

THE HARDY-LITTLEWOOD PROPERTY AND MAXIMAL OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE INVERSE GAUSS MEASURE

J. J. BETANCOR, A. J. CASTRO, AND M. DE LEÓN-CONTRERAS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterize the Banach lattices with the Hardy-Littlewood property by using maximal operators defined by semigroups of operators associated with the inverse Gauss measure.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n endowed with the measure whose density γ_{-1} with respect to the Lebesgue measure is

$$\gamma_{-1}(x) := \pi^{n/2} e^{|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This measure is called *inverse Gauss measure*. We recall that the *Gauss measure* is the one defined by the density function

$$\gamma_1(x) := \pi^{n/2} e^{-|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Note that the Gauss measure is a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n , while the inverse Gauss measure is not even finite in \mathbb{R}^n . Furthermore, if for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, $B(x, r)$ denotes the ball in \mathbb{R}^n with center at x and radius r , the measure $\gamma_{-1}(B(x, r))$ of $B(x, r)$ grows more than exponentially with r , as r tends to ∞ . These facts make it interesting to study harmonic analysis in the inverse Gaussian setting $(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. Some ideas and methods that are needed in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ can be useful in the study of harmonic analysis on manifolds where the volume growth is superexponential.

The *Dirichlet form* $Q_{\gamma_{-1}}$ associated with the inverse Gauss measure is defined by

$$Q_{\gamma_{-1}}(f) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^2 \gamma_{-1}(x) dx, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where as usual $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of smooth functions with compact support in \mathbb{R}^n . $Q_{\gamma_{-1}}$ defines the operator

$$\mathcal{A}(f) := -\frac{1}{2} \Delta f - x \cdot \nabla f, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 42B25, 46B42.

Key words and phrases. Hardy-Littlewood property, Maximal operators, inverse Gauss measure.

J. J. B. was partially supported by PID2019-106093GB-I00, A. J. C. by the Nazarbayev University FDCRGP 110119FD4544 and M. D L-C by EPSRC Research Grant EP/S029486/1.

The Dirichlet form Q_{γ_1} for the Gauss measure defines the *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck* operator,

$$\mathcal{L}(f) := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta f + x \cdot \nabla f, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The harmonic analysis for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator was firstly studied by B. Muckenhoupt ([33]) and ever since has been an active work area (see for instance [1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 24, 26, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 39, 41]). Recently, W. Urbina ([42]) has published a monograph about Gaussian harmonic analysis.

The connection between the Gaussian measure and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator motivated F. Salogni ([38]) to begin the study of harmonic analysis in the inverse Gaussian measure setting. The semigroup of operators $\{T_t^{\mathcal{A}} := e^{-t\mathcal{A}}\}_{t>0}$ generated by the operator $-\mathcal{A}$ is a symmetric diffusion semigroup in the sense of E. Stein ([40]). $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ -boundedness properties of the maximal operator $T_*^{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by

$$T_*^{\mathcal{A}}(f) := \sup_{t>0} |T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(f)|,$$

were established in [38, Theorem 3.3.6]. In [4], T. Bruno introduces a new Hardy space H^1 and obtains endpoint ($p = 1$) results for the imaginary powers $\mathcal{A}^{i\gamma}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, and also for certain Riesz transforms. The results concerning Riesz transforms associated with \mathcal{A} were completed in [6, Theorem 1.1].

We now recall some definitions and results about the operator \mathcal{A} and the semigroups of operators generated by $-\mathcal{A}$ and $-\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}$ that we will need in the sequel.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by H_k the k -th *Hermite polynomial* defined by

$$H_k(z) := (-1)^k e^{z^2} \frac{d^k}{dz^k} e^{-z^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For every $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we define

$$H_k(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n H_{k_i}(x_i), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$\tilde{H}_k(x) := \gamma_1(x) H_k(x).$$

We have that, for every $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\mathcal{A}\tilde{H}_k = (k_1 + \dots + k_n + n)\tilde{H}_k.$$

We define the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ as follows

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}f := \sum_{k=(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_k(f) (k_1 + \dots + k_n + n) \tilde{H}_k, \quad f \in D(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}),$$

where

$$c_k(f) := \frac{1}{\|\tilde{H}_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \tilde{H}_k(x) \gamma_{-1}(x) dx$$

and

$$D(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1}) : \sum_{k=(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} |c_k(f)|^2 (k_1 + \dots + k_n + n)^2 \|\tilde{H}_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

We can see that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset D(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ and that

$$\mathcal{A}f = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}f, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

With a slight abuse of notation, we identify \mathcal{A} with $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

By $\{T_t^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{t>0}$ we denote the semigroup of operators generated by $-\mathcal{A}$. For every $t > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the operator $T_t^{\mathcal{A}}$ admits the following integral representation

$$T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, where

$$T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) := \frac{e^{-nt}}{\pi^{n/2}(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0.$$

$\{T_t^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric diffusion semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

By using the subordination formula, if $\{P_t^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{t>0}$ denotes the semigroup of operators generated by $-\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}$ we have that

$$(1) \quad P_t^{\mathcal{A}}(f) := \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t^2/4u}}{u^{3/2}} T_u^{\mathcal{A}}(f) du, \quad t > 0.$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the *maximal operators*

$$T_{*,k}^{\mathcal{A}}(f) := \sup_{t>0} |t^k \partial_t^k T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(f)|$$

and

$$P_{*,k}^{\mathcal{A}}(f) := \sup_{t>0} |t^k \partial_t^k P_t^{\mathcal{A}}(f)|.$$

Since $\{T_t^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{t>0}$ is a diffusion semigroup, according to [27, Corollary 4.2], for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the maximal operators $T_{*,k}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $P_{*,k}^{\mathcal{A}}$ are bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself, for each $1 < p < \infty$. Furthermore, the ideas in [28, pp. 472–473] imply that $P_{*,k}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is also bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Salogni ([38, Theorem 3.3.6]) proved that $T_*^{\mathcal{A}} = T_{*,0}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Remark. As a consequence of our results (see Theorem 1.2 below) we can deduce that $T_{*,1}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. At this moment we do not know if $T_{*,k}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, when $k \geq 2$. As far as we know in the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck setting the question is also open for $k \geq 1$.

Also we consider the *centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal function* associated with the inverse Gaussian measure $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}}(f)(x) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\gamma_{-1}(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| \gamma_{-1}(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In this paper we characterize the Banach lattices with the Hardy-Littlewood property in terms of the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ -boundedness properties of the maximal function $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}}$ and the maximal operators defined by the semigroups $\{T_t^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{t>0}$ and $\{P_t^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{t>0}$ (Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3). Our study is motivated by [23] in the Gaussian context.

Before stating our results we need to recall some definitions and properties about Banach lattices and the Hardy-Littlewood property.

Banach lattice. *A Banach lattice is a real Banach space X endowed with an order relation \leq satisfying the following properties. Let $x, y, z \in X$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \geq 0$,*

- (i) $x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z$;
- (ii) $ax \geq 0$ provided that $x \geq 0$ in X ;
- (iii) *there exist the least upper bound $\sup\{x, y\}$ and the greatest lower bound $\inf\{x, y\}$ for x and y ;*
- (iv) *if we define $|w| := \sup\{-w, w\}$, $w \in X$, $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ provided that $|x| \leq |y|$.*

Köthe function space. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space. A Banach space X consisting of equivalence classes, modulo equality almost everywhere, of locally integrable, real valued functions in Ω is said to be a Köthe function space when the following properties hold*

- (α) *If $|f(w)| \leq |g(w)|$, a.e. $w \in \Omega$, with f measurable and $g \in X$, then $f \in X$ and $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$.*
- (β) *For every $E \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu(E) < \infty$, $\chi_E \in X$.*

Here χ_E denotes the characteristic function over the set E .

Every Köthe space is a Banach lattice with the obvious order,

$$f \geq 0 \quad \text{when} \quad f(w) \geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. } w \in \Omega.$$

This lattice is σ -order complete. Moreover, each order continuous Banach lattice with weak unit is order isometric to a Köthe function space ([29, Theorem 1.b.14]). Then, a separable Banach lattice is order isometric to a Köthe function space if, and only if, it is σ -order complete. This fact justifies why we establish our results for Köthe function spaces. If X is a Köthe function space, we denote by X' the space of all the integrals in X ([29, p. 29]).

Hardy-Littlewood property. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a Banach lattice. Assume that J is a finite subset of rational positive numbers. If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$ is a locally integrable*

function, we define

$$\mathcal{M}_J^X(f)(x) := \sup_{r \in J} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| dy.$$

A Banach lattice X is said to have the Hardy-Littlewood property if there exists $1 < p < \infty$ such that, for every finite subset J of \mathbb{Q}_+ , \mathcal{M}_J^X is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$ into itself and

$$\sup_{\substack{J \subset \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ J \text{ finite}}} \|\mathcal{M}_J^X\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, X) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, X)} < \infty.$$

The Hardy-Littlewood property for a Banach lattice does not depend on the dimension n .

For a general Banach lattice X the supremum must be taken over finite sets J . When X is a Köthe function space this restriction is not needed. Suppose that X is a Köthe function space over (Ω, Σ, μ) . Every function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$ is understood as a two variables function $(x, w) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \mapsto f(x, w) \in \mathbb{R}$. We define now the *centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal function* with respect to the first variable as follows

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{M}^X(f)(x, w) := \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y, w)| dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } w \in \Omega,$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$ is locally integrable. J. Bourgain ([3]) proved that a Köthe function space X has the UMD property if, and only if, \mathcal{M}^X is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$ into itself and from $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n, X^*)$ into itself, for some (equivalently, for every) $1 < p < \infty$, where X^* denotes the dual space of X and $p' = p/(p-1)$.

Fatou property. *It is said that a Köthe function space has the Fatou property when the following property holds. If, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n \in X$ and $f_n(w) \geq 0$, a.e. $w \in \Omega$, satisfying that $f_n(w) \uparrow f(w)$, a.e. $w \in \Omega$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_X < \infty$, then $f \in X$ and $\|f\|_X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_X$.*

By using Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem we can see that a Köthe function space X having the Fatou property has the Hardy-Littlewood property if, and only if, there exists $1 < p_0 < \infty$ such that \mathcal{M}^X is bounded from $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$ into itself. In [13, Theorem 1.7] it was proved that this condition is equivalent to the boundedness of \mathcal{M}^X from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$ into itself, for every $1 < p < \infty$, and to the boundedness of \mathcal{M}^X from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$ into $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$.

The Hardy-Littlewood property for Banach lattices has been investigated in [13], [14] and [21] (see also [37]). In [23] the Hardy-Littlewood property for Köthe function spaces was characterized by using maximal operators associated with Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators with respect to the Gauss measure. In this paper we obtain new characterizations of the Köthe function spaces with the Hardy-Littlewood property via maximal operators associated with the semigroups $\{T_t^A\}_{t>0}$ and $\{P_t^A\}_{t>0}$ (Theorems 1.2 and 1.3).

