

APPROXIMATE NUMERICAL RADIUS ORTHOGONALITY

MARYAM AMYARI ^{1*} and MARZIEH MORADIAN Khibary²

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of approximate numerical radius (Birkhoff) orthogonality and investigate its significant properties. Let $T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$. We say that T is approximate numerical radius orthogonal to S and we write $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ if

$$\omega^2(T + \lambda S) \geq \omega^2(T) - 2\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(\lambda S) \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We show that $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ if and only if $\inf_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} D_{\omega}^{\theta}(T, S) \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$ in which

$D_{\omega}^{\theta}(T, S) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{2r}$; and this occurs if and only if for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n^{\theta}\}$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{H} such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle| = \omega(T), \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S),$$

where $\omega(T)$ is the numerical radius of T .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

One of the most important types of orthogonality in the setting of normed spaces is the Birkhoff–James orthogonality. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a linear normed space and $x, y \in X$. A vector x is called Birkhoff–James orthogonal to a vector y , written as $x \perp_B y$, if $\|x + \lambda y\| \geq \|x\|$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Many mathematicians generalized the notion of Birkhoff–James orthogonality in the set up of normed spaces. Dragomir [5] introduced the notion of ε -Birkhoff–James orthogonality in a real normed space X as follows.

Let $x, y \in X$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$. We say that x is ε -Birkhoff–James orthogonal to y if

$$\|x + \lambda y\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\|x\|$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Chmieliński [2] introduced another notion of ε -Birkhoff–James orthogonality in the setting of normed spaces, where a vector x is said to be approximate Birkhoff–James

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A12; Secondary 46B20; 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, numerical radius, approximate numerical radius orthogonality, Numerical radius derivation.

*Corresponding author.

orthogonal to a vector y , written as $x \perp_B^\varepsilon y$, if

$$\|x + \lambda y\|^2 \geq \|x\|^2 - 2\varepsilon\|x\|\|\lambda y\|$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. He also proved that in an inner product space $x \perp_B^\varepsilon y$ if and only if $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|\|y\|$. The notion of approximate orthogonality has been developed in several settings; see [3, 10, 12].

STANDING NOTATION: Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} with the identity I . A capital letter denotes a bounded linear operator in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The numerical radius of T is defined by

$$\omega(T) = \sup\{|\langle Tx, x \rangle| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

Throughout the paper, we assume $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$ and for calculate the numerical radius, we use some formulas appeared in [13, Theorem 3], [11, Theorem 2.1], and [7, Theorem 3.7].

Recently, the authors of [9] introduced the notion of numerical radius (Birkhoff) orthogonality for operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. They called T the numerical radius orthogonal to S , denoted by $T \perp_{\omega B} S$ if

$$\omega(T + \lambda S) \geq \omega(T) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We introduce an approximate version of the above notion and present some of its characterizations. The paper is organized as follows.

In section 2, we introduce the notion of approximate numerical radius orthogonality “ \perp_ω^ε ” and prove that for two operators T and S , it holds that $T \perp_\omega^\varepsilon S$ if and only if for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ there exists a sequence $\{x_n^\theta\}$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{H} such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle| = \omega(T), \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S).$$

In section 3, we introduce the notion of numerical radius derivation (ω - derivation) and show that $T \perp_\omega^\varepsilon S$ if and only if $\inf_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} D_\omega^\theta(T, S) \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$, where

$$D_\omega^\theta(T, S) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{2r}.$$

2. APPROXIMATE ORTHOGONALITY

In this section, we introduce the notion of approximate numerical radius orthogonality and state some of its basic properties.

Definition 2.1. We say that an operator T is approximate numerical radius (Birkhoff) orthogonal to an operator S and we write $T \perp_\omega^\varepsilon S$ if

$$\omega^2(T + \lambda S) \geq \omega^2(T) - 2\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(\lambda S) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is easy to see that $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ and $\alpha T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} \beta S$ ($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$) are equivalent. The following example shows that the relation $\perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$ is not symmetric, in general.

Example 2.2. Suppose that $T = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ are in $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Simple computations show that $\omega(T) = 1$ $\omega(S) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2}$. Further,

$$\omega(T + \lambda S) = \omega \left(\begin{bmatrix} i & \lambda \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) \geq \max\{|\lambda|, 1, \frac{|\lambda|}{2}\}.$$

Hence, $\omega^2(T + \lambda S) \geq \omega^2(T) - 2\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(\lambda S)$, that is $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$.

