

Homomorphisms of Fourier–Stieltjes Algebras

Ross Stokke*

Abstract

Every homomorphism $\varphi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ between Fourier–Stieltjes algebras on locally compact groups G and H is determined by a continuous mapping $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$, where Y is a set in the open coset ring of H and $\Delta(B(G))$ is the Gelfand spectrum of $B(G)$ (a $*$ -semigroup). We exhibit a large collection of maps α for which $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive/completely contractive/completely bounded homomorphism and establish converse statements in several instances. For example, we fully characterize all completely positive/completely contractive/completely bounded homomorphisms $\varphi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ when G is a Euclidean- or p -adic-motion group. In these cases, our description of the completely positive/completely contractive homomorphisms employs the notion of a “fusion map of a compatible system of homomorphisms/affine maps” and is quite different from the Fourier algebra situation.

Primary MSC codes: 43A30, 43A22, 43A70, 47L25

Key words and phrases: Fourier algebra, Fourier–Stieltjes algebra, operator space, homomorphisms of Fourier algebras

Let G and H be locally compact groups. The principal objects of study in abstract harmonic analysis are the group and measure algebras $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$ with convolution product and, dual to these algebras, the Fourier and Fourier–Stieltjes algebras $A(G)$ and $B(G)$ with pointwise-defined product; $L^1(G)$ is a closed ideal in $M(G)$ and $A(G)$ is a closed ideal in $B(G)$. When G is abelian with dual group \widehat{G} , $L^1(G)^\wedge$ is $A(\widehat{G})$ and $M(G)^\wedge$ is $B(\widehat{G})$, where $\mu \mapsto \widehat{\mu}$ is the Fourier–Stieltjes transform on $M(G)$. A fundamental endeavour in virtually every area of mathematics is to describe the structure-preserving maps between like objects. The “homomorphism problem” in abstract harmonic analysis asks for a description of all bounded algebra homomorphisms of $L^1(G)$ into $M(H)$ and, dually, of $A(G)$ into $B(H)$. After much effort, both versions of the problem were solved in the abelian case by Paul Cohen in 1960 [6, 28]. For non-abelian groups, both versions of the problem—which are distinct in the non-abelian case—have been broadly studied by many authors, e.g., see [14, 38, 16, 17, 18, 27, 35, 36], but in full generality remain open. In particular, Ilie and Spronk successfully generalized Cohen’s results by describing all completely positive, completely contractive and completely bounded homomorphisms $\varphi : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ when G is amenable: any such φ is determined by a continuous map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G$ where $Y \in \Omega(H)$, the ring of sets generated by the open cosets of H , (we write $\varphi = j_\alpha$), with α a homomorphism and Y a subgroup precisely when φ is completely positive; α an affine map and Y a coset precisely when φ is completely contractive; α a piecewise affine map precisely when φ is completely bounded [17, Theorem 3.7].

*This research was partially supported by an NSERC grant.

It seems equally natural to consider the problem of describing homomorphisms $\varphi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$. This problem has been settled when φ is an isometric surjection [38], φ is a positive/contractive isomorphism [27], G is amenable and φ is a completely bounded isomorphism [27], or G is amenable and φ is a completely positive/completely contractive/completely bounded homomorphism that is either weak*- or strict-to-weak*-continuous with respect to the strict topology on $B(G)$ determined by the ideal $A(G)$ [19]. In each of these cases, φ takes the form—as with homomorphisms $\varphi : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ — $\varphi = j_\alpha$ where $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G$ is a continuous mapping on a set Y in $\Omega(H)$; in the language introduced in Section 1, any such φ is “spatial”. However, not every homomorphism $\varphi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is spatial, though very little is known about non-spatial homomorphisms; the only ad hoc constructions of such homomorphisms seem to be found in two proofs in [28] and [19]. In fact, beyond the results from [38, 19, 27], very little is known about the homomorphisms $\varphi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$. In light of Proposition 1.8, which shows that every homomorphism $\varphi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is determined by a continuous map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ where Y in $\Omega(H)$ and $\Delta(B(G))$ is the Gelfand spectrum of $B(G)$, the gap in our understanding of Fourier–Stieltjes algebra homomorphisms may be attributed, in part, to the inaccessibility of $\Delta(B(G))$ in comparison with $\Delta(A(G))$, which is just G itself. To quote E. Kaniuth and A.T.-M. Lau, “when G is a noncompact locally compact abelian group, according to common understanding, the spectrum of $B(G) = M(\widehat{G})$ is an intractable object” [21, Section 2.9]. Nevertheless, the structure of $\Delta(B(G))$ and $\Delta(A)$ for certain subalgebras A of $B(G)$ has been successfully studied by various authors, e.g., [8, 39, 24, 18], and shown to be fairly accessible in some—typically non-abelian—cases. Results from [39] and [18], and the work herein, allow us to address our main questions, which are as follows:

- (i) Given a set $Y \in \Omega(H)$, can we systematically construct a large collection of (non-spatial) continuous maps $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ that determine homomorphisms $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$, and what properties are possessed by these homomorphisms?
- (ii) Given a class of homomorphisms—e.g., homomorphisms $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ that are (completely) positive/ (completely) contractive/ completely bounded—does $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ take the form one might expect based on the existing theory of homomorphisms from $A(G)$ into $B(H)$?

We show that $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive homomorphism when α is a continuous homomorphism of an open subgroup of H into a subgroup of $\Delta(B(G))$ (Corollary 2.6); a completely contractive homomorphism when α is a continuous affine map into a subgroup of $\Delta(B(G))$ (Proposition 2.12); and a completely bounded homomorphism when α is what we shall call a continuous pw²-affine map (Proposition 2.13). In this way, we provide a positive answer to question (i) and show that spatial homomorphisms are the exception rather than the norm. We show that the answer to question (ii) is sometimes, but not always. When α maps into a subgroup of $\Delta(B(G))$, we show that $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is completely positive/completely contractive if and only if α is a homomorphism/affine map (Corollaries 4.3 and 4.20). In light of the theorems of Cohen and Ilie–Spronk, it is perhaps tempting to conjecture that α must always be a homomorphism/affine map into a subgroup of $\Delta(B(G))$ when $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is completely positive/completely contractive. However, we show that when α maps into the union of a certain type of compatible system of subgroups of $\Delta(B(G))$, $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is completely positive/completely contractive if and only if α is what we shall call a “fusion

map of a compatible system of homomorphisms/affine maps” (Theorems 4.15 and 4.18) and show that it is easy to construct examples of these fusion maps (Example 4.16). (Note that we do not require G to be amenable in any of these general results.) In particular, we characterize all completely bounded, completely contractive and completely positive homomorphisms $\varphi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ when G is a Euclidean- or p -adic motion group (Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 4.21). More generally, we will consider homomorphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ where A is any closed translation-invariant unital subalgebra of $B(G)$, with applications to some specific examples of the spine of $B(G)$ (studied by Ilie and Spronk in [18]). Along the way, we extend several results concerning $\Delta(B(G))$ from [39] with simple new proofs. The basic theory of multiplicative domains of completely positive operators, the origin of which is [5] by M.-D. Choi, is a fundamental tool in Section 4.

We proceed with a brief review of relevant terms and results. Throughout this paper, G and H are locally compact groups. We refer the reader to [1, 10, 21] for definitions and basic properties of the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ and the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$; unless stated otherwise, we follow the notation used in these references. By a representation $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$ of G we will always mean a continuous unitary representation, π , on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ; $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the space of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . The closed linear span in $B(G)$ of all coefficient functions

$$\xi *_{\pi} \eta(s) := \langle \pi(s)\xi | \eta \rangle \quad (s \in G, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H})$$

is denoted A_{π} . The von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi})$ generated by $\pi(G)$, denoted VN_{π} , is identified with the dual of A_{π} through the pairing $\langle T, \xi *_{\pi} \eta \rangle = \langle T\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$; hence, $\langle \pi(s), u \rangle = u(s)$ for $s \in G$ and $u \in A_{\pi}$. The Fourier spaces A_{π} are precisely the closed translation-invariant subspaces of $B(G)$. Letting $P(G)$ denote the set of continuous positive definite functions on G , $A_{\pi} \cap P(G)$ is the set of normal positive linear functionals on VN_{π} . Letting $\{\omega_G, \mathcal{H}_{\omega_G}\}$ and $\{\lambda_G, L^2(G)\}$ respectively denote the universal and left regular representations of G , $B(G) = A_{\omega_G}$ and $A(G) = A_{\lambda_G}$; as usual, we write $W^*(G)$ and $VN(G)$ for VN_{ω_G} and VN_{λ_G} , respectively.

As the predual of a von Neumann algebra, A_{π} has a canonical operator space structure that agrees with the subspace operator space structure inherited from $B(G) = W^*(G)_{*}$. Moreover, A_{π} is a completely contractive Banach algebra when it is also a subalgebra of $B(G)$. Over the course of the last 25 years, studying the Fourier and Fourier–Stieltjes algebras as completely contractive Banach algebras has proven invaluable to the theory, e.g., see [30, 33]. We say that a map $\varphi : A_{\pi} \rightarrow B(H)$ is (completely) positive when its dual map $\varphi^{*} : W^*(H) \rightarrow VN_{\pi}$ is (completely) positive. Equivalently, $\varphi : A_{\pi} \rightarrow B(H)$ is positive if and only if φ maps positive definite functions in A_{π} to positive definite functions in $B(H)$. We follow the standard references [9] and [26] on the theory of operator spaces and completely bounded maps.

By a topological isomorphism of topological groups, we shall mean a group isomorphism that is also a homeomorphism. As noted in [16], a subset E of H is a coset of some subgroup of H exactly when $EE^{-1}E = E$, and a map $\alpha : E \rightarrow G$ is called *affine* if for any $x, y, z \in E$, $\alpha(xy^{-1}z) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)^{-1}\alpha(z)$. Note that for any $y_0 \in E$, $H_0 = y_0^{-1}E = E^{-1}E$ is a subgroup of H , and the map defined by $\beta(h) = \alpha(y_0)^{-1}\alpha(y_0h)$ ($h \in H_0$) is a homomorphism of H_0 into G when α is an affine map; conversely, if $\beta : H_0 \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism and $x_0 \in G$, then $\alpha(x) = x_0\beta(y_0^{-1}x)$ defines an affine map on E (see [16, Remark 2.2]). Thus, affine maps are exactly the translates of subgroup homomorphisms. Letting $\Omega(H)$ denote the ring of sets generated by the open cosets of H , every set in $\Omega(H)$ can be expressed as a finite union of

disjoint sets in

$$\Omega_0(H) = \left\{ E_0 \setminus \left(\bigcup_1^m E_k \right) : \begin{array}{l} E_0 \subseteq H \text{ an open coset,} \\ E_1, \dots, E_m \text{ open subcosets of infinite index in } E_0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

A map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G$ is *piecewise affine* if there exist pairwise disjoint sets $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \in \Omega_0(H)$ such that $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$ and for each i , $\alpha|_{Y_i}$ has an affine extension α_i mapping $Aff(Y_i)$, the smallest coset containing Y_i , into G [6, 16].

We let $\Delta(A)$ denote the Gelfand spectrum of a commutative Banach algebra A .

1 Preliminary results

1.1 Completely positive maps

We will make repeated use of a few basic facts about completely positive maps, collected below for the convenience of the reader. References are, for example, [26, Ch. 2, 3 and 4] and [9, Ch. 5]. Regarding part (c), note that either hypothesis implies that ψ_2 satisfies the Schwarz inequality [26, Proposition 3.3], which is the essential ingredient in the proofs of [26, Theorem 3.18] and [9, Corollary 5.2.2].

Theorem 1.1. *Let A and B be C^* -algebras with identities, $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ a linear map.*

- (a) *If ψ is (completely) positive, then $(\|\psi\|_{cb} = \|\psi\| = \|\psi(1_A)\|)$ and for each $a \in A$, $\psi(a^*) = \psi(a)^*$.*
- (b) *If ψ is (completely) contractive and unit preserving, then ψ is (completely) positive.*
- (c) *Suppose that ψ is either completely positive and (completely) contractive, or 4-positive and unit preserving. If $\psi(b)^*\psi(b) = \psi(b^*b)$ ($\psi(b)\psi(b)^* = \psi(bb^*)$) for some $b \in A$, then $\psi(ab) = \psi(a)\psi(b)$ ($\psi(ba) = \psi(b)\psi(a)$) for every $a \in A$.*

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we now offer a very short new proof of a theorem due to Ilie–Spronk [17] and Pham [27]. The proof of Theorem 4.2 contains similar elements, but this proof requires no additional machinery and is likely of independent interest.

