

THE INDEX THEOREM FOR TOEPLITZ OPERATORS AS A COROLLARY OF BOTT PERIODICITY

PAUL F. BAUM AND ERIK VAN ERP

Dedicated to the memory of Sir Michael Atiyah.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Todd class and Chern character	2
3.	Toeplitz operators on the circle	3
4.	Toeplitz operators on closed manifolds	4
5.	Toeplitz operators on compact manifolds with boundary	5
6.	The trace map and the Calderon projection	7
7.	Bordism invariance of the index	10
8.	The product lemma	11
9.	Vector bundle modification	13
10.	Bordism and vector bundle modification for the topological index	15
11.	Sphere lemma	16
12.	Proof of the index theorem	18
13.	Boutet de Monvel's theorem	19
	References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

This is an expository paper about the index of Toeplitz operators, and in particular Boutet de Monvel's theorem [5]. We prove Boutet de Monvel's theorem as a corollary of Bott periodicity, and independently of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem.

Let M be an odd dimensional closed Spin^c manifold with Dirac operator D acting on sections of the spinor bundle S . If E is a smooth \mathbb{C} vector bundle on M , D^E denotes D twisted by E . The closure \bar{D}^E of D^E is an unbounded self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(M, S \otimes E)$ of L^2 -sections of $S \otimes E$. \bar{D}^E has discrete spectrum with finite dimensional eigenspaces. Denote by $L^2_+(M, S \otimes E)$ the Hilbert space direct sum of the eigenspaces of \bar{D}^E for eigenvalues $\lambda \geq 0$. P_+^E denotes the orthogonal projection

$$P_+^E : L^2(M, S \otimes E) \rightarrow L^2_+(M, S \otimes E)$$

Suppose that α is an automorphism of E , and $I_S \otimes \alpha$ the resulting automorphism of $S \otimes E$. \mathcal{M}_α is the bounded invertible operator on $L^2(S \otimes E)$ obtained from $I_S \otimes \alpha$. The Toeplitz operator T_α is the composition of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha : L^2_+ \rightarrow L^2$ with $P_+^E : L^2 \rightarrow L^2_+$,

$$T_\alpha = P_+^E \mathcal{M}_\alpha : L^2_+(M, S \otimes E) \rightarrow L^2_+(M, S \otimes E)$$

The Toeplitz operator T_α is a Fredholm operator (see section 4).

Theorem 1. *Let M be an odd dimensional compact $Spin^c$ manifold without boundary. If E is a smooth \mathbb{C} vector bundle on M , and α is an automorphism of E , then*

$$\text{Index } T_\alpha = (\text{ch}(E, \alpha) \cup \text{Td}(M))[M]$$

Here $\text{ch}(E, \alpha)$ is the Chern character of (E, α) , $\text{Td}(M)$ is the Todd class of the $Spin^c$ vector bundle TM and $[M]$ is the fundamental cycle of M .

Our proof of Theorem 1 is based on three points:

- Bott periodicity.
- Bordism invariance of the index.
- Invariance of the index under vector bundle modification.

The last two points are analytical, and are proved in this paper. The key topological feature of our proof is Bott periodicity (in its original form). Our proof does not use K -theory or K -homology, or cobordism theory, and is independent of the Atiyah-Singer theorem.

In section 13 we show that Theorem 1 implies Boutet de Monvel's theorem.

Special cases of Theorem 1, when $M = S^1$, were proven by F. Noether [11], and Gohberg-Krein [6]. Venugopalkrishna [12] proved the case of Boutet de Monvel's theorem when $M = S^{2r+1}$.

Acknowledgement. We thank Magnus Goffeng for carefully reading a first draft of this paper, and pointing out a mistake in one of the proofs.

2. TODD CLASS AND CHERN CHARACTER

In this section we review the characteristic classes that appear in Theorem 1. We assume familiarity with Chern and Pontryagin classes (see [10]).

The Todd class of a \mathbb{C} vector bundle is the characteristic class

$$\text{Td} = \prod_j \frac{x_j}{1 - e^{-x_j}}$$

where x_j are the Chern roots. The \hat{A} class of an \mathbb{R} vector bundle is the characteristic class

$$\hat{A} = \prod_j \frac{x_j/2}{\sinh(x_j/2)}$$

where x_j are the Pontryagin roots. Due to the power series identity

$$e^{x/2} \cdot \frac{x/2}{\sinh(x/2)} = \frac{x}{1 - e^{-x}}$$

for a \mathbb{C} vector bundle F ,

$$\mathrm{Td}(F) = e^{c_1(F)/2} \hat{A}(F)$$

where $\hat{A}(F)$ is the \hat{A} class of the underlying \mathbb{R} vector bundle of F .

A spin^c vector bundle is an \mathbb{R} vector bundle with given extra structure. Thus (as an \mathbb{R} vector bundle) it has an \hat{A} class. Associated to each spin^c vector bundle F is a \mathbb{C} line bundle L_F . If F is a \mathbb{C} vector bundle, L_F is the determinant bundle. The first Chern class $c_1(F)$ of a spin^c vector bundle is, by definition, the Chern class of L_F . The Todd class of a spin^c vector bundle F is defined by the formula,

$$\mathrm{Td}(F) = e^{c_1(F)/2} \hat{A}(F)$$

For a spin vector bundle F the associated line bundle is trivial, and so

$$\mathrm{Td}(F) = \hat{A}(F)$$

The Chern character $\mathrm{ch}(E, \alpha)$ of a smooth \mathbb{C} vector bundle E with smooth automorphism α is as follows. First assume that E is the trivial bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}^r$, and α is a smooth map $\alpha : X \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$. Then if the dimension of X is $2m + 1$, the Chern character is the cohomology class represented by the differential form

$$\mathrm{ch}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{j!}{(2j+1)!(2\pi i)^{j+1}} \mathrm{Tr}((\alpha^{-1} d\alpha)^{2j+1})$$

More generally, if E is not trivial, choose a vector bundle E' such that $E \oplus E'$ is trivialized, and extend α by adding the identity automorphism on E' . Then proceed as above.

3. TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE CIRCLE

The simplest case of Theorem 1 is:

Theorem 2. *For a continuous function $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ the Fredholm operator T_f has index*

$$\mathrm{Index} T_f = -\text{winding number } f$$

Proof. First consider $f(z) = z^m$ with $m \geq 0$. Using the orthonormal basis $e_n(z) = z^n$ (with $n \geq 0$) of $L_+^2(S^1)$, we have $T_{z^m} e_n = e_{n+m}$. Thus,

$$\dim \mathrm{Ker} T_{z^m} = 0 \quad \dim \mathrm{Coker} T_{z^m} = m$$

If $m < 0$ we get $T_{z^m} e_n = 0$ for $n = 0, \dots, |m| - 1$ and $T_{z^m} e_n = e_{n+m}$ otherwise. Then,

$$\dim \mathrm{Ker} T_{z^m} = |m| = -m \quad \dim \mathrm{Coker} T_{z^m} = 0$$

In both cases we find $\mathrm{Index} T_f = -m$.

