

THE ORDER-TYPE BANACH-SAKS PROPERTIES

MADE TANTRAWAN, DENNY H. LEUNG, AND NIUSHAN GAO

ABSTRACT. The study of the Banach-Saks property in Banach spaces has a long and illustrious history. Of late, motivated by applications in financial mathematics, interest has arisen in the Banach-Saks type properties with respect to order convergence. This paper presents a study of order Banach-Saks properties in Banach function spaces, and in particular in rearrangement invariant spaces. Among the results obtained, we provide some sufficient conditions for the (weak) order Banach-Saks property. We also characterize the (weak) order Banach-Saks property in Orlicz spaces. It is also shown that the (weak) order Banach-Saks property is equivalent to its hereditary version.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a sequence $\{f_n\}$, we call $\{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m f_n\}$ the Cesàro sequence of $\{f_n\}$. The classical (resp. weak) Banach-Saks property, abbreviated as *BSP* (resp. *w-BSP*), states that every norm bounded (resp. weakly convergent) sequence in a Banach space X has a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence norm converges in X . Up to date, many variants of these Banach-Saks properties have been extensively studied in the literature; see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 9, 11, 18, 24]. In the setting of Banach function spaces, of particular interest are the variants that naturally suit the order structures, namely, order convergence (also known as dominated a.e.-convergence) and unbounded order convergence (also known as a.e.-convergence). For example, in their solution to a problem regarding dual representation of risk measures on certain Orlicz spaces, Delbaen and Owari [8] proved a Banach-Saks condition with respect to dominated convergence. It has been known in which Banach function spaces X every norm bounded sequence admits a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence a.e.-converges to a function in X . However, little is known about dominated a.e.-convergence of Cesàro sequences. In this paper, we focus on the study of this.

Date: May 17, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B42, 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Banach-Saks property, order convergence, order Banach-Saks property, Banach function spaces, Orlicz spaces, rearrangement invariant spaces.

Part of the work was done while the first author was a PhD student at NUS and supported by NUS Research Scholarship. The third author acknowledges the support of an NSERC grant.

Parallel to the weak Banach-Saks property, one can also introduce “weak” versions of the order Banach-Saks property. These “weak” order-type Banach-Saks properties are closely related to (and motivated by) the problem of order closedness of convex sets, where a central topic is to identify a dominated a.e.-convergent sequence of convex combinations of a given sequence that is convergent with respect to certain weak topologies. This problem has direct applications in Mathematical Finance and has lately been systematically investigated by the authors and others; see, e.g., [8, 15, 17, 19, 27, 28].

We state the precise definitions of the order-type Banach-Saks properties below. For a Banach function space X , denote by X' its associate space (see definition in Subsection 1.1 below).

Definition 1.1. A Banach function space X is said to have

- (1) the order Banach-Saks property (*oBSP*) if every norm bounded sequence in X has a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence order converges in X (the definition of order convergence is given in Subsection 1.1 below).
- (2) the weak order Banach-Saks property (*w-oBSP*) if every weakly null sequence in X has a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence order converges in X .
- (3) the X' -order Banach-Saks property (*X' -oBSP*) if every $\sigma(X, X')$ -null norm bounded sequence in X has a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence order converges in X .

It is apparent that $oBSP \implies X'\text{-oBSP} \implies w\text{-oBSP}$. The $X'\text{-oBSP}$ may seem extraneous at first sight, but Theorem 2.2 tells us that it is in fact highly relevant.

- Remark 1.2.**
- (1) Note that any order convergent sequence is norm convergent whenever X is order continuous. Therefore, in case X is order continuous, *oBSP* (resp. *w-oBSP*) will imply *BSP* (resp. *w-BSP*).
 - (2) Recall also that a norm convergent sequence admits order convergent subsequences. However, this fact does not directly conclude that *BSP* (resp., *w-BSP*) implies *oBSP* (resp., *w-oBSP*) because this fact only yields an order convergent *subsequence* of a Cesaro sequence while *oBSP* (resp., *w-oBSP*) requires order convergence of a whole Cesaro sequence. One observes from Proposition 3.2 and in Remark 3.5 that L^1 and any non-reflexive order continuous Orlicz space fails *w-oBSP* although it is known to have *w-BSP*. Surprisingly, any Orlicz space with *BSP* must also have *oBSP* (Remark 3.5).

We organize the paper as follows. Section 2 contains general results concerning the three types of order Banach-Saks properties in Definition 1.1. Theorem 2.2 shows that *oBSP* is closely related to *X'-oBSP*. Theorem 2.4 gives a characterization of *w-* and *X'-oBSP* when X admits a special modular in the sense of [27]. The result is fine tuned in Theorem 2.7 when X is rearrangement invariant. Theorem 2.7 is utilized in Section 3 to provide a complete characterization of all three types of order Banach-Saks properties in Orlicz spaces (Theorems 3.1 and 3.6). The final Section 4 is concerned with hereditary order-type Banach-Saks properties. Using Ramsey type arguments, it is shown that *oBSP* always implies its hereditary version (Theorem 4.7). The same is true for *w-* and *X'-oBSP* for a large class of Banach function spaces (Theorem 4.8).