For $\lambda = \frac{-i}{2}$, we get

$$\omega(S - \frac{i}{2}T) = \omega \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{13}}{4} \approx 1.151$$

$\omega^2(S - \frac{i}{2}T) \approx 1.325$ and $\omega^2(S) \approx 1.457$. Thus, $\omega^2(S - \frac{i}{2}T) \leq \omega^2(S) - 2\varepsilon\frac{1}{2}\omega(S)\omega(T)$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.01)$. Hence, $S \not\perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} T$.

The following proposition yields some relations between approximate Birkhoff-James orthogonality \perp_B^{ε} and approximate numerical radius orthogonality $\perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$ under some conditions. The proof is easy and so we omit it.

Proposition 2.3. (i) If $T = T^*$, then $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ implies that $T \perp_B^{\varepsilon} S$.

(ii) If $T^2 = 0$, then $T \perp_B^{\varepsilon} S$ entails that $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$.

The next example shows that $T \perp_B^{\varepsilon} S$ does not entail $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$, in general.

Example 2.4. Suppose that $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are in $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\|T\| = \sqrt{2} \text{ and } \|S\| = 1 \text{ and for every } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ we have } \|T + \lambda S\|^2 = \frac{2 + |\lambda|^2 + \sqrt{4 + |\lambda|^4}}{2}.$$

Hence $\|T + \lambda S\|^2 \geq 2 \geq 2 - 2\varepsilon|\lambda| = 2 - \sqrt{2}\varepsilon\|T\|\|S\|$. Thus, $T \perp_B^{\varepsilon} S$.

Also we have $\omega(T) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2}$, $\omega(S) = 1$, and

$$\omega(T + \lambda S) = \omega \left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

For $\lambda = 1$, we reach $1.24 \approx \omega^2(T + S) < \omega^2(T) - 2\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S) \approx 1.43$ showing that $T \not\perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.01)$.

We give an example of two operators T and S such that $T \not\perp_{\omega_B} S$ while $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$.

Example 2.5. Suppose that $T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are in $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Straightforward computations give us $\omega(T) = 2$, $\omega(S) = \frac{3}{2}$. If $\lambda = -1$, then

$$\omega(T - S) = \omega\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} < 2.$$

Hence, $T \not\perp_{\omega_B} S$. We also have

$$\omega(T + \lambda S) = \omega\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 + \lambda & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}\right) \geq \max\{|\lambda|, |\lambda + 2|\}.$$

Thus, $\omega^2(T + \lambda S) \geq \max\{|\lambda|^2, |2 + \lambda|^2\} \geq 4 - 6\varepsilon|\lambda| = \omega^2(T) - 2\varepsilon|\lambda|\omega(T)\omega(S)$. Therefore, $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ for each $\varepsilon \in (\frac{2}{3}, 1)$.

Mal et al. [9, Theorem 2.3] characterized the numerical radius Birkhoff orthogonality of operators on a Hilbert space. In [14], the authors investigated some aspects of numerical radius orthogonality. Inspired by these papers, we characterize the approximate numerical radius orthogonality of operators acting on a Hilbert space.

Theorem 2.6. *The relation $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ holds if and only if for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n^{\theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{H} such that the following two conditions hold:*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle| = \omega(T)$
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\lambda = |\lambda|e^{i\theta}$ for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. By the assumption, there exists a sequence $\{x_n^{\theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{H} such that (i) and (ii) hold. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2(T + \lambda S) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle (T + \lambda S)x_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle|^2 + 2|\lambda| \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} + |\lambda|^2 |\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle|^2 + 2|\lambda| \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \right) \\ &\geq \omega^2(T) - 2\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(\lambda S) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$.