Now let $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be an arbitrary continuous function with winding number m . Then f is homotopic to z^m by a homotopy $f_t : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,

$t \in [0, 1]$. Since the map $f \mapsto T_f$, $C(S^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L_+^2)$ is continuous, it follows that T_{f_t} is a norm continuous path of Fredholm operators. Therefore

$$\text{Index } T_f = \text{Index } T_{z^m} = -m = -\text{winding number } f$$

□

When f is smooth,

$$-\text{winding number } f = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} f^{-1} df = \text{ch}(f)[S^1]$$

4. TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON CLOSED MANIFOLDS

With notation as in the introduction, in this section we prove that the Toeplitz operator T_α is a Fredholm operator. First assume that $E = M \times \mathbb{C}$ is a trivial line bundle. The Dirac operator D of M acts on C^∞ -sections of the spinor bundle S ,

$$D : C^\infty(M, S) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, S)$$

As above, denote by $L_+^2(M, S)$ the Hilbert space direct sum of the eigenspaces of \bar{D} for eigenvalues $\lambda \geq 0$. P_+ denotes the orthogonal projection

$$P_+ : L^2(M, S) \rightarrow L_+^2(M, S) \subset L^2(M, S)$$

For a continuous function $f \in C(M)$, \mathcal{M}_f denotes the multiplication operator on $L^2(S)$,

$$(\mathcal{M}_f u)(x) = f(x)u(x) \quad u \in L^2(S)$$

Lemma 3. *The commutator $[P_+, \mathcal{M}_f] = P_+ \mathcal{M}_f - \mathcal{M}_f P_+$ is compact for every continuous function f on M .*

Proof. If f is a smooth function, then \mathcal{M}_f is a pseudodifferential operator of order zero. Therefore, the commutator $[P_+, \mathcal{M}_f]$ is a pseudodifferential operator of order -1 , and hence compact. Since $\|\mathcal{M}_f\| = \|f\|_\infty$ we have $\|[P_+, \mathcal{M}_f]\| \leq 2\|f\|_\infty$, so the map $f \mapsto [P_+, \mathcal{M}_f]$ is continuous. The lemma follows since $C^\infty(M)$ is uniformly dense in $C(M)$ and the space of compact operators is closed in operator norm. □

Now let E be any smooth hermitian vector bundle on M . For a continuous endomorphism α of E (viewed as a topological vector bundle), \mathcal{M}_α denotes the bounded operator on $L^2(M, S \otimes E)$ determined by $I_S \otimes \alpha$. Here α is not necessarily an automorphism of E .

Lemma 4. *The commutator $[P_+^E, \mathcal{M}_\alpha]$ is compact for every continuous endomorphism α of E .*

Proof. If $E = M \times \mathbb{C}^r$ is a trivial bundle, then \mathcal{M}_α is a $r \times r$ matrix of multiplication operators by functions. Then $[P_+^E, \mathcal{M}_\alpha]$ is a matrix of commutators of P_+ with functions, each of which is compact by the previous lemma. Thus $[P_+^E, \mathcal{M}_\alpha]$ is compact when E is a trivial vector bundle.

In general, choose F such that $E \oplus F$ is a trivial vector bundle. Then we can take $D^{E \oplus F} = D^E \oplus D^F$, hence $P_+^{E \oplus F} = P_+^E \oplus P_+^F$. Also $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \oplus 0} = \mathcal{M}_\alpha \oplus 0$,

and so $[P_+^{E\oplus F}, \mathcal{M}_{\alpha\oplus 0}] = [P_+^E, \mathcal{M}_\alpha] \oplus 0$. Since $[P_+^{E\oplus F}, \mathcal{M}_{\alpha\oplus 0}]$ is compact, so is $[P_+^E, \mathcal{M}_\alpha]$. \square

Proposition 5. *If α, β are two endomorphisms of E , then $T_\alpha T_\beta - T_{\alpha\beta}$ is a compact operator. Therefore, if α is an automorphism of E then T_α is a Fredholm operator.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 4, modulo compact operators we have

$$T_\alpha T_\beta = P\mathcal{M}_\alpha P\mathcal{M}_\beta \sim PPM_\alpha M_\beta = P\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta}$$

It follows that if α is an automorphism with inverse β , then $T_\alpha T_\beta - I$ and $T_\beta T_\alpha - I$ are compact operators. Therefore T_α is Fredholm by Atkinson's theorem. \square

5. TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON COMPACT MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY

In this section Ω is a compact even dimensional Spin^c manifold with boundary $M = \partial\Omega$, and D_Ω is the Dirac operator of Ω , acting on sections in the graded spinor bundle $S^+ \oplus S^-$,

$$D_\Omega : C_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus M, S^+) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\Omega \setminus M, S^-)$$

We denote by \bar{D}_Ω the maximal closed extension of D_Ω . \bar{D}_Ω is a closed unbounded Hilbert space operator with domain

$$\{u \in L^2(\Omega, S^+) \mid D_\Omega u \in L^2(\Omega, S^-)\}$$

where $D_\Omega u$ is taken in the distributional sense. Denote the kernel of \bar{D}_Ω by

$$\mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega, S^+) \mid D_\Omega u = 0\}$$

and let Q be the Hilbert space projection of $L^2(\Omega, S^+)$ onto the closed linear subspace $\mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega)$,

$$Q : L^2(\Omega, S^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega)$$

For a continuous function $f \in C(\Omega)$, let \mathcal{M}_f denote the multiplication operator

$$\mathcal{M}_f : L^2(\Omega, S^+ \oplus S^-) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, S^+ \oplus S^-) \quad (\mathcal{M}_f u)(x) = f(x)u(x)$$

\mathcal{M}_f^+ and \mathcal{M}_f^- are the restrictions of \mathcal{M}_f to positive and negative spinors respectively.

Proposition 6. *The commutator $[Q, \mathcal{M}_f^+]$ is a compact operator for all $f \in C(\Omega)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}_f^+ Q$ is compact if $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$.*

Proof. Let T be the bounded operator

$$T = \bar{D}_\Omega(1 + \bar{D}_\Omega^* \bar{D}_\Omega)^{-1/2}$$

and V the partial isometry (with the same kernel as \bar{D}_Ω) determined by the polar decomposition

$$\bar{D}_\Omega = V|\bar{D}_\Omega|, \quad |\bar{D}_\Omega| = (\bar{D}_\Omega^* \bar{D}_\Omega)^{1/2}$$

T has the following standard properties:

- $T - V$ is a compact operator.
- $\mathcal{M}_f^+ T - T \mathcal{M}_f^-$ is compact for all $f \in C_0(\Omega \setminus M)$.
- $\mathcal{M}_f^+(I - T^* T)$ is compact for all $f \in C_0(\Omega \setminus M)$.

Proposition 1.1 in [2] implies the much stronger property:

- $\mathcal{M}_f^+ T - T \mathcal{M}_f^-$ is compact for all $f \in C(\Omega)$.

