

Derivative bounded functional calculus of power bounded operators on Banach spaces

LORIS ARNOLD

ABSTRACT. In this article we study bounded operators T on Banach space X which satisfy the discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^2 x, x^* \rangle| dt \leq \frac{C}{(r^2 - 1)} \|x\| \|x^*\|, \quad r > 1, x \in X, x^* \in X^*.$$

We show that it is equivalent to a certain derivative bounded functional calculus and also to a bounded functional calculus relative to Besov space. Also on Hilbert space discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition is equivalent to power-boundedness. Finally we discuss the last equivalence on general Banach space involving the concept of γ -boundedness.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space, a bounded operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called power-bounded when $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < \infty$. In this case the spectrum of T is contained in the closed unit ball $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We say that T is polynomially bounded when it satisfies an estimate

$$(1.1) \quad \|P(T)\| \leq C \sup\{|P(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\}, \quad P \text{ polynomial.}$$

When $X = H$ is an Hilbert space and T is a contraction, that is $\|T\| \leq 1$ (which is a power-bounded operator) it is well-known that T satisfies (1.1) with $C = 1$. This is the so-called von Neumann's inequality. However even on Hilbert space we cannot expect that any power-bounded operator satisfies (1.1) (see [12]). A natural question is whether one can obtain similar estimates, for power-bounded operators, replacing the norm uniform in the right-hand side of (1.1) by an another function norm. An answer is given by Peller in [13] for power-bounded operators on Hilbert space. If T is such operator, then it satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \|P(T)\| \leq C \|P\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})}, \quad P \text{ polynomial.}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})}$ is an appropriate Besov norm (see section 3).

In the first part of this paper we deal with operators which satisfy the discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition: an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies this condition if the spectrum of T is included in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^2 x, x^* \rangle| dt \leq \frac{C}{(r^2 - 1)} \|x\| \|x^*\|, \quad r > 1, x \in X, x^* \in X^*.$$

This condition was first introduced in [7] and [15]. The continuous case had been introduced before in [6] and studied extensively in [4], [1] and [3]. We will show that this condition implies power boundedness and that the converse is true when $X = H$ is an Hilbert space.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A60, 46B28, 42B35.

Key words and phrases. γ -boundedness, power bounded operators, functional calculus, Besov spaces.

This work is supported by the French "Investissements d'Avenir" program, project ISITE-BFC (contract ANR-15-IDEX-03).

We will be able to show (see section 3) that a bounded operator T on a general Banach space X satisfies an estimate (1.2) if and only if T satisfies the discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition (1.3). Furthermore one of the main results of this paper is to show that the discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition is equivalent to the boundedness of the set

$$(1.4) \quad \left\{ (r-1)\phi'(T) : r > 1 \text{ and } \phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D}) \text{ with } \sup\{|\phi(z)| : z \in r\mathbb{D}\} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

This is weaker than (1.1) in the sense that if an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies (1.1) then (1.4) is bounded. The converse is false even on Hilbert space.

The boundedness of (1.4) is a way to characterize power bounded operators on Hilbert space or operators with discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition on general Banach space. However (1.4) is not a usual bounded functional calculus because we have an estimate of $\|\phi'(T)\|$ and not $\|\phi(T)\|$.

The second part of this article (section 4) is devoted to ‘ γ -versions’ of the previous results. By a ‘ γ -version’, we mean replacing operator norm boundedness by the so-called stronger notion of γ -boundedness (see [8] and references therein). This section starts with some basics about γ -boundedness, γ -operators and γ' -operators (see [9]). We will introduce a γ -analogue of the discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition for a bounded operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ with spectrum included in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as follows : there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, for any $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, and for any $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$, we have

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} | \langle (r_k + 1)(r_k - 1)R(r_k e^{it}, T)^2 x_k, x_k^* \rangle | dt \\ \leq C \| (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \| (x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.$$

We will show that T satisfies this condition if and only if the set in (1.4) is γ -bounded. Moreover, we will show that T is power γ -bounded, that is the set $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is γ -bounded, if and only if T satisfies (1.5). It is important to notice that these results are stated without any assumption on X . We will conclude this paper with a γ -version of [13, Corollary 3.7].

Finally we give a few notation to be used along this paper. We write $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ for the natural numbers and for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $\mathbb{N}_N = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ the first N natural numbers. For any Banach spaces X and Y , we denote by $B(X, Y)$ the algebra of all bounded operators from X into Y equipped with the operator norm, and we set $B(X) := B(X, X)$. For $T \in B(X)$ we denote by $\sigma(T)$ the spectrum of T . For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$, we put $R(\lambda, T) = (\lambda I_X - T)^{-1}$ the resolvent operator. We let \mathbb{D} and $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ respectively the open and closed unit disk. Also the open disk of radius r centered at 0 and will be denote by $r\mathbb{D}$ while the closed disk of radius r centered at 0 and will be denoted by $r\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Also. For any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$ the space of all bounded analytic functions $\phi : r\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This is a Banach space for the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})} := \sup\{|\phi(z)| : z \in r\mathbb{D}\}.$$

2. Discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition and derivative functional calculus.

2.1. Discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition

Let $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. For $r > 1$, $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\phi^{(m)}(T)$ the operator obtained with Riesz-Dunford calculus. Since $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$ then for each $1 < \rho < r$, $\phi^{(m)} \in H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})$ and therefore the Riesz-Dunford calculus can be applied to the

function $\phi^{(m)}$ and the operator T . We obtain

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^{(m)}(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho e^{it} \phi^{(m)}(\rho e^{it}) R(\rho e^{it}, T) dt \in B(X), \quad 1 < \rho < r.$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $r > 1$ and $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$, one has*

$$(2.2) \quad \phi^{(m)}(T) = \frac{m!}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho e^{it} \phi(\rho e^{it}) R(\rho e^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt, \quad 1 < \rho < r.$$

PROOF. Let $r > 1$, $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$ and $1 < \rho < r$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} R(\rho e^{it}, T)^n = -in\rho e^{it} R(\rho e^{it}, T)^{n+1}.$$

Using (2.1) it follows by m integrations by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{(m)}(T) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho e^{it} \phi^{(m-1)}(\rho e^{it}) R(\rho e^{it}, T)^2 dt \\ &= \dots = \frac{m!}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho e^{it} \phi(\rho e^{it}) R(\rho e^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now investigate the discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition which appears in [7] in the case $m = 1$. The case $m > 1$ is inspired by [4, Proposition 6.3.].

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. We say that $T \in B(X)$ has the property $(GFS)_m$ if $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^{m+1} x, x^* \rangle| dt \leq \frac{C}{(r+1)(r-1)^m} \|x\| \|x^*\|, \quad r > 1, x \in X, x^* \in X^*.$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let T with $(GFS)_m$. Then T is power bounded.*

PROOF. Let $\phi(z) = \frac{z^{n+m}}{(n+1)\dots(n+m)}$, then $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$ for any $r > 1$ with

$$\|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})} = \frac{r^{n+m}}{(n+1)\dots(n+m)}$$

and furthermore $\phi^{(m)}(z) = z^n$. By (2.2), one has for $r > 1$,

$$T^n = \frac{m!}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} r e^{it} \phi(re^{it}) R(re^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt.$$

It follows, for $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |\langle T^n x, x^* \rangle| &\leq \frac{rm!}{2\pi} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^{m+1} x, x^* \rangle| dt \\ &\leq \frac{Cr^{n+m+1}m!}{2\pi(n+1)\dots(n+m)(r+1)(r-1)^m} \|x\| \|x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|T^n\| \leq \frac{m!Cr^{n+m+1}}{2\pi(n+1)\dots(n+m)(r-1)^m}.$$

Letting $r = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ one obtains

$$\frac{m!Cr^{n+m+1}}{2\pi(n+1)\dots(n+m)(r-1)^m} = \frac{m!Cn^m(1 + \frac{1}{n})^n(1 + \frac{1}{n})^{m+1}}{2\pi(n+1)\dots(n+m)}.$$

But for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\frac{n^m}{(n+1)\dots(n+m)} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{m+1} \leq 2^{m+1}$$

and it is well-known that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = e.$$

Finally, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|T^n\| \leq \frac{2^m m! C e}{\pi}.$$

□

REMARK 2.4. We recall that $T \in B(X)$ is a Ritt operator if $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and there exists $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \quad \|R(\lambda, T)\| \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda - 1|}.$$

Ritt operators play a prominent role in the theory of functional calculus. Indeed they have a specific H^∞ functional calculus (see [11] and references therein).