(\Rightarrow) Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. We drive from $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ that $\omega^2(T + \lambda S) \geq \omega^2(T) - 2\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(\lambda S)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, $\omega^2\left(T + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{n}S\right) \geq \omega^2(T) - 2\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega\left(\frac{e^{i\theta}}{n}S\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists x_n^θ with $\|x_n^\theta\| = 1$ such that

$$\omega^2\left(T + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{n}S\right) - \frac{1}{n^2} < \left| \left\langle \left(T + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{n}S\right) x_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \right\rangle \right|^2,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2(T) - \frac{2\varepsilon}{n}\omega(T)\omega(S) - \frac{1}{n^2} &\leq \omega^2\left(T + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{n}S\right) - \frac{1}{n^2} \\ &< \left| \left\langle \left(T + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{n}S\right) x_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \left(|\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle}\} + \frac{1}{n^2} |\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{2}(\omega^2(T) - |\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle|^2) &< \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle}\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2n}\omega^2(S) + \frac{2}{n} + \varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle}\} + \frac{1}{2n}\omega^2(S) + \frac{2}{n} + \varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.2)$$

Note that $\{\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle\}$ and $\{\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle\}$ are two bounded sequence in \mathbb{C} . Therefore, by passing to subsequences of $\{x_n^\theta\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, if necessary, we can assume that these two sequences are convergent. Now, inequality (2.2) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S).$$

Thus, (ii) is valid.

We shall prove (i). It follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2(T) - \frac{2\varepsilon}{n}\omega(T)\omega(S) - \frac{1}{n^2} &\leq \left(|\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle}\} + \frac{1}{n^2} |\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &\leq |\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle|^2 + \frac{2}{n} |\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle| |\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle| + \frac{1}{n^2} |\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq |\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \omega(T)\omega(S) + \frac{1}{n^2} \omega^2(S), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\omega^2(T) \geq |\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle|^2 \geq \omega^2(T) - \frac{2\varepsilon}{n}\omega(T)\omega(S) - \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{2}{n}\omega(T)\omega(S) - \frac{1}{n^2}\omega^2(S),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle| = \omega(T)$. \square

Remark 2.1. Due to the homogeneity of relations $\perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\omega(T) = \omega(S) = 1$. Then $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ if and only if for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n^{\theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{H} such that the following two conditions hold:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle| = 1$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon$.

As an application of Theorem 2.6, we get the following results.

Corollary 2.7. *If $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} I$, then $I \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} T$.*

Proof. Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Since $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} I$, for $\varphi = 2\pi - \theta$ there exists a sequence $\{x_n^{\varphi}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{H} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n^{\varphi}, x_n^{\varphi} \rangle| = \omega(T)$ and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\varphi} \langle Tx_n^{\varphi}, x_n^{\varphi} \rangle \overline{\langle Ix_n^{\varphi}, x_n^{\varphi} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)$. Put $x_n^{\theta} = x_n^{\varphi}$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Ix_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle| = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Ix_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \overline{\langle Ix_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\varphi} \langle Tx_n^{\varphi}, x_n^{\varphi} \rangle \overline{\langle Ix_n^{\varphi}, x_n^{\varphi} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T), \end{aligned}$$

whence $I \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} T$. □

Given an operator T , the set of all sequences in the closed unit ball \mathcal{H} at which T attains its numerical radius in limits is denoted by

$$M_{\omega(T)}^* = \{\{x_n\} : \|x_n\| = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle| = \omega(T)\}.$$

In the following result, we show that under some mild conditions, $\perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$ behaves like a symmetric relation. Recall that the Crawford number of an operator T is defined by

$$c(T) = \inf\{|\langle Tx, x \rangle| : \|x\| = 1\}.$$

Proposition 2.8. *Let $c(T) \neq 0$. If $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ and $M_{\omega(S)}^* \cap M_{\omega(T+\lambda S)}^* \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $S \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} T$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Put $\beta := \frac{\omega(S)}{c(T)}$. Since $\perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon}$ is homogeneous, we have $\beta T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$. Hence $\omega^2(\beta T + \bar{\lambda}S) \geq \omega^2(\beta T) - 2\varepsilon\omega(\beta T)\omega(\bar{\lambda}S)$. Let $\{x_n\} \in M_{\omega(S)}^* \cap M_{\omega(T+\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\beta}S)}^*$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega^2(\beta T) - 2\varepsilon\omega(\beta T)\omega(\bar{\lambda}S) \\ &\leq \omega^2(\beta T + \bar{\lambda}S) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \beta T + \bar{\lambda}Sx_n, x_n \rangle|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\langle \beta Tx_n, x_n \rangle|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\lambda \langle \beta Tx_n, x_n \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n, x_n \rangle} + |\lambda|^2 |\langle Sx_n, x_n \rangle|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \beta T x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 \leq \omega^2(\beta T)$, we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(2\operatorname{Re} \lambda \langle \beta T x_n, x_n \rangle \overline{\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle} + |\lambda|^2 |\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 \right) \geq -2\varepsilon \omega(\beta T) \omega(\bar{\lambda} S). \quad (2.3)$$