Since also $T^* \mathcal{M}_f^+ - \mathcal{M}_f^- T^*$ is compact for all $f \in C(\Omega)$, we obtain that the commutators $[\mathcal{M}_f^+, T^* T]$ are compact. Note that

$$\ker \bar{D}_\Omega = \ker T = \ker V$$

Therefore $Q = I - V^* V$ differs from $I - T^* T$ by a compact operator. Hence $[Q, \mathcal{M}_f^+]$ is compact. Finally, the third property above implies that $\mathcal{M}_f^+ Q$ is compact if $f \in C_0(\Omega \setminus M)$. (For full details, see [2].) \square

An endomorphism θ of the trivial vector bundle $E = \Omega \times \mathbb{C}^r$ is naturally identified with a continuous matrix-valued function

$$\theta : \Omega \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$$

The multiplication operator \mathcal{M}_θ is the bounded operator on the Hilbert space

$$L^2(\Omega, S^+ \otimes E) = L^2(\Omega, S^+) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

obtained from $I_{S^+} \otimes \theta$. Denote $Q_r = Q \otimes I_r$,

$$Q_r : L^2(\Omega, S^+) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

where I_r is the $r \times r$ identity matrix.

Corollary 7. *For every continuous map $\theta : \Omega \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$, the commutator $[Q_r, \mathcal{M}_\theta]$ is a compact operator on $L^2(\Omega, S^+) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}_\theta Q_r$ is compact for every θ such that $\theta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$. Here Q_r is viewed as an operator from L^2 to L^2 .*

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 4. \square

The Toeplitz operator T_θ is the composition of \mathcal{M}_θ with Q_r ,

$$T_\theta = Q_r \mathcal{M}_\theta : \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

Proposition 8. *If θ, η are two continuous maps $\Omega \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$, then $T_\theta T_\eta - T_{\theta\eta}$ is compact. If $\theta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M = \partial\Omega$ then T_θ is compact. Therefore T_θ is a Fredholm operator if $\theta(x)$ is an invertible matrix for every $x \in M$.*

Proof. The first two statements follow from Proposition 6. Now let $\theta : \Omega \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$ be a continuous function, and $\theta(x)$ invertible for every $x \in M$. Let $\eta(x) = \theta(x)^{-1}$, and extend η to a continuous function $\eta : \Omega \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$. Then modulo compact operators $T_{\theta\eta} \sim I$ and so $T_\theta T_\eta \sim T_\eta T_\theta \sim I$. \square

Remark 9. Results closely related to the content of this section were proved by Venugopalkrishna [12] in the special case where Ω is a strongly pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n . For the general case of compact Spin^c manifolds with boundary see [2], and also [1].

6. THE TRACE MAP AND THE CALDERON PROJECTION

In this section we show how the index of Toeplitz operators on Ω is related to the index of Toeplitz operators on M . As in the previous section, Ω is a compact even dimensional Spin^c manifold and M is the boundary of Ω . D_Ω is the Dirac operator of Ω , acting on sections of the spinor bundles S^+ and S^- . D_M is the Dirac operator of M , acting on sections of the spinor bundle S . We identify $S^+|_M = S$.

We denote the L^2 Sobolev space of degree $s \in \mathbb{R}$ (on Ω or on M) by W_s . Note that $W_0 = L^2$. $C^\infty(\Omega, S^+)$ is the space of sections of S^+ that are smooth on Ω , which means, in particular, that all derivatives up to all orders extend continuously to the boundary M . For a smooth section $s \in C^\infty(\Omega, S^+)$, let $\gamma(s) \in C^\infty(M, S)$ denote the restriction of s to M . The restriction map γ extends to a bounded linear map on Sobolev spaces, called the trace map,

$$\gamma_s : W_{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega, S^+) \rightarrow W_s(M, S) \quad s \in \mathbb{R}$$

Let $W_s^{\natural}(M, S)$ be the subspace of $W_s(M, S)$ consisting of restrictions to the boundary (via the trace map) of distributional solutions of $D_\Omega u = 0$,

$$W_s^{\natural}(M, S) = \{\gamma_s(u) \mid u \in W_{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega, S^+), D_\Omega u = 0\}$$

The Calderon projection P_{\natural} is the orthogonal projection of L^2 onto W_0^{\natural} ,

$$P_{\natural} : L^2(M, S) \rightarrow W_0^{\natural}(M, S) \subset L^2(M, S)$$

P_{\natural} is a pseudodifferential operator of order zero. For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the range of the idempotent $P_{\natural} : W_s \rightarrow W_s$ is $W_s^{\natural}(M, S)$.

Proposition 10. *The bounded operator $F := P_{\natural}(1 + D_M^2)^{-1/4} \circ \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}$,*

$$F : \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{\natural}(M, S)$$

is Fredholm.

Proof. The space of L^2 -solutions $u \in L^2(\Omega, S^+)$ of the Dirichlet problem

$$D_\Omega u = 0, \quad \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(u) = 0$$

is finite dimensional. Therefore the surjective map

$$\gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}} : \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \rightarrow W_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\natural}(M, S)$$

is a Fredholm operator.

Denote $A = (1 + D_M^2)^{-1/4}$. A is an elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order $-1/2$. A has scalar symbol, and so the commutator $[A, P_{\mathfrak{h}}]$ is of order $-3/2$. Let B be the pseudodifferential operator of order $-1/2$,

$$B = P_{\mathfrak{h}}AP_{\mathfrak{h}} + (I - P_{\mathfrak{h}})A(I - P_{\mathfrak{h}})$$

$A - B$ is of order $-3/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} A - B &= P_{\mathfrak{h}}A(I - P_{\mathfrak{h}}) + (I - P_{\mathfrak{h}})AP_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &= [P_{\mathfrak{h}}, A](I - P_{\mathfrak{h}}) + (I - P_{\mathfrak{h}})[A, P_{\mathfrak{h}}] \end{aligned}$$

and so A and B have the same principal symbol. Thus B is elliptic, and the bounded operator

$$B : W_{-\frac{1}{2}}(M, S) \rightarrow L^2(M, S)$$

is Fredholm. Because B commutes with $P_{\mathfrak{h}}$, B restricts to a bounded operator

$$B_{\mathfrak{h}} : W_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathfrak{h}}(M, S) \rightarrow W_0^{\mathfrak{h}}(M, S)$$

which is also Fredholm. Finally, $F = P_{\mathfrak{h}}A \circ \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}} = B_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the composition of two Fredholm operators. □

For a smooth function $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, let $T_{\tilde{f}} = Q\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{f}}$ be the operator

$$T_{\tilde{f}} : \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega)$$

with Q as in section 5. If f is the restriction of \tilde{f} to M , let $T_f^{\mathfrak{h}} = P_{\mathfrak{h}}\mathcal{M}_f$ be the operator

$$T_f^{\mathfrak{h}} : W_{\mathfrak{h}}^0(M, S) \rightarrow W_{\mathfrak{h}}^0(M, S)$$

Proposition 11. *With $F = P_{\mathfrak{h}}(1 + D_M^2)^{-1/4} \circ \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ as above, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) & \xrightarrow{T_{\tilde{f}}} & \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow F \\ W_{\mathfrak{h}}^0(M, S) & \xrightarrow{T_f^{\mathfrak{h}}} & W_{\mathfrak{h}}^0(M, S) \end{array}$$

commutes modulo compact operators, i.e. $FT_{\tilde{f}} - T_f^{\mathfrak{h}}F$ is a compact operator for any $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, and $f = \tilde{f}|_M$.

