

PLANAR EMBEDDINGS OF MINC'S CONTINUUM AND GENERALIZATIONS

ANA ANUŠIĆ

ABSTRACT. We show that if $f: I \rightarrow I$ is piecewise monotone, post-critically finite, and locally eventually onto, then for every point $x \in X = \varprojlim(I, f)$ there exists a planar embedding of X such that x is accessible. In particular, every point x in Minc's continuum X_M from [11, Question 19 p. 335] can be embedded accessibly. All constructed embeddings are *thin*, *i.e.*, can be covered by an arbitrary small chain of open sets which are connected in the plane.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main motivation for this study is the following long-standing open problem:

Problem (Nadler and Quinn 1972 [20, p. 229] and [21]). Let X be a chainable continuum, and $x \in X$. Is there a planar embedding of X such that x is accessible?

The importance of this problem is illustrated by the fact that it appears at three independent places in the collection of open problems in Continuum Theory published in 2018 [10, see Question 1, Question 49, and Question 51]. We will give a positive answer to the Nadler-Quinn problem for every point in a wide class of chainable continua, which includes $\varprojlim(I, f)$ for a simplicial locally eventually onto map f , and in particular continuum X_M introduced by Piotr Minc in [11, Question 19 p. 335]. Continuum X_M was suspected to have a point which is inaccessible in every planar embedding of X_M .

A continuum is a non-empty, compact, connected, metric space, and it is chainable if it can be represented as an inverse limit with bonding maps $f_i: I \rightarrow I$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which can be assumed to be onto and piecewise linear. That is,

$$X = \varprojlim(I, f_i) = \{(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) : f_i(\xi_i) = \xi_{i-1}, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset I^\infty,$$

where $I = [0, 1]$ and I^∞ is equipped with the standard product topology.

If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a planar continuum, we say that $x \in X$ is *accessible* (from the complement of X), if there exists an arc $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $A \cap X = \{x\}$. According to an old result of

Date: January 15, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54C25, 54F15, 54H20, 37B45, 37C70, 37E05.

Key words and phrases. planar embeddings, accessible points, chainable continuum, attractor, inverse limit space.

We thank Henk Bruin and Jernej Činč for helpful remarks on the earlier versions of this paper. AA was supported by grant 2018/17585-5, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP).

Bing [6], every chainable continuum can be embedded in the plane, making some points accessible and possibly leaving some inaccessible. In fact, if X is indecomposable, there are going to be many inaccessible points in every planar embedding of X , see [16]. For further results on planar embeddings of chainable continua and accessibility, see *e.g.* the related results on the pseudo-arc in [7, 12, 23], unimodal inverse limit spaces in [1, 2], Knaster continua in [9, 14, 15], or hereditary decomposable chainable continua in [18, 22].

In [4] (jointly with Henk Bruin and Jernej Činč), we show that if $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X = \varprojlim(I, f_i)$ is such that x_i is *not in a zigzag of f_i* for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then we can embed X in the plane with x accessible, see [4, Theorem 7.3]. Precise definition of what it means to be contained in a zigzag is given in Definition 3.1, see also Figure 4, and the rest of Section 3 for some basic properties. Here we will shortly give an intuitive reason why this notion has an affect on accessibility. If x is not in a zigzag of f , then we can “permute” the graph of f such that we “expose” the point $(x, f(x))$ in the graph of f . To be more precise, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an embedding $\alpha: I \rightarrow I^2$, such that $|\pi_2(\alpha(y)) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for every $y \in I$, and the straight line joining $\alpha(x)$ with $(1, f(x))$ intersects $\alpha(I)$ only in $\alpha(x)$, see Figure 1. Here $\pi_2: I^2 \rightarrow I$ is the projection on the second coordinate.




FIGURE 1. Permuting the graph to expose points. Graph of f is given on the left, with point $(x, f(x))$ denoted by a circle. The horizontal arc joining $(x, f(x))$ with $(1, f(x))$ intersects the graph multiple times. On the right we construct a permutation $\alpha: I \rightarrow I^2$ of the graph, exposing $\alpha(x)$. Note that $(x, f(x))$ in the boldface area cannot be exposed.

The results of this paper will mostly be stated for chainable continua which can be represented as inverse limits with a single bonding map $f: I \rightarrow I$. Not every chainable continuum is like that, see *e.g.* [13]. The reason for this restriction, other than simplicity of notation, is the dynamical nature of spaces $\varprojlim(I, f)$. It was shown by Barge and Martin in [5], with the use of Brown’s theorem [8], that every $\varprojlim(I, f)$ can be embedded in the plane as global attractor of a planar homeomorphism $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, which acts on the attractor as the *shift homeomorphism* given by $\sigma((x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)) = (f(x_0), x_0, x_1, \dots)$. It is still not completely clear which planar embeddings of $\varprojlim(I, f)$ allow σ to be extended to a homeomorphism of the plane. This question was first asked by Boyland (in 2015) for unimodal maps f , see the discussion in Section 8 of [2].

The ideas in this paper originated from the study of continuum $X_M = \varprojlim(I, f_M)$, where $f_M: I \rightarrow I$ is given in Figure 2. It was introduced by Piotr Minc in 2001, where he asks:

Question (Minc [11, Question 19 p. 335]). Is there a planar embedding of X_M such that $p = (1/2, 1/2, \dots)$ is accessible?

Note that $1/2$ is in a zigzag of f , so the theory from [4] does not help. Actually, $1/2$ is in a zigzag of f^n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so it is not helpful if we represent X_M as $\varprojlim(I, f_M^{n_i})$, where $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any sequence of natural numbers. However, it turns out that there is another representation of X_M in which coordinates of p will not be in zigzags of bonding maps. We will construct a map $g: I \rightarrow I$ for which there is a homeomorphism $h: X_M \rightarrow \varprojlim(I, g)$ such that $h(p) = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, \dots)$, and such that $1/2$ is not in a zigzag of g , thus answering Minc's question in positive. See the graph of g in Figure 8. Actually, in Section 4 we show that every point of X_M can be embedded accessibly, see Theorem 4.3. We note that all the constructed embeddings are *thin*, i.e., the planar representation can be covered with an arbitrary small chain of open and connected sets in the plane.