Let $\varphi : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism, $\varphi^* : W^*(H) \rightarrow VN(G)$ the dual map. Then for each $h \in H$, $\varphi^*(h) \in \Delta(A(G)) \cup \{0\} = G \cup \{0\}$, (identifying G with $\lambda_G(G)$). Letting $Y = H \setminus \ker \varphi^*$, Y is an open subset of H and $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G : h \rightarrow \varphi^*(h)$ is a continuous map such that

$$\varphi(u)(h) = \langle \varphi^*(h), u \rangle = \begin{cases} u(\alpha(h)) & h \in Y \\ 0 & h \in H \setminus Y \end{cases} = j_\alpha u(h).$$

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose that $\varphi : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a nontrivial 4-positive homomorphism. Then there is an open subgroup H_0 of H and a continuous homomorphism $\alpha : H_0 \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi = j_\alpha$.*

Proof. Letting $\psi = \varphi^*$, $0 \neq \|\varphi\| = \|\psi\| = \|\psi(e_H)\|$, so $e_H \in Y$. Let $s, t \in Y$. Then $\psi(t^{-1}) = \psi(t^*) = \psi(t)^* = \psi(t)^{-1} \neq 0$, so $t^{-1} \in Y$. Since $\psi(e_H) = \psi(e_H)^{-1}$, $\psi(e_H)^2 = e_G$ and $\psi(e_H) \geq 0$; hence $\psi(e_H) = (e_G)^{1/2} = e_G$. It follows that $\psi(t)^*\psi(t) = \psi(t)^{-1}\psi(t) = e_G = \psi(t^*t)$ and therefore $\psi(st) = \psi(s)\psi(t) \neq 0$. Hence, $st \in Y$ and $\alpha(st) = \alpha(s)\alpha(t)$. \square

Remark 1.3. 1. Ilie and Spronk proved Proposition 1.2 as one part of [17, Theorem 3.7] under the slightly stronger assumption that G is amenable and φ is completely positive, while Pham proved it under the slightly weaker assumption that φ is 2-positive [27, Proposition 5.8].

2. By translation, one can now use Theorem 1.1(b) and the argument found in the short proof of [27, Theorem 5.1] to show that if $\varphi : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a 4-contractive homomorphism, then $\varphi = j_\alpha$ for some continuous affine map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G$ where Y is an open coset of H .

3. For future reference, observe that the arguments found in the proof of Proposition 1.2 and the above remark also provide characterizations of all 4-positive and 4-contractive homomorphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ whenever A is a closed translation-invariant subalgebra of $B(G)$ for which $\Delta(A) = G$. For example, when $A = B_0(G)$, the Rajchman algebra of G , is regular, $\Delta(A) = G$ [23, Corollary 2.2]; this is the case, when G is a connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre [23, Example 2.6(3)] or G is the Euclidean motion group $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(n)$ and $n \geq 2$ [23, Theorem 4.1].

We can now give a new proof of [17, Theorem 2.1] due to Ilie and Spronk. This should be of independent interest since it provides another example of the use of operator space theory in abstract harmonic analysis [12, 30, 33] and makes this article largely self-contained.

Corollary 1.4. *Let u be a non-zero idempotent in $B(G)$.*

(a) *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\|u\| = 1$ and $u(e_G) \neq 0$;
- (ii) $u = 1_H$ for some open subgroup H of G ;
- (iii) u is positive definite.

(b) $\|u\| = 1$ if and only if $u = 1_C$ for some open coset C in G .

Proof. As $u^2 = u$ and u is continuous, $u = 1_Y$ for some open and closed subset Y of G . As noted – and proved – in [17], (ii) implies (iii) and sufficiency in (b) are well-known facts.

(a) Assume condition (i) holds. Since $1_Y(e_G) \neq 0$, $e_G \in Y$ and, because $B(G)$ is a completely contractive Banach algebra and $\|1_Y\| = 1$, $\varphi(v) = v1_Y$ defines a completely contractive homomorphism $\varphi : A(G) \rightarrow B(G)$. The dual map $\varphi^* : W^*(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$ is hence a complete contraction satisfying $\varphi^*(s) = s$ if $s \in Y$ and $\varphi^*(s) = 0$ if $s \in G \setminus Y$. Hence, $\varphi = j_\alpha$ where $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G : s \mapsto s$ and, as a unit-preserving complete contraction, φ^* is completely positive. That is, j_α is completely positive so Y is an open subgroup by Proposition 1.2. Hence (i) implies (ii), and we have already noted that (ii) implies (iii). For (iii) implies (i), observe that $\|u\| = u(e_G) = 1_Y(e_G)$ and u is nonzero, so $u(e_G) \neq 0$ and $\|u\| = 1$.

(b) Suppose that $\|u\| = \|1_Y\| = 1$. Taking $y \in Y$, $\ell_y 1_Y = 1_{y^{-1}Y}$ is also a norm-one idempotent in $B(G)$, (e.g., by [10, (2.19)] or, more generally, Lemma 2.10). Since $\ell_y 1_Y(e_G) = 1$, $y^{-1}Y$ is an open subgroup of G by part (a). \square

1.2 The spectrum of $B(G)$ and its closed subalgebras

The following Arens-product formulation of the product in $VN_\pi = A_\pi^*$ will be often be used in this paper. It should be known—e.g., it is implicit in [34]—but we provide the simple proof

below for the convenience of the reader. Observe that if $\tilde{u}(s) = \overline{u(s^{-1})}$, then $(\xi *_{\pi} \eta)^{\sim} = \eta *_{\pi} \xi$; hence, $\tilde{u} \in A_{\pi}$ whenever $u \in A_{\pi}$.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $m, n \in VN_{\pi}$, $u \in A_{\pi}$, $s, t \in G$. Letting*

$$u \cdot s(t) = u(st), \quad s \cdot u(t) = u(ts), \quad n \cdot u(s) = n(u \cdot s) \quad \text{and} \quad u \cdot m(s) = m(s \cdot u),$$

the product and involution in VN_{π} are given by

$$\langle mn, u \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot u \rangle = \langle n, u \cdot m \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle m^*, u \rangle = \overline{\langle m, \tilde{u} \rangle}.$$

Proof. Since VN_{π} is a dual Banach algebra, A_{π} is a VN_{π} -submodule of VN_{π}^* via

$$\langle m, n \cdot u \rangle = \langle mn, u \rangle = \langle n, u \cdot m \rangle$$

[29]. But

$$\pi(s) \cdot u(t) = \langle \pi(t), \pi(s) \cdot u \rangle = \langle \pi(ts), u \rangle = u(ts) = s \cdot u(t),$$

so

$$u \cdot m(s) = \langle \pi(s), u \cdot m \rangle = \langle m, \pi(s) \cdot u \rangle = \langle m, s \cdot u \rangle;$$

similarly, $n \cdot u(s) = \langle n, u \cdot s \rangle$. If $m = \sum \lambda_i \pi(s_i) \in \text{span}\{\pi(G)\}$, then

$$m^*(u) = \langle \sum \bar{\lambda}_i \pi(s_i^{-1}), u \rangle = \sum \bar{\lambda}_i u(s_i^{-1}) = \overline{m(\tilde{u})}.$$

Weak*-density of $\text{span}\{\pi(G)\}$ in VN_{π} and weak*-continuity of involution now yields the formula for any $m \in VN_{\pi}$. \square

Walter gave a different proof of the following result when $A = B(G) = A_{\omega_G}$ in [39].

Corollary 1.6. *Let A be a closed unital translation-invariant subalgebra of $B(G)$. Then the spectrum of A , $\Delta(A)$, is a compact semitopological *-semigroup.*

Proof. We know that $A = A_{\pi}$ for some π , so $\Delta(A)$ is contained in VN_{π} . Since $1_G \in A$, $\Delta(A)$ is compact. Let $m, n \in \Delta(A)$, $u, v \in A$. Then for $s \in G$,

$$n \cdot (uv)(s) = \langle n, (uv) \cdot s \rangle = \langle n, (u \cdot s)(v \cdot s) \rangle = \langle n, u \cdot s \rangle \langle n, v \cdot s \rangle = (n \cdot u)(s)(n \cdot v)(s)$$

so

$$\langle mn, uv \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot (uv) \rangle = \langle m, (n \cdot u)(n \cdot v) \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot u \rangle \langle m, n \cdot v \rangle = \langle mn, u \rangle \langle mn, v \rangle.$$

Hence, $mn \in \Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$. However, $(n \cdot 1_G)(s) = n(1_G \cdot s) = n(1_G) = 1$, so $mn(1_G) = m(n \cdot 1_G) = m(1_G) = 1$; hence $mn \in \Delta(A)$. Using the formula for m^* from Proposition 1.5, it is also easy to show that $m^* \in \Delta(A)$. Since multiplication is separately continuous in VN_{π} , $\Delta(A)$ is semitopological. \square

*Throughout the remainder of this subsection, we assume that $A = A_{\pi}$ is a closed translation-invariant subalgebra of $B(G)$ for which $\Delta(A)$ is a *-semigroup.*

For example, this is the case when A is unital (Corollary 1.6) and when $A = A(G)$. Suppose further that $A = A_\pi$ is point separating. Then π is a continuous group isomorphism of G onto its image $\pi(G)$ in $\Delta(A) \subseteq (VN_\pi)_{\|\cdot\|=1}$, and we shall often identify G and $\pi(G)$ as groups. If A is a regular algebra of functions on G —e.g., if A contains $A(G)$ —then it is easy to see that π is actually a topological isomorphism, and we can identify G and $\pi(G)$ as topological groups.

The Arens-product approach also allows us to give an easy proof of another useful result; in the case that $A = B(G)$, a different proof is given by Walter in [38].

Corollary 1.7. *Suppose that $A = A_\pi$ contains $A(G)$. The following statements hold:*

- (i) $A(G)^\perp$ is a weak*-closed ideal in VN_π .
- (ii) $\Delta(A) \setminus G = \Delta(A) \cap A(G)^\perp = h(A(G))$, the hull of $A(G)$; hence $\Delta(A) \setminus G$ is a closed ideal in $\Delta(A)$.
- (iii) $\Delta(A) \cap (VN_\pi)_r = \Delta(A) \cap (VN_\pi)_u = G$, where $(VN_\pi)_r$ and $(VN_\pi)_u$ respectively denote the invertible and unitary elements in VN_π .

Proof. (i) More generally, letting B be any translation-invariant subset of A , we observe that B^\perp is a weak*-closed ideal in VN_π : Let $m \in VN_\pi$, $n \in B^\perp$. For $v \in B$ and $s \in G$, $n \cdot v(s) = n(v \cdot s) = 0$ and $v \cdot n(s) = n(s \cdot v) = 0$, so $mn(v) = m(n \cdot v) = 0$ and $nm(v) = m(v \cdot n) = 0$. (This is a special case of a standard result, e.g., [37, Theorem III.2.7].)

(ii) From (i), $h(B) = \Delta(A) \cap B^\perp$ is a closed ideal in $\Delta(A)$ whenever B is a translation-invariant subset of A , so we only need to establish the first set equality in (ii). Since G is disjoint from $A(G)^\perp$, the first set contains the second one. To establish the reverse containment, supposing that $m \in \Delta(A) \setminus A(G)^\perp$, we show $m \in G$ via the argument used to establish the lemma on p. 27 of [38]: $m|_{A(G)} \in \Delta(A(G)) = G$, so $m|_{A(G)} = g$ for some $g \in G$. Taking $v \in A(G)$ such that $m(v) = v(g) = 1$, for each $u \in A$,

$$m(u) = m(u)m(v) = m(uv) = uv(g) = u(g),$$

since $A(G)$ is an ideal in A . Hence $m = \pi(g) \in G$.

(iii) Given $m \in \Delta(A) \cap (VN_\pi)_r$, take $n \in VN_\pi$ such that $mn = e_G$. If $m \notin G$, then $m \in A(G)^\perp$ by (ii), whence $e_G = mn \in A(G)^\perp$ by (i), (a contradiction). Hence $m \in G$. Since elements of $G (= \pi(G))$ are unitary in VN_π and unitary operators are invertible, we are done. \square

1.3 Homomorphisms of Fourier-Stieltjes algebras: introduction

Let $\Omega(H)$ denote the ring of sets generated by the cosets of open subgroups of H .