Proof. Let \sim denote equality modulo compact operators. By Proposition 6,

$$FT_{\tilde{f}} = FQ\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{f}} \sim F\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{f}}Q = F\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{f}} = P_{\mathfrak{h}}A\gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{f}}$$

$P_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and A are pseudodifferential operators, and the principal symbols of $P_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and A commute with the symbol of \mathcal{M}_f . Therefore $P_{\mathfrak{h}}\mathcal{M}_f \sim \mathcal{M}_fP_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $A\mathcal{M}_f \sim \mathcal{M}_fA$, and

$$T_f^{\mathfrak{h}}F = P_{\mathfrak{h}}\mathcal{M}_fP_{\mathfrak{h}}A\gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}} \sim P_{\mathfrak{h}}A\mathcal{M}_f\gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

The proposition now follows from the equality

$$\gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{f}} = M_f \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

□

Corollary 12. *With \tilde{f} , f , as above, if $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in M$, then $T_{\tilde{f}}$ and T_f^{\natural} are Fredholm operators, and*

$$\text{Index } T_{\tilde{f}} = \text{Index } T_f^{\natural}$$

Proof. As in section 4, since the projection P_{\natural} is a pseudodifferential operator of order zero, T_f^{\natural} is Fredholm if $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in M$. Combining Propositions 10 and 11 we see that $F T_{\tilde{f}}$ and $T_f^{\natural} F$ are Fredholm operators with the same index, and therefore

$$\text{Index } F + \text{Index } T_{\tilde{f}} = \text{Index } T_f^{\natural} + \text{Index } F$$

□

The Calderon operator P_{\natural} and the projection P_+ (defined in section 4) are pseudodifferential operators of order zero with the same principal symbol. This fact, combined with Corollary 12, gives the main result of this section.

Proposition 13. *Let $\theta : M \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$ be a continuous matrix-valued function on M , such that $\theta(x)$ is invertible for all $x \in M$, and $\tilde{\theta} : \Omega \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$ any continuous extension of θ to Ω . Then the Fredholm operators*

$$T_{\theta} : L_+^2(M, S) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow L_+^2(M, S) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

(as in section 4) and

$$T_{\tilde{\theta}} : \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

(as in section 5) have the same index,

$$\text{Index } T_{\theta} = \text{Index } T_{\tilde{\theta}}$$

Proof. For simplicity, assume first that $r = 1$. The projections P_{\natural} and P_+ differ by a compact operator, because they have the same principal symbol. This implies that

$$\text{Index } T_{\theta} = \text{Index } T_{\tilde{\theta}}$$

The proposition now follows from Corollary 12.

For $r > 1$, the evident generalizations of Proposition 10, Proposition 11, and Corollary 12 are valid, and Proposition 13 follows.

□

7. BORDISM INVARIANCE OF THE INDEX

In this section we prove bordism invariance of the index of Toeplitz operators, based on the results of sections 5 and 6.

Proposition 14. *Let Ω be a compact even dimensional $Spin^c$ manifold with boundary M . Let \tilde{E} be a smooth \mathbb{C} vector bundle on Ω , and $\tilde{\alpha}$ an automorphism of \tilde{E} . Denote by (E, α) the restriction of $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\alpha})$ to M , and by $T_\alpha = P_+^E \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ the Toeplitz operator determined by α ,*

$$T_\alpha : L_+^2(M, S \otimes E) \rightarrow L_+^2(M, S \otimes E)$$

Then

$$\text{Index } T_\alpha = 0$$

Proof. Choose \tilde{F} such that $\tilde{E} \oplus \tilde{F} \cong \Omega \times \mathbb{C}^r$ is trivial. Then the Toeplitz operator $T_{\alpha \oplus I_F}$ determined by the automorphism $\alpha \oplus I_F$ of $E \oplus F$ has the same index as T_α . Thus, it suffices to prove the proposition for trivial vector bundles E . Hence we assume that $\tilde{\alpha}$ and α are matrix valued functions,

$$\tilde{\alpha} : \Omega \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C}) \quad \alpha : M \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$$

Note that $\tilde{\alpha}(x)$ is invertible for all $x \in \Omega$. By Proposition 13, it suffices to show that

$$\text{Index } T_{\tilde{\alpha}} = 0$$

where $T_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ is the Toeplitz operator determined by $\tilde{\alpha}$,

$$T_{\tilde{\alpha}} : \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

There is the direct sum decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega, S^+) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r = \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \oplus \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega)^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

With respect to this decomposition, the multiplication operator $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}} = \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^+$ is a 2×2 matrix

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} T_{\tilde{\alpha}} & A \\ B & S_{\tilde{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where A and B are compact operators by Proposition 7. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ is invertible, $T_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and $S_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ are Fredholm operators, and

$$\text{Index } T_{\tilde{\alpha}} + \text{Index } S_{\tilde{\alpha}} = \text{Index } \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^+ = 0$$

Thus it will suffice to show that

$$\text{Index } S_{\tilde{\alpha}} = 0$$

As in section 5 above, let V be the partial isometry determined by $\bar{D}_\Omega = V|\bar{D}_\Omega|$, where V has the same kernel as \bar{D}_Ω . Denote $V_r = V \otimes I_r$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega)^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}^r & \xrightarrow{S_{\tilde{\alpha}}} & \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega)^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \\ V_r \downarrow & & \downarrow V_r \\ L^2(\Omega, S^-) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^-} & L^2(\Omega, S^-) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \end{array}$$

In this diagram V_r is a Fredholm operator. The diagram commutes modulo compact operators, because

$$V_r S_{\tilde{\alpha}} = V_r (I - Q_r) \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^+ \sim V_r \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^+ (I - Q_r) = V_r \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^+$$

where \sim denotes equality modulo compact operators. Finally

$$V_r \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^+ \sim \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^- V_r$$

follows from

$$T \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^+ \sim \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^- T$$

where $T = \bar{D}_{\Omega} (I + \bar{D}_{\Omega}^* \bar{D}_{\Omega})^{-1/2}$ (as in the proof of Proposition 6), and the fact that $T - V$ is compact.

Thus, the Fredholm operators $V_r S_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^- V_r$ have the same index, and additivity of the index implies

$$\text{Index } S_{\tilde{\alpha}} = \text{Index } \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^-$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^-$ is an invertible operator, it has index zero. □

8. THE PRODUCT LEMMA

Let M_1 and M_2 be two closed even dimensional spin^c manifolds with Dirac operators D_1, D_2 . The Dirac operator of $M_1 \times M_2$ is the sharp product,

$$D_{M_1 \times M_2} = D_1 \# D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 \otimes I & -I \otimes D_2^* \\ I \otimes D_2 & D_1^* \otimes I \end{pmatrix}$$

where the 2×2 matrix is an operator from the positive spinors

$$S_{M_1 \times M_2}^+ = (S_1^+ \boxtimes S_2^+) \oplus (S_1^- \boxtimes S_2^-)$$

to the negative spinors

$$S_{M_1 \times M_2}^- = (S_1^+ \boxtimes S_2^-) \oplus (S_1^- \boxtimes S_2^+)$$

It is straightforward to derive from this formula that

$$\text{Index } D = \text{Index } D_1 \cdot \text{Index } D_2$$

In this section we prove the analogue of this product formula in the case when M_1 is odd dimensional and M_2 is even dimensional.

