Theorem 4.16], the zero set $\{\alpha \in (0, +\infty) : f(\alpha) = 0\}$ can be partitioned into three sets A, B, C defined as follows.

- (1) The set A consists of those $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $\mu_1(\{1/\alpha\}) > 0$ and

$$\int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{(1-t)^2} d\sigma(t) \leq \mu_1(\{1/\alpha\}).$$

- (2) The set B consists of those $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$ for which $\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ and

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\alpha t}{(1-\alpha t)^2} d\mu_1(t) \right] \left[\int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{(\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) - t)^2} d\sigma(t) \right] \leq \frac{1}{(1-\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha))^2}.$$

- (3) Finally, $\alpha \in C$ provided that $\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) = \infty$ and

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(1-\alpha t)^2} \right] \left[\int_{[0, +\infty]} (1+t^2) d\sigma(t) \right] \leq 1.$$

The proof of this result relies on Proposition 4.10 of [4] which states that $f(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if the map Ψ has a finite Julia-Carathéodory derivative at the point α , so that the preceding inequalities are a consequence of the chain rule for Julia-Carathéodory derivative. (See [31] for the basics of Julia-Carathéodory derivative.) The density of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ is continuous everywhere, except on the finite set

$$\{1/\Psi(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}.$$

If $x \in (0, +\infty)$ is an atom of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ then $\eta_{\rho_1}(1/x) \in A$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ be two nondegenerate measures such that μ_2 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible, and let*

$$\Phi(z) = \gamma z \exp \left[\int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} d\sigma(t) \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

be an analytic continuation of $\eta_{\mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$. Suppose that $\sigma(0, +\infty) > 0$. If $I \subset (0, +\infty)$ is an open interval with an endpoint $x_0 > 0$ such that $1/\eta_{\rho_1}(1/x_0)$ is not an atom of μ_1 , and $q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x_0) = 0 < q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)$ for every $x \in I$, then $q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)/|x - x_0|^{1/3}$ is bounded for $x \in I$ close to x_0 .

Proof. We can always find finite measures σ' and σ'' on $[0, +\infty]$ such that $\sigma = \sigma' + \sigma''$, $\sigma'' \neq 0$ and σ'' has compact support contained in $(0, +\infty)$. The \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures $\mu'_2, \mu''_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, defined by the fact that $\eta_{\mu'_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ and $\eta_{\mu''_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ have analytic continuations

$$\gamma z \exp \left[\int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} d\sigma'(t) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad z \exp \left[\int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} d\sigma''(t) \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

respectively, satisfy the relation $\mu'_2 \boxtimes \mu''_2 = \mu_2$, and thus $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2 = \mu''_1 \boxtimes \mu''_2$, where $\mu''_1 = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu'_2$. There exist additional \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures $\rho'_1, \rho''_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ such that $\eta_{\mu''_1} = \eta_{\mu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho'_1}$ and $\eta_{\mu''_2} = \eta_{\mu''_1} \circ \eta_{\rho''_1}$. Clearly, $\eta_{\rho_1} = \eta_{\rho'_1} \circ \eta_{\rho''_1}$, and

we argue that $1/\eta_{\rho_1'}(1/x_0)$ is a real number but not an atom of μ_1'' . Indeed, letting $z \rightarrow 1/x_0$ in the inequality

$$\arg \eta_{\rho_1}(z) = \arg(\eta_{\rho_1'}(\eta_{\rho_1''}(z))) \geq \arg \eta_{\rho_1''}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

the hypothesis $\eta_{\rho_1}(1/x_0) \in (0, +\infty)$ implies that $\eta_{\rho_1'}(1/x_0) \in (0, +\infty)$. Suppose, to get a contradiction, that $1/\eta_{\rho_1'}(1/x_0)$ is an atom of μ_1'' . Then, as seen in [6],

$$1/\eta_{\rho_1}(1/x_0) = 1/\eta_{\rho_1'}(\eta_{\rho_1''}(1/x_0))$$

is necessarily an atom of μ_1 , contrary to the hypothesis.

The above construction shows that the hypothesis of the theorem also holds with $\mu_1'', \mu_2'',$ and ρ_1'' in place of $\mu_1, \mu_2,$ and $\rho_1,$ respectively. Moreover, it is obvious that $\int_{[0, +\infty)} ((t^2 + 1)/t) d\sigma''(t) < +\infty$. Therefore we may, and do, assume that the additional hypothesis $\int_{[0, +\infty)} ((t^2 + 1)/t) d\sigma(t) < +\infty$ is satisfied. In particular, the hypothesis of Lemma 4.4 is satisfied. With the notation of that lemma, Proposition 4.2 shows that it suffices to prove that $q_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(x)/q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)$ is bounded away from zero for $x \in I$ close to x_0 . For this purpose, we write points $x \in (0, +\infty)$ as $x = 1/\Psi(re^{if(r)})$. In particular, $x_0 = 1/\Psi(r_0 e^{if(r_0)})$ and $f(r_0) = 0$. The fact that $1/\eta_{\rho_1}(1/x_0)$ is not an atom for μ_1 implies that $r_0 \in B \cup C$. The formula (4.2) and the definition of ν_1 yield

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \frac{1}{1 - \eta_{\nu_1}(re^{if(r)})} = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \psi_{\nu_1}(re^{if(r)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \Im \left[\int_{[0, +\infty)} \frac{t\eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})}{1 - t\eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})} \left(t + \frac{1}{t}\right) d\sigma(1/t) \right] \\ &= \frac{\Im \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})}{2\pi\beta} \int_{[0, +\infty)} \frac{1 + t^2}{|t - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})|^2} d\sigma(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since we also have

$$q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \frac{1}{1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Im \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})}{|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})|^2},$$

we deduce that

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{q_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(x)}{q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)} = \frac{|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})|^2}{2\beta} \int_{[0, +\infty)} \frac{1 + t^2}{|t - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})|^2} d\sigma(t).$$

Letting $x \rightarrow x_0$, so $r \rightarrow r_0$, we see that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0, x \in I} \frac{q_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(x)}{q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)} \geq \frac{|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(r_0)|^2}{2\beta} \int_{[0, +\infty)} \frac{1 + t^2}{|t - \eta_{\mu_1}(r_0)|^2} d\sigma(t)$$

if $r_0 \in B$, and

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0, x \in I} \frac{q_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(x)}{q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)} \geq \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{[0, +\infty)} (1 + t^2) d\sigma(t)$$

if $r_0 \in C$. In either case, the lower estimate is strictly positive. \square

Remark 4.6. In the above proof, we show that $q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x) = O(q_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(x))$ as $x \rightarrow x_0, x \in I$. It is also true that $q_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(x) = O(q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x))$ as $x \rightarrow x_0, x \in I$. To see this, we observe that the definition of f implies the equality

$$f(r) = \Im \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)}) \int_{[0, +\infty)} \frac{1 + t^2}{|t - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})|^2} d\sigma(t).$$

Thus, the reciprocal of the fraction in (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)}{q_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(x)} &= \frac{2\beta}{|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})|^2} \frac{\Im \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})}{f(r)} \\
 &= \frac{2\beta r \sin(f(r))}{f(r)} \frac{\Im \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})}{\Im(re^{if(r)})|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})|^2} \\
 &= \frac{2\beta r \sin(f(r))}{f(r)} \frac{\Im \psi_{\mu_1}(re^{if(r)})}{\Im(re^{if(r)})} \\
 &= \frac{2\beta r \sin(f(r))}{f(r)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t}{|1 - tre^{if(r)}|^2} d\mu_1(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $x \rightarrow x_0$ yields

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0, x \in I} \frac{q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)}{q_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(x)} \geq 2\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{tr_0}{(1 - tr_0)^2} d\mu_1(t) > 0.$$

Note that the quantity on the right hand side is in fact finite. This is immediate if $r_0 \in B$, and it follows from the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{tr_0}{(1 - tr_0)^2} d\mu_1(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{(1 - tr_0)^2} d\mu_1(t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{1 - tr_0} d\mu_1(t)$$

if $r_0 \in C$.

Remark 4.7. There are cases, other than those of Proposition 4.2, in which the set $\{x_0 > 0 : \eta_{\rho_1}(1/x_0) \in A\}$ is empty, and thus the conclusion of Theorem 4.5 holds at every zero of $q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$; in particular, the result holds at cusps and at the edge of every connected component of the set $\{x : p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x) > 0\}$. See Remark 9.7 for a brief discussion in the context of additive free convolution.

5. FREE MULTIPLICATIVE CONVOLUTION ON \mathbb{T}

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$ the collection of probability measures on \mathbb{T} . The definition of the moment generating function for a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is analogous to the one used for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, but the domain is now the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$:

$$\psi_{\mu}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{tz}{1 - tz} d\mu(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The η -transform of μ is the function

$$\eta_{\mu}(z) = \frac{\psi_{\mu}(z)}{1 + \psi_{\mu}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The collection $\{\eta_{\mu} : \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}\}$ is simply the set of all analytic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ that satisfy $f(0) = 0$. If we denote by

$$H_{\mu}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t+z}{t-z} d\mu(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

the Herglotz integral of μ , and if we define $\mu_* \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$ by $d\mu_*(t) = d\mu(1/t)$, then

$$H_{\mu_*}(z) = 1 + 2\psi_{\mu}(z) = \frac{1 + \eta_{\mu}(z)}{1 - \eta_{\mu}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since $\Re H_{\mu_*}$ is the Poisson integral of μ_* , we deduce that the measures

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \Re \frac{1 + \eta_\mu(re^{-i\theta})}{1 - \eta_\mu(re^{-i\theta})} d\theta, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi), r \in (0, 1),$$

converge weakly to $d\mu(e^{i\theta})$ as $r \uparrow 1$. In particular, the density of μ relative to arclength measure $d\theta$ on \mathbb{T} is given almost everywhere by

$$(5.1) \quad p_\mu(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Re \frac{1 + \eta_\mu(\bar{\xi})}{1 - \eta_\mu(\bar{\xi})}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T},$$

where

$$\eta_\mu(\xi) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \eta_\mu(r\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T},$$

exists almost everywhere as shown by Fatou [24]. In many cases of interest, the function η_μ extends continuously to \mathbb{T} , and thus μ is absolutely continuous on the set $\{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : \eta_\mu(\bar{\xi}) \neq 1\}$.

The η -transform is used in the description of free multiplicative convolution on the subset $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$, consisting of those measures μ with the property that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} t d\mu(t) \neq 0$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$, we have $\eta'_\mu(0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} t d\mu(t) \neq 0$, and thus η_μ has an inverse $\eta_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ that is a convergent power series in a neighborhood of zero. The free multiplicative convolution of two measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ is characterized by the identity (2.3) that is now true in some neighborhood of zero. The following theorem is a reformulation of the analytic subordination from [17].

Theorem 5.1. *For every $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$, there exist unique $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ such that*

$$z\eta_{\mu_1}(\eta_{\rho_1}(z)) = z\eta_{\mu_2}(\eta_{\rho_2}(z)) = \eta_{\rho_1}(z)\eta_{\rho_2}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Moreover, we have $\eta_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2} = \eta_{\mu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho_1}$. If μ_1, μ_2 are nondegenerate, then so are ρ_1, ρ_2 .

The concept of \boxtimes -infinite divisibility for measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is defined as for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$. The normalized arclength measure $m = d\theta/2\pi$ is the only \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$. All other \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures are described by results of [35, 10]. Suppose that $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible. Then the function $\eta_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ has an analytic continuation Φ to \mathbb{D} satisfying

$$(5.2) \quad \Phi(0) = 0, \quad |\Phi(z)| \geq |z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Conversely, every analytic function $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies (5.2) is the analytic continuation of $\eta_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ for some \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$. Of course, the identity

$$\Phi(\eta_\mu(z)) = z$$

extends by analytic continuation to arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and thus η_μ is a conformal map if μ is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible. Some further information about this case is summarized below (see [4]).

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ be \boxtimes -infinitely divisible, and let $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the analytic continuation of $\eta_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$. Then:*

- (1) *The domain $\Omega_\mu = \eta_\mu(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike relative to the origin.*
- (2) *The function η_μ extends to a homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ onto $\overline{\Omega_\mu}$.*
- (3) *We have $\Omega_\mu = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\Phi(z)| < 1\}$.*

- (4) If $|\eta_\mu(t)| < 1$ for some $t \in \mathbb{T}$ then η_μ continues analytically to a neighborhood of t .

The functions Φ that satisfy (5.2) can be written as

$$(5.3) \quad \Phi(z) = \gamma z \exp H_\sigma(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ and σ is a finite, positive Borel measure on \mathbb{T} . The parameters (γ, σ) are uniquely determined by Φ (or by μ) and (5.3) is an analog of the Lévy-Hinčĭn formula in classical probability. (Recall that H_σ denotes the Herglotz integral of σ .) This representation of Φ , along with part (3) of the above lemma, allow us to give an alternative description of $\eta_\mu|_{\mathbb{T}}$. We have

$$|\Phi(r\zeta)| = r \exp \Re H_\sigma(r\zeta) = r \exp \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-r^2}{|t-r\zeta|^2} d\sigma(t) \right], \quad r \in (0, 1), \zeta \in \mathbb{T},$$

and thus

$$\log |\Phi(r\zeta)| = [1 - T(r\zeta)] \log r,$$

where

$$T(r\zeta) = \frac{r^2 - 1}{\log r} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|t - r\zeta|^2}, \quad r \in (0, 1), \zeta \in \mathbb{T}.$$

The map $T(r\zeta)$ is an increasing, continuous function of r for fixed ζ (see [37, Lemma 3.1]). We also set

$$T(\zeta) = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} T(r\zeta) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|t - \zeta|^2}.$$

We conclude that $r\zeta \in \Omega_\mu$ precisely when $T(r\zeta) < 1$. Since Ω_μ is starlike relative to 0, we conclude that, for each fixed $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, the set

$$\{r \in (0, 1) : T(r\zeta) < 1\}$$

is an interval $(0, R(\zeta))$. We summarize some of the properties of the function R below.

Lemma 5.3. [28, 29, 37] *Suppose that $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible. With the notation introduced above, we have:*

- (1) *The function R is continuous and $R(e^{i\theta})$ is continuously differentiable on $\{\theta \in \mathbb{R} : R(e^{i\theta}) < 1\}$.*
- (2) *$\Omega_\mu = \{r\zeta : \zeta \in \mathbb{T}, 0 \leq r < R(\zeta)\}$ and $\partial\Omega_\mu = \{R(\zeta)\zeta : \zeta \in \mathbb{T}\}$.*
- (3) *$R(\zeta) < 1$ if and only if $T(\zeta) > 1$, in which case $T(R(\zeta)\zeta) = 1$. The inequality $T(R(\zeta)\zeta) \leq 1$ holds for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.*

The following result is analogous to Lemma 2.8. A similar estimate could be derived from [4, (4.20)].

