

Generalized Harmonic Functions on Trees: Universality and Frequent Universality

N. Biehler, E. Nestoridi and V. Nestoridis

Abstract

Recently, harmonic functions and frequently universal harmonic functions on a tree T have been studied, taking values on a separable Fréchet space E over the field \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} . In the present paper, we allow the functions to take values in a vector space E over a rather general field \mathbb{F} . The metric of the separable topological vector space E is translation invariant and instead of harmonic functions we can also study more general functions defined by linear combinations with coefficients in \mathbb{F} . Unlike the past literature, we don't assume that E is complete and therefore we present a new argument, avoiding Baire's theorem.

AMS classification numbers: 05C05, 60J50, 46M99, 30K99.

Keywords and phrases: Tree, boundary of the tree, universality, frequent universality, topological and algebraic genericity.

1 Introduction

In 1945, Menchoff [13] proved the existence of a plethora of trigonometric series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n e^{int}$, which satisfy that every complex measurable 2π -periodic function is the almost everywhere limit of a subsequence of the partial sums. Moreover the sequence (a_j) can be chosen in $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$. This kind of universality, as well as many others are proven to be generic with the aid of Baire's Category Theorem [6, 9, 10]. Recently, in analogy to Menchoff's universality, the generic existence of universal martingales on trees was established. The study of harmonic functions on trees and famous properties, such as universality, frequent universality and algebraic genericity has been a recent topic of interest in analysis [1, 2, 3, 7].

As in these references, let T be the set of vertices of a rooted tree with root x_0 and let T_n be the set of vertices at n -th level of the tree, so that $T = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n$, with $T_0 = \{x_0\}$. We assume that all T_n are finite. Also, for each $x \in T_n$ we assume that the set $S(x) \subset T_{n+1}$ of children of x , contains at least two elements. Notice that the family $(S(x))_{x \in T_n}$ is a partition of T_{n+1} .

2 Generalized Harmonic Functions on Trees: Universality and Frequent Universality

Let \mathbb{F} be a field, which has a separable topology. Let E be a (non-necessarily complete) topological vector space over \mathbb{F} , that is separable metrizable with a translation invariant metric.

A function $f : T \rightarrow E$ is called generalized harmonic, if for every $x \in T$ we have that

$$f(x) = \sum_{y \in S(x)} w(x, y) f(y), \quad (1)$$

where $w(x, y) \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ for $y \in S(x)$ are given elements of \mathbb{F} .

We denote the set of generalized harmonic functions by $H(T, E)$. To define universality, we assign probability weights $q(x, y)$, to every $x, y \in T$, such that $q(x, y) > 0$ if and only if $y \in S(x)$. The probability weights satisfy $\sum_{y \in S(x)} q(x, y) = 1$ for all $x \in T$, which in other words says that the matrix $Q = (q(x, y))_{x, y \in T}$ is the transition matrix of a non-backtracking random walk on T .

We define the boundary ∂T of the tree T to be the set of all infinite geodesics starting at x_0 ; that is, all $e = \{z_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, such that $z_0 = x_0$ and $z_{n+1} \in S(z_n)$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. A vertex $x \in T$ defines a boundary sector $B_x \subset \partial T$, which is the set of all $e \in \partial T$ such that $x \in e$. For $x \in T_n$, we define $p_n(B_x)$ to be the product $\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} q(y_j, y_{j+1})$, where $y_0 = x_0, y_n = x$ and $y_{j+1} \in S(T_j)$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ are the vertices of the unique path from the root to x . Therefore, for each n , p_n can be viewed as a probability measure on $\{B_x, x \in T_n\}$.

The family $\{B_x\}_{x \in T_n}$ generates a σ -algebra M_n on ∂T and the above probabilities $p_n(B_x)$ extend to a probability measure \mathbb{P}_n on M_n . One can check that $M_n \subset M_{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{n+1}|_{M_n} = \mathbb{P}_n$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The Kolmogorov Consistency Theorem [11] implies that there exists a unique probability measure \mathbb{P} on the σ -algebra M of subsets of ∂T generated by the union $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n$, such that $\mathbb{P}|_{M_n} = \mathbb{P}_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.1. *If $f \in E^T$ and $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ we define $\omega_n(f) : \partial T \rightarrow E$ to be a function defined as follows. Let $e \in \partial T$ and z the unique point belonging to $e \cap T_n$; then we set $\omega_n(f)(e) = f(z)$. Obviously, $\omega_n(f)$ is M_n -measurable and hence M -measurable.*

A generalized harmonic function f is said to be universal if the sequence $\omega_n(f)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is dense in the space $L^0(\partial T, E)$ of measurable functions $h : \partial T \rightarrow E$ endowed with the topology of convergence in probability. Our first main result concerns the set $U(T, E)$ of universal, generalized harmonic functions.