Proposition 15. *Let M be a closed odd dimensional spin^c manifold, and let $E, \alpha, \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}, T_{\alpha}$ be as above. Let W be a closed even dimensional spin^c manifold, and F a smooth \mathbb{C} vector bundle on W . On the odd dimensional spin^c manifold $M \times W$, let $T_{\alpha \otimes I_F}$ be the Toeplitz operator associated to the automorphism $\alpha \otimes I_F$ of the vector bundle $E \boxtimes F$. Then*

$$\text{Index}(T_{\alpha \otimes I_F}) = \text{Index } T_{\alpha} \cdot \text{Index}(D_W \otimes F)$$

where $D_W \otimes F$ is the Dirac operator of W twisted by F .

Proof. Let $A = D_M \otimes E$ denote the Dirac operator of M twisted by E , and $B = D_W \otimes F$ the Dirac operator of W twisted by F . $B = B^+ \oplus B^-$ is graded. The spinor bundle of $M \times W$ is

$$S_{M \times W} = S_M \boxtimes S_W = (S_M \boxtimes S_W^+) \oplus (S_M \boxtimes S_W^-)$$

where $S_M, S_W = S_W^+ \oplus S_W^-$ are the spinor bundles of M, W .

The Dirac operator of $M \times W$ twisted by $E \otimes F$ is

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} A \otimes I & I \otimes B^- \\ I \otimes B^+ & -A \otimes I \end{pmatrix}$$

acting on the direct sum

$$[(S_M \otimes E) \boxtimes (S_W^+ \otimes F)] \oplus [(S_M \otimes E) \boxtimes (S_W^- \otimes F)]$$

Consider the polar decomposition $B = V|B|$, where V is a partial isometry with the same kernel as B . Let U be the operator

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \otimes -V^- \\ I \otimes V^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

U anticommutes with D and commutes with $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I_F}$,

$$UD = -DU \quad U\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I_F} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I_F}U$$

V restricts to a unitary operator on $(\text{Ker } B)^\perp$, and thus U restricts to a unitary operator on $L^2(S_M^E) \otimes (\text{Ker } B)^\perp$.

View the Hilbert space $L^2((S_M \otimes E) \boxtimes (S_W \otimes F))$ as the direct sum

$$[L^2(S_M^E) \otimes \text{Ker } B^+] \oplus [L^2(S_M^E) \otimes \text{Ker } B^-] \oplus [L^2(S_M^E) \otimes (\text{Ker } B)^\perp]$$

where $S_M^E = S_M \otimes E$. The multiplication operator $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I_F}$ is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I_F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_\alpha \otimes I_{\text{Ker } B^+} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_\alpha \otimes I_{\text{Ker } B^-} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{M}_\alpha \otimes I_{(\text{Ker } B)^\perp} \end{pmatrix}$$

The three summands are invariant spaces for D , and the positive space of D is

$$[L_+^2(S_M^E) \otimes \text{Ker } B^+] \oplus [L_-^2(S_M^E) \otimes \text{Ker } B^-] \oplus H$$

where H is a closed linear subspace of $L^2(S_M^E) \otimes (\text{Ker } B)^\perp$. Thus the Toeplitz operator $T_{\alpha \otimes I_F}$ is of the form

$$T_{\alpha \otimes I_F} = \begin{pmatrix} T_\alpha \otimes I_{\text{Ker } B^+} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_\alpha^- \otimes I_{\text{Ker } B^-} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

where T_α^- is the compression of \mathcal{M}_α to $L_-^2(S_M^E)$, and Q is the restriction of $T_{\alpha \otimes I_F}$ to H . Since

$$\text{Index } T_\alpha^- = -\text{Index } T_\alpha$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Index } T_{\alpha \otimes I_F} &= \text{Index } T_\alpha \cdot \dim \text{Ker } B^+ + \text{Index } T_\alpha^- \cdot \dim \text{Ker } B^- + \text{Index } Q \\ &= \text{Index } T_\alpha \cdot \text{Index } B + \text{Index } Q \end{aligned}$$

On the third summand $L^2(S_M^E) \otimes (\text{Ker } B)^\perp$ we have

$$UDU^* = -D \quad U\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I_F}U^* = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I_F}$$

Therefore the compression of $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I_F}$ to the positive space (i.e. the operator Q) has the same index as the compression to the negative space. Hence both are zero, i.e. $\text{Index } Q = 0$ □

9. VECTOR BUNDLE MODIFICATION

With M, E, α as above, let $F \rightarrow M$ be a Spin^c vector bundle on M with even fiber dimension $n = 2r$. Part of the Spin^c datum of F is a principal $\text{Spin}^c(n)$ bundle P on M ,

$$F = P \times_{\text{Spin}^c(n)} \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $\text{Spin}^c(n)$ acts on \mathbb{R}^n via the map $\text{Spin}^c(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$. The Bott generator vector bundle β on $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is $\text{Spin}^c(n)$ equivariant, where $\text{Spin}^c(n)$ acts on the first factor \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, associated to P we have a fiber bundle $\pi: \Sigma F \rightarrow M$ whose fibers are oriented spheres of dimension n ,

$$\Sigma F = P \times_{\text{Spin}^c(n)} S^n$$

and a vector bundle on ΣF

$$\beta_F = P \times_{\text{Spin}^c(n)} \beta$$

Since M is an odd dimensional Spin^c manifold, the total space of F is an odd dimensional Spin^c manifold, because $TF = \pi^*F \oplus \pi^*TM$, and the direct sum of two Spin^c vector bundles is Spin^c . Every trivial bundle is Spin^c , so the total space of $F \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}$ is Spin^c . ΣF is a Spin^c manifold as the boundary of the unit ball bundle of $F \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}$.

On the odd dimensional Spin^c manifold ΣF we have a Toeplitz operator $T_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the automorphism $\pi^*\alpha \otimes I$ of the vector bundle $\pi^*E \otimes \beta_F$. Here $\pi: \Sigma F \rightarrow M$ is the projection.

Proposition 16.

$$\text{Index } T_\alpha = \text{Index } T_{\tilde{\alpha}}$$

The proof of Proposition 16 is a straightforward generalization of the proof of Proposition 15, and uses the following basic fact about the Dirac operator of an even dimensional sphere.

Proposition 17. *If D is the Dirac operator of the even dimensional sphere S^n with the Spin^c structure it receives as the boundary of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then D_β has one dimensional kernel and zero cokernel, and so*

$$\text{Index } D_\beta = 1$$

Moreover, D_β is equivariant for the group $\text{Spin}^c(n+1)$ which acts by orientation preserving isometries on S^n , and this group acts trivially on the kernel of D_β .

Proof. Recall that if V is a finite dimensional vector space, then there is a canonical nonzero element in $V \otimes V^*$, which maps to the identity map under the isomorphism $V \otimes V^* \cong \text{Hom}(V, V)$.