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible. With the notation introduced above, we have*

$$|dH_\sigma/dz| \leq 8\sigma(\mathbb{T}) + 2, \quad z \in \Omega_\mu.$$

Proof. Direct calculation yields

$$|dH_\sigma/dz| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{2t}{(t-z)^2} d\sigma(t) \right| \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|t-z|^2}.$$

Since $T(z) \leq 1$ for $z \in \Omega_\mu$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|t-z|^2} \leq \frac{\log|z_j|}{|z|^2-1} < \frac{1}{2|z|} \leq 1,$$

if $|z| \geq 1/2$. If $|z| < 1/2$, we have $|t-z| \geq 1/2$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, and the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|t-z|^2} \leq 4\sigma(\mathbb{T})$$

yields the desired result. \square

The discussion of convolution powers in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ is best carried out for real exponents rather than just integer ones. We review this construction from [4] as follows. Suppose that $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{T}} t d\nu(t) > 0$ and η_ν has no zeros in $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Fix $k \in (1, +\infty)$ and set

$$\Phi(z) = z \left(\frac{z}{\eta_\nu(z)} \right)^{k-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We have

$$\eta'_\nu(0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} t d\nu(t) > 0,$$

and the power above is chosen such that $\Phi'(0) > 0$. The Schwarz lemma shows that $|\Phi(z)| \geq |z|$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and therefore there exists a \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ such that Φ is an analytic continuation of $\eta_\mu^{(-1)}$. We can then *define* the convolution power $\nu^{\boxtimes k}$ by setting

$$\eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}} = \eta_\nu \circ \eta_\mu.$$

If k is an integer, the measure $\nu^{\boxtimes k}$ is in fact equal to the free multiplicative convolution of k copies of ν . The analog of (2.11) also holds in this context, but it must be written so that the powers make sense:

$$\left(\frac{\eta_\mu(z)}{z} \right)^k = \left(\frac{\eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}}(z)}{z} \right)^{k-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D};$$

equivalently,

$$\eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}}(z) = \eta_\mu(z) \left(\frac{\eta_\mu(z)}{z} \right)^{1/(k-1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

As in the real case, the function $\eta_{\nu^{\boxtimes k}}$ extends continuously to the closure $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ [4]. This construction of real powers fails if $\eta_\nu(z) = 0$ for some $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose however that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} t d\nu(t) > 0$. The η -transform of the measure $\nu^{\boxtimes 2} = \nu \boxtimes \nu$ has no zeros other than 0, and therefore one can define

$$\nu^{\boxtimes k} = (\nu \boxtimes \nu)^{k/2}$$

provided that $k > 2$. These considerations can be carried out for arbitrary measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ by choosing an arbitrary determination of the power $(z/\eta_\nu(z))^{k-1}$. If k is not an integer, there may be infinitely many versions of $\nu^{\boxtimes k}$, but each of them can be obtained from the others by appropriate rotations.

6. SUPERCONVERGENCE IN $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$

The weak convergence of \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures is equivalent to certain convergence properties of the η -transforms and of their inverses. We record the result from [10, Proposition 2.9]. The equivalence between (1) and (5) below is implicit in the proof of Theorem 4.3 from [14].

Proposition 6.1. [10, 14] *Suppose that μ and $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are nondegenerate \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$. Denote by Φ and $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the analytic continuations to \mathbb{D} of the functions $\eta_{\mu}^{(-1)}$ and $\{\eta_{\mu_n}^{(-1)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and represent these functions as in (5.3), using (γ_n, σ_n) for the parameters corresponding to μ_n . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to μ .*
- (2) *The sequence $\{\eta_{\mu_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise to η_{μ} on \mathbb{D} .*
- (3) *The sequence $\{\eta_{\mu_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to η_{μ} uniformly on the compact subsets of \mathbb{D} .*
- (4) *The sequence $\{\Phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to Φ uniformly on the compact subsets of \mathbb{D} .*
- (5) *The sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to γ and the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to σ .*

In preparation for the analog of Lemma 3.1, we suppose that μ, μ_n, Φ, Φ_n are as in the preceding result, and we consider the continuous functions $R, R_n : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that

$$\Omega_{\mu} = \{rt : t \in \mathbb{T}, r \in [0, R(t))\}, \quad \Omega_{\mu_n} = \{rt : t \in \mathbb{T}, r \in [0, R_n(t))\}.$$

We also consider the homeomorphisms $h, h_n : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ defined by

$$h(t) = \frac{\eta_{\mu}(t)}{|\eta_{\mu}(t)|}, \quad h_n(t) = \frac{\eta_{\mu_n}(t)}{|\eta_{\mu_n}(t)|}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The existence of these (orientation preserving) homeomorphisms is a consequence of the fact that Ω_{μ_n} is starlike with respect to 0, and of the fact that η_{μ_n} extends to a homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ onto $\overline{\Omega_{\mu_n}}$. Observe that we have

$$\eta_{\mu}(t) = R(h(t))h(t), \quad \eta_{\mu_n}(t) = R_n(h_n(t))h_n(t) \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *With the above notation, suppose that the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to μ . Then:*

- (1) *The sequence $\{R_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to R uniformly on \mathbb{T} .*
- (2) *The sequence $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to h uniformly on \mathbb{T} .*
- (3) *The sequence of inverses $\{h_n^{(-1)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $h^{(-1)}$ uniformly on \mathbb{T} .*
- (4) *The sequence $\{R_n \circ h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $R \circ h$ uniformly on \mathbb{T} .*
- (5) *The sequence $\{\eta_{\mu_n}(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\eta_{\mu}(t)$ uniformly on \mathbb{T} .*

Proof. (1) Since \mathbb{T} is compact, it suffices to show that, for every $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and an arc $V \subset \mathbb{T}$ containing t_0 in its interior such that

$$R(t) - \varepsilon < R_n(t) < R(t) + \varepsilon, \quad t \in V, n \geq N.$$

Fix t_0 and ε and chose a compact neighborhood V of t_0 such that $|R(t) - R(t_0)| < \varepsilon/2$ for $t \in V$. Thus,

$$|\Phi((R(t_0) - \varepsilon/2)t)| < 1, \quad t \in V.$$

The uniform convergence of Φ_n to Φ on the set $\{(R(t_0) - \varepsilon/2)t : t \in V\}$ shows that there exists N_1 such that

$$|\Phi_n((R(t_0) - \varepsilon/2)t)| < 1, \quad t \in V, n \geq N_1,$$

and thus

$$R_n(t) > R(t_0) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > R(t) - \varepsilon, \quad t \in V, n \geq N_1.$$

If $R(t_0) + \varepsilon/2 \geq 1$, the inequality $R_n(t) < R(t) + \varepsilon$ is automatically satisfied for $t \in V$. If $R(t_0) + \varepsilon/2 < 1$, we observe that

$$|\Phi((R(t_0) + \varepsilon/2)t)| > 1, \quad t \in V,$$

and we choose N_2 such that

$$|\Phi_n((R(t_0) + \varepsilon/2)t)| > 1, \quad t \in V, n \geq N_2.$$

Thus,

$$R_n(t) < R(t_0) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < R(t) + \varepsilon, \quad t \in V, n \geq N_2,$$

so it suffices to choose $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$.

(3) It suffices to prove pointwise convergence. We observe that $h_n^{(-1)}(t) = \Phi_n(R_n(t)t)$. Since the measures σ_n converge weakly, the sequence $\{\sigma_n(\mathbb{T})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Lemma 5.4 shows that the restrictions $\Phi_n|_{\overline{\Omega_{\mu_n}}}$ are equicontinuous. These facts, along with (1), imply the desired pointwise convergence.

(2) This follows directly from (3). Then (4) and (5) follow as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

As in the case of \mathbb{R}_+ , the η -transform of an \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ may take the value 1 at most once on \mathbb{T} . If $\eta_{\mu}(t) = 1$, we write $D_{\mu} = \{\bar{t}\}$, otherwise $D_{\mu} = \emptyset$. The measure μ is absolutely continuous relative to arclength measure on $\mathbb{T} \setminus D_{\mu}$.

We can now use the preceding result and (5.1) to prove the analog of Proposition 3.2 for the circle. The details are left to the interested reader.

Proposition 6.3. *Let μ and $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ such that μ_n converges weakly to μ . Let $K \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus D_{\mu}$ be an arbitrary compact set. Then $D_{\mu_n} \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus K$ for sufficiently large n , and the densities p_{μ_n} of μ_n relative to arclength measure converge to p_{μ} uniformly on K . If $D_{\mu} = \emptyset$, we can take $K = \mathbb{T}$.*

Finally, we derive a superconvergence result.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\{k_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [2, +\infty)$ be a sequence with limit $+\infty$, and let μ and $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ such that μ is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} t d\nu_n(t) > 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that the sequence $\{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to μ . Let $K \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus D_{\mu}$ be an arbitrary compact set. Then $\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}$ is absolutely continuous on K for sufficiently large n , and the densities p_n of $\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}$ relative to arclength measure converge to p_{μ} uniformly on K . If $D_{\mu} = \emptyset$, we can take $K = \mathbb{T}$.*

Proof. We first replace ν_n by $\nu_n \boxtimes \nu_n$ and k_n by $k_n/2$. After this substitution we may assume that η_{ν_n} does not vanish on \mathbb{D} and the convolution powers can be calculated as in Section 5, using analytic subordination. Thus, there exist \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ satisfying the equations

$$(6.1) \quad \eta_{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}}(z) = \eta_{\mu_n}(z) \left(\frac{\eta_{\mu_n}(z)}{z} \right)^{1/(k_n-1)}, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$\eta_{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}} = \eta_{\nu_n} \circ \eta_{\mu_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As in the case of \mathbb{R}_+ , the measures ν_n necessarily converge to δ_1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and thus $\eta_{\nu_n}(z)$ converges to z uniformly for z in a compact subset of \mathbb{D} . The inverses $\eta_{\nu_n}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ converge uniformly to the identity function for z in a neighborhood of 0, and therefore

$$\eta_{\mu_n} = \eta_{\nu_n}^{\langle -1 \rangle} \circ \eta_{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}}$$

converge uniformly on a neighborhood of 0 to η_μ . We conclude that the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to μ . Lemma 6.2 implies now that the functions η_{μ_n} converge to η_μ uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, and therefore the functions

$$\left(\frac{\eta_{\mu_n}(z)}{z} \right)^{1/(k_n-1)}, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}},$$

converge uniformly to 1. Formula (6.1) implies now that the sequence $\{\eta_{\nu_n^{\boxtimes k_n}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to η_μ uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. The desired conclusion is now obtained easily by applying (5.1) to these measures. \square

7. CUSP BEHAVIOR IN $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$

This section is the counterpart of Section 4 for \mathbb{T} . Thus, we consider the qualitative behavior of a convolution $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$, where $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ are nondegenerate measures and μ_2 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible. Of course, all \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measures in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$ belong to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$, with the exception of the normalized arclength measure m . For this measure, we have $\mu \boxtimes m = m$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$, so m is the analog of the measure $\delta_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, and indeed it has the same moment sequence.

We start with the analog of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ be such that μ_2 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible, and let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ be given by Theorem 5.1. Then ρ_1 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible.*

Proof. Let Φ given by (5.3) be the analytic continuation of $\eta_{\mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ to \mathbb{D} . Thus,

$$\Phi(z) = zF(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where F satisfies $|F(z)| \geq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then the analog of (2.3) for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ can be written as

$$F(z)\eta_{\mu_1}^{-1}(z) = \eta_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}(z),$$

and applying this equality with $\eta_{\mu_1}(z)$ in place of z , we obtain

$$F(\eta_{\mu_1}(z))z = \eta_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}(\eta_{\mu_1}(z)) = \eta_{\rho_1}^{\langle -1 \rangle}(z)$$

for z in some neighborhood of zero. The lemma follows because the function

$$G(z) = F(\eta_{\mu_1}(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

also satisfies the inequality $|G(z)| \geq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. \square

With the notation of the preceding lemma, we recall that the domain

$$\Omega_{\rho_1} = \eta_{\rho_1}(\mathbb{D})$$

can be described as

$$\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{rt : t \in \mathbb{T}, 0 \leq r < R(t)\}$$

for some continuous function $R : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow (0, 1]$, and that η_{ρ_1} extends to a homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ onto $\overline{\Omega_{\rho_1}}$. Using, the analytic continuation

$$\Psi(z) = zG(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

of $\eta_{\rho_1}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$, the map

$$\Psi|_{\partial\Omega_{\rho_1}}, \quad \partial\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{R(t)t : t \in \mathbb{T}\},$$

is a homeomorphism from $\partial\Omega_{\rho_1}$ onto \mathbb{T} . The density $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$ of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$, relative to arclength measure $2\pi dm$ on \mathbb{T} , is calculated using the formula

$$(7.1) \quad p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Re \frac{1+\eta_{\mu_1}(R(t)t)}{1-\eta_{\mu_1}(R(t)t)}, & \text{if } \xi = \frac{1}{\Psi(R(t)t)} \text{ and } R(t) < 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi = \frac{1}{\Psi(R(t)t)} \text{ and } R(t) = 1. \end{cases}$$

As noted earlier, this density is real analytic at all points where it is nonzero. Using the Herglotz formula for analytic functions with a positive real part, we write the function F above as

$$F(z) = \gamma \exp(H_\sigma(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $|\gamma| = 1$ and σ is a finite, positive Borel measure on \mathbb{T} . The appropriate analog of the semicircular measure is obtained when σ is a point mass at $1 \in \mathbb{T}$, that is,

$$F(z) = \gamma \exp \left[\beta \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\beta > 0$. The following proposition examines the density of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ when μ_2 is one of these measures. (The formula (7.3) below also appeared in [37].) We use the notation p' for the derivative $dp(e^{i\theta})/d\theta$ if p is a differentiable function defined on some open subset of \mathbb{T} .

Proposition 7.2. *Suppose that $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ are nondegenerate measures, and that μ_2 is such that*

$$\gamma z \exp \left[\beta \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

is an analytic continuation of $\eta_{\mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$. Let $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$ denote the density of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ relative to the arclength measure $2\pi dm$. Then:

- (1) $\left| p'_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi) \right| p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)^2 \leq 7/(8\pi^3 \beta^3)$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $0 < p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi) \leq \log 2/(2\pi\beta)$.
- (2) $\left| p'_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi) \right| \leq 7/\pi\beta$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi) \geq \log 2/(2\pi\beta)$.
- (3) If $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ is an arc with one endpoint ξ_0 , $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi \in I$, and $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi_0) = 0$, then

$$p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi) \leq \frac{2}{\pi\beta} |\xi - \xi_0|^{1/3}$$

for $\xi \in I$ close to ξ_0 .