From $\beta = \frac{\omega(S)}{c(T)}$, we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\beta^2 |\langle T x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 - |\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle|^2) \geq 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2(S + \lambda \beta T) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle (S + \lambda \beta T) x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re} \lambda \langle \beta T x_n, x_n \rangle \overline{\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle} + |\lambda|^2 |\langle \beta T x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re} \lambda \langle \beta T x_n, x_n \rangle \overline{\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle} + |\lambda|^2 |\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle|^2 - 2\varepsilon \omega(\beta T) \omega(\bar{\lambda} S) \quad (\text{by (2.3)}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the assumption that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle S x_n, x_n \rangle| = \omega(S)$. Therefore

$$\omega^2(S + \lambda \beta T) \geq \omega^2(S) - 2\varepsilon \omega(S) \omega(\beta \lambda T),$$

since $\omega(\mu S) = |\mu| \omega(S)$ for each $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $S \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} T$. \square

For compact operators, in particular in the case where \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional, Theorem 2.6 yields the following result.

Theorem 2.9. *Let T and S be two compact operators. Then $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ holds if and only if for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a unit vector $x^{\theta} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $|\langle T x^{\theta}, x^{\theta} \rangle| = \omega(T)$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle T x^{\theta}, x^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle S x^{\theta}, x^{\theta} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon \omega(T) \omega(S)$.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) It is obvious by Theorem 2.6.

(\Rightarrow) Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that there exists a sequence $\{x_n^{\theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{H} such that both (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle T x_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle| = \omega(T)$ and (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle T x_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle S x_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon \omega(T) \omega(S)$ hold.

Since the closed unit ball of \mathcal{H} is weakly compact, $\{x_n^{\theta}\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\{x_n^{\theta}\}$ is weakly converges, say to x^{θ} . Hence, $\langle x_n^{\theta} - x^{\theta}, T^* y \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore $\{T x_n^{\theta}\}$ weakly converges to $T x^{\theta}$. Similarly $\{S x_n^{\theta}\}$ weakly converges to $S x^{\theta}$.

On the other hand, since $\{x_n^{\theta}\}$ is norm-bounded and the operators T and S are compact, by passing to subsequences, we can assume that $\{T x_n^{\theta}\}$ and $\{S x_n^{\theta}\}$ are norm-convergent. Thus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n^{\theta} = T x^{\theta}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n^{\theta} = S x^{\theta}$ in the norm topology. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T x_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle = \langle T x^{\theta}, x^{\theta} \rangle$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle S x_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle = \langle S x^{\theta}, x^{\theta} \rangle$. Now by considering (i) and (ii), the proof is completed. \square

Example 2.10. Suppose that $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ are unit vectors and $x \otimes y$ denotes the rank one operator defined by $(x \otimes y)(z) := \langle z, y \rangle x$, $z \in \mathcal{H}$. It is easy to see that $\omega(x \otimes y) = \frac{1}{2} (|\langle x, y \rangle| + \|x \otimes y\|)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence, for the compact operator

$x \otimes x$, we get $\omega(x \otimes x) = \|x\|^2$. From Theorem 2.9, $x \otimes x \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} y \otimes y$ if and only if for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a unit vector $x^{\theta} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $1 = |\langle x^{\theta}, x \rangle|$ and $\cos \theta |\langle x^{\theta}, x \rangle|^2 |\langle x^{\theta}, y \rangle|^2 \geq -\varepsilon$.

From the equality case in the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and $1 = |\langle x^{\theta}, x \rangle|$ we infer that $x^{\theta} = x$. If x and y are orthogonal, we arrive at $x \otimes x \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} y \otimes y$.