On any even dimensional Spin^c manifold M , there is a canonical isomorphism of vector bundles

$$S \otimes S^* \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} TM$$

where S is the spinor bundle of M . This is implied by the fact that, as representations of $\text{Spin}^c(n)$,

$$\mathbb{C}^{2^r} \otimes (\mathbb{C}^{2^r})^* \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{R}^n$$

Via this isomorphism, D_{S^*} identifies (up to lower order terms) with $d + d^*$, where d is the de Rham operator, and d^* its formal adjoint. Note that the kernel of D_{S^*} is the same as the kernel of $D_{S^*}^2 = (d + d^*)^2$, i.e. it consists of harmonic forms. On S^n the only harmonic forms are the constant functions, and scalar multiples of the standard volume form.

Because the Bott generator vector bundle β is dual to S^+ , $S^+ \otimes \beta \cong \text{Hom}(S^+, S^+)$ contains a trivial line bundle, which identifies with the line bundle in $\Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda^n$ spanned by $(1, \omega)$, where ω is the standard volume form. This follows from representation theory. Thus, the kernel of D_β is the one dimensional vector space spanned by the harmonic forms $c + c\omega$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. The intersection of $\Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda^n$ with $S^- \otimes \beta$ is zero, and so the cokernel of D_β is zero. \square

Remark 18. D_β is the (positive) half-signature operator of S^n (with n even).

Proof of Proposition 16. If F is a trivial bundle, then $\Sigma F = M \times S^n$ and Proposition 16 is a special case of Proposition 15. In general, ΣF is a sphere bundle over M . The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Proposition 15, with the following modifications.

D_β is equivariant for the action of the structure group of the sphere bundle ΣF . Therefore, there is a well-defined “vertical” operator B on ΣF which in each local trivialization of the sphere bundle ΣF is $I \otimes D_\beta$.

Next, we construct a first order differential operator A on ΣF which is a “lift” of $D_M \otimes E$ from M to ΣF . Choose a finite open cover $\{U_j\}$ of M , such that the fiber bundle ΣF restricted to each U_j has been trivialized,

$$\Sigma F|_{U_j} \cong U_j \times S^n$$

Let A_j be the evident lift of $D_M \otimes E$ from U_j to the product $U_j \times S^n$,

$$A_j : C^\infty(\pi^*((S^+ \otimes E)|_{U_j})) \rightarrow C^\infty(\pi^*((S^- \otimes E)|_{U_j}))$$

A_j differentiates in the U_j direction. Let $\{\varphi_j\}$ be a smooth partition of unity on M subordinate to the cover $\{U_j\}$. Using this partition of unity and the

local trivializations $\Sigma F|U_j \cong U_j \times S^n$, we construct the lift A as

$$A := \sum A_j(\varphi_j \circ \pi) : C^\infty(\pi^*(S_1^+ \otimes E)) \rightarrow C^\infty(\pi^*(S_1^- \otimes E))$$

Note that A and B commute.

Now, the sharp product formula for the Dirac operator of ΣF twisted by $\pi^*E \otimes \beta_F$ is

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} A & B^- \\ B^+ & -A \end{pmatrix}$$

We can construct the partial isometry U as in the proof of Proposition 15, with the properties

$$UD = -DU \quad U\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I}U$$

D and $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I}$ act on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S_{\Sigma F} \otimes \pi^*E \otimes \beta_F)$. The Hilbert space $L^2(S_{S^n} \otimes \beta)$ on which D_β acts is a direct sum $\text{Ker}D_\beta \oplus (\text{Ker}D_\beta)^\perp$. The summands are invariant for the structure group $SO(n+1)$ of the sphere bundle ΣF . If we view \mathcal{H} as the Hilbert space of L^2 -sections in a field of Hilbert spaces over M , the orthogonal decomposition of its fibers gives rise to an orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$. Due to the commuting of A and B , the subspaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are invariant for D as well as for $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha \otimes I}$, and U restricts to a unitary on \mathcal{H}_2 .

The Toeplitz operator $T_{\tilde{\alpha}} = T_1 \oplus T_2$ has a corresponding direct sum decomposition, where T_j acts on a subspace of \mathcal{H}_j . As in the proof of Proposition 15, $\text{Index}T_2 = 0$ because $\text{Index}UT_2U^* = -\text{index}T_2$. Due to the fact that the structure group acts trivially on $\text{Ker}D_\beta$, the first summand \mathcal{H}_1 identifies canonically with $L^2(S_M \otimes E)$, and T_1 identifies with T_α . Thus,

$$\text{Index}T_{\tilde{\alpha}} = \text{Index}T_1 + \text{Index}T_2 = \text{Index}T_\alpha$$

□

Remark 19. The canonical identifications $\mathcal{H}_1 = L^2(S_M \otimes E)$ and $T_1 = T_\alpha$ in the above proof are due to the fact that the kernels of the family of Dirac operators of the fibers of ΣF , twisted by β_F , form a trivial line bundle over M .

10. BORDISM AND VECTOR BUNDLE MODIFICATION FOR THE TOPOLOGICAL INDEX

Bordism invariance of the topological index follows immediately from Stokes' Theorem.

Proposition 20. *Let Ω be a compact even dimensional Spin^c manifold with boundary M . Let \tilde{E} be a smooth \mathbb{C} vector bundle on Ω , and $\tilde{\alpha}$ an automorphism of \tilde{E} . Denote by (E, α) the restriction of $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\alpha})$ to M , and by $T_\alpha = P_+^E \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ the Toeplitz operator determined by α ,*

$$T_\alpha : L_+^2(M, S \otimes E) \rightarrow L_+^2(M, S \otimes E)$$

Then

$$(\text{ch}(E, \alpha) \cup \text{Td}(M))[M] = 0$$

Proof. The restriction of the Spin^c vector bundle $T\Omega$ to M is the direct sum of the Spin^c vector bundle TM with a trivial line bundle (i.e. the normal bundle). The Todd class is a stable characteristic class. Therefore the cohomology class $\text{Td}(M)$ is the restriction of $\text{Td}(\Omega)$ to M . Likewise, by naturality, $\text{ch}(E, \alpha)$ is the restriction to M of $\text{ch}(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\alpha})$. The proposition now follows from Stokes' Theorem. \square

Invariance of the topological index under vector bundle modification is

$$(\text{ch}(\pi^* E \otimes \beta_F, \pi^* \alpha \otimes I) \cup \text{Td}(\Sigma F))[\Sigma F] = (\text{ch}(E, \alpha) \cup \text{Td}(M))[M]$$

Note that

$$\text{ch}(\pi^* E \otimes \beta_F, \pi^* \alpha \otimes I) = \pi^* \text{ch}(E, \alpha) \cup \text{ch}(\beta_F)$$

As spin^c vector bundles on $\Sigma F = S(F \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}})$,

$$\underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T(\Sigma F) \cong \pi^* F \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \pi^*(TM)$$

Multiplicativity of the Todd class implies

$$\text{Td}(\Sigma F) = \pi^* \text{Td}(M) \cup \pi^* \text{Td}(F)$$

Therefore invariance of the topological index under vector bundle modification follows from

Proposition 21.

$$\pi_! \text{ch}(\beta_F) = \frac{1}{\text{Td}(F)}$$

where $\pi_!$ is integration along the fiber of $\pi: \Sigma F \rightarrow M$.

For a proof of Proposition 21, see section 6.2 in [3].