Proposition 1.8. *Let $A = A_\pi$ be a closed translation-invariant subalgebra of $B(G)$. For a linear map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) φ is a homomorphism;
- (ii) φ is bounded and φ^* maps H into $\Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$ where $\varphi^* : W^*(H) \rightarrow VN_\pi$ is the dual map of φ ;

(iii) there is an open subset Y of H and a continuous map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ such that

$$\varphi(u)(h) = j_\alpha(u)(h) := \begin{cases} \langle \alpha(h), u \rangle & h \in Y \\ 0 & h \in H \setminus Y. \end{cases}$$

When φ is a homomorphism, Y and α in (iii) are uniquely determined by φ as follows: $Y = H \setminus \ker(\varphi^*)$ and $\alpha = \varphi^*|_Y$. If A is unital, then $Y \in \Omega(H)$.

Proof. Assume (i) holds. Since $B(H)$ is semisimple, φ is bounded [20, Corollary 2.1.10], and for each $h \in H$,

$$\varphi^*(h)(uv) = \varphi(uv)(h) = \varphi(u)(h)\varphi(v)(h) = \varphi^*(h)(u)\varphi^*(h)(v),$$

so $\varphi^*(H)$ is contained in $\Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$. Suppose that (ii) holds. Letting $Y = H \setminus \ker(\varphi^*) = \{h \in H : \varphi^*(h) \neq 0\}$, Y is open, $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A) : y \rightarrow \varphi^*(y)$ is a continuous map and $\varphi = j_\alpha$ as defined in (iii). Suppose $1_G \in A$. Since $\langle \gamma, 1_G \rangle = 1$ for $\gamma \in \Delta(A)$, $\varphi(1_G) = j_\alpha(1_G) = 1_Y$, an idempotent in $B(H)$. By Host's idempotent theorem [15], $Y \in \Omega(H)$. Finally, assume that (iii) holds and define $\alpha_0 : H \rightarrow \Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$ by putting $\alpha_0|_Y = \alpha$ and $\alpha_0|_{H \setminus Y} = 0$. For $h \in H$, $\varphi(u)(h) = j_\alpha u(h) = \langle \alpha_0(h), u \rangle$, so

$$\varphi(uv)(h) = \langle \alpha_0(h), uv \rangle = \langle \alpha_0(h), u \rangle \langle \alpha_0(h), v \rangle = \varphi(u)(h)\varphi(v)(h).$$

Hence, φ is a homomorphism. It is easy to see that Y and α are uniquely determined by φ . \square

Notation: Unless stated otherwise, whenever $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a homomorphism, we will use the notation $\varphi = j_\alpha$ where $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is a continuous mapping on an open subset Y of H and j_α is defined as in Proposition 1.8(iii).

It is helpful to introduce some new terminology. We will say that the homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ are *disjoint* if $\varphi(u)\psi(w) = 0$ for every $u, w \in A$. If C and D are disjoint sets and $f : C \rightarrow X$, $g : D \rightarrow X$, then $f \cup g : C \cup D \rightarrow X$ is the map that agrees with f on C and g on D . When A is point separating, we will say that $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is *spatial* if $\alpha(Y) \subseteq G$ and *singular* if $\alpha(Y) \subseteq \Delta(A) \setminus G$. The following useful lemma clarifies these notions.

Lemma 1.9. *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha, \psi = j_\beta : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be homomorphisms, where $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ and $\beta : Z \rightarrow \Delta(A)$. Then φ and ψ are disjoint if and only if $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$. Moreover, $\varphi + \psi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a homomorphism whenever φ and ψ are disjoint and $\varphi + \psi = j_{\alpha \cup \beta}$.*

Proof. This is readily checked. \square

Throughout the remainder of this section, we assume that $A = A_\pi$ is a closed unital translation-invariant subalgebra of $B(G)$ that contains $A(G)$.

We assume A is unital to ensure that $\Delta(A)$ is a $*$ -semigroup and $Y \in \Omega(H)$. However, most results in this section hold whenever $\Delta(A)$ is known to be a $*$ -semigroup and $Y \in \Omega(H)$.

Our assumption implies that there is a continuous unitary representation σ of G that is disjoint from λ_G such that $\pi = \lambda_G \oplus \sigma$ and $A = A(G) \oplus_1 A^s(G)$, where $A^s(G) = A_\sigma$ is what we shall call the *singular part* of A [1, Proposition 3.12, Corollary 3.13 and Theorem 3.18]. When $A = B(G)$, this is the *Lebesgue decomposition* of $B(G)$ that was studied in [25] and [22]. With the following lemmas, we record more useful facts for future reference.

Lemma 1.10. *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism.*

(i) *φ is spatial if and only if for each $y \in Y$, $\varphi(v)(y) \neq 0$ for some $v \in A(G)$.*

(ii) *The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *φ is singular;*

(b) *$A(G)$ is contained in the kernel of φ ;*

(c) *for each $w = v + u \in A = A(G) \oplus_1 A^s(G)$, $\varphi(w) = \varphi(u)$.*

Proof. Using Corollary 1.7(ii), this is easily checked. \square

Lemma 1.11. *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism, $\varphi_F : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ the restriction of φ to the Fourier algebra $A(G)$. Then $Y_a = \alpha^{-1}(G) \subseteq Y$ is an open subset of H such that $\varphi_F = j_{\alpha_a}$ where $\alpha_a : Y_a \rightarrow G$ is the restriction of α to Y_a .*

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Corollary 1.7(ii). \square

Proposition 1.12. *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a (completely bounded) homomorphism, $Y_a = \alpha^{-1}(G)$, $\alpha_a : Y_a \rightarrow G$ the restriction of α to Y_a .*

(i) *The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *there are disjoint spatial and singular (completely bounded) homomorphisms, $\varphi_a : A \rightarrow B(H)$ and $\varphi_s : A \rightarrow B(H)$ respectively, such that $\varphi = \varphi_a + \varphi_s$;*

(b) *$Y_a \in \Omega(H)$;*

(c) *j_{α_a} defines a (completely bounded) spatial homomorphism from A into $B(H)$.*

(ii) *When the decomposition from statement (i)(a) exists, φ_a and φ_s are unique, $\varphi_a = j_{\alpha_a}$ where $\alpha_a : Y_a \rightarrow G$ is a continuous map on $Y_a \in \Omega(H)$, $\varphi_s = j_{\alpha_s}$ where $\alpha_s : Y_s \rightarrow \Delta(A) \setminus G$ is a continuous map on $Y_s \in \Omega(H)$, and $\alpha_a \cup \alpha_s = \alpha$.*

Proof. (i) Assuming (c), (b) follows by Proposition 1.8 since A is unital. Assume (b). Let $Y_s = Y \setminus Y_a \in \Omega(H)$, $\alpha_s = \alpha|_{Y_s}$. Then 1_{Y_a} and 1_{Y_s} are idempotents in $B(H)$, so $\varphi_a, \varphi_s : A \rightarrow B(H)$ defined by $\varphi_a(u) = \varphi(u)1_{Y_a}$, $\varphi_s(u) = \varphi(u)1_{Y_s}$ are (completely bounded) homomorphisms and it is easy to check that $\varphi_a = j_{\alpha_a}$ and $\varphi_s = j_{\alpha_s}$. Hence, φ_s and φ_a are disjoint spatial and singular homomorphisms such that $\varphi_a + \varphi_s = j_{\alpha_a \cup \alpha_s} = j_\alpha = \varphi$. This is (a). Suppose (a) holds. Let $\varphi_a = j_\gamma$ and $\varphi_s = j_\beta$ where $\gamma : Y_\gamma \rightarrow G$ and $\beta : Y_\beta \rightarrow \Delta(A) \setminus G$. By Lemma 1.9, $j_\alpha = \varphi = j_{\gamma \cup \beta}$, so—by the uniqueness part of Proposition 1.8— $\alpha = \gamma \cup \beta$. Hence, $Y_a = \alpha^{-1}(G) = Y_\gamma$ and $\alpha_a = \alpha|_{Y_a} = \gamma$. Thus, $j_{\alpha_a} = j_\gamma = \varphi_a$, a (completely bounded) homomorphism of A into $B(H)$; hence, (c) holds.

Only the statement about uniqueness in (ii) still requires justification. Suppose we have another decomposition $\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ where $\varphi_1 = j_\gamma$, $\gamma : Y_\gamma \rightarrow G$, $\varphi_2 = j_\beta$, $\beta : Y_\beta \rightarrow \Delta(A) \setminus G$, are disjoint spatial and singular homomorphisms respectively. Then Y_γ and Y_β are disjoint and $\varphi = j_{\alpha_a \cup \alpha_s} = j_{\gamma \cup \beta}$, so the uniqueness part of Proposition 1.8 yields

$$Y = Y_a \cup Y_s = Y_\gamma \cup Y_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \alpha_a \cup \alpha_s = \gamma \cup \beta.$$

The argument establishing (a) implies (c) in (i) shows that $Y_a = Y_\gamma$ and $\alpha_a = \gamma$. We conclude that $Y_s = Y_\beta$ and $\alpha_s = \beta$. \square

For the sake of brevity, when $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ has a decomposition $\varphi = \varphi_a + \varphi_s$ as in Proposition 1.12, we shall refer to it as its *Lebesgue decomposition*. When it exists, φ_a is the unique spatial extension of φ_F , as defined in Lemma 1.11, to A .

When $\varphi_a = j_{\alpha_a} : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is spatial, its restriction to $A(G)$ is $j_{\alpha_a} : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$, so α_a has been described in many instances [6, 17, 27]. Thus, when φ has a Lebesgue decomposition $\varphi = \varphi_a + \varphi_s$, we can study its spatial and singular parts separately and can often provide a detailed description of its spatial part φ_a (Corollary 1.16). As we shall see, we can sometimes provide a detailed description of its singular part φ_s as well. We do not know if φ always has a Lebesgue decomposition, but will provide an affirmative answer in several cases below.

The strict—or multiplier—topology on $B(G)$ is taken with respect to the ideal $A(G)$. In the following statement, G is amenable, $\psi = j_\beta : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$, where $\beta : Z \subseteq H \rightarrow G$, is a homomorphism and $\overline{\psi} : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is the unique extension of ψ to $B(G)$ that is strict-to-weak* continuous on bounded subsets of $B(G)$, (which exists by [19, Corollary 5.8]).

Proposition 1.13. *Let G be amenable, $\psi = j_\beta : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ as above, and let $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$, $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$, be a homomorphic extension of ψ to $B(G)$. Then $\varphi = \overline{\psi}$ if and only if $Y = \overline{Z}$ (and $\alpha|_Z = \beta$).*

Proof. We know that $Y \in \Omega(H)$ and by Lemma 1.11, $Z = \alpha^{-1}(G) \subseteq Y$ is open and $\beta = \alpha|_Z$. Therefore \overline{Z} is contained in Y .