It is easy to check that a Ritt operator has $(GFS)_1$. Indeed let T a Ritt operator and $r > 1$, let $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^2 x, x^* \rangle| dt &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{C}{|re^{it} - 1|^2} \|x\| \|x^*\| dt \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{C}{r^2 + 1 - 2r \cos(t)} \|x\| \|x^*\| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the residue method (see for example [5, p.99])

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{r^2 + 1 - 2r \cos(t)} dt = \frac{2\pi}{(r+1)(r-1)},$$

therefore one has

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^2 x, x^* \rangle| dt \leq \frac{2\pi C}{(r+1)(r-1)} \|x\| \|x^*\|.$$

We will need the following stability property. We skip the easy proof.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $S \in B(X)$ which has $(GFS)_m$. If T is similar to S , that is there exists $U \in B(X)$ invertible such that $T = USU^{-1}$, then T has $(GFS)_m$.*

We will see that T has $(GFS)_m$ if and only if T has $(GFS)_1$. Let us begin by one implication. For the reverse implication we will use a derivative functional calculus that we introduce in the next sub-section.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $m \geq 1$ an integer and $T \in B(X)$. If T has $(GFS)_m$ then T has $(GFS)_k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m$.*

PROOF. Let $r > 1$ and assume (2.3). We show by downward induction that for $1 \leq k \leq m$,

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^{k+1} x, x^* \rangle| dt \leq \frac{Cm}{k(r+1)(r-1)^k} \|x\| \|x^*\|, \quad x \in X, x^* \in X^*.$$

Let $x \in X$, $x^* \in X^*$. Suppose that (2.6) is satisfied for k with $1 < k+1 \leq m$. Using the fact that $\frac{d}{dr} R(re^{it}, T)^n = -ne^{it} R(re^{it}, T)^{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Fubini's Theorem, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2\pi} \langle R(re^{it}, T)^k x, x^* \rangle dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_r^{+\infty} \langle ke^{it} R(ue^{it}, T)^{k+1} x, x^* \rangle dudt \\
(2.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= k \int_r^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} \langle R(ue^{it}, T)^{k+1} x, x^* \rangle dt du.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows using (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^k x, x^* \rangle| dt &\leq k \int_r^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(ue^{it}, T)^{k+1} x, x^* \rangle| dt du \\
&\leq k \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{Cm}{k(u+1)(u-1)^k} \|x\| \|x^*\| du \\
&\leq \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{Cm}{(r+1)(u-1)^k} \|x\| \|x^*\| du \\
&= \frac{Cm}{(k-1)(r+1)(r-1)^{k-1}} \|x\| \|x^*\|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.2. m -derivative bounded functional calculus

We begin by giving a basic result which is a straightforward consequence of Cauchy inequalities.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $r > 0$ and $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$. Then for each $\rho < r$, $\phi^{(m)} \in H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})$ with*

$$(2.8) \qquad \qquad \qquad \left\| \phi^{(m)} \right\|_{H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})} \leq \frac{m!}{(r-\rho)^m} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})}.$$

This Lemma justifies the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.8. Let $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then T is said to have m -derivative bounded functional calculus if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.9) \qquad \left\| \phi^{(m)}(T) \right\| \leq \frac{C}{(r-1)^m} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})} \quad \text{for each } \phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D}) \text{ and } r > 1.$$

REMARK 2.9. It is easy to check that (2.9) is equivalent to:

$$\left\| \phi^{(m)}(rT) \right\| \leq \frac{C}{(1-r)^m} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} \quad \text{for each } \phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \text{ and } 0 < r < 1.$$

THEOREM 2.10. *Let $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. The following assertions are equivalent for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

- (i) T has $(GFS)_m$;
- (ii) T has 1-derivative bounded functional calculus;
- (iii) T has m -derivative bounded functional calculus.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): According to Proposition 2.6 T has $(GFS)_1$. Let $r > \rho > 1$ and $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$. Then using (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi'(T)\| &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \sup_{\|x^*\|=1} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \rho e^{it} \phi(\rho e^{it}) R(\rho e^{it}, T)^2 x, x^* \rangle dt \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\rho \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})}}{2\pi} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \sup_{\|x^*\|=1} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(\rho e^{it}, T)^2 x, x^* \rangle| dt \\
&\leq \frac{C\rho}{2\pi(\rho-1)(\rho+1)} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})} \leq \frac{C}{2\pi(\rho-1)} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second to last inequality comes from (2.3) with $m = 1$. Letting $\rho \rightarrow r$ yields

$$\|\phi'(T)\| \leq \frac{C}{2\pi(r-1)} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})}.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Let $1 < \rho < r$ and $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$. According to Lemma 2.7 $\phi^{(m-1)} \in H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})$ so applying the 1-derivative bounded functional calculus to $\phi^{(m-1)}$ and again Lemma 2.7 one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^{(m)}(T)\| &= \|(\phi^{(m-1)})'(T)\| \leq \frac{C}{\rho-1} \|\phi^{(m-1)}\|_{H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})} \\ &\leq \frac{(m-1)!C}{(\rho-1)(r-\rho)^{m-1}} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\rho = \frac{r+1}{2}$ yields

$$\|\phi^{(m)}(T)\| \leq \frac{2^m(m-1)!C}{(r-1)^m} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})}.$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $r > 1$, $1 < \rho < r$, $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$. There exists a measurable function $\varepsilon : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$ such that for each $t \in [0, 2\pi]$

$$|\langle R(re^{it}, T)^{m+2}x, x^* \rangle| = \varepsilon(t) \langle R(re^{it}, T)^{m+2}x, x^* \rangle.$$

Let define $\phi(z) := \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{(re^{it} - z)^2} dt$ for $z \in \rho\mathbb{D}$. Then $\phi \in H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})$, with

$$(2.10) \quad \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})} \leq \frac{2\pi}{(m+1)!(r+\rho)(r-\rho)}.$$

Indeed for each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, using (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|re^{it} - \rho e^{i\theta}|^2} dt &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|\frac{r}{\rho}e^{it} - 1|^2} dt \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{\rho^2(\frac{r}{\rho}+1)(\frac{r}{\rho}-1)} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{(r+\rho)(r-\rho)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\phi^{(m)}(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{(re^{it} - z)^{m+2}} dt, \quad z \in \rho\mathbb{D}.$$

By Fubini's Theorem one has

$$\phi^{(m)}(T) = \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon(t) R(re^{it}, T)^{m+2} dt,$$

and finally using (2.9) and (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^{m+2}x, x^* \rangle| &= \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon(t) \langle R(re^{it}, T)^{m+2}x, x^* \rangle \\ &= |\langle \phi^{(m)}(T)x, x^* \rangle| \leq \|\phi^{(m)}(T)\| \|x\| \|x^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(\rho-1)^m} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})} \|x\| \|x^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi C}{(m+1)!(\rho-1)^m(r-\rho)(r+\rho)} \|x\| \|x^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi C}{(m+1)!(r+1)(\rho-1)^m(r-\rho)} \|x\| \|x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\rho = \frac{r+1}{2}$ one obtains

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^{m+2}x, x^* \rangle| \leq \frac{2^{m+2}\pi C}{(m+1)!(r+1)(r-1)^{m+1}} \|x\| \|x^*\|.$$

Thus T has $(GFS)_{m+1}$ and hence by Proposition 2.6, T has $(GFS)_m$. \square

COROLLARY 2.11. *The condition $(GFS)_m$ does not depend of $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, if $T \in B(X)$ satisfies condition $(GFS)_m$ for one $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then it has $(GFS)_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

DEFINITION 2.12. Let $T \in B(X)$. If T satisfies one of the three conditions of Theorem 2.10 then we will say that T is a Gomilko Shi-Feng operator or GFS operator.