1.1. Some definitions and basic facts. We give basic notions for Banach function spaces, which are a subclass of Banach lattices. The reader is referred to [2] for the counterparts on Banach lattices, if needed. In this paper, we restrict our attention to function spaces defined on $[0,1]$. Extension to general nonatomic probability measures does not entail essentially new ideas. Denote by L^0 ($:= L^0[0,1]$) the vector lattice of all (equivalence classes with respect to a.e. equality of) real measurable functions on $[0,1]$ (endowed $[0,1]$ with the Lebesgue measure). A *Banach function space* X on $[0,1]$ is a Banach space of functions in L^0 such that $f \in X$ and $\|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X$ whenever $g \in X$ and $|f| \leq |g|$. We denote by X_+ the positive cone of X . A set E in X is said to be *dominated* in X if there exists $h \in X_+$ such that $|f| \leq h$ for every $f \in E$. When $E = \{f_n\}$, this is equivalent to that the *maximal function* $\sup_n |f_n|$ belongs to X . In the Banach lattice language, a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X is said to *order converge* to $f \in X$, written as $f_n \xrightarrow{o} f$, if there exists $\{g_n\}$ in X such that $g_n \downarrow 0$ and $|f_n - f| \leq g_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is easily seen to be equivalent to that $\{f_n\}$ is dominated in X and a.e.-converges to f . We interchangeably use the terms of dominated a.e.-convergence and order convergence. The latter explains the name of *order* Banach-Saks property.

Given a Banach function space X , its *associate space* X' is the space of all $g \in L^0$ such that $\int_0^1 |fg| dt < \infty$ for every $f \in X$. In the Banach lattice literature, it coincides with the order continuous dual X_n^\sim of X , i.e., the collection of all linear functionals φ on X such that $\varphi(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $f_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$ (see, e.g., [26, Theorem 2.6.4]). X' can be identified a Banach function space with the dual norm ([26, Section 2.6]). The *order continuous part* X_a of X is the set of all functions in X that have absolutely continuous norm, i.e., all $f \in X$ such that $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow 0$ whenever $f_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$ and $|f_n| \leq |f|$. The following two classes of Banach function

spaces are important in the paper. A Banach function space X is *order continuous* if $X_a = X$, or equivalently, iff $X' = X^*$ ([26, Theorem 2.4.2]), iff X is separable ([2, Corollary 4.52]). X is *monotonically complete* if the pointwise supremum of any increasing, norm bounded sequence in X_+ belongs to X , or equivalently, if X is isomorphic to $(X')'$ via the evaluation mapping ([26, Theorem 2.4.22])¹.

For any $h \in L^0$, we denote by $h^* : (0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ the decreasing rearrangement of h , that is,

$$h^*(t) = \inf \left\{ \lambda : |\{ |h| > \lambda \}| \leq t \right\}, \quad t \in (0, 1].$$

Here we use $|A|$ to denote the Lebesgue measure of a set A . A rearrangement invariant (r.i.) space is a Banach function space $X \neq \{0\}$ such that for every $h \in L^0$ satisfying $h^* = f^*$ for some $f \in X$, $h \in X$ and $\|h\|_X = \|f\|_X$. It is well known that $L^\infty \subseteq X \subseteq L^1$ and either $X = L^\infty$ or $L^\infty \subseteq X_a$ ([28, Lemma 2.2]).

A function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an Orlicz function if it is convex, increasing, non-constant, and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Define the conjugate ψ of φ by

$$\psi(s) = \sup \{ st - \varphi(t) : t \geq 0 \}$$

for all $s \geq 0$. Note that ψ is an Orlicz function whenever $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = \infty$, or equivalently, ψ is finite-valued. The Orlicz space L^φ ($:= L^\varphi[0, 1]$) is the space of all $f \in L^0$ such that

$$\|f\|_\varphi := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_0^1 \varphi \left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) dt \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

L^φ with the Luxemburg norm $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ is an r.i. space. Furthermore, $(L^\varphi)' = L^\psi$ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = \infty$ and $(L^\varphi)' = L^\infty$ otherwise. The order continuous part of L^φ is given by the space of all $f \in L^\varphi$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \varphi \left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) dt < \infty$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. This space is also called the Orlicz heart of L^φ and is denoted by H^φ . It is known that $L^\varphi = H^\varphi$ if and only if L^φ is order continuous if and only if φ has the Δ_2 -condition, i.e., there exist $C > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(2t) \leq C\varphi(t), \quad \forall t > t_0.$$

Recall also that L^φ is reflexive if and only if both φ and ψ have the Δ_2 -condition. For detailed information regarding Orlicz spaces, we refer to [6, 23, 25].

¹In the literature, monotonic completeness bears many other names such as *maximal* and *the weak Fatou property*. It is sometimes included in the definition of function spaces; the inconvenience is that it excludes natural spaces like the Orlicz hearts as Banach function spaces.

2. ORDER BANACH-SAKS PROPERTIES – GENERAL RESULTS

The following proposition establishes a necessary condition for $oBSP$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a Banach function space. If X has $oBSP$, then it is monotonically complete and X^* is order continuous.*

Proof. First, we show that X is monotonically complete. Take any norm bounded increasing sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X_+ . Since X has $oBSP$, by passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \xrightarrow{o} f$ for some $f \in X_+$. Take any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $n \geq m$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \geq \frac{n-m+1}{n} f_m + \frac{m-1}{n} f_1.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and taking the a.e.-limit, we have $f \geq f_m$. Hence, the pointwise supremum $\sup_n f_n$ lies between 0 and f , and consequently, lies in X . This proves that X is monotonically complete.