Theorem 1. *The set $U(T, E)$ is a G_δ -dense subset of the space $H(T, E)$.*

Since we don't assume completeness, we prove Theorem 1 without the use of Baire's theorem, which is the traditional tool to proving such a result. The next result concerns another property of $U(T, E)$ called algebraic genericity.

Theorem 2 (algebraic genericity). The set $U(T, E)$ contains a vector space, except 0, dense in $H(T, E)$.

A function f in $H(T, E)$ is called frequently universal, if for every non-void open set V of $L^0(\partial T, E)$, the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \omega_n(f) \in V\}$ has strictly positive lower density. The last result of this paper concerns the set $U_{FM}(T, E)$ of frequently universal functions.

Theorem 3. The set $U_{FM}(T, E)$ is a dense subset of $H(T, E)$. Under the additional assumption that $\sum_{y \in S(x)} w(x, y) = 1$ for all $x \in T$, $U_{FM}(T, E) \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space dense in $H(T, E)$ and that $U_{FM}(T, E)$ is disjoint from a G_δ and dense subset of $H(T, E)$.

If the space E is complete, then, Theorem 3 says that $U_{FM}(T, E)$ is dense and meager in $H(T, E)$.

Results of similar flavor were proven in [7], where E is a separable Fréchet space over the field \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} and $w(x, y) = q(x, y)$. In this case, the space $H(T, E)$ is a closed subset of the complete metric space E^T ; thus it is itself a complete metric space. As a result, the proof of Theorem 1 in this case is based on Baire's Category Theorem. Also, the set $U_{FM}(T, E)$ of frequently universal functions is proven to be dense and meager in $H(T, E)$. Furthermore the sets $U(T, E)$ and $U_{FM}(T, E)$ contain a vector space, except zero, which is dense in $H(T, E)$; that is, we have algebraic genericity.

Initially, we had a study of potential theory on trees [12], where the definition of harmonic functions was using convex combinations of the values of the function at the children of a node. Here, we deal with generalized harmonic functions on trees, whose definition is using linear combinations of the values of the function at the children of a node. Articles related to our investigations, except [2, 3, 7] are [4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14]. The results of the present paper contain extensions of results proven in [2, 3, 7].

The starting point of the present paper was when we wanted to study the simple model of universal "harmonic" functions taking values 0 or 1; that is, in \mathbb{F}_2 . In the case of a binary tree, let x_1 and x_2 be the children of a node x . In this case, we cannot have that $w(x, x_1) + w(x, x_2) = 1$, they belong to the field \mathbb{F}_2 . This led to the two of the three new elements in this paper, the third being the lack of completeness which obliges us to avoid the use of Baire's Theorem.

2 Preliminaries

We consider a field \mathbb{F} endowed with a Hausdorff topology, such that addition and

the inverse function are continuous. Let also E be a separable topological vector space over the field \mathbb{F} , whose topology is induced by a translation invariant metric d . We do not assume that (E, d) is a complete metric space.

A function $h : \partial T \rightarrow E$ is M -measurable (respectively M_n -measurable), if, for every open set $V \subset E$ we have $h^{-1}(V) \in M$ (respectively M_n). We identify two M -measurable functions on ∂T , if they are equal almost everywhere with respect to the probability measure \mathbb{P} . Thus, we obtain the space $L^0(\partial T, E)$ of M -measurable measurable functions. Convergence in probability in this space is defined using the metric

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(h, g) = \int_{\partial T} \frac{d(h(e), g(e))}{1 + d(h(e), g(e))} d\mathbb{P}(e),$$

where $h, g \in L^0(\partial T, E)$ and d is the metric on E .

Since, E is separable and each M_n is finite, the space of M_n -measurable functions defined on ∂T with values in E is separable as well. It follows that $L^0(\partial T, E)$ is also separable and, hence, it has a dense sequence $h_n \in L^0(\partial T, E)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where each h_n is $M_{\tau(n)}$ -measurable for some $\tau(n) \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Lemma 4. If $E \neq \{0\}$, the space $L^0(\partial T, E)$ does not contain isolated points, independently of the fact that \mathbb{F} may contain isolated points, as for example in the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$.