Proof. Part (3) follows from (1) by integration since $\sqrt[3]{21/4} < 2$ and $\ell(\xi, \xi_0) < 2|\xi - \xi_0|$ if ξ is close to ξ_0 ; here $\ell(\xi, \xi_0)$ denotes the length of the (short) arc joining ξ and ξ_0 .

As seen in the preceding lemma, $\eta_{\rho_1}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ has the analytic continuation

$$\Psi(z) = zG(z) = F(\eta_{\mu_1}(z)) = \gamma z \exp[\beta u(z)], \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(z) &= \frac{1 + \eta_{\mu_1}(z)}{1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)} = 1 + 2\psi_{\mu_1}(z) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[1 + \frac{2\xi z}{1 - \xi z} \right] d\mu_1(\xi) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\frac{1 + \xi z}{1 - \xi z} \right] d\mu_1(\xi) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\frac{\xi + z}{\xi - z} \right] d\mu_1(1/\xi)
 \end{aligned}$$

is precisely the Herglotz integral of the measure $d\mu_1(1/\xi) = d\mu_1(\bar{\xi})$. Thus, when the boundary of the domain Ω_{ρ_1} is parametrized as $z(t) = R(t)t$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\mu_1(\bar{\xi})}{|\xi - z(t)|^2} = \frac{\log R(t)}{R(t)^2 - 1}$$

whenever $R(t) < 1$. (We will use implicitly the easily established inequality

$$\frac{2r \log r}{r^2 - 1} < 1,$$

valid for $r \in (0, 1)$. In fact the function

$$\frac{2r \log r}{r^2 - 1}$$

is increasing for $r \in (0, 1)$ and it tends to 1 at $r = 1$.) Setting

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{\Psi(z(t))},$$

for $R(t) < 1$, we see from (7.1) and (7.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.3) \quad p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(f(t)) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \Re \frac{1 + \eta_{\mu_1}(z(t))}{1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(z(t))} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z(t)|^2}{|\xi - z(t)|^2} d\mu_1(\bar{\xi}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |z(t)|^2}{|\xi - z(t)|^2} d\mu_1(\bar{\xi}) = \frac{-\log R(t)}{2\pi\beta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of \mathbb{R}_+ , this allows us to use the chain rule for our estimates. We begin with the derivative of f that can be estimated as

$$|f'(t)| = \left| \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \right| = \left| \frac{\Psi'(z(t))}{\Psi(z(t))} \right| |z'(t)|.$$

Here, Ψ' is the usual complex derivative of Ψ ,

$$\left| \frac{\Psi'(z)}{\Psi(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{z} + \beta u'(z) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{z} + \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{2\xi}{(\xi - z)^2} d\mu_1(\bar{\xi}) \right|,$$

so using (7.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\Psi'(z(t))}{\Psi(z(t))} \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{R(t)t} + \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{2\xi}{(\xi - R(t)t)^2} d\mu_1(\bar{\xi}) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{R(t)} \left| 1 + \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{2\xi R(t)t}{(\xi - R(t)t)^2} d\mu_1(\bar{\xi}) \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{R(t)} \left[1 - \frac{2R(t) \log R(t)}{R(t)^2 - 1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For the second factor $|z'(t)|$, we have

$$z'(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{d}{d\theta} R(e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} = [R'(e^{i\theta}) + iR(e^{i\theta})] e^{i\theta},$$

and thus

$$|z'(t)| = \sqrt{R(t)^2 + R'(t)^2}.$$

Putting these together, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(t)| &\geq \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{R'(t)}{R(t)} \right)^2} \left[1 - \frac{2R(t) \log R(t)}{R(t)^2 - 1} \right] \\ &\geq \left| \frac{R'(t)}{R(t)} \right| \left[1 - \frac{2R(t) \log R(t)}{R(t)^2 - 1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{R'(t)}{R(t)} \right| = |(\log R)'(t)|,$$

formula (7.3) yields the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |p'_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(f(t))| &= \frac{|(\log R)'(t)|}{2\pi\beta |f'(t)|} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2R(t) \log R(t)}{R(t)^2 - 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(f(t)) > (\log 2)/2\pi\beta$ amounts to $R(t) < 1/2$, and the preceding estimate yields

$$|p'_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(f(t))| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{4}{3} \log 2} < \frac{7}{\pi\beta},$$

thus verifying (2). Finally, we have

$$|p'_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(f(t))| p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(f(t))^2 \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi\beta)^3} \frac{\log^2 R(t)}{1 - \frac{2R(t) \log R(t)}{R(t)^2 - 1}}$$

and the fact that

$$\frac{\log^2 r}{1 - \frac{2r \log r}{r^2 - 1}}$$

is less than 7 for $r \in (1/2, 1)$ yields (1). \square

Next, we state an analog of Lemma 4.4. The verification is a simple calculation.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ be two nondegenerate measures such that μ_2 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible, and let*

$$\Phi(z) = \gamma z \exp H_{\sigma}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

be an analytic continuation of $\eta_{\mu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$. Assume that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} t d\sigma(t) \neq 0$. Denote by $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^$ the \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure such that $\eta_{\rho_1}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ has the analytic continuation*

$$\Psi(z) = \gamma z \exp \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t + \eta_{\mu_1}(z)}{t - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)} d\sigma(t) \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Set $\beta = \sigma(\mathbb{T})$ and denote by $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^$ the measure satisfying*

$$\psi_{\nu_1}(z) = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t \eta_{\mu_1}(z)}{1 - t \eta_{\mu_1}(z)} d\sigma(\bar{t}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and denote by $\nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^$ the \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure such that $\eta_{\nu_2}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ has the analytic continuation*

$$\gamma z \exp \left[\beta \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $\eta_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2} = \eta_{\mu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho_1}$ and $\eta_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2} = \eta_{\nu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho_1}$.

In preparation for the final proof in this section, we recall some facts demonstrated in [29, Theorem 4.5] whose proof is based on [4, Proposition 4.5] and the chain rule for Julia-Carathéodory derivative. Suppose that μ_1, μ_2 , and ρ_1 are as in the preceding lemma, and that the domain Ω_{ρ_1} is described as

$$\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{rt : t \in \mathbb{T}, 0 \leq r < R(t)\}$$

for some continuous function $R : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow (0, 1]$. The map η_{μ_1} extends continuously to the closure $\overline{\Omega_{\rho_1}}$, and the set

$$\partial\Omega_{\rho_1} \cap \mathbb{T} = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : R(t) = 1\}$$

can be partitioned into two subsets A and B described as follows.

- (1) A consists of those points $t \in \mathbb{T}$ for which $\mu_1(\{\bar{t}\}) > 0$ and

$$\frac{\mu_1(\{\bar{t}\})}{2} \geq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(\xi)}{|1 - \xi|^2}.$$

- (2) B consists of those $t \in \mathbb{T}$ for which $\eta_{\mu_1}(t) \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$,

$$c = \liminf_{z \rightarrow t} \frac{1 - |\eta_{\mu_1}(z)|}{1 - |z|} \in (0, +\infty),$$

and

$$c \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(\xi)}{|\eta_{\mu_1}(t) - \xi|^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ be two nondegenerate measures such that μ_2 is \boxtimes -infinitely divisible and satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 7.3. Suppose that $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{T}$ is an open arc with an endpoint ξ_0 , $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi_0) = 0 < p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)$ for every $\xi \in \Gamma$, and—using the notation of Lemma 7.3— $1/\eta_{\rho_1}(\bar{\xi}_0)$ is not an atom of μ_1 . Then $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)/|\xi - \xi_0|^{1/3}$ is bounded for $\xi \in \Gamma$ close to ξ_0 .*

Proof. Using the notation of Lemma 7.3, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi) > 0\} &= \{\overline{\Psi(R(t)t)} : R(t) < 1\} \\ &= \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : p_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(\xi) > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 7.2, the conclusion of the theorem is true if μ_1 and μ_2 are replaced by ν_1 and ν_2 , respectively. It will therefore suffice to prove that the ratio $p_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(\xi)/p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)$ is bounded away from zero for ξ close to ξ_0 . The hypothesis implies that the number $\alpha = 1/\eta_{\rho_1}(\xi_0)$ belongs to the set B described before the statement of the theorem. Using the usual parametrization $z = \eta_{\rho_1}(\bar{\xi})$, the relations $\eta_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2} = \eta_{\mu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho_1}$ and $\eta_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2} = \eta_{\nu_1} \circ \eta_{\rho_1}$ yield

$$\gamma z \exp \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t + \eta_{\mu_1}(z)}{t - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)} d\sigma(t) \right] = \Psi(z) = \gamma z \exp \left[\beta \frac{1 + \eta_{\nu_1}(z)}{1 - \eta_{\nu_1}(z)} \right].$$

Equating the absolute values of these quantities yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2}{|t - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2} d\sigma(t) = \beta \frac{1 - |\eta_{\nu_1}(z)|^2}{|1 - \eta_{\nu_1}(z)|^2},$$

or, equivalently

$$\left[\Re \frac{1 + \eta_{\mu_1}(z)}{1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)} \right] \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2}{|t - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2} d\sigma(t) = \beta \Re \frac{1 + \eta_{\nu_1}(z)}{1 - \eta_{\nu_1}(z)}.$$

Applying (7.1) we rewrite this as

$$\frac{p_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(\xi)}{p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)} = \frac{|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|t - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2}, \quad \xi \in \Gamma.$$

The desired result follows now from the definition of the set B and an application of Fatou's lemma. \square

Remark 7.5. With the notation of the preceding proof, we have $|\Psi(z)| = 1$ for the relevant points z , implying further that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2}{|t - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2} d\sigma(t) = \frac{|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2 \log |z|}{|\eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2 - 1}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)}{p_{\nu_1 \boxtimes \nu_2}(\xi)} = \beta \frac{|\eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2 - 1}{|1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(z)|^2 \log |z|},$$

and it is easily seen that this ratio is also bounded away from zero near ξ_0 .

8. FREE ADDITIVE CONVOLUTION ON $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$

The free additive convolution \boxplus is a binary operation defined on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$, the family of all probability measures on \mathbb{R} . The Cauchy transform of a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$, already seen in Section 2, is defined by

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{z - t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

and the density $d\mu/dt$ of μ is equal almost everywhere to $(-1/\pi)\Im G_{\mu}(x)$, where the boundary limit

$$G_{\mu}(x) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} G_{\mu}(x + iy), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

exists almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} . The *reciprocal* Cauchy transform

$$F_\mu(z) = \frac{1}{G_\mu(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

maps \mathbb{H} to itself, and the collection $\{F_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}\}$ consists precisely of those analytic functions $F : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with the property that

$$\lim_{y \uparrow \infty} \frac{F(iy)}{iy} = 1.$$

As seen, for instance, in [1], these functions have a Nevanlinna representation of the form

$$F(z) = \gamma + z - N_\sigma(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$N_\sigma(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} d\sigma(t)$$

for some finite positive Borel measure σ on \mathbb{R} . This integral representation implies that

$$\Im F(z) \geq \Im z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Given a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$, the function F_μ is conformal in an open set U containing $\{iy : y \in (\alpha, +\infty)\}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, and the restriction $F_\mu|_U$ has an inverse $F_\mu^{(-1)}$ defined in an open set containing another set of the form $\{iy : y \in (\beta, +\infty)\}$ with $\beta > 0$. The free additive convolution $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ of two measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ is the unique measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the identity

$$(8.1) \quad z + F_\mu^{(-1)}(z) = F_{\mu_1}^{(-1)}(z) + F_{\mu_2}^{(-1)}(z)$$

for z in some open set containing iy for y large enough (see [11]). The analog of Theorems 2.5 and 5.1 is as follows.

Theorem 8.1. [17] *For every $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$, there exist unique $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$F_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(z)) = F_{\mu_2}(F_{\rho_2}(z)) = F_{\rho_1}(z) + F_{\rho_2}(z) - z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Moreover, we have $F_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2} = F_{\mu_1} \circ F_{\rho_1}$. If μ_1 and μ_2 are nondegenerate, then so are ρ_1 and ρ_2 .

It was shown in [34, 11] that a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ is \boxplus -infinitely divisible precisely when the inverse $F_\mu^{(-1)}$ continues analytically to \mathbb{H} and this analytic continuation has the Nevanlinna form

$$(8.2) \quad \Phi(z) = \gamma + z + N_\sigma, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

for some γ and σ . The functions described by (8.2) can also be characterized by

$$\lim_{y \uparrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi(iy)}{iy} = 1 \text{ and } \Im \Phi(z) \leq \Im z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Suppose now that $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ is \boxplus -infinitely divisible and that $F_\mu^{(-1)}$ has the analytic continuation given in (8.2). The equation $\Phi(F_\mu(z)) = z$ holds in some open set and therefore it holds on the entire \mathbb{H} by analytic continuation. In particular, F_μ maps \mathbb{H} conformally onto a domain $\Omega_\mu \subset \mathbb{H}$ that can be described as

$$\Omega_\mu = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : \Phi(z) \in \mathbb{H}\}.$$

As in the multiplicative cases, this domain can also be identified with $\{x + iy : y > f(x)\}$ for some continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. The map F_μ extends continuously to the closure $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, Φ extends continuously to $\overline{\Omega_\mu}$, and these two extensions are homeomorphisms, inverse to each other. (See Section 2 of [15] for the details and [27] for similar results in the context of free semigroups.)

9. CUSP BEHAVIOR IN $\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$

We are now ready for the counterpart of Sections 4 and 7 in the context of the free additive convolution. Thus, we study the density of a measure of the form $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$, where $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ and μ_2 is \boxplus -infinitely divisible. The following result is essentially contained in [16] and the brief argument is included here to establish notation.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ be such that μ_2 is \boxplus -infinitely divisible, and let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ be given by Theorem 8.1. Then ρ_1 is \boxplus -infinitely divisible.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(z) = \gamma + z + N_\sigma(z)$ given by (8.2) be the analytic continuation of $F_{\mu_2}^{(-1)}$ to \mathbb{H} . Then (8.1) can be rewritten as

$$F_{\mu_1}^{(-1)}(z) + \gamma + N_\sigma(z) = F_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}^{(-1)}(z)$$

in a neighborhood of infinity. Replacing z by $F_{\mu_1}(z)$ yields

$$\gamma + z + N_\sigma(F_{\mu_1}(z)) = F_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}^{(-1)}(F_{\mu_1}(z)),$$

and therefore the function

$$\Psi(z) = \gamma + z + N_\sigma(F_{\mu_1}(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

is an analytic continuation of $F_{\rho_1}^{(-1)}$, thus establishing the conclusion of the lemma. \square

The density $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}$ of $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ relative to Lebesgue measure has already been studied in [16] for the special case in which μ_2 is a semicircular law, that is, the measure σ is a point mass at 0. The following result is [16, Corollary 5].