Next, suppose that X^* is not order continuous. Then there is a disjoint sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X_+ that is order isomorphic to the ℓ^1 -basis (see, e.g., [26, Theorem 2.4.14]). Since X has $oBSP$, by passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the Cesàro sequence of $\{f_n\}$ order converges in X , and in particular is dominated by some $f \in X$. Using disjointness, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f_k}{k} = \sup_{m \leq n} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m f_k \in [0, f].$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f_k}{k} \right\|_X \leq C \|f\|_X$$

for a constant C and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

As a consequence, we see that $oBSP$ and X' - $oBSP$ are closely related.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a Banach function space. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) X has $oBSP$.
- (2) X is monotonically complete, X^* is order continuous and X has X' - $oBSP$.
- (3) X is monotonically complete, X' is order continuous and X has X' - $oBSP$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) follows directly from Proposition 2.1. (2) \implies (3) is obvious, since $X' = X_n^\sim$ is a band of X^* ([2, Theorem 1.57]) and thus automatically inherits order continuity from X^* . It remains to show (3) \implies (1). Assume that (3) holds. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a norm bounded sequence in X . It suffices to show that $\{f_n\}$ has a $\sigma(X, X')$ -convergent subsequence. Observe that, being an order continuous

Banach function space, X' is separable. Thus the unit ball of $(X')^*$ is sequentially w^* -compact. Moreover, since X is monotonically complete, it is order isomorphic to $(X)'$ via the canonical evaluation mapping; since X' is order continuous, $(X')' = (X)^*$. Thus identifying X with $(X')^*$, we get the desired result. \square

From Theorem 2.2, one can see that in case X is reflexive, X' - $oBSP$ will also imply the Banach-Saks property. It is thus of great interest to focus on X' - $oBSP$. Clearly, if X is order continuous, then X' - $oBSP$ and w - $oBSP$ are equivalent. We show that the same result remains true for many r.i. spaces. Before proceeding to the proof, we first establish a lemma that reduces order convergence to dominatedness in the definitions of w - $oBSP$ and X' - $oBSP$, which will also be used in Section 4.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a Banach function space. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) X has w - $oBSP$ (resp., X' - $oBSP$).
- (2) Every weakly null (resp., $\sigma(X, X')$ -null norm bounded) sequence in X has a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence order converges to 0.
- (3) Every weakly null (resp., $\sigma(X, X')$ -null norm bounded) sequence in X has a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence is dominated in X .

Note that compared to (1), (2) asserts also that the order limit of the Cesàro sequence must be equal to the topological limit.

Proof. We prove the case of w - $oBSP$; the other case can be proved along the same lines. Clearly, (2) \implies (1) \implies (3). Assume that (3) holds and let $\{f_n\}$ be a weakly null sequence in X . It is well known that for a Banach function space X , there exists $0 \leq g \in X'$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad |\{h \neq 0\} \setminus \{g > 0\}| = 0$$

for every $h \in X$ (see, e.g., [1, Corollary 5.27]). Let $d\nu = \frac{g}{g+1}dt$. Then ν is a finite measure on $[0, 1]$ and for any $h \in X$,

$$\|h\|_{L^1(\nu)} \leq \int_0^1 |h|g dt \leq \|h\|_X \|g\|_{X'},$$

where $\|g\|_{X'}$ is the dual norm of g . Thus being norm bounded in X , $\{f_n\}$ is also norm bounded in $L^1(\nu)$. By the classical result of Komlós [21] and by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that there exists $f \in L^1(\nu)$ such that the Cesàro sequence of any subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ converges to f ν -a.e. We show that $f = 0$. This, together with (2.1), would imply that the Cesàro sequence of any subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ converges to 0 a.e. in the Lebesgue measure. By (3),

we choose a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ whose Cesàro sequence is dominated in X . (So once $f = 0$ is established, the Cesàro sequence order converges to 0 in X .) Clearly, the Cesàro sequence of $\{f_{n_k}\}$ is also dominated in $L^1(\nu)$ and thus converges to f in $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty(\nu))$ by Dominated Convergence Theorem. On the other hand, since $\{f_{n_k}\}$ is weakly null in X , it is $\sigma(X, X')$ -null. For any $g' \in L^\infty(\nu)$, since $|g' \frac{g}{g+1}| \leq \|g'\|_{L^\infty(\nu)} g$, $g' \frac{g}{g+1} \in X'$. From these, it follows immediately that $\{f_{n_k}\}$ is $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty(\nu))$ -null; in particular, the Cesàro sequence of $\{f_{n_k}\}$ is also $\sigma(L^1(\nu), L^\infty(\nu))$ -null. Hence, $f = 0$. This proves (3) \implies (2). \square

For the rest of this section, we always assume that X is an r.i. space on $[0, 1]$. A functional $\rho : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *special modular* on X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (M1) If $f \in X_+$ and $\rho(f) < \infty$, then $\rho(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $f_n \xrightarrow{o} 0$ and $0 \leq f_n \leq f$.
- (M2) If $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(f_n) < \infty$, then a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ is dominated in X .
- (M3) $\rho(f) < \infty$ for every f in the closed unit ball of X .

Order continuous Banach function spaces and Orlicz spaces admit special modulars ([27]). The latter fact will be used in the next section.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that X admits a special modular. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) X has X' -oBSP.
- (2) X has w -oBSP.
- (3) Every weakly null sequence in X_a has a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence is dominated in X .