11. SPHERE LEMMA

Lemma 22. *Let M, E, α be as above. If $n = \dim M$, then for every odd integer $m \geq 2n + 1$ there exists a continuous map $\tilde{\alpha}: S^m \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$\text{Index } T_\alpha = \text{Index } T_{\tilde{\alpha}}$$

and

$$(\text{ch}(E, \alpha) \cup \text{Td}(M))[M] = \text{ch}(\tilde{\alpha})[S^m]$$

Remark 23. Note that $\text{Td}(S^m) = 1$ if m is odd.

Proof. We shall prove the Lemma by moving, in a finite number of steps, from (M, E, α) to $(S^m, \underline{\mathbb{C}}^r, \tilde{\alpha})$. Each step preserves the analytic index as well as the topological index. We denote equality of both the analytic and topological index as an equivalence \sim of triples.

By the Whitney Embedding Theorem, M embeds in \mathbb{R}^m . The 2-out-of-3 principle implies that the normal bundle ν

$$0 \rightarrow TM \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \nu \rightarrow 0$$

is spin^c oriented. Vector bundle modification by ν gives

$$(M, E, \alpha) \sim (\Sigma\nu, \pi^* E \otimes \beta_\nu, \pi^* \alpha \otimes I)$$

By adding on a vector bundle with its identity automorphism, if necessary,

$$(\Sigma\nu, \pi^* E \otimes \beta_\nu, \pi^* \alpha \otimes I) \sim (\Sigma\nu, \underline{\mathbb{C}}^r, \alpha_1)$$

Since M is compact we may assume that M is embedded in the interior of the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^m . Using the inclusion $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, a compact tubular neighborhood of M in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} identifies with the ball bundle $B(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}})$, whose boundary is $\Sigma\nu$. Let Ω be the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^{m+1} with the interior of $B(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}})$ removed. Then Ω is a bordism of spin^c manifolds from $\Sigma\nu$ to S^m .

By Lemma 24 below, there exist continuous maps $\alpha_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, $\alpha_3 : B(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, such that, when restricted to $\Sigma\nu$, $\alpha_3 \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. Then

$$\text{Index} T_{\alpha_2}^{\Sigma\nu} = \text{Index} T_{\alpha_3 \alpha_1}^{\Sigma\nu} = \text{Index} T_{\alpha_3}^{\Sigma\nu} + \text{Index} T_{\alpha_1}^{\Sigma\nu}$$

Since $\Sigma\nu$ is the boundary of $B(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}})$ and α_3 is invertible on $B(\nu \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}})$,

$$\text{Index} T_{\alpha_3}^{\Sigma\nu} = 0$$

Thus

$$\text{Index} T_{\alpha_2}^{\Sigma\nu} = \text{Index} T_{\alpha_1}^{\Sigma\nu}$$

The same argument applies to the topological index, and we obtain

$$(\Sigma\nu, \underline{\mathbb{C}}^r, \alpha_1) \sim (\Sigma\nu, \underline{\mathbb{C}}^r, \alpha_2)$$

Finally, the bordism (Ω, α_2) gives

$$(\Sigma\nu, \underline{\mathbb{C}}^r, \alpha_2) \sim (S^m, \underline{\mathbb{C}}^r, \alpha_2)$$

□

Lemma 24. *Let the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n be the union of two compact subsets B, Ω with $\Sigma = B \cap \Omega$. Given a continuous map $\alpha : \Sigma \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, there exist continuous maps $\alpha_1 : B \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, $\alpha_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ such that, when restricted to Σ , $\alpha_1 \alpha = \alpha_2$.*

Proof. Let E be the vector bundle on the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n obtained by clutching trivial bundles on B and Ω via α ,

$$E = \Omega \times \mathbb{C}^r \sqcup B \times \mathbb{C}^r / \sim \quad (p, v) \sim (p, \alpha(p)v) \quad p \in \Sigma$$

Because the unit ball is contractible, E can be trivialized. A trivialization of E amounts to a choice of continuous maps $\alpha_1 : B \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, $\alpha_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\alpha_1(p)\alpha(p)v = \alpha_2(p)v$ for all $p \in \Sigma$, $v \in \mathbb{C}^r$.

□

12. PROOF OF THE INDEX THEOREM

Bott periodicity is the following statement about the homotopy groups of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ [4],

$$\pi_j \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & j \text{ odd} \\ 0 & j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1$$

Proposition 25. *Let S^m be an odd dimensional sphere. If $\alpha : S^m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ is a continuous map then*

$$\mathrm{Index} T_\alpha = \mathrm{ch}(\alpha)[S^m]$$

Proof. Assume $r \geq (m+1)/2$, so that $\pi_m \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. If not, replace r with $r' \geq (m+1)/2$, and α with $\alpha \oplus I_{r'-r}$.

Due to homotopy invariance of the index, the analytic and topological index determine maps

$$\phi : \pi_m \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \phi([\alpha]) = \mathrm{Index} T_\alpha$$

$$\psi : \pi_m \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \quad \psi([\alpha]) = \mathrm{ch}(\alpha)[S^m]$$

Note that if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : S^m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ represent two elements in $\pi_m \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, their product in $\pi_m \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ can be represented by the map $p \mapsto \alpha_1(p)\alpha_2(p)$. Since

$$\mathrm{Index} T_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} = \mathrm{Index} T_{\alpha_1} + \mathrm{Index} T_{\alpha_2} \quad \mathrm{ch}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2) = \mathrm{ch}(\alpha_1) + \mathrm{ch}(\alpha_2)$$

it follows that $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ are homomorphisms of abelian groups.

By Theorem 2, for $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $f(z) = z^{-1}$,

$$\mathrm{Index} T_f = \mathrm{ch}(f)[S^1] = 1$$

Then by Lemma 22 there exists $\alpha : S^m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ with

$$\mathrm{Index} T_\alpha = \mathrm{ch}(\alpha)[S^m] = 1$$

This implies that $\phi([\alpha]) = \psi([\alpha])$ for all $[\alpha] \in \pi_m \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. □

Remark 26. For an alternate approach to this proof, using Bott periodicity combined with a direct calculation, see Venugopalkrishna [12].

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 25, Theorem 1 holds for odd dimensional spheres $M = S^m$. Then by Lemma 22, Theorem 1 holds for all odd dimensional spin^c manifolds M . □

13. BOUTET DE MONVEL'S THEOREM

Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be a complex analytic manifold, and $\Omega^0 \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ a relatively compact open submanifold with smooth boundary $M = \partial\Omega^0$. Choose a defining function of the boundary $\rho : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\Omega^0 = \rho^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$, $\Omega = \rho^{-1}((-\infty, 0])$, $M = \rho^{-1}(0)$, and $d\rho(p) \neq 0$ for all $p \in M$. The boundary of Ω^0 is called strictly pseudoconvex if for every $p \in M$ and every holomorphic vector w that is tangent to M ,

$$w \in T_p^{1,0}M = T_p^{1,0}\tilde{\Omega} \cap (T_pM \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

we have

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\rho(w, \bar{w}) > 0 \quad \text{if } w \neq 0$$

Strict pseudoconvexity is biholomorphically invariant. A domain Ω^0 in \mathbb{C}^n that is strictly convex in the Euclidean sense is strictly pseudoconvex.