When X is an Hilbert space one obtains the following characterisation.

COROLLARY 2.13. *Let H be a Hilbert space and let $T \in B(H)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. The following assertions are equivalent,*

- (i) T is power-bounded;
- (ii) there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $r > 1$,

$$(r^2 - 1) \int_0^{2\pi} \|R(re^{it}, T)x\|^2 dt \leq C \|x\|^2 \quad (x \in H)$$

$$(r^2 - 1) \int_0^{2\pi} \|R(re^{it}, T)^*y\|^2 dt \leq C \|y\|^2 \quad (y \in H);$$

- (iii) T is a GFS operator.

PROOF. The implication (iii) \implies (i) is given by Proposition 2.3.

(i) \implies (ii): Let $r > 1$, one has

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \|R(re^{it}, T)x\|^2 dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^n x}{(re^{it})^{n+1}} \right\|^2 dt.$$

The Fourier-Plancherel Theorem gives

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^n x}{(re^{it})^{n+1}} \right\|^2 dt = 2\pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\|T^n x\|}{r^{n+1}} \right)^2.$$

Then taking $M = \sup_n \{\|T^n\|\}$, we have

$$2\pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\|T^n\|}{r^{n+1}} \right)^2 \leq 2\pi M^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2(n+1)}} = \frac{2\pi M^2}{r^2 - 1},$$

whence the first inequality in (ii). Since T^* is also power bounded, the second inequality in (ii) holds as well.

(ii) \implies (iii): by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^2x, x^* \rangle| &= \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)x, R(re^{it}, T)^*x^* \rangle| \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \|R(re^{it}, T)x\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \|R(re^{it}, T)^*x^*\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^2 - 1} \|x\| \|x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that T has $(GFS)_1$, and so T is a GFS operator. \square

REMARKS 2.14.

- (1) We say that $T \in B(X)$ is polynomially bounded when there exists $C > 0$ such that for each polynomial P one has

$$\|P(T)\| \leq C \|P\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}.$$

It is easy to show that if T is polynomially bounded, then T is a GFS operator. Indeed let P be a polynomial and $r > 1$. Then according to Lemma 2.7 and the assumption that T is polynomially bounded:

$$\|P^{(m)}(T)\| \leq C \|P^{(m)}\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} \leq \frac{Cm!}{(r-1)^m} \|P^{(m)}\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})}.$$

The converse implication is false. In fact, on any infinite-dimensional Hilbert space there exists a power bounded operator which is not polynomially bounded (see [12]). But, according to Corollary 2.13, in a Hilbert space an operator is power bounded if and only if it is a GFS operator.

- (2) When X is not an Hilbert space, the implication (i) \implies (iii) in Corollary (2.13) is false. Indeed according to [7, Theorem 2.2], if X is a reflexive Banach space and $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$ then T is a scalar type spectral operator if and only if T and T^{-1} have $(GFS)_1$. Let U denote the shift operator defined on $l_p(\mathbb{Z})$ ($1 < p < \infty$) by

$$(2.11) \quad U((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

It is well-known that for $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$, U is not a scalar type spectral operator. It follows, that either U or U^{-1} does not have $(GFS)_1$. Further U and U^{-1} are similar. Hence by Proposition 2.5, U does not have $(GFS)_1$. Thus U is a power bounded operator which is not a GFS operator.

3. Polynomial Besov calculus on general Banach spaces

We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ the Banach algebra of all holomorphic functions f on \mathbb{D} such that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi)} |f'(ue^{it})| du < \infty,$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi)} |f'(ue^{it})| du + \|f\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}.$$

We remark that by a change of variables $u = \frac{1}{r}$ one has

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi)} |f'(ue^{it})| du = \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^2} \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi)} \left| f' \left(\frac{e^{it}}{r} \right) \right| dr.$$

Let H be a Hilbert space and let $T \in B(H)$ be a power-bounded operator. According to [13, Theorem 3.8.] there exists $C > 0$ such that for each polynomial P one has

$$\|P(T)\| \leq C \|P\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Now since the set of polynomials is dense in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ the bounded algebra homomorphism $P \rightarrow P(T)$ extends to a bounded algebra homomorphism on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$.

We now consider the issue of obtaining a similar bounded Besov calculus on an arbitrary Banach space X . Ideas are based on [3].

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $T \in B(X)$ be a GFS operator. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for each polynomial P ,*

$$\|P(T)\| \leq C \|P\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

PROOF. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $P_n(z) = z^n$. Applying (2.2) with $m = 1$ and $\phi(z) = \frac{z^{n+1}}{n+1}$, one has the following representation,

$$T^n = \frac{1}{2\pi(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} r^{n+2} e^{i(n+2)t} R^2(re^{it}, T) dt,$$

for any $r > 1$. We deduce that for any $x \in X, x^* \in X^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^n x, x^* \rangle &= 2n(n+1) \int_1^\infty \frac{r^2 - 1}{r^{2n+3}} dr \langle T^n x, x^* \rangle \\ &= 2n(n+1) \int_1^\infty \frac{r^2 - 1}{r^{2n+3}} \frac{1}{2\pi(n+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} r^{n+2} e^{i(n+2)t} \langle R^2(re^{it}, T)x, x^* \rangle dt dr \\ &= \frac{n}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{r^2 - 1}{r^{n+1}} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n+2)t} \langle R^2(re^{it}, T)x, x^* \rangle dt dr \\ &= \frac{n}{\pi} \int_1^\infty (r^2 - 1) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{3it}}{r^2} \frac{e^{i(n-1)t}}{r^{n-1}} \langle R^2(re^{it}, T)x, x^* \rangle dt dr \\ (3.3) \quad &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^\infty (r^2 - 1) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{3it}}{r^2} P'_n\left(\frac{e^{it}}{r}\right) \langle R^2(re^{it}, T)x, x^* \rangle dt dr, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the first equality, we have used the following identity

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{r^2 - 1}{r^{2n+3}} dr = \frac{1}{2n(n+1)}.$$

Now let P be a polynomial. Using the linearity of derivative and integration, and previous calculations one obtains

$$(3.4) \quad \langle P(T)x, x^* \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^\infty (r^2 - 1) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{3it}}{r^2} P'\left(\frac{e^{it}}{r}\right) \langle R^2(re^{it}, T)x, x^* \rangle dt dr + P(0) \langle x, x^* \rangle.$$

Since T has $(GFS)_1$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(r^2 - 1) \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(re^{it}, T)^2 x, x^* \rangle| dt \leq C \|x\| \|x^*\|, \quad r > 1, x \in X, x^* \in X^*.$$