Proof. We may assume that $X \neq L^\infty$ since L^∞ satisfies (1), (2), and (3). Recall that, in this case, $L^\infty \subset X_a$. (1) \implies (2) \implies (3) is clear. Suppose that (3) holds. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a $\sigma(X, X')$ -null, norm bounded sequence in X . Without loss of generality, assume that $\{\|f_n\|_X\}$ is bounded by 1. Denote by ρ the special modular on X . Using (M1) and (M3), for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find a measurable set $A_n \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $\rho(f_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n}) < \frac{1}{2^n}$, $|A_n| < \frac{1}{2^n}$, and $f_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n^c} \in L^\infty \subset X_a$ (one can choose A_n to be of the form $\{|f_n| > c\}$ for some $c > 0$). Let $y_n = f_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n}$ and $z_n = f_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n^c}$. Clearly, both $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are norm bounded. Furthermore, since $|\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i| \rightarrow 0$, $\{y_n\}$ a.e.-converges to 0. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(y_n) < \infty$, by (M2) and passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\{y_n\}$ is dominated in X . It follows that $\{y_n\}$ order converges to 0 in X , in particular, it is $\sigma(X, X')$ -null. As both $\{f_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are $\sigma(X, X')$ -null, $\{z_n\}$ is also $\sigma(X, X')$ -null in X and hence,

weakly null in X_a (note that X_a , containing L^∞ , is order dense in X and thus every member in $(X_a)^* = (X_a)'$ extends to a member in X' by [16, Lemma 3.3]). By (3) and passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the Cesàro sequence of $\{z_n\}$ is dominated in X . Since $\{y_n\}$ is dominated in X , its Cesàro sequence is also dominated in X . Thus, we conclude that the Cesàro sequence of $\{f_n\}$ is dominated in X . This proves (3) \implies (1) in view of Lemma 2.3. \square

In the next theorem, we will provide sufficient conditions for w - $oBSP$ and $oBSP$ when there is a special modular. We need the following two lemmas. Recall that an r.i. space X is p -convex if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_X \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_X^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for any choice of finitely many functions $\{f_i\}$ in X .

Lemma 2.5. *If X is p -convex for some $p \in (1, \infty)$, then X^* is order continuous.*

Proof. Assume that X^* is not order continuous. Then there is a norm bounded disjoint sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X_+ that is order isomorphic to the ℓ^1 -basis. By disjointness,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f_k}{k} \right| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|f_k|^p}{k^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Thus by the property of ℓ^1 -basis and p -convexity,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \leq C' \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f_k}{k} \right\|_X = C' \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|f_k|^p}{k^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_X \leq C'' \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sup_k \|f_k\|_X \leq C'''$$

for some constants C', C'', C''' and any $n \geq 1$. This is impossible and finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.6. *If X is p -convex for some $1 < p < 2$, then there is a constant $M > 0$ such that for every norm bounded sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X ,*

$$\left\| \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k f_k|^2} \right\|_X \leq M \| \{a_n\} \|_p \sup_n \|f_n\|_X$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every sequence $\{a_n\}$ in \mathbb{R} .

Proof. The conclusion follows from the p -convexity of X and the fact that

$$\left\| \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k f_k|^2} \right\|_X \leq \left\| \sqrt[p]{\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k f_k|^p} \right\|_X.$$

□

For every $s > 0$, let D_s be the linear operator on X such that $(D_s(f))(t) = f(t/s)$ when $t \leq \min\{1, s\}$ and zero otherwise. Define the (upper) Boyd index q_X of X by

$$q_X = \inf_{0 < s < 1} \frac{\log s}{\log \|D_s\|},$$

where $\|D_s\|$ is the norm of D_s acting as an operator on X (see [23] for more information about the Boyd index).

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that X is p -convex ($1 < p < \infty$) with $q_X < \infty$. The following statements hold.*

- (1) *If X is order continuous, then X has w -oBSP.*
- (2) *If X is monotonically complete and admits a special modular, then X has oBSP.*

Proof. (1) Assume that X is order continuous. By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that every weakly null sequence in X has a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence is dominated in X . Note that X is r -convex for $1 < r < \min\{p, 2\}$ ([7, Proposition 1.3]). Hence, we may assume that $1 < p < 2$.

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a weakly null sequence in X . Since X is order continuous, it is separable. [3, Proposition 2.1] asserts that for a weakly null sequence $\{f_n\}$ in a separable r.i. space X , there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that

$$f_{n_k} = y_k + z_k,$$

where $\{y_k\}$ is a weakly null martingale difference sequence in X (with respect to an increasing sequence of σ -subalgebras of the σ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$) and $\|z_k\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, the norm sum $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z_k|$ lies in X . Thus $\{z_k\}$, and therefore its Cesàro sequence, is dominated in X . It only remains to show that the Cesàro sequence of $\{y_k\}$ is dominated in X .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{\|y_k\|_X\}$ is bounded by 1. Note that $\{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k y_k\}$ is a martingale for any sequence $\{c_n\}$ in \mathbb{R} . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$g_n = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{y_i}{i} \right|.$$

Observe that for $n > m$,

$$0 \leq g_n - g_m \leq \sup_{m < k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=m+1}^k \frac{y_i}{i} \right|.$$

Since $q_X < \infty$, [20, Theorem 3] yields a constant C , independent of m and n , such that

$$\|g_n - g_m\|_X \leq \left\| \sup_{m < k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=m+1}^k \frac{y_i}{i} \right\| \right\|_X \leq C \left\| \sqrt{\sum_{k=m+1}^n \left| \frac{y_k}{k} \right|^2} \right\|_X \leq CM \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{1}{k^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}};$$

the last inequality is due to Lemma 2.6. This implies that $\{g_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . One sees that since $\{g_n\}$ is increasing, its norm limit g is also its pointwise supremum (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 3.46]).

