

TWILLED 3-LIE ALGEBRAS, GENERALIZED MATCHED PAIRS OF 3-LIE ALGEBRAS AND \mathcal{O} -OPERATORS

SHUAI HOU, YUNHE SHENG, AND RONG TANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, first we introduce the notion of a twilled 3-Lie algebra, and construct an L_∞ -algebra, whose Maurer-Cartan elements give rise to new twilled 3-Lie algebras by twisting. In particular, we recover the Lie 3-algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are \mathcal{O} -operators (also called relative Rota-Baxter operators) on 3-Lie algebras. Then we introduce the notion of generalized matched pairs of 3-Lie algebras using generalized representations of 3-Lie algebras, which will give rise to twilled 3-Lie algebras. The usual matched pairs of 3-Lie algebras correspond to a special class of twilled 3-Lie algebras, which we call strict twilled 3-Lie algebras. Finally, we use \mathcal{O} -operators to construct explicit twilled 3-Lie algebras, and explain why an r -matrix for a 3-Lie algebra can not give rise to a double construction 3-Lie bialgebra. Examples of twilled 3-Lie algebras are given to illustrate the various interesting phenomenon.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Twilled 3-Lie algebras and the associated L_∞ -algebras	3
2.1. Lift and bidegree	3
2.2. Twilled 3-Lie algebras	5
2.3. The associated L_∞ -algebras	6
3. Generalized matched pairs of 3-Lie algebras	8
4. Twisting of twilled 3-Lie algebras by Maurer-Cartan elements	12
5. \mathcal{O} -operators and twilled 3-Lie algebras	15
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

3-Lie algebras and more generally, n -Lie algebras (also called Filippov algebras) [9], have attracted attention from both mathematics and physics. The n -Lie algebra is the algebraic structure corresponding to Nambu mechanics [19]. See the review article [6, 18] for more details. The notion of an \mathcal{O} -operator on a 3-Lie algebra with respect to a representation was introduced in [1] to construct solutions of the classical 3-Lie Yang-Baxter equation. When the representation is the adjoint representation, one obtain Rota-Baxter operators on 3-Lie algebras introduced in [3]. In [27], a Lie 3-algebra was constructed to characterize \mathcal{O} -operators on 3-Lie algebras as Maurer-Cartan elements. Moreover, cohomologies and deformations of \mathcal{O} -operators on 3-Lie algebras were also studied there.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B62, 17B40, 17A42.

Key words and phrases. Twilled 3-Lie algebra, L_∞ -algebra, \mathcal{O} -operator, generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras.

Various twilled algebras were studied in the literature. Roughly speaking, a twilled algebra is an algebra that decomposed into two subalgebras (as direct sum of vector spaces). Twilled Lie algebras were studied in [12, 13, 17] with applications to Lie bialgebras and integrable systems. Twilled associative algebras were studied in [5, 28] with connections to quantization and Rota-Baxter type operators. The notion of a twilled Leibniz algebra was introduced in [24] in the study of Leibniz bialgebras. Twilled algebras are closely related with the twisting theory [7, 28]. One common property for the above twilled algebras is that they are equivalent to matched pairs. As we will see, this property does not hold anymore for 3-Lie algebras.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of a twilled 3-Lie algebra, which is a 3-Lie algebra that being the direct sum of subspaces underlying two subalgebras. This condition is also equivalent to the existence of a product structure on the 3-Lie algebra [23]. We construct an L_∞ -algebra from a twilled 3-Lie algebra, and recover the Lie 3-algebra given in [27] when one consider the semidirect product 3-Lie algebra. Different from the cases of twilled associative algebras, twilled Lie algebras and twilled Leibniz algebras, a twilled 3-Lie algebra is not equivalent to a (usual) matched pair of 3-Lie algebras anymore. This force us to define a strict twilled 3-Lie algebra, which is equivalent to a matched pair of 3-Lie algebra. This also motivate us to define a generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras using the generalized representations introduced in [16]. It follows that a generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras gives rise to a twilled 3-Lie algebra. However, the converse is still not true. We also study the twisting theory of twilled 3-Lie algebras. We show that the twisting of a twilled 3-Lie algebra by a Maurer-Cartan element of the aforementioned L_∞ -algebra is still a twilled 3-Lie algebra. This allows us to construct explicit twilled 3-Lie algebras using \mathcal{O} -operators on 3-Lie algebras.

The bialgebra theory for 3-Lie algebras was developed using different approaches [1, 2, 4, 10, 20]. In particular, two kinds of bialgebras, namely a local cocycle 3-Lie bialgebra and a double construction 3-Lie bialgebra were introduced in [1], and an r -matrix for a 3-Lie algebra gives rise to the former, while the latter is equivalent to a Manin triple (a special matched pair) of 3-Lie algebras. However, it is still unclear there why an r -matrix for a 3-Lie algebra can not give rise to a double construction 3-Lie bialgebra. The study in this paper answer this question intrinsically. This is because as aforementioned, different from the binary case, a twilled 3-Lie algebra is not equivalent to a matched pair of 3-Lie algebras anymore, while as a special \mathcal{O} -operator, an r -matrix can only give rise to a twilled 3-Lie algebra. This suggest that there might be a more general bialgebra theory for 3-Lie algebras. We will explore this direction in a future work.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notion of a twilled 3-Lie algebra, and construct an L_∞ -algebra using Uchino's higher derived brackets. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of a generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras and show that a generalized matched pair gives rise to a twilled 3-Lie algebra. We further introduce the notion of a strict twilled 3-Lie algebra and show that it is equivalent to a (usual) matched pair of 3-Lie algebras. In Section 4, we study twisting of twilled 3-Lie algebras, and show that the twisting of a twilled 3-Lie algebra by a Maurer-Cartan element of the L_∞ -algebra given in Section 2 is still a twilled 3-Lie algebra. Finally in Section 5, we construct twilled 3-Lie algebras using \mathcal{O} -operators on 3-Lie algebras and give examples.

In this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0 and all the vector spaces are over \mathbb{K} and finite-dimensional.

Acknowledgements. Y. Sheng is supported by NSFC (11922110). R. Tang is supported by NSFC (12001228) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2020M670833).

2. TWILLED 3-LIE ALGEBRAS AND THE ASSOCIATED L_∞ -ALGEBRAS

In this section, we introduce the notion of a twilled 3-Lie algebra, from which we construct an L_∞ -algebra using Uchino's higher derived brackets. First we recall 3-Lie algebras and the graded Lie algebra that characterize 3-Lie algebras as Maurer-Cartan elements.

Definition 2.1. ([9]) *A 3-Lie algebra is a vector space \mathfrak{g} together with a skew-symmetric linear map $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} : \otimes^3 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, such that for $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, the following **Fundamental Identity** holds:*

$$[x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

A permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is called an $(i, n-i)$ -**shuffle** if $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(i)$ and $\sigma(i+1) < \dots < \sigma(n)$. If $i = 0$ or n we assume $\sigma = \text{Id}$. The set of all $(i, n-i)$ -shuffles will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{(i, n-i)}$. The notion of an (i_1, \dots, i_k) -shuffle and the set $\mathcal{S}_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}$ are defined analogously.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a vector space. We consider the graded vector space

$$C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} C_{3\text{Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}}_n \wedge \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), \quad (n \geq 0).$$

It is known that $C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ equipped with the **grade commutator bracket**

$$(1) \quad [P, Q]_{3\text{Lie}} = P \circ Q - (-1)^{pq} Q \circ P, \quad \forall P \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), Q \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}),$$

is a graded Lie algebra [21], where $P \circ Q \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & (P \circ Q)(\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{p+q}, x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{(k-1)q} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(k-1, q)} (-1)^\sigma P(\mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(k-1)}, Q(\mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(k+q-1)}, x_{k+q}) \wedge y_{k+q}, \mathfrak{x}_{k+q+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{p+q}, x) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{(k-1)q} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(k-1, q)} (-1)^\sigma P(\mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(k-1)}, x_{k+q} \wedge Q(\mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(k+q-1)}, y_{k+q}), \mathfrak{x}_{k+q+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{p+q}, x) \\ &+ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(p, q)} (-1)^{pq} (-1)^\sigma P(\mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(p)}, Q(\mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(p+1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(p+q-1)}, \mathfrak{x}_{\sigma(p+q)}, x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{x}_i = x_i \wedge y_i \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p+q$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The following result is well known.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\pi \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \text{Hom}(\wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. Then π defines a 3-Lie algebra structure on \mathfrak{g} if and only if π satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation $[\pi, \pi]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0$.*

Moreover, $(C_{3\text{Lie}}^(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}}, d_\pi)$ is a differential graded Lie algebra, where d_π is defined by*

$$(2) \quad d_\pi := [\pi, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}}.$$

The differential d_π can be served as the coboundary operator of 3-Lie algebras. See [26] for the cohomology theory for n -Lie algebras.