Suppose that $\varphi = \overline{\psi}$ and assume towards a contradiction that there is an element y belonging to the open set $Y \setminus \overline{Z}$. Taking $u \in B(G)$ such that $1 = \langle \alpha(y), u \rangle = \overline{\psi}(u)(y)$, we can find a compact neighbourhood K of y such that K is contained in $Y \setminus \overline{Z}$ and $|\overline{\psi}(u)(x)| \geq 1/2$ on K . Define $f \in L^1(H) \subseteq C^*(H)$ by putting $f(x) = 1/\overline{\psi}(u)(x)$ on K , 0 otherwise. Letting (e_δ) be a bounded approximate identity for $A(G)$, $\langle \overline{\psi}(u), f \rangle = \lim_\delta \langle \psi(ue_\delta), f \rangle$ by [19, Theorem 5.6]. However,

$$\langle \overline{\psi}(u), f \rangle = \int_H \overline{\psi}(u)(x) f(x) dx = \int_K 1 dx > 0$$

since K is open and nonempty, and

$$\lim_\delta \langle \psi(ue_\delta), f \rangle = \lim_\delta \int_K \psi(ue_\delta)(x) f(x) dx = 0$$

since $\psi(ue_\delta) = j_\beta(ue_\delta)$ vanishes on the subset K of $H \setminus Z$. We conclude that $Y = \overline{Z}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\overline{Z} = Y$. From the above, we know that $\overline{\psi} = j_{\overline{\beta}}$ where $\overline{\beta} : \overline{Z} \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ is a continuous extension of β to \overline{Z} . But from the first paragraph of this proof, α is also a continuous extension of β to $Y = \overline{Z}$, so we must have $\alpha = \overline{\beta}$. Hence, $\varphi = j_\alpha = j_{\overline{\beta}} = \overline{\psi}$. \square

Corollary 1.14. *Let G be amenable.*

- (i) *Let $\psi = j_\beta : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$, $\beta : Z \rightarrow G$, be a homomorphism. Then Z is open, $Z \in \Omega(H_d)$, $\overline{Z} \in \Omega(H)$ and β extends to a continuous function $\overline{\beta} : \overline{Z} \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ such that $\overline{\beta}(\overline{Z} \setminus Z) \subseteq \Delta(B(G)) \setminus G$.*
- (ii) *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism. Then $Y_a = \alpha^{-1}(G)$ is closed if and only if $Y_a \in \Omega(H)$, (equivalently, φ has a Lebesgue decomposition).*

Proof. (i) By Proposition 1.13, $\beta : Z \rightarrow G$ has a continuous extension $\bar{\beta} : \bar{Z} \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ such that $\bar{\psi} = j_{\bar{\beta}} : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is the homomorphic extension of ψ that is strict-to-weak* continuous on bounded subsets of $B(G)$. By Proposition 1.8, $\bar{Z} \in \Omega(H)$. Since $\bar{\psi}_F = \psi = j_{\beta}$, $Z = \bar{\beta}^{-1}(G)$ by Lemma 1.11, so Z is open and $\bar{\beta}$ maps $\bar{Z} \setminus Z$ into $\Delta(B(G)) \setminus G$. To see that $Z \in \Omega(H_d)$ —where H_d denotes the group H with the discrete topology—just observe that because $B(H) = CB(H) \cap B(H_d)$, $\psi = j_{\beta} : A(G) \rightarrow B(H_d)$ is also a homomorphism. Hence, $Z = \bar{Z}^{H_d} \in \Omega(H_d)$.

(ii) By Lemma 1.11, $\varphi_F = j_{\alpha_a}$ where $\varphi_F = \varphi|_{A(G)}$ and $\alpha_a = \alpha|_{Y_a}$. By part (i), \bar{Y}_a is in $\Omega(H)$, so Y_a is in $\Omega(H)$ when it is closed. The converse is trivial. \square

Unfortunately, we do not know if the set Z from Corollary 1.14(i) must always belong to $\Omega(H)$ (equivalently, whether Z is closed) or not. Observe that if $H = \mathbb{R}$, $Z = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is open, $Z \in \Omega(H_d)$, $\bar{Z} = H \in \Omega(H)$, but $Z \notin \Omega(H)$ since it is not closed. So, the conclusions of Corollary 1.14(i) do not alone imply that Z is closed.

Corollary 1.15. *Let G be amenable, $\varphi = j_{\alpha} : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ a homomorphism. If φ is spatial, then it is strict-to-weak* continuous on bounded subsets of $B(G)$; the converse holds when φ has a Lebesgue decomposition.*

Proof. Suppose that φ is spatial. By Proposition 1.8, $Y \in \Omega(H)$ and, letting $\varphi_F = \varphi|_{A(G)}$, $\varphi_F = j_{\alpha}$ by Lemma 1.11. Since Y is closed, $\bar{\varphi}_F = \varphi$ by Proposition 1.13. Conversely, if φ is strict-to-weak* continuous and has Lebesgue decomposition $\varphi = \varphi_a + \varphi_s$, then by Lemma 1.10(ii), both φ and φ_a are extensions of $\varphi|_{A(G)}$ that are strict-to-weak* continuous on bounded subsets $B(G)$. The uniqueness of this extension yields $\varphi = \varphi_a$, so φ is spatial. \square

Corollary 1.16. *Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism. In the following situations, φ has a Lebesgue decomposition $\varphi = \varphi_a + \varphi_s$, written as $\varphi_a = j_{\alpha_a}$, $\varphi_s = j_{\alpha_s}$:*

(i) *G is amenable and φ is completely bounded. In this case, $\alpha_a : Y_a \rightarrow G$ is a continuous piecewise affine map on $Y_a \in \Omega(H)$.*

(ii) *φ is positive (contractive). In this case, $\alpha_a : Y_a \rightarrow G$ is a continuous homomorphic or anti-homomorphic (affine or anti-affine) map—a homomorphism (affine map) if φ is completely positive (completely contractive)—on Y_a , an open subgroup (coset) of H .*

(iii) *G is amenable and H is discrete.*

When $A = B(G)$ and G is amenable, in each case φ is spatial—equivalently $\varphi = \varphi_a$ —if and only if φ is strict-to-weak continuous on bounded subsets of $B(G)$.*

Proof. Let $Y_a = \alpha^{-1}(G)$, $\alpha_a = \alpha|_{Y_a} : Y_a \rightarrow G$. Then $\varphi_F = j_{\alpha_a}$ where φ_F is the restriction of φ to $A(G)$. By Proposition 1.12, it suffices to show that $Y_a \in \Omega(H)$ in each case: (i) By [17, Theorem 3.7], $Y_a \in \Omega(H)$, so φ has Lebesgue decomposition with $\varphi_a = j_{\alpha_a}$. That α_a is piecewise affine also follows from [17, Theorem 3.7]. (ii) If φ is positive (contractive), Y_a is an open—and therefore closed—subgroup (coset) of H by [27, Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 5.1], and α_a is a homomorphism or anti-homomorphism by [27, Theorems 4.3 and 5.1]. When φ is completely positive/completely contractive, this follows from either Proposition 1.2 and Remark 1.3.2 or [27, Proposition 5.8]. (iii) In this case, Y_a is trivially closed and therefore $Y_a \in \Omega(H)$ by Corollary 1.14. The last statement follows from Corollary 1.15. \square

Thus, when $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is spatial, φ is completely described in cases (i) and (ii) above. On the other hand, very little is known about non-spatial homomorphisms. It may be natural to wonder if φ_a and φ_s can both be nontrivial in the Lebesgue decomposition of a homomorphism φ , but examples of such homomorphisms are given by the proofs of [28, Theorem 4.6.1(b)] and [19, Proposition 5.1(ii)]. In the next section, we will show how to build a wide class of such examples. (For now, just observe that if $\varphi_a, \varphi_s : A \rightarrow B(H)$ are nontrivial disjoint homomorphisms that are, respectively, spatial and singular, then $\varphi = \varphi_a + \varphi_s$ is a homomorphism by Lemma 1.9.) We will show that even a completely positive homomorphism can have nontrivial spatial and singular parts in Section 4.

Remark 1.17. Let G be amenable, $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ any homomorphism. Then φ always has a unique decomposition

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \tag{1}$$

where φ_1 is a homomorphism that is strict-to-weak* continuous on bounded subsets of $B(G)$ and φ_2 is a singular homomorphism that is disjoint from φ_1 . Moreover, if $Y_a = \alpha^{-1}(G)$ and $\alpha_a = \alpha|_{Y_a}$, $\varphi_1 = \overline{\varphi_F} = j_{\overline{\alpha_a}}$ where $\overline{\alpha_a} : \overline{Y_a} \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ is a continuous extension of α_a to $\overline{Y_a} \in \Omega(H)$. (This follows by replacing $\varphi_F = j_{\alpha_a}$ and Y_a in the proof of (b) implies (a) of Proposition 1.12 with $\overline{\varphi_F} = j_{\overline{\alpha_a}}$ and $\overline{Y_a}$, which is possible by Proposition 1.13.) Observe that the Lebesgue decomposition of φ agrees with (1) when it exists.

2 Construction of Fourier–Stieltjes algebra homomorphisms

We begin with the case of completely positive homomorphisms.

Let $p \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a non-zero projection,

$$\mathbb{B}_p^\sharp = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) : Tp = T \text{ and } T^\sharp p = T^\sharp, TT^\sharp = T^\sharp T = p \text{ for some } T^\sharp \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{B}_p = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) : TT^* = T^*T = p\}.$$

One can readily show that \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp is a group with identity p and for $T \in \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp$, its unique inverse in \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp is T^\sharp .

Let $\mathcal{K} = p\mathcal{H}$, $p_\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} : \xi \mapsto p\xi$, $E_\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ the embedding map. Then $T \mapsto p_\mathcal{K}TE_\mathcal{K}$ ($= E_\mathcal{K}^*TE_\mathcal{K} = p(T|_\mathcal{K})$) defines a normal unit-preserving completely positive mapping of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ onto $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ whose restriction to the von Neumann subalgebra $p\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})p$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\Phi : p\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})p \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}) : T \mapsto p_\mathcal{K}TE_\mathcal{K},$$

is a (completely isometric, normal) *-isomorphism onto $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ with inverse

$$\Phi^{-1} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow p\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})p : S \mapsto E_\mathcal{K}Sp_\mathcal{K}.$$

We use $GL(\mathcal{K})$ to denote the semitopological group of invertible operators on \mathcal{K} with the relative $\sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}), \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})_*)$ -topology, and $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ to denote the topological group of unitary operators on \mathcal{K} with the SOT-topology. Recall that the σ -, WOT- and SOT-topologies all agree on $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$.

Lemma 2.1. *The set \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp with the relative σ -topology from $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a semitopological group such that*

$$\Phi : \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{K}) : T \mapsto p_{\mathcal{K}} T E_{\mathcal{K}}$$

is a norm-preserving topological isomorphism of \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp onto $GL(\mathcal{K})$. Also, \mathbb{B}_p is a topological subgroup of \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp that is topologically isomorphic with $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ via the isomorphism Φ .

Proof. Since multiplication in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is separately σ -continuous, \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp is a semitopological group and, since \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp is contained in $p\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})p$, Φ is multiplicative and norm preserving on \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp by the remarks preceding the lemma. Moreover, for $T \in \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp$, $\Phi(T)\Phi(T^\sharp) = \Phi(TT^\sharp) = \Phi(p) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\Phi(T^\sharp)\Phi(T) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$, so Φ is a continuous homomorphism of \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp into $GL(\mathcal{K})$. Since $\Phi^{-1}(S) = E_{\mathcal{K}} S p_{\mathcal{K}}$ clearly maps $GL(\mathcal{K})$ continuously into \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp , we obtain the first statement. Suppose now that T is in \mathbb{B}_p . Then T has polar decomposition $T = Up$, so $Tp = T$; therefore $pT = TT^*T = Tp = T$, whence $T^*p = (pT)^* = T^*$. Hence, $T \in \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp$ (and $T^\sharp = T^*$). For $T \in \mathbb{B}_p$ and $S \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$,

$$\Phi(T)^* = \Phi(T^*) = \Phi(T^\sharp) = \Phi(T)^{-1}, \quad \Phi^{-1}(S)^* = \Phi^{-1}(S^*) = \Phi^{-1}(S^{-1}) = \Phi^{-1}(S)^\sharp,$$

so Φ maps \mathbb{B}_p into $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ and Φ^{-1} maps $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ into \mathbb{B}_p . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. If we represent operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{K}^\perp)$ as 2×2 matrices $T = [T_{ij}]$, Lemma 2.1 says that

$$\mathbb{B}_p^\sharp = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : S \in GL(\mathcal{K}) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{B}_p = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K}) \right\}.$$

Throughout the remainder of this section, $A = A_\pi$ is a closed translation-invariant subalgebra of $B(G)$ for which $\Delta(A)$ is a $$ -semigroup comprised of norm-one elements (e.g., when A is unital or $A = A(G)$).*

Let $p \in \Delta(A) \subseteq VN_\pi \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ be a projection,

$$\mathbb{\Delta}_p = \{x \in \Delta(A) : x^*x = xx^* = p\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{\Delta}_p = \mathbb{B}_p \cap \Delta(A)$, so $\mathbb{\Delta}_p$ is a topological group by Lemma 2.1 since $\Delta(A)$ is a $*$ -semigroup.

Remark 2.3. When $A = B(G)$, this is found in Walter's paper [39] where the notation G_p is employed. Walter, *ibid.*, showed $\Delta(B(G))$ always contains a central idempotent z_F such that $z_F = \min\{s \in \Delta(B(G)) : s \geq 0\}$ and $z_F \neq e_G$ when G is noncompact. (Corollary 3.2, below, provides simple new proofs of these and other results about z_F from [39].)

Observe that by Corollary 1.7, if A contains $A(G)$, then $\mathbb{\Delta}_p \subseteq \Delta(A) \setminus G$ when $p \neq e_G$; otherwise $\mathbb{\Delta}_p = G$. A main point of the following proposition is that inversion in any subgroup of $\Delta(A)$ is given by involution.

Proposition 2.4. *Let D be a subgroup of $\Delta(A)$ with identity p . Then p is a projection and D is a subgroup of $\mathbb{\Delta}_p$. Thus, for each $x \in D$, $x^*x = xx^* = p$, $xp = px = x$, and D is a topological group that is topologically isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ where $\mathcal{K} = p\mathcal{H}_\pi$.*

Proof. As a norm-one idempotent, p is a projection in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ and it is clear that D is a subgroup of \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp . Let $x \in D$. Since $x, x^\sharp \in \Delta(A)$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{K})$ is norm-preserving, $\Phi(x), \Phi(x^\sharp) = \Phi(x)^{-1} \in GL(\mathcal{K})_{\|\cdot\|=1}$, whence $\Phi(x) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$. Therefore $x = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(x)) \in \mathbb{B}_p \cap \Delta(A) = \mathbb{A}_p$ by Lemma 2.1. Uniqueness of the inverse x^\sharp in \mathbb{B}_p^\sharp gives $x^\sharp = x^*$, (i.e., the inverse of x in D is x^*). \square

Let $\alpha : H \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ be a continuous homomorphism, ($\alpha(st) = \alpha(s)\alpha(t)$, $s, t \in H$). Then $\alpha(H)$ is a subgroup of $\Delta(A)$ with identity $p = \alpha(e_H)$ so—by Proposition 2.4— $\alpha(H)$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{A}_p . Letting $\mathcal{K} = p\mathcal{H}_\pi$, by Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.4,

$$\Phi : \mathbb{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K}) : x \mapsto p_{\mathcal{K}}x E_{\mathcal{K}}$$

is a continuous $*$ -monomorphism, so

$$\pi_\alpha := \Phi \circ \alpha : H \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$$

is a continuous unitary representation of H on \mathcal{K} . When $A = B(G) = A_{\omega_G}$, we write ω_α in place of $(\omega_G)_\alpha$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\alpha : H \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ be a continuous homomorphism with $\alpha(e_H) = p$. Then the map $j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ given by $j_\alpha u(h) = \langle \alpha(h), u \rangle_{VN_\pi - A_\pi}$ is a well-defined completely positive homomorphism such that for each $u = \xi *_\pi \eta$, $j_\alpha u = p_{\mathcal{K}} \xi *_{\pi_\alpha} p_{\mathcal{K}} \eta$ and $j_\alpha^* : W^*(H) \rightarrow VN_\pi$ is a normal $*$ -homomorphism extending α . Moreover:*

- (i) j_α is a complete quotient mapping of A onto the (completely contractive) Banach subalgebra A_{π_α} of $B(H)$ such that for each $v \in A_{\pi_\alpha}$ there is a $u \in A$ such that $j_\alpha(u) = v$ and $\|u\| = \|v\|$;
- (ii) A_{π_α} separates points of H when α is one-to-one; and
- (iii) when $A = B(G)$, for each $v \in A_{\omega_\alpha}$ there exist $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $v = \xi *_{\omega_\alpha} \eta$ and $\|v\| = \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$.

Proof. Let $u = \sum \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n \in A$ with $\|u\| = \sum \|\xi_n\| \|\eta_n\|$ [1, Theorem 2.2]. Then $\sum \|p_{\mathcal{K}} \xi_n\| \|p_{\mathcal{K}} \eta_n\| \leq \|u\|$, so $v = \sum p_{\mathcal{K}} \xi_n *_{\pi_\alpha} p_{\mathcal{K}} \eta_n \in A_{\pi_\alpha} \subseteq B(H)$ with $\|v\| \leq \|u\|$ [1, Theorem 2.2], and for $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} j_\alpha u(h) &= \langle \alpha(h), \sum \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n \rangle = \sum \langle \alpha(h) \xi_n | \eta_n \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle p \alpha(h) p \xi_n | \eta_n \rangle = \sum \langle E_{\mathcal{K}} p_{\mathcal{K}} \alpha(h) E_{\mathcal{K}} p_{\mathcal{K}} \xi_n | \eta_n \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle \Phi(\alpha(h)) p_{\mathcal{K}} \xi_n | E_{\mathcal{K}}^* \eta_n \rangle = \sum p_{\mathcal{K}} \xi_n *_{\pi_\alpha} p_{\mathcal{K}} \eta_n(h) = v(h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is a contraction mapping $A = A_\pi$ into A_{π_α} and, since $\alpha(H)$ is contained in $\Delta(A)$, φ is a homomorphism of A into $B(H)$. Observe that $\varphi^* : W^*(H) \rightarrow VN_\pi$ satisfies $\varphi^*(\omega_H(h)) = \alpha(h)$, so φ^* is a $*$ -homomorphism on $\langle \omega_H(H) \rangle$, which is σ -dense in $W^*(H)$. By separate σ -continuity of multiplication in $W^*(H)$ and σ -continuity of φ^* and the involution, φ^* is a $*$ -homomorphism. Hence, φ is completely positive.

Supposing now that $v = \sum \xi_n *_{\pi_\alpha} \eta_n \in A_{\pi_\alpha}$ with $\|v\| = \sum \|\xi_n\| \|\eta_n\|$, $u = \sum E_{\mathcal{K}} \xi_n *_\pi E_{\mathcal{K}} \eta_n \in A$ with $\|u\| \leq \sum \|E_{\mathcal{K}} \xi_n\| \|E_{\mathcal{K}} \eta_n\| = \|v\|$ and $j_\alpha u = v$; since j_α is contractive $\|v\| = \|j_\alpha u\| = \|u\|$. Hence, $\varphi_0 : A \rightarrow A_{\pi_\alpha} : u \rightarrow j_\alpha(u)$ is a quotient mapping and,

since $\varphi_0^* : VN_{\pi_\alpha} \rightarrow VN_\pi$ maps $\pi_\alpha(h)$ to $\alpha(h)$, it, like $\varphi^* : W^*(H) \rightarrow VN_\pi$ above, is a $*$ -homomorphism on VN_{π_α} ; hence φ_0^* is, in fact, a (completely isometric) $*$ -isomorphism and therefore φ_0 is a complete quotient mapping. This proves (i).

When $A = B(G)$, we can take $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega_G}$ such that $u = \xi *_{\omega_G} \eta$ and $\|u\| = \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$ (this follows, e.g., from [10, (2.14)]). Then $v = j_\alpha u = p_{\mathcal{K}} \xi *_{\omega_\alpha} p_{\mathcal{K}} \eta$ and $\|v\| \leq \|p_{\mathcal{K}} \xi\| \|p_{\mathcal{K}} \eta\| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\| = \|u\| = \|v\|$, so $\|v\| = \|p_{\mathcal{K}} \xi\| \|p_{\mathcal{K}} \eta\|$. This is (iii).

Finally, since π_α is faithful when α is one-to-one, statement (ii) is obvious. \square

Corollary 2.6. *If H_0 is an open subgroup of H and $\alpha : H_0 \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is a continuous homomorphism, then $j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive homomorphism.*

Proof. In the second paragraph of the proof of [17, Proposition 3.1], the authors showed that the map $u \mapsto u^\circ$ is a completely positive homomorphism of $B(H_0)$ into $B(H)$, where $u^\circ(h) = u(h)$ for $h \in H_0$ and $u^\circ(h) = 0$ for $h \in H \setminus H_0$. By Proposition 2.5, j_α is hence a composition of completely positive homomorphisms and is therefore, itself, completely positive. \square

Remark 2.7. 1. If $\alpha : H \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is an anti-homomorphism, then, using the fact that involution is a positive operator, one can use Corollary 2.6 to show that $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is a positive (though not necessarily completely positive) homomorphism.

2. If $p = e_G$, the continuous homomorphism α maps H into G , and it was already known in this case that j_α is a completely positive homomorphism of $B(G)$ into $B(H)$ [17, Corollary 3.2].

3. When $A = A(G)$, the converse of Corollary 2.6 holds. In Section 4, we will exhibit a large collection of completely positive homomorphisms that do not take this form.

When $\alpha : H \rightarrow G$ is a continuous homomorphism and A_τ is a closed translation-invariant subspace of $B(G)$, [1, Proposition 2.10] describes the image of A_τ under j_α (also see Sections 2.2 and 2.8 of [21]). We now extend [1, Proposition 2.10] (and refine Proposition 2.5 when $A = B(G)$) by describing the image of A_τ under j_α when $\alpha : H \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ is any homomorphism. Part (iii) of Proposition 2.8 will be used in Section 5.

Let $\{\tau, \mathcal{H}_\tau\}$ be a continuous unitary representation of G . Letting $\iota : A_\tau \hookrightarrow B(G)$, $\tau_\omega = \iota^* : W^*(G) \rightarrow VN_\tau$ is the normal $*$ -representation of $W^*(G)$ onto VN_τ that extends τ on G . We write $\dot{\xi}$ when viewing an element ξ in \mathcal{H}_τ as an element of $\overline{\mathcal{H}_\tau}$, the conjugate Hilbert space of \mathcal{H}_τ , and for $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ we define $\dot{B} \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}_\tau})$ by $\dot{B}(\dot{\xi}) = (B\xi)$. The conjugate representation $\{\overline{\tau}, \overline{\mathcal{H}_\tau}\}$ of $\{\tau, \mathcal{H}_\tau\}$ can then be defined as $\overline{\tau}(s) = (\tau(s))$ ($s \in G$). Letting $G^\mathcal{E}$ denote the closure of G in $\Delta(B(G))$ —the Eberlein compactification of G , e.g., see [34]—we observe that

$$(\overline{\tau})_\omega(x) = (\tau_\omega(x)) \quad \text{whenever } x \in G^\mathcal{E}. \quad (2)$$

This follows because $B \mapsto \dot{B}$ is σ -continuous and the maps τ_ω and $(\overline{\tau})_\omega$ are normal mappings of $W^*(G)$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{H}_\tau})$, respectively.

Let $\alpha : H \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ be a continuous homomorphism with $p = \alpha(e_H)$. By Proposition 2.5, $j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive homomorphism. Let $q = \tau_\omega(p)$ and assume that q is nonzero. Then $\tau_\omega \circ \alpha(H) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_q \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$, so

$$\tau_\alpha := \Phi_q \circ \tau_\omega \circ \alpha : H \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$$

is a continuous unitary representation of H , where we are now taking $\mathcal{K} = q\mathcal{H}_\tau$ and $\Phi_q : \mathbb{B}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K}) : x \rightarrow p\mathcal{K}xE_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\alpha : H \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ be a continuous homomorphism with $p = \alpha(e_H)$, $j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ the associated homomorphism of Fourier-Stieltjes algebras.*

- (i) *If $q = \tau_\omega(p) \neq 0$, then j_α maps A_τ as a completely positive complete quotient mapping onto A_{τ_α} , and for each v in A_{τ_α} there is a u in A_τ such that $j_\alpha u = v$ and $\|u\| = \|v\|$; if $\tau_\omega(p) = 0$, then j_α maps A_τ to $\{0\}$.*
- (ii) *If j_α is weak*-continuous, then j_α maps B_τ , the weak*-closure of A_τ in $B(G)$, onto B_{τ_α} .*
- (iii) *If $\alpha(H)$ is contained in $G^\mathcal{E}$, then $j_\alpha(\bar{u}) = \overline{j_\alpha(u)}$ for every $u \in B(G)$.*

Proof. Observe that for $u = \xi *_\tau \eta$ in A_τ and $h \in H$,

$$j_\alpha u(h) = \langle \alpha(h), \xi *_\tau \eta \rangle_{W^*-B(G)} = \langle \tau_\omega(\alpha(h)), \xi *_\tau \eta \rangle_{VN_\tau - A_\tau} = \langle \tau_\omega(\alpha(h))\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}. \quad (3)$$

By modifying the calculations found in the proof of Proposition 2.5, it follows that $j_\alpha(u) = p\mathcal{K}\xi *_{\tau_\alpha} p\mathcal{K}\eta$ when $\tau_\omega(p) \neq 0$. The remainder of the proof of (i) is essentially the same as that of Proposition 2.5, and the proof of [19, Lemma 4.1] now yields (ii). To establish (iii), let $u \in B(G)$, say $u = \xi *_\tau \eta$ for a continuous unitary representation $\{\tau, \mathcal{H}_\tau\}$ of G , and let $h \in H$. Observe that $\bar{u} = \dot{\xi} *_{\dot{\tau}} \dot{\eta}$ and we are assuming that $\alpha(h) \in G^\mathcal{E}$, so (2) and (3) give

$$\begin{aligned} j_\alpha(\bar{u})(h) &= j_\alpha(\dot{\xi} *_{\dot{\tau}} \dot{\eta})(h) = \langle (\dot{\tau})_\omega(\alpha(h))\dot{\xi} | \dot{\eta} \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau_\omega(\alpha(h))(\dot{\xi}) | \dot{\eta} \rangle = \overline{\langle \tau_\omega(\alpha(h))\xi | \eta \rangle} \\ &= \overline{j_\alpha u(h)} \end{aligned}$$

as needed. □

Remark 2.9. 1. If $p = \alpha(e_H) = e_G$, then $\alpha(H) \subseteq G$ and $q = \tau_\omega(e_G) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_\tau}$, so $\mathbb{B}_q = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\tau) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\tau_\alpha(h) = \Phi_q \circ \tau_\omega \circ \alpha(h) = \tau \circ \alpha(h)$. Hence, [1, Proposition 2.10] is a special case of Proposition 2.8.

2. When $\tau = \omega_G$, $\tau_\alpha = \omega_\alpha$ (with no ambiguity in the notation), so in the case that $A = B(G)$, parts of Proposition 2.5 are also contained in part (i) of Proposition 2.8.

3. Replacing $A = B(G)$ in Proposition 2.8 with any algebra $A = A_\pi$ satisfying the general assumptions of this section, and taking $A_\tau \subseteq A_\pi$, one can also prove a more general version of Proposition 2.5(i). However, this is not needed in the sequel.

4. In the special case that the homomorphism α maps H into G , it was shown in [19] that j_α is weak*-continuous exactly when α is an open map. In general, we do not yet know when j_α is weak*-continuous.

We now turn our attention to the construction of completely contractive homomorphisms and, then, completely bounded homomorphisms.

As a von Neumann algebra, VN_π is a completely contractive dual Banach algebra, and therefore $A = A_\pi$ is a completely contractive VN_π -submodule of VN_π^* via

$$\langle y, u \cdot x \rangle = \langle xy, u \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle y, x \cdot u \rangle = \langle yx, u \rangle \quad \text{for } x, y \in VN_\pi, u \in A.$$

For $x \in VN_\pi$, let

$$\ell_x, r_x : A \rightarrow A : u \mapsto \ell_x u = u \cdot x, r_x u = x \cdot u.$$

Then ℓ_x, r_x are completely bounded with $\|\ell_x\|_{cb} = \|r_x\|_{cb} = \|x\|$ and for any x, y , $\ell_x \circ r_y = r_y \circ \ell_x$. We will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.10. *For $x \in \Delta(A)$, $\ell_x, r_x : A \rightarrow A$ are completely contractive homomorphisms. If we view ℓ_x, r_x as homomorphisms of A into $B(G)$, $\ell_x = j_{\lambda_x}$, $r_x = j_{\rho_x}$ where $\lambda_x, \rho_x : G \rightarrow \Delta(A) : g \mapsto x\pi(g), \pi(g)x$.*

Proof. Since $\|x\| = 1$, ℓ_x is a complete contraction. As $\ell_x u(g) = u \cdot x(g) = \langle x\pi(g), u \rangle$ and $x\pi(g) \in \Delta(A)$, ℓ_x is a homomorphism. If we view ℓ_x as a homomorphism into $B(G)$, $\ell_x^*(g) = x\pi(g) \neq 0$, so by Proposition 1.8, $\ell_x = j_{\lambda_x}$. The same argument gives the statement for r_x . \square

Observe that $\ell_{\pi(x)} u(g) = u \cdot x(g) = u(xg)$ when $x, g \in G$, which is consistent with the usual notation. We remark that although we have not found it helpful to use the Gelfand transform to identify $B(G)$ with its algebraic copy $\{\widehat{u} : u \in B(G)\}$ in $CB(\Delta(B(G)))$, we could write $\ell_x u(y) = u \cdot x(y) = u(xy)$ for $u \in B(G)$ and $x, y \in \Delta(B(G))$ if we did make this identification.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a (completely contractive) homomorphism where $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$, and for $y_0, y_1 \in H$ and $x_0, x_1 \in \Delta(A)$, define $\beta : y_0^{-1}Yy_1^{-1} \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ by $\beta(h) = x_0\alpha(y_0hy_1)x_1$. Then $j_\beta : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a well-defined (completely contractive) homomorphism that factors as $j_\beta = r_{y_1} \circ \ell_{y_0} \circ j_\alpha \circ \ell_{x_0} \circ r_{x_1}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.10, $\psi = r_{y_1} \circ \ell_{y_0} \circ j_\alpha \circ \ell_{x_0} \circ r_{x_1} : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a (completely contractive) homomorphism. For $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^*(h) &= r_{x_1}^* \circ \ell_{x_0}^* \circ j_\alpha^* \circ \ell_{y_0}^* \circ r_{y_1}^*(h) = x_0 j_\alpha^*(y_0 h y_1) x_1 \\ &= \begin{cases} x_0 \alpha(y_0 h y_1) x_1 & \text{if } y_0 h y_1 \in Y \\ 0 & \text{if } y_0 h y_1 \in H \setminus Y \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \beta(h) & \text{if } h \in y_0^{-1} Y y_1^{-1} \\ 0 & \text{if } h \in H \setminus y_0^{-1} Y y_1^{-1} \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\{h \in H : \psi^*(h) \neq 0\} = y_0^{-1} Y y_1^{-1}$ and $\psi^*|_{y_0^{-1} Y y_1^{-1}} = \beta$. Hence, $\psi = j_\beta$ by Proposition 1.8. \square

If α maps a coset $Y \subseteq H$ into a subgroup F of a semigroup S , we will say that α is *affine* if it is affine when viewed as a mapping of Y into F .

Proposition 2.12. *Let $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ be a continuous affine map defined on an open coset Y of H . Then $j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely contractive homomorphism.*

Proof. Writing Y as $Y = y_0 H_0$ for some open subgroup H_0 of H , there is a continuous homomorphism $\beta : H_0 \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ such that $\alpha(y) = \alpha(y_0)\beta(y_0^{-1}y)$. By Corollary 2.6, j_β is a complete contraction so, by Lemma 2.11, $j_\alpha = \ell_{y_0^{-1}} \circ j_\beta \circ \ell_{\alpha(y_0)}$ is a completely contractive homomorphism. \square

Let p be a projection in $\Delta(A)$, $Y \in \Omega(H)$, and let $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_p$ be a continuous piecewise-affine map. By [16, Proposition 4.4], there are pairwise disjoint sets $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \in \Omega_0(H)$ such that $Y = \bigcup_1^n Y_i$ and for each i , $\alpha_i := \alpha|_{Y_i}$ has a continuous affine extension γ_i mapping

$E_i = \text{Aff}(Y_i)$ —the smallest (open) coset in H containing Y_i —into \mathbb{A}_p . (We note that local compactness of \mathbb{A}_p is not required for this description of a piecewise affine map.) By Proposition 2.12, each $j_{\gamma_i} : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely contractive homomorphism and, since 1_{Y_i} is an idempotent in $B(H)$, $\mathbf{1}_i(u) = u1_{Y_i}$ defines a completely bounded automorphism of $B(H)$. Hence, each $j_{\alpha_i} = \mathbf{1}_i \circ j_{\gamma_i} : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely bounded homomorphism and therefore, by Lemma 1.9, $j_\alpha = j_{\cup \alpha_i} = \sum_1^n j_{\alpha_i} : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely bounded homomorphism.

We shall say that a map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is *pw²-affine* (for piecewise-piecewise-affine) if there are projections p_1, \dots, p_n in $\Delta(A)$ and piecewise-affine maps $\alpha_i : Y_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{p_i}$ such that $Y = \bigcup_1^n Y_i$ and $\alpha = \cup \alpha_i$. Assuming further that α is continuous, we know from the above discussion and Lemma 1.9 that $j_\alpha = j_{\cup \alpha_i} : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely bounded homomorphism. We have established:

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ be a pw²-affine map. Then $j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely bounded homomorphism.*

Example 2.14. Suppose that G is non-compact. It should be clear that Proposition 2.13 makes it easy to construct a large collection of (non-spatial) completely bounded homomorphisms from $B(G)$ into $B(H)$. It seems that the only previously known examples of non-spatial homomorphisms were provided by the construction found in the proof of [19, Proposition 5.1(ii)] and, in the abelian case, Rudin’s construction from the proof of [28, Theorem 4.6.1(b)]. Let us observe that the constructions from [19] and [28] can be expressed in terms of pw²-affine maps:

Suppose that G is non-compact and $\alpha_a : Y_a \rightarrow G$ is any continuous piecewise-affine map where $Y_a \in \Omega(H)$ is a proper subset of H . Pick any element x_s in \mathbb{A}_{z_F} (or in any other subgroup \mathbb{A}_p of $\Delta(A)$ with $p \neq e_G$) and consider the map $\alpha_s : H \setminus Y_a \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{z_F} : y \mapsto x_s$. Since we can express $Y_s = H \setminus Y_a$ as $Y_s = Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_n$ with each $Y_i \in \Omega_0(H)$, it is clear that α_s is piecewise affine. Hence, $\alpha := \alpha_a \cup \alpha_s : H \rightarrow G \cup \mathbb{A}_{z_F} \subseteq \Delta(B(G))$ is a continuous pw²-affine map and therefore, by Proposition 2.13, $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is a non-spatial completely bounded homomorphism. (Observe that since $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is a non-spatial extension of $\varphi|_{A(G)} = j_{\alpha_a} : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$, this also provides a new proof of [19, Proposition 5.1(ii)] and [28, Theorem 4.6.1(b)].)

Remark 2.15. 1. Let F be a group. In [33], N. Spronk introduced the notion of a *mixed* piecewise-affine map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow F$; the definition is the same as that of a piecewise-affine map provided above, except that the maps γ_i can either be affine or anti-affine. (Anti-affine maps share the same relationship with anti-homomorphisms that affine maps share with homomorphisms.) Defining mixed pw²-affine maps $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ in the obvious way, it follows from Remark 2.7.1 that any such map determines a bounded (but not necessarily completely bounded) homomorphism $j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$. (Spronk conjectured [33, Conjecture 4.8] that the mixed piecewise-affine maps $\alpha : Y \rightarrow G$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the collection of all homomorphisms $\varphi = j_\alpha : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$.)

2. It is natural to ask if the converse to Proposition 2.13 holds when G is amenable and $\Delta(A)$ is a Clifford semigroup, (meaning, by Proposition 2.4, that each element in $\Delta(A)$ belongs to some \mathbb{A}_p). On the other hand, if there exists an element $x_0 \in \Delta(A)$ that does not belong to a subsemigroup of $\Delta(A)$, then the constant map $\beta : H \rightarrow \Delta(A) : h \mapsto x_0$ is not, according to our definition, pw²-affine. However, $j_\beta : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is nonetheless a completely contractive homomorphism, since $\beta(h) = x_0 \alpha(h)$ where $\alpha : H \rightarrow \pi(G) \subset \Delta(A)$ is the trivial

homomorphism (see Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.11). Unfortunately, we are not aware of a known example of A for which $\Delta(A)$ is not a Clifford semigroup.

3 More on the structure of $\Delta(A)$ and applications

Let $AP(G)$ denote the algebra of continuous almost periodic functions on G , (G^{ap}, δ^{ap}) the almost periodic compactification of G —also known as the Bohr compactification of G —and let $A_{\mathcal{F}} = A_{\mathcal{F}}(G) = B(G) \cap AP(G)$.

Throughout this section, we assume $A = A_{\pi}$ is a closed translation-invariant (necessarily unital) subalgebra of $B(G)$ that contains $A_{\mathcal{F}}$.

We shall begin with an examination of the structure of $\Delta(A)$, a compact semitopological $*$ -semigroup by Corollary 1.6, and will then employ our analysis in a proof of the converse to Proposition 2.13 in the case that $\Delta(A) = G \cup G^{ap}$. For example, we characterize all completely bounded homomorphisms $\varphi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ when G is a Euclidean- or p -adic-motion group. In the process, we aim to illustrate some of the results from the previous sections prior to turning our focus to the study of completely positive/completely contractive homomorphisms in Section 4.

As shown by Runde and Spronk [31, Proposition 2.1], the algebra $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the closed linear span in $B(G)$ of the coefficient functions of the family \mathcal{F} of finite-dimensional unitary representations of G , so $A_{\mathcal{F}} = A_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}}}$ for a representation $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ of G (specifically, $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the direct sum of all finite dimensional irreducible representations of G) and $j_{\delta^{ap}} : v \mapsto v \circ \delta^{ap}$ is a completely isometric algebra isomorphism of $A(G^{ap})$ onto $A_{\mathcal{F}} = A(G^{ap}) \circ \delta^{ap}$; also see [10, (2.27)] and [21, Corollary 2.2.5]. Letting $A_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}}$ denote the unique vector space complement of $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $B(G)$ —see [1, Theorem 3.18] and [32, Remark 2.5]— $A_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}}$ is a closed translation-invariant ideal in $B(G)$ by [31, Theorem 2.3]. Since we are assuming that $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ is contained in A , it follows that $A_{\mathcal{F}\perp} := A_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}} \cap A$ is a closed translation-invariant ideal in A and we have the ℓ^1 -direct sum decomposition of A , $A = A_{\mathcal{F}\perp} \oplus_1 A_{\mathcal{F}}$ [1, Theorem 3.18 and Corollary 3.13]. Hence, each w in A takes the form $w = u + v \circ \delta^{ap}$ for some unique $u \in A_{\mathcal{F}\perp}$ and $v \in A(G^{ap})$. If $A = A_{\mathcal{F}}$, the results below are trivial, so *in this section we will always assume that G is non-compact and $A_{\mathcal{F}\perp}$ is nontrivial.*

Let e_{ap} denote the identity in G^{ap} and let $\Delta(A)_+ = \{s \in \Delta(A) : s \geq 0\}$, viewing $\Delta(A)$ as a subset of VN_{π} . Define

$$\psi : G^{ap} \rightarrow \Delta(A) \setminus \pi(G) : a \mapsto \psi_a \text{ by putting } \psi_a(u + v \circ \delta^{ap}) = v(a).$$

(Observe that ψ maps into $\Delta(A)$ since $A_{\mathcal{F}\perp}$ is an ideal in A ; $A_{\mathcal{F}\perp}$ is nontrivial and translation-invariant so it vanishes at no point of G , from which it follows that ψ maps into $\Delta(A) \setminus \pi(G)$.)

Theorem 3.1. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *The compactification homomorphism $\delta^{ap} : G \rightarrow G^{ap}$ determines a continuous $*$ -epimorphism*

$$\widetilde{\delta}^{ap} : \Delta(A) \rightarrow G^{ap} \text{ such that } \widetilde{\delta}^{ap}(\pi(g)) = \delta^{ap}(g) \text{ and } \widetilde{\delta}^{ap}(s) = e_{ap}$$

for any $g \in G$ and $s \in \Delta(A)_+$.

(ii) The map $\psi : G^{ap} \rightarrow \Delta(A) \setminus \pi(G)$ is a topological isomorphism of G^{ap} onto its image $\psi(G^{ap})$, which is a compact ideal in $\Delta(A)$. Moreover, for any $a \in G^{ap}$ and $x \in \Delta(A)$,

$$\psi_a x = \psi_{a\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad x\psi_a = \psi_{\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)a}; \quad (4)$$

more concisely, identifying G^{ap} and $\psi(G^{ap})$ we have

$$ax = a\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad xa = \widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)a. \quad (5)$$

Proof. (i) By assumption A contains $A_{\mathcal{F}} = A(G^{ap}) \circ \delta^{ap}$, so $j_{\delta^{ap}}$ maps $A(G^{ap})$ into $A = A_{\pi}$ and has dual map $\gamma_{ap} := j_{\delta^{ap}}^* : VN_{\pi} \rightarrow VN(G^{ap})$ satisfying $\gamma_{ap}(\pi(g)) = \lambda_{G^{ap}}(\delta^{ap}(g)) = \delta^{ap}(g)$. As noted in the proof of Proposition 2.5, it follows that γ_{ap} is a continuous $*$ -homomorphism. Moreover, since $j_{\delta^{ap}} : A(G^{ap}) \rightarrow A$ is a homomorphism mapping $1_{G^{ap}}$ to 1_G , γ_{ap} maps $\Delta(A)$ into $\Delta(A(G^{ap})) = G^{ap}$. Hence,

$$\widetilde{\delta^{ap}} := \gamma_{ap}|_{\Delta(A)} : \Delta(A) \rightarrow G^{ap}$$

is a continuous closed-range $*$ -homomorphism such that $\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(\pi(g)) = \delta^{ap}(g)$ ($g \in G$); hence, $\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}$ is surjective. For $x \in \Delta(A)$ and $v \in A(G^{ap})$, observe that

$$v(\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)) = \langle \widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x), v \rangle = \langle x, v \circ \delta^{ap} \rangle. \quad (6)$$

Let $s \in \Delta(A)_{\perp}$. Then, since γ_{ap} is a $*$ -homomorphism, $\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(s) \geq 0$ and $\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(s) = \widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(s^*) = \widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(s)^*$, i.e., $\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(s) = \widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(s)^{-1}$ in G^{ap} . Thus, $\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(s)^2 = e_{ap} = e_{ap}^2$, meaning that $\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(s) = e_{ap}$, the unique positive square root of e_{ap} in $VN(G^{ap})$.

(ii) The first part of the proof is based on the proof of [21, Prop. 2.9.2]: From the Gelfand theory, $Q^* : \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ Q$ is a homeomorphism of $\Delta(A(G^{ap}) \circ \delta^{ap}) = \Delta(A/A_{\mathcal{F}\perp})$ and $h(A_{\mathcal{F}\perp}) \subseteq \Delta(A)$, the hull of the ideal $A_{\mathcal{F}\perp}$, where $Q : A \rightarrow A(G^{ap}) \circ \delta^{ap}$ is the quotient map. Since $\kappa_{ap} = (j_{\delta^{ap}})^{-1} : A(G^{ap}) \circ \delta^{ap} \rightarrow A(G^{ap})$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism, κ_{ap}^* maps $G^{ap} = \Delta(A(G^{ap}))$ homeomorphically onto $\Delta(A(G^{ap}) \circ \delta^{ap})$. Hence, $Q^* \circ \kappa_{ap}^*$ is a homeomorphism of G^{ap} onto $h(A_{\mathcal{F}\perp}) \subseteq \Delta(A)$, and it is easy to see that $Q^* \circ \kappa_{ap}^* = \psi$.

To see that ψ is a homomorphism and (4) holds, we employ the Arens product formulation of multiplication in $\Delta(A)$ from Proposition 1.5: Let $a, b \in G^{ap}$, $w = u + v \circ \delta^{ap} \in A$. For $s \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_b \cdot (u + v \circ \delta^{ap})(s) &= \psi_b((u + v \circ \delta^{ap}) \cdot s) = \psi_b(u \cdot s + (v \circ \delta^{ap}(s)) \circ \delta^{ap}) \\ &= (v \circ \delta^{ap}(s))(b) = v(\delta^{ap}(s)b) = (b \cdot v) \circ \delta^{ap}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Since $b \cdot v \in A(G^{ap})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_a \psi_b(u + v \circ \delta^{ap}) &= \psi_a(\psi_b \cdot (u + v \circ \delta^{ap})) = \psi_a((b \cdot v) \circ \delta^{ap}) \\ &= (b \cdot v)(a) = v(ab) = \psi_{ab}(u + v \circ \delta^{ap}), \end{aligned}$$

as needed. For $x \in \Delta(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x\psi_a, u + v \circ \delta^{ap} \rangle &= \langle x, \psi_a \cdot (u + v \circ \delta^{ap}) \rangle = \langle x, (a \cdot v) \circ \delta^{ap} \rangle \\ &= (a \cdot v)(\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)) = v(\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)a) = \langle \psi_{\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)a}, u + v \circ \delta^{ap} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

using (6). Hence, $x\psi_a = \psi_{\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)a}$ and a symmetric argument shows $\psi_a x = \psi_{a\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)}$. \square

The following corollary includes simple new proofs of some of the main results from [39], (ibid. Theorem 2 and Proposition 8). More generally, we prove the result for any Banach subalgebra $A = A_\pi$ of $B(G)$ that contains $A_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Corollary 3.2. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) $z_F := \psi_{e_{ap}}$ is a central idempotent in $\Delta(A)$ such that for any $s \in \Delta(A)_+$, $sz_F = z_F$; so, $z_F = \min(\Delta(A)_+)$.
- (ii) Define $\theta_F : G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$ by $\theta_F(g) = \pi(g)z_F$. Then ψ is a compactification isomorphism of (G^{ap}, δ^{ap}) onto $(\mathbb{A}_{z_F}, \theta_F)$; so, $(\mathbb{A}_{z_F}, \theta_F)$ is the almost periodic compactification of G and $\mathbb{A}_{z_F} = \psi(G^{ap})$ is a compact ideal in $\Delta(A)$.
- (iii) $A_{\mathcal{F}} = z_F \cdot A$ and $A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp} = (\pi(e_G) - z_F) \cdot A$.
- (iv) G is compact if and only if e_G is the only (central) idempotent in $\Delta(B(G))$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in \Delta(A)$, $s \in \Delta(A)_+$. Identifying G^{ap} and $\psi(G^{ap})$, (5) and Theorem 3.1(i) give

$$e_{ap}x = e_{ap}\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x) = \widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x) = \widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)e_{ap} = xe_{ap} \quad \text{and} \quad se_{ap} = \widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(s)e_{ap} = e_{ap}.$$

We can thus take $z_F = e_{ap} (= \psi_{e_{ap}})$.

(ii) By Proposition 2.4, $\psi(G^{ap}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\psi(e_{ap})} = \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$ and for any $x \in \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$,

$$x = xz_F = x\psi_{e_{ap}} = \psi_{\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)e_{ap}} = \psi_{\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)} \in \psi(G^{ap}).$$

Hence, $\psi : G^{ap} \rightarrow \psi(G^{ap}) = \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$ is a topological isomorphism and for any $g \in G$,

$$\theta_F(g) = \pi(g)z_F = \pi(g)\psi_{e_{ap}} = \psi_{\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(\pi(g))e_{ap}} = \psi_{\delta^{ap}(g)} = \psi \circ \delta^{ap}(g).$$

It follows that θ_F is a continuous dense-range homomorphism and ψ is an isomorphism of the compactifications (G^{ap}, δ^{ap}) and $(\mathbb{A}_{z_F}, \theta_F)$.

(iii) Let $w = u + v \circ \delta^{ap} \in A$ where $u \in A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$ and $v \in A(G^{ap})$. For $g \in G$,

$$z_F \cdot w(g) = \langle \pi(g), \psi_{e_{ap}} \cdot w \rangle = \langle \pi(g)\psi_{e_{ap}}, w \rangle = \langle \psi_{\delta^{ap}(g)}, u + v \circ \delta^{ap} \rangle = v \circ \delta^{ap}(g).$$

Hence, $z_F \cdot A = A(G^{ap}) \circ \delta^{ap} = A_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $(\pi(e_G) - z_F) \cdot A = A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$.

(iv) If G is non-compact, we have noted that ψ maps into $\Delta(B(G)) \setminus \omega_G(G)$, so $z_F = \psi_{e_{ap}}$ is a central idempotent in $\Delta(B(G))$ that is different from e_G . If G is compact, then $\Delta(B(G)) = \Delta(A(G)) = G$, so the converse implication is trivial. \square

Remark 3.3. From the theory of compact right topological semigroups, $S = \Delta(A)$ has a smallest two-sided ideal $K(S)$ [3, Theorem II.2.2]. By Corollary 3.2, $\mathbb{A}_{z_F} = \psi(G^{ap})$ is a two-sided ideal in S . Moreover, if L is any left ideal in S and $x \in L$, $\psi(G^{ap}) = \{\psi_{\widetilde{\delta^{ap}}(x)} : x \in G^{ap}\} = \psi(G^{ap})x$, which is contained in L . Hence, Theorem 3.1 allows us to identify $K(S)$ as $\psi(G^{ap}) = \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$, the almost periodic compactification of G .

As above, express $A = A_\pi$ as $A = A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp} \oplus_1 A_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then $\pi(G) \subseteq \Delta(A)$ and taking $\pi_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$ such that $A_{\pi_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}} = A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}(G) \subseteq \Delta(A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp})$.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that $\Delta(A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}) = \pi_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}(G)$ and A is a regular algebra of functions on G . Then*

$$\Delta(A) = \pi(G) \cup \psi(G^{ap})$$

where $\pi : G \rightarrow \pi(G)$ and $\psi : G^{ap} \rightarrow \psi(G^{ap})$ are topological isomorphisms. Moreover, $\psi(G^{ap}) = \mathbb{A}_{z_{\mathcal{F}}}$ is a compact ideal in $\Delta(A)$ satisfying

$$\psi_a \pi(g) = \psi_{a\delta^{ap}(g)} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(g)\psi_a = \psi_{\delta^{ap}(g)a} \quad (g \in G, a \in G^{ap}).$$

More concisely, if we identify G with $\pi(G)$ and G^{ap} with $\psi(G^{ap})$, we have

$$\Delta(A) = G \cup G^{ap}$$

where G and G^{ap} are open and compact subgroups of $\Delta(A)$ respectively, and G^{ap} is an ideal in $\Delta(A)$ satisfying

$$ag = a\delta^{ap}(g) \quad \text{and} \quad ga = \delta^{ap}(g)a \quad (g \in G, a \in G^{ap}).$$

Proof. We are assuming that $A = A_\pi$ is a regular algebra of functions on G , so $\pi : G \rightarrow \pi(G)$ is a topological isomorphism. Let $\varphi \in \Delta(A) \setminus \psi(G^{ap})$. From the proof of Theorem 3.1(ii), $\psi(G^{ap}) = h(A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}) \subseteq \Delta(A) \setminus \pi(G)$, the hull of the ideal $A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$, so $\varphi|_{A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}} \in \Delta(A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp})$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $\varphi|_{A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}} = \pi_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}(g)$, and choose $u \in A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$ such that $\varphi(u) = u(g) = 1$. For any $w \in A$, $uw \in A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$, so $\varphi(w) = \varphi(u)\varphi(w) = \varphi(uw) = u(g)w(g) = \pi(g)(w)$; hence, $\varphi = \pi(g)$. Thus, $\Delta(A) = \pi(G) \cup \psi(G^{ap})$ and the remaining statements are immediate from Theorem 3.1. \square

Example 3.5. (a) *Introduction of $A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$, an accessible test subalgebra of $B(G)$:* Let G be any non-compact locally compact group and let

$$A_{\mathbb{F}}(G) := A(G) \oplus_1 A_{\mathcal{F}} = A(G) \oplus_1 A(G^{ap}) \circ \delta^{ap}.$$

Since $A(G)$ is an ideal in $B(G)$, $A = A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ is a closed translation-invariant unital subalgebra of $B(G)$. By [1, Theorem 3.18], we must have $A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp} = A(G) = A_{\lambda_G}$, where $\lambda_G = \pi_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$ is the left regular representation of G ; letting $\pi_{\mathbb{F}} := \lambda_G \oplus \pi_{\mathcal{F}}$, $A_{\mathbb{F}}(G) = A_{\pi_{\mathbb{F}}}$. Since $\Delta(A(G)) = \lambda_G(G)$, Corollary 3.4 applies and we obtain

$$\Delta(A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)) = \pi_{\mathbb{F}}(G) \cup \psi(G^{ap}) = G \cup G^{ap}$$

with the product and identifications as defined in Corollary 3.4.

With Corollary 4.21—specifically, see Remark 4.22—we shall see that while $A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ and its spectrum are tractable, they are nonetheless intricate enough to illustrate how completely positive/completely contractive homomorphisms of (subalgebras of) $B(G)$ can be significantly more complicated than the same types of homomorphisms on $A(G)$. When G is abelian with dual group \widehat{G} , $L^1(G)^\wedge$ is $A(\widehat{G})$ and, as shown by Runde and Spronk [31, p. 679], $\ell^1(G)^\wedge$ is the subalgebra $B(\widehat{G}) \cap AP(\widehat{G}) = A_{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{G})$, where $\mu \mapsto \widehat{\mu}$ is the Fourier–Stieltjes transform on $M(G)$. Thus, $A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ is dual to the subalgebra $M_a(G) \oplus_{\ell^1} M_d(G)$ of $M(G)$, where $M_a(G) = L^1(G)$ is the space of measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to Haar measure, and $M_d(G) = \ell^1(G)$ is the space of discrete measures. Letting $M_{cs}(G)$ denote the continuous measures that are singular with respect to Haar measure, the most tractable part of $M(G) = M_a(G) \oplus_{\ell^1} M_{cs}(G) \oplus_{\ell^1} M_d(G)$ (e.g., see [7, Ch. 3]) is certainly the Banach algebra

of measures whose continuous parts are absolutely continuous, $M_{\mathbb{F}}(G) := M_a(G) \oplus_{\ell^1} M_d(G) = L^1(G) \oplus_{\ell^1} \ell^1(G)$. The (completely) positive and contractive homomorphisms $\varphi : L^1(G) \rightarrow M(H)$ have been characterized for arbitrary locally compact groups [14, 35], and studying the positive and contractive homomorphisms $\varphi : M_{\mathbb{F}}(G) \rightarrow M(H)$ will be a good entry point to an investigation of dual versions of some of the problems discussed in this paper.

(b) $B(G)$ for a p -adic-motion group G : More generally, we consider the locally compact groups studied by Runde and Spronk in [32]. Let $G = N \rtimes K$ be a semidirect product of a compact group K acting on a non-compact locally compact abelian group N such that properties (1) and (2) on page 196 of [32] (also see Section 2.9 of [21]) are satisfied. Then,

$$B(G) = A(G) \oplus_1 A(K) \circ q \quad (7)$$

[32, Prop. 2.1] or [21, Prop. 2.9.1]. Letting $q : G = N \rtimes K \rightarrow K$ be the quotient map, we observe that

- (i) $A_{\mathcal{F}} = A(K) \circ q$; and
- (ii) $(K, q) = (G^{ap}, \delta^{ap})$, the almost periodic compactification of G .

(These statements are implicit elsewhere, but for the convenience of the reader we provide some explanation: Note that j_q is continuous (isometric, in fact) with respect to uniform norms, every continuous function on K is almost periodic since K is compact, and $\ell_x(j_q(v)) = j_q(\ell_{q(x)}v)$ for $v \in C(K)$; hence j_q maps $C(K)$ into $AP(G)$ and it follows that $A(K) \circ q = j_q(A(K))$ is contained in $B(G) \cap AP(G) = A_{\mathcal{F}}$. On the other hand, $w \in A_{\mathcal{F}}$ can be written as $w = u + v \circ q$ for some $u \in A(G)$ and $v \in A(K)$ by (7). But $v \circ q \in A_{\mathcal{F}}$, so $u = w - v \circ q \in A(G) \cap A_{\mathcal{F}} = \{0\}$, whence $w = v \circ q$. This establishes (i). Thus, j_q and $j_{\delta^{ap}}$ are isometric algebra isomorphisms mapping $A(K)$ and $A(G^{ap})$, respectively, onto $A_{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore, $\varphi := (j_q)^{-1} \circ j_{\delta^{ap}}$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism of $A(G^{ap})$ onto $A(K)$, $\theta = \varphi^*|_K$ maps $K = \Delta(A(K))$ homeomorphically onto $\Delta(A(G^{ap})) = G^{ap}$, and it is easy to see that $\theta \circ q = \delta^{ap}$. It automatically follows that θ is a homomorphism [4] and (ii) is established.)

We conclude that $B(G) = A(G) \oplus_1 A(K) \circ q = A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ in this case and therefore, as above, $\Delta(B(G)) = G \cup K$ with $K = G^{ap}$ a compact ideal in $\Delta(B(G))$ satisfying

$$ax = aq(x) \quad \text{and} \quad xa = q(x)a \quad (a \in K, x \in G).$$

For a concrete example, let p be a prime number, \mathbb{Q}_p the locally compact topological field of p -adic numbers with valuation $|\cdot|_p$, $\mathbb{O}_p = \{r \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |r|_p \leq 1\}$ the compact open subring of p -adic integers. (Section III.6 of [11] contains a concise introduction to these objects.) Letting $K = SL(n, \mathbb{O}_p)$, the compact multiplicative group of $n \times n$ matrices A over \mathbb{O}_p with $|\det(A)|_p = 1$, act on the vector space $N = \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ by matrix multiplication, $G_{p,n} = N \rtimes K$ —called the “ n th rigid p -adic motion group” in [32]—is an example of a locally compact group satisfying these two properties [21, Example 2.9.3]. When $n = 1$, $G_{p,n}$ is the Fell group $\mathbb{Q}_p \rtimes \mathbb{T}_p$, where $\mathbb{T}_p = \{r \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |r|_p = 1\}$.

(c) $B(G)$ for a Euclidean motion group G : Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(n)$ with $n \geq 2$, where $SO(n)$ acts on \mathbb{R}^n by matrix multiplication (rotation). Letting $q : G \rightarrow SO(n)$ be the quotient map and $B_0(G) = B(G) \cap C_0(G)$ the Rajchman algebra of G , $\Delta(B_0(G)) = G$ and $B(G) = B_0(G) \oplus_1 B(SO(n)) \circ q$ by [23, Theorem 4.1]. Since G is non-compact, $C_0(G) \cap AP(G) = \{0\}$ and the argument given above in (b) shows that $A_{\mathcal{F}} = A(SO(n)) \circ q$ and $(SO(n), q) = (G^{ap}, \delta^{ap})$.

Hence, $A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp} = A_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}}$, the unique vector space complement of $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $B(G)$, is $B_0(G)$ and $\Delta(A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}) = G (= \pi_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}(G))$. By Corollary 3.4, $\Delta(B(G)) = G \cup SO(n)$ (also shown in [23, Theorem 4.1(iii)]) and, moreover, $SO(n)$ is a compact ideal in $\Delta(B(G))$ satisfying

$$ax = aq(x) \text{ and } xa = q(x)a \quad (a \in SO(n), x \in G).$$

(d) *Spine examples:* In [18], the authors introduced the spine $A^*(G)$ of $B(G)$ and studied $G^* = \Delta(A^*(G))$, the spine compactification of G . They showed that

$$A^*(G) = A(G) \oplus_1 A_{\tau_{ap}}(G) = A(G) \oplus_1 A(G^{ap}) \circ \delta^{ap} = A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$$

when G contains a compact normal subgroup K for which G/K is topologically isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Z} [18, Proposition 6.2]; G is the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p for some prime number p [18, Proposition 6.7]; or G is any minimally weakly almost periodic group such as $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(n)$ by Theorem 6.5 and page 297 paragraph 1 of [18]. Thus, in all of these cases $\Delta(A^*(G)) = G^* = G \cup G^{ap}$ with multiplication as described as in Corollary 3.4. (This description of $G^* = \Delta(A^*(G))$ is explicitly derived in [18].)

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be a regular algebra of functions on G such that $\Delta(A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}) = \pi_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}(G)$ and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$. Then φ is a singular completely bounded/ completely contractive/ completely positive homomorphism if and only if $\varphi = j_\alpha$ where $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$ is a continuous piecewise-affine/ affine/ homomorphic map.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.4, $\Delta(A) = \pi(G) \cup \psi(G^{ap}) = G \cup \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$. Suppose that φ is a singular homomorphism, so $\varphi = j_\alpha$ where $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \psi(G^{ap})$ is continuous on $Y \in \Omega(H)$ (Proposition 1.8). The map $\varphi_{ap} := \varphi \circ j_{\delta^{ap}} : A(G^{ap}) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely bounded/completely contractive/completely positive homomorphism and $\varphi_{ap} = j_{\alpha_{ap}}$ where $\alpha_{ap} = \psi^{-1} \circ \alpha : Y \rightarrow G^{ap}$. To see this, let $v \in A(G^{ap})$. For $y \in Y$, $\alpha(y) = \psi_a$ for some $a \in G^{ap}$, and therefore $\alpha_{ap}(y) = a$. Hence,

$$\varphi_{ap}(v)(y) = j_\alpha(v \circ \delta^{ap})(y) = \langle \alpha(y), v \circ \delta^{ap} \rangle = \langle \psi_a, v \circ \delta^{ap} \rangle = v(a) = j_{\alpha_{ap}}v(y).$$

For $y \in H \setminus Y$, $\varphi_{ap}(v)(y) = j_\alpha(v \circ \delta^{ap})(y) = 0 = j_{\alpha_{ap}}v(y)$, as needed. Since G^{ap} is amenable, by [17, Theorem 3.7] (also Proposition 1.2 and Remark 1.3.2), α_{ap} is piecewise-affine/affine/homomorphic; as ψ is a topological isomorphism of G^{ap} onto $\psi(G^{ap}) = \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$, $\alpha = \psi