Now, observe that

$$\frac{y_1 + \cdots + y_m}{m} = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{y_k}{k} - h_1 - \cdots - h_{m-1},$$

where $h_k = \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \sum_{i=1}^k y_i$. Applying [20, Theorem 3] together with Lemma 2.6 again to the martingale $\{\sum_{i=1}^j y_i\}_{j=1}^k$ gives us

$$\|h_k\|_X = \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right\|_X \leq \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \left\| \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^j y_i \right\| \right\|_X \leq \frac{C}{k(k+1)} \left\| \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k |y_i|^2} \right\|_X \leq CM \frac{\sqrt[p]{k}}{k(k+1)}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k\|_X \leq CM \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt[p]{k}}{k(k+1)} < \infty.$$

Hence, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_k| \in X$ (both norm and pointwise sum). Since

$$\left| \frac{y_1 + \cdots + y_m}{m} \right| \leq g + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_k|$$

for each $m \geq 1$, we obtain that the Cesàro sequence of $\{y_k\}$ is dominated in X . This concludes the proof of the case.

(2) Now, assume that X is monotonically complete. Note that X_a is order continuous and p -convex with $q_{X_a} < \infty$. Thus by the preceding case, X_a has w - $oBSP$. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that X has X' - $oBSP$. Recall from Lemma 2.5 that X^* is order continuous. Thus Theorem 2.2 implies that X has $oBSP$. \square

3. CHARACTERIZATIONS IN ORLICZ SPACES

In this section, we analyze the order-type Banach-Saks properties for Orlicz spaces and Orlicz Hearts on $[0, 1]$. Let φ be an Orlicz function so that its conjugate ψ is also an Orlicz function. Recall that $(L^\varphi)' = L^\psi$. We say that an Orlicz function φ has *property (H)* if every weakly null sequence in H^φ has a subsequence whose Cesàro sequence is dominated in L^φ . The main result in this section is the following

characterization (and equivalence) of all three order-type Banach-Saks properties in Orlicz spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) L^φ has *oBSP*.
- (2) L^φ has L^ψ -*oBSP*.
- (3) L^φ has *w-oBSP*.
- (4) φ has property (H).

The equivalence of (2), (3) and (4) is simply Theorem 2.4. (1) \implies (2) is obvious. We proceed to the proof of (2) \implies (1). We first establish the following auxiliary result.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $X = L^1$ or $X = L^\varphi$ such that ψ fails the Δ_2 -condition. Then X fails X' -*oBSP*.*

Proof. We will only provide the proof for the case $X = L^\varphi$ whose ψ fails the Δ_2 -condition. The case $X = L^1$ can be proved similarly.

Suppose that ψ fails the Δ_2 -condition but L^φ has L^ψ -*oBSP*. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$C_n = \sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad S_n = \frac{1}{C_n}.$$

Recall that ψ fails the Δ_2 -condition if and only if for every $L > 1$ and $t_0 \geq 0$, there exists $t > t_0$ such that

$$\varphi(t) > \frac{1}{2L} \varphi(Lt)$$

([22, Theorem 4.2]). Using this property, we can find a strictly increasing sequence $\{a_n\}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $a_n \uparrow \infty$,
- (b) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi\left(\frac{a_n}{nC_n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2nC_n} \varphi(a_n).$$

- (c) the sequence $\{d_n\}$, defined recursively by $d_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $d_n - d_{n-1} = \frac{S_n - S_{n+1}}{\varphi(a_n)}$, satisfies

$$d_{n+1} - d_n \leq \frac{d_n}{2^n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{n}}}\right)$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

Note that the sequence $\{d_n\}$ is strictly increasing and satisfies $d_{n+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) d_n$ for every $n \geq 0$. Since the sequence $\left\{\prod_{i=0}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^i}\right)\right\}_n$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} , we obtain that $d_n \uparrow d$ for some $d \in (0, \infty)$ and hence,

$$d - d_n = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (d_i - d_{i-1}) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) d \left(\frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \cdots\right) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) d.$$

This implies that for every $n \geq 0$,

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\frac{d_n}{d}\right)^n \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $b_n := \frac{d_n}{d}$. Then $\{b_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$ with $b_n \uparrow 1$ and $\varphi(a_n)(b_n - b_{n-1}) = \frac{S_n - S_{n+1}}{d}$ for each $n \geq 1$.

Define

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \mathbf{1}_{[b_{i-1}, b_i]}.$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(f) ds = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(a_i)(b_i - b_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_i - S_{i+1}}{d} = \frac{S_1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n}{d} = \frac{1}{d},$$

we have

$$\int_0^1 \varphi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right) ds \leq 1,$$

where $\lambda = \max\{\frac{1}{d}, 1\}$. Hence, $f \in L_+^\varphi$.

Note that the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ is non-atomic. By [12, Proposition A.31], there exists an independent and identically distributed sequence of random variables $\{f_n\}$ with the same distribution as f . Furthermore, since $f \in L_+^\varphi$, each f_n lies in L_+^φ .

Since $\{f_n\}$ has the same distribution as f , it is uniformly integrable in L^1 . Therefore $\{f_n\}$ is relatively weakly compact in L^1 and hence, by passing to a subsequence, we may assume $\{f_n\}$ converges weakly to some h in L^1 . On the other hand, $\{f_n\}$ is relatively compact for $\sigma(L^\varphi, L^\psi)$ by [13, Corollary 42]. Then we deduce that $h \in L^\varphi$ and $\{f_n\}$ $\sigma(L^\varphi, L^\psi)$ -converges to h . Since L^φ has L^ψ -oBSP, by passing to a subsequence once again, we may assume that the Cesàro sequence of $\{f_n\}$ is dominated in L^φ . We will show that this is impossible. In particular, we will show that $\{h_n\}$ with

$$h_n := \sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \frac{f_1 + \cdots + f_m}{m}$$

is unbounded in norm in L^φ .