Suppose that $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $C^m(0, \infty)$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $m - 1 \leq \alpha < m$. The *Weyl fractional derivative* of order α , $D^\alpha g$, is defined by

$$D^\alpha g(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(m - \alpha)} \int_0^\infty g^{(m)}(t + s) s^{m - \alpha - 1} ds, \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

If X is a Köthe function space and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma-1}^X(f)(x, w) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\gamma_{-1}(B(x, r))} \left| \int_{B(x, r)} f(y, w) \gamma_{-1}(y) dy \right|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad w \in \Omega,$$

and, for every $\alpha > 0$,

$$T_{*,\alpha}^A(f)(x, w) := \sup_{t>0} |t^\alpha \partial_t^\alpha T_t^A(f(\cdot, w))(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad w \in \Omega,$$

and

$$P_{*,\alpha}^A(f)(x, w) := \sup_{t>0} |t^\alpha \partial_t^\alpha P_t^A(f(\cdot, w))(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad w \in \Omega.$$

From now on, when it is understood from the context, we will use the notation $S(f)(x) := S(f)(x, \cdot)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for any operator S appearing along the paper.

We are finally in position of stating our results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Köthe function space with the Fatou property. The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a) X has the Hardy-Littlewood property.
- (b) $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma-1}^X$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.
- (c) For every $f \in L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma-1}^X f(x) \in X$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Köthe function space with the Fatou property, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Consider the following assertions.*

- (a) X has the Hardy-Littlewood property.
- (b $_\alpha$) $T_{*,\alpha}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself.
- (c $_\alpha$) $T_{*,\alpha}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.
- (d $_\alpha$) For every $f \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, $T_{*,\alpha}^A(f)(x) \in X$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (e $_\alpha$) For every $f \in L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, $T_{*,\alpha}^A(f)(x) \in X$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then, when

- $\alpha = 0$, (a) \Leftrightarrow (b $_\alpha$) \Leftrightarrow (c $_\alpha$) \Leftrightarrow (d $_\alpha$) \Leftrightarrow (e $_\alpha$);
- $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, (a) \Rightarrow (b $_\alpha$), (c $_\alpha$), (d $_\alpha$) and (e $_\alpha$).

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a Köthe function space with the Fatou property, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. Consider the following assertions.*

- (a) X has the Hardy-Littlewood property.
- (b $_\alpha$) $P_{*,\alpha}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself.
- (c $_\alpha$) $P_{*,\alpha}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.
- (d $_\alpha$) For every $f \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, $P_{*,\alpha}^A(f)(x) \in X$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (e $_\alpha$) For every $f \in L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, $P_{*,\alpha}^A(f)(x) \in X$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then, when

- $\alpha = 0$, $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b_\alpha) \Leftrightarrow (c_\alpha) \Leftrightarrow (d_\alpha) \Leftrightarrow (e_\alpha)$;
- $\alpha > 0$, $(a) \Rightarrow (b_\alpha), (c_\alpha), (d_\alpha)$ and (e_α) .

Some comments related to Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are in order. Suppose that $\{\mathbb{T}_t\}_{t>0}$ is a symmetric diffusion semigroup on (Λ, μ) and $\{\mathbb{P}_t\}_{t>0}$ is the corresponding subordinated Poisson semigroup. H. Li and P. Sjögren ([28, p. 473]) proved that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{*,k}(f) \leq C \sup_{t>0} \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{T}_s(f) ds \right|.$$

Then by using Hopf-Dunford-Schwartz ergodic theorem it follows that $\mathbb{P}_{*,k}$ is bounded from $L^1(\Lambda)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\Lambda)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let X be a Köthe function space on (Ω, ν) . We define the *maximal ergodic function* associated to $\{\mathbb{T}_t\}_{t>0}$ by

$$\mathbb{M}(f)(x, w) := \sup_{t>0} \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{T}_s(f(\cdot, w))(x) ds \right|, \quad x \in \Lambda \text{ and } w \in \Omega.$$

A Banach space Y is said to have the *UMD property* when the Hilbert transform can be extended to $L^p(\mathbb{R}) \otimes Y$, in the obvious way, as a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}) \otimes Y$ into itself with the topology of $L^p_Y(\mathbb{R})$ for some (equivalently, for every) $1 < p < \infty$. The interested reader can find in [25, Chapter 4] the main properties of UMD Banach spaces.

In [43, Theorem 1] Q. Xu proved that if X is a UMD Köthe function space, then \mathbb{M} defines a bounded operator from $L^p_X(\Lambda)$ into itself, for every $1 < p < \infty$. Hence, if X is a UMD Köthe function space, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathbb{P}_{*,k}$ is bounded from $L^p_X(\Lambda)$ into itself. Furthermore, as Xu commented ([43, Problem 10]), it is not known if, even when X is a UMD Köthe function space, \mathbb{M} is bounded from $L^1_X(\Lambda)$ into $L^{1,\infty}_X(\Lambda)$.

We prove our results by using a method introduced by Muckenhoupt ([33]) that consists on decomposing the operator under consideration in two parts that are called *local* and *global* operators, respectively. In order to see the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ -boundedness properties of the local operators we need the corresponding for the classical operators, that is, those operators associated with the Euclidean heat and Poisson semigroups. Next, we state these results that we will be needed, which are also interesting by themselves.

We consider the *Euclidean heat semigroup* (i.e., the one associated to $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta$), $\{W_t\}_{t>0}$, given by

$$W_t(f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_t(x-y)f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0,$$

where

$$W_t(z) := \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-|z|^2/2t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0,$$

and the *Euclidean Poisson semigroup* $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ defined by

$$P_t(f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x-y)f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0,$$

with

$$P_t(z) := \frac{\Gamma((n+1)/2)}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}} \frac{t}{(t^2 + |z|^2)^{(n+1)/2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0.$$

If X is a Köthe function space, $\alpha > 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, we introduce the following maximal operators

$$W_{*,\alpha}(f)(x, w) := \sup_{t>0} |t^\alpha \partial_t^\alpha W_t(f(\cdot, w))(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad w \in \Omega,$$

and

$$P_{*,\alpha}(f)(x, w) := \sup_{t>0} |t^\alpha \partial_t^\alpha P_t(f(\cdot, w))(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad w \in \Omega.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a Köthe function space with the Fatou property, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. Denote by $S_{*,\alpha}$ the maximal operators $W_{*,\alpha}$ or $P_{*,\alpha}$ and consider the following assertions.*

- (a) X has the Hardy-Littlewood property.
- (b $_\alpha$) $S_{*,\alpha}$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself.
- (c $_\alpha$) $S_{*,\alpha}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$.
- (d $_\alpha$) For every $f \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, $S_{*,\alpha}(f)(x) \in X$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (e $_\alpha$) For every $f \in L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, $S_{*,\alpha}(f)(x) \in X$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then, we have that

- (i) (a) \Leftrightarrow (b $_\alpha$) \Leftrightarrow (c $_\alpha$) \Leftrightarrow (d $_\alpha$) \Leftrightarrow (e $_\alpha$), for $\alpha = 0$.
- (ii) (a) \Rightarrow (b $_\alpha$), (c $_\alpha$), (d $_\alpha$) and (e $_\alpha$), for every $\alpha \geq 0$.

Observe that in the statements of our results we have imposed X to have the Fatou property. This is because at some point in the proofs we compare the operators with the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal function \mathcal{M}^X . In [23] the authors use a different definition of the Hardy-Littlewood property for a Köthe function space. They say that a Köthe function space X satisfies the Hardy-Littlewood property if, and only if, there exists $1 < p_0 < \infty$ such that \mathcal{M}^X is bounded from $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$ into itself (and the equivalences that follow). Therefore, if we had used this definition in our results then they would remain valid and the Fatou property would be needed only for the implications that concern (d $_\alpha$) and (e $_\alpha$) in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

As mentioned before, our study is motivated by [23], which was developed in the Gaussian context. We remark that in [23] the authors considered only the maximal operator for the Poisson semigroup associated with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, which is in our notation the maximal operator $P_{*,0}^{\mathcal{L}}$. On the other hand, note that the heat and Poisson kernels are positive, but this property is lost after taking derivatives.

In the following sections we present the proofs of our theorems. Throughout the paper C and c always denote positive constants that might change in each appearance. We

also write $a \lesssim b$ as shorthand for $a \leq Cb$ and moreover will use the notation $a \approx b$ if $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Firstly we introduce some notation that will be useful throughout the paper.

For some $\beta > 0$ we divide $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ in two regions, namely the *local region*

$$N_\beta := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| \leq \beta \left(1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|}\right) \right\}$$

and the *global region*

$$N_\beta^c := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| > \beta \left(1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|}\right) \right\}.$$

Here $a \wedge b := \min\{a, b\}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If T represents any of the operators defined above, we introduce

$$T_{loc(\beta)}(f)(x) := T(\chi_{N_\beta}(x, \cdot)f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$T_{glob(\beta)}(f)(x) := T(\chi_{N_\beta^c}(x, \cdot)f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The precise value of β will be clear in each occurrence. When $\beta = 1$ we do not write it.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we will adapt to our inverse Gaussian setting some ideas from [22, Section 2].

We start with the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a measurable function Q on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Q(x, y) \geq 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover,*

(i) *the operator \mathbb{Q} defined by*

$$\mathbb{Q}(g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q(x, y)g(y) dy$$

is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself;

(ii) *for every $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, $g \geq 0$,*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}, glob}^X(g) \leq \mathbb{Q}(g).$$

Remark 2.2. *Lemma 2.1 implies that $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}, glob}^X$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself. This property does not depend on the Hardy-Littlewood property for the Köthe function space X .*

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We define

$$Q(x, y) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\gamma_{-1}(B(x, r))} \chi_{N^c}(x, y) \chi_{B(x, r)}(y) e^{|y|^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is clear that

$$Q(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_{-1}(B(x, |x-y|))} \chi_{N^c}(x, y) e^{|y|^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}, glob}^X(g) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q(x, y) g(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for every Lebesgue measurable function $g \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

It remains to justify property (i). Suppose firstly that $n \geq 2$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $R > 0$. Since γ_{-1} is rotation invariant we can assume that $x = |x|e_n$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{-1}(B(x, R)) &= \int_{|u| < R} e^{|u+x|^2} du \geq e^{|x|^2+R^2/4} \int_{\varphi_0}^{\pi/2} \int_{R/2}^R r^{n-1} e^{2r|x|\cos\varphi_1} \sin\varphi_1 dr d\varphi_1 \\ &= C \frac{e^{|x|^2+R^2/4}}{|x|} \int_{R/2}^R r^{n-2} \int_0^{2r|x|\cos\varphi_0} e^u du dr, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_0 := \pi/3$. Take $R|x|\cos\varphi_0 \geq \beta$, for some $\beta > 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R/2}^R \int_0^{2r|x|\cos\varphi_0} e^u du dr &\geq \int_{R/2}^R \int_{r|x|\cos\varphi_0}^{2r|x|\cos\varphi_0} e^u du dr \geq \int_{R/2}^R e^{r|x|\cos\varphi_0} r|x|\cos\varphi_0 dr \\ &\geq \frac{R\beta}{4} e^{(R|x|\cos\varphi_0)/2} \geq \frac{\beta^2}{4|x|\cos\varphi_0} e^{(R|x|\cos\varphi_0)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\gamma_{-1}(B(x, R)) \gtrsim \frac{e^{|x|^2} e^{R^2/4} R^{n-2}}{|x|^2} e^{(R|x|\cos\varphi_0)/2}.$$

Next, we distinguish several cases.