Moreover, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is given and take the unit vectors $x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\varepsilon < |\langle x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon} \rangle|^2$, and take $\theta_{\varepsilon} \in [0, \pi)$ such that $-1 < \cos \theta_{\varepsilon} < \frac{-\varepsilon}{|\langle x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon} \rangle|^2}$, then the inequality $\cos \theta_{\varepsilon} |\langle x_{\varepsilon}, y_{\varepsilon} \rangle|^2 < -\varepsilon$ ensures that $x \otimes x \not\perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} y \otimes y$.

Proposition 2.11. *If T is a positive operator and $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$, then $(T + I) \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$.*

Proof. Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. By the assumption, there exists a sequence $\{x_n^{\theta}\}$ of unit vectors such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle| = \omega(T), \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon \omega(T) \omega(S).$$

Since T is positive, $\omega(T + I) = \omega(T) + 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon \omega(S)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle (T + I)x_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle|^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle|^2 + |\langle Ix_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle) \\ &= \omega^2(T) + 1 + 2\omega(T) = \omega^2(T + I). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle (T + I)x_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Ix_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \\ &\geq -\varepsilon \omega(T) \omega(S) - \varepsilon \omega(S) \\ &\geq -\varepsilon \omega(S) \omega(T + I). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(T + I) \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$. □

Our last result of this section reads as follows.

Proposition 2.12. *Let S and K be positive operators of norm one, $K \leq S$, and $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$. Then $T \perp_{\omega}^{2\varepsilon} S + K$.*

Proof. Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. There exists a sequence $\{x_n^{\theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{H} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle| = \omega(T)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle\} \geq -\varepsilon \omega(T)$ hold.

We may assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \langle Kx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle\} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Kx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle\} \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Kx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \frac{-\varepsilon\omega(T)}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle} \\ &\geq -\varepsilon\omega(T) = -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(K). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \overline{\langle (S+K)x_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle}\} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle\} \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \langle Kx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle\} \\ &\geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S) - \varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(K) \\ &\geq -2\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S+K) \quad (\text{as } 0 \leq S, K \leq S+K). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T \perp_\omega^{2\varepsilon} S+K$. □

3. NUMERICAL RADIUS DERIVATION

In this section, we introduce the notion of numerical radius derivation and provide a characterization of \perp_ω^ε by employing this notion.

Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define by $f(r) = \omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S)$ is convex. To show this, let $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. By the convexity of the real function $g(r) = r^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha r + (1-\alpha)s) &= \omega^2(T + (\alpha r + (1-\alpha)s)e^{i\theta}S) \\ &= \omega^2(\alpha(T + re^{i\theta}S) + (1-\alpha)(T + se^{i\theta}S)) \\ &\leq \left(\alpha\omega((T + re^{i\theta}S) + (1-\alpha)\omega((T + se^{i\theta}S))) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \alpha\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) + (1-\alpha)\omega^2(T + se^{i\theta}S) \\ &= \alpha f(r) + (1-\alpha)f(s). \end{aligned}$$

Then for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ the function $D_\omega^\theta : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$D_\omega^\theta(T, S) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{2r}$$

exists, we call it the numerical radius derivation (ω -derivation).

Furthermore, the functions $f(r) = \omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S)$ and $g(r) = 2r\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$ are convex functions and so is $h(r) = \omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) + 2r\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$.

The following theorem gives a characterization of the approximate numerical radius orthogonality for operators.

Theorem 3.1. *The relation $T \perp_\omega^\varepsilon S$ holds if and only if $\inf_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} D_\omega^\theta(T, S) \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$.*

Proof. (\implies) Suppose that $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. It follows from $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$ that $\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) \geq \omega^2(T) - 2r\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\omega}^{\theta}(T, S) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{2r} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T) + 2r\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)}{2r} + \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{-2r\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)}{2r} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T) + 2r\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)}{2r} - \varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T) + 2r\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)}{2r} \geq 0$, passing to the limit, we get $D_{\omega}^{\theta}(T, S) \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$. Thus, $\inf_{\theta} D_{\omega}^{\theta}(T, S) \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$.

(\impliedby) Let $\inf_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} D_{\omega}^{\theta}(T, S) \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$. Then for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ we have $D_{\omega}^{\theta}(T, S) \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S) \leq D_{\omega}^{\theta}(T, S) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T) + 2r\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)}{2r} - \varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h(r) - h(0)}{r - 0} - \varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S), \end{aligned}$$

whence $h'(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h(r) - h(0)}{r - 0} \geq 0$.