Proposition 9.2. *With the notation above, suppose that $\sigma = \beta\delta_0$ for some $\beta > 0$. If $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval with an endpoint x_0 such that $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x_0) = 0 < p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)$ for every $x \in I$, then*

$$p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x) \leq \left[\frac{3}{4\pi^3\beta^2} |x - x_0| \right]^{1/3}, \quad x \in I.$$

In order to extend this result to general \boxplus -infinitely divisible measures μ_2 , we proceed as in the multiplicative cases. Thus, we construct another convolution, this time with a semicircular measure, with the property that the two convolutions share the same subordination function.

Lemma 9.3. *Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ be such that μ_2 is \boxplus -infinitely divisible, and let*

$$\Phi(z) = \gamma + z + N_\sigma(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

be the analytic continuation of $F_{\mu_2}^{(-1)}$. Denote by $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ the \boxplus -infinitely divisible measure such that $F_{\rho_1}^{(-1)}$ has the analytic continuation

$$\Psi(z) = \gamma + z + N_\sigma(F_{\mu_1}(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Suppose that $\beta = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+t^2) d\sigma(t)$ is finite, and set $\gamma' = \gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}} t d\sigma(t)$. Denote by $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the probability measure satisfying

$$G_{\nu_1}(z) = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{F_{\mu_1}(z)-t} d\sigma(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

and let $\nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the semicircular measure such that

$$\gamma' + z + \frac{\beta}{z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

is an analytic continuation of $F_{\nu_2}^{(-1)}$. Then $F_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2} = F_{\mu_1} \circ F_{\rho_1}$ and $F_{\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2} = F_{\nu_1} \circ F_{\rho_1}$.

Proof. The final assertion of the lemma is an easy verification that $F_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}^{(-1)} \circ F_{\mu_1} = F_{\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2}^{(-1)} \circ F_{\nu_1}$. One has to verify however that the measure ν_1 actually exists, and that amounts to showing that the reciprocal

$$F(z) = \frac{\beta}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{F_{\mu_1}(z)-t} d\sigma(t)}$$

maps \mathbb{H} to itself and that

$$\lim_{y \uparrow +\infty} \frac{F(iy)}{iy} = 1.$$

These facts follow from the corresponding properties of the function F_{μ_1} . \square

We will use a decomposition, analogous to those for multiplicative convolutions on \mathbb{R}_+ and \mathbb{T} . With the notation of the preceding lemma, represent the domain $\Omega_{\rho_1} = F_{\rho_1}(\mathbb{H})$ as

$$\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{x + iy : x \in \mathbb{R}, y > f(x)\},$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function. We recall from [29] that G_{μ_1} extends continuously to the closure $\overline{\Omega_{\rho_1}}$ provided that ∞ is allowed as a possible value. Based on [4, Proposition 4.7], it was shown in [29, Theorem 3.6] that the set $\partial\Omega_{\rho_1} \cap \mathbb{R} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : f(\alpha) = 0\}$ can be partitioned into three sets A , B , and C described as follows.

- (1) A consists of those points satisfying $\mu_1(\{\alpha\}) > 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{t^2} \right\} d\sigma(t) \leq \mu_1(\{\alpha\}).$$

- (2) B is characterized by the conditions $G_{\mu_1}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(1-tG_{\mu_1}(\alpha))^2} d\sigma(t) \right] \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(\alpha-t)^2} \right] \leq 1.$$

- (3) C consists of those α satisfying $G_{\mu_1}(\alpha) = 0$ and

$$\text{var}(\mu_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(\alpha-t)^2} \leq 1,$$

where

$$\text{var}(\mu_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^2 d\mu_2(t) - \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} t d\mu_2(t) \right]^2$$

denotes the variance of μ_2 .

These inequalities provide a quantitative way to determine the zeros of the density $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}$, because $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2} = -\pi^{-1} \Im(G_{\mu_1} \circ F_{\rho_1})$ on \mathbb{R} and $F_{\rho_1}(\mathbb{R}) = \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}$. As in the multiplicative cases, they are derived from the chain rule of Julia-Carathéodory derivative. In each of the preceding inequalities, the improper integrals converge. Equality in each case is achieved precisely when F_{ρ_1} has an infinite Julia-Carathéodory derivative at the point $\Psi(\alpha)$. The set A is always finite unless μ_2 is a degenerate measure. Moreover, if t is an atom of $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$, then $F_{\rho_1}(t) \in A$.

We note for further use an alternative way to write the inequalities defining the sets B and C [29, Remark 3.7]. For this purpose, we use the Nevanlinna representation

$$F_{\mu_1}(z) = c + z - N_\lambda(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and λ is a finite Borel measure on \mathbb{R} . The inequality in the definition of B can be replaced by

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(F_{\mu_1}(\alpha) - t)^2} d\sigma(t) \right] \left[1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(\alpha - t)^2} d\lambda(t) \right] \leq 1,$$

and the inequality in the definition of C can be replaced by

$$(1 + \alpha^2)\lambda(\{\alpha\}) \geq \text{var}(\mu_2).$$

In particular, every point $\alpha \in B$ must satisfy

$$(9.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(F_{\mu_1}(\alpha) - t)^2} d\sigma(t) < 1.$$

It is also the case that C is a discrete subset of \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 9.4. *Suppose that $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are nondegenerate measures such that μ_2 is \boxplus -infinitely divisible, and let $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfy $F_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2} = F_{\mu_1} \circ F_{\rho_1}$. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval with an endpoint x_0 such that $F_{\rho_1}(x) \in \mathbb{H}$ for every $x \in I$ and $F_{\rho_1}(x_0)$ is real but not an atom of μ_1 . Denote by $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}$ the density of $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ relative to Lebesgue measure. Then $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)/|x - x_0|^{1/3}$ is bounded for $x \in I$ close to x_0 .*

Proof. Suppose that

$$c + z + N_\sigma(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

is the analytic continuation of $F_{\mu_2}^{(-1)}$ to \mathbb{H} , where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and σ is a nonzero (because μ_2 is nondegenerate) finite measure on \mathbb{R} . As in the case of \mathbb{R}_+ , we can always find finite measures σ' and σ'' on \mathbb{R} such that $\sigma'' \neq 0$ has compact support and $\sigma = \sigma' + \sigma''$. Define two \boxplus -infinitely divisible measures $\mu'_2, \mu''_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by specifying that $F_{\mu'_2}^{(-1)}$ and $F_{\mu''_2}^{(-1)}$ have analytic continuations

$$c + z + N_{\sigma'}(z) \text{ and } z + N_{\sigma''}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

respectively. Since $\mu'_2 \boxplus \mu''_2 = \mu_2$, we get $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2 = \mu'_1 \boxplus \mu''_2$ and $\mu'_1 = \mu_1 \boxplus \mu'_2$. There exist two \boxplus -infinitely divisible measures $\rho'_1, \rho''_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $F_{\mu'_1} = F_{\mu_1} \circ F_{\rho'_1}$, $F_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2} = F_{\mu'_1} \circ F_{\rho''_1}$. Clearly, $F_{\rho_1} = F_{\rho'_1} \circ F_{\rho''_1}$, and we argue that $F_{\rho'_1}(x_0)$ is a real number but not an atom of μ'_1 . Indeed, letting $z \rightarrow x_0$ in the inequality

$$\Im F_{\rho_1}(z) = \Im(F_{\rho'_1}(F_{\rho''_1}(z))) \geq \Im(F_{\rho'_1}(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

the hypothesis $F_{\rho_1}(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ shows that $F_{\rho'_1}(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose, to get a contradiction, that $F_{\rho'_1}(x_0)$ is an atom of μ'_1 . Then, as seen in [12], $F_{\rho'_1}(F_{\rho''_1}(x_0))$ is necessarily an atom of μ_1 , contrary to the hypothesis.

The above construction shows that the hypothesis of the theorem also holds with μ_1'', μ_2'' , and ρ_1'' in place of μ_1, μ_2 , and ρ_1 , respectively. Moreover the measure σ'' has a finite second moment. Therefore it suffices to prove the theorem under the additional hypothesis that σ has a finite second moment. Under this hypothesis, Lemma 9.3 applies and provides measures ν_1 and ν_2 . Since the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x) > 0\}$ is described in terms of the measure ρ_1 , namely,

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x) > 0\} = \{x : F_{\rho_1}(x) \in \mathbb{H}\},$$

we have

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x) > 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : p_{\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2}(x) > 0\}.$$

By Proposition 9.2, it suffices to show that the ratio $p_{\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2}(x)/p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)$ is bounded away from zero for $x \in I$ close to x_0 . The two densities are evaluated in terms of the values of G_{ν_1} and G_{μ_1} on $\partial\Omega_{\rho_1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2}(x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im G_{\nu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Im \left[\frac{G_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x))}{1 - tG_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x))} \right] (1+t^2) d\sigma(t) \\ &= -\frac{\Im G_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x))}{\pi\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{|1 - tG_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x))|^2} d\sigma(t) \\ &= \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{|1 - tG_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x))|^2} d\sigma(t). \end{aligned}$$

The hypotheses that $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x_0) = 0$ and $F_{\rho_1}(x_0)$ is not an atom of μ_1 imply $F_{\rho_1}(x_0) \in B \cup C$. Using the Fatou's lemma, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0, x \in I} \frac{p_{\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2}(x)}{p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)} &= \liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0, x \in I} \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{|1 - tG_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x))|^2} d\sigma(t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{|1 - tG_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x_0))|^2} d\sigma(t) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus finishing the proof. \square

Remark 9.5. With the notation of the preceding proof, it is also true that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0, x \in I} \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)}{p_{\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2}(x)} \geq \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(F_{\rho_1}(x_0) - t)^2},$$

in which the improper integral converges because $F_{\rho_1}(x_0) \in B \cup C$. To verify this, we use the parametrization $\partial\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{s + if(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ to write

$$\{F_{\rho_1}(x) : x \in I\} = \{s + if(s) : s \in J\},$$

where J is an interval on which f is positive and it has one endpoint $\alpha = F_{\rho_1}(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. The fact that $\Im \Psi(s + if(s)) = 0$ for $s \in J$ yields the equation

$$f(s) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Im G_{\mu_1}(s + if(s))}{|1 - tG_{\mu_1}(s + if(s))|^2} (1+t^2) d\sigma(t) = 0, \quad s \in J.$$

Using this in the above formula for densities, we obtain

$$\frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)}{p_{\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2}(x)} = \beta \frac{-\Im G_{\mu_1}(s + if(s))}{f(s)} = \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(t-s)^2 + f(s)^2}.$$

We can now apply the Fatou's lemma as $s \rightarrow \alpha$.

Remark 9.6. The two limits inferior above are actual limits precisely when $F'_{\rho_1}(x_0) = +\infty$. Indeed, as seen above, this condition is equivalent to

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{|1-tG_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x_0))|^2} d\sigma(t) \right] \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(F_{\rho_1}(x_0)-t)^2} \right] = 1.$$

Remark 9.7. When x_0 is assumed to be a zero of the density $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}$, it is easy to see that $F_{\rho_1}(x_0)$ is an atom of μ_1 if and only if $F_{\rho_1}(x_0) \in A$. In many cases, the collection $\{x_0 : F_{\rho_1}(x_0) \text{ is an atom of } \mu_1\}$ is empty. This happens, of course, when μ_1 has no atoms. This also occurs when

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{t^2} \right\} d\sigma(t) \in [1, +\infty].$$

Indeed, in this case the set A is empty (provided, of course, that μ_1 is not degenerate and so its atoms cannot have measure 1).

Example 9.8. Let μ_1 be an arbitrary nondegenerate measure in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let μ_2 be the standard $(0, 1)$ normal distribution. It was shown in [5] that μ_2 is \boxplus -infinitely divisible. We denote by σ the associated measure that provides the analytic continuation of $F_{\mu_2}^{(-1)}$. Since

$$-\Im G_{\mu_2}(x) = \pi p_{\mu_2}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-x^2/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

the continuous extension of F_{μ_2} to \mathbb{R} has no zeros and (see [15, Proposition 5.1])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(x-t)^2} d\sigma(t) > 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This inequality, along with (9.1), implies that $A = B = \emptyset$ for the convolution $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$. Moreover, since C is a discrete set, the measure $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ is absolutely continuous with support equal to \mathbb{R} . If C is not empty and $\alpha \in C$, there is an open interval I centered at $x_0 = F_{\mu_2}^{(-1)}(\alpha)$ such that $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)/|x - x_0|^{1/3}$ is bounded for $x \in I \setminus \{x_0\}$. Suppose, for instance, that $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_1 + \delta_{-1})$ or the absolutely continuous measure with density

$$\frac{15}{16} \left[t^4 \chi_{[-1,1]}(t) + \frac{1}{t^4} \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]}(t) \right].$$

In both cases, $\alpha = 0$ is the unique solution of the equation $G_{\mu_1}(\alpha) = 0$ under the constraint

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(\alpha - t)^2} \leq 1.$$

Moreover, we have $F'_{\rho_1}(F_{\rho_1}^{(-1)}(0)) = +\infty$ because the equality in the above constraint is achieved and thus, by Remark 9.6, $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}$ is comparable to $p_{\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2}$ in I . To obtain an example in which $F'_{\rho_1}(F_{\rho_1}^{(-1)}(0))$ is finite, one can take μ_1 to be the absolutely continuous measure with density

$$\frac{3}{14} \left[t^2 \chi_{[-1,1]}(t) + |t|^{-3/2} \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]}(t) \right].$$

APPENDIX A. FREE CONVOLUTION SEMIGROUPS

We denote by $\nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ the \boxplus -infinitely divisible measure determined by γ and σ through the free Lévy-Hinčin formula (8.2). The notation $\nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ is used in the multiplicative situation for the same purpose. Measures in this section are assumed to be nondegenerate.

Given a measure $\mu = \nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma, \sigma}$, recall that the map F_μ is injective on \mathbb{R} and hence it has at most one zero t_μ . The point t_μ exists if and only if

$$c = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{t^2} d\sigma(t) \leq 1,$$

and if this condition is satisfied, we have $\mu(\{t_\mu\}) = 1 - c$ [15, Proposition 5.1]. Note that

$$\mu = \mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \nu_{\boxplus}^{\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}}.$$

If the point t_μ does not exist (or, if the point t_{μ_1} relative to μ_1 does not exist), then the set A associated with $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ (see Section 9) would be empty and therefore Theorem 9.4 applies to the density p_μ of μ . Thus, we have proved the following result.

Proposition A.1. *Let $\mu = \nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ be a freely infinitely divisible law such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{t^2} d\sigma(t) > 1.$$

Suppose that $p_\mu > 0$ on an open interval I , and that $p_\mu(t_0) = 0$ at an endpoint t_0 of I . Then we have $p_\mu(t) = O(|t - t_0|^{1/3})$ for t sufficiently close to t_0 .