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define f'_1, \dots, f'_n as functions on $[0, 1]^n$ such that

$$f'_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_k)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Let $h'_n := \sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \frac{f'_1 + \dots + f'_m}{m}$. Since (f_1, \dots, f_n) and (f'_1, \dots, f'_n) have the same joint distribution, h_n and h'_n have the same distribution. Observe that

$$h'_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq \frac{f'_m(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{m} = \frac{f(x_m)}{m}$$

for $m = 1, \dots, n$. Let $A_m := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_m > x_i \forall i \neq m\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \varphi\left(\frac{h_n}{C_n}\right) ds &= \int_{[0,1]^n} \varphi\left(\frac{h'_n}{C_n}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_n \geq \sum_{m=1}^n \int_{A_m} \varphi\left(\frac{f(x_m)}{mC_n}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n \int_0^1 y^{n-1} \varphi\left(\frac{f(y)}{mC_n}\right) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{a_i}{mC_n}\right) (b_i^n - b_{i-1}^n) \\ &\geq \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{a_i}{mC_n}\right) (b_i - b_{i-1}) b_{i-1}^{n-1} \\ &\geq \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{a_i}{mC_n}\right) (b_i - b_{i-1}) b_{i-1}^{n-1} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{a_i}{mC_n}\right) (b_i - b_{i-1}) \quad (\text{by (3.2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the convexity of φ and (3.1) give us

$$\varphi\left(\frac{a_i}{mC_n}\right) \geq \frac{iC_i}{mC_n} \varphi\left(\frac{a_i}{iC_i}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2mC_n} \varphi(a_i)$$

for every $i \geq n \geq m$. It follows that

$$\int_0^1 \varphi\left(\frac{h_n}{C_n}\right) ds \geq \frac{1}{4C_n} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \varphi(a_i) (b_i - b_{i-1}) = \frac{1}{4C_n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m}\right) \frac{S_n}{d} = \frac{1}{4d}.$$

Since $4d > 4d_0 = 1$, using the convexity of φ once again we have

$$\int_0^1 \varphi\left(\frac{4dh_n}{C_n}\right) ds \geq 4d \int_0^1 \varphi\left(\frac{h_n}{C_n}\right) ds \geq 1,$$

or equivalently, $\|h_n\|_{\varphi} \geq \frac{C_n}{4d}$. We deduce that $\{h_n\}$ is unbounded in norm. Thus, L^{φ} fails L^{ψ} -oBSP. \square

Note that from Proposition 3.2, one can see that L^1 fails the weak order Banach-Saks property although it has the weak Banach-Saks property.

Now, we are ready to present the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (2) holds. By Proposition 3.2, ψ has the Δ_2 -condition, or equivalently, L^ψ is order continuous. Note that Orlicz spaces are monotonically complete. By Theorem 2.2, we deduce that (2) \implies (1). \square

Note that when φ and ψ have the Δ_2 -condition (i.e., L^φ is reflexive), L^φ is p -convex and its Boyd index is finite (in fact, the converse is also true; see [23]). Since any Orlicz space admits a special modular, Theorem 2.7 gives us the following sufficient condition for L^φ to have $oBSP$.

Proposition 3.3. *If both φ and ψ have the Δ_2 -condition, then L^φ has $oBSP$.*

The following is immediate by Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that φ has the Δ_2 -condition. Then φ has property (H) if and only if ψ has the Δ_2 -condition.*

We summarize the relations between (H) and the Δ_2 -conditions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi \text{ has the } \Delta_2\text{-condition} \\ & \leq \varphi \text{ has (H)} \\ & \leq \varphi \text{ and } \psi \text{ have the } \Delta_2\text{-condition.} \end{aligned}$$

Can we find non-trivial Orlicz functions φ (i.e., $L^\varphi \neq L^1$ and $L^\psi \neq L^1$) to make the two relations strict? Whether the last relation is strict is equivalent to whether the converse of Proposition 3.3 holds and to whether $oBSP$ of L^φ implies φ has the Δ_2 -condition.

Remark 3.5. (1) Recall from [9, Theorem 5.5] that L^φ has w - BSP if and only if φ has the Δ_2 -condition. Hence, whenever φ has the Δ_2 -condition but ψ does not, L^φ has w - BSP but fails w - $oBSP$.

(2) It is well known that L^φ has BSP if and only if φ and ψ both have the Δ_2 -condition. Hence, if L^φ has BSP , then it has $oBSP$. We are not sure whether a general Banach function space with BSP must satisfy $oBSP$.

As another consequence, we also have the following concrete characterization of the order-type Banach-Saks properties in Orlicz hearts H^φ . Note that for H^φ , w - $oBSP$ and X' - $oBSP$ are equivalent.

Theorem 3.6. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) H^φ has $oBSP$.
- (2) H^φ has $w-oBSP$.
- (3) H^φ has BSP .
- (4) φ and ψ have the Δ_2 -condition.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) is obvious. (1) \implies (3) is also clear since H^φ is order continuous. Since the Banach Saks property implies reflexivity, which is equivalent to (4) for H^φ , we have (3) \implies (4). Now, if (4) holds, then $H^\varphi = L^\varphi$ has $oBSP$ by Proposition 3.3; therefore, (4) \implies (1).