Therefore combining this with (3.2),

$$(3.5) \quad |\langle P(T)x, x^* \rangle| \leq C' \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^2} |P'\left(\frac{e^{it}}{r}\right)| dr + |P(0)| \right) \|x\| \|x^*\| \leq C' \|P\|_{\mathcal{B}} \|x\| \|x^*\|,$$

which proves the result. \square

The above proof leads to the following result:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $T \in B(X)$ a GFS operator. Then the mapping $P \rightarrow P(T)$ on the set of polynomials can be extended uniquely to a bounded homomorphism from Besov algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ to the algebra of bounded operators $B(X)$. When $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ let $f(T)$ denote the operator obtained by this extension.*

Then for each $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ one has the following representation: for $x \in X$ and $x^ \in X^*$:*

$$(3.6) \quad \langle f(T)x, x^* \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^\infty (r^2 - 1) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{3it}}{r^2} f'\left(\frac{e^{it}}{r}\right) \langle R^2(re^{it}, T)x, x^* \rangle dt dr + \langle f(0)x, x^* \rangle.$$

PROOF. The first assertion is straightforward since the set of polynomials is dense in Besov algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ and denote by $f_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ the operator defined for $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$ by

$$\langle f_{\mathcal{B}}(T)x, x^* \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^\infty (r^2 - 1) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{3it}}{r^2} f'\left(\frac{e^{it}}{r}\right) \langle R^2(re^{it}, T)x, x^* \rangle dt dr + \langle f(0)x, x^* \rangle.$$

The calculation at the end of the proof of Proposition 3.1 shows that this is a well-defined element of $B(X, X^{**})$. Now let $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of polynomials such that

$$\|f - P_n\|_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

By definition of $f(T)$, one has for $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$

$$\langle P_n(T)x, x^* \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(T)x, x^* \rangle.$$

Furthermore by the same arguments leading to the estimate (3.5) one has for $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$,

$$|\langle (f_{\mathcal{B}}(T) - P_n(T))x, x^* \rangle| \leq C \|f - P_n\|_{\mathcal{B}} \|x\| \|x^*\|.$$

We conclude that $f(T) = f_{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ and therefore we have shown equality (3.6). \square

In general when we extend a functional calculus to a larger class, we do not know what the operators obtained on this larger class look like. The above Theorem has more value because we do not only extend polynomial calculus to the Besov algebra but also we are able to give a good representation of operators obtained by extension.

The next result is a converse to Proposition 3.1.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. If there exists $C > 0$ such that for each polynomial P*

$$\|P(T)\| \leq C \|P\|_{\mathcal{B}},$$

is a GFS operator.

PROOF. Let $r > 1$ and $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$. Then $\phi' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$, indeed by (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0, 2\pi)} |\phi''(se^{it})| ds &= \int_0^1 \|\phi''\|_{H^\infty(s\mathbb{D})} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{2}{(r-s)^2} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})} ds \\ &= \frac{2}{r(r-1)} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\|\phi'(T)\| \leq C \|\phi'\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \frac{4C}{(r^2-1)} \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})}.$$

Therefore T has 1-derivative bounded functional calculus, hence T is a GFS operator. \square

REMARK 3.4. It is known (see [16, Lemma 2.3.7] for details) that there exists $C > 0$ such that for each polynomial of degree N one has

$$\|P\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C \log(N+2) \|P\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}.$$

Therefore if T has $(GFS)_1$ then there exists $C > 0$ such that for each polynomial of degree N ,

$$\|P(T)\| \leq C \log(N+2) \|P\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}.$$

4. Generalizations involving γ -boundedness

This main goal of this section is to obtain an extension of Corollary 2.13 to Banach spaces. We have noticed that it is not possible to replace H by a Banach space X without additional assumptions. We need assumptions on the operator T . We prove an analogue of Corollary 2.13 if $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is γ -bounded. We start with some background on the so-called γ -spaces introduced by Kalton and Weis in [9].

Let X, Y be Banach spaces and we let $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent complex valued standard Gaussian variables on some probability space Σ . We denote by $G(X)$ the closure of

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \otimes x_k : x_k \in X, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

in $L^2(\Sigma, X)$. For $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, we let

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \otimes x_k \right\|_{G(X)} := \left(\int_{\Sigma} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k(\lambda) x_k \right\|^2 d\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

denote the induced norm.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $\mathcal{T} \subset B(X, Y)$ be a set of operators. We say that \mathcal{T} is γ -bounded if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that for all finite sequences $(T_n)_{n=1}^N \subset \mathcal{T}$ and $(x_n)_{n=1}^N \subset X$, the following inequality holds:

$$(4.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \otimes T_n x_n \right\|_{G(Y)} \leq C \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \otimes x_n \right\|_{G(X)}.$$

The least admissible constant in the above inequality is called the γ -bound of \mathcal{T} and we denote this quantity by $\gamma(\mathcal{T})$. If \mathcal{T} fails to be γ -bounded, we set $\gamma(\mathcal{T}) = \infty$.

The notion of γ -boundedness is stronger than uniform boundedness, indeed using definition of γ -boundedness with $N = 1$ it is easy to see that γ -boundedness implies uniform boundedness. We will use also two important facts which we sum up in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{T} \subset B(X, Y)$ be a γ -bounded set. Then*

- (1) *the closure $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{so}$ of \mathcal{T} in the strong operator topology is γ -bounded with $\gamma(\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{so}) = \gamma(\mathcal{T})$.*
- (2) *The absolute convex hull of \mathcal{T} , $\text{absconv}(\mathcal{T})$ is γ -bounded with $\gamma(\text{absconv}(\mathcal{T})) = \gamma(\mathcal{T})$.*

We now turn to the definition of γ -spaces.

Let H be a Hilbert space. A linear operator $T : H \rightarrow X$ is called γ -summing if

$$\|T\|_{\gamma} := \sup \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \otimes T h_n \right\|_{G(X)} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite orthonormal systems $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ in H . We let $\gamma_{\infty}(H; X)$ denote the space of all γ -summing operators and we endow it with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\gamma}$. Then $\gamma_{\infty}(H; X)$ is a Banach space. Clearly any finite rank (bounded) operator is a γ -summing operator. We let $\gamma(H; X)$ be the closure in $\gamma_{\infty}(H; X)$ of the space of finite rank operators from H into X .

We let $\gamma'_{\infty}(H; X)$ be the space of bounded operators $T : H \mapsto X$ such that

$$\|T\|_{\gamma'(H; X)} = \sup \{ \text{trace}(T^* \circ S) \mid S : H \mapsto X^*, \|S\|_{\gamma(H; X)} \leq 1, \dim S(H) < \infty \}$$

and we denote by $\gamma'(H; X)$ the closure of the finite dimensional operators in $\gamma'_{\infty}(H; X)$. See [9, section 5] for details about spaces $\gamma'(H; X)$ and $\gamma'_{\infty}(H; X)$. When X is K -convex (see [8, Section 7.4.] for details about K -convexity) then one has

$$\gamma'(H; X^*) = \gamma(H; X^*).$$

Let (S, μ) be a measure space. We say that a function $f : S \rightarrow X$ is weakly L^2 if for each $x^* \in X^*$, the function $s \mapsto \langle f(s), x^* \rangle$ is measurable and belongs to $L^2(S)$. If $f : S \rightarrow X$ is measurable and weakly L^2 , one can define an operator $\mathbb{I}_f : L^2(S) \rightarrow X$, given by

$$\mathbb{I}_f(g) := \int_S g(s) f(s) d\mu, \quad g \in L^2(S),$$

where this integral is defined in the Pettis sense. We let $\gamma(S; X)$ (resp. $\gamma'(S, X)$) be the space of all measurable and weakly L^2 functions $f : S \rightarrow X$ such that \mathbb{I}_f belongs to $\gamma(L^2(S); X)$ (resp. $\gamma'(L^2(S); X)$). We endow it with $\|f\|_{\gamma(S; X)} := \|\mathbb{I}_f\|_{\gamma(L^2(S); X)}$ (resp. $\|f\|_{\gamma'(S; X)} := \|\mathbb{I}_f\|_{\gamma'(L^2(S); X)}$).