2.1. Lift and bidegree.

Let \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 be vector spaces. We denote by $g^{l,k}$ the subspace of $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2) \wedge (\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ which contains the number of \mathfrak{g}_1 (resp. \mathfrak{g}_2) is l (resp. k). Then the vector space $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2) \wedge (\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is expended into the direct sum of $g^{l,k}$, $l+k = 2n+1$. For instance,

$$\wedge^3(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2) = g^{3,0} \oplus g^{2,1} \oplus g^{1,2} \oplus g^{0,3}.$$

It is straightforward to see that we have the following natural isomorphism

$$(3) \quad C_{3\text{Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2) \cong \sum_{l+k=2n+1} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{l,k}, \mathfrak{g}_1) \oplus \sum_{l+k=2n+1} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{l,k}, \mathfrak{g}_2).$$

An element $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{l,k}, \mathfrak{g}_1)$ (resp. $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{l,k}, \mathfrak{g}_2)$) naturally gives an element $\hat{f} \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$, which is called its lift. For example, the lifts of linear maps $\alpha : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$, $\beta : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ are defined by

$$(4) \quad \hat{\alpha}((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) = (\alpha(x, y, z), 0),$$

$$(5) \quad \hat{\beta}((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) = (0, \beta(x, y, w) + \beta(y, z, u) + \beta(z, x, v)),$$

respectively. Let $H : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ be a linear map. Its lift is given by $\hat{H}(x, u) = (H(u), 0)$. Obviously we have $\hat{H} \circ \hat{H} = 0$.

Definition 2.3. A linear map $f \in \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)}_n, \wedge(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2), \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ has a **bidegree** $l|k$, which is denoted by $\|f\| = l|k$, if f satisfies the following four conditions:

- (i) $l + k = 2n$;
- (ii) If X is an element in $\mathfrak{g}^{l+1,k}$, then $f(X) \in \mathfrak{g}_1$;
- (iii) If X is an element in $\mathfrak{g}^{l,k+1}$, then $f(X) \in \mathfrak{g}_2$;
- (iv) All the other case, $f(X) = 0$.

A linear map f is said to be homogeneous if f has a bidegree. We have $l + k \geq 0$, $k, l \geq -1$ because $n \geq 0$ and $l + 1, k + 1 \geq 0$. For instance, the lift $\hat{H} \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^0(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ of $H : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ has the bidegree $-1|1$. Linear maps $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^1(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ given in (4) and (5) have the bidegree $\|\hat{\alpha}\| = \|\hat{\beta}\| = 2|0$. Thus, the sum

$$(6) \quad \hat{\mu} := \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}$$

is a homogeneous linear map of the bidegree $2|0$, which is also a multiplication of the semidirect product type,

$$\hat{\mu}((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) = (\alpha(x, y, z), \beta(x, y, w) + \beta(y, z, u) + \beta(z, x, v)).$$

It is obvious that we have the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.4. Let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ be homogeneous linear maps and the bidegrees of f_i are different. Then $f_1 + \dots + f_k = 0$ if and only if $f_1 = \dots = f_k = 0$.

Lemma 2.5. If $\|f\| = -1|l$ (resp. $l - 1$) and $\|g\| = -1|k$ (resp. $k - 1$), then $[f, g]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $\|f\| = -1|l$ and $\|g\| = -1|k$. Then f and g are both lifts of linear maps in $\oplus_{m \geq 0} \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_2}_m, \wedge \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1)$. Thus, we have $f \circ g = g \circ f = 0$ and $[f, g]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.6. Let $f \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ and $g \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ be homogeneous linear maps with bidegrees $l_f|k_f$ and $l_g|k_g$ respectively. Then the composition $f \circ g \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^{n+m}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is a homogeneous linear map of the bidegree $(l_f + l_g)|(k_f + k_g)$.

Proof. It is straightforward. \square

Lemma 2.7. If $\|f\| = l_f|k_f$ and $\|g\| = l_g|k_g$, then $[f, g]_{3\text{Lie}}$ has the bidegree $(l_f + l_g)|(k_f + k_g)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6 and (1), we have $\|[f, g]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = (l_f + l_g)|(k_f + k_g)$. \square

2.2. Twilled 3-Lie algebras. Let $(\mathcal{G}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{G}})$ be a 3-Lie algebra with a decomposition into two subspaces¹, $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$.

Lemma 2.8. Any $\Omega \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) = \text{Hom}(\wedge^3(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2), \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is uniquely decomposed into five homogeneous linear maps of bidegrees $3|-1$, $2|0$, $1|1$, $0|2$ and $-1|3$:

$$\Omega = \hat{\phi}_1 + \hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\psi} + \hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\phi}_2.$$

Proof. By (3), $C_{3\text{Lie}}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$ is decomposed into

$$C_{3\text{Lie}}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) = (3|-1) \oplus (2|0) \oplus (1|1) \oplus (0|2) \oplus (-1|3),$$

where $(i|j)$ is the space of linear maps of the bidegree $i|j$. By Lemma 2.4, Ω is uniquely decomposed into homogeneous linear maps of bidegrees $3|-1$, $2|0$, $1|1$, $0|2$ and $-1|3$. \square

The multiplication $[(x, u), (y, v), (z, w)]_{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{G} is uniquely decomposed by the canonical projections $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ into eight multiplications:

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y, z]_{\mathcal{G}} &= ([x, y, z]_1, [x, y, z]_2), & [x, y, w]_{\mathcal{G}} &= ([x, y, w]_1, [x, y, w]_2), \\ [x, v, w]_{\mathcal{G}} &= ([x, v, w]_1, [x, v, w]_2), & [u, v, w]_{\mathcal{G}} &= ([u, v, w]_1, [u, v, w]_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $[\alpha, \beta, \gamma]_1$ (resp. $[\alpha, \beta, \gamma]_2$) means the projection of $[\alpha, \beta, \gamma]_{\mathcal{G}}$ to \mathfrak{g}_1 (resp. \mathfrak{g}_2) for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}$. For later convenience, we use Ω to denote the multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{G}}$, i.e.

$$\Omega((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) := [(x, u), (y, v), (z, w)]_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Write $\Omega = \hat{\phi}_1 + \hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\psi} + \hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\phi}_2$ as in Lemma 2.8. Then we obtain

- (7) $\hat{\phi}_1((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) = (0, [x, y, z]_2),$
- (8) $\hat{\mu}_1((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) = ([x, y, z]_1, [x, y, w]_2 + [u, y, z]_2 + [x, v, z]_2),$
- (9) $\hat{\psi}((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) = ([x, y, w]_1 + [u, y, z]_1 + [x, v, z]_1, [x, v, w]_2 + [u, y, w]_2 + [u, v, z]_2),$
- (10) $\hat{\mu}_2((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) = ([x, v, w]_1 + [u, y, w]_1 + [u, v, z]_1, [u, v, w]_2),$
- (11) $\hat{\phi}_2((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) = ([u, v, w]_1, 0).$

Observe that $\hat{\phi}_1$ and $\hat{\phi}_2$ are lifted linear maps of $\phi_1(x, y, z) := [x, y, z]_2$ and $\phi_2(u, v, w) := [u, v, w]_1$.