Proof. Let $h \in L^0(\partial T, E)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since for every $x \in T$ we have $|S(x)| \geq 2$ and $q(x, y) > 0$ for every $y \in S(x)$, there exists $y \in S(x)$ with $0 < q(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that there exists $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $x \in T_n \subset T$, such that $0 < p(B_x) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} < \varepsilon$. We consider the M -measurable function $g : \partial T \rightarrow E$ defined as follows: $g(e) = h(e)$ if $e \in \partial T \setminus B_x$ and for $e \in B_x$ $g(e) = 0$ if $h(e) \neq 0$ and $g(e) = v$ if $h(e) = 0$, where $v \in E - \{0\}$ is arbitrary but fixed. One easily checks that $d_{\mathbb{P}}(h, g) < \varepsilon$ and that the functions h and g are not \mathbb{P} -almost everywhere equal, because they are different on B_x and $\mathbb{P}(B_x) > 0$. Thus, $L^0(\partial T, E)$ does not contain isolated points. \square

For $x \in T$ and $y \in S(x)$ we fix elements $w(x, y) \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$. We consider the space $E^T = \{f : T \rightarrow E\}$ endowed with the metric

$$\rho(f, g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{d(f(x_n), g(x_n))}{1 + d(f(x_n), g(x_n))},$$

where x_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is a fixed enumeration of T . The metric ρ induces the cartesian topology on E^T .

In this paper, we are interested in functions $f \in E^T$, such that the sequence $\omega_n(f)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is dense in $L^0(\partial T, E)$ or such that, for every non-void open set $V \subset L^0(\partial T, E)$ the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \omega_n(f) \in V\}$ has strictly positive lower density. More

precisely, we will restrict our attention to more particular subclasses of functions in E^T , related to the quantities $w(w, y) \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $y \in S(x)$, $x \in T$. These functions are called (generalized) harmonic functions on T and will be defined in the next section.

3 Generalized harmonic functions and universality

This section is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1. Let $w(x, y) \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$. We start with the following definition.

Definition 3.1. A function $f : T \rightarrow E$ is called a *generalized harmonic function*, if for every $x \in T$ it holds $f(x) = \sum_{y \in S(x)} w(x, y) f(y)$. The set of such functions is denoted by $H(T, E)$ and is a closed subset of E^T endowed with the cartesian topology induced by the metric

$$\rho(f, g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{d(f(x_n), g(x_n))}{1 + d(f(x_n), g(x_n))},$$

where d is the metric in E and x_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is a fixed enumeration of T .

We also remind that for $f \in E^T$ the functions $\omega_n(f) : \partial T \rightarrow E$ have been defined in Definition 1.1.

Definition 3.2. Let $\tau \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be an infinite set. Then a function $f \in H(T, E)$ belongs to the class $U_\tau(T, E)$, if the sequence $\omega_n(f)$, $n \in \tau$ is dense in $L^0(\partial T, E)$ with respect to the topology of convergence in probability induced by the metric

$$d_{\mathbb{P}}(h, g) = \int_{\partial T} \frac{d(h(e), g(e))}{1 + d(h(e), g(e))} d\mathbb{P}(e),$$

where d is the metric in E . Equivalently, $f \in U_\tau(T, E)$ iff, for each $h \in L^0(\partial T, E)$ there exists a sequence $\lambda_n \in \tau$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that, $\omega_{\lambda_n}(f) \rightarrow h$ in probability, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Remark 3.3. In Definition 3.2 it is equivalent to require $\lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If $E = \{0\}$, then $L^0(\partial T, E)$ is a singleton and the result follows. If $E \neq \{0\}$, then, we have already seen that $L^0(\partial T, E)$ does not contain isolated points which implies easily that the sequence λ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$ can be chosen strictly increasing. Definition 3.2 is analogous to Menchoff's universality: if a sequence converges almost everywhere, then it must converge in probability as well and reversely, if a sequence converges in probability, then it has a subsequence that converges almost everywhere.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\tau \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be an infinite set. Then, under the above assumptions and notation the set $U_\tau(T, E)$ is a dense and G_δ subset of $H(T, E)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let h_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$ be a dense sequence in $L^0(\partial T, E)$, where each h_j is $M_{\tau(j)}$ -measurable for some $\tau(j) \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $j, s = 1, 2, \dots$ we consider the set

$$E(n, j, s) = \left\{ f \in H(T, E) : d_{\mathbb{P}}(\omega_n(f), h_j) < \frac{1}{s} \right\},$$

which can be easily seen to be open in $H(T, E)$. One can also check that

$$U_{\tau}(T, E) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{s=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \in \tau} E(n, j, s).$$