(a) Assume that $|x| \geq 1$, or equivalently, $1 \wedge 1/|x| = 1/|x|$. Then,

$$|x-y| |x| \cos\varphi_0 \geq \cos\varphi_0, \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, y) &\lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4} e^{-(|x-y||x|\cos\varphi_0)/2} |x|^2 |x-y|^{2-n} \\ &\lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4} e^{-(|x-y||x|\cos\varphi_0)/2} |x|^n. \end{aligned}$$

(a.1) We consider $|x-y| \leq |x|/2$. Then, $|x|/2 \leq |y| \leq 3|x|/2$. We get,

$$Q(x, y) \lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} e^{-(|x-y||y|\cos\varphi_0)/3} |y|^n.$$

(a.2) Suppose $|x-y| > |x|/2$. Since $|x| \geq 1$, $|x-y| > 1/2$ and it follows that

$$\gamma_{-1}(B(x, |x-y|)) \geq \gamma_{-1}(B(x, 1/2)) \gtrsim e^{|x|^2+(|x|\cos\varphi_0)/4} |x|^{-2}.$$

Then,

$$Q(x, y) \lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2-(|x|\cos\varphi_0)/4} |x|^2.$$

(b) Assume that $|x| \leq 1$, that is, $1 \wedge 1/|x| = 1$. Then,

$$B(x, 1) \subset B(x, |x - y|), \quad (x, y) \in N^c,$$

and for certain $C > 0$,

$$\gamma_{-1}(B(x, |x - y|)) \geq \gamma_{-1}(B(x, 1)) = \int_{B(x, 1)} e^{|y|^2} dy \geq C, \quad (x, y) \in N^c,$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $e^{|y|^2} \geq 1$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so $\gamma_{-1}(B(x, 1)) \geq |B(x, 1)| = |B(0, 1)|$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, we have in this case that

$$Q(x, y) \lesssim e^{|y|^2}.$$

Let now $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. By taking into account the above estimates we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{Q}(g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})} &\lesssim \int_{|x| \geq 1} e^{|x|^2} \int_{|x-y| \leq |x|/2} e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} e^{-(|x-y||y| \cos \varphi_0)/3} |g(y)| |y|^n dy dx \\ &\quad + \int_{|x| \geq 1} e^{|x|^2} \int_{|x-y| > |x|/2} e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2 - (|x| \cos \varphi_0)/4} |g(y)| |x|^2 dy dx \\ &\quad + \int_{|x| \leq 1} e^{|x|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{|y|^2} |g(y)| dy dx \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, suppose that $n = 1$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $R > 0$. We can assume that $x > 0$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{-1}(B(x, R)) &= \int_{|u| < R} e^{x^2 + u^2 + 2xu} du \geq e^{x^2 + R^2/4} \int_{R/2 < u < R} e^{2xu} du \\ &\geq \frac{e^{x^2 + R^2/4 + Rx}}{x}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $Rx \geq \beta$, for certain $\beta > 0$. Then,

$$\gamma_{-1}(B(x, |x - y|)) \gtrsim \frac{e^{x^2 + |x-y|^2/4 + |x-y||x|}}{|x|}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

By proceeding as above we can see that the operator \mathbb{Q} is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself. \square

We now study the operator $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}, loc}^X$. Let $f \in L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. We have that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}, loc}^X(f)(x, w) = \sup_{0 < r < 1 \wedge 1/|x|} \frac{1}{\gamma_{-1}(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y, w)| \gamma_{-1}(y) dy.$$

As it was shown in [22, p. 348], there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{C} e^{|y|^2} \leq e^{|x|^2} \leq C e^{|y|^2}, \quad |x - y| \leq 1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|}.$$

This property allows us to show that

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}, loc}^X(f) \lesssim \mathcal{M}^X(f),$$

where \mathcal{M}^X denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function with respect to the Lebesgue measure (see (2) above), and

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{M}_{loc}^X(f) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1},loc}^X(f).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Since $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1},glob}^X$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself (Remark 2.2), (b) follows from (a) by using (4) and [13, Theorem 1.7]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (b) \Rightarrow (a) Assume that $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}}^X$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1},glob}^X$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself (Remark 2.2), $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1},loc}^X$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. Now, by using (5) we deduce that \mathcal{M}_{loc}^X is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. According to [38, Proposition 3.2.5], \mathcal{M}_{loc}^X is also bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$. Furthermore, \mathcal{M}^X is invariant under dilations, so proceeding as in the proof of [23, (ii) \Rightarrow (i), Theorem 1.10] we deduce that \mathcal{M}^X is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, or equivalently, X has the Hardy-Littlewood property. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (b) \Rightarrow (c) This implication is clear. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (c) \Rightarrow (a) Let $f \in L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ and suppose that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1}}^X(f)(x, \cdot) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1},glob}^X$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself (Remark 2.2), we also have that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1},glob}^X(f)(x, \cdot) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_{-1},loc}^X(f)(x, \cdot) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

By (5), we deduce

$$\mathcal{M}_{loc}^X(f)(x, \cdot) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Assume now that $f \in L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have that $|y| \leq 1 + k$, provided that $|x| \leq k$ and $|x - y| \leq 1 \wedge 1/|x|$. Then,

$$\mathcal{M}_{loc}^X(f)(x, w) = \mathcal{M}_{loc}^X(f \chi_{B(0,1+k)})(x, w), \quad w \in \Omega, \quad |x| \leq k.$$

Since $f \chi_{B(0,1+k)} \in L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}_{loc}^X(f)(x, \cdot) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in B(0, k).$$

We conclude that

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{M}_{loc}^X(f)(x, \cdot) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{glob}^X(f)(x, \cdot) &= \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y, \cdot)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) dy \\ &= \sup_{r>1 \wedge 1/|x|} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y, \cdot)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{(1 \wedge 1/|x|)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y, \cdot)| dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here the integrals are understood in the X -Böchner sense.

Since $f \in L^1_X(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y, \cdot)| dy \in X$$

and then

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{M}_{glob}^X(f)(x, \cdot) \in X, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus, by combining (6) and (7) and using [23, Proposition 4.12] we obtain (a). \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

We prove the results for the heat semigroup $\{W_t\}_{t>0}$. For the Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ one can proceed similarly.

Observe that, for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, one has

$$(8) \quad W_{*,0}(f) \approx \mathcal{M}(f).$$

Indeed, since

$$\frac{1}{r^n} = \frac{r}{\left(\frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{r^2}{2}\right)^{(n+1)/2}} \lesssim \frac{r}{\left(\frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{|x-y|^2}{2}\right)^{(n+1)/2}}, \quad y \in B(x, r),$$

then, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) dy &\lesssim \sup_{r>0} \int_{B(x, r)} \frac{r}{\left(\frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{|x-y|^2}{2}\right)^{(n+1)/2}} f(y) dy \\ &\lesssim \sup_{r>0} P_r(f)(x) \lesssim \sup_{r>0} W_r(f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the subordination formula (see (1)). The converse inequality in (8) follows from [9, Proposition 2.7].

Therefore, property (i) of Theorem 1.4 holds (see [23, p. 25]).

An inductive procedure allows us to see that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$t^k \partial_t^k W_t(z) = W_t(z) \sum_{j=0}^k a_j \left(\frac{|z|^2}{t}\right)^j, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0.$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. It follows that there exist $c > 0$ such that

$$|t^k \partial_t^k W_t(z)| \lesssim W_{ct}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0.$$

Then,

$$(9) \quad W_{*,k}(f) \lesssim W_{*,0}(f).$$

Suppose now that $\alpha \in (k-1, k)$. We can write

$$\partial_t^\alpha W_t(f) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \partial_t^k W_{t+s}(f) s^{k-\alpha-1} ds, \quad t > 0,$$

which implies

$$(10) \quad W_{*,\alpha}(f) \lesssim W_{*,k}(f) \left(t^\alpha \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{k-\alpha-1}}{(s+t)^k} ds \right) \lesssim W_{*,k}(f).$$

From (9), (10) and (i) we deduce (ii). \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is presented in Section 4.3. First we need to investigate the $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ -boundedness properties for the maximal operator $T_{*,0}^A$ (Section 4.1) and $T_{*,1}^A$ (Section 4.2).

4.1. L^p -boundedness properties for $T_{*,0}^A$. The goal of this section is to establish the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a Köthe function space.*

- (a) *For $1 < p < \infty$, $T_{*,0}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself if, and only if, $W_{*,0}$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself.*
- (b) *$T_{*,0}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ if, and only if, $W_{*,0}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$.*

The proof of this proposition is divided into the Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 below.

Consider the local and global maximal operators defined by

$$T_{*,0,loc}^A(f)(x, \omega) := \sup_{t>0} \left| T_t^A \left(f(\cdot, \omega) \chi_N(\cdot, x) \right) (x) \right|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \omega \in \Omega$$

and

$$T_{*,0,glob}^A(f)(x, \omega) := \sup_{t>0} \left| T_t^A \left(f(\cdot, \omega) \chi_{N^c}(\cdot, x) \right) (x) \right|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \omega \in \Omega.$$

In a similar way we introduce the operators $W_{*,0,loc}$ and $W_{*,0,glob}$.

Lemma 4.2. *For every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T_{*,0,loc}^A - W_{*,0,loc}$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself and from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself.*

Proof. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_{*,0,loc}^A(f)(x, \omega) - W_{*,0,loc}(f)(x, \omega)| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \left| \left(T_t^A(x, y) - W_t(x, y) \right) \chi_N(\cdot, x) \right| |f(y, \omega)| dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \omega \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} |T_t^A(x, y) - W_t(x, y)| & \lesssim \frac{1 - e^{-nt}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2}} - \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2}} \right| \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2}} \left| \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right) \right| \\
& + \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2}} \left| e^{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2/2t} - e^{-|x - y|^2/2t} \right| \\
& =: \sum_{j=1}^4 H_j(t, x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the change of variables $t = \log \frac{1+s}{1-s}$, that defines an increasing mapping from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, \infty)$. By using the fact that

$$(11) \quad t \approx (1 - e^{-at}), \text{ for every } a > 0, \text{ whenever } t \in (0, 1)$$

and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(12) \quad H_1(t, x, y) \Big|_{t=\log \frac{1+s}{1-s}} & \lesssim \frac{t}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \Big|_{t=\log \frac{1+s}{1-s}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2-1}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \Big|_{t=\log \frac{1+s}{1-s}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{s^{n/2-1}} e^{-|x-y|^2/4s} e^{-s|x+y|^2/4} e^{-(|x|^2-|y|^2)/2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/4s}}{s^{n/2-1}} \lesssim \frac{s^{1/2}}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (x, y) \in N.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Mean Value Theorem leads to

$$\left| \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2}} - \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2}} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{n/2-1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Then, by proceeding as in (12), we obtain that

$$H_2(t, x, y) \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{n/2-1}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