Next, we review the construction of the free additive convolution semigroups from [4]. Given a measure $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$, we write the map F_ν in its Nevanlinna integral form

$$F_\nu(z) = a + z - N_\tau(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

and for each $\beta > 1$, we define

$$\gamma_\beta = (1 - \beta)a \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\beta = (\beta - 1)\tau,$$

so that the map

$$\Phi(z) = \gamma_\beta + z + N_{\sigma_\beta}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

is the analytic continuation of $F_\mu^{(-1)}$ where $\mu = \nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma_\beta, \sigma_\beta}$. There exists a unique measure $\nu_\beta \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$F_{\nu_\beta} = F_\nu \circ F_\mu \quad \text{in } \mathbb{H}.$$

The family $\{\nu_\beta : \beta > 1\}$ forms a free additive convolution semigroup in the sense that $\nu_{\beta_1 + \beta_2} = \nu_{\beta_1} \boxplus \nu_{\beta_2}$ for all $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 1$. Fix $\beta > 1$. Since

$$F_\nu(z) = \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}z + \frac{1}{1 - \beta}\Phi(z),$$

the function F_ν has a continuous extension (still denoted by F_ν) to the image set $F_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(A.1) \quad t = \beta F_\mu(t) + (1 - \beta)F_\nu(F_\mu(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The density $p_{\nu_\beta} = -\pi^{-1}\Im G_{\nu_\beta} = -\pi^{-1}\Im (G_\nu \circ F_\mu)$ of ν_β is continuous everywhere on \mathbb{R} , except on the set

$$D = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : F_\nu(F_\mu(t)) = 0\}.$$

As seen in Section 8, the boundary curve $F_\mu(\mathbb{R})$ is the graph of a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. For $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus D$, the parameterization

$$(A.2) \quad F_\mu(t) = x + if(x)$$

and (A.1) imply the density formula

$$(A.3) \quad p_{\nu_\beta}(t) = \frac{\beta f(x)}{\pi(\beta - 1) |F_\nu(F_\mu(t))|^2}, \quad \text{where } t \in \mathbb{R}, F_\nu(F_\mu(t)) \neq 0.$$

We have $t \in D$ if and only if $F_\mu(t) = t/\beta$; in which case it leads to $f(F_\mu(t)) = 0$. Thus, the set D of discontinuities of p_{ν_β} is related to the zero set of f . Since $\Im\Phi(x + iy) = y \left[1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} d\sigma_\beta(t) \right]$ for $y > 0$, it is clear that $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(x-t)^2} d\sigma_\beta(t) \leq 1$. The next result characterizes the zeros of f in terms of ν and β , and it shows that D is a finite set.

Proposition A.2. *The set $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : f(\alpha) = 0\}$ is partitioned into two sets A and B , defined as follows.*

- (1) *The set A consists of points $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu(\{\alpha\}) > 0$ and $\nu(\{\alpha\}) \geq 1 - \beta^{-1}$.*
- (2) *The set B consists of those $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F_\nu(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and*

$$F_\nu(\alpha)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu(t)}{(\alpha - t)^2} \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}.$$

It follows that $D = \{\Phi(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$.

Proof. It was proved in [4, Proposition 5.1] that $f(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if the Julia-Carathéodory derivative $\Phi'(\alpha)$ exists in $[0, +\infty)$; in which case we have

$$\Phi'(\alpha) = \beta + (1 - \beta)F'_\nu(\alpha).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : f(\alpha) = 0\} &= \left\{ \alpha : F'_\nu(\alpha) \text{ exists in the interval } \left(0, \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} \right] \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \alpha : F_\nu(\alpha) = 0, 0 < F'_\nu(\alpha) \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} \right\} \cup \left\{ \alpha : F_\nu(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, 0 < F'_\nu(\alpha) \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The two disjoint sets in the last union are precisely A and B , for $F'_\nu(\alpha) = 1/\nu(\{\alpha\})$ if $F_\nu(\alpha) = 0$, and

$$F'_\nu(\alpha) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \Re \left[\frac{F_\nu(\alpha + i\varepsilon) - F_\nu(\alpha)}{i\varepsilon} \right] = F_\nu(\alpha)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu(t)}{(\alpha - t)^2}$$

when $F_\nu(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. □

Theorem A.3. *Let $\{\nu_\beta : \beta > 1\}$ be the free additive convolution semigroup generated by $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mu = \nu_{\boxplus}^{\beta, \sigma_\beta}$. Let β be a parameter such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{t^2} d\sigma_\beta(t) > 1.$$

Suppose that $p_{\nu_\beta} > 0$ on an open interval I , $p_{\nu_\beta}(t_0) = 0$ at an endpoint t_0 of I , and $t_0 \notin D$. Then we have $p_{\nu_\beta}(t) = O(|t - t_0|^{1/3})$ for t sufficiently close to t_0 .

Proof. The hypotheses imply that both $F_\mu(t_0)$ and $F_\nu(F_\mu(t_0))$ are nonzero. Since the density $p_\mu = -\pi^{-1}\Im G_\mu$ of μ is given by

$$p_\mu(t) = \frac{f(x)}{\pi |F_\mu(t)|^2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

we can rewrite the formula (A.3) as

$$p_{\nu_\beta}(t) = \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} \frac{|F_\mu(t)|^2}{|F_\nu(F_\mu(t))|^2} p_\mu(t), \quad t \in I \cup \{t_0\}.$$

The result follows from Proposition A.1. \square

Following the same arguments, one can easily prove the analogs of Propositions A.1 and A.2 and Theorem A.3 for free multiplicative convolution. We shall present these results below and leave the proofs to the reader. The first result is a direct consequence of Theorems 4.5 and 7.4.

Proposition A.4. *We have the following cusp regularity for infinitely divisible laws.*

(1) Let $\mu = \nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ be an \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure on \mathbb{R}_+ such that

$$\sigma((0, +\infty)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{(1-t)^2} d\sigma(t) > 1.$$

If the density p_μ of μ vanishes at an endpoint $t_0 > 0$ of an open interval $I \subset (0, +\infty)$ and $p_\mu > 0$ on I , then $p_\mu(t) = O(|t - t_0|^{1/3})$ for t close to t_0 .

(2) If $\mu = \nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ is an \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure on \mathbb{T} such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t d\sigma(t) \neq 0, \quad 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|1-t|^2} > 1,$$

$p_\mu(t_0) = 0$ at an endpoint t_0 of an open arc $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{T}$, and $p_\mu > 0$ on Γ , then $p_\mu(t) = O(|t - t_0|^{1/3})$ for t close to t_0 .

As shown in [4], the construction of free multiplicative powers in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ actually goes beyond the integer case discussed at the end of Section 2. Thus, given a measure $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and a real number $\beta > 1$, there exists unique measures $\nu_\beta, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ such that $\mu = \nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma_\beta, \sigma_\beta}$ for some $(\gamma_\beta, \sigma_\beta)$ and

$$\eta_{\nu_\beta}(z) = \eta_\nu(\eta_\mu(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The family $\{\nu_\beta : \beta > 1\}$ satisfies the semigroup property $\nu_{\beta_1 + \beta_2} = \nu_{\beta_1} \boxtimes \nu_{\beta_2}$ for all $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 1$. Fix $\beta > 1$. The map η_ν extends continuously to $\eta_\mu((0, +\infty))$, and so does the map η_{ν_β} to $(0, +\infty)$. More importantly, these two extensions never take ∞ or 0 as a value. The relation (2.11) persists when k is replaced by β and $z = 1/t$, $t \in (0, +\infty)$, that is,

$$t\eta_\mu(1/t)^\beta = \eta_{\nu_\beta}(1/t)^{\beta-1}, \quad t \in (0, +\infty),$$

where the principal branch of the power function is used. Following (2.10), we parameterize $\eta_\mu(1/t)$ by

$$\eta_\mu(1/t) = re^{if(r)},$$

then the relation between η_μ and η_{ν_β} shows that the density p_{ν_β} of ν_β is given by

$$tp_{\nu_\beta}(t) = \frac{(tr)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}}{\pi} \frac{r \sin\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}f(r)\right)}{\left|1 - \eta_\nu(re^{if(r)})\right|^2}, \quad \text{where } r > 0, \eta_\nu(re^{if(r)}) \neq 1.$$

The next result is the analog of Proposition A.2. The key to its proof is that $f(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $\eta_\nu(\alpha) \in (0, +\infty)$ and the Julia-Carathéodory derivative $\eta'_\nu(\alpha)$ exists and satisfies $(\beta - 1)\alpha\eta'_\nu(\alpha) \leq \beta\eta_\nu(\alpha)$. (This equivalence was already shown implicitly in the proof of Proposition 5.2 of [4].)

Proposition A.5. *The set $\{\alpha > 0 : f(\alpha) = 0\}$ is partitioned into two sets A and B , defined as follows.*

- (1) *The set A consists of points $\alpha > 0$ such that $\nu(\{1/\alpha\}) > 0$ and $\nu(\{1/\alpha\}) \geq 1 - \beta^{-1}$.*
- (2) *The set B consists of those $\alpha > 0$ such that $\eta_\nu(\alpha) \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \{1\}$ and*

$$\left|1 - \eta_\nu(\alpha)\right|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\alpha t}{(1 - \alpha t)^2} d\nu(t) \leq \frac{\beta\eta_\nu(\alpha)}{\beta - 1}.$$

The set $D = \{t > 0 : \eta_\nu(\eta_\mu(1/t)) = 1\}$ of discontinuities of p_{ν_β} is equal to the finite set $\{1/\Phi(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$.

The cusp behavior of p_{ν_β} is our next result. The key ingredient of its proof is the identity

$$p_{\nu_\beta}(t) = (tr)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}f(r)\right)}{\sin(f(r))} \frac{\left|1 - re^{if(r)}\right|^2}{\left|1 - \eta_\nu(re^{if(r)})\right|^2} p_\mu(t).$$

Theorem A.6. *Let $\{\nu_\beta : \beta > 1\}$ be the free multiplicative convolution semigroup generated by $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and $\mu = \nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma_\beta, \sigma_\beta}$. Let β be a parameter such that*

$$\sigma_\beta((0, +\infty)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1 + t^2}{(1 - t)^2} d\sigma_\beta(t) > 1.$$

Suppose that $p_{\nu_\beta} > 0$ on an open interval $I \subset (0, +\infty)$, $p_{\nu_\beta}(t_0) = 0$ at an endpoint $t_0 > 0$ of I , and $t_0 \notin D$. Then we have $p_{\nu_\beta}(t) = O(|t - t_0|^{1/3})$ for t sufficiently close to t_0 .

Finally, we turn to the free multiplicative convolution semigroups in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$. Let $\nu_k = \nu^{\boxtimes k}$, $k \in (1, +\infty)$, be the free convolution powers discussed at the end of Section 5. Let $\mu = \nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma_k, \sigma_k}$ be the \boxtimes -infinitely divisible law such that $\eta_{\nu_k} = \eta_\nu \circ \eta_\mu$ and

$$\eta_{\nu_k}(z) = \eta_\mu(z) \left(\frac{\eta_\mu(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Fix $k > 1$. At a point $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, we parameterize the value $\eta_\mu(\bar{\xi})$ by

$$\eta_\mu(\bar{\xi}) = R(t)t$$

to get the density p_{ν_k} of ν_k as follows:

$$p_{\nu_k}(\xi) = \frac{1 - R(t)^{\frac{2k}{k-1}}}{2\pi |1 - \eta_\nu(R(t)t)|^2}, \quad \text{where } t \in \mathbb{T}, \eta_\nu(R(t)t) \neq 1.$$

Below is a characterization of zeros of p_{ν_k} , whose proof is based on [4, Proposition 5.3].

Proposition A.7. *The set $\{t \in \mathbb{T} : R(t) = 1\}$ is partitioned into two sets A and B , defined as follows.*

- (1) *The set A consists of points $t \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\nu(\{\bar{t}\}) > 0$ and $\nu(\{\bar{t}\}) \geq 1 - \beta^{-1}$.*
- (2) *The set B consists of those $t \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\eta_\nu(t) \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$, the Julia-Carathéodory derivative $\eta'_\nu(t)$ exists, and*

$$|\eta'_\nu(t)| \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}.$$

The set $D = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : \eta_\nu(\bar{t}) = 1\}$ of discontinuities of p_{ν_β} is equal to the finite set $\{\overline{\Phi(\alpha)} : \alpha \in A\}$.

Finally, we use the relation

$$p_{\nu_k}(\xi) = \frac{|1 - R(t)t|^2}{|1 - \eta_\nu(R(t)t)|^2} \frac{1 - R(t)^{\frac{2k}{k-1}}}{1 - R(t)^2} p_\mu(\xi)$$

to conclude the following result.

Theorem A.8. *Let $\{\nu_k : k > 1\}$ be the free multiplicative convolution semigroup generated by $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ and $\mu = \nu_{\boxtimes}^{2k, \sigma_k}$. Let k be a parameter such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} t d\sigma_k(t) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma_k(t)}{|1 - t|^2} > 1.$$

Suppose that $p_{\nu_k} > 0$ on an open arc Γ , $p_{\nu_k}(t_0) = 0$ at an endpoint t_0 of Γ , and $t_0 \notin D$. Then we have $p_{\nu_k}(t) = O(|t - t_0|^{1/3})$ for t sufficiently close to t_0 .

APPENDIX B. CUSPS OF 1/3-EXPONENT

In view of the cusp regularity results, it is natural to ask whether the 1/3-exponent can be achieved or not. Here we provide some quantitative conditions for constructing such cusps in the interior of the support of free convolution. The case of free additive convolution with a semicircle law has been addressed in [16] and [20]. Inspired by the paper [20], we extend the results of [16, 20] to additive and multiplicative free convolutions with infinitely divisible laws. To this end, we first review a well-known fact from [26] concerning the analytic continuation of Nevanlinna integral forms. In the sequel, any continuous or analytic extension of a function f is still denoted by f , should such an extension exist.

Proposition B.1. [26] *For a finite Borel measure σ on \mathbb{R} , recall that*

$$N_\sigma(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 + tz}{z - t} d\sigma(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. The function N_σ extends analytically across the interval I into $-\mathbb{H}$ if and only if the restriction of σ on I is absolutely continuous

with a real-analytic density g on I ; in which case, the extension in the lower half-plane satisfies $N_\sigma(z) = \overline{N_\sigma(\bar{z})} - 2\pi i(1+z^2)g(z)$ for $z \in U \cap (-\mathbb{H})$, where U is an open neighborhood of I and $g(z)$ denotes the complex analytic extension of $g(x)$ for $x \in I$. In particular, N_σ extends across I by Schwarz reflection if and only if $\sigma(I) = 0$.

The preceding result also applies to the Cauchy transform of $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$, because $(1+z^2)G_\mu(z) - z = N_\mu(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

The next lemma also establishes the notation used in our next result. The map Ψ below is exactly the analytic continuation of $F_{\rho_1}^{(-1)}$ from Lemma 9.3.