It follows that $U_{\tau}(T, E)$ is G_{δ} subset of $H(T, E)$. In order to prove that $U_{\tau}(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$ we need the following.

Lemma 3.5. Let $f : \bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n \rightarrow E$ be a function satisfying

$$f(x) = \sum_{y \in S(x)} w(x, y) f(y) \quad \text{for all } x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} T_n.$$

Let $K > N$. For every $x \in T_N$ let $\beta(x)$ be an element of T_K , such that, there exists $e = e_x \in \partial T$ with $\{x, \beta(x)\} \subset e$. Suppose that a function $g : T_K \setminus \{\beta(x) : x \in T_N\} \rightarrow E$ is given. Then, there exists a function $F : \bigcup_{n=0}^K T_n \rightarrow E$ such that $F|_{\bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n} = f$,

$f(y) = g(y)$ for all $y \in T_K - \{\beta(x) : x \in T_N\}$ and

$$F(z) = \sum_{\ell \in S(z)} w(z, \ell) F(\ell) \quad \text{for all } z \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{K-1} T_n.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5 Let $k = K - N \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. We will do an induction on k .

For $k = 1$, $K = N + 1$. Then in the equation $F(x) = \sum_{y \in S(x)} w(x, y) F(y)$, $x \in T_N$ everything is known except $F(y)$ for $y = \beta(x)$. Since $w(x, \beta(x)) \neq 0$ in the field \mathbb{F} , we can solve this equation for $F(\beta(x))$. Thus, the extension F is obvious and unique. Suppose we have Lemma 3.5 for some value of k . We will show it for $k + 1$.

Consider the levels T_N, T_{N+k}, T_{N+k+1} . For $x \in T_N$ we have $e_x \in \partial T$ and $\beta(x) \in e_x \cap T_{N+k+1}$ with $x \in e_x$. Let $z = z(x) \in e_x \cap T_{N+k}$. Using the function g and equation (1) we can compute $\tilde{g}(y) = F(y)$ for all $y \in T_{N+k} - \{z(x) : x \in T_N\}$. By the induction hypothesis, there is a function $F : \bigcup_{n=0}^{N+k} T_n \rightarrow E$ satisfying (1) for $x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{N+k-1} T_n$ and extending f and \tilde{g} .

Now, we know the values $F(z(x))$, for all $x \in T_N$. From the equation $F(z(x)) = \sum_{\ell \in S(z(x))} w(z(x), \ell)F(\ell)$ we can compute $F(\beta(x))$ as well.

Furthermore, for $z \in T_{N+k} - \{z(x) : x \in T_N\}$ by construction the value $F(z) = \tilde{g}(z)$ is such that

$$F(z) = \sum_{y \in S(z)} w(z, y)g(y) = \sum_{y \in S(z)} w(x, y)F(y).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.5 is completed. We continue the proof of Theorem 3.4. It remains to show that $U_\tau(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$. It suffices to consider $\varphi \in H(T, E)$ and a natural number N and prove that there is $f \in U_\tau(T, E)$, such that

$$f \Big|_{\bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n} = \varphi \Big|_{\bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n}.$$

We remind that we have a sequence h_j , $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ dense in $L^0(\partial T, E)$, where each h_j is M_n -measurable for some $n = n(j)$. Then h_j is M_m -measurable for every $m \geq n$.

Since, for every $x \in T$, there exists $y \in S(x)$ with $0 < q(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, if $n \in N$ is given, we can find $\tilde{n} > n$, $\tilde{n} \in \tau$ and such that h_j is $M_{\tilde{n}}$ -measurable and $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{x \in T_n} B(w(x))\right) < \varepsilon$, whatever $\varepsilon > 0$ and j are given, where $w(x) \in T_{\tilde{n}}$, $x \in T_n$ are as in Lemma 3.5.