Then the convexity of h implies that $h(r) - h(0) \geq (r - 0)h'(0) \geq 0$ and so $h(r) \geq h(0)$ for every $r \geq 0$. Therefore, $\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) \geq \omega^2(T) - 2r\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$ for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. This entails that $T \perp_{\omega}^{\varepsilon} S$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The operator T is approximate numerical radius orthogonal to S .*
- (ii) $\inf_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} D_{\omega}^{\theta}(T, S) \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$.
- (iii) *For every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n^{\theta}\}$ of unit vectors in \mathcal{H} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle| = \omega(T)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^{\theta}, x_n^{\theta} \rangle}\} \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$.*

Remark 3.1. Lumber [8, Theorem 11] proved that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|I + rT\| - 1}{r} = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \operatorname{Re}\langle Tx, x \rangle$$

for any given operator T .

Dragomir [4, Theorem 66] proved that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(I + rT) - 1}{r} = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \operatorname{Re}\langle Tx, x \rangle.$$

Then for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_\omega^\theta(T, I) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(I + re^{i\theta}T) - 1}{2r} \\
 &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(I + re^{i\theta}T) - 1}{r} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(I + re^{i\theta}T) + 1}{2} \\
 &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(I + re^{i\theta}T) - 1}{r} \\
 &= \sup_{\|x\|=1} \operatorname{Re} \langle e^{i\theta}Tx, x \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. We observed that $T \perp_\omega^\varepsilon S$ if and only if $\inf_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} D_\omega^\theta(T, S) \geq -\varepsilon\omega(T)\omega(S)$.

In virtue of

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega(T)}{r} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{r(\omega(T + re^{i\theta}S) + \omega(T))} \\
 &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{\omega(T + re^{i\theta}S) + \omega(T)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\omega(T)} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{2r} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\omega(T)} D_\omega^\theta(T, S), \tag{3.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

we can say that $T \perp_\omega^\varepsilon S$ if and only if $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega(T)}{r} \geq -\varepsilon\omega(S)$ for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

If $\theta = 0$, then Dragomir [4] proved that

$$[S, T] := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + rS) - \omega^2(T)}{2r}$$

gives rise to a semi-inner product-type on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, see also [1].

Now, we list here some properties of the above semi-inner product type.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then the following statements are satisfied.*

- (i) $[e^{i\theta}T, e^{i\theta}T] = \omega^2(T)$.
- (ii) $[ie^{i\theta}T, e^{i\theta}T] = 0$ and $[0, T] = [e^{i\theta}T, 0] = 0$.
- (iii) *The following Schwarz type inequality holds*

$$|[e^{i\theta}S, T]| \leq \omega(T)\omega(S) \quad T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

(vi) *The mapping $[e^{i\theta}S, T]$ is sub-additive in the first variable, that is, for all $T, S, R \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $[e^{i\theta}(S + R), T] \leq [e^{i\theta}S, T] + [e^{i\theta}R, T]$.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) are evident.

(iii) It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} -\omega(S) &\leq \frac{\omega(T) - r\omega(S) - \omega(T)}{r} \leq \frac{\omega(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega(T)}{r} \\ &\leq \frac{\omega(T) + r\omega(S) - \omega(T)}{r} = \omega(S). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$[e^{i\theta}S, T] = D_\omega^\theta(T, S) = \omega(T) \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega(T)}{r} \leq \omega(T)\omega(S)$$

Similarly, one can show that $[e^{i\theta}S, T] \geq -\omega(T)\omega(S)$. Therefore,

$$|[e^{i\theta}S, T]| \leq \omega(T)\omega(S) \quad T, S \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

(iv) Since $f(r) = \omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S)$ is convex

$$\omega^2\left(\frac{2T + re^{i\theta}(S + R)}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}R),$$

whence

$$2\left(\omega^2\left(T + \frac{r}{2}e^{i\theta}(S + R)\right) - \omega^2(T)\right) \leq \left(\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)\right) + \left(\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}R) - \omega^2(T)\right).$$