Lemma 2.9. The Maurer-Cartan equation $[\Omega, \Omega]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0$ is equivalent to the following conditions:

$$(12) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0, \\ [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0, \\ [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0, \\ [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0, \\ [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\psi}, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0, \\ [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0, \\ [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Omega, \Omega]_{3\text{Lie}} &= [\hat{\phi}_1 + \hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\psi} + \hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\phi}_2, \hat{\phi}_1 + \hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\psi} + \hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} \\ &= [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\phi}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} \\ &\quad + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\phi}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}} \\ &\quad + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\phi}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} \end{aligned}$$

¹Here \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 are not necessarily subalgebras.

$$\begin{aligned}
& +[\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\phi}_2, \hat{\phi}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\phi}_2, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\phi}_2, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\phi}_2, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} \\
= & 2[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} + (2[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}}) + (2[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + 2[\hat{\psi}, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}}) \\
& + (2[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + 2[\hat{\psi}, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}}) + (2[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + 2[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}}) \\
& + (2[\hat{\psi}, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}}) + 2[\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\phi}_2]_{3\text{Lie}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.4, $[\Omega, \Omega]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0$ if and only if (12) holds. \square

Definition 2.10. The triple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is called a **twilled 3-Lie algebra** if $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$, or equivalently, \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 are subalgebras of \mathcal{G} .

Remark 2.11. Recall from [23] that a product structure on a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathcal{G}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{G}})$ is a linear map $E : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $E^2 = \text{Id}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
E[x, y, z]_{\mathcal{G}} &= [Ex, Ey, Ez]_{\mathcal{G}} + [Ex, y, z]_{\mathcal{G}} + [x, Ey, z]_{\mathcal{G}} + [x, y, Ez]_{\mathcal{G}} \\
&\quad - E[Ex, Ey, z]_{\mathcal{G}} - E[x, Ey, Ez]_{\mathcal{G}} - E[Ex, y, Ez]_{\mathcal{G}}.
\end{aligned}$$

A 3-Lie algebra admits a product structure if and only if as vector space $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2$, where \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 are subalgebras. See [23, Theorem 5.3] for more details. Therefore, there exists a product structure on a twilled 3-Lie algebra.

By Lemma 2.9, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.12. The triple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is a twilled 3-Lie algebra if and only if the following five conditions hold:

$$(13) \quad \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0,$$

$$(14) \quad [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0,$$

$$(15) \quad [\hat{\psi}, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0,$$

$$(16) \quad [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\psi}, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0,$$

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0.$$

2.3. The associated L_∞ -algebras. The notion of an L_∞ -algebra was introduced by Schlessinger and Stasheff in [22, 25]. See [15, 14] for more details.

Definition 2.13. An L_∞ -algebra is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}^k$ equipped with a collection $(k \geq 1)$ of linear maps $l_k : \bigotimes^k \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ of degree 1 with the property that, for any homogeneous elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

(i) (graded symmetry) for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$,

$$l_n(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-1)}, x_{\sigma(n)}) = \varepsilon(\sigma) l_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

(ii) (generalized Jacobi identity) for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}(i, n-i)} \varepsilon(\sigma) l_{n-i+1}(l_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(i)}), x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = 0.$$

Definition 2.14. An element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^0$ is called a **Maurer-Cartan element** of an L_∞ -algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \{l_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty})$ if it satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$(18) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} l_k(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) = 0.$$

One method for constructing explicit L_∞ -algebras is given by Uchino's higher derived brackets [29, 30]. Let us recall this construction.

Definition 2.15. ([29, 30]) An **U-structure** consists of a pair $(L, \{d_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty})$ where

- $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a graded Lie algebra,
- for any i , d_i is a derivation of $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and satisfies the following equation

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i,j \geq 0}} d_i \circ d_j = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Theorem 2.16. ([29, 30]) Let $(L, \{d_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty})$ be an U-structure. Then $(L, \{l_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty})$ is a Leibniz $_\infty$ -algebra where

$$(19) \quad l_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \underbrace{[\dots [d_{k-1}(x_1), x_2], x_3], \dots, x_k]}_k, \quad \text{for homogeneous } x_1, \dots, x_k \in L.$$

We call $\{l_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ the **higher derived brackets** of the U-structure $(L, \{d_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty})$.

Let \mathfrak{h} be an abelian graded Lie subalgebra of $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$. If l_k is closed on \mathfrak{h} , then $(\mathfrak{h}, \{l_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty})$ is an L_∞ -algebra.

Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ be a twilled 3-Lie algebra. Denote by

$$C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1) = \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_2}_m \wedge \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1), \quad (m \geq 0),$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &: C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1) \rightarrow C_{3\text{Lie}}^{m+1}(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1), \\ l_2 &: C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1) \times C_{3\text{Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1) \rightarrow C_{3\text{Lie}}^{m+n+1}(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1), \\ l_3 &: C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1) \times C_{3\text{Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1) \times C_{3\text{Lie}}^r(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1) \rightarrow C_{3\text{Lie}}^{m+n+r+1}(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1) \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} l_1(g_1) &= [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{g}_1]_{3\text{Lie}}, \\ l_2(g_1, g_2) &= [[\hat{\psi}, \hat{g}_1]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{g}_2]_{3\text{Lie}}, \\ l_3(g_1, g_2, g_3) &= [[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{g}_1]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{g}_2]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{g}_3]_{3\text{Lie}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $g_1 \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1)$, $g_2 \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1)$, $g_3 \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^r(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1)$.

Theorem 2.17. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ be a twilled 3-Lie algebra. Then $(\oplus_{m \geq 0} C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1), l_1, l_2, l_3)$ is an L_∞ -algebra.

Proof. We set $d_0 := [\hat{\mu}_2, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}}$. By (17) and the fact that $(C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}})$ is a graded Lie algebra, we deduce that $(C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}}, d_0)$ is a differential graded Lie algebra. Moreover, we define

$$d_1 := [\hat{\psi}, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}}, \quad d_2 := [\hat{\mu}_1, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}}, \quad d_i = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 3.$$

By (15), for all $f \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$, we have

$$(d_0 \circ d_1 + d_1 \circ d_0)(f) = [\hat{\mu}_2, [\hat{\psi}, f]_{3\text{Lie}}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, [\hat{\mu}_2, f]_{3\text{Lie}}]_{3\text{Lie}} = [[\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}}, f]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0,$$

which implies that $d_0 \circ d_1 + d_1 \circ d_0 = 0$. By (16), we have

$$(d_0 \circ d_2 + d_1 \circ d_1 + d_2 \circ d_0)(f) = [\hat{\mu}_2, [\hat{\mu}_1, f]_{3\text{Lie}}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, [\hat{\psi}, f]_{3\text{Lie}}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_1, [\hat{\mu}_2, f]_{3\text{Lie}}]_{3\text{Lie}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}}, f]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{\psi}, \hat{\psi}]_{3\text{Lie}}, f]_{3\text{Lie}} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $d_0 \circ d_2 + d_1 \circ d_1 + d_2 \circ d_0 = 0$. By (14), we have

$$(d_1 \circ d_2 + d_2 \circ d_1)(f) = [\hat{\psi}, [\hat{\mu}_1, f]_{3\text{Lie}}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_1, [\hat{\psi}, f]_{3\text{Lie}}]_{3\text{Lie}} = [[\hat{\psi}, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}}, f]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0.$$

Thus, we have $d_1 \circ d_2 + d_2 \circ d_1 = 0$. By (13), we have

$$(d_2 \circ d_2)(f) = d_2(d_2(f)) = [\hat{\mu}_1, [\hat{\mu}_1, f]_{3\text{Lie}}]_{3\text{Lie}} = \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}}, f]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0.$$

For $i \geq 3$, $d_i = 0$. Thus, we obtain $\sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i,j \geq 0}} d_i \circ d_j = 0$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Therefore, we have higher derived brackets on $C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
l_1(f_1) &= [\hat{\mu}_2, f_1]_{3\text{Lie}}, \\
l_2(f_1, f_2) &= [[\hat{\psi}, f_1]_{3\text{Lie}}, f_2]_{3\text{Lie}}, \\
l_3(f_1, f_2, f_3) &= [[[\hat{\mu}_1, f_1]_{3\text{Lie}}, f_2]_{3\text{Lie}}, f_3]_{3\text{Lie}}, \\
l_i &= 0, \quad i \geq 4,
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$.