For $\varepsilon = 1$ we apply this for $n = N$. For $z \in T_{\tilde{n}} - \{w(x); x \in T_N\}$ we set $F(z) = h_1(z)$ and

$$F \Big|_{\bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n} = \varphi \Big|_{\bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n}.$$

Using Lemma 3.5, the function F has an extension on $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\tilde{n}} T_n$ satisfying (1) for $x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{\tilde{n}-1} T_n$. Obviously, $\omega_{\tilde{n}}(F)$ is 1 close to h_1 with respect to the metric $d_{\mathbb{P}}$.

After that we consider $F \Big|_{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\tilde{n}} T_n}$ and we extend it to $\bigcup_{n=0}^m T_n$, so that (1) is satisfying for $x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{m-1} T_n$, and the extension is $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ close to h_2 with respect to $d_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $m \in \tau$, $m > \tilde{n}$ and h_2 is M_m -measurable.

Continuing in this way with h_3, h_4, \dots we construct $F \in H(T, E)$ and a sequence $k_n \in \tau$, such that $d_{\mathbb{P}}(\omega_{k_n}(F), h_n) < \frac{1}{n}$. It follows that $F \in U_\tau(T, E)$ and F coincides with φ on an arbitrary large part $\bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n$ of the tree T . Since φ is arbitrary in $H(T, E)$, it follows that $U_\tau(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$. The proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed. ■

Next we show that we have algebraic genericity.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\tau \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be an infinite set. Under the above assumptions and notation the set $U_\tau(T, E) \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space dense in $H(T, E)$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $h_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ be a sequence dense in $H(T, E)$. Since $U_\tau(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$, there exists $f_1 \in U_\tau(T, E)$ so that $\rho(f_1, h_1) < 1$. Let $\tau_1 \subset \tau$ infinite set such that $\lim_{n \in \tau_1} \omega_n(f_1) = 0$ in $L^0(\partial T, E)$. Inductively, we assume that we have infinite sets $\tau \supset \tau_1 \supset \dots \supset \tau_{N-1}$ and functions $f_k \in U_{\tau_{k-1}}(T, E)$ with $\rho(f_k, h_k) < \frac{1}{k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let $\tau_N \subset \tau_{N-1}$ be an infinite set, such that $\lim_{n \in \tau_N} \omega_n(f) = 0$ in $L^0(\partial T, E)$. Let $f_{N+1} \in U_{\tau_N}(T, E)$ such that $\rho(f_{N+1}, h_{N+1}) < \frac{1}{N+1}$.

Therefore, we have defined a sequence $f_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$. We will show that the linear span $A = \langle f_j, j = 1, 2, \dots \rangle$ is dense in $H(T, E)$ and that $A \subset U_\tau(T, E) \cup \{0\}$. Since the sequence h_k is dense in $H(T, E)$, which does not contain isolated points and $\lim_k \rho(f_k, h_k) = 0$ it follows that the sequence $\left\{ f_k \right\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset A$ is dense in $H(T, E)$.

Let $L = a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_m f_m$ with $a_k \in \mathbb{F}, a_m \neq 0$, and let $h \in L^0(\partial T, E)$. Since $f_m \in U_{\tau_{m-1}}(T, E)$, there exists a subsequence $\lambda_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ in $\tau_{m-1} \subset \tau_{m-2} \subset \dots \subset \tau_1 \subset \tau$ so that

$$\lim_n \omega_{\lambda_n}(f_m) = a_m^{-1} h.$$

Since λ_n is a subsequence of $\tau_{m-2} \subset \dots \subset \tau_1 \subset \tau$ we have $\lim_n \omega_{\lambda_n}(f_k) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$. It follows that $\lim_n \omega_{\lambda_n}(L) = h$.

Thus, $A - \{0\} \subset U_\tau(T, E)$ and the proof is completed. \blacksquare

4 Frequent Universality

We turn now to frequent universality and this section is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 2.