Then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{2\left(\omega^2\left(T + \frac{r}{2}e^{i\theta}(S + R)\right) - \omega^2(T)\right)}{2r} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{2r} + \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}R) - \omega^2(T)}{2r}.$$

Hence, $[e^{i\theta}(S + R), T] \leq [e^{i\theta}(S), T] + [e^{i\theta}(R), T]$. \square

In the following proposition, we state the relation between $D_\omega^\theta(T, S)$ and $\text{Re}\{e^{-i\theta}\langle Tx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^\theta, x_n^\theta \rangle}\}$ under some conditions.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ be fixed. If $\{x_n^r\} \in M_{\omega(T)}^* \cap M_{\omega(T + re^{i\theta}S)}^*$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then*

$$[e^{i\theta}S, T] = D_\omega^\theta(T, S) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Re}\{e^{-i\theta}\langle Tx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle}\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle (T + re^{i\theta}S)x_n^r, x_n^r \rangle|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|\langle Tx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle|^2 + 2r \text{Re}\{e^{-i\theta}\langle Tx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle}\} + r^2 |\langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{2r} \\ &= \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(| \langle Tx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle |^2 + 2r \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle} \} + r^2 | \langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle |^2 \right) - \omega^2(T)}{2r} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2r \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle} \} + r^2 | \langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle |^2}{2r} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle} \} &\leq \frac{\omega^2(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega^2(T)}{2r} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \{ e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle} \} + r\omega(S). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 0^+$, we get the desired equality. \square

Remark 3.3. Under the conditions of Proposition 3.4, Remark 3.2 implies that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(T + re^{i\theta}S) - \omega(T)}{r} = \frac{1}{\omega(T)} D_\omega^\theta(T, S) = \frac{1}{\omega(T)} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\operatorname{Re} \{ e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle \overline{\langle Sx_n^r, x_n^r \rangle} \} \right).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Alsina, J. Sikorska, M. Santos Tomás, *Norm derivatives and characterizations of inner product spaces*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2010.
- [2] J. Chmieliński, *On an ϵ -Birkhoff orthogonality*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (2005), no. 3, Article 79, 7 pp.
- [3] J. Chmieliński, T. Stypuła, and P. Wójcik, *Approximate orthogonality in normed spaces and its applications*, Linear Algebra Appl. **531** (2017), 305–317.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, *Inequalities for the numerical radius of linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2013.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, *On approximation of continuous linear functionals in normed linear spaces*, An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Științ. Mat. **29** (1991), no. 1, 51–58.
- [6] S. G. Dastidar and G. H. Bera, *On Numerical Radius of Some Matrices*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **12** (2018), no. 1, 9–18.
- [7] O. Hirzallah, F. Kittaneh, K. Shebrawi, *Numerical radius inequalities for certain 2×2 operator matrices*, Integral Equ. Oper. Theory, **71** (2011), no. 1, 129–147.
- [8] G. Lumer, *Semi-inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1961), 29–43.
- [9] A. Mal, K. Paul, and J. Sen, *Orthogonality and Numerical radius inequalities of operator matrices*, arXiv:1903.06858.
- [10] K. Paul, D. Sain, and A. Mal, *Approximate Birkhoff-James orthogonality in the space of bounded linear operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. **537** (2018), 348–357.
- [11] K. Paul, S. Bag, *On numerical radius of a matrix and estimation of bounds for zeros of a polynomial*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2012, Art. ID 129132, 15 pp.
- [12] M. S. Moslehian and A. Zamani, *Characterizations of operator Birkhoff-James orthogonality*, Canad. Math. Bull. **60** (2017), no. 4, 816–829.

- [13] J. Rooin, S. Karami, and M. Ghaderi Aghideh, *A new approach to numerical radius of quadratic operators*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **11** (2020), no. 3, 879–896.
- [14] M. Torabian, M. Amyari, and M. Moradian Khibary, *More on ω -orthogonalities and ω -parallelism*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, doi: 10.1080/03081087.2020.1809618.

^{1*} DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASHHAD BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, MASHHAD, IRAN

Email address: maryam_amyari@yahoo.com and amyari@mshdiau.ac.ir

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FARHANGIAN UNIVERSITY, MASHHAD, IRAN

Email address: mmkh926@gmail.com