The Hardy space $H^2(M)$ is the space of L^2 -functions on M that extend to a holomorphic function on Ω . The Szegö projection S is the orthogonal projection

$$S : L^2(M) \rightarrow H^2(M)$$

For a continuous map $\alpha : M \rightarrow \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, let \mathcal{M}_α be the corresponding multiplication operator on $L^2(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$. The Toeplitz operator \mathfrak{T}_α is the composition of \mathcal{M}_α with $S \otimes I_r$,

$$\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = (S \otimes I_r)\mathcal{M}_\alpha : H^2(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow H^2(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

\mathfrak{T}_α is a bounded Fredholm operator. Boutet de Monvel's theorem is (Theorem 1 in [5]):

Theorem 27.

$$\text{Index } \mathfrak{T}_\alpha = (\text{ch}(\alpha) \cup \text{Td}(M))[M]$$

Proof. The complex manifold Ω is a spin^c manifold, and so is its boundary M .¹ Using the projection P_+ onto the positive space of the Dirac operator of M , as in the introduction of this paper, we form a Toeplitz operator

$$T_\alpha = (P_+ \otimes I_r)\mathcal{M}_\alpha : L_+^2(M, S) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow L_+^2(M, S) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

Theorem 27 follows from Theorem 1 if we can show that

$$\text{Index } \mathfrak{T}_\alpha = \text{Index } T_\alpha$$

This is Proposition 4.6 of [2].

An outline of the proof given in [2] is as follows. According to Proposition 13 above,

$$\text{Index } T_\alpha = \text{Index } T_{\tilde{\alpha}}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} : \Omega \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$ is any continuous function whose restriction to the boundary $M = \partial\Omega$ is α , and $T_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ is the Toeplitz operator,

$$T_{\tilde{\alpha}} : \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$$

¹A strictly pseudoconvex boundary M is a contact manifold, with contact 1-form $(\partial\rho - \bar{\partial}\rho)|_M$. The spin^c structure of M as the boundary of Ω agrees with its spin^c structure as a contact manifold.

Here $\mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega)$ is the null-space of the maximal closed extension of D_Ω , as in section 5.

Following section 3 of [2], we now choose a different domain for the Dirac operator of Ω , using $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann boundary conditions. The Dirac operator of Ω is the assembled Dolbeault complex,

$$\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^* : C^\infty(\Omega, S^+) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Omega, S^-)$$

with positive and negative spinor bundles

$$S^+ = \bigoplus_{k \text{ even}} \Lambda^k T^{0,1}\Omega \quad S^- = \bigoplus_{k \text{ odd}} \Lambda^k T^{0,1}\Omega$$

Here $C^\infty(\Omega, S^{+/-})$ denotes the space of spinors that are smooth up to and including the boundary. Let

$$\mathcal{A}^k := \{u \in C^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda^k T^{0,1}\Omega) \mid \iota_{\bar{\partial}\rho} u = 0 \text{ on } M = \partial\Omega\}$$

where, as usual, ι denotes contraction. Let D_N denote the closure of $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$ restricted to $\bigoplus_{k \text{ even}} \mathcal{A}^k$. Then

$$D_\Omega \subseteq D_N \subseteq \bar{D}_\Omega$$

By the change of domain principle of section 2 of [2], the index of any Toeplitz operator obtained by using the projection onto the null space $\mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$, is equal to the index of the Toeplitz operator obtained by using projection onto the null space $\mathcal{N}(D_N) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ (Proposition 3.3 in [2]).

Let $\square = D_N^* D_N$ be the complex Laplacian acting on $(0, k)$ -forms with k even, likewise $\square = D_N D_N^*$ acting on $(0, k)$ -forms with k odd. By a result of J. Kohn [8, 9], if the boundary of Ω is strictly pseudoconvex, the operator \square has compact resolvent for $k \neq 0$. This then implies that $\mathcal{N}(D_N^*)$ is finite dimensional, and $\mathcal{N}(D_N)$ is at most a finite dimensional perturbation of

$$H^\omega(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \bar{\partial}u = 0\}$$

The projection $L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^\omega(\Omega)$ is the Bergmann projection. The index of any Toeplitz operator obtained by using projection onto the null space $\mathcal{N}(D_N) \otimes \mathbb{C}^r$ is equal to the index of the Toeplitz operator obtained using the Bergmann projection.

Finally, the transition from the Bergmann projection (on Ω) to the Szegő projection S (on $M = \partial\Omega$) is done by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 13.

In summary, the proof is

$$P_+ \rightsquigarrow \text{Calderon} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{D}_\Omega) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(D_N) \rightsquigarrow \text{Bergmann} \rightsquigarrow \text{Szegő}$$

□

Remark 28. A crucial step in the proof of Theorem 27 is the passage from spinors to functions (i.e. the step $\mathcal{N}(D_N) \rightsquigarrow \text{Bergmann}$), which is done by applying a result of J. Kohn. Compare this to the sheaf theoretic result that, if Ω is strictly pseudoconvex, then the sheaf cohomology $H^k(\Omega^0, \mathcal{O})$ is a finite dimensional complex vector space for $k > 0$ (Proposition 4 in [7]).

Here \mathcal{O} denotes the structure sheaf (germs of holomorphic functions) of Ω^0 . $H^0(\Omega^0, \mathcal{O})$ is the space of holomorphic functions on Ω^0 . $H^k(\Omega^0, \mathcal{O})$ identifies with the k -th homology of the Dolbeault complex.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baum and R. G. Douglas, *Relative K homology and C* algebras*, *K-Theory* **5** (1991), no. 1, 1–46. MR1141333
- [2] P. Baum, R. G. Douglas, and M. E. Taylor, *Cycles and relative cycles in analytic K-homology*, *J. Differential Geom.* **30** (1989), no. 3, 761–804.
- [3] P. F. Baum and E. van Erp, *K-homology and Fredholm operators I: Dirac operators*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **134** (2018), 101–118. MR3886929
- [4] R. Bott, *The stable homotopy of the classical groups*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **70** (1959), 313–337. MR110104
- [5] L. Boutet de Monvel, *On the index of Toeplitz operators of several complex variables*, *Invent. Math.* **50** (1978/79), no. 3, 249–272.
- [6] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn, *The basic propositions on defect numbers, root numbers and indices of linear operators*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)* **13** (1960), 185–264. MR0113146
- [7] H. Grauert, *On Levi’s problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **68** (1958), 460–472. MR98847
- [8] J. J. Kohn, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds. I*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **78** (1963), 112–148. MR153030
- [9] ———, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds. II*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **79** (1964), 450–472. MR208200
- [10] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, No. 76. MR0440554
- [11] F. Noether, *Über eine Klasse singulärer Integralgleichungen*, *Math. Ann.* **82** (1920), no. 1-2, 42–63. MR1511970
- [12] U. Venugopalkrishna, *Fredholm operators associated with strongly pseudoconvex domains in C^n* , *J. Functional Analysis* **9** (1972), 349–373. MR0315502

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA, 16802, USA

Email address: baum@math.psu.edu

DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, 6188, KEMENY HALL, HANOVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE, 03755, USA

Email address: jhamvanerp@gmail.com