Moreover, it is obvious that $\oplus_{m \geq 0} C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1)$ is an abelian subalgebra of the graded Lie algebra $(C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}})$. Next we show that l_1, l_2, l_3 are closed on $\oplus_{m \geq 0} C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1)$. For all $g_1 \in C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1)$, we have $\|\hat{g}_1\| = -1|2m + 1$. By Lemma 2.7, we deduce that $\|l_1(\hat{g}_1)\| = \|[\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{g}_1]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = -1|2(m + 1) + 1$. Thus, we have $l_1(\hat{g}_1) \in \oplus_{m \geq 0} C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1)$. Similarly, we have

$$\|l_2(\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2)\| = -1|2(m + n + 1) + 1, \quad \|l_3(\hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2, \hat{g}_3)\| = -1|2(m + n + r + 1) + 1.$$

Thus, l_1, l_2, l_3 are closed on $\oplus_{m \geq 0} C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1)$. By Theorem 2.16, $(\oplus_{m \geq 0} C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1), l_1, l_2, l_3)$ is an L_∞ -algebra. The proof is finished. \square

3. GENERALIZED MATCHED PAIRS OF 3-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, first we recall representations and matched pairs of 3-Lie algebras. Then we introduce the notion of a generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras using the generalized representation introduced in [16]. We show that a generalized matched pair gives rise to a twilled 3-Lie algebra. Finally we introduce the notion of a strict twilled 3-Lie algebra and show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between strict twilled 3-Lie algebras and matched pairs of 3-Lie algebras.

Definition 3.1. ([8, 11]) A **representation** of a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on a vector space V is a linear map: $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, such that for all $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathfrak{g}$, there holds:

$$(20) \quad \rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4) = \rho([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_4) + \rho(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_2);$$

$$(21) \quad \rho(x_1, [x_2, x_3, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_2) - \rho(x_2, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_3) + \rho(x_2, x_3)\rho(x_1, x_4).$$

Example 3.2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 3-Lie algebra. Define $\text{ad} : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$(22) \quad \text{ad}_{x,y}z := [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then $(\mathfrak{g}; \text{ad})$ is a representation of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$, which is called the **adjoint representation** of \mathfrak{g} .

Definition 3.3. ([1]) Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_2})$ be two 3-Lie algebras. Suppose that there are linear maps $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ and $\mu : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}_2; \rho)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{g}_1 and $(\mathfrak{g}_1; \mu)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{g}_2 . For all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{g}_2$, if ρ and μ satisfy the following conditions:

$$(23) \quad \mu(u, v)[x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = [\mu(u, v)x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + [x, \mu(u, v)y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + [x, y, \mu(u, v)z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1};$$

$$(24) \quad [x, y, \mu(u, v)z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \mu(\rho(x, y)u, v)z - \mu(\rho(x, z)v, u)y + \mu(\rho(y, z)v, u)x;$$

$$(25) \quad [\mu(u, v)x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \mu(u, v)[x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + \mu(\rho(y, z)u, v)x + \mu(u, \rho(y, z)v)x;$$

$$(26) \quad \rho(x, y)[u, v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} = [\rho(x, y)u, v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + [u, \rho(x, y)v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + [u, v, \rho(x, y)w]_{\mathfrak{g}_2};$$

$$(27) \quad [u, v, \rho(x, y)w]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} = \rho(\mu(u, v)x, y)w - \rho(\mu(u, w)y, x)v + \rho(\mu(v, w)y, x)u;$$

$$(28) \quad [\rho(x, y)u, v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} = \rho(x, y)[u, v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + \rho(\mu(v, w)x, y)u + \rho(x, \rho(v, w)y)u,$$

then we call $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2; \rho, \mu)$ a **matched pair of 3-Lie algebras**.

Definition 3.4. ([16]) A **generalized representation** of a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \pi = [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on a vector space V consists of linear maps: $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and $\nu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 V, V)$, such that

$$(29) \quad [\hat{\pi} + \hat{\rho} + \hat{\nu}, \hat{\pi} + \hat{\rho} + \hat{\nu}]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0.$$

We will refer to a generalized representation by a triple $(V; \rho, \nu)$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_2})$ be two 3-Lie algebras. Suppose that there are linear maps $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$, $\nu : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ and $\varrho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$, $\tau : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $(\mathfrak{g}_2; \rho, \nu)$ is a generalized representation of \mathfrak{g}_1 ;
- (b) $(\mathfrak{g}_1; \varrho, \tau)$ is a generalized representation of \mathfrak{g}_2 ;
- (c) For all $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $a_i \in \mathfrak{g}_2$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, ρ , ν , ϱ and τ satisfy the following conditions:

$$(30) \quad \nu([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1})(a_1 \wedge a_2) = \rho(x_1, x_2)(\nu(x_3)(a_1 \wedge a_2)) + \rho(x_3, x_1)(\nu(x_2)(a_1 \wedge a_2)) \\ + \rho(x_2, x_3)(\nu(x_1)(a_1 \wedge a_2));$$

$$(31) \quad \varrho(a_1, a_2)[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = [\varrho(a_1, a_2)x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + [x_1, \varrho(a_1, a_2)x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + [x_1, x_2, \varrho(a_1, a_2)x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \\ + \tau(\nu(x_1)(a_1 \wedge a_2))(x_2 \wedge x_3) + \tau(\nu(x_2)(a_1 \wedge a_2))(x_3 \wedge x_1) \\ + \tau(\nu(x_3)(a_1 \wedge a_2))(x_1 \wedge x_2);$$

$$(32) \quad [x_1, x_2, \varrho(a_1, a_2)x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \varrho(\rho(x_1, x_2)a_1, a_2)x_3 - \varrho(\rho(x_1, x_3)a_2, a_1)x_2 + \varrho(\rho(x_2, x_3)a_2, a_1)x_1 \\ - \tau(\nu(x_3)(a_1 \wedge a_2))(x_1 \wedge x_2);$$

$$(33) \quad [\varrho(a_1, a_2)x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = \varrho(a_1, a_2)[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + \varrho(\rho(x_2, x_3)a_1, a_2)x_1 + \varrho(a_1, \rho(x_2, x_3)a_2)x_1 \\ - \tau(\nu(x_1)(a_1 \wedge a_2))(x_2 \wedge x_3);$$

$$(34) \quad [x_1, x_2, \tau(a_1)(x_3 \wedge x_4)]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = [x_3, x_4, \tau(a_1)(x_1 \wedge x_2)]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} - \tau(\rho(x_3, x_4)a_1)(x_1 \wedge x_2) \\ + \tau(a_1)([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \wedge x_4) + \tau(a_1)(x_3 \wedge [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}) \\ + \tau(\rho(x_1, x_2)a_1)(x_3 \wedge x_4);$$

$$(35) \quad \tau(a_1)(x_1 \wedge [x_2, x_3, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}) = [\tau(a_1)(x_1 \wedge x_2), x_3, x_4]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + [\tau(a_1)(x_1 \wedge x_3), x_4, x_2]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \\ + [\tau(a_1)(x_1 \wedge x_4), x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + \tau(\rho(x_1, x_2)a_1)(x_3 \wedge x_4) \\ + \tau(\rho(x_1, x_3)a_1)(x_4 \wedge x_2) + \tau(\rho(x_1, x_4)a_1)(x_2 \wedge x_3);$$

$$(36) \quad \rho(\tau(a_2)(x_1 \wedge x_2), x_3)a_1 = \rho(\tau(a_2)(x_3 \wedge x_2), x_1)a_1 + \rho(\tau(a_2)(x_1 \wedge x_3), x_2)a_1;$$

$$(37) \quad \rho(x_1, \tau(a_1)(x_2 \wedge x_3))(a_2) = \rho(x_1, \tau(a_2)(x_1 \wedge x_3))(a_1);$$

$$(38) \quad \tau([a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_2})(x_1 \wedge x_2) = \varrho(a_1, a_2)(\tau(a_3)(x_1 \wedge x_2)) + \varrho(a_3, a_1)(\tau(a_2)(x_1 \wedge x_2)) \\ + \varrho(a_2, a_3)(\tau(a_1)(x_1 \wedge x_2));$$