Now, since $e^{-2t} - 1 + 2t > 0$ for $t > 0$ and (11), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right) \right| \\
& = \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right) \left| \exp\left(|x - e^{-t}y|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2t} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right)\right) - 1 \right| \\
& \lesssim \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right) |x - e^{-t}y|^2 \frac{e^{-2t} - 1 + 2t}{t(1 - e^{-2t})} \\
& \lesssim \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right) |x - e^{-t}y|^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t \in (0, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$H_3(t, x, y) \lesssim e^{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2/2t} \frac{|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{(2t)^{n/2}} \lesssim \frac{e^{-c|x - e^{-t}y|^2/t}}{t^{n/2-1}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

Finally, observe that

$$|x - e^{-t}y|^2 - |x - y|^2 = |x - y + y(1 - e^{-t})|^2 - |x - y|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |x - y|^2 + |y|^2|1 - e^{-t}|^2 + 2\langle x - y, y \rangle(1 - e^{-t}) - |x - y|^2 \\
&= |y|^2|1 - e^{-t}|^2 + 2\langle x - y, y \rangle(1 - e^{-t}), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ and } t > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by using (11) we get that, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < t < 1 \wedge 1/|x|^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| |x - e^{-t}y|^2 - |x - y|^2 \right| &\leq |y|^2|1 - e^{-t}|^2 + 2|x - y||y|(1 - e^{-t}) \\
&\lesssim |y|^2 t^{3/2} \left(1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|^2}\right)^{1/2} + |x - y||y|t.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| |x - e^{-t}y|^2 - |x - y|^2 \right| &\leq |y|^2|1 - e^{-t}|^2 + 2|x - e^{-t}y||y|(1 - e^{-t}) \\
&\lesssim |y|^2 t^{3/2} \left(1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|^2}\right)^{1/2} + |x - e^{-t}y||y|t,
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < t < 1 \wedge 1/|x|^2$. From the Mean Value Theorem and the previous estimates it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad H_4(t, x, y) &\lesssim \exp\left(-\frac{(|x - y| \wedge |x - e^{-t}y|)^2}{2t}\right) \frac{\left| |x - e^{-t}y|^2 - |x - y|^2 \right|}{t^{n/2+1}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{|y|}{t^{n/2-1/2}} \exp\left(-c\frac{(|x - y| \wedge |x - e^{-t}y|)^2}{t}\right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N, \quad 0 < t < 1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1 \wedge 1/|x|^2} |T_t^A(x, y) - W_t(x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\sup_{t \geq 1 \wedge 1/|x|^2} |T_t^A(x, y) - W_t(x, y)| \lesssim \left(1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{-n} \lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

Hence,

$$(14) \quad \sup_{t > 0} |T_t^A(x, y) - W_t(x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

By taking into account that

$$1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|} \approx \frac{1}{1 + |x|} \approx \frac{1}{1 + |y|}, \quad (x, y) \in N,$$

we get

$$(15) \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}} \chi_N(x, y) dy + \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}} \chi_N(x, y) dx < \infty.$$

Then, Schur's lemma guarantees that the operator L defined by

$$(16) \quad L(g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}} g(y) \chi_N(x, y) dy$$

is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself, for every $1 \leq p < \infty$.

We have obtained that for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T_{*,0,loc}^A - W_{*,0,loc}$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself. Moreover, according to [38, Proposition 3.2.5] (which is indeed true for $p = 1$), it is also bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself. \square

Lemma 4.3. $T_{*,0,glob}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Proof. We can write, for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s \in (0, 1)$,

$$T_{\log \frac{1+s}{1-s}}^A(x, y) = \frac{(1-s)^n}{\pi^{n/2}(4s)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x(1-s) + y(1+s)|^2}{4s}\right) e^{-|x|^2 + |y|^2}.$$

According to [38, Lemma 3.3.3] we obtain, for $(x, y) \in N^c$ and $x, y \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t>0} \frac{e^{-nt}}{\pi^{n/2}(1-e^{-2t})^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \\ &= \sup_{s \in (0,1)} \frac{(1-s)^n}{\pi^{n/2}(4s)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x(1-s) + y(1+s)|^2}{4s}\right) e^{-|x|^2 + |y|^2} \\ (17) \quad & \lesssim e^{-|x|^2 + |y|^2} \left[(1 + |x|)^n \wedge (|x| \sin \theta(x, y))^{-n} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta(x, y)$ represents the angle between x and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, when $n > 1$; and $\theta(x, y) = 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Then, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{*,0,glob}^A(f)(x, \omega) & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} \frac{e^{-nt}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) |f(y, \omega)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) dy \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|x|^2 + |y|^2} \left[(1 + |x|)^n \wedge (|x| \sin \theta(x, y))^{-n} \right] |f(y, \omega)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

From [38, Lemma 3.3.4], we deduce that $T_{*,0,glob}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. \square

Lemma 4.4. For every $1 < p < \infty$, $T_{*,0,glob}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself.

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} |T_t^A(f(\cdot) \chi_{N^c}(\cdot, x))|(x)|^p e^{|x|^2} dx \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} |T_t^A(x, y)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) e^{(|x|^2 - |y|^2)/p} |f(y)| e^{|y|^2/p} dy \right)^p dx \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove that the operator associated to the kernel

$$\sup_{t>0} |T_t^A(x, y)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) e^{(|x|^2 - |y|^2)/p}$$

is of strong type p with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

According to [31, Proposition 2.1], we obtain, for every $(x, y) \in N^c$,

$$(18) \quad \sup_{t>0} |T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)| \lesssim \begin{cases} e^{-|x|^2}, & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0, \\ \left(\frac{|x+y|}{|x-y|}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(\frac{|y|^2-|x|^2}{2} - \frac{|x-y||x+y|}{2}\right), & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\lesssim \begin{cases} e^{-|x|^2}, & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0, \\ |x+y|^n \exp\left(\frac{|y|^2-|x|^2}{2} - \frac{|x-y||x+y|}{2}\right), & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle > 0. \end{cases}$$

In the last inequality we have used that $|x+y||x-y| \gtrsim 1$ for $(x, y) \in N^c$ and $\langle x, y \rangle > 0$. Since

$$||y|^2 - |x|^2| \leq |x+y||x-y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(|x|^2-|y|^2)/p} \sup_{t>0} |T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) dy \\ & \leq \int_{\langle x, y \rangle \leq 0} e^{-|x|^2(1-1/p)-|y|^2/p} dy \\ & \quad + \int_{\langle x, y \rangle > 0} |x+y|^n \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{2} - \left|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right|\right)|x-y||x+y|\right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by proceeding as in [34, p. 501], we obtain that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(|x|^2-|y|^2)/p} \sup_{t>0} |T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) dy < \infty.$$

Also, we have that

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(|x|^2-|y|^2)/p} \sup_{t>0} |T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) dx < \infty.$$

We conclude that the operator \mathbb{L} defined by

$$\mathbb{L}(g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(|x|^2-|y|^2)/p} \sup_{t>0} |T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) g(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself. Hence, the operator $T_{*,0,glob}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Suppose that $T_{*,0}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. From Lemma 4.3 we know that $T_{*,0,glob}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, then the same boundness property holds for $T_{*,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}$. Moreover, Lemma 4.2 states that $T_{*,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}} - W_{*,0,loc}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, so $W_{*,0,loc}$ has also this property. Then, according to [38, Proposition 3.2.5], $W_{*,0,loc}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$. Furthermore, since $W_{*,0}$ is dilation invariant, by proceeding as in the proof of [23, Theorem 1.10], we obtain that $W_{*,0}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$.

Assume now that $W_{*,0}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$. We have that

$$(19) \quad \sup_{t>0} W_t(z) \lesssim \frac{1}{|z|^n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then, according to [38, Propositions 3.2.5 and 3.2.7] we deduce that $W_{*,0,loc}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. Note that the size condition (19) is sufficient to obtain this property. Furthermore, from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 we know that $T_{*,0,loc}^A - W_{*,0,loc}$ and $T_{*,0,glob}^A$ are bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, we conclude that $T_{*,0}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Thus, (b) is justified. Property (a) can be proven in a similar way. \square

4.2. L^p -boundedness properties for $T_{*,1}^A$. Now we concentrate on the following.

Proposition 4.5. *Let X be a Köthe function space.*

- (a) *For $1 < p < \infty$, $T_{*,1}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself if, and only if, $W_{*,1}$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself.*
- (b) *$T_{*,1}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ if, and only if, $W_{*,1}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$.*

As in the previous section, Proposition 4.5 is decomposed into Lemmas 4.6, 4.8 and 4.9 that we present next.

We define

$$T_{*,1,loc}^A(f)(x, \omega) := \sup_{t>0} \left| t \partial_t T_t^A \left(f(\cdot, \omega) \chi_N(\cdot, x) \right) (x) \right|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \omega \in \Omega$$

and

$$T_{*,1,glob}^A(f)(x, \omega) := \sup_{t>0} \left| t \partial_t T_t^A \left(f(\cdot, \omega) \chi_{N^c}(\cdot, x) \right) (x) \right|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \omega \in \Omega.$$

In a similar way we introduce the operators $W_{*,1,loc}$ and $W_{*,1,glob}$.

Lemma 4.6. *For every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T_{*,1,loc}^A - W_{*,1,loc}$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself and from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself.*

Proof. Observe that, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t T_t^A(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \left[-\frac{ne^{-nt}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+2)/2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2e^{-(n+1)t}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+2)/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i (x_i - e^{-t}y_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2e^{-(n+2)t}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+4)/2}} |x - e^{-t}y|^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial_t W_t(x - y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left(-\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{t^{n/2+1}} + \frac{|x - y|^2}{2t^{n/2+2}} \right) e^{-|x-y|^2/2t}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$$

In the local region, i.e., when $(x, y) \in N$, we are going to estimate

$$\sup_{t>0} \left| t \left(\partial_t T_t^A(x, y) - \partial_t W_t(x - y) \right) \right|.$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& t\left(\partial_t T_t^A(x, y) - \partial_t W_t(x - y)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \left[-\frac{nte^{-nt}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+2)/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) + \frac{nt}{(2t)^{n/2+1}} e^{-|x-y|^2/2t} \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{2te^{-(n+2)t}|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+4)/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) - \frac{2t|x - y|^2}{(2t)^{n/2+2}} e^{-|x-y|^2/2t} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{2te^{-(n+1)t}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+2)/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i(x_i - e^{-t}y_i) \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \right] \\
&=: \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \sum_{j=1}^3 H_j(t, x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We decompose H_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1(t, x, y) &= -\frac{nt(e^{-nt} - 1)}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+2)/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \\
&\quad - nt \left[\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+2)/2}} - \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2+1}} \right] \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{nt}{(2t)^{n/2+1}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{nt}{(2t)^{n/2+1}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{2t}\right) \right] \\
&=: \sum_{j=1}^4 H_{1j}(t, x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will use some of the manipulations and estimates from the proof of Lemma 4.2. We have that

$$|H_{11}(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{n/2-1}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), (x, y) \in N.$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2+1}} - \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2+1}} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{n/2+2}} |2t - 1 + e^{-2t}| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{n/2}}, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

it follows that

$$|H_{12}(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{n/2-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), (x, y) \in N.$$