Definition 4.1. *A generalized harmonic function $f \in H(T, E)$ is frequently universal, if, for every non-empty open set $V \subset L^0(\partial T, E)$ the set $\{n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} : \omega_n(f) \in V\}$ has strictly positive lower density. The set of such functions is denoted by $U_{FM}(T, E)$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Under the above assumptions and notation the class $U_{FM}(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$.*

Proof of Theorem 4.2. First we show that $U_{FM}(T, E) \neq \emptyset$. If $g \in U_\tau(T, E)$ with $\tau = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, then $h_k = \omega_k(g), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is a dense in $L^0(\partial T, E)$ sequence and each h_k is M_k -measurable. Fix such a sequence $h_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

In order to construct a function $f \in U_{FM}(T, E)$ it suffices that for every $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ the set $\left\{ n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} : \omega_n(f) \in B(h_k, \frac{1}{2^k}) \right\}$ has strictly positive lower

density, where

$$B\left(h_k, \frac{1}{2^k}\right) = \left\{ h \in L^0(\partial T, E) : d_{\mathbb{P}}(h, h_k) < \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}.$$

We set $\ell(k) = s + 1$ where $k = 2^s \cdot d$, d odd and $r_k = \sum_{n=1}^k \ell(n)$. Lemma 3.4 of [7] gives that

- i) $r_{2^n} = 2^{n+1} - 1$
- ii) For $m \leq n$ it holds $\text{card}(\{k : 1 \leq k \leq 2^n : \ell(k) = m\}) = 2^{n-m}$ and
- iii) For $m \geq 1$ the set $\{r_n : n \in \{1, 2, \dots\} : \ell(n) = m\}$ has strictly positive lower density.

Thus, it suffices to have $\omega_{r_k}(f) \in B\left(h_{\ell(k)}, \frac{1}{2^{\ell(k)}}\right)$. The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5 and is omitted.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n > 0$ and $s > 0$ be two natural numbers and $\varphi : T_0 \cup \dots \cup T_s \rightarrow E$ be a function satisfying (1) for every $x \in T_0 \cup \dots \cup T_{s-1}$. Then there exists an extension Ψ of φ , $\Psi : T_0 \cup \dots \cup T_{s+n} \rightarrow E$ satisfying (1) for every $x \in T_0 \cup \dots \cup T_{s+n-1}$, such that, $\omega_{s+n}(\Psi) \in B(h_n, \frac{1}{2^n})$.*

Using Lemma 4.3 we construct a function $f \in U_{FM}(T, E)$. Indeed, we apply Lemma 4.3 for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ for $s = r_{k-1}$, $n = \ell(k)$, $s + n = r_k$. Then, we obtain $\omega_{r_k}(f) \in B(h_{\ell(k)}, \frac{1}{2^{\ell(k)}})$. Thus, the lower density of the set $\{r_n : n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}, \ell(n) = m\}$ is strictly positive. Therefore, $f \in U_{FM}(T, E)$ which implies that $U_{FM}(T, E)$ is non-void.

In order to prove that the set $U_{FM}(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$, it suffices to observe that in the previous construction of f in $U_{FM}(T, E)$ we can arrange that an initial part of f can be the restriction of any $\varphi \in H(T, E)$ on $T_0 \cup \dots \cup T_N$ for any given natural number N and then apply Lemma 4.3 for $s = r_{k-1} \geq N$, $n = \ell(k)$, $s + n = r_k$; that is for $k \geq k(N)$. ■

Remark 4.4. Let $\tau = \{0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots\}$ be an infinite subset of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then a function $f \in H(T, E)$ belongs to $U_{FM}^{\tau}(T, E)$ if, for every non-empty open set $V \subset L^0(\partial T, E)$ the set $\{k : \omega_{n_k}(f) \in V\}$ has strictly positive lower density. Then we can show that $U_{FM}^{\tau}(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$. One way to do this is to consider a new tree $\tilde{T} = T_0 \cup T_{n_1} \cup T_{n_2} \cup \dots$. For $x \in \tilde{T}$ the set of children in \tilde{T} of x denoted by $\tilde{S}(x)$ is defined as follow.

For $x \in T_{n_k}$, $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ the set $\tilde{S}(x)$ contains exactly all $y \in T_{n_{k+1}}$, such that, there exists $e \in \partial T$ with $\{x, y\} \subset e$. If $e \supset \{x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m = y\}$ with $x_j \in S(x_{j-1})$, $j = 2, \dots, m$, then we set

$$\tilde{q}(x, y) = q(x_1, x_2) \cdot q(x_2, x_3) \cdot \dots \cdot q(x_{m-1}, x_m) > 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\tilde{w}(x, y) = w(x_1, x_2) \cdot w(x_2, x_3) \cdot \cdots \cdot w(x_{m-1}, x_m) \in F - \{0\}.$$

It can be seen that if $f \in H(T, E)$, then, $f|_{\tilde{T}} \in H(\tilde{T}, E)$ and that the map $H(T, E) \ni f \rightarrow f|_{\tilde{T}} \in H(\tilde{T}, E)$ is an onto isomorphism. Also $f \in U_{FM}^T(T, E)$ if and only if $f|_{\tilde{T}} \in U_{FM}(\tilde{T}, E)$.