FIGURE 2. Minc's map f_M and its second iterate f_M^2 , illustrating that $1/2$ is in a zigzag of f_M^n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally, in Section 5 we generalize the construction to $\varprojlim(I, f)$, where f is assumed to be piecewise monotone, locally eventually onto (leo), and with eventually periodic critical points, see Corollary 5.8. The leo assumption is not very restrictive; any piecewise monotone interval map without restrictive intervals, periodic attractors, or wandering intervals is conjugate to a piecewise linear leo map, or semi-conjugate otherwise (see e.g. [17]). Furthermore, every simplicial map f has eventually periodic critical points. We note that Minc's map f_M satisfies all the properties above. However, for the clarity of the exposition, we will explain the construction in the special case of X_M before proceeding to the more general theory.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Set of natural numbers will be denoted by \mathbb{N} and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. A *continuum* is a nonempty, compact, connected, metric space. An *arc* is a space homeomorphic to the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$. Given two continua X, Y , a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *map*. A map $f: I \rightarrow I$ is called *piecewise monotone* if there is $m \geq 0$, and points $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_m < c_{m+1} = 1$, such that $f|_{[c_i, c_{i+1}]}$ is strictly monotone for every $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, points c_i are called *critical points of f* , and $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ is called *critical set of f* . For the simplicity of notation, we will often include $0 = c_0$ and $c_{m+1} = 1$ in the critical set.

Given a sequence of continua X_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and maps $f_i: X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the *inverse limit space* of the inverse system (X_i, f_i) as:

$$\varprojlim (X_i, f_i) := \{(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) : f_i(\xi_i) = \xi_{i-1}, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i,$$

and equip it with the product topology, *i.e.*, the smallest topology in which all coordinate projections $\pi_i: \varprojlim (X_i, f_i) \rightarrow X_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are continuous. Then $\varprojlim (X_i, f_i)$ is also a continuum. If there is a continuum X such that $X_i = X$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the inverse limit space is denoted by $\varprojlim (X, f_i)$, and if additionally there is $f: X \rightarrow X$ such that $f_i = f$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, it is denoted by $\varprojlim (X, f)$.

A *chain \mathcal{C}* in a continuum X is a set $\mathcal{C} = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n\}$, where ℓ_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ are non-empty open sets in X such that $\ell_i \cap \ell_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i - j| \leq 1$. Sets ℓ_i are called *links* of \mathcal{C} . Note that we do not necessarily assume that ℓ_i are connected sets in X (and they most often will not be). *Mesh* of \mathcal{C} is the maximal diameter of all links of \mathcal{C} . We say that X is *chainable* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a chain in X of mesh $< \varepsilon$ which covers X . Every chainable continuum can be represented as $\varprojlim (I, f_i)$, for some maps $f_i: I \rightarrow I$ which can be assumed to be piecewise linear and surjective.

Given a map $f: I \rightarrow I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by f^n we denote its n th iterate, *i.e.*, $f^1 = f$ and $f^n = f^{n-1} \circ f$ for all $n > 1$. Given a sequence of natural numbers $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the spaces $\varprojlim (I, f^{n_i})$ and $\varprojlim (I, f)$ are homeomorphic, with a homeomorphism given by $\varprojlim (I, f) \ni (\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) \mapsto (\xi_0, \xi_{n_1}, \xi_{n_1+n_2}, \xi_{n_1+n_2+n_3}, \dots) \in \varprojlim (I, f^{n_i})$. Moreover, if we are given a sequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers, and maps $s_i, t_i, g_i: I \rightarrow I$ such that the diagram from Figure 3 commutes, then $\varprojlim (I, f)$ is homeomorphic to $\varprojlim (I, g_i)$. The homeomorphism between $\varprojlim (I, f^{n_i})$ and $\varprojlim (I, g_i)$ is given by $(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots) \mapsto (s_1(\xi_1), s_2(\xi_2), s_3(\xi_3), \dots)$. For the more general theory of homeomorphisms of inverse limits, see [19].

3. PLANAR EMBEDDINGS, ZIGZAGS, AND ACCESSIBILITY

Given a continuum X , by its *planar embedding* we mean a homeomorphism $\nu: X \rightarrow \nu(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. It is known that every chainable continuum can be embedded in the plane,




FIGURE 3. Commutative diagram.

[6]. Given a continuum $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and $\xi \in X$, we say that ξ is *accessible from the complement* (or just *accessible*) if there is an arc $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $A \cap X = \{\xi\}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be a piecewise monotone map with critical points $0 < c_1 < \dots < c_m < 1$. We say that $y \in I$ is *inside a zigzag of f* if for every $k \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$ such that $y \in [c_k, c_{k+1}]$, there exist $a, b \in I$ such that $a < c_k < c_{k+1} < b \in I$ and either

- (1) $f(c_k) > f(c_{k+1})$ and $f|_{[a,b]}$ assumes its global minimum at a and its global maximum at b , or
- (2) $f(c_k) < f(c_{k+1})$ and $f|_{[a,b]}$ assumes its global maximum at a and its global minimum at b .

See Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. Point $y \in I$ is in a zigzag of f if and only if $y \in (c_3, c_4)$. Point $y \in I$ is in a zigzag of g if and only if $y \in (c_1, c_2) \cup (c_3, c_4)$ (in boldface).

Note that if $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ are critical points of f , then *e.g.* points in $[0, c_1]$ and $[c_m, 1]$ are never in a zigzag of f . We can say more:

Remark 3.2. Note that if $f(c_k) \in \{0, 1\}$, then for every $a < b$ such that $a < c_k < c_{k+1} < b$, c_k is a local minimum or a local maximum of $f|_{[a,b]}$. Thus no point in $[c_k, c_{k+1}]$ is contained in a zigzag of f .