It remains to show (2) \implies (4). Assume that (2) holds. Then H^φ has $w-BSP$ (by the order continuity of H^φ). By [9, Theorem 5.5], φ has the Δ_2 -condition and hence, $H^\varphi = L^\varphi$. (4) now follows from Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.4. \square

Recall from [9, Theorem 5.5] that H^φ has $w-BSP$ if and only if φ has the Δ_2 -condition.

4. HEREDITARY PROPERTIES

It is well known that the Banach-Saks property is equivalent to its “hereditary” version, that is, every norm bounded sequence in X has a subsequence such that the Cesàro sequence of any further subsequence converges in X (see [10]). The same result is also true for the weak Banach-Saks property. In this section, we will show that the order Banach-Saks property is equivalent to its hereditary version. Furthermore, the same results remain true for the “weak” order-type Banach-Saks properties in a large class of Banach function spaces. In the rest of the section, let X denote a Banach function space.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a Banach function space. We say that X has the hereditary order Banach-Saks property ($hoBSP$) if every norm bounded sequence in X has a subsequence such that the Cesàro sequence of any further subsequence order converges to the same limit in X . The other two types of hereditary order-type Banach-Saks properties, $w-hoBSP$ and $X'-hoBSP$ are defined similarly.

4.1. Application of a Ramsey theorem. To get relations between order-type Banach-Saks properties and their hereditary versions, we need the following version of Ramsey’s Theorem by Galvin and Prikry ([14]). For any infinite subset N of \mathbb{N} , denote by $[N]$ the collection of all infinite subsets of N . We endow $[N]$ with the usual product topology.

Proposition 4.2. ([14, Corollary 6]) *Let $B_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be Borel subsets of $[\mathbb{N}]$. Then there exists $M \in [\mathbb{N}]$ such that either $[M] \subseteq B_n$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $[M] \cap B_n = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Using Proposition 4.2 and a similar technique as in [10], we obtain two useful lemmas, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.3. *For every sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X , there exists a subsequence $\{h_n\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that either*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s > r} \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^s \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i h_{n_k} \right| - \bigvee_{i=1}^r \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i h_{n_k} \right| \right\|_X = 0 \quad \forall \{n_k\}$$

or

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s > r} \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^s \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i h_{n_k} \right| - \bigvee_{i=1}^r \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i h_{n_k} \right| \right\|_X > 0 \quad \forall \{n_k\}.$$

Proof. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in X . For each s and r with $s > r$, define $T_{s,r} : [\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T_{s,r}(M) = \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^s \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i f_{n_k} \right| - \bigvee_{i=1}^r \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i f_{n_k} \right| \right\|_X,$$

where $\{n_k\}$ is the elements of M in increasing order. Note that for any $M \in [\mathbb{N}]$, $T_{s,r}$ is constant on a small neighborhood of M . Hence, it is continuous on $[\mathbb{N}]$. Therefore, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s > r} T_{s,r}$ is a Borel function on $[\mathbb{N}]$ and the set

$$B = \left\{ M \in [\mathbb{N}] : \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s > r} T_{s,r}(M) = 0 \right\}$$

is a Borel set. By Proposition 4.2, there exists a set $M \in [\mathbb{N}]$ such that either $[M] \subseteq B$ or $[M] \cap B = \emptyset$. The conclusion follows. \square

In case that X is order continuous, the first condition of Lemma 4.3 is equivalent to the Cesàro sequence of any subsequence of $\{h_n\}$ is dominated in X :

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be an order continuous Banach function space. A sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X is dominated in X if and only if*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s > r} \left\| \bigvee_{n=1}^s |f_n| - \bigvee_{n=1}^r |f_n| \right\|_X = 0.$$

Proof. Dominatedness of $\{f_n\}$ is evidently equivalent to that of $\{\bigvee_{k=1}^n |f_k|\}$. The latter sequence is a nonnegative increasing sequence. Hence, its dominatedness in an order continuous space is equivalent to norm convergence. \square

Lemma 4.5. *For every sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X , there exists a subsequence $\{h_n\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ so that either*

$$\sup_j \left\| \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^j \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i h_{n_k} \right. \right\| \right\|_X < \infty \quad \forall \{n_k\} \quad \text{or} \quad \sup_j \left\| \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^j \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i h_{n_k} \right. \right\| \right\|_X = \infty \quad \forall \{n_k\}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.3. We only need to replace $T_{s,r}(M)$ by $T_j(M) = \left\| \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^j \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i f_{n_k} \right. \right\| \right\|_X$ and consider the Borel sets $B_p = \{M \in [\mathbb{N}] : \sup_j T_j(M) < p\}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 4.2, we can find p and a subsequence $\{h_n\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ so that either

$$\sup_j \left\| \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^j \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i h_{n_k} \right. \right\| \right\|_X < p \quad \forall \{n_k\} \quad \text{or} \quad \sup_j \left\| \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^j \left| \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^i h_{n_k} \right. \right\| \right\|_X = \infty \quad \forall \{n_k\}.$$

□

This lemma will be coupled with the following.

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be monotonically complete. A sequence $\{f_n\}$ in X is dominated in X if and only if*

$$\sup_n \left\| \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^n |f_n| \right. \right\|_X < \infty.$$

4.2. Automatic hereditariness. Using Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6, we can show that *oBSP* and *hoBSP* are always equivalent.