According to Theorem 4.2 the set $U_{FM}(\tilde{T}, E)$ is dense in $H(\tilde{T}, E)$. Thus, the inverse image of $U_{FM}(\tilde{T}, E)$, which is $U_{FM}^T(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$.

5 An additional assumption

In this section, we prove Theorem 3. We assume in addition that for all $x \in T$ it holds

$$\sum_{y \in S(x)} w(x, y) = 1 \in F. \quad (2)$$

Under this assumption, if $f : \bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n \rightarrow E$ is a function satisfying (1) for all $x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} T_n$,

then we can extend it on $\bigcup_{n=0}^{N'} T_n$, $N < N'$ (or even on $T = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n$), so that the extension satisfies $f(y) = f(x)$ when $y \in S(x)$ and $x \in \bigcup_{n=N}^{N'-1} T_n$ (or $x \in \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} T_n$, respectively). In the latter case the extension belongs to $H(T, E)$. Moreover, the set of such functions is dense in $H(T, E)$.

Under assumption (2) and the previous assumptions, we will show that $U_{FM}(T, E) \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space dense in $H(T, E)$.

Towards this end we consider the space $E^{\mathbb{N}}$, which is a topological vector space over the field F under the cartesian topology. It has a translation invariant metric \tilde{d}

$$\tilde{d}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{d(x_n, y_n)}{1 + d(x_n, y_n)}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ $x_j, y_j \in E$, for all $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

From the results of the previous sections the set $U_{FM}(T, E^{\mathbb{N}})$ is dense in $H(T, E^{\mathbb{N}})$.

Claim 5.1. If $f = (f_0, f_1, \dots) \in U_{FM}(T, E^{\mathbb{N}})$ where $f_j \in H(T, E)$, then the linear span $\langle f_0, f_1, \dots \rangle$ is contained in $U_{FM}(T, E) \cup \{0\}$.

The proof is similar to the proof of Claim 4.1 in [7] and is omitted. The only difference is that since $a_k \in F$ and not to \mathbb{C} the absolute values $|a_k|$ make no sense and we multiply some balls with a_k^{-1} and not with $|a_k|^{-1}$.

Claim 5.2. Under the assumption (2) and all other assumptions, there exists a sequence $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots$ dense in $H(T, E)$ such that $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots)$ belongs to $U_{FM}(T, E^{\mathbb{N}})$.

The proof is similar to the proof of Claim 4.2 in [7] and is omitted.

Combining Claim 5.1 with Claim 5.2 we deduce the following.

Theorem 5.3. *Under assumption (2) and the other assumptions the set $U_{FM}(T, E) \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space dense in $H(T, E)$.*

Combining this with Remark 4.4 we obtain the following.

Theorem 5.4. *Under assumption (2) and the other assumptions and notation, the set $U_{FM}^{\tau}(T, E) \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space dense in $H(T, E)$, for every infinite set $\tau \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.*

Next we will show that under assumption (2) the set $U_{FM}(T, E)$ is disjoint from a G_{δ} and dense subset of $H(T, E)$. In case where E is a complete metric space we can say that $U_{FM}(T, E)$ is meager (and dense) in $H(T, E)$. We have similar results for the set $U_{FM}^{\tau}(T, E)$, for any infinite set $\tau \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Definition 5.5. $X(T, E)$ is the set of functions $f \in H(T, E)$, such that, for every non-empty open set $V \subset L^0(\partial T, E)$, the upper density of the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \omega_n(f) \in V\}$ is equal to 1.

Claim 5.6. $X(T, E) \cap U_{FM}(T, E) = \emptyset$.

The proof of Claim 5.6 is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.9 in [7] and is omitted.

Claim 5.7. The set $X(T, E)$ is a G_{δ} subset of $H(T, E)$.

The proof of Claim 5.7 is similar to part of the proof of Proposition 3.8 in [7] and is omitted.