Lemma B.2. *Let $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that the restriction of μ_1 on an open interval $I \subset \text{supp}(\mu_1)$ is absolutely continuous with a real-analytic density g on I , and that $\alpha \in I$ is a zero of order $2k$ ($k \geq 1$) for g . Denote by a_0 the real number $G_{\mu_1}(\alpha)$. Suppose that $\mu_2 = \nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ is an \boxplus -infinitely divisible measure whose R -transform $R_{\mu_2}(z) = \gamma + N_\sigma(1/z)$, $z \in -\mathbb{H}$, extends analytically to a disk centered at the point a_0 and $R_{\mu_2}(a_0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:*

- (1) *The Cauchy transform G_{μ_1} extends analytically to a disk centered at α . If $G_{\mu_1}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-\alpha)^n$ is the power series representation of G_{μ_1} at $z = \alpha$, then*

$$a_n = (-1)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(\alpha-t)^{n+1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1,$$

and $a_{2k} \in -\mathbb{H}$. Here we set the power $(-1)^0 = 1$.

- (2) *If $R_{\mu_2}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(z-a_0)^n$ is the power series representation of R_{μ_2} in a disk centered at a_0 , then*

$$b_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(1-ta_0)^2} d\sigma(t).$$

- (3) *The function $\Psi(z) = z + R_{\mu_2}(G_{\mu_1}(z))$, $z \in \mathbb{H}$, extends analytically to a disk centered at α , and the first four Taylor coefficients of $\Psi(z)$ at $z = \alpha$ are $c_0 = \alpha + b_0$, $c_1 = 1 + b_1 a_1$, $c_2 = b_1 a_2 + b_2 a_1^2$, and $c_3 = b_1 a_3 + 2b_2 a_1 a_2 + b_3 a_1^3$.*

Proof. Shrinking the interval I if necessary, we may assume that

$$(B.1) \quad g(t) = A(t-\alpha)^{2k}h(t), \quad t \in I,$$

where $A > 0$ and h is real-analytic in I with $h(\alpha) = 1$. By Proposition B.1, the Cauchy transform G_{μ_1} extends analytically to a disk D centered at α such that $G_{\mu_1}(z) = \overline{G_{\mu_1}(\bar{z})} - 2\pi i g(z)$ for $z \in D \cap (-\mathbb{H})$, or, equivalently, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-\alpha)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{a}_n(z-\alpha)^n - 2\pi i A(z-\alpha)^{2k}h(z)$ for $z \in D \cap (-\mathbb{H})$. It follows from the uniqueness of power series representation that $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Im a_{2k} = -\pi A < 0$. For $0 \leq n \leq 2k-1$, we have

$$a_n = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{G_{\mu_1}^{(n)}(\alpha + i\varepsilon)}{n!} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (-1)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(\alpha-t+i\varepsilon)^{n+1}} = (-1)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(\alpha-t)^{n+1}},$$

where (B.1) and the dominated convergence theorem are used in the last equality.

The notation $G_{\mu_1}^{(n)}$ means the n -th complex derivative of G_{μ_1} in \mathbb{H} .

Define

$$I(z) = \gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{z+t}{1-tz} d\sigma(t), \quad z \notin \mathbb{R},$$

and note that $I(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$, $I(\bar{z}) = \overline{I(z)}$ for $z \notin \mathbb{R}$, and $I(z) = R_{\mu_2}(z)$ for $z \in -\mathbb{H}$. The analyticity of R_{μ_2} at a_0 implies that the complex derivative

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{R_{\mu_2}(a_0 - i\varepsilon) - R_{\mu_2}(a_0)}{-i\varepsilon}$$

exists and is equal to b_1 . Since $R_{\mu_2}(a_0) = \overline{R_{\mu_2}(a_0)}$ and $R_{\mu_2}(a_0 - i\varepsilon) = \overline{I(a_0 + i\varepsilon)}$, the Julia-Carathéodory derivative $I'(a_0)$ of I at a_0 exists in $(0, +\infty)$ and is equal to $\overline{b_1}$ (cf. [31]). So, we have $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_1 > 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(1-ta_0)^2} d\sigma(t) &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(1-ta_0)^2 + (t\varepsilon)^2} d\sigma(t) \quad (\text{Fatou's lemma}) \\ &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\Im I(a_0 + i\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \Re \left[\frac{I(a_0 + i\varepsilon) - b_0}{i\varepsilon} \right] = I'(a_0) = b_1 < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then the dominated convergence theorem implies that the inequality in the preceding calculation is actually an equality, whence the integral formula of b_1 is proved.

The proof of (3) is a simple manipulation of power series, and we omit the details. \square

Remark B.3. The regularity assumption of μ_1 arises from the random matrix theory. Indeed, it has been shown in [21, 22] that for some special potentials V , the limiting density of the Gibbs measures associated with V can have a zero of even order in the interior of the support.

Remark B.4. If $a_0 \neq 0$ and $a_0 \notin \text{supp}(\sigma)$, then

$$b_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{t^{n-1}(1+t^2)}{(1-ta_0)^{n+1}} d\sigma(t), \quad n \geq 2.$$

If $a_0 = 0$ and $\text{supp}(\sigma)$ is bounded, then $b_n = \kappa_{n+1}$, the $(n+1)$ -th free cumulant of μ_2 , for $n \geq 0$ [7].

Remark B.5. An easy induction argument shows that

$$(B.2) \quad c_n = b_1 a_n + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} b_j \left(\sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_j=n} a_{k_1} a_{k_2} \cdots a_{k_j} \right) + b_n a_1^n, \quad n \geq 4,$$

in the power series representation $\Psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - \alpha)^n$.

Theorem B.6. *Suppose that μ_1 and μ_2 satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma B.2. Assume in addition that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(\alpha - t)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(1-ta_0)^2} d\sigma(t) = 1 \quad (\text{that is, } c_1 = 0).$$

Then c_0 is an isolated zero of the density $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}$ in the interior $[\text{supp}(\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2)]^\circ$ such that

(1) *If $c_2 = 0$ and $\Re c_3 < 0$, then*

$$\lim_{x \uparrow c_0} \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0|^{1/3}} = \frac{-a_1}{\pi \sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \sin \frac{\theta}{3},$$

and

$$\lim_{x \downarrow c_0} \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0|^{1/3}} = \frac{-a_1}{\pi \sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{3} - \frac{\pi}{6}\right),$$

where $\theta = \arg c_3 \in (\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$.

(2) If $\Im c_2 < 0$, then

$$\lim_{x \uparrow c_0} \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0|^{1/2}} = \frac{a_1}{\pi \sqrt{|c_2|}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2},$$

and

$$\lim_{x \downarrow c_0} \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0|^{1/2}} = \frac{-a_1}{\pi \sqrt{|c_2|}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2},$$

where $\theta = \arg c_2 \in (\pi, 2\pi)$.

Proof. The density formula (B.1) implies that for x close to α and $x \neq \alpha$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(x-t)^2} \geq \liminf_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int_{(x-\delta, x+\delta)} \frac{A(\alpha-t)^{2k} h(t)}{(x-t)^2} dt = +\infty.$$

This shows that $f(x) > 0$ and hence $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(\Psi(x + if(x))) = -\pi^{-1} \Im G_{\mu_1}(x + if(x)) > 0$. The hypothesis $c_1 = 0$ implies that $\alpha \in B \cup C$, and thus $c_0 = \Psi(\alpha)$ belongs to the interior $[\text{supp}(\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2)]^\circ$ and is an isolated zero for $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}$.

Given $r > 0$, we define the power $(z)^r = |z|^r e^{ir \arg z}$ for $z \neq 0$ and $\arg z \in [0, 2\pi)$. In Case (1), Lemma B.2 shows that in a disk centered at α the function Ψ admits the power series representation

$$\Psi(z) = c_0 + c_3(z - \alpha)^3 + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} c_n(z - \alpha)^n.$$

By the argument principle, $\Psi(z)$ is locally a 3-to-1 function near $z = \alpha$. An application of the holomorphic inverse function theorem shows that there exist three functions F_0, F_1 , and F_2 defined by the convergent series

$$F_m(z) = \alpha + \frac{e^{2m\pi i/3}}{(c_3)^{1/3}} (z - c_0)^{1/3} + e^{2m\pi i/3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{n,m} (z - c_0)^{n/3}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2,$$

such that $\Psi(F_m(z)) = z$ for z sufficiently close to c_0 and $\arg(z - c_0) \in [0, 2\pi)$. To determine the value of m for our purpose, we write $(c_3)^{1/3} = \sqrt[3]{|c_3|} e^{i\theta/3}$ where $\theta = \arg c_3 \in (\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$. Fix $\tau \in (0, \pi)$ and observe that

$$F_m(c_0 + \varepsilon e^{i\tau}) = \alpha + \sqrt[3]{\frac{\varepsilon}{|c_3|}} \exp\left(\frac{2m\pi i - \theta i + \tau i}{3}\right) + o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).$$

Since $\Im F_{\rho_1}(c_0 + \varepsilon e^{i\tau}) > 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we conclude that $F_1(z) = F_{\rho_1}(z)$ for z sufficiently close to c_0 in $\mathbb{H} \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{c_0\})$, that is, $m = 1$. We shall use F_1 to calculate the asymptotics of $F_{\rho_1}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow c_0$. It follows that

$$G_{\mu_1}(F_{\rho_1}(x)) = a_0 + a_1 \alpha + \frac{a_1}{\sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i - \theta i}{3}\right) (x - c_0)^{1/3} + O(|x - c_0|^{2/3})$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{c_0\}$ that are sufficiently close to c_0 . Note that

$$(x - c_0)^{1/3} = \begin{cases} e^{i\pi/3} |x - c_0|^{1/3} & \text{if } x < c_0, \\ |x - c_0|^{1/3} & \text{if } x > c_0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the subordination $G_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2} = G_{\mu_1} \circ F_{\rho_1}$ shows that

$$\frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0|^{1/3}} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Im G_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0|^{1/3}} \rightarrow \frac{-a_1}{\pi \sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \cdot \begin{cases} \sin \frac{\theta}{3} & \text{if } x \rightarrow c_0^-; \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{3} & \text{if } x \rightarrow c_0^+, \end{cases}$$

finishing the proof of Case (1).

The proof of Case (2) goes exactly like that of Case (1), except this time we have

$$\Psi(z) = c_0 + c_2(z - \alpha)^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} c_n(z - \alpha)^n,$$

and hence there are two branches for the choice of the continuation of F_{ρ_1} , namely,

$$F_m(z) = \alpha + \frac{e^{m\pi i}}{(c_2)^{1/2}}(z - c_0)^{1/2} + e^{m\pi i} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{n,m}(z - c_0)^{n/2}, \quad m = 0, 1.$$

It is easy to see that F_1 coincides with F_{ρ_1} nearby c_0 , and the desired asymptotics follows. \square

Remark B.7. If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(\alpha - t)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 + t^2}{(1 - ta_0)^2} d\sigma(t) < 1,$$

then $c_1 > 0$ and the inverse function theorem shows that $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}$ is real-analytic at the zero c_0 . Consequently, we have $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x) = O(|x - c_0|^2)$ for x sufficiently close to its local minimizer c_0 .

Remark B.8. If $c_1 = 0$ and if either (i) $k = 1$, $\Im b_2 = 0$ or (ii) $k \geq 2$, $\Im b_2 < 0$ holds, then $\Im c_2 < 0$ and c_0 is a cusp of $1/2$ -exponent by Case (2).

Remark B.9. Asymmetric vanishing rates can and do occur at a cusp. For example, assume that $k \geq 2$, $b_2, b_3, \dots, b_{2k} \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_1 = 0$, and $c_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, say, $c_2 > 0$. The formula (B.2) implies that the coefficients $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2k-1}$ are real and $\Im c_{2k} = b_1 \Im a_{2k} < 0$. Thus, after choosing the appropriate branch for the inverse of Ψ , the map F_{ρ_1} can be represented by a convergent series $F_{\rho_1}(z) = \alpha + d_1(z - c_0)^{1/2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n(z - c_0)^{n/2}$ for z sufficiently close to c_0 and $\arg(z - c_0) \in [0, 2\pi)$, in which we also have that $d_1 = 1/\sqrt{c_2}$, $d_2, d_3, \dots, d_{2k-2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\Im d_{2k-1} = -2^{-1} b_1 c_2^{-k-1/2} \Im a_{2k} > 0$. It follows that the first $2k - 1$ Taylor coefficients of $G_{\mu_1} \circ F_{\rho_1}$ are real and hence they do not contribute to the asymptotics of the imaginary part $\Im G_{\mu_1} \circ F_{\rho_1}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow c_0^+$. Thus, depending on whether $x > c_0$ or not, we get

$$p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x) = \frac{-a_1}{\pi \sqrt{c_2}} |x - c_0|^{1/2} [1 + o(1)] \quad (x \rightarrow c_0^-),$$

and

$$p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(x) = \frac{-\Im a_{2k}}{2\pi c_2^{k+1/2}} |x - c_0|^{k-1/2} [1 + o(1)] \quad (x \rightarrow c_0^+).$$

The case $c_2 < 0$ also leads to an asymmetric asymptotics with a similar argument.

Example B.10. (Free Brownian motion) The results in [16, 20] can now be seen as a special case of Theorem B.6. Indeed, let us consider $\mu_\beta = \nu_{\boxplus}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ where $\gamma = 0$ and $\sigma = \beta \delta_0$. The family $\{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_\beta : \beta > 0\}$ is the marginal of the free Brownian motion starting at $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Here we have $R_{\mu_\beta}(z) = \beta z$ with $b_1 = \beta$ and $b_n = 0$

for all $n \geq 2$. Assume that μ_1 satisfies the regularity hypothesis of Lemma B.2 at $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The evolution of $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_\beta}$ with parameter β is described as follows.

- (1) When $\beta < -a_1^{-1}$, we have $c_1 > 0$ and the density $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_\beta}$ is real-analytic at the zero $c_0 = \alpha + \beta a_0$ of order ≥ 2 by Remark B.7.
- (2) When $\beta = -a_1^{-1}$, $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_\beta}$ is no longer smooth at the zero c_0 . For $k \geq 2$, the point c_0 is a cusp of 1/3-exponent if $a_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{(\alpha-t)^3} = 0$; otherwise, it is a cusp with asymmetric vanishing rates as illustrated in Remark B.9. By Remark B.8(i), the zero c_0 is a cusp of 1/2-exponent if $k = 1$.
- (3) When $\beta > -a_1^{-1}$, the singular behavior disappears as the density $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_\beta}$ is positive and analytic at the point c_0 .

This evolution is referred to as the propagation of singularity for GUE perturbation in [20].