Also, we get

$$|H_{13}(t, x, y)| \lesssim e^{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2/2t} \frac{|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{t^{n/2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), (x, y) \in N.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$|H_{14}(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad 0 < t < 1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

We conclude that

$$|H_1(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad 0 < t < 1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(t, x, y) &= \frac{2t(e^{-(n+2)t} - 1)|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2+2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \\ &\quad + 2t\left[\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2+2}} - \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2+2}}\right]|x - e^{-t}y|^2 \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2+1}}[|x - e^{-t}y|^2 - |x - y|^2] \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(2t)^{n/2+1}}|x - y|^2\left[\exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{|x - y|^2}{(2t)^{n/2+1}}\left[\exp\left(\frac{-|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{2t}\right)\right] \\ &=: \sum_{j=1}^5 H_{2j}(t, x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$|H_{21}(t, x, y)| + |H_{22}(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

Moreover, by proceeding as in (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{23}(t, x, y)| &\lesssim \frac{|y|}{t^{n/2-1/2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{(|x - y| \wedge |x - e^{-t}y|)^2}{t}\right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N, \quad 0 < t < 1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For H_{24} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{24}(t, x, y)| &\lesssim \frac{|x - y|^2}{t^{n/2+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{2t}\right)|x - e^{-t}y|^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (x, y) \in N. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for H_{25} we get

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{25}(t, x, y)| &\lesssim \frac{|x - y|^2}{t^{n/2+1}} \exp\left(-c \frac{(|x - y| \wedge |x - e^{-t}y|)^2}{t}\right) \frac{||x - e^{-t}y|^2 - |x - y|^2|}{t} \\ &\lesssim \frac{|x - y|^2}{t} \frac{|y|}{t^{n/2-1/2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{(|x - y| \wedge |x - e^{-t}y|)^2}{t}\right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N, \quad 0 < t < 1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$|H_2(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N, \quad 0 < t < 1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|^2}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_3(t, x, y)| &\lesssim \frac{|y|}{t^{n/2-1/2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{t}\right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N, \quad 0 < t < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we have obtained that

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1 \wedge 1/|x|^2} \left| t \left(\partial_t T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) - \partial_t W_t(x - y) \right) \right| \lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

On the other hand, by using that

$$1 - e^{-2t} \geq 1 - e^{-2(1 \wedge 1/|x|)}, \quad t \geq 1 \wedge \frac{1}{|x|^2}$$

and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 1 \wedge 1/|x|^2} \left| t \left(\partial_t T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) - \partial_t W_t(x - y) \right) \right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1 \wedge 1/|x|)^n} + \frac{|y|}{(1 \wedge 1/|x|)^{n-1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{t > 0} \left| t \left(\partial_t T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) - \partial_t W_t(x - y) \right) \right| \lesssim \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N.$$

Recall that the operator L given by (16) is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself, for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, see (15). Thus, for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T_{*,1,loc}^{\mathcal{A}} - W_{*,1,loc}$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself. Furthermore, [38, Proposition 3.2.5] (which is indeed true for $p = 1$) also implies the boundedness from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself. \square

Remark 4.7. *Observe that Lemma 4.6 also holds if in the definition of the local operators we replace N by N_β , for any $\beta > 0$.*

Lemma 4.8. $T_{*,1,glob}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Proof. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} t \partial_t T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) &= -\frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \frac{nte^{-nt}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+2)/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|y - e^{-t}x|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\pi^{n/2}} \frac{te^{-(n+1)t}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+2)/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - e^{-t}x_i) \exp\left(\frac{-|y - e^{-t}x|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\pi^{n/2}} \frac{te^{-(n+2)t}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+4)/2}} |y - e^{-t}x|^2 \exp\left(\frac{-|y - e^{-t}x|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \\ (20) \quad &=: \sum_{j=1}^3 K_j(t, x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following global maximal operators

$$(21) \quad K_{j,glob}^*(g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t > 0} |K_j(t, x, y)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) g(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We are going to study these operators separately by adapting the ideas developed in the proof of [6, Proposition 5.1].

Step 1: $K_{1, glob}^*$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Observe that, by taking $r = e^{-t}$, $r \in (0, 1)$,

$$(22) \quad |K_1(t, x, y)|_{r=e^{-t}} = \frac{n}{\pi^{n/2}} \frac{(-\log r)r^n}{(1-r^2)^{(n+2)/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|y-rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

To continue the analysis it is convenient to consider the cases $0 < r < 1/2$ and $1/2 \leq r < 1$, or equivalently $\log 2 < t < \infty$ and $0 < t \leq \log 2$. Our objective is to estimate

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq \log 2} |K_1(t, x, y)|, \quad (x, y) \in N^c,$$

and

$$\sup_{t > \log 2} |K_1(t, x, y)|, \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

Assume first that $1/2 \leq r < 1$. Since (11) holds, we deduce the pointwise estimate (see (17))

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{0 < t \leq \log 2} |K_1(t, x, y)| &\lesssim \sup_{0 < t \leq \log 2} |T_t^A(x, y)| \\ &\lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \left[(1+|x|)^n \wedge (|x| \sin \theta(x, y))^{-n} \right], \quad (x, y) \in N^c, \quad x, y \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the case of $0 < r < 1/2$. Then, (22) can be controlled by

$$|K_1(t, x, y)|_{r=e^{-t}} \lesssim (-\log r) r^n e^{-c|y-rx|^2} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \lesssim r^{n-1} e^{-c|y-rx|^2} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover, if $|y| > 2|x|$, it follows that

$$\sup_{t > \log 2} |K_1(t, x, y)| \lesssim e^{-c|y|^2} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \lesssim \frac{e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}}{|y|^{n-1}} \lesssim \frac{e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}}{|x|^{n-1}}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

On the other hand, by using that $|y-rx|^2 = |y_\perp|^2 + |r-r_0|^2|x|^2$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we get, for $|y| \leq 2|x|$,

$$\begin{aligned} |K_1(t, x, y)|_{r=e^{-t}} &\lesssim (|r_0|^{n-1} + |r-r_0|^{n-1}) e^{-|y_\perp|^2} e^{-|r-r_0|^2|x|^2} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim e^{-|y_\perp|^2} \left[\left(\frac{|y|}{|x|}\right)^{n-1} e^{-|r-r_0|^2|x|^2} + |r-r_0|^{n-1} e^{-|r-r_0|^2|x|^2} \right] e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \left[e^{-|y_\perp|^2} \left(\frac{|y|}{|x|}\right)^{n-1} |x| + |x|^{1-n} \right] e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_0 := \frac{|y|}{|x|} \cos \theta$, θ is the angle between x and y , and $y =: y_x + y_\perp$, with $y_x \parallel x$ and $y_\perp \perp x$. In the last inequality we have taken into account that $|x| \geq C$, provided that $|x| \geq |y|/2$ and $(x, y) \in N^c$.

Therefore,

$$(24) \quad \sup_{t > \log 2} |K_1(t, x, y)| \lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \left[|x|^{1-n} + e^{-|y_\perp|^2} \left(\frac{|y|}{|x|}\right)^{n-1} |x| \chi_{\{|y| \leq 2|x|\}} \right], \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

By combining (23) and (24) we get, for $(x, y) \in N^c$,

$$\sup_{t>0} |K_1(t, x, y)| \lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \left[|x|^{1-n} + e^{-|y_\perp|^2} \left(\frac{|y|}{|x|} \right)^{n-1} |x| \chi_{\{|y| \leq 2|x|\}} \right. \\ \left. + (1 + |x|)^n \wedge (|x| \sin \theta(x, y))^{-n} \right].$$

Then, according to [38, Lemma 3.3.4.] and [6, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3] we deduce that the operator $K_{1, glob}^*$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Step 2: $K_{2, glob}^*$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Denoting again $r = e^{-t}$, we have

$$(25) \quad |K_2(t, x, y)|_{r=e^{-t}} \lesssim \frac{(-\log r)r^{n+1}}{(1-r^2)^{(n+2)/2}} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i(y_i - rx_i)| \exp\left(\frac{-|y - rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ \lesssim \frac{(-\log r)r^{n+1}}{(1-r^2)^{(n+1)/2}} |x| \left(\frac{|y - rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(\frac{-|y - rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ \lesssim \frac{r^n}{(1-r^2)^{(n+1)/2}} |x| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|y - rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$$

As in the previous case, we want to estimate

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq \log 2} |K_2(t, x, y)|, \quad (x, y) \in N^c$$

and

$$\sup_{t > \log 2} |K_2(t, x, y)|, \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

Let $0 < r < 1/2$. If $|y| > 2|x|$, we can control the kernel in (25) by

$$|K_2(t, x, y)| \lesssim |y| e^{-c|y|^2} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \lesssim \frac{e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}}{|y|^{n-1}} \lesssim \frac{e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}}{|x|^{n-1}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Furthermore, when $|y| \leq 2|x|$, then $|r_0| \leq 2$ and we get

$$|K_2(t, x, y)| \lesssim (|r_0|^n + |r - r_0|^n) |x| e^{-|y_\perp|^2} e^{-|r-r_0|^2|x|^2} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ \lesssim \left[e^{-|y_\perp|^2} \left(\frac{|y|}{|x|} \right)^{n-1} |x| + |x|^{1-n} \right] e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

Therefore,

$$(26) \quad \sup_{t > \log 2} |K_2(t, x, y)| \lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \left[|x|^{1-n} + e^{-|y_\perp|^2} \left(\frac{|y|}{|x|} \right)^{n-1} |x| \chi_{\{|y| \leq 2|x|\}} \right], \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

Let now $1/2 \leq r < 1$, that is, $0 < t \leq \log 2$. We decompose the kernel in (25) as

$$|K_2(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{(-\log r)r^{n+1}}{(1-r^2)^{(n+1)/2}} |x| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|y - rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ \times \left(\chi_{\{s \leq 1/3\}} + \chi_{\{s \geq 2\}} + \chi_{\{1/3 < s \leq 2\}} \right) (r_0)$$

$$=: \sum_{j=1}^3 K_{2j}(t, x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Now we proceed as in [6, p. 12, cases 2.1 and 2.2]. Since for $r_0 \leq 1/3$ and $r_0 \geq 2$ we have that $|r - r_0| \gtrsim (1 + |r_0|)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_{21}(t, x, y) + K_{22}(t, x, y) &\lesssim \frac{|x|}{(1-r^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|y-rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{(1+|r_0|)|x|}{(1-r^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{(1+|r_0|)^2|x|^2 + |y_\perp|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ (27) \quad &\lesssim \left((1+|r_0|)|x|\right)^{1-(n+1)} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \lesssim \frac{e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}}{|x|^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the case $r_0 = \frac{|y|}{|x|} \cos(\theta(x, y)) \in (1/3, 2)$. By performing the change of variables $r = \frac{1-s}{1+s}$, we have that $0 < s \leq 1/3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} K_{23}(t, x, y) &\lesssim \log\left(\frac{1+s}{1-s}\right) \left(\frac{1-s}{s^{1/2}}\right)^{n+1} |x| \exp\left(-\frac{|(1+s)y - (1-s)x|^2}{8s}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ (28) \quad &\lesssim \frac{(1-s)^{n+1}|x|}{s^{(n-1)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|(1+s)y - (1-s)x|^2}{8s}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using

$$|y(1+s) - x(1-s)|^2 \gtrsim \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^2}, \quad 0 < s \leq \frac{1}{8(1+|x|)^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 < s < \frac{1}{8(1+|x|)^2}} K_{23}(t, x, y)|_{t=\log(\frac{1+s}{1-s})} &\lesssim \sup_{0 < s < \frac{1}{8(1+|x|)^2}} \frac{|x|}{s^{(n-1)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{c}{s(1+|x|)^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim |x|(1+|x|)^{n-1} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \lesssim (1+|x|)^n e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\frac{1}{8(1+|x|)^2} < s < 1} K_{23}(t, x, y)|_{t=\log(\frac{1+s}{1-s})} &\lesssim \sup_{\frac{1}{8(1+|x|)^2} < s < 1} \frac{|x|}{s^{(n-1)/2}} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim (1+|x|)^n e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$(29) \quad \sup_{0 < t < \log 2} K_{23}(t, x, y) \lesssim (1+|x|)^n e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

On the other hand, also for $r_0 \in (1/3, 2)$, we can proceed as in [6, p. 12] and consider the following scenarios

- i) $1 - r \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - r_0) \vee \frac{3}{2}(r_0 - 1)$,
- ii) $1 - r > \frac{3}{2}(1 - r_0)$,
- iii) $r_0 < 1$ and $|r - r_0| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - r_0)$.