Proposition 5.8. *Under assumption (2) and the other assumptions and notation the set $X(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$.*

Sketch of the proof

Let $\varphi \in H(T, E)$ and $N \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. It suffices to construct $f \in X(T, E)$ so that

$$f \Big|_{\bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n} = \varphi \Big|_{\bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n}.$$

Since E is separable, there exists a sequence B_n of balls in $L^0(\partial T, E)$, which is a base for the topology of $L^0(\partial T, E)$.

We extend $\varphi|_{\bigcup_{n=0}^N T_n}$ to a function $\varphi_1 : \bigcup_{n=0}^{N_1} T_n \rightarrow E$ where $N_1 > N$ so that (1) holds for all $x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{N_1-1} T_n$ and $\omega_{N_1}(f) \in B_1$. Then, because of assumption (2), we can further extend φ_1 so that $\omega_{N_1}(\varphi_1) = \omega_{N_1+1}(\varphi_1) = \cdots = \omega_{N_1+k_1}(\varphi_1) \in B_1$, where k_1 is big enough so that $\frac{k_1}{N_1+k_1}$ is very close to 1.

Then we extend again this function so that $\omega_n(\varphi_1) \in B_1$ for a lot of n 's and then we do the same so that $\omega_n(\varphi) \in B_2$ for a great numbers of consecutive n 's.

After that we repeat the same with B_1, B_2, B_3 and so on.

In this way we define $f \in H(T, E)$ so that for every $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ the upper density of the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \omega_k(f) \in B_n\}$ is equal to 1. This function f belongs to $X(T, E)$. Because the initial part of f coincides with the initial part of $\varphi \in H(T, E)$ and this is possible for every φ , the set $X(T, E)$ is dense in $H(T, E)$. ■

Combining the above facts we deduce.

Theorem 5.9. *Under assumption (2) and the other assumptions $U_{FM}(T, E)$ (and $U_{FM}^T(T, E)$) is disjoint from a G_δ and dense subset of $H(T, E)$.*

References

- [1] E. Abakumov, Universal harmonic function in the sense of Menchoff, private communication.
- [2] E. Abakumov, V. Nestoridis, M. Picardello, Universal properties of harmonic functions on trees, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 445(2) (2017), p.p. 1181-1187.
- [3] E. Abakumov, V. Nestoridis, M. Picardello, Frequently dense harmonic functions and universal martingales on trees, *Proceedings of AMS*, to appear.
- [4] F. Bayart, Universal radial limits of holomorphic functions, *Glasg. Math. J.* 47(2) (2005), p.p. 261-267.
- [5] F. Bayart, S. Grivaux, Frequently hypercyclic operators, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 358(11) (2006), pp. 5083-5117.
- [6] F. Bayart, K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, V. Nestoridis, C. Papadimitropoulos, Abstract theory of universal series and applications, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3), 96(2) (2008), p.p. 417-463.

- [7] N. Biehler, V. Nestoridis, A. Stavrianidi, Algebraic genericity of frequently universal harmonic functions on trees, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 489(1), 1 September 2020, 124132 to appear, doi.org 10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124132, see also arXiv:1908.09767.
- [8] S. Charpentier, On the closed subspaces of universal series in Banach spaces and Fréchet spaces, *Stud. Math.* 198(2) (2010), p.p. 121-145.
- [9] K. G. Grosse-Erdmann, Universal families and hypercyclic operators, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 36(3) (1999), p.p. 345-381.
- [10] J.-P. Kahane, Baire's category theorem and trigonometric series, *J. Anal. Math.*, 80(2000), p.p. 143-182.
- [11] A. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer - Verlag, Berlin.
- [12] A. Korányi, M. Picardello, M. H. Taibleson, Hardy spaces on non homogeneous trees, *Symp. Math.*, 29(1988), p.p. 206-265. holomorphic functions, *Adv. Math.*, **157**, (2001), 1539-1551
- [13] D. Menchoff, Sur les séries trigonometriques universelles, *C. R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. VRSS*, XLIX (2) (1945), p.p. 79-82.
- [14] V. Nestoridis, Universal Taylor series, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 46(5) (1996), p.p. 1293-1306.

N. Biehler

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens
Department of Mathematics,
e-mail: nikiforosbiehler@outlook.com

V. Nestoridis

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens
Department of Mathematics,
e-mail: vnesto@math.uoa.gr

E. Nestoridi

Princeton University
Department of Mathematics,
e-mail: exn@princeton.edu