$$(39) \quad \rho(x_1, x_2)[a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} = [\rho(x_1, x_2)a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + [a_1, \rho(x_1, x_2)a_2, w]_{\mathfrak{g}_2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +[a_1, a_2, \rho(x_1, x_2)a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + \nu(\tau(a_1)(x_1 \wedge x_2))(a_2 \wedge a_3) \\
& + \nu(\tau(a_2)(x_1 \wedge x_2))(a_3 \wedge a_1) + \nu(\tau(a_3)(x_1 \wedge x_2))(a_1 \wedge a_2); \\
(40) \quad [a_1, a_2, \rho(x_1, x_2)a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} & = \rho(\varrho(a_1, a_2)x_1, x_2)a_3 - \rho(\varrho(a_1, a_3)x_2, x_1)a_2 + \rho(\varrho(a_2, a_3)x_2, x_1)a_1 \\
& - \nu(\tau(a_3)(x_1 \wedge x_2))(a_1 \wedge a_2);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \quad [\rho(x_1, x_2)a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} & = \rho(x_1, x_2)[a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + \rho(\varrho(a_2, a_3)x_1, x_2)a_1 + \rho(x_1, \varrho(a_2, a_3)x_2)a_1 \\
& - \nu(\tau(a_1)(x_1 \wedge x_2))(a_2 \wedge a_3);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(42) \quad [a_1, a_2, \nu(x_1)(a_3 \wedge a_4)]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} & = \nu(x_1)([a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} \wedge a_4) + \nu(x_1)(a_3 \wedge [a_1, a_2, a_4]_{\mathfrak{g}_2}) \\
& + [a_3, a_4, \nu(x_1)(a_1 \wedge a_2)]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + \nu(\varrho(a_1, a_2)x_1)(a_3 \wedge a_4) \\
& - \nu(\varrho(a_3, a_4)x_1)(a_1 \wedge a_2);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(43) \quad \nu(x_1)(a_1 \wedge [a_2, a_3, a_4]_{\mathfrak{g}_2}) & = \nu(\varrho(a_1, a_2)x_1)(a_3 \wedge a_4) + \nu(\varrho(a_1, a_3)x_1)(a_1 \wedge a_2) \\
& + \nu(\varrho(a_1, a_4)x_1)(a_2 \wedge a_3) + [\nu(x_1)(a_1 \wedge a_2), a_3, a_4]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} \\
& + [\nu(a_1)(a_1 \wedge a_3), a_4, a_2]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + [a_2, a_3, \nu(x_1)(a_1 \wedge a_4)]_{\mathfrak{g}_2};
\end{aligned}$$

$$(44) \quad \varrho(\nu(x_2)(a_1 \wedge a_2), a_3)x_1 = \varrho(\nu(x_2)(a_3 \wedge a_2), a_1)x_1 + \varrho(\nu(x_2)(a_1 \wedge a_3), a_2)x_1;$$

$$(45) \quad \varrho(a_1, \nu(x_1)(a_2 \wedge a_3))(x_2) = \varrho(a_1, \nu(x_2)(a_1 \wedge a_3))(x_1).$$

Then there is a 3-Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ (as the direct sum of vector spaces) defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(46) \quad [x_1 + a_1, x_2 + a_2, x_2 + a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2} & = [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + \rho(x_1, x_2)(a_3) + \rho(x_2, x_3)(a_1) + \rho(x_3, x_1)(a_2) \\
& + \nu(x_1)(a_2 \wedge a_3) + \nu(x_2)(a_3 \wedge a_1) + \nu(x_3)(a_1 \wedge a_2) \\
& + [a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + \varrho(a_1, a_2)(x_3) + \varrho(a_2, a_3)(x_1) + \varrho(a_3, a_1)(x_2) \\
& + \tau(a_1)(x_2 \wedge x_3) + \tau(a_2)(x_3 \wedge x_1) + \tau(a_3)(x_1 \wedge x_2),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_1, a_i \in \mathfrak{g}_2, 1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Proof. It follows from straightforward applications of the Fundamental Identity for the bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2}$. \square

Definition 3.6. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_2})$ be 3-Lie algebras. Suppose that there are linear maps $\rho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_2), \nu : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ and $\varrho : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}_1), \tau : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_1)$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}_2; \rho, \nu)$ is a generalized representation of \mathfrak{g}_1 , $(\mathfrak{g}_1; \varrho, \tau)$ is a generalized representation of \mathfrak{g}_2 and ρ, ν, ϱ, τ satisfy Eqs. (30)-(45). Then we call $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2; \rho, \nu, \varrho, \tau)$ a **generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras**.

Theorem 3.7. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2; \rho, \nu, \varrho, \tau)$ be a generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras. Then $((\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2}), \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is a twilled 3-Lie algebra.

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2; \rho, \nu, \varrho, \tau)$ be a generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras. We denote the 3-Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_2}$ by π_1 and π_2 respectively. By Proposition 3.5, $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2})$ is a 3-Lie algebra. Moreover for all $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_1, a_i \in \mathfrak{g}_2, 1 \leq i \leq 3$,

$$[x_1 + a_1, x_2 + a_2, x_2 + a_3]_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2} = (\hat{\pi}_1 + \hat{\rho} + \hat{\nu} + \hat{\pi}_2 + \hat{\varrho} + \hat{\tau})(x_1 + a_1, x_2 + a_2, x_2 + a_3).$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$[\hat{\pi}_1 + \hat{\rho} + \hat{\nu} + \hat{\pi}_2 + \hat{\varrho} + \hat{\tau}, \hat{\pi}_1 + \hat{\rho} + \hat{\nu} + \hat{\pi}_2 + \hat{\varrho} + \hat{\tau}]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0,$$

which implies that $((\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2}), \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is a twilled 3-Lie algebra. \square

The converse of the above theorem is not true in general. At the end of this section, we introduce the notion of a strict twilled 3-Lie algebra and show that it is equivalent to a (usual) matched pair of 3-Lie algebras.

Definition 3.8. A twilled 3-Lie algebra $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is called a **strict twilled 3-Lie algebra** if $\psi = 0$.

By Lemma 2.9, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. The triple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is a strict twilled 3-Lie algebra if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$(47) \quad \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_1]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0,$$

$$(48) \quad [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0,$$

$$(49) \quad \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0.$$

Proposition 3.10. There is a one-to-one correspondence between matched pairs of 3-Lie algebras and strict twilled 3-Lie algebras.

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2; \rho, \mu)$ be a matched pair of 3-Lie algebras. It is straightforward to deduce that $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2})$ is a 3-Lie algebra, where the bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2}$ on the direct sum vector space $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ is given by

$$(50) \quad [x + u, y + v, z + w]_{\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2} = [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + \rho(x, y)w + \rho(y, z)u + \rho(z, x)v \\ + [u, v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + \mu(u, v)z + \mu(v, w)x + \mu(w, u)y.$$

We denote this 3-Lie algebra simply by $\mathfrak{g}_1 \bowtie \mathfrak{g}_2$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \bowtie \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is a strict twilled 3-Lie algebra.

Conversely, if $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is a strict twilled 3-Lie algebra, by (47), we deduce that $\hat{\mu}_1$ is a 3-Lie algebra structure on $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$. Moreover, by (8), we obtain that $\hat{\mu}_1 : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a 3-Lie bracket, which we denote by $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1})$ and $\rho(x, y)w := \hat{\mu}_1(x, y, w)$ is a representation of $(\mathfrak{g}_1, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_1})$ on the vector space \mathfrak{g}_2 .

Similarly, we have $\hat{\mu}_2 : \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ is a 3-Lie bracket, which we denote by $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_2})$ and $\mu(v, w)x := \hat{\mu}_2(v, w, x)$ is a representation of $(\mathfrak{g}_2, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_2})$ on the vector space \mathfrak{g}_1 .

By $[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_1, u, v \in \mathfrak{g}_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}}(u, v, x, y, z) &= (\hat{\mu}_1 \circ \hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\mu}_2 \circ \hat{\mu}_1)(u, v, x, y, z) \\ &= \hat{\mu}_1(\hat{\mu}_2(u, v, x), y, z) + \hat{\mu}_1(x, \hat{\mu}_2(u, v, y), z) - \hat{\mu}_1(u, v, \hat{\mu}_2(x, y, z)) \\ &\quad + \hat{\mu}_1(x, y, \hat{\mu}_2(u, v, z)) + \hat{\mu}_2(\hat{\mu}_1(u, v, x), y, z) + \hat{\mu}_2(x, \hat{\mu}_1(u, v, y), z) \\ &\quad - \hat{\mu}_2(u, v, \hat{\mu}_1(x, y, z)) + \hat{\mu}_2(x, y, \hat{\mu}_1(u, v, z)) \\ &= [\mu(u, v)x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + [x, \mu(u, v)y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + [x, y, \mu(u, v)z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} - \mu(u, v)[x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that (23) holds. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}}(z, v, u, y, x) \\ &= -(-[x, y, \mu(u, v)z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + \mu(\rho(x, y)u, v)z - \mu(\rho(x, z)v, u)y + \mu(\rho(y, z)v, u)x) = 0, \\ &[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2]_{3\text{Lie}}(y, z, u, v, x) \\ &= -[\mu(u, v)x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + \mu(\rho(y, z)u, v)x + \mu(u, \rho(y, z)v)x + \mu(u, v)[x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that (24) and (25) hold. Similarly, we can deduce that (26)-(28) hold. Thus, $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2; \rho, \mu)$ is a matched pair of 3-Lie algebras. The proof is finished. \square

4. TWISTING OF TWILLED 3-LIE ALGEBRAS BY MAURER-CARTAN ELEMENTS

In this section, we introduce the notion of a twisting of a 3-Lie algebra. In particular, we show that the twisting of a twilled 3-Lie algebra by a Maurer-Cartan element of the associated L_∞ -algebra given in Theorem 2.17 is still a twilled 3-Lie algebra.