Theorem 4.7. *A Banach function space X has *oBSP* if and only if it has *hoBSP*.*

Proof. Clearly, *hoBSP* implies *oBSP*. Now, suppose that X has *oBSP*. Let $\{f_n\}$ be norm bounded in X . Recall from Proposition 2.1 that X is monotonically complete. Combining Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and *oBSP*, we can find a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that the Cesàro sequence of any subsequence of $\{f_{n_k}\}$ is dominated in X . For notational convenience, one may replace $\{f_n\}$ with $\{f_{n_k}\}$. Now, as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, by passing to a subsequence, there exists $f \in L^0$ such that the Cesàro sequence of any subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ a.e.-converges to f . Due to dominatedness of the Cesàro sequences, we have that f is dominated by some function in X and thus $f \in X$. Therefore, the Cesàro sequence of any subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ order converges to f . Thus, X has *hoBSP*. □

Under additional assumptions, *w-oBSP* and *w-hoBSP* (resp., *X'-oBSP* and *X'-hoBSP*) are also equivalent.

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that X is either order continuous or monotonically complete. Then w -oBSP and w -hoBSP (resp., X' -oBSP and X' -hoBSP) are equivalent.*

Proof. If X is monotonically complete, the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.7 work. If X is order continuous, then apply the same arguments but use Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 instead of 4.5 and 4.6. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Abramovich and C. Aliprantis. *An Invitation to Operator Theory*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics Volume 50, American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [2] C. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw. *Positive Operators*. Springer, 2006.
- [3] S. V. Astashkin, N. Kalton, and F. A. Sukochev. Cesàro mean convergence of martingale differences in rearrangement invariant spaces. *Positivity*, 12:387–406, 2008.
- [4] S. V. Astashkin, E. M. Semenov, and F.A. Sukochev. The Banach-Saks p -property. *Mathematische Annalen*, 332:879–900, 2005.
- [5] B. Beauzamy. Banach-Saks properties and spreading models. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 44:357–384, 1979.
- [6] S. Chen. Geometry of Orlicz spaces. *Dissertationes Math.*, 356: 1–204, 1996.
- [7] B. Cuartero and M. Triana. (p, q) -convexity in quasi-Banach lattices and applications. *Studia Mathematica*, 84(2):113–124, 1986.
- [8] F. Delbaen and K. Owari. Convex functions on dual Orlicz spaces. *Positivity*, 23:1051–1064, 2019.
- [9] P. G. Doods, E. M. Semenov, and F. A. Sukochev. The Banach-Saks property in rearrangement invariant spaces. *Studia Mathematica*, 162(3):263–294, 2004.
- [10] T. Figiel and L. Sucheston. An application of Ramsey sets in analysis. *Advances in Mathematics*, 20:103–105, 1976.
- [11] J. Flores and P. Tradacete. Factorization and domination of positive Banach-Saks operators. *Studia Mathematica*, 189(1):91–101, 2008.
- [12] H. Föllmer and A. Schied, *Stochastic Finance – An Introduction in Discrete Time*. Fourth Edition. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2016.
- [13] D. H. Fremlin. Stable subspaces of $L^1 + L^\infty$. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 64:625–643, 1968.
- [14] F. Galvin and K. Prikry. Borel sets and Ramsey’s theorem. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 38(2):193–198, 1973.
- [15] N. Gao, D. H. Leung, C. Munari, and F. Xanthos. Fatou property, representations, and extensions of law-invariant risk measures on general Orlicz spaces. *Finance and Stochastics*, 22(2):395–415, 2018.
- [16] N. Gao, D. H. Leung, and F. Xanthos. Duality for unbounded order convergence and applications. *Positivity*, 22:711–725, 2018.
- [17] N. Gao, D. H. Leung, and F. Xanthos. Closedness of convex sets in Orlicz spaces with applications to dual representation of risk measures. *Studia Mathematica*, 249:329–347, 2019.

- [18] N. Gao, V. Troitsky, and F. Xanthos. Uo-convergence and its applications to Cesàro means in Banach lattices. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 220(2):649–689, 2017.
- [19] N. Gao and F. Xanthos. On the C-property and w^* -representations of risk measures. *Mathematical Finance*, 28(2):748–754, 2018.
- [20] W. B. Johnson and G. Schechtman. Martingale inequalities in rearrangement invariant function spaces. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 64(3):267–275, 1988.
- [21] J. Komlós. A generalization of a problem of Steinhaus. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 18:217–229, 1967.
- [22] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ and Y. B. Rutickiĭ. *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*. International monographs on advanced mathematics and physics. P. Noordhoff, 1961.
- [23] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach Spaces II - Function Spaces*. Springer, 1979.
- [24] J. Lopez-Abad, C. Ruiz, and P. Tradacete. The convex hull of a Banach-Saks set. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 266(4):2251–2280, 2014.
- [25] L. Maligranda. Orlicz Spaces and Interpolation. *Seminars in Mathematics 5*, University of Campinas, Campinas SP, Brazil, 1989.
- [26] P. Meyer-Nieberg. *Banach Lattices*. Springer, 1991.
- [27] M. Tantrawan and D. H. Leung. On closedness of convex sets in Banach lattices. *Positivity*, 24:891–913, 2020.
- [28] M. Tantrawan and D. H. Leung. On closedness of law-invariant convex sets in rearrangement invariant spaces. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 114(2):175–183, 2020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES,
UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA, INDONESIA 55281

Email address, Corresponding author: `made.tantrawan@ugm.ac.id`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE 119076

Email address: `dennyhl@u.nus.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TORONTO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, 350 VICTORIA
STREET, TORONTO, CANADA M5B2K3

Email address: `niushan@ryerson.ca`