Example B.11. (Free Poisson laws and Wishart perturbation) Given $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\beta > 0$, denote by $\mu_{\beta, \lambda}$ the free Poisson distribution with R -transform $R_{\mu_{\beta, \lambda}}(z) = \lambda\beta/(1 - \beta z)$. We assume the regularity of μ_1 at $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ as in Lemma B.2 and consider only those parameters β satisfying the condition $\beta a_0 \neq 1$. It follows that $b_n = \lambda[\beta/(1 - \beta a_0)]^{n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The non-dengeneracy of μ_1 , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the hypothesis $\lambda \geq 1$ together yield

$$a_0^2 + \lambda a_1 = \lambda^2 \left(\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\alpha - t} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{\lambda} \right]^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(\alpha - t)^2} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{\lambda} \right) < 0.$$

Accordingly, we introduce the positive number

$$\beta_0 = \left(a_0 + \sqrt{\lambda |a_1|} \right)^{-1}.$$

For $\beta > 0$ and $\beta a_0 \neq 1$, note that

$$c_1 = 1 + b_1 a_1 = \frac{(a_0^2 + \lambda a_1)\beta^2 - 2a_0\beta + 1}{(1 - \beta a_0)^2}.$$

The evolution of $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_{\beta, \lambda}}$ goes as follows.

- (1) ($\beta < \beta_0$) We have $c_1 > 0$ and hence $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_{\beta, \lambda}}$ is analytic at the zero c_0 with order ≥ 2 .
- (2) ($\beta = \beta_0$) We have $c_1 = 0$ and the following subcases:
 - (a) ($k = 1$) The point c_0 is a cusp of 1/2-exponent.
 - (b) ($k \geq 2$) If $a_2 = 0$, the point c_0 is a cusp with asymmetric vanishing rates. If $a_2 \neq 0$ and $a_2 = -\lambda^{-1/2} |a_1|^{3/2}$, then $c_2 = 0$ and we have

$$c_3 = \lambda b_1 \left(\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(\alpha - t)^2} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{\lambda} \right]^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(\alpha - t)^4} \frac{d\mu_1(t)}{\lambda} \right) < 0.$$

It follows that c_0 is a cusp of 1/3-exponent.

- (3) ($\beta > \beta_0$) The density $p_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_{\beta, \lambda}}$ is analytic and positive at c_0 .

Thus, the propagation of singular behavior holds for Wishart matrices as well. For example, let $B_{M, N} = [b_{ij}]$ be a non-selfadjoint Gaussian $M \times N$ random matrix whose covariance is specified by $E[b_{ij} \overline{b_{kl}}] = N^{-1} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}$ and $E[b_{ij} b_{kl}] = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, k \leq M$ and $1 \leq j, l \leq N$. Suppose that the ratio $M/N \rightarrow \lambda = 20/11$ as $M, N \rightarrow$

$+\infty$, and that H_N is a selfadjoint random matrix which is asymptotically free from the Wishart matrix $B_{M,N}^* B_{M,N}$ and has the limiting eigenvalue distribution

$$d\mu_1(t) = \frac{5}{33}t^4 dt, \quad -2 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then, as $M, N \rightarrow \infty$, the limiting eigenvalue density of the sum $H_N + \beta B_{M,N}^* B_{M,N}$ has a cusp of $1/3$ -exponent at the point 2 in the support, as soon as β reaches $44/65$.

We next treat the free multiplicative convolution on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Lemma B.12. *Let $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$. Suppose that there exists an open interval $I = (\alpha^{-1} - \delta, \alpha^{-1} + \delta) \subset (0, +\infty)$ such that $d\mu_1(t) = A(1 - \alpha t)^{2k} h(t) dt$ on I , where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $A > 0$, and h is real-analytic in I with $h(\alpha^{-1}) = 1$. Denote by a_0 the real number $\psi_{\mu_1}(\alpha)$. Suppose that $\mu_2 = \nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ is an \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure such that the integral form*

$$u_\sigma(z) = \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{(1+z) + tz}{z - (1+z)t} d\sigma(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

extends analytically from \mathbb{H} to a disk centered at the point a_0 and $u_\sigma(a_0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

- (1) *The moment generating function ψ_{μ_1} extends analytically from \mathbb{H} to a disk centered at α . If $\psi_{\mu_1}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - \alpha)^n$ denotes the power series representation of ψ_{μ_1} at $z = \alpha$, then*

$$a_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\alpha t}{1 - \alpha t} d\mu_1(t), \quad a_1 = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t}{(1 - \alpha t)^2} d\mu_1(t) & \text{if } a_0 \neq -1, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha t)^2} d\mu_1(t) & \text{if } a_0 = -1, \end{cases}$$

$$a_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t^n}{(1 - \alpha t)^{n+1}} d\mu_1(t), \quad n = 2, \dots, 2k - 1,$$

and $a_{2k} \in \mathbb{H}$.

- (2) *If $u_\sigma(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(z - a_0)^n$ is the power series representation of u_σ in a disk centered at a_0 , then*

$$b_1 = \begin{cases} -(1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha))^2 \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1+t^2}{(\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) - t)^2} d\sigma(t) & \text{if } a_0 \neq -1, \\ -\int_{[0, +\infty]} (1 + t^2) d\sigma(t) & \text{if } a_0 = -1. \end{cases}$$

Here $\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) = a_0(1 + a_0)^{-1}$ if $a_0 \neq -1$.

- (3) *The function $\Psi(z) = \gamma z \exp[(u_\sigma \circ \psi_{\mu_1})(z)]$, $z \in \mathbb{H}$, extends analytically to a disk centered at α , and the first four Taylor coefficients of $\Psi(z)$ at $z = \alpha$ are $c_0 = \gamma \alpha e^{b_0}$, $c_1 = c_0(\alpha^{-1} + b_1 a_1)$, $c_2 = 2^{-1} c_1^2 c_0^{-1} + 2^{-1} c_0(-\alpha^{-2} + 2b_1 a_2 + 2b_2 a_1^2)$, and*

$$c_3 = c_1 c_2 c_0^{-1} - 3^{-1} c_1^3 c_0^{-2} + 3^{-1} c_0(\alpha^{-3} + 3b_1 a_3 + 6b_2 a_1 a_2 + 3b_3 a_1^3).$$

Proof. Let $J = \{t^{-1} : t \in I\}$ and recall from Section 2 that $d(\mu_1)_*(t) = d\mu_1(t^{-1})$. Since

$$\frac{d(\mu_1)_*(x)}{dt}(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{d\mu_1}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) = A(x - \alpha)^{2k} \frac{h(1/x)}{x^{2k+2}}, \quad x \in J,$$

and

$$\psi_{\mu_1}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus I} \frac{tz}{1 - tz} d\mu_1(t) + z \int_J \frac{1}{t - z} d(\mu_1)_*(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

Proposition B.1 shows that the function ψ_{μ_1} extends analytically from \mathbb{H} to an open disk D centered at α . Moreover, there exists an analytic function g in D such that $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in D \cap \mathbb{R}_+$, $g(\alpha) = 1$, and $\psi_{\mu_1}(z) = \overline{\psi_{\mu_1}(\bar{z})} + i2\pi A\alpha^{-2k-1}(z - \alpha)^{2k}g(z)$ for all $z \in D \cap (-\mathbb{H})$. It follows that $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Im a_{2k} = \pi A\alpha^{-2k-1} > 0$. As seen in the proof of Lemma B.2, for $0 \leq n \leq 2k-1$, the regularity assumption of μ_1 at α and the dominated convergence theorem imply that

$$a_n = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\psi_{\mu_1}^{(n)}(\alpha + i\varepsilon)}{n!} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t^n}{(1 - t(\alpha + i\varepsilon))^{n+1}} d\mu_1(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t^n}{(1 - \alpha t)^{n+1}} d\mu_1(t).$$

The alternative integral formula of a_1 follows from the observation:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t}{(1 - \alpha t)^2} d\mu_1(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha t)^2} d\mu_1(t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha t} d\mu_1(t) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha t)^2} d\mu_1(t) - \frac{1}{\alpha} [a_0 + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We now derive the formula of b_1 , according to whether $a_0 = -1$ or not. Assume first that $a_0 \neq -1$, so that $\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) = a_0/(1 + a_0) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$. Then the analyticity of u_σ at a_0 implies that the function

$$N(z) = u_\sigma \left(\frac{z}{1 - z} \right) = \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1 + tz}{z - t} d\sigma(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+,$$

extends analytically to a neighborhood of $\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha)$ and $N(\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha)) = u_\sigma(a_0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, the Julia-Carathéodory derivative $N'(\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha))$ exists and is equal to the complex first derivative $N^{(1)}(\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha))$. Argue as in the proof of Lemma B.2, it is easy to see that

$$N'(\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha)) = - \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{1 + t^2}{(\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) - t)^2} d\sigma(t).$$

Finally, the chain rule yields

$$N'(\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha)) = N^{(1)}(\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha)) = u_\sigma^{(1)}(a_0) \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha))^2} = \frac{b_1}{(1 - \eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha))^2},$$

as desired. The case of $a_0 = -1$ is proved in the same way by using the fact that

$$u_\sigma(z) = I \left(\frac{1 + z}{z} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad I(w) = \int_{[0, +\infty]} \frac{w + t}{1 - tw} d\sigma(t), \quad w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The formulas in (3) come from a simple calculation and we omit the details. \square

We remark that the map Ψ is precisely the analytic continuation of the inverse $\eta_{\rho_1}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ in Lemma 4.4. The proof of the next result is identical to that of Theorem B.6, with F_{ρ_1} and G_{μ_1} replaced by η_{ρ_1} and ψ_{μ_1} , respectively. To avoid repetition, we will not pursue the details. Recall that $q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$ denotes the density of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ with respect to the Haar measure dx/x , and one has

$$q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(1/x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \psi_{\mu_1}(\eta_{\rho_1}(x)), \quad x \in (0, +\infty).$$

Theorem B.13. *Suppose that μ_1 and μ_2 satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma B.12. Assume in addition that $c_1 = 0$. Then c_0^{-1} is an isolated zero of $q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$ in the interior $[\text{supp}(\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2)]^\circ$ such that*

(1) If $c_2 = 0$ and $\Re c_3 < 0$, then

$$\lim_{x \uparrow c_0^{-1}} \frac{q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0^{-1}|^{1/3}} = \frac{a_1}{\pi \sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{3} - \frac{\pi}{6}\right),$$

and

$$\lim_{x \downarrow c_0^{-1}} \frac{q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0^{-1}|^{1/3}} = \frac{a_1}{\pi \sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \sin \frac{\theta}{3},$$

where $\theta = \arg c_3 \in (\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$.

(2) If $\Im c_2 < 0$, then

$$\lim_{x \uparrow c_0^{-1}} \frac{q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0^{-1}|^{1/2}} = \frac{a_1}{\pi \sqrt{|c_2|}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2},$$

and

$$\lim_{x \downarrow c_0^{-1}} \frac{q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(x)}{|x - c_0^{-1}|^{1/2}} = \frac{-a_1}{\pi \sqrt{|c_2|}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2},$$

where $\theta = \arg c_2 \in (\pi, 2\pi)$.

Some remarks are in order. First, one can verify that cusps with asymmetric asymptotic behavior, similar to those discussed in Remark B.9, can occur for $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$. Second, it is now fairly easy to construct a cusp of 1/3-exponent in the support of $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$. For example, we take

$$d\mu_1(t) = \frac{5}{29\sqrt{2} - 40} (1-t)^4 dt, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \sqrt{2},$$

and $\mu_2 = \nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ where $\gamma = 1$ and $\sigma = \frac{87\sqrt{2}-120}{60-40\sqrt{2}} \delta_1$, that is, μ_2 is a multiplicative analog of the semicircle law. Then the free convolution density $q_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$ has a cusp of 1/3-exponent at the point $c_0^{-1} \doteq 3.44$.

We move on to the results on \mathbb{T} . Recall that $d(\mu_1)_*(t) = d\mu_1(\bar{t})$ and $m = d\theta/2\pi$. The function Ψ below is the analytic continuation of the inverse $\eta_{\rho_1}^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ in Lemma 7.3.

Lemma B.14. *Let $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Assume that $(\mu_1)_*$ is absolutely continuous with an analytic density g (with respect to m) on an open arc $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{T}$, and that $\alpha \in \Gamma$ is a zero of even order for g . Denote by a_0 the complex number $\psi_{\mu_1}(\alpha)$. Suppose that $\mu_2 = \nu_{\boxtimes}^{\gamma, \sigma}$ is an \boxtimes -infinitely divisible measure such that the integral form*

$$u_{\sigma}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t(1+z) + z}{t(1+z) - z} d\sigma(t), \quad \Re z > -\frac{1}{2},$$

extends analytically from the half-plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z > -1/2\}$ to a disk centered at the point a_0 and $\Re u_{\sigma}(a_0) = 0$. Let $u_{\sigma}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(z - a_0)^n$ denote the power series representation of u_{σ} nearby $z = \alpha$. Then:

(1) *The functions ψ_{μ_1} and η_{μ_1} extend analytically to a disk centered at α . If $\psi_{\mu_1}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - \alpha)^n$ denotes the power series representation of ψ_{μ_1} at $z = \alpha$, then*

$$a_0 = -\frac{1}{2} + i \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\Im(\alpha t)}{|1 - \alpha t|^2} d\mu_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 = -\bar{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|1 - \alpha t|^2} d\mu_1(t).$$

It follows that $\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$, $\eta_{\mu_1}(\xi) \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $\xi \neq \alpha$ sufficiently close to α , and

$$c = \liminf_{r \uparrow 1} \frac{1 - |\eta_{\mu_1}(r\alpha)|}{1 - r} \in (0, +\infty).$$

- (2) The function $\Psi(z) = \gamma z \exp[(u_\sigma \circ \psi_{\mu_1})(z)]$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, extends analytically to a disk centered at α , and the first four Taylor coefficients of $\Psi(z)$ at $z = \alpha$ are $c_0 = \gamma \alpha e^{b_0}$,

$$c_1 = c_0 \bar{\alpha} \left(1 - 2c \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) - t|^2} \right),$$

$$c_2 = 2^{-1} c_1^2 \bar{c}_0 + 2^{-1} c_0 (-\bar{\alpha}^2 + 2b_1 a_2 + 2b_2 a_1^2), \text{ and}$$

$$c_3 = c_1 c_2 \bar{c}_0 - 3^{-1} c_1^3 \bar{c}_0^2 + 3^{-1} c_0 (\bar{\alpha}^3 + 3b_1 a_3 + 6b_2 a_1 a_2 + 3b_3 a_1^3).$$