Let $(\mathcal{G}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{G}})$ be a 3-Lie algebra with a decomposition into two subspaces $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ equipped with the structure $\Omega = \hat{\phi}_1 + \hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\psi} + \hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\phi}_2$. Let \hat{H} be the lift of a linear map $H : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$. Since $[\cdot, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}$ is an inner derivation of the graded Lie algebra $(C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}})$, $e^{[\cdot, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}}$ is an automorphism of the graded Lie algebra $(C_{3\text{Lie}}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{3\text{Lie}})$.

Definition 4.1. *The transformation $\Omega^H := e^{[\cdot, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}}\Omega$ is called a **twisting** of Ω by H .*

The precise relation between Ω^H and Ω is given as follows.

Lemma 4.2. $\Omega^H = e^{-\hat{H}} \circ \Omega \circ (e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}})$.

Proof. For all $(x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3) \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3)) \\ &= (\Omega \circ \hat{H} - \hat{H} \circ \Omega)((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3)) \\ &= \Omega((H(v_1), 0), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3)) + \Omega((x_1, v_1), (H(v_2), 0), (x_3, v_3)) \\ &\quad + \Omega((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (H(v_3), 0)) - \hat{H}(\Omega((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3))). \end{aligned}$$

By $\hat{H} \circ \hat{H} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3)) \\ &= [\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}((H(v_1), 0), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3)) + [\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}((x_1, v_1), (H(v_2), 0), (x_3, v_3)) \\ &\quad + [\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (H(v_3), 0)) - \hat{H}([\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3))) \\ &= 2\Omega((H(v_1), 0), (H(v_2), 0), (x_3, v_3)) + 2\Omega((H(v_1), 0), (x_2, v_2), (H(v_3), 0)) \\ &\quad + 2\Omega((x_1, v_1), (H(v_2), 0), (H(v_3), 0)) - 2\hat{H}\Omega((H(v_1), 0), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3)) \\ &\quad - 2\hat{H}\Omega((x_1, v_1), (H(v_2), 0), (x_3, v_3)) - 2\hat{H}\Omega((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (H(v_3), 0))). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [[[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3)) \\ &= 6\Omega((H(v_1), 0), (H(v_2), 0), (H(v_3), 0)) - 6\hat{H}(\Omega((H(v_1), 0), (H(v_2), 0), (x_3, v_3))) \\ &\quad - 6\hat{H}(\Omega((H(v_1), 0), (x_2, v_2), (H(v_3), 0))) - 6\hat{H}(\Omega((x_1, v_1), (H(v_2), 0), (H(v_3), 0)))) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [[[[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3)) \\ &= -24\hat{H}(\Omega((H(v_1), 0), (H(v_2), 0), (H(v_3), 0))). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all $i \geq 5$,

$$([\cdot, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}})^i \Omega((x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), (x_3, v_3)) = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega^H &= e^{[\cdot, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}} \Omega \\
 &= \Omega + [\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2} [[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{6} [[[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{24} [[[[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} \\
 &= \Omega + \Omega \circ (\hat{H} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) + \Omega \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \text{Id}) + \Omega \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \hat{H}) - \hat{H} \circ \Omega \\
 (51) \quad &\quad + \Omega \circ (\hat{H} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \text{Id}) + \Omega \circ (\hat{H} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \hat{H}) + \Omega \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \hat{H}) \\
 &\quad - \hat{H} \circ \Omega \circ (\hat{H} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) - \hat{H} \circ \Omega \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \text{Id}) - \hat{H} \circ \Omega \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \hat{H}) \\
 &\quad + \Omega \circ (\hat{H} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \hat{H}) - \hat{H} \circ \Omega \circ (\hat{H} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \hat{H}) \\
 &\quad - \hat{H} \circ \Omega \circ (\hat{H} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \text{Id}) - \hat{H} \circ \Omega \circ (\hat{H} \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \hat{H}) - \hat{H} \circ \Omega \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \hat{H}) \\
 &= (\text{Id} - \hat{H}) \circ \Omega \circ ((\text{Id} + \hat{H}) \otimes (\text{Id} + \hat{H}) \otimes (\text{Id} + \hat{H})) \\
 &= e^{-\hat{H}} \circ \Omega \circ (e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

Proposition 4.3. *The twisting Ω^H is a 3-Lie algebra structure on \mathcal{G} , i.e. one has*

$$[\Omega^H, \Omega^H]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0.$$

Proof. By $\Omega^H = e^{-\hat{H}} \circ \Omega \circ (e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\Omega^H, \Omega^H]_{3\text{Lie}} &= 2\Omega^H \circ \Omega^H = 2e^{-\hat{H}} \circ (\Omega \circ \Omega) \circ (e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}}) \\
 &= e^{-\hat{H}} \circ [\Omega, \Omega]_{3\text{Lie}} \circ (e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}} \otimes e^{\hat{H}}) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

Corollary 4.4. $e^{\hat{H}} : (\mathcal{G}, \Omega^H) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \Omega)$ is an isomorphism between 3-Lie algebras.

The twisting operations are completely determined by the following result.

Proposition 4.5. *Write $\Omega := \hat{\phi}_1 + \hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\psi} + \hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\phi}_2$ and $\Omega^H := \hat{\phi}_1^H + \hat{\mu}_1^H + \hat{\psi}^H + \hat{\mu}_2^H + \hat{\phi}_2^H$. Then we have:*

$$(52) \quad \hat{\phi}_1^H = \hat{\phi}_1,$$

$$(53) \quad \hat{\mu}_1^H = \hat{\mu}_1 + [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}},$$

$$(54) \quad \hat{\psi}^H = \hat{\psi} + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}},$$

$$(55) \quad \hat{\mu}_2^H = \hat{\mu}_2 + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{6} [[[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (56) \quad \hat{\phi}_2^H &= \hat{\phi}_2 + [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{6} [[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{24} [[[[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (51), the first term of Ω^H is Ω . By Lemma 2.5 and $\|\hat{\phi}_2\| = -1|3$, $\|\hat{H}\| = -1|1$, we have

$$[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} = [\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}.$$

By Lemma 2.7 and $\|\hat{\phi}_1\| = 3| - 1$, $\|\hat{\mu}_1\| = 2|0$, $\|\hat{\psi}\| = 1|1$, $\|\hat{\mu}_2\| = 0|2$, we have

$$\|[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = 2|0, \quad \|[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = 1|1, \quad \|[\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = 0|2, \quad \|[\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = -1|3.$$

Therefore, $[[\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{2}[[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} = \frac{1}{2}([\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [[\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|[[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = 1|1, \quad \|[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = 0|2, \quad \|[[\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = -1|3.$$

Thus, $[[[\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{6}[[[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} = \frac{1}{6}([[[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + [[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}).$$

By $\|[[[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = 0|2$ and $\|[[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}\| = -1|3$, we have

$$[[[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{24}[[[[\Omega, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} = \frac{1}{24}[[[[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}.$$

It is straightforward to see that the terms of the bidegree $-1|3$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\phi}_2 + [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2}[[\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{6}[[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} \\ & + \frac{1}{24}[[[[\hat{\phi}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that (56) holds. Similarly, by considering the terms of the bidegrees $3| - 1$, $2|0$, $1|1$, $0|2$, we deduce that (52)-(55) hold respectively. \square