The following lemma gives another criterion which determines when a point is not in a zigzag. It will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a piecewise monotone map, and $y \in I$. Assume that there exist $a < b \in I$ such that $y \in [a, b]$, $f(t) \notin \{f(a), f(b)\}$ for all $t \in (a, b)$, and either*

- (1) $f(a) \in \{0, 1\}$ and $f|_{[y, b]}$ is one-to-one, or
- (2) $f(b) \in \{0, 1\}$, and $f|_{[a, y]}$ is one-to-one.

Then y is not in a zigzag of f .

Proof. Assume first that (1) holds, and $f(a) = 0$. Then $f((a, b)) = (0, f(b))$, and since $f|_{[y, b]}$ is one-to-one, also $f((y, b)) = (f(y), f(b))$. Thus for adjacent critical points c_k, c_{k+1} of f such that $[y, b] \subseteq [c_k, c_{k+1}]$, it holds that $f(c_k) < f(c_{k+1})$. If y is in a zigzag of f , there exist $\alpha, \beta \in I$ such that $\alpha < c_k < c_{k+1} < \beta$, and $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ assumes its global maximum in α , and global minimum in β . However, since $f(t) < f(b)$ for all $t \in [a, b]$, it must hold that $\alpha < a$. But then $a \in [\alpha, \beta]$, and since $f(a) = 0$, it follows that a is a local minimum of $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$, which is a contradiction with β being the global minimum.

Assume that (1) holds, with $f(a) = 1$. Then $f((a, b)) = (f(b), 1)$, thus also $f((y, b)) = (f(b), f(y))$. It follows that if $[y, b] \subseteq [c_k, c_{k+1}]$, then $f(c_k) > f(c_{k+1})$. So if y is in a zigzag of f , there exist $\alpha, \beta \in I$ such that $\alpha < c_k < c_{k+1} < \beta$, α is the global minimum, and β is the global maximum of $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$. However, since $f(t) > f(b)$ for all $t \in [a, b]$, it must hold that $\alpha < a$. But then $a \in [\alpha, \beta]$, and since $f(a) = 1$, it follows that a is a local maximum of $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$, which is a contradiction.

Case (2) follows similarly. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $f, g: I \rightarrow I$ be piecewise monotone maps. If $y \in I$ is in a zigzag of $g \circ f$, then y is in a zigzag of f , or $f(y)$ is in a zigzag of g .*

Proof. Assume that y is not in a zigzag of f and $f(y)$ is not in a zigzag of g .

Claim 1. We claim that for every $a < y < b$ such that $g \circ f((a, b)) = (g(f(a)), g(f(b)))$, there exists a maximal interval $J \subset [a, b]$ such that $y \in J$, and $g \circ f|_J$ is monotone increasing.

(a) Assume that $f|_{[a, b]}$ is increasing. Thus $f((a, b)) = (f(a), f(b))$, and $g|_{[f(a), f(b)]}$ is also increasing, and $g((f(a), f(b))) = (g(f(a)), g(f(b)))$.

Let $\alpha < \beta$ be such that $a \leq \alpha \leq y \leq \beta \leq b$, $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ is monotone, and such that $[\alpha, \beta] \ni y$ is a maximal such interval. If y is a critical point of f , then we choose $[\alpha, \beta]$ such that $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ is increasing.

Assume that y is not a critical point of f . If $\alpha = a$, or $\beta = b$, then $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ is obviously increasing. Furthermore, since we assumed that y is not in a zigzag of f , if $a < \alpha < \beta < b$, then $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ must also be increasing.

Similarly, let $\gamma < \delta$ be such that $f(a) \leq \gamma \leq f(y) \leq \delta \leq f(b)$, $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ is monotone, and $[\gamma, \delta] \ni f(y)$ is a maximal such interval. Again, if $f(y)$ is a critical point of g , we choose $[\gamma, \delta]$ such that $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ is increasing. If $f(y)$ is not a critical point of g , since $f(y)$ is not in a zigzag of g , similarly as before we conclude that $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ is increasing.

Define $J := f^{-1}([\gamma, \delta]) \cap [\alpha, \beta]$. Then J is an interval, and it is a maximal interval which contains y such that $g \circ f|_J$ is monotone. Moreover, since $J \subset [\alpha, \beta]$, and $f(J) \subset [\gamma, \delta]$, and $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$, $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ are monotone increasing, it follows that $g \circ f|_J$ is also monotone increasing.

(b) Assume that $f|_{[a, b]}$ is decreasing. Thus $f((a, b)) = (f(b), f(a))$, $g|_{[f(a), f(b)]}$ is also decreasing, and $g((f(b), f(a))) = (g(f(a)), g(f(b)))$. We take α, β as in the previous paragraph, but this time if y is a critical point of f , we choose such that $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ is decreasing. Again we conclude that $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ is decreasing in any case. Similarly we find γ, δ as before, but if $f(y)$ is a critical point of g , we choose $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ to be decreasing. We conclude that $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ is decreasing in any case. So $J := f^{-1}([\gamma, \delta]) \cap [\alpha, \beta]$ is again a maximal interval which contains y such that $f|_J$ is monotone. Since in this case $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ and $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ are both decreasing, $g \circ f|_J$ is again monotone increasing.

Claim 2. We claim that for every $a < y < b$ such that $g \circ f((a, b)) = (g(f(b)), g(f(a)))$, there exists a maximal interval $J \subset [a, b]$ such that $y \in J$, and $g \circ f|_J$ is monotone decreasing.

(a) Assume that $f|_{[a, b]}$ is increasing. Thus $f((a, b)) = (f(a), f(b))$, and $g|_{[f(a), f(b)]}$ is decreasing, $g((f(a), f(b))) = (g(f(b)), g(f(a)))$. We define $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ as before, and this time we conclude that $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ is increasing, and $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ is decreasing. Then $J := f^{-1}([\gamma, \delta]) \cap [\alpha, \beta]$ is again a maximal interval which contains y such that $f|_J$ is monotone. Since in this case $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ is increasing, and $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ is decreasing, $g \circ f|_J$ is monotone decreasing.

(b) Assume that $f|_{[a, b]}$ is decreasing. Thus $f((a, b)) = (f(b), f(a))$, $g|_{[f(a), f(b)]}$ is increasing, and $g((f(b), f(a))) = (g(f(b)), g(f(a)))$. Now $f|_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ is decreasing, and $g|_{[\gamma, \delta]}$ is increasing. Then $J := f^{-1}([\gamma, \delta]) \cap [\alpha, \beta]$ is a maximal interval which contains y such that $f|_J$ is monotone, and $f|_J$ is monotone decreasing.

Recall that if y is in a zigzag of $g \circ f$, then there are $a < y < b$ such that for every maximal interval $J \ni y$ such that $g \circ f|_J$ is monotone, either

- (1) $g \circ f((a, b)) = (g(f(a)), g(f(b)))$, and $g \circ f|_J$ is decreasing, or
- (2) $g \circ f((a, b)) = (g(f(b)), g(f(a)))$, and $g \circ f|_J$ is increasing.

Thus Claims 1 and 2 imply that y is not in a zigzag of $g \circ f$. □

Let X be a continuum and $\nu: X \rightarrow \nu(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an embedding of X in the plane. We say that ν is a *thin embedding* (also called C -embedding in [3]) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a chain $\mathcal{C} = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n\}$ of $\nu(X)$ which covers $\nu(X)$, and such that ℓ_i is a connected set in \mathbb{R}^2 for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

The following theorem gives a connection between accessibility and zigzags in bonding maps.

Theorem 3.5. [4, Theorem 7.3] *Let $X = \varprojlim\{I, f_i\}$ where each $f_i: I \rightarrow I$ is a continuous piecewise monotone surjection. If $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X$ is such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, x_i is not inside a zigzag of f_i , then there exists a thin embedding $\nu: X \rightarrow \nu(X)$ of X in the plane such that $\nu(x)$ is an accessible point of $\nu(X)$.*

Theorem 3.6. *Let $X = \varprojlim(I, f)$, and assume that there exist sequences $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$, $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $s_i, t_i: I \rightarrow I$ are onto maps for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $t_i \circ s_i = f^{n_i}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $g_i = s_i \circ t_{i+1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$; then the diagram in Figure 3 commutes. Let $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X$. If $s_i(x_{n_i})$ is not in a zigzag of g_{i-1} for every $i \geq 2$, then there exists a thin embedding $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\nu(x)$ is accessible.*

Proof. Let $h: X \rightarrow \varprojlim(I, g_i)$ be a homeomorphism given by

$$h((\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)) = (s_1(\xi_{n_1}), s_2(\xi_{n_2}), s_3(\xi_{n_3}), \dots).$$

By Theorem 3.5, there is a thin embedding $\mu: \varprojlim(I, g_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\mu(h(x))$ is accessible. Then $\nu := \mu \circ h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a thin embedding of X , and $\nu(x)$ is accessible. \square

4. EMBEDDINGS OF MINC'S CONTINUUM

In this section we show how to embed every point of Minc's continuum X_M accessibly. It is important to understand this example since the procedure generalizes to a much wider class of chainable continua. The generalization will be given in the next section.

Recall that $X_M = \varprojlim(I, f_M)$, where f_M is given in Figure 2. We first construct maps $s, t, s', t': I \rightarrow I$ such that $t \circ s = f_M^2$ and $t' \circ s' = f_M^2$.

We define $s, t: I \rightarrow I$ as

$$s(y) := \begin{cases} \frac{7}{18}(1 - f_M^2(y)), & y \in [0, \frac{7}{18}] \\ y, & y \in [\frac{7}{18}, 1], \end{cases} \quad t(y) := \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{18}{7}y, & y \in [0, \frac{7}{18}], \\ f_M^2(y), & y \in [\frac{7}{18}, 1], \end{cases}$$

see Figure 5. Note that $f_M^2(7/18) = 0$, so s and t are well-defined and continuous. Furthermore, if $y \in [0, 7/18]$, then $s(y) = 7/18(1 - f_M^2(y))$, and $s(y) \in [0, 7/18]$. So $t(s(y)) = 1 - \frac{18}{7}(\frac{7}{18}(1 - f_M^2(y))) = f_M^2(y)$. If $y \in [7/18, 1]$, then $s(y) = y$, hence $t(s(y)) = t(y) = f_M^2(y)$. It follows that $t \circ s = f_M^2$.

We define maps $s', t': I \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$s'(y) := \begin{cases} y, & y \in [0, \frac{11}{18}] \\ 1 - \frac{7}{18}f_M^2(y), & y \in [\frac{11}{18}, 1], \end{cases} \quad t'(y) := \begin{cases} f_M^2(y), & y \in [0, \frac{11}{18}], \\ \frac{18}{7}(1 - y), & y \in [\frac{11}{18}, 1], \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 5. Graphs of maps s and t . Note that $t \circ s = f_M^2$.

see Figure 6. Since $f_M^2(11/18) = 1$, s' and t' are well-defined and continuous. Note also that for $y \in [0, 11/18]$ we have $t'(s'(y)) = t'(y) = f_M^2(y)$, and for $y \in [11/18, 1]$, also $s'(y) \in [11/18, 1]$, and thus $t'(s'(y)) = t'(1 - 7/18(f_M^2(y))) = f_M^2(y)$. It follows that $t' \circ s' = f_M^2$.




FIGURE 6. Graphs of maps s' and t' . Note that $t' \circ s' = f_M^2$.

Now let $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in X_M$. We will construct a planar embedding $\nu_x: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\nu_x(x)$ is accessible.

Note that $(x_0, x_2, x_4, \dots) \in \varprojlim(I, f_M^2)$. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we define maps $s_i, t_i: I \rightarrow I$ as one of s, s', t, t' , depending on the position of the $(2i)$ th coordinate of x as follows:

$$s_i = \begin{cases} s', & x_{2i} \in [0, \frac{7}{18}], \\ s, & x_{2i} \in (\frac{7}{18}, 1]. \end{cases} \quad t_i = \begin{cases} t', & x_{2i} \in [0, \frac{7}{18}], \\ t, & x_{2i} \in (\frac{7}{18}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Note that $t_i \circ s_i = f_M^2$, $s_i(x_{2i}) = x_{2i}$, and x_{2i} is not in a zigzag of s_i for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $g_i := s_i \circ t_{i+1}$, see the commutative diagram in Figure 7.




FIGURE 7. Commutative diagram. Minc's continuum X_M is homeomorphic to $\varprojlim(I, g_i)$, and coordinates of x will not be in zigzags of bonding maps g_i .

Lemma 4.1. *If y is in a zigzag of $g_i = s_i \circ t_{i+1}$, then $t_{i+1}(y)$ is in a zigzag of s_i .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.4, y is in a zigzag of t_{i+1} , or $t_{i+1}(y)$ is in a zigzag of s_i . Assume that y is in a zigzag of t_{i+1} . Then Remark 3.2 implies that there are critical points c_k, c_{k+1} of t_{i+1} such that $c_k < y < c_{k+1}$, $t_{i+1}|_{[c_k, c_{k+1}]}$ is monotone, and $t_{i+1}([c_k, c_{k+1}]) = [1/3, 2/3]$. Furthermore, $s_i|_{[1/3, 2/3]}$ is monotone, and $s_i([1/3, 2/3]) = [0, 2/3]$ if $s_i = s$, or $s_i([1/3, 2/3]) = [1/3, 1]$ if $s_i = s'$. In any case, $g_i|_{[c_k, c_{k+1}]} = s_i \circ t_{i+1}|_{[c_k, c_{k+1}]}$ is monotone, and at least one of $g_i(c_k), g_i(c_{k+1})$ is in $\{0, 1\}$. By Remark 3.2, y is not in a zigzag of g_i , which is a contradiction. It follows that $t_{i+1}(y)$ must be in a zigzag of s_i . \square

Lemma 4.2. *For every $i \geq 0$ it holds that $g_i(x_{2(i+1)}) = x_{2i}$ and $x_{2(i+1)}$ not in a zigzag of g_i .*

Proof. We have $g_i(x_{2(i+1)}) = g_i(s_{i+1}(x_{2(i+1)})) = s_i \circ t_{i+1} \circ s_{i+1}(x_{2(i+1)}) = s_i \circ f_M^2(x_{2(i+1)}) = s_i(x_{2i}) = x_{2i}$. Furthermore, assume that $x_{2(i+1)}$ is in a zigzag of g_i . By Lemma 4.1, $t_{i+1}(x_{2(i+1)}) = x_{2i}$ is in a zigzag of s_i . That is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.3. *For every $x \in X_M$ there exists a thin planar embedding $\nu_x: X_M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\nu_x(x)$ is accessible.*

Proof. Proof follows directly from Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 4.2. \square

Example 4.4. In particular, let $x = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2, \dots)$. Then $s_i = s$, $t_i = t$, and $g_i =: g = s \circ t$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus there is a homeomorphism $h: X_M \rightarrow \varprojlim(I, g)$ such that $h(x) = (s(1/2), s(1/2), \dots) = (1/2, 1/2, \dots) \in \varprojlim(I, g)$, and $1/2$ is not in a




FIGURE 8. Graph of $g = s \circ t$, with x axis expanded for clarity. Note that $1/2$ is not in a zigzag of g .

zigzag of g , see the graph of g in Figure 8. We can then easily embed $\varprojlim(I, g)$ in the plane with $(1/2, 1/2, \dots)$ accessible.

5. POST-CRITICALLY FINITE LOCALLY EVENTUALLY ONTO BONDING MAP f

We start this section with a theorem which generalizes previous ideas to a much larger class of chainable continua. We will then show that if f is piecewise monotone and post-critically finite locally eventually onto map, then for an arbitrary $x \in \varprojlim(I, f) =: X_f$ there is a planar embedding $\nu_x: X_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\nu_x(x)$ is an accessible point of $\nu_x(X_f)$. Recall that f is *piecewise monotone* if there is finitely many points $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_m < c_{m+1} = 1$ such that f is strictly monotone on $[c_i, c_{i+1}]$ for every $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. The set $C = \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_m, c_{m+1}\}$ will be referred to as *critical set*.

Definition 5.1. Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a piecewise monotone map, and $y \in I$ be a non-critical point of f . Then there is a maximal interval $J := J(f, y) \subset I$ such that $y \in J$, and $f|_J$ is one-to-one. If y is a critical point of f , then there are two maximal intervals $J_1, J_2 \ni y$ such that $f|_{J_1}, f|_{J_2}$ are one-to-one. It holds that $J_1 \cap J_2 = \{y\}$, and exactly one of $f|_{J_1}, f|_{J_2}$ is monotone increasing. We define $J(f, y) = J_1$ if $f(J_1) \subseteq f(J_2)$, or $J(f, y) = J_2$ otherwise. For $y \in I$ we denote $B(f, y) := f(J(f, y))$ and call it an *f-branch* of y .

Theorem 5.2. Let $X = \varprojlim(I, f_i)$, where every f_i is piecewise monotone onto map, and let $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) there exist $a < b \in I$ such that $B(f_i, x_i) = [a, b]$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $[a, a + \varepsilon) \cap \{x_i : i \geq 0\} = \emptyset$, or $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap (b - \varepsilon, b] = \emptyset$,

(3) for every interval $J \subset I$ of diameter $\geq \varepsilon/2$ it holds that $f_i(J) = I$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then there exists a thin embedding $\nu_x: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\nu_x(x)$ is an accessible point of $\nu_x(X)$.

Proof. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we will define $s_i, t_i: I \rightarrow I$ such that $t_i \circ s_i = f_i$, and such that $s_i(x_i)$ is not in a zigzag of $s_{i-1} \circ t_i$. The reader is encouraged to recall the maps s, t, s', t' from the previous section.

Case 1. Assume that $[a, a + \varepsilon) \cap \{x_i : i \geq 0\} = \emptyset$ in (2). By (3), for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $[\alpha_i, \beta_i] \subset [a, a + \varepsilon)$ such that $f_i(\alpha_i) = 1, f_i(\beta_i) = 0$. We define $s_i, t_i: I \rightarrow I$ as follows

$$s_i(y) := \begin{cases} \beta_i(1 - f_i(y)), & y \in [0, \beta_i], \\ y, & y \in [\beta_i, 1]. \end{cases} \quad t_i(y) := \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_i}y, & y \in [0, \beta_i], \\ f_i(y), & y \in [\beta_i, 1], \end{cases}$$

Since $f_i(\beta_i) = 0$, it follows that s_i, t_i are well-defined and continuous. Furthermore, if $y \in [0, \beta_i]$, then $\beta_i(1 - f_i(y)) \in [0, \beta_i]$, so $t_i(s_i(y)) = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_i}(\beta_i(1 - f_i(y))) = f_i(y)$. Thus $t_i \circ s_i(y) = f_i(y)$ for every $x \in I$. Note that $s_i(x_i) = x_i$ and Remark 3.2 implies that x_i is not in a zigzag of f_i , for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We claim that $x_i = s_i(x_i)$ is not in a zigzag of $s_{i-1} \circ t_i$. Note first that if x_i is not in a zigzag of f_i , then it is also not in a zigzag of t_i . Since also $t_i(x_i) = x_{i-1}$ is not in a zigzag of s_{i-1} , Proposition 3.4 implies that x_i is not in a zigzag of $s_{i-1} \circ t_i$. Assume that x_i is in a zigzag of f_i . In particular, Remark 3.2 implies that $J(f_i, x_i)$ does not contain β_i , and thus $J(f_i, x_i) \subset (\beta_i, 1]$. So $J(t_i, x_i) = J(f_i, x_i)$, and, by (1), $t_i|_{J(f_i, x_i)}: J(f_i, x_i) \rightarrow [a, b]$ is one-to-one. Let $\alpha'_{i-1} \in [\alpha_{i-1}, \beta_{i-1})$ be the largest such that $s_{i-1}(\alpha'_{i-1}) = 0$. Since $s_{i-1}(\alpha_{i-1}) = 0$, such α'_{i-1} exists. Moreover, $s_{i-1}((\alpha'_{i-1}, b)) = (0, b)$, and $s_{i-1}|_{[\alpha_{i-1}, b]}$ is one-to-one. Let $J' \subset J(f_i, x_i)$ be such that $t_i|_{J'}: J' \rightarrow [\alpha'_{i-1}, b]$ is a homeomorphism. Then $x_i \in J'$ and Lemma 3.3 implies that x_i is not in a zigzag of $s_{i-1} \circ t_i$.

Thus there is a homeomorphism $h: \varprojlim(I, f_i) \rightarrow \varprojlim(I, s_{i-1} \circ t_i)$ given by $(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \mapsto (s_1(\xi_1), s_2(\xi_2), \dots) = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$. In particular, $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \varprojlim(I, s_{i-1} \circ t_i)$, and since x_i is not contained in a zigzag of $s_{i-1} \circ t_i$ for every $i \geq 2$, Theorem 3.5 implies that there exists a thin planar embedding of $\varprojlim(I, s_{i-1} \circ t_i)$ in which x is accessible. Theorem 3.6 finishes the proof in this case.

Case 2. Assume that $[a, a + \varepsilon) \cap \{x_i : i \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset$. By (2), $\{x_i : i \geq 0\} \cap (b - \varepsilon, b] = \emptyset$. By (3), for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $[\beta_i, \gamma_i] \subset (b - \varepsilon, b]$ such that $f_i(\beta_i) = 1$, and $f_i(\gamma_i) = 0$. We define maps $s_i, t_i: I \rightarrow I$ as

$$s_i(y) := \begin{cases} y, & y \in [0, \beta_i], \\ 1 - (1 - \beta_i)f_i(y), & y \in [\beta_i, 1]. \end{cases} \quad t_i(y) := \begin{cases} f_i(y), & y \in [0, \beta_i], \\ \frac{1}{1-\beta_i}(1 - y), & y \in [\beta_i, 1], \end{cases}$$

Since $f_i(\beta_i) = 1$, it follows that s_i, t_i are continuous. Moreover, if $y \in [\beta_i, 1]$, then $s_i(y) = 1 - (1 - \beta_i)f_i(y) \in [\beta_i, 1]$, so $t_i(s_i(y)) = f_i(y)$. It follows that $t_i \circ s_i = f_i$. Moreover, $s_i(x_i) = x_i$, and Remark 3.2 implies that x_i is not in a zigzag of s_i for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We again claim that $x_i = s_i(x_i)$ is not in a zigzag of $s_{i-1} \circ t_i$ for every $i \geq 2$. If x_i is not in a zigzag of f_i , then it is also not in a zigzag of t_i , so by Proposition 3.4, it is not in a zigzag of $s_{i-1} \circ t_i$. If x_i is in a zigzag of f_i , then Remark 3.2 implies that $J(f_i, x_i) \subset [0, \beta_i)$, and again $J(t_i, x_i) = J(f_i, x_i)$, and $t_i|_{J(t_i, x_i)}: J(t_i, x_i) \rightarrow [a, b]$ is a homeomorphism. Since $s_{i-1}(\gamma_{i-1}) = 1$, there is the smallest $\gamma'_{i-1} \in [\beta_{i-1}, \gamma_{i-1}]$ such that $s_{i-1}(\gamma'_{i-1}) = 1$. We take $J' \subset J(t_i, x_i)$ such that $t_i|_{J'}: J' \rightarrow [a, \gamma'_{i-1}]$ is a homeomorphism. Since $x_i \in J'$, $s_{i-1}((a, \gamma'_{i-1})) = (a, 1)$, and $s_{i-1}|_{[a, x_{i-1}]}$ is one-to-one, Lemma 3.3 again implies that x_i is not in a zigzag of $s_{i-1} \circ t_i$. The proof finishes the same as in Case 1. \square

Definition 5.3. An onto map $f: I \rightarrow I$ is called *locally eventually onto (leo)* if for every interval $J \subset I$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^n(J) = I$.

Lemma 5.4. *If f is leo, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every interval $J \subset I$ with $\text{diam}(J) \geq \varepsilon$ it holds that $f^n(J) = I$ for every $n \geq N$.*

Proof. We find intervals $\{J_1, \dots, J_k\}$ where $\text{diam } J_i < \varepsilon/2$, and $\cup_{i=1}^k J_i = I$. Since f is leo, we can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^N(J_i) = I$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then also $f^n(J_i) = I$ for every $n \geq N$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then we note that every interval $J \subset I$ such that $\text{diam}(J) \geq \varepsilon$ contains at least one J_i , so $f^n(J) = I$ for every $n \geq N$. \square

Definition 5.5. Let f be a piecewise monotone map with critical set $\{0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_m < c_{m+1} = 1\}$. We say that f is *post-critically finite* if every c_i is eventually periodic, *i.e.*, for every $i \in \{0, \dots, m+1\}$ there are $j(i) \in \mathbb{N}$, and $k(i) \geq 0$ such that $f^{j(i)+k(i)}(c_i) = f^{k(i)}(c_i)$.

Remark 5.6. Assume that f is piecewise monotone with critical set $\{0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_n < c_{m+1} = 1\}$, and assume f is post-critically finite. Then note that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in I$, the endpoints of $B(f^n, x)$ belong to the set $\{f^k(c_i) : i \in \{0, \dots, m+1\}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which is a finite set. Thus there is only finitely many types of branches in all iterates of f , *i.e.*, the set $\{B(f^n, x) : n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in I\}$ is finite.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $X = \varprojlim(I, f)$, where f is piecewise monotone leo map which is post-critically finite, and let $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X$. Then there is a strictly increasing sequence $(n_i)_{i \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{N}$, there are $a < b \in I$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

- (1) $B(f^{n_i - n_{i-1}}, x_{n_i}) = [a, b]$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) $[a, a + \varepsilon) \cap \{x_{n_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset$ or $\{x_{n_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap (b - \varepsilon, b] = \emptyset$,
- (3) for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and every interval $J \subset I$ of diameter $\geq \varepsilon/2$ it holds that $f^{n_i - n_{i-1}}(J) = I$.

Proof. Let $i \geq 0$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We will first prove that $B(f^{j+1}, x_{i+j+1}) \subseteq B(f^j, x_{i+j})$. Let $J = J(f^{j+1}, x_{i+j+1})$, so $x_{i+j+1} \in J$, and J is maximal such that $f^{j+1}|_J$ is one-to-one.

Then also $f|_J$ is one-to-one, and since $f(x_{i+j+1}) = x_{i+j}$, it follows that $f(J) \ni x_{i+j}$, and $f^j|_{f(J)}$ is one-to-one. In particular, $B(f^j, x_{i+j}) \supseteq f^{j+1}(J) = B(f^{j+1}, x_{i+j+1})$.

For every $i \geq 0$ we define $A_i(x) = \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} B(f^j, x_{i+j})$. Since f is post-critically finite, Remark 5.6 implies that $\{A_i(x) : i \geq 0\}$ is finite. The first part of the proof also implies that for every $i \geq 0$ there is $J(i)$ such that $B(f^j, x_{i+j}) = A_i(x)$, for every $j \geq J(i)$.

Since $\{A_i : i \geq 0\}$ is finite, we can find a strictly increasing sequence $(m_i)_{i \geq 0}$ and $a < b \in I$ such that $A_{m_i}(x) = [a, b]$ for all $i \geq 0$. Now we define the strictly increasing sequence $(m'_i)_{i \geq 0}$ as $m'_0 := m_0$, and $m'_i := \min\{m_j : m_j - m'_{i-1} \geq J(m'_{i-1})\}$, for $i > 0$. So, since $m'_i - m'_{i-1} \geq J(m'_{i-1})$, we have $B(f^{m'_i - m'_{i-1}}, x_{m'_i}) = B(f^{m'_i - m'_{i-1}}, x_{m'_{i-1} + (m'_i - m'_{i-1})}) = A_{m'_{i-1}}(x) = [a, b]$, for every $i \geq 1$.

Note that actually $B(f^{m'_i - m'_{i-1} + k}, x_{m'_i + k}) = [a, b]$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $k \geq 0$. Furthermore, since $B(f^{m'_i - m'_{i-1}}, x_{m'_i}) = [a, b]$, and since $f^{m'_i - m'_{i-1}}(x_{m'_i}) = x_{m'_{i-1}}$, it follows that $x_{m'_i} \in [a, b]$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, there is a strictly increasing subsequence $(n'_i)_{i \geq 0} \subset (m'_i)_{i \geq 0}$ such that $(x_{n'_i})_{i \geq 0}$ converges to $y \in [a, b]$. Thus we can assume that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $[a, a + \varepsilon) \cap \{x_{n'_i} : i \geq 0\} = \emptyset$, or $\{x_{n'_i} : i \geq 0\} \cap (b - \varepsilon, b] = \emptyset$.

Since f is leo, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every interval $J \subset I$ of diameter $\geq \varepsilon/2$ it holds that $f^n(J) = I$ for every $n \geq N$. We find a strictly increasing subsequence $(n_i)_{i \geq 0} \subset (n'_i)_{i \geq 0}$ such that $n_i - n_{i-1} > N$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it also holds that $[a, a + \varepsilon) \cap \{x_{n_i} : i \geq 0\} = \emptyset$, or $\{x_{n_i} : i \geq 0\} \cap (b - \varepsilon, b] = \emptyset$. Thus $(n_i)_{i \geq 0}$ satisfies (2) and (3).

We only have to show that $(n_i)_{i \geq 0}$ satisfies (1). Let $j > 0$. Then there are $i_1 > i_2$ such that $n_j = m'_{i_1}$, and $n_{j-1} = m'_{i_2}$. Since $B(f^{m'_{i_2+1} - m'_{i_2} + k}, x_{m'_{i_2+1} + k}) = [a, b]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by taking $k = m'_{i_1} - m'_{i_2+1} \geq 0$, we get $B(f^{m'_{i_1} - m'_{i_2}}, x_{m'_{i_1}}) = B(f^{n_j - n_{j-1}}, x_{n_j}) = [a, b]$, which finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.8. *Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be a piecewise monotone, post-critically finite, leo map, and let $x \in \varprojlim(I, f) = X_f$. Then there exists a thin planar embedding $\nu_x : X_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\nu_x(x)$ is an accessible point of $\nu_x(X_f)$.*

Proof. Let $(n_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be as in Lemma 5.7. Then Theorem 5.2 implies that there is a thin planar embedding $\nu'_x : \varprojlim(I, f^{n_i - n_{i-1}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\nu'_x((x_{n_0}, x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots))$ is an accessible point of $\nu'_x(\varprojlim(I, f^{n_i - n_{i-1}}))$. Denote by $h : X_f \rightarrow \varprojlim(I, f^{n_i - n_{i-1}})$ the homeomorphism given by $h((\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)) = (\xi_{n_0}, \xi_{n_1}, \xi_{n_2}, \dots)$. Then $h(x) = (x_{n_0}, x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots)$, and $\nu_x := \nu'_x \circ h : X_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a thin planar embedding such that $\nu_x(x)$ is an accessible point of $\nu_x(X_f)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Anušić, H. Bruin, J. Činč, *Uncountably many planar embeddings of unimodal inverse limit spaces*, DCDS - Series A **37** (2017), 2285–2300.

- [2] A. Anušić, J. Činč, *Accessible points of planar embeddings of tent inverse limit spaces*, Dissertationes Math. **541** (2019), 57 pp.
- [3] A. Anušić, H. Bruin, J. Činč, *Problems on planar embeddings of chainable continua and accessibility*, In: Problems in Continuum Theory in Memory of Sam B. Nadler, Jr. Ed. Logan Hoehn, Piotr Minc, Murat Tuncali, Topology Proc. **52** (2018), 283–285.
- [4] A. Anušić, H. Bruin and J. Činč, *Planar embeddings of chainable continua*, Top. Proc. **56** (2020), 263–296.
- [5] M. Barge, J. Martin, *Construction of global attractors*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 523–525.
- [6] R. H. Bing, *Snake-like continua*, Duke Math J. **18** (1951), 653–663.
- [7] B. Brechner, *On stable homeomorphisms and imbeddings of the pseudo-arc*, Illinois J. Math. **22** Issue 4 (1978), 630–661.
- [8] M. Brown, *Some applications of an approximation theorem for inverse limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 478–483.
- [9] W. Debski, E. Tymchatyn, *A note on accessible composants in Knaster continua*, Houston J. Math. **19** (1993), no. 3, 435–442.
- [10] L. Hoehn, P. Minc and M. Tuncali, editors, *Problems in Continuum Theory in Memory of Sam B. Nadler, Jr.*, Topology Proc. **52** (2018), 281–308.
- [11] A. Illanes, S. Maciás and W. Lewis, editors, *Continuum theory : proceedings of the special session in honor of Professor Sam B. Nadler, Jr.'s 60th birthday*, Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics; v. 230, New York: Marcel Dekker.
- [12] W. Lewis, *Embeddings of the pseudo-arc in E^2* , Pacific J. Math., **93**, no. 1, (1981), 115–120.
- [13] W. S. Mahavier, *A chainable continuum not homeomorphic to an inverse limit on $[0, 1]$ with only one bonding map*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 284–286.
- [14] J. C. Mayer, *Embeddings of plane continua and the fixed point property*, Ph. D. dissertation, University of Florida, 1982, 1-247.
- [15] J. C. Mayer, *Inequivalent embeddings and prime ends*, Topology Proc. **8** (1983), 99–159.
- [16] S. Mazurkiewicz, *Un théorème sur l'accessibilité des continus indécomposables*, Fund. Math. **14** (1929), 271–276.
- [17] W. de Melo, S. van Strien, *One-Dimensional Dynamics*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, (1993).
- [18] P. Minc, W. R. R. Transue, *Accessible points of hereditarily decomposable chainable continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1992), 711–727.
- [19] J. Mioduszewski, *Mappings of inverse limits*, Colloquium Mathematicum **10** (1963), 39–44.
- [20] S. B. Nadler, Jr., *Some results and problems about embedding certain compactifications*, Proceedings of the University of Oklahoma Topology Conference (1972) 222–233.
- [21] S. B. Nadler, Jr. and J. Quinn, *Embeddability and Structure Properties of Real Curves*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 125. Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society, 1972.
- [22] J. Ozbolt, *On Planar Embeddings of the Knaster VA-Continuum*, PhD dissertation, Auburn University, 2020, 1–51.
- [23] M. Smith, *Plane indecomposable continua no composant of which is accessible at more than one point*, Fund. Math. **111** (1981), 61–69.

(A. Anušić) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, IME-USP, RUA DE MATÃO 1010, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA, 05508-090 SÃO PAULO SP, BRAZIL

Email address: anaanusic@ime.usp.br