In all these cases, the following properties will be crucial:

$$(30) \quad |y - rx|^2 = |y_\perp|^2 + |r - r_0|^2|x|^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

$$(31) \quad 1 - r_0 = \frac{|x - y_x|}{|x|}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$(32) \quad |y_\perp| \geq |x| \sin \theta, \quad \text{whenever } 1/3 < r_0 < 2.$$

We start analyzing the situation *i*). Observe that under the current assumptions

$$|1 - r_0| \approx |r - r_0| \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - r \lesssim |1 - r_0|.$$

Then, by using (30) we get

$$|y - rx|^2 = |r - r_0|^2|x|^2 + |y_\perp|^2 \gtrsim (1 - r)^2|x|^2 + |y_\perp|^2.$$

Therefore, since $0 \leq \frac{-\log r}{1-r} \lesssim 1$ for $1/2 \leq r < 1$, by using (32) we deduce

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{23}(t, x, y) &\lesssim \frac{(1-r)^{1/2}}{(1-r)^{n/2}} |x| \exp\left(-c \frac{(1-r)^2|x|^2 + |y_\perp|^2}{(1-r)(1+r)}\right) e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-r)^{n/2}} e^{-c(1-r)|x|^2} e^{-c|y_\perp|^2/(1-r)} e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2}}{|y_\perp|^n} \lesssim \frac{e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2}}{(|x| \sin \theta)^n}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we turn to the case *ii*). Now $|r - r_0| \approx 1 - r$, so the estimate (33) above remains valid.

Finally, let's treat *iii*). Now, $1 - r \approx 1 - r_0$ and since (30) and (31) hold, we get

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{23}(t, x, y) &\lesssim \frac{|x|}{(1-r)^{(n-1)/2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{|r - r_0|^2|x|^2 + |y_\perp|^2}{1-r}\right) e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{|x|^{(n+1)/2}}{|x - y_x|^{(n-1)/2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{|y_\perp|^2|x|}{|x - y_x|}\right) e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $|x||x - y_x| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{23}(t, x, y) &\lesssim \frac{|x|^{(n+1)/2}}{|x - y_x|^{(n-1)/2}} \left(\frac{|x - y_x|}{|y_\perp|^2|x|}\right)^{n/2} e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{(|x||x - y_x|)^{1/2}}{|y_\perp|^n} e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2}}{(|x| \sin \theta)^n}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $|x||x - y_x| \geq 1$. We have to distinguish three cases:

$$|x|/3 \leq |y_x| < |x|, \quad |y_x| \geq |x| \quad \text{and} \quad |y_x| < |x|/3.$$

Observe that when $|x|/3 \leq |y_x| < |x|$, estimate (34) is enough, see [6, Lemma 4.4].

Let us consider the situation $|y_x| \geq |x|$. Then, since $|y|^2 = |y_x|^2 + |y_\perp|^2 \geq |y_x|^2$ we have that $|y|^2 \geq |x|^2$ and from (28) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{23}(t, x, y)|_{t=\log(\frac{1+s}{1-s})} &\lesssim (1-s)^{n+1} \frac{|x+y| + |x-y|}{s^{(n-1)/2}} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8}(s|x+y|^2 + \frac{1}{s}|x-y|^2)\right) e^{-(|y|^2-|x|^2)/4} e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{(1-s)^n}{s^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|(1+s)y - (1-s)x|^2}{16s}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from [38, Lemma 3.3.3] we get that, for $|y_x| \geq |x|$,

$$(35) \quad \sup_{0 < t \leq \log 2} K_{23}(t, x, y) \lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \left[(1+|x|)^n \wedge (|x| \sin \theta)^{-n} \right], \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

Suppose now $3|y_x| \leq |x|$, $(x, y) \in N^c$. If $|y_\perp| \leq |x|/9$, then since $1/2 < r = \frac{1-s}{1+s} < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(1-s)x| &\leq |(1+s)y - (1-s)x| + (1+s) \sqrt{\left(\frac{|x|}{3}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{|x|}{9}\right)^2} \\ &\leq |(1+s)y - (1-s)x| + \frac{2\sqrt{10}}{9} |(1-s)x|, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\left(1 - \frac{2\sqrt{10}}{9}\right) |(1-s)x| \leq |(1+s)y - (1-s)x|.$$

Therefore, for $|y_\perp| \leq |x|/9$ and $0 < s \leq 1/3$

$$\begin{aligned} K_{23}(t, x, y)|_{t=\log(\frac{1+s}{1-s})} &\lesssim \frac{(1-s)^n |(1+s)y - (1-s)x|}{s^{(n-1)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|(1+s)y - (1-s)x|^2}{8s}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{(1-s)^n}{s^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|(1+s)y - (1-s)x|^2}{16s}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c. \end{aligned}$$

If $|y_\perp| > |x|/9$, then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{23}(t, x, y)|_{t=-\log r = \log(\frac{1+s}{1-s})} &\lesssim (-\log r) \frac{r^{n+1}|x|}{(1-r^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \frac{|r-r_0|^2|x|^2 + |y_\perp|^2}{1-r^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \frac{|y-rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{r^n |y_\perp|}{(1-r^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \frac{|r-r_0|^2|x|^2 + |y_\perp|^2}{1-r^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \frac{|y-rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{r^n}{(1-r^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \frac{|y-rx|^2}{1-r^2}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{(1-s)^n}{s^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|(1+s)y - (1-s)x|^2}{16s}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from [38, Lemma 3.3.3] we get that, for $3|y_x| \leq |x|$,

$$(36) \quad \sup_{0 < t \leq \log 2} K_{23}(t, x, y) \lesssim e^{|y|^2-|x|^2} \left[(1+|x|)^n \wedge (|x| \sin \theta)^{-n} \right], \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

By combining (29), (33), (34), (35) and (36) we conclude that

$$(37) \quad \sup_{0 < t \leq \log 2} K_{23}(t, x, y) \lesssim e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \left[(1 + |x|)^n \wedge (|x| \sin \theta)^{-n} \right. \\ \left. + \chi_{\{|x||x-y_x| \geq 1, \frac{|x|}{3} \leq |y_x| < |x|\}}(x, y) \frac{|x|^{(n+1)/2}}{|x-y_x|^{(n-1)/2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{|y_x|^2 |x|}{|x-y_x|}\right) \right], \quad (x, y) \in N^c.$$

From (26), (27) and (37), by using [6, Lemmas 4.1– 4.4] we deduce that $K_{2, glob}^*$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Step 3: $K_{3, glob}^*$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Since

$$(38) \quad \frac{te^{-2t}}{1 - e^{-2t}} \leq 1, \quad t > 0,$$

we can write

$$|K_3(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{e^{-nt}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{(n+2)/2}} |y - e^{-t}x|^2 \exp\left(\frac{-|y - e^{-t}x|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$$

Next, the change of variables $t = \log\left(\frac{1+s}{1-s}\right)$, $0 < s < 1$, allows us to write

$$(39) \quad |K_3(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{(1-s)^n}{s^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|(1+s)y - (1-s)x|^2}{8s}\right) e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2} \\ \lesssim \left[(1 + |x|)^n \wedge (|x| \sin \theta)^{-n}\right] e^{|y|^2 - |x|^2}, \quad (x, y) \in N^c,$$

where in the last step we used [38, Lemma 3.3.3]. From (39) and by using [38, Lemma 3.3.4] we get that $K_{3, glob}^*$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$.

Thus, we have proven that the operator K_{glob}^* defined by

$$K_{glob}^*(g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t > 0} |t \partial_t T_t^A(x, y)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) g(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$, which clearly implies that the operator $T_{*, 1, glob}^A$ is also bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. \square

Lemma 4.9. *For every $1 < p < \infty$, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that the operator $T_{*, 1, glob}^A$ associated with the global region N_β^c is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself.*

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$. It is sufficient to see that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that the operator $K_{j, glob}^{*, \beta}$ defined as $K_{j, glob}^*$ (see (21) above) replacing N by N_β , is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself, for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

We have that

$$\sup_{t > 0} |K_1(t, x, y)| \lesssim \sup_{t > 0} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - e^{-t}y|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

According to [31, Proposition 2.1] we obtain, for every $(x, y) \in N_n^c$,

$$\sup_{t>0} |K_1(t, x, y)| \lesssim \begin{cases} e^{-|x|^2}, & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0, \\ |x+y|^n \exp\left(\frac{|y|^2-|x|^2}{2} - \frac{|x-y||x+y|}{2}\right), & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, by proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.4 we can see that $K_{1, glob}^{*, \beta}$, with $\beta = n$, is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself, for every $1 < p < \infty$.