Now we consider the twisting of twilled 3-Lie algebras.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ be a twilled 3-Lie algebra and $H : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ a linear map. Then the twisting of $((\mathcal{G}, \Omega^H), \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is also a twilled 3-Lie algebra if and only if H is a Maurer-Cartan element of the L_∞ -algebra $(\oplus_{m \geq 0} C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1), l_1, l_2, l_3)$ given in Theorem 2.17.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.5, the twisting have the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_1^H &= \hat{\mu}_1, \\ \hat{\psi}^H &= \hat{\psi} + [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \\ \hat{\mu}_2^H &= \hat{\mu}_2 + [\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2}[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \\ \hat{\phi}_2^H &= [\hat{\mu}_2, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{2}[[\hat{\psi}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}} + \frac{1}{6}[[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{H}]_{3\text{Lie}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $((\mathcal{G}, \Omega^H), \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is also a twilled 3-Lie algebra if and only if $\hat{\phi}_2^H = 0$, which implies that H is a Maurer-Cartan element of the L_∞ -algebra $(\oplus_{m \geq 0} C_{3\text{Lie}}^m(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_1), l_1, l_2, l_3)$. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ be a twilled 3-Lie algebra and $H : \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ a Maurer-Cartan element of the associated L_∞ -algebra given in Theorem 2.17. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} [u, v, w]_H : &= [u, v, w]_2 + [H(u), v, w]_2 + [u, H(v), w]_2 + [u, v, H(w)]_2 \\ &+ [H(u), H(v), w]_2 + [H(u), v, H(w)]_2 + [u, H(v), H(w)]_2, \quad \forall u, v, w \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \end{aligned}$$

defines a 3-Lie algebra structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 .

Proof. By Corollary 2.12, we deduce that $\hat{\mu}_2^H$ is a 3-Lie algebra structure on \mathcal{G} . Since $\|\hat{\mu}_2^H\| = 0|2$, we obtain that $\hat{\mu}_2^H$ gives rise to a 3-Lie algebra structure on \mathfrak{g}_2 as above. \square

5. \mathcal{O} -OPERATORS AND TWILLED 3-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we use \mathcal{O} -operators to construct twilled-3-Lie algebras. In particular, we give examples of twilled 3-Lie algebras, which is not a generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras, to illustrate the differences between Lie algebras and 3-Lie algebras.

Definition 5.1. ([1]) *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 3-Lie algebra and $(V; \rho)$ a representation of \mathfrak{g} . A linear operator $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is called an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ with respect to $(V; \rho)$ if T satisfies*

$$(57) \quad [Tu, Tv, Tw]_{\mathfrak{g}} = T(\rho(Tu, Tv)w + \rho(Tv, Tw)u + \rho(Tw, Tu)v), \quad \forall u, v, w \in V.$$

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 3-Lie algebra and $(V; \rho)$ its representation. Then $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\times})$ is a 3-Lie algebra, where $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\times}$ is given by

$$[x + u, y + v, z + w]_{\times} = [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho(x, y)w + \rho(y, z)u + \rho(z, x)v.$$

This 3-Lie algebra is called the semi-direct product 3-Lie algebra and denoted by $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes V$. It is obvious that $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes V, \mathfrak{g}, V)$ is a strict twilled 3-Lie algebra. We also use Ω to denote the 3-Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\times}$. In this special case, Ω only contains $\hat{\mu}_1$, whose bidegree is $2|0$.

Remark 5.2. In [27], a Lie 3-algebra is constructed to characterize \mathcal{O} -operators (also called relative Rota-Baxter operator) on 3-Lie algebras as Maurer-Cartan elements. More precisely, $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator if and only if

$$[[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}} = 0.$$

It is straightforward to see that the L_{∞} -algebra given in Theorem 2.17 reduces to the Lie 3-algebra given in [27] when we consider the twilled 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes V, \mathfrak{g}, V)$.

\mathcal{O} -operators can also be characterized by twilled 3-Lie algebras as follows.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 3-Lie algebra and $(V; \rho)$ a representation of \mathfrak{g} . Then a linear map $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator if and only if $((\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, \Omega^T), \mathfrak{g}, V)$ is a twilled 3-Lie algebra.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.5, the twisting Ω^T have the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_1^T &= \hat{\mu}_1, \\ \hat{\psi}^T &= [\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \\ \hat{\mu}_2^T &= \frac{1}{2} [[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \\ \hat{\phi}_2^T &= \frac{1}{6} [[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $((\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, \Omega^T), \mathfrak{g}, V)$ is a twilled 3-Lie algebra if and only if $\hat{\phi}_2^T = 0$, which is equivalent to that T is an \mathcal{O} -operator. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be an \mathcal{O} -operator on a 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ with respect to a representation $(V; \rho)$. Then the $\hat{\mu}_2^T$ defines a 3-Lie algebra structure $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{V_T}$ on V as well as a representation $\varrho : \wedge^2 V \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the 3-Lie algebra $(V, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{V_T})$ on the vector space \mathfrak{g} as follows*

$$(58) \quad [u, v, w]_{V_T} = \rho(Tu, Tv)w + \rho(Tv, Tw)u + \rho(Tw, Tu)v, \quad \forall u, v, w \in V,$$

$$(59) \quad \varrho(u, v)x = [Tu, Tv, x]_{\mathfrak{g}} - T(\rho(x, Tu)v) - T(\rho(Tv, x)u), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.7 and Proposition 5.3, we can obtain that $\hat{\mu}_2^T$ can give a 3-Lie algebra structure on V , where for all $u, v, w \in V$,

$$[u, v, w]_{V_T} = \frac{1}{2}[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}(u, v, w) = \rho(Tu, Tv)w + \rho(Tv, Tw)u + \rho(Tw, Tu)v,$$

and $\varrho(u, v)x := \hat{\mu}_2^T(u, v, x)$ is a representation of $(V, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{V_T})$ on the vector space \mathfrak{g} , where

$$\varrho(u, v)x = \frac{1}{2}[[\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}, \hat{T}]_{3\text{Lie}}(u, v, x) = [Tu, Tv, x]_{\mathfrak{g}} - T(\rho(x, Tu)v) - T(\rho(Tv, x)u).$$

The proof is finished. \square

We further give the full description of the twilled 3-Lie algebra structure Ω^T . For this purpose, we define $\nu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 V, V)$ and $\tau : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(x)(v, w) &= \rho(Tw, x)v + \rho(x, Tv)w, \\ \tau(w)(x, y) &= [Tw, x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} - T(\rho(x, y)w). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.5. *With the above notations, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^T((x, u), (y, v), (z, w)) &= [x, y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho(x, y)w + \rho(y, z)u + \rho(z, x)v \\ &\quad + \nu(x)(v, w) + \nu(y)(w, u) + \nu(z)(u, v) \\ &\quad + [u, v, w]_{V_T} + \varrho(u, v)x + \varrho(v, w)x + \varrho(w, u)y \\ &\quad + \tau(w)(x, y) + \tau(u)(y, z) + \tau(v)(z, x). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.6. *Even though Ω^T is a twilled 3-Lie algebra, however $(V; \rho, \nu)$ is not a generalized representation of \mathfrak{g} and $(\mathfrak{g}; \varrho, \tau)$ is not a generalized representation of $(V, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{V_T})$. Thus, $(\mathfrak{g}, V; \rho, \nu, \varrho, \tau)$ is not a generalized matched pair of 3-Lie algebras.*

Example 5.7. Consider the 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ given with respect to a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ by

$$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} = e_1.$$

Thanks to Example 3.2 in [27], we obtain

$$(60) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an \mathcal{O} -operator with respect to the adjoint representation.