Proof. Assume $\alpha \neq 1$. It is known from [1] that the transformations

$$w = i \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad \text{and} \quad a + bw - N_{\sigma'}(w) = iH_{(\mu_1)_*}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

map the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} to the disk \mathbb{D} and the Herglotz integral form

$$H_{(\mu_1)_*}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t+z}{t-z} d(\mu_1)_*(t)$$

to an analytic self-map $a + bw - N_{\sigma'}(w)$ on \mathbb{C}^+ , where $b = \mu_1(\{1\})$ and σ' is the push-forward measure of $d(\mu_1)_*(e^{i\theta}) - \mu_1(\{1\})\delta_1$ via the map $T(\theta) = -\cot(\theta/2)$, $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$. Proposition B.1 applies to σ' at the image $T(\theta_0)$ where $\alpha = e^{i\theta_0}$, whence $H_{(\mu_1)_*}$ extends analytically to a neighborhood of α . It follows that ψ_{μ_1} extends analytically to a neighborhood of α by the identity $1 + 2\psi_{\mu_1} = H_{(\mu_1)_*}$, and so does η_{μ_1} . If $\alpha = 1$, the preceding argument shows that $\psi_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \delta_{-i}}$ extends analytically to a neighborhood of i , and hence the function $\psi_{\mu_1}(z) = \psi_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \delta_{-i}}(iz)$ extends analytically to a neighborhood of 1. Since

$$\psi_{\mu_1}(z) = z \int_{\Gamma} \frac{g(t)}{t-z} dm(t) + z \int_{\mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma} \frac{1}{t-z} d(\mu_1)_*(t),$$

the formulas of the coefficients a_0 and a_1 follow from differentiation under the integral sign and the dominated convergence as in the proof of Lemma B.2. The integral formula of a_0 shows that $\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$. The linear approximation $\psi_{\mu_1}(\xi) = a_0 + a_1(\xi - \alpha) + O(|\xi - \alpha|^2)$ implies that $1 + 2\Re\psi_{\mu_1}(\xi) = |a_1| |\xi - \alpha| + O(|\xi - \alpha|^2) > 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ sufficiently close to α . This shows that $\eta_{\mu_1}(\xi) \in \mathbb{D}$ for $\xi \neq \alpha$. On the other hand, since $\eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{T}$ and η_{μ_1} extends analytically to a neighborhood of α , the Julia-Carathéodory derivative $\eta'_{\mu_1}(\alpha)$ exists and is equal to the complex first derivative $\eta_{\mu_1}^{(1)}(\alpha)$. Finally, the identity

$$\frac{1 - |\eta_{\mu_1}(z)|}{1 - |z|} = \frac{1 + 2\Re\psi_{\mu_1}(z)}{(1 + |\eta_{\mu_1}(z)|) |1 + \psi_{\mu_1}(z)|^2 (1 - |z|)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and the approximation $\psi_{\mu_1}(r\alpha) = a_0 + |a_1|(1-r) + O(|1-r|^2)$ together imply that $c = |a_1| |1 + a_0|^{-2} \in (0, +\infty)$. The statement (1) is proved.

We next derive the integral formula of c_1 . By the analyticity assumption of u_σ , the Herglotz form $H_\sigma(z) = u_\sigma(z/(1-z))$ extends analytically to a neighborhood of the point $\xi_0 = \eta_{\mu_1}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$, and so does the analytic self-map

$$f(z) = z \exp(-H_\sigma(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since $\Re H_\sigma(\xi_0) = \Re u_\sigma(a_0) = 0$, we have $f(\xi_0) \in \mathbb{T}$ and hence the Julia-Carathéodory derivative $f'(\xi_0)$ of f at ξ_0 exists and is equal to its complex first derivative

$$f^{(1)}(\xi_0) = \overline{\xi_0} f(\xi_0) \left[1 - \xi_0 H^{(1)}(\xi_0) \right];$$

in which case the Ahern and Clark theorem (cf. [19]) shows further that

$$f'(\xi_0) = \overline{\xi_0} f(\xi_0) \left[1 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|\xi_0 - t|^2} \right].$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$H^{(1)}(\xi_0) = -2\overline{\xi_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|\xi_0 - t|^2}.$$

Finally, we use the facts that $u_\sigma \circ \psi_{\mu_1} = H_\sigma \circ \eta_{\mu_1}$ in \mathbb{D} and $\eta_{\mu_1}^{(1)}(\alpha) = \eta'_{\mu_1}(\alpha) = c\overline{\alpha}\xi_0$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = \lim_{r \uparrow 1} \Psi^{(1)}(r\alpha) &= \Psi(\alpha)\overline{\alpha} \left[1 + \alpha H_\sigma^{(1)}(\xi_0) \eta_{\mu_1}^{(1)}(\alpha) \right] \\ &= c_0 \overline{\alpha} \left[1 - 2c \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|\xi_0 - t|^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The formulas for c_2 and c_3 follow from a straightforward computation and we skip the details. \square

In the next result, the notation $\xi \rightarrow c^+$ means $\xi \rightarrow c$ in the counterclockwise orientation on \mathbb{T} , while $\xi \rightarrow c^-$ denotes $\xi \rightarrow c$ in the clockwise orientation. Also, we define the power $(z)^{1/3} = |z|^{1/3} e^{i(\arg z)/3}$ for $z \neq 0$ and $\arg z \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Theorem B.15. *Suppose that μ_1 and μ_2 satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma B.14. Assume in addition that $c_1 = 0$. Then $\overline{c_0}$ is an isolated zero of $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$ in the interior $[\text{supp}(\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2)]^\circ$ such that*

(1) *If $c_2 = 0$ and $\Re c_3 > 0$, then*

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \overline{c_0}^+} \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)}{|\xi - \overline{c_0}|^{1/3}} = \frac{-2|a_1|}{\sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{3} - \frac{5\pi}{6}\right),$$

and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \overline{c_0}^-} \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)}{|\xi - \overline{c_0}|^{1/3}} = \frac{-2|a_1|}{\sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{3} - \frac{7\pi}{6}\right),$$

where $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

(2) *If $\Re c_2 < 0$, then*

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \overline{c_0}^+} \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)}{|\xi - \overline{c_0}|^{1/2}} = \frac{2|a_1|}{\sqrt{|c_2|}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right),$$

and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \overline{c_0}^-} \frac{p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\xi)}{|\xi - \overline{c_0}|^{1/2}} = \frac{2|a_1|}{\sqrt{|c_2|}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{3\pi}{4}\right),$$

where $\theta \in (\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$.

Proof. By Lemma B.14 and the characterization of the set $\{t \in \mathbb{T} : R(t) = 1\}$ (see notes before Theorem 7.4), there exists an open arc $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $R(\alpha) = 1$, and $R(t) < 1$ for all $t \in \Gamma \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Thus, the density formula (7.1) shows that $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\overline{\Psi(R(t)t)}) > 0 = p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\overline{\Psi(\alpha)})$ for all $t \in \Gamma \setminus \{\alpha\}$, which means that the point $\overline{c_0} = \overline{\Psi(\alpha)}$ is an isolated zero of $p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}$ in the interior $[\text{supp}(\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2)]^\circ$.

We first prove Case (1) under an additional assumption that $\alpha = 1$ and $c_0 = 1$. In this case the map Ψ has a power series representation

$$\Psi(z) = 1 + |c_3| e^{i\theta} (z-1)^3 + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} c_n (z-1)^n$$

for z nearby 1, where $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. So, as seen in the proof of Theorem B.6, there exist functions η_0 , η_1 , and η_2 defined by the convergent series

$$\eta_m(z) = 1 + \frac{e^{i2m\pi/3}}{\sqrt[3]{|c_3|} e^{i\theta/3}} (z-1)^{1/3} + e^{i2m\pi/3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{n,m} (z-1)^{n/3}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2,$$

such that $\Psi(\eta_m(z)) = z$ for z sufficiently close to 1 and $\arg(z-1) \in [0, 2\pi)$. Since

$$\Re \eta_m(r) = 1 + \frac{\sqrt[3]{1-r}}{\sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \cos\left(\frac{2m\pi}{3} - \frac{\theta}{3} + \frac{\pi}{3}\right) + o(1) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1^-,$$

and $\Re \eta_{\rho_1}(r) < 1$ for $r \in (0, 1)$, we conclude that η_1 coincides with η_{ρ_1} near the point 1. Note that

$$z-1 = \begin{cases} |z-1| e^{i\pi/2} e^{i\tau/2} & \text{if } z = e^{i\tau}, \quad 0 < \tau < \pi/4, \\ |z-1| e^{i3\pi/2} e^{i\tau/2} & \text{if } z = e^{i\tau}, \quad 7\pi/4 < \tau < 2\pi. \end{cases}$$

It follows, as $z \rightarrow 1$ on \mathbb{T} , that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\overline{z}) &= 1 + 2\Re \psi_{\mu_1}(\eta_{\rho_1}(z)) = 1 + 2\Re \psi_{\mu_1}(\eta_1(z)) \\ &= \frac{-2|a_1|}{\sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \Re \left[e^{(2\pi-\theta)i/3} (z-1)^{1/3} \right] + O(|z-1|^{2/3}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{-2|a_1|}{\sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{3} - \frac{5\pi}{6}\right) |z-1|^{1/3} (1 + o(1)) & \text{if } z \rightarrow 1^-, \\ \frac{-2|a_1|}{\sqrt[3]{|c_3|}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{3} - \frac{7\pi}{6}\right) |z-1|^{1/3} (1 + o(1)) & \text{if } z \rightarrow 1^+. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In the general case, we define $\mu'_1 = \mu_1 \boxtimes \delta_\alpha$ and $\mu'_2 = \mu_2 \boxtimes \delta_{\gamma e^{b_0}}$, so that $\mu'_1 \boxtimes \mu'_2 = \mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2 \boxtimes \delta_{c_0}$ and the preceding asymptotics applies to the density $p_{\mu'_1 \boxtimes \mu'_2}$ of $\mu'_1 \boxtimes \mu'_2$. Since

$$p_{\mu'_1 \boxtimes \mu'_2}(\overline{z}) = 1 + 2\Re \psi_{\mu'_1 \boxtimes \mu'_2}(z) = 1 + 2\Re \psi_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(c_0 z) = p_{\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2}(\overline{c_0 z}),$$

the proof of Case (1) is finished after we make the substitution $\xi = \overline{c_0 z}$.

Case (2) is proved in the same way, and we skip the details. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer, *The Classical Moment Problem*, Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1965.
- [2] M. Anshelevich, J.-C. Wang, and P. Zhong, Local limit theorems for multiplicative free convolutions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014), 3469–3499.
- [3] Z. Bao, L. Erdős, and K. Schnelli, Local stability of the free additive convolution, *J. Funct. Anal.* **271** (2016), 672–719.
- [4] S. T. Belinschi and H. Bercovici, Partially defined semigroups relative to multiplicative free convolution, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005**, 65–101.

- [5] S. T. Belinschi, M. Bożejko, F. Lehner, and R. Speicher, The normal distribution is \boxplus -infinitely divisible, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), 3677–3698.
- [6] S. T. Belinschi, The atoms of the free multiplicative convolution of two probability distributions, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **46** (2003), 377–386.
- [7] F. Benaych-Georges, Taylor expansions of R -transforms: application to supports and moments, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **55** (2006), 465–481.
- [8] H. Bercovici and V. Pata, A free analogue of Hinčin’s characterization of infinite divisibility, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1011–1015.
- [9] H. Bercovici, and D. Voiculescu, Superconvergence to the central limit and failure of the Cramér theorem for free random variables, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **103** (1995), 215–222.
- [10] ———, Lévy-Hinčin type theorems for multiplicative and additive free convolution, *Pacific J. Math.* **153** (1992), 217–248.
- [11] ———, Free convolution of measures with unbounded support, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **42** (1993), 733–773.
- [12] ———, Regularity questions for free convolution, Nonselfadjoint operator algebras, operator theory, and related topics, 37–47, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, **104**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [13] H. Bercovici, and V. Pata, Limit laws for products of free and independent random variables, *Studia Math.* **141** (2000), 43–52.
- [14] H. Bercovici and J.-C. Wang, Limit theorems for free multiplicative convolutions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), 6089–6102.
- [15] H. Bercovici, J.-C. Wang, and P. Zhong, Superconvergence to freely infinitely divisible distributions, *Pacific J. Math.* **292** (2018), no. 2, 273–290.
- [16] Ph. Biane, On the free convolution with a semi-circular distribution, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **46** (1997), 705–718.
- [17] ———, Processes with free increments, *Math. Z.* **227** (1998), 143–174.
- [18] G. P. Chistyakov and F. Götze, The arithmetic of distributions in free probability theory, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **9** (2011), 997–1050.
- [19] J. A. Cima, A. L. Matheson, and W. T. Ross, *The Cauchy transform*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **125**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [20] T. Claeys, A. B. J. Kuijlaars, K. Liechty, and D. Wang, Propagation of singular behavior for Gaussian perturbations of random matrices, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **362** (2018), 1–54.
- [21] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, and K. T.-R. McLaughlin, New results on the equilibrium measure for logarithmic potentials in the presence of an external field, *J. Approx. Theory* **95** (1998), 388–475.
- [22] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), 1335–1425.
- [23] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, Mass. 1966.
- [24] P. Fatou, Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor, *Acta Math.* **30** (1906), 335–400.
- [25] B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, *Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables*, Translated from the Russian, annotated, and revised by K. L. Chung. With appendices by J. L. Doob and P. L. Hsu. Revised edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills., Ont., 1968, ix+293 pp.
- [26] D. S. Greenstein, On the analytic continuation of functions which map the upper half plane into itself, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1** (1960), 355–362.
- [27] H.-W. Huang, Supports of measures in a free additive convolution semigroup, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2015), 4269–4292.
- [28] H.-W. Huang and P. Zhong, On the supports of measures in free multiplicative convolution semigroups. *Math. Z.* **278** (2014), 321–345.
- [29] H.-W. Huang and J.-C. Wang, Regularity results for free Lévy processes, 2018, submitted.
- [30] V. Kargin, An inequality for the distance between densities of free convolutions, *Ann. Probab.* **41** (2013), 3241–3260.
- [31] J. Shapiro, *Composition operators and classical function theory*, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [32] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.

- [33] D. Voiculescu, Symmetries of some reduced free product C^* -algebras, *Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory (Buşteni, 1983)*, 556–588, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1132**, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [34] ———, Addition of certain noncommuting random variables, *J. Funct. Anal.* **66** (1986), 323–346.
- [35] ———, Multiplication of certain noncommuting random variables, *J. Operator Theory* **18** (1987), 223–235.
- [36] J.-C. Wang, Local limit theorems in free probability theory, *Ann. Probab.* **38** (2010), 1492–1506.
- [37] P. Zhong, On the free convolution with a free multiplicative analogue of the normal distribution, *J. Theoret. Probab.* **28** (2015), 1354–1379.

HARI BERCOVICI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405, UNITED STATES

JIUN-CHAU WANG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON, S7N 5E6, CANADA

PING ZHONG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING, LARAMIE, WY 82071-3036, UNITED STATES