On the other hand, from (20) and (38) we get that, for every $\eta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |K_3(t, x, y)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-e^{-2t})^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\eta \frac{|x-e^{-t}y|^2}{1-e^{-2t}}\right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-e^{-2t})^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\eta \frac{|y-e^{-t}x|^2}{1-e^{-2t}}\right) e^{\eta(|y|^2-|x|^2)}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta > 0$. If $(x, y) \in N_\beta^c$, then

$$|\sqrt{\eta}x - \sqrt{\eta}y| \geq \sqrt{\eta}\beta \left(1 \wedge \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta}|x|}\right) \geq \beta\eta \left(1 \wedge \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}|x|}\right).$$

We choose $\beta > 1$ such that $(\sqrt{\eta}x, \sqrt{\eta}y) \in N_n^c$, provided that $(x, y) \in N_\beta^c$. Then, by [31, Proposition 2.1] we get, for $(x, y) \in N_\beta^c$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t>0} |K_3(t, x, y)| &\lesssim e^{\eta(|y|^2-|x|^2)} \begin{cases} e^{-\eta|y|^2}, & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0, \\ |x+y|^n \exp\left(\eta\left(\frac{|x|^2-|y|^2}{2} - \frac{|x-y||x+y|}{2}\right)\right), & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle > 0, \end{cases} \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} e^{-\eta|x|^2}, & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0, \\ |x+y|^n \exp\left(\eta\left(\frac{|y|^2-|x|^2}{2} - \frac{|x-y||x+y|}{2}\right)\right), & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 < q < \infty$. We take $1/q < \eta < 1$ and $\beta > 0$ such that $(\sqrt{\eta}x, \sqrt{\eta}y) \in N_n^c$, provided that $(x, y) \in N_\beta^c$. Then, by proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, we have that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(|x|^2-|y|^2)/q} \sup_{t>0} |K_3(t, x, y)| \chi_{N_\beta^c}(x, y) dy < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(|x|^2-|y|^2)/q} \sup_{t>0} |K_3(t, x, y)| \chi_{N_\beta^c}(x, y) dx < \infty.$$

Then, for $1 < p < \infty$ we can find $\beta_p > 0$ such that $K_{3, glob}^{*, \beta}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself, for every $\beta > \beta_p$.

On the other hand,

$$|K_2(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{te^{-(n+1)t}}{(1-e^{-2t})^{(n+1)/2}} |x| \exp\left(-\eta \frac{|y-e^{-t}x|^2}{1-e^{-2t}}\right) e^{|y|^2-|x|^2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0,$$

for certain $\eta \in (0, 1)$. By performing the change of variables $t = \log \frac{1+s}{1-s}$, $t \in (0, \infty)$, it follows that

$$\frac{|y-e^{-t}x|^2}{1-e^{-2t}} = \frac{|y(1+s) - x(1-s)|^2}{4s} = \frac{1}{4} (s|x+y|^2 + \frac{1}{s}|x-y|^2) + \frac{1}{2} (|y|^2 - |x|^2), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0.$$

Then, for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |x| \exp\left(-\eta \frac{|y - e^{-t}x|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \\ & \lesssim (|x + y| + |x - y|) \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{4}(s|x + y|^2 + \frac{1}{s}|x - y|^2)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{2}(|y|^2 - |x|^2)\right) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{4}(s|x + y|^2 + \frac{1}{s}|x - y|^2)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{2}(|y|^2 - |x|^2)\right) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\varepsilon \frac{|y - e^{-t}x|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon - \eta}{2}(|y|^2 - |x|^2)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \eta)$. Thus, we get for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$,

$$|K_2(t, x, y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\varepsilon \frac{|y - e^{-t}x|^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right) \exp\left(\left(1 - \frac{\eta - \varepsilon}{2}\right)(|y|^2 - |x|^2)\right),$$

with $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \eta)$.

Let $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \eta)$. We choose $\beta > 0$ such that $(\sqrt{\eta}x, \sqrt{\eta}y) \in N_n^c$, for $(x, y) \in N_\beta^c$. Then, by [31, Proposition 2.1] we obtain, for $(x, y) \in N_\beta^c$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t>0} |K_2(t, x, y)| & \lesssim e^{(1 - \frac{\eta - \varepsilon}{2})(|y|^2 - |x|^2)} \begin{cases} e^{-\varepsilon|y|^2}, & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0, \\ |x + y|^n \exp\left(\varepsilon \left(\frac{|x|^2 - |y|^2}{2} - \frac{|x - y||x + y|}{2}\right)\right), & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle > 0, \end{cases} \\ & \lesssim \begin{cases} \exp\left(\left(1 - \frac{\eta + \varepsilon}{2}\right)|y|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\eta - \varepsilon}{2}\right)|x|^2\right), & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0, \\ |x + y|^n \exp\left(\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{2}\right)(|y|^2 - |x|^2) - \varepsilon \frac{|x - y||x + y|}{2}\right), & \text{if } \langle x, y \rangle > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 < q < \infty$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(|x|^2 - |y|^2)/q} \sup_{t>0} |K_2(t, x, y)| \chi_{N_\beta^c}(x, y) dy \\ & \lesssim \int_{\langle x, y \rangle \leq 0} \exp\left(-|y|^2 \left(-1 + \frac{\eta + \varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{q}\right)\right) \exp\left(-|x|^2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta - \varepsilon}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\right) dy \\ & \quad + \int_{\langle x, y \rangle > 0} |x + y|^n \exp\left(\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)(|y|^2 - |x|^2) - \varepsilon \frac{|x - y||x + y|}{2}\right) dy \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-|y|^2 \left(-1 + \frac{\eta + \varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{q}\right)\right) \exp\left(-|x|^2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta - \varepsilon}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\right) dy \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x + y|^n \exp\left(-|x - y||x + y| \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \left|1 - \frac{\eta}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right|\right)\right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $0 < \varepsilon < \eta < 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varepsilon + \eta}{2} > 1 - \frac{1}{q} > \frac{\eta - \varepsilon}{2},$$

we get

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(|x|^2 - |y|^2)/q} \sup_{t>0} |K_2(t, x, y)| \chi_{N_\beta^c}(x, y) dy < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(|x|^2 - |y|^2)/q} \sup_{t>0} |K_2(t, x, y)| \chi_{N_\beta^c}(x, y) dx < \infty.$$

Therefore, for each $1 < p < \infty$, there exists $\beta_p > 0$ such that the operator $K_{2, glob}^{*, \beta}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself, for every $\beta > \beta_p$.

We conclude that for every $1 < p < \infty$ we can find $\beta_p > 0$ such that, for every $\beta > \beta_p$, the operator $T_{*, 1, glob}^A$ associated with N_β is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.5. The proof follows the same arguments used in the proof of Proposition 4.1. We simply observe that

$$\sup_{t>0} |t\partial_t W_t(z)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|z|^n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad \square$$

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. By using Theorem 1.4 and Propositions 4.1 and 4.5, we can see that the properties (a) , (b_α) and (c_α) are equivalent for $\alpha = 0$ and also that $(a) \Rightarrow (b_\alpha)$ and (c_α) , for $\alpha = 1$.

Now, suppose that (a) holds. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. We have that

$$(40) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|f(y, \cdot)\|_X}{|x-y|^n} \chi_{N^c}(x, y) dy \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 \wedge 1/|x|)^n} \|f\|_{L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We denote by $\mathbb{Q}_+ := \{r_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, the set of rational numbers in $(0, \infty)$. According to (19) and (40), for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{j=1, \dots, k} \left| W_{r_j} \left(f(\cdot, \omega) \chi_{N^c}(x, \cdot) \right) (x) \right| \in X, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If X has the Fatou property and

$$\left\| \sup_{j=1, \dots, k} \left| W_{r_j} \left(f(\cdot, \omega) \chi_{N^c}(x, \cdot) \right) (x) \right| \right\|_X \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 \wedge 1/|x|)^n} \|f\|_{L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left| W_{r_j} \left(f(\cdot, \omega) \chi_{N^c}(x, \cdot) \right) (x) \right| \in X, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By continuity we deduce that

$$\sup_{t>0} \left| W_t \left(f(\cdot, \omega) \chi_{N^c}(x, \cdot) \right) (x) \right| \in X, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

On the other hand, since $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1}) \subseteq L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, Theorem 1.4 implies that

$$W_{*, 0}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Therefore,

$$W_{*, 0, loc}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This means that

$$W_{*, 0, loc}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for every } x \in E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E| = 0.$$

Moreover, since for every $t > 0$,

$$|W_{t, 0, loc}(f)(x)| \leq W_{*, 0, loc}(f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we have that

$$W_{t, 0, loc}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

Observe that the set E does not depend on t .

Now, by using (14) we have that

$$|T_{t,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x, \omega) - W_{t,0,loc}(f)(x, \omega)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}} |f(y, \omega)| \chi_N(x, y) dy < \infty,$$

for $x \in F$, where $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F| = 0$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Therefore, for every $t > 0$,

$$(T_{t,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}} - W_{t,0,loc})(f)(x, \cdot) \in X, \quad x \in F.$$

It follows that

$$T_{t,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x, \cdot) \in X, \quad x \in F \cap E, \quad t > 0.$$

Observe that $|\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (E \cap F)| = 0$.

Let $\mathbb{N} := \{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. We can write

$$\sup_{k=1, \dots, \ell} |T_{t_k, 0, loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x, \omega)| \in X, \quad x \in F \cap E, \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, for $x \in F \cap E$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k=1, \dots, \ell} |T_{t_k, 0, loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x, \omega)| &\leq \sup_{k=1, \dots, \ell} |T_{t_k, 0, loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x, \omega)| - \sup_{k=1, \dots, \ell} |W_{t_k, 0, loc}(f)(x, \omega)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{t>0} |W_{t, 0, loc}(f)(x, \omega)| \\ &\leq \sup_{k=1, \dots, \ell} |T_{t_k, 0, loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x, \omega) - W_{t_k, 0, loc}(f)(x, \omega)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{t>0} |W_{t, 0, loc}(f)(x, \omega)| \\ (41) \quad &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 + |x|}{|x - y|^{n-1}} |f(y, \omega)| \chi_N(x, y) dy + \sup_{t>0} |W_{t, 0, loc}(f)(x, \omega)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, Fatou's property implies that

$$\sup_{t>0} |T_{t, 0, loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x, \omega)| = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |T_{t_k, 0, loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x, \omega)| \in X, \quad x \in F \cap E,$$

and the expression in (41) controls

$$\sup_{t>0} |T_{t, 0, loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x, \omega)|, \quad x \in F \cap E, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

From the arguments above we conclude that

$$T_{*, 0, loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since from (18) we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t>0} |T_t^{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)| \chi_{N^c}(x, y) \|f(y, \cdot)\|_X dy < \infty, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we can deduce that

$$T_{*, 0, glob}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$T_{*, 0}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By proceeding in a similar way we can see that $(a) \Rightarrow (d_\alpha)$ and (e_α) , for $\alpha = 1$.

Moreover, as in (10) we can see that, for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$,

$$T_{*,\alpha}^{\mathcal{A}}(f) \leq T_{*,1}^{\mathcal{A}}(f).$$

Hence, if X has the Hardy- Littlewood property, we can deduce the properties established in this theorem for $T_{*,\alpha}^{\mathcal{A}}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, from the corresponding ones of $T_{*,1}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$.