By Proposition 5.3, $((\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}', \Omega^T), \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$ is a 6-dimensional 3-Lie algebra, where T is defined by (60) and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}'$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ be the basis of $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}', \Omega^T)$, where $e_i = (e_i, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $e_j = (0, e_{j-3}) \in \mathfrak{g}'$, $4 \leq j \leq 6$. By Corollary 5.5, the 3-Lie bracket Ω^T is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^T(e_1, e_2, e_3) &= e_1, & \Omega^T(e_2, e_3, e_4) &= e_4, & \Omega^T(e_1, e_2, e_6) &= -2e_1 + e_4, & \Omega^T(e_1, e_5, e_3) &= e_4, \\ \Omega^T(e_4, e_5, e_6) &= -e_4, & \Omega^T(e_1, e_6, e_5) &= e_1, & \Omega^T(e_3, e_4, e_5) &= 2e_4 - e_1, & \Omega^T(e_4, e_2, e_6) &= -e_1. \end{aligned}$$

We only give the computation of the second equality. By Corollary 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^T(e_1, e_2, e_6) &= \Omega^T((e_1, 0), (e_2, 0), (0, e_3)) \\ &= (0, \rho(e_1, e_2)e_3) + (\tau(e_3)(e_1, e_2), 0) \\ &= (0, e_1) + (-2e_1, 0) \\ &= -2e_1 + e_4. \end{aligned}$$

Using the notations in Corollary 5.5, we have

$$\rho(e_2, e_3)(e_4) = e_4, \quad \rho(e_1, e_2)(e_6) = e_4, \quad \rho(e_1, e_3)(e_5) = -e_4, \quad \nu(e_3)(e_4, e_5) = 2e_4$$

and

$$\varrho(e_5, e_6)(e_1) = e_1, \quad \varrho(e_4, e_5)(e_3) = -e_1, \quad \varrho(e_4, e_6)(e_2) = e_1, \quad \tau(e_6)(e_1, e_2) = -2e_1.$$

It is straightforward to deduce that they do not give rise to a generalized matched pair.

Example 5.8. Consider the 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ given with respect to a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ by

$$[e_2, e_3, e_4]_{\mathfrak{g}} = e_1.$$

Then $T = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ with respect to the adjoint representation if and only if

$$[Te_i, Te_j, Te_k]_{\mathfrak{g}} = T([Te_i, Te_j, e_k]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [Te_i, e_j, Te_k]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [e_i, Te_j, Te_k]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \forall e_i, e_j, e_k \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

It is straightforward to deduce that T is an \mathcal{O} -operator if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{22}a_{33}a_{44} - a_{22}a_{43}a_{34} - a_{23}a_{32}a_{44} + a_{24}a_{32}a_{43} + a_{23}a_{34}a_{42} - a_{24}a_{33}a_{42} \\ & = (a_{22}a_{33} - a_{33}a_{32} - a_{43}a_{34} + a_{33}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{42} + a_{44}a_{22})a_{11} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_{22}a_{33} - a_{33}a_{32} - a_{43}a_{34} + a_{33}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{42} + a_{44}a_{22})a_{21} \\ & = (a_{22}a_{33} - a_{33}a_{32} - a_{43}a_{34} + a_{33}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{42} + a_{44}a_{22})a_{31} \\ & = (a_{22}a_{33} - a_{33}a_{32} - a_{43}a_{34} + a_{33}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{42} + a_{44}a_{22})a_{41} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we can obtain

$$(61) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an \mathcal{O} -operator with respect to the adjoint representation.

By Proposition 5.3, $((\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}', \Omega^T), \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is a 8-dimensional 3-Lie algebra, where T is defined by (61) and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}'$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8\}$ be the basis of $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}', \Omega^T)$, where $e_i = (e_i, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$ and $e_j = (0, e_{j-4}) \in \mathfrak{g}'$, $5 \leq j \leq 8$.

By Corollary 5.5, the 3-Lie bracket Ω^T is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^T(e_2, e_3, e_4) &= e_1, & \Omega^T(e_2, e_3, e_8) &= -2e_1 + e_5, & \Omega^T(e_2, e_7, e_4) &= e_5, & \Omega^T(e_6, e_3, e_4) &= e_5, \\ \Omega^T(e_6, e_7, e_8) &= -e_5, & \Omega^T(e_6, e_7, e_4) &= 2e_5 - e_1, & \Omega^T(e_3, e_6, e_8) &= e_1, & \Omega^T(e_2, e_7, e_8) &= -e_1. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Bialgebras, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and Manin triples for 3-Lie algebras, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **23** (2019), 27-74. [1](#), [2](#), [9](#), [15](#)
- [2] R. Bai, Y. Cheng, J. Li and W. Meng, 3-Lie bialgebras, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.)* **34** (2014), 513-522. [2](#)
- [3] R. Bai, L. Guo, J. Li and Y. Wu, Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013), 064504, 14 pp. [1](#)
- [4] R. Bai, W. Guo, L. Lin and Y. Zhang, n -Lie bialgebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **66** (2018), 382-397. [2](#)
- [5] J. F. Cariñena, J. Grabowski and G. Marmo. Quantum Bi-Hamiltonian Systems, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15** (2000), 4797-4810. [2](#)
- [6] J. A. de Azcárraga and J. M. Izquierdo, n -ary algebras: a review with applications, *J. Phys. A* **43** (2010), 293001. [1](#)
- [7] V. G. Drinfeld. Quasi-Hopf algebras, *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 1419-1457. [2](#)
- [8] A. S. Dzhumadil'daev, Representations of vector product n -Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), 3315-3326. [8](#)
- [9] V. T. Filippov, n -Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **26** (1985), 126-140, 191. [1](#), [3](#)
- [10] S. Hou, R. Bai and Y. Sheng, Manin triples of 3-Lie algebras Induced by involutive derivations, *J. Lie Theory* **30** (2020), 239-257. [2](#)
- [11] Sh. M. Kasymov, On a theory of n -Lie algebras, *Algebra i Logika* **26** (1987), 277-297. [8](#)
- [12] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, From Poisson algebras to Gerstenhaber algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **46** (1996), 1243-1274. [2](#)
- [13] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, Poisson-Lie groups and complete integrability, I. Drinfeld bialgebras, dual extensions and their canonical representations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Theor.* **49** (1988), 433-460. [2](#)
- [14] T. Lada and M. Markl, Strongly homotopy Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995), 2147-2161. [6](#)
- [15] T. Lada and J. Stasheff, Introduction to sh Lie algebras for physicists, *Internat. J. Theoret. Phys.* **32** (1993), 1087-1103. [6](#)
- [16] J. Liu, A. Makhlof and Y. Sheng, A new approach to representations of 3-Lie algebras and Abelian extensions, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **20** (2017), 1415-1431. [2](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [17] J. H. Lu and A. Weinstein, Poisson Lie groups, dressing transformations and Bruhat decompositions, *J. Diff. Geom.* **31** (1990), 501-526. [2](#)
- [18] A. Makhlof, On deformations of n -Lie algebras. Non-associative and non-commutative algebra and operator theory, *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* **160**, Springer, Cham, (2016), 55-81. [1](#)
- [19] Y. Nambu, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics. *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973), 2405-2412. [1](#)
- [20] A. Rezaei-Aghdam and L. Sedghi-Ghadim, 3-Leibniz bialgebras (3-Lie bialgebras), *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **14** (2017), 1750142, 27 pp. [2](#)
- [21] M. Rotkiewicz, Cohomology ring of n -Lie algebras, *Extracta Math.* **20** (2005), 219-232. [3](#)
- [22] M. Schlessinger and J. Stasheff, The Lie algebra structure of tangent cohomology and deformation theory, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **38** (1985), 313-322. [6](#)
- [23] Y. Sheng and R. Tang, Symplectic, product and complex structures on 3-Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **508** (2018), 256-300. [2](#), [6](#)
- [24] Y. Sheng and R. Tang, Leibniz bialgebras, relative Rota-Baxter operators and the classical Leibniz Yang-Baxter equation, arXiv:1902.03033. [2](#)
- [25] J. Stasheff, Differential graded Lie algebras, quasi-Hopf algebras and higher homotopy algebras, *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, 120-137, Lecture Notes in Math., (1510), Springer, Berlin, (1992). [6](#)
- [26] L. A. Takhtajan, Higher order analog of Chevalley-Eilenberg complex and deformation theory of n -gebras, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **6** (1995), 429-438. [3](#)
- [27] R. Tang, S. Hou and Y. Sheng, Lie 3-algebras and deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators on 3-Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **567** (2021), 37-62. [1](#), [2](#), [15](#), [16](#)
- [28] K. Uchino, Twisting on associative algebras and Rota-Baxter type operators, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **4** (2010), 349-379. [2](#)
- [29] K. Uchino, Derived brackets and sh Leibniz algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **215** (2011), 1102-1111. [7](#)
- [30] K. Uchino, Derived bracket construction up to homotopy and Schroder numbers, arXiv:1202.0095. [7](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, JILIN, CHINA
Email address: hshuaisun@163.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, JILIN, CHINA
Email address: shengyh@jlu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, JILIN, CHINA
Email address: tangrong@jlu.edu.cn