The next result is an inequality of Hölder type [9, Corollary 5.5].

THEOREM 4.3 (γ -Hölder inequality). *If $f : S \rightarrow X$ and $g : S \rightarrow X^*$ belongs to $\gamma(S; X)$ and $\gamma'(S; X^*)$, respectively, then $\langle f, g \rangle$ belong to $L^1(S)$ and we have*

$$\|\langle f, g \rangle\|_{L^1(S)} \leq \|f\|_{\gamma(S; X)} \|g\|_{\gamma'(S; X^*)}.$$

REMARK 4.4. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$. By construction,

$$(4.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_k \otimes x_k \right\|_{G(X)} = \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}.$$

As a particular case of Theorem 4.3 one has

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x_k, x_k^* \rangle \right| \leq \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.$$

Further this inequality is optimal, namely

$$(4.3) \quad \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x_k, x_k^* \rangle \right| : (x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \subset X^*, \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \leq 1 \right\}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \langle z_k, x_k^* \rangle \right| : (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \subset X, \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Furthermore for each sequence $(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^*$, one has

$$(4.5) \quad \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}; X^*)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.$$

We finally state an extension result ([8, Theorem 9.6.1.] and [9, Remark 5.4.]).

LEMMA 4.5. *Let H and K be Hilbert spaces and $U \in B(H^*, K^*)$. Then $U \otimes I_X$ extends uniquely to a bounded linear operator $\tilde{U} \in B(\gamma(H; X), \gamma(K; X))$ of the same norm. Moreover $U \otimes I_{X^*}$ extends uniquely to a bounded linear operator $\hat{U} \in B(\gamma'(H; X^*), \gamma'(K; X^*))$ of the same norm.*

4.1. Power γ -bounded operators

DEFINITION 4.6. Let $T \in B(X)$ be power bounded. We say that T is power γ -bounded if the set $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is γ -bounded. When T is power γ -bounded we will denote by C_γ the γ -bound $\gamma(\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\})$.

EXAMPLE 4.7. The shift operator U defined by (2.11) is obviously power bounded but for $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$, U is not power γ -bounded. Assume that $1 < p < 2$ and let $(\delta_0)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the sequence defined by $\delta_0(0) = 1$ and $\delta(k) = 0$ for each $k \neq 0$. Then one has

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |U^{(i-1)} \delta_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{l_p} = n^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

whereas

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\delta_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{l_p} = n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The proof in the case $2 < p < \infty$ is similar.

EXAMPLE 4.8. A Ritt operator T is called γ -Ritt if the set

$$\{(\lambda - 1)R(\lambda, T) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}\}$$

is γ -bounded. It turns out T is γ -Ritt if and only if the two sets

$$\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{n(I - T)T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

are γ -bounded. It follows that any γ -Ritt operator is power γ -bounded. In [2] we construct a Ritt operator such that the set $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not γ -bounded (in particular T is not γ -Ritt). An interesting problem which is still open is to construct a Ritt operator which is not γ -Ritt but which is power γ -bounded.

EXAMPLE 4.9. Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space, $m : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ an element of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. We define the bounded multiplier $T_m \in B(L^p(\Omega))$ by

$$(T_m f)(s) := m(s)f(s), \quad s \in \Omega.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$(T_m)^n = T_{m^n}.$$

Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|m^n\|_\infty \leq 1$, it follows (see [8, Example 8.1.9]) that T_m is power γ -bounded.

Polynomial boundedness does not imply power γ -boundedness. Indeed according to [10, Proposition 6.6] there exist a Banach space X and an invertible operator $T \in B(X)$ such that T is not power γ -bounded but there exists a bounded unital homomorphism $\omega : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B(X)$ such that $\omega(z \mapsto z) = T$. Obviously that operator T is polynomially bounded.

THEOREM 4.10. *Suppose X has property (α) . Let $T \in B(X)$ be invertible and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then T and T^{-1} are GFS operators if and only if $\{T^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is γ -bounded.*

PROOF. Since X has property (α) then according to [10, Proposition 6.3. (2)], the set $\{T^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is γ -bounded if and only if there is a bounded unital homomorphism $\omega : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B(X)$ such that $\omega(z \mapsto z) = T$. Furthermore, according to the proof of [7, Theorem 2.2.], there is a bounded unital homomorphism $\omega : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B(X)$ such that $\omega(z \mapsto z) = T$ if and only if T and T^{-1} have $(GFS)_1$. The result is now straightforward. \square

4.2. γ -discrete Gomilko Shi-Feng condition

DEFINITION 4.11. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We say that T has property $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_m$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, for any $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, and for any $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} |((r_k + 1)(r_k - 1)^m R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+1} x_k, x_k^*)| dt \\ \leq C \| (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \| (x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.$$

Obviously if T has $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_m$ then T has $(GFS)_m$. Moreover on Hilbert spaces, properties $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_m$ and $(GFS)_m$ are equivalent.

PROPOSITION 4.12. *If T has $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_m$ then T is power γ -bounded, that is, the set $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is γ -bounded.*

PROOF. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_1, \dots, n_N \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$. For $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$ such that

$\|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \leq 1$ the proof of (2.4) and the assumption yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{2\pi(n_k+1) \dots (n_k+m)(r_k+1)(r_k-1)^m}{r^{n_k+m+1}m!} \langle T^{n_k}x_k, x_k^* \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{2\pi} (r_k+1)(r_k-1)^m |\langle R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+1}x_k, x_k^* \rangle| dt \\ & \leq C \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \\ & \leq C \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $r_k = 1 + \frac{1}{n_k}$ one obtains

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |\langle T^{n_k}x_k, x_k^* \rangle| \leq \frac{2^m m! C e}{\pi} \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}.$$

Taking the supremum over x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* , such that $\|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \leq 1$, one obtains according to (4.3)

$$\|(T^{n_k}x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \leq \frac{2^m m! C e}{\pi} \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}.$$

Hence the set $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is γ -bounded. \square

LEMMA 4.13. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then T has property $(\gamma\text{-GFS})_m$ if and only if the set*

$$(4.7) \quad \left\{ (r+1)(r-1)^m \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon(t) R(re^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt \mid \varepsilon : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}} \text{ measurable}, r > 1 \right\}$$

is γ -bounded.

PROOF. Let $T \in B(X)$ with $(\gamma\text{-GFS})_m$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $\varepsilon_k : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ measurable. For $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$ with $\|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \leq 1$ one has, using (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \left\langle \left((r_k+1)(r_k-1)^m \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon_k(t) R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt \right) x_k, x_k^* \right\rangle \right| \\ & \leq C \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now take the supremum over $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$ one obtains by (4.3)

$$\left\| \left((r_k+1)(r_k-1)^m \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon_k(t) R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt x_k \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \leq C \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}.$$

This means that the set in (4.7) is γ -bounded.

Suppose that the set in (4.7) is γ -bounded. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_N$, let $\varepsilon_k : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ measurable such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\langle (r_k+1)(r_k-1)^m R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+1} x_k, x_k^* \rangle| dt \\ & = \left\langle \left((r_k+1)(r_k-1)^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varepsilon_k(t) R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt \right) x_k, x_k^* \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 4.3 and the assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \left((r_k + 1)(r_k - 1)^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varepsilon_k(t) R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt \right) x_k, x_k^* \right\rangle \\
 & \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^N (r_k + 1)(r_k - 1)^m \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon_k(t) R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt x_k \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \left\| (x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \cdot \\
 & \leq C \left\| (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \left\| (x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 4.14. *Let $T \in B(X)$ with $(GFS)_m$. If T has $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_m$ then T has $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_p$ for $1 \leq p \leq m$.*

PROOF. We proceed by induction, showing that if $m \geq 2$, then $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_m$ implies $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_{m-1}$.

Suppose that T has property $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_m$, with $m \geq 2$. Let $\varepsilon : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be a measurable function and let $r > 1$. Then by the argument showing (2.7) and a change of variable one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (r+1)(r-1)^{m-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon(t) R(re^{it}, T)^m dt \\
 & = r(m-1) \int_1^{+\infty} (r+1)(r-1)^{m-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon(t) R(rue^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt du \\
 & = r(m-1) \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{(r+1)(r-1)^{m-1}}{(ru+1)(ru-1)^m} \int_0^{2\pi} (ru+1)(ru-1)^m \varepsilon(t) R(rue^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt du.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{T} be the set (4.7). By assumption and Lemma 4.13, \mathcal{T} is γ -bounded hence by [8, Theorem 8.5.2.], the set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma := \{ & \int_1^{+\infty} \phi(u) \int_0^{2\pi} (ru+1)(ru-1)^m \varepsilon(t) R(rue^{it}, T)^{m+1} dt du \mid \\
 & \varepsilon : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}} \text{ measurable, } r > 1, \phi \in L^1((1, \infty)), \|\phi\|_{L^1} \leq 1 \}
 \end{aligned}$$

is γ -bounded. Since

$$\left\| r(m-1) \frac{(r+1)(r-1)^{m-1}}{(r \cdot +1)(r \cdot -1)^m} \right\|_{L^1((1, \infty))} \leq 1,$$

the above calculation shows that the set

$$\left\{ (r+1)(r-1)^{m-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon(t) R(re^{it}, T)^m dt : \varepsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}} \text{ measurable, } r > 1 \right\}$$

is included in Γ , hence is γ -bounded. Hence, by Lemma 4.13, the operator T has property $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_{m-1}$. □

4.3. m -derivative γ -bounded functional calculus

DEFINITION 4.15. Let $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then T is said to have m -derivative γ -bounded functional calculus if the set

$$\left\{ (r-1)^m \phi^{(m)}(T) : r > 1, \phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D}), \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})} \leq 1 \right\}$$

is γ -bounded.

We give now the γ -bounded version of Theorem 2.10.

THEOREM 4.16. *Let $T \in B(X)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. The following assertions are equivalent for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

- (i) T has $(\gamma\text{-}GFS)_m$;
- (ii) T has 1-derivative γ -bounded functional calculus;
- (iii) T has m -derivative γ -bounded functional calculus.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): First, by Proposition 4.14, T has $(\gamma\text{-GFS})_1$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, $\phi_1 \in H^\infty(r_1\mathbb{D}), \dots, \phi_N \in H^\infty(r_N\mathbb{D})$ with $\|\phi_k\|_{H^\infty(r_k\mathbb{D})} \leq 1$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$. Using (2.2) and arguing as in the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 2.10, one has

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |\langle (r_k - 1)\phi'_k(T)x_k, x_k^* \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N \|\phi_k\|_{H^\infty(r_k\mathbb{D})} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle (r_k^2 - 1)R(r_k e^{it}, T)^2 x_k, x_k^* \rangle| dt.$$

Suppose $\|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \leq 1$. Since $\|\phi_k\|_{H^\infty(r_k\mathbb{D})} \leq 1$ and T has $(\gamma\text{-GFS})_1$, one obtains

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |\langle (r_k - 1)\phi'_k(T)x_k, x_k^* \rangle| \leq \frac{C}{2\pi} \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}.$$

Taking the supremum over x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* this implies, thanks to (4.3), that T has 1-derivative γ -bounded functional calculus.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): It follows from the assumption that the set

$$\Delta := \left\{ (\rho - 1)\phi^{(m)}(T) : 1 < \rho < r, \phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D}), \left\| \phi^{(m-1)} \right\|_{H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})} \leq 1 \right\}$$

is γ -bounded. For any $1 < \rho < r$ and $\phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})$ with $\|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})} \leq 1$, by Lemma 2.7 one has

$$\left\| \frac{(r - \rho)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \phi^{(m-1)} \right\|_{H^\infty(\rho\mathbb{D})} \leq 1.$$

Hence

$$\left\{ \frac{(\rho - 1)(r - \rho)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \phi^{(m)}(T) : 1 < \rho < r, \phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D}), \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})} \leq 1 \right\} \subset \Delta.$$

Taking $\rho = \frac{r+1}{2}$ in the above set, we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{(r-1)^m}{2^m(m-1)!} \phi^{(m)}(T) : r > 1, \phi \in H^\infty(r\mathbb{D}), \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(r\mathbb{D})} \leq 1 \right\} \subset \Delta.$$

Hence the above set is γ -bounded. Thus T has m -derivative γ -bounded functional calculus.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, $1 < \rho_1 < r_1, \dots, 1 < \rho_N < r_N$, $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$. Let us introduce measurable functions $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that

$$|\langle R((r_k)e^{it}, T)^{m+2} x_k, x_k^* \rangle| = \varepsilon_k(t) \langle R((r_k)e^{it}, T)^{m+2} x_k, x_k^* \rangle.$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, N$ and all $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. Next we set

$$\phi_k(z) := \frac{(r_k + \rho_k)(r_k - \rho_k)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\varepsilon_k(t)}{(r_k e^{it} - z)^2} dt, \quad z \in r_k\mathbb{D}.$$

The computations in the proof of Theorem 2.10 show that $\|\phi_k\|_{H^\infty(\rho_k\mathbb{D})} \leq 1$ and

$$\phi_k^{(m)}(T) = \frac{(m+1)!(r_k + \rho_k)(r_k - \rho_k)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon_k(t) R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+2} dt.$$

Therefore it follows from (iii) that we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(m+1)! (\rho_k - 1)^m (r_k - \rho_k) (r_k + 1)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+2} x_k, x_k^* \rangle| dt \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(m+1)! (\rho_k - 1)^m (r_k - \rho_k) (r_k + \rho_k)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon_k(t) \langle R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+2} x_k, x_k^* \rangle dt \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^N |\langle (\rho_k - 1)^m \phi_k^{(m)}(T) x_k, x_k^* \rangle| dt \\
& \leq C \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality comes from Theorem 4.3. Now we choose $\rho_k = \frac{r_k+1}{2}$ in the above estimate. We obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\langle (r_k + 1)(r_k - 1)^{m+1} R(r_k e^{it}, T)^{m+2} x_k, x_k^* \rangle| dt \\
& \leq \frac{2^{m+2} \pi C}{(m+1)!} \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that T has $(\gamma\text{-GFS})_{m+1}$. Then by Proposition 4.14, T has $(\gamma\text{-GFS})_m$. \square

DEFINITION 4.17. Let $T \in B(X)$. If T satisfies one of the three conditions of Theorem 4.16 then we will say that T is a γ -GFS operator.

4.4. Characterization of power γ -bounded on Banach space X

In the following, the space $L^2((0, 2\pi))$ will be equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(s)|^2 ds, \quad f \in L^2((0, 2\pi)).$$

Therefore, the Fourier-Parseval operator

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} & : L^2([0, 2\pi)) \rightarrow l_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \\
& f \mapsto (c_n(f))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},
\end{aligned}$$

is an isometry. Here $c_n(f)$ is the n -th Fourier coefficient defined by

$$c_n(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) e^{-int} dt.$$

We give a characterization of power γ -bounded operators.

THEOREM 4.18. *Let X be a Banach space. Following assertions are equivalent :*

- (i) *The operator T is power γ -bounded;*
- (ii) *The spectrum set $\sigma(T)$ is included in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$ the functions $(t, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} R(r_k e^{it}, T) x_k$ and $(t, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} R(r_k e^{it}, T^*) x_k^*$ are in $\gamma([0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)$ and $\gamma'([0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)$ respectively, and satisfy*

$$(4.8) \quad \left\| (t, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} R(r_k e^{it}, T) x_k \right\|_{\gamma([0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)} \leq C \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \left\| (t, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} R(r_k e^{it}, T^*) x_k^* \right\|_{\gamma'([0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \leq \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)};$$

- (iii) *T is a γ -GFS operator.*

PROOF. (iii) \implies (i) is Proposition 4.12.

(i) \implies (ii) : Recall that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_N$ one has

$$R(r_k e^{it}, T)x_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^n x_k}{(r_k e^{it})^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^n x_k}{r_k^{n+1}} e^{-i(n+1)t}.$$

We apply Lemma 4.5 with $H = l_N^2 \otimes L^2((0, 2\pi))$, $K = l_N^2 \otimes l_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ and $U : H \rightarrow K$ defined by

$$U\left(\sum_{k=1}^N e_k \otimes f_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^N e_k \otimes (c_n(f_k))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad f_1, \dots, f_N \in L^2((0, 2\pi)).$$

We obtain that $(n, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^n x_k}{r_k^{n+1}}$ belongs to $\gamma(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)$ and

$$\left\| (t, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} R(r_k e^{it}, T)x_k \right\|_{\gamma([0, 2\pi] \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)} = \left\| (n, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^n x_k}{r_k^{n+1}} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)}.$$

But, one has by (4.2)

$$\left\| (n, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^n x_k}{r_k^{n+1}} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)} = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_k^{n+1}} \gamma_{k,n} \otimes T^n x_k \right\|_{G(X)},$$

where $(\gamma_{k,n})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ is a family of independant complex valued standard Gaussian variables. Using the γ -boundedness of $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ it follows

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_k^{n+1}} \gamma_{k,n} \otimes T^n x_k \right\|_{G(X)} \leq C_\gamma \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_k^{n+1}} \gamma_{k,n} \otimes x_k \right\|_{G(X)}.$$

Furthermore $\left\| \left(\sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{1}{r_k^{n+1}} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \right\|_{l^2} = 1$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_N$ therefore

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{1}{r_k^{n+1}} \gamma_{k,n} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}$$

is a sequence of independent standard Gaussian variables, which implies

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_k^{n+1}} \gamma_{k,n} \otimes x_k \right\|_{G(X)} = \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}.$$

Hence one obtains (4.8).

Likewise, using Lemma 4.5 we obtain $(n, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^{*n} x_k}{r_k^{n+1}}$ belongs to $\gamma'(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)$ and

$$\left\| (t, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} R(r_k e^{it}, T^*)x_k^* \right\|_{\gamma'([0, 2\pi] \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} = \left\| (n, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^{*n} x_k^*}{r_k^{n+1}} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.$$

Furthermore, one has by (4.5)

$$\left\| (n, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^{*n} x_k^*}{r_k^{n+1}} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (n, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^{*n} x_k^*}{r_k^{n+1}} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_M \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.$$

But, by (4.4)

$$(4.10) \quad \left\| (n, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^{*n} x_k^*}{r_k^{n+1}} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_M \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \\ = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x_{n,k}, \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^{*n} x_k^*}{r_k^{n+1}} \rangle \right\| : \|(x_{n,k})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_M \cup \{0\}, k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_M \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)} \leq 1 \right\},$$

and for $M \in \mathbb{N}$, using Theorem 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x_{n,k}, \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^{*n} x_k^*}{r_k^{n+1}} \rangle \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^n x_{n,k}}{r_k^{n+1}}, x_k^* \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^n x_{n,k}}{r_k^{n+1}} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_M \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N, X)} \left\| (x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

By previous computations which leads to (4.8), for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\left\| \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^n x_{n,k}}{r_k^{n+1}} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_M \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)} \leq C_\gamma \left\| (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}.$$

This implies

$$\left\| (n, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1} \frac{T^{*n} x_k^*}{r_k^{n+1}} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_M \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \leq C_\gamma \left\| (x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.$$

Hence one obtains (4.9).

(ii) \implies (iii) : Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\langle (r_k^2 - 1)R(r_k e^{it}, T)^2 x_k, x_k^* \rangle| dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\langle (r_k^2 - 1)R(r_k e^{it}, T)x_k, R(r_k e^{it}, T)^* x_k^* \rangle| dt \\ &= \left\| (t, k) \mapsto \langle \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1}R(r_k e^{it}, T)x_k, \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1}R(r_k e^{it}, T)^* x_k^* \rangle \right\|_{L^1((0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.3 and assumptions (4.8) and (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (t, k) \mapsto \langle \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1}R(r_k e^{it}, T)x_k, \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1}R(r_k e^{it}, T)^* x_k^* \rangle \right\|_{L^1((0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N)} \\ &\leq \left\| \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1}R(r_k e^{it}, T)x_k \right\|_{\gamma((0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)} \left\| \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1}R(r_k e^{it}, T)^* x_k^* \right\|_{\gamma'((0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \\ &\leq C^2 \left\| (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)} \left\| (x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence T has $(\gamma\text{-GFS})_1$ and therefore it is a γ -GFS operator. \square

REMARK 4.19. When X is K -convex (4.9) can be replaced by

$$\left\| (t, k) \mapsto \sqrt{r_k^2 - 1}R(r_k e^{it}, T^*)x_k^* \right\|_{\gamma((0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \leq \left\| (x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N} \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.$$

Moreover let (S, μ) be a measure space and let $E(S)$ be a K -convex Banach function space over (S, μ) (see [8, appendix F] for definition). Then $E(S)$ has finite cotype hence according to [8, Proposition 9.3.8], there exist $c > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for each $f \in \gamma([0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; E(S))$,

$$(4.11) \quad c \|f\|_{E(S; L^2([0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N))} \leq \|f\|_{\gamma([0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; E(S))} \leq C \|f\|_{E(S; L^2([0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N))}.$$

Furthermore, the following equality holds,

$$\|f\|_{E(S; L^2([0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N))} = \left\| \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N |f(\cdot, k)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{E(S)}.$$

The space $E(S)^*$ satisfies similar properties. Hence using (4.11) and the Khintchine-Maurey inequality [8, Theorem 7.2.13], (ii) in Theorem 4.18 can be replaced by:

(ii)' The spectrum set $\sigma(T)$ is included in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1, \dots, r_N > 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ and $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{2\pi} (r_k^2 - 1) |R(r_k e^{it}, T)x_k|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{E(S)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^N |x_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{E(S)}$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{2\pi} (r_k^2 - 1) |R(r_k e^{it}, T^*)x_k^*|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{E(S)^*} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^N |x_k^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{E(S)^*}.$$

Thus $T \in B(E(S))$ is power γ -bounded if and only if (ii)' holds true.

In particular the above applies when $E(S) = L^p(S)$ for some $1 < p < \infty$.

4.5. Peller calculus

We denote by H^p the classical Hardy space over the unit disk. Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ be the set of all function $F : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that there exist two sequences $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $C(\mathbb{T})$ and $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in H^1 satisfying

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_{\infty} \|h_k\|_1 < \infty$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \forall z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \quad F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \star h_k(z).$$

We endow $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ with the norm

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_{\infty} \|h_k\|_1 \right\}$$

where the infimum runs over all sequences $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $C(\mathbb{T})$ and $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of H^1 satisfying (4.12) and (4.13). It is known (see [13, Lemma 3.6.]) that with this norm $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ is a Banach algebra for pointwise multiplication.

Let H be a Hilbert space and let $T \in B(H)$ be a power-bounded operator. According to [13, Theorem 3.5.] or [14, Proposition 4.11] there exists $C > 0$ such that for each polynomial P one has

$$\|P(T)\| \leq C \|P\|_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Now since the set of polynomial is dense in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ the bounded algebra homomorphism $P \rightarrow P(T)$ extends to a bounded algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ into $B(H)$. Our aim is now to give a γ -version of this result.

THEOREM 4.20. *Let X be a Banach space. Let $T \in B(X)$ be a power γ -bounded operator. Then the set*

$$\{P(T) : P \text{ is a polynomial with } \|P\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1\}$$

is γ -bounded.

PROOF. We adapt an argument from [14, Proposition 4.16.]. First we show that the set

$$\Gamma = \{f \star (uv)(T) : f \in C(\mathbb{T}), u, v \text{ are polynomials, } \|f\|_{\infty} \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \leq 1\}$$

is γ -bounded. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_1, \dots, f_N \in C(\mathbb{T})$ and let $u_1, \dots, u_N, v_1, \dots, v_N$ be polynomials with $\|f_k\|_{\infty} \|u_k\|_2 \|v_k\|_2 \leq 1$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_N$, and let $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$, $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^*$. One has, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_N$

$$\langle (f_k \star (u_k v_k))(T)x_k, x_k^* \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f_k(e^{is}) \langle u_k(e^{-is}T)x_k, v_k(e^{-is}T)^* x_k^* \rangle ds.$$

It follows, using Theorem 4.3, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^N | \langle (f_k \star (u_k v_k))(T) x_k, x_k^* \rangle | &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f_k(e^{is})|}{\|f_k\|_\infty \|u_k\|_2 \|v_k\|_2} | \langle u_k(e^{-is}T) x_k, v_k(e^{-is}T)^* x_k^* \rangle | ds \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{2\pi} | \langle \frac{u_k(e^{-is}T) x_k}{\|u_k\|_2}, \frac{v_k(e^{-is}T)^* x_k^*}{\|v_k\|_2} \rangle | ds \\
&= \left\| (s, k) \mapsto \langle \frac{u_k(e^{-is}T) x_k}{\|u_k\|_2}, \frac{v_k(e^{-is}T)^* x_k^*}{\|v_k\|_2} \rangle \right\|_{L^1((0,2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\| (s, k) \mapsto \frac{u_k(e^{-is}T) x_k}{\|u_k\|_2} \right\|_{\gamma((0,2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)} \left\| (s, k) \mapsto \frac{v_k(e^{-is}T)^* x_k^*}{\|v_k\|_2} \right\|_{\gamma'((0,2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.5 and the γ -boundedness of $\{T^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| (s, k) \mapsto \frac{u_k(e^{-is}T) x_k}{\|u_k\|_2} \right\|_{\gamma((0,2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)} &= \left\| (n, k) \mapsto c_n(u_k) T^n \left(\frac{x_k}{\|u_k\|_2} \right) \right\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}_N; X)} \\
&= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_{n,k} \otimes T^n \left(\frac{c_n(u_k) x_k}{\|u_k\|_2} \right) \right\|_{G(X)} \\
&\leq C_\gamma \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_{n,k} \otimes \frac{c_n(u_k) x_k}{\|u_k\|_2} \right\|_{G(X)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_N$, $\frac{\|(c_n(u_k))_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}\|_{l^2}}{\|u_k\|_2} = 1$, therefore

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_{n,k} \otimes \frac{c_n(u_k) x_k}{\|u_k\|_2} \right\|_{G(X)} \leq \|(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma(\mathbb{N}_N; X)}.$$

Then arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.18,

$$\left\| (s, k) \mapsto \frac{v_k(e^{-is}T)^* x_k^*}{\|v_k\|_2} \right\|_{\gamma((0,2\pi) \times \mathbb{N}_N; X^*)} \leq C_\gamma \|(x_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_N}\|_{\gamma'(\mathbb{N}_N; X^*)}.$$

This shows that Γ is γ -bounded.

Now if $P = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f_k \star h_k$ is a polynomial with $f_k \in C(\mathbb{T})$, $h_k \in H^1$ and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_\infty \|h_k\|_1 \leq 1$ then using the facts that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, h_k can be written as a product $h_k = u_k v_k$ with $u_k, v_k \in H^2$ and $\|h_k\|_1 = \|u_k\|_2 \|v_k\|_2$ and that the set of polynomials is dense in H^2 one obtains that $P \in \overline{\text{absconv}(\Gamma)}^{\|\cdot\|}$. Finally one has the following inclusion

$$\{P(T) : P \text{ is a polynomial with } \|P\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1\} \subset \overline{\text{absconv}(\Gamma)}^{\|\cdot\|},$$

and the latter set is γ -bounded thanks to Proposition 4.2 whence the desired result. \square

REMARK 4.21. Since the set $\{P(T) : P \text{ is a polynomial with } \|P\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1\}$ is γ -bounded it is uniformly bounded. Therefore, since polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$, the homomorphism

$$u : P \mapsto P(T)$$

extends to a bounded algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ into $B(X)$. Theorem 4.20 implies that this homomorphism is γ -bounded, that is $\{u(f) : f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1\}$ is γ -bounded.

References

- [1] L. Arnold. γ -boundedness of c_0 -semigroups and their h^∞ -functional calculi. *Studia Mathematica*, 254:77–108, 2020.
- [2] L. Arnold and C. Le Merdy. New counterexamples on ritt operators, sectorial operators and \mathcal{R} -boundedness. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, pages 498–506, 2019.
- [3] C. Batty, A. Gomilko, and Y. Tomilov. A Besov algebra calculus for generators of operator semigroups and related norm-estimates. *Mathematische Annalen*, 2019.
- [4] C. Batty, M. Haase, and J. Mubeen. The holomorphic functional calculus approach to operator semigroups. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 79 : 289-212, 2013.
- [5] H. Cartan. *Elementary Theory of Analytic Functions of One Or Several Complex Variables*. Dover books on mathematics. Dover Publications, 1995.
- [6] A.M. Gomilko. Conditions on the generator of a uniformly bounded C_0 -semigroup. *Funct. anal. Appl.* 33, pages 294–296, 1999.
- [7] A.M. Gomilko and P.A. Cojuhari. On the characterization of scalar type spectral operators. *Studia Mathematica* 194 (2), pages 121–132, 2008.
- [8] T Hytönen, J. van Neerven, M. Veraar, and L. Weis. *Analysis in Banach Spaces. Volume II: Probabilistic Methods and Operator Theory*, volume 2. Springer, 2018.
- [9] N. Kalton and L. Weis. The H^∞ -functional calculus and square function estimates. in nigel j.kalton selecta. 1:715–771, 2016.
- [10] C. Le Merdy. γ -bounded representations of amenable groups. *Advances in Mathematics* 224, pages 1641–1671, 2010.
- [11] C. Le Merdy. H^∞ functional calculus and square function estimates for Ritt operators. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 30:1149–1190, 2012.
- [12] A. Lebow. A power-bounded operator that is not polynomially bounded. *Michigan Math. J.*, 15:397–399, 1968.
- [13] V. Peller. Estimates of functions of power bounded operators on Hilbert spaces. *J.Operator Theory*, 7:341–372, 1982.
- [14] G. Pisier. *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2 edition, 2001.
- [15] D.-H. Shi and Feng D.X. Characteristic conditions of the generation of C_0 -semigroups in a hilbert space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 247 : 356-376, 2000.
- [16] S.J. White. *Norm-estimates for functions of semigroups of operators*, volume PhD thesis, Univ. of Edinburgh. <https://www.era.lib.ed.ac.uk/handle/1842/11552>, 1989.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BESANÇON, UMR 6623, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE
Email address: loris.arnold@univ-fcomte.fr