Suppose now that $1 \leq p < \infty$ and that, for every $f \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$,

$$T_{*,0}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then,

$$T_{*,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

As above we can see that

$$W_{*,0,glob}(f)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $g \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $g_k := g\chi_{B(0,k+1)}$. It is clear that $g_k \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. Since

$$T_{*,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(g_k)(x) = T_{*,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(g)(x), \quad |x| \leq k,$$

then

$$T_{*,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(g)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover, by using the estimates established in the proof of Lemma 4.2, since for $|x| \leq k$,

$$(T_{*,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(g_k)(x) - W_{*,0,loc}(g_k)(x)) = (T_{*,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(g)(x) - W_{*,0,loc}(g)(x)),$$

then

$$(T_{*,0,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(g)(x) - W_{*,0,loc}(g)(x)) \in X, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, we conclude that

$$W_{*,0,loc}(g)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus, since from (19) we also have that $W_{*,0,glob}(g)(x) \in X$, and we conclude

$$W_{*,0}(g)(x) \in X, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Theorem 1.4 implies now that X has the Hardy- Littlewood property. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

According to the subordination formula, we have that

$$P_t^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) := \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t^2/4u}}{u^{3/2}} T_u^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$P_t(f)(x) := \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t^2/4u}}{u^{3/2}} W_u(f)(x) du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $\alpha > 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$. By using Fubini's theorem we get

$$\partial_t^\alpha P_{t,loc}^{\mathcal{A}}(f)(x) - \partial_t^\alpha P_{t,loc}(f)(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \partial_t^\alpha (te^{-t^2/4u}) \left(T_{u,loc}^A(f)(x) - W_{u,loc}(f)(x) \right) \frac{du}{u^{3/2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

From [2, Lemma 3], we have that

$$|\partial_t^\alpha (te^{-t^2/4u})| \lesssim e^{-t^2/8u} u^{(1-\alpha)/2}, \quad t, u \in (0, \infty).$$

Then, we get that

$$|t^\alpha \partial_t^\alpha P_t(z)| \lesssim t^\alpha \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t^2/8u}}{u^{(\alpha+2)/2}} W_u(z) du \lesssim \frac{1}{|z|^n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Also, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^\alpha P_{t,loc}^A(f)(x) - \partial_t^\alpha P_{t,loc}(f)(x)| &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t^2/8u}}{u^{(\alpha+2)/2}} |T_{u,loc}^A(f)(x) - W_{u,loc}(f)(x)| du \\ &\lesssim t^{-\alpha} \sup_{u>0} |T_{u,loc}^A(f)(x) - W_{u,loc}(f)(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{t>0} \left| t^\alpha \left(\partial_t^\alpha P_{t,loc}^A(f)(x) - \partial_t^\alpha P_{t,loc}(f)(x) \right) \right| \lesssim \sup_{u>0} |T_{u,loc}^A(f)(x) - W_{u,loc}(f)(x)|.$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\sup_{t>0} |t^\alpha \partial_t^\alpha P_{t,glob}^A(f)(x)| \lesssim \sup_{u>0} |T_{u,glob}^A(f)(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Now, by using these estimates and some of the results obtained in the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, we deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\alpha > 0$.*

- (i) *For $1 < p < \infty$, the operator $P_{*,\alpha}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into itself if, and only if, $P_{*,\alpha}$ is bounded from $L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into itself.*
- (ii) *The operator $P_{*,\alpha}^A$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma_{-1})$ if, and only if, $P_{*,\alpha}$ is bounded from $L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L_X^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From Theorem 1.4, it follows that

$$(a) \Leftrightarrow (b_\alpha) \Leftrightarrow (c_\alpha), \quad \text{when } \alpha = 0$$

and

$$(a) \Rightarrow (b_\alpha) \text{ and } (c_\alpha), \quad \text{for every } \alpha \geq 0.$$

Also, we have that

$$\sup_{t>0} \left| t^\alpha \left(\partial_t^\alpha P_t^A(x, y) - \partial_t^\alpha P_t(x, y) \right) \right| \lesssim \sup_{u>0} |T_u^A(x, y) - W_u(x, y)|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$\sup_{t>0} |t^\alpha \partial_t^\alpha P_t^A(x, y)| \lesssim \sup_{u>0} |T_u^A(x, y)|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we deduce from the estimates above that

$$(a) \Rightarrow (d_\alpha) \text{ and } (e_\alpha), \quad \text{for every } \alpha \geq 0. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. AIMAR, L. FORZANI, AND R. SCOTTO, *On Riesz transforms and maximal functions in the context of Gaussian harmonic analysis*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 359 (2007), pp. 2137–2154.
- [2] J. J. BETANCOR, A. J. CASTRO, J. CURBELO, J. C. FARIÑA, AND L. RODRÍGUEZ-MESA, *Square functions in the Hermite setting for functions with values in UMD spaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 193 (2014), pp. 1397–1430.
- [3] J. BOURGAIN, *Extension of a result of Benedek, Calderón and Panzone*, Ark. Mat., 22 (1984), pp. 91–95.
- [4] T. BRUNO, *Singular integrals and Hardy type spaces for the inverse Gauss measure*, arXiv:1801.09000.
- [5] ———, *Endpoint results for the Riesz transform of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 25 (2019), pp. 1609–1631.
- [6] T. BRUNO AND P. SJÖGREN, *On the Riesz transforms for the inverse Gauss measure*, to appear in Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. (arXiv:1906.03827).
- [7] A. CARBONARO, G. MAUCERI, AND S. MEDA, *Comparison of spaces of Hardy type for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*, Potential Anal., 33 (2010), pp. 85–105.
- [8] E. DALMASSO AND R. SCOTTO, *Riesz transforms on variable Lebesgue spaces with Gaussian measure*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 28 (2017), pp. 403–420.
- [9] J. DUOANDIKOETXEA, *Fourier analysis*, vol. 29 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [10] E. B. FABES, C. E. GUTIÉRREZ, AND R. SCOTTO, *Weak-type estimates for the Riesz transforms associated with the Gaussian measure*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 10 (1994), pp. 229–281.
- [11] L. FORZANI, E. HARBOURE, AND R. SCOTTO, *Weak type inequality for a family of singular integral operators related with the Gaussian measure*, Potential Anal., 31 (2009), pp. 103–116.
- [12] L. FORZANI AND R. SCOTTO, *The higher order Riesz transform for Gaussian measure need not be of weak type $(1, 1)$* , Studia Math., 131 (1998), pp. 205–214.
- [13] J. GARCÍA-CUERVA, R. MACÍAS, AND J. L. TORREA, *The Hardy-Littlewood property of Banach lattices*, Israel J. Math., 83 (1993), pp. 177–201.
- [14] ———, *Maximal operators and B.M.O. for Banach lattices*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2), 41 (1998), pp. 585–609.
- [15] J. GARCÍA-CUERVA, G. MAUCERI, S. MEDA, P. SJÖGREN, AND J. L. TORREA, *Functional calculus for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*, J. Funct. Anal., 183 (2001), pp. 413–450.
- [16] ———, *Maximal operators for the holomorphic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 67 (2003), pp. 219–234.
- [17] J. GARCÍA-CUERVA, G. MAUCERI, P. SJÖGREN, AND J. L. TORREA, *Higher-order Riesz operators for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup*, Potential Anal., 10 (1999), pp. 379–407.
- [18] ———, *Spectral multipliers for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup*, J. Anal. Math., 78 (1999), pp. 281–305.
- [19] C. E. GUTIÉRREZ, *On the Riesz transforms for Gaussian measures*, J. Funct. Anal., 120 (1994), pp. 107–134.
- [20] C. E. GUTIÉRREZ, C. SEGOVIA, AND J. L. TORREA, *On higher Riesz transforms for Gaussian measures*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 2 (1996), pp. 583–596.
- [21] E. HARBOURE, R. A. MACÍAS, C. SEGOVIA, AND J. L. TORREA, *Some estimates for maximal functions on Köthe function spaces*, Israel J. Math., 90 (1995), pp. 349–371.
- [22] E. HARBOURE, J. L. TORREA, AND B. VIVIANI, *On the search for weighted inequalities for operators related to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup*, Math. Ann., 318 (2000), pp. 341–353.
- [23] ———, *Vector-valued extensions of operators related to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup*, J. Anal. Math., 91 (2003), pp. 1–29.
- [24] W. HEBISCH, G. MAUCERI, AND S. MEDA, *Holomorphy of spectral multipliers of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*, J. Funct. Anal., 210 (2004), pp. 101–124.
- [25] T. HYTÖNEN, J. VAN NEERVEN, M. VERAAR, AND L. WEIS, *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. I. Martingales and Littlewood-Paley theory*, vol. 63, Springer, Cham, 2016.

- [26] M. KEMPPAINEN, *An L^1 -estimate for certain spectral multipliers associated with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 22 (2016), pp. 1416–1430.
- [27] C. LE MERDY AND Q. XU, *Maximal theorems and square functions for analytic operators on L^p -spaces*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 86 (2012), pp. 343–365.
- [28] H. Q. LI AND P. SJÖGREN, *Weak type (1,1) bounds for some operators related to the Laplacian with drift on real hyperbolic spaces*, Potential Anal., 46 (2017), pp. 463–484.
- [29] J. LINDENSTRAUSS AND L. TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach spaces. II*, vol. 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. Function spaces.
- [30] G. MAUCERI, S. MEDA, AND P. SJÖGREN, *Sharp estimates for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), 3 (2004), pp. 447–480.
- [31] T. MENÁRGUEZ, S. PÉREZ, AND F. SORIA, *The Mehler maximal function: a geometric proof of the weak type 1*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 61 (2000), pp. 846–856.
- [32] P. A. MEYER, *Transformations de Riesz pour les lois gaussiennes*, in Seminar on probability, XVIII, vol. 1059 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 179–193.
- [33] B. MUCKENHOUPT, *Hermite conjugate expansions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 139 (1969), pp. 243–260.
- [34] S. PÉREZ, *The local part and the strong type for operators related to the Gaussian measure*, J. Geom. Anal., 11 (2001), pp. 491–507.
- [35] S. PÉREZ AND F. SORIA, *Operators associated with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 61 (2000), pp. 857–871.
- [36] P. PORTAL, *Maximal and quadratic Gaussian Hardy spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 30 (2014), pp. 79–108.
- [37] J. L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA, *Martingale and integral transforms of Banach space valued functions*, in Probability and Banach spaces (Zaragoza, 1985), vol. 1221 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 195–222.
- [38] F. SALOGNI, *Harmonic Bergman spaces, Hardy-type spaces and harmonic analysis of a symmetric diffusion semigroup on \mathbb{R}^n* , PhD thesis, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, (2013).
- [39] P. SJÖGREN, *On the maximal function for the Mehler kernel*, in Harmonic analysis (Cortona, 1982), vol. 992 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 73–82.
- [40] E. M. STEIN, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 63, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1970.
- [41] W. URBINA, *On singular integrals with respect to the Gaussian measure*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), 17 (1990), pp. 531–567.
- [42] ———, *Gaussian harmonic analysis*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [43] Q. XU, *H^∞ functional calculus and maximal inequalities for semigroups of contractions on vector-valued L_p -spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2015), pp. 5715–5732.

JORGE J. BETANCOR
DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA,
CAMPUS DE ANCHIETA, AVDA. ASTROFÍSICO SÁNCHEZ, S/N,
38721 LA LAGUNA (STA. CRUZ DE TENERIFE), SPAIN
Email address: `jbetanco@ull.es`

ALEJANDRO J. CASTRO
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NAZARBAYEV UNIVERSITY,
010000 NUR-SULTAN, KAZAKHSTAN
Email address: `alejandro.castilla@nu.edu.kz`

MARTA DE LEÓN-CONTRERAS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF READING,
READING RG6 6AX, UNITED KINGDOM
Email address: `m.deleoncontreras@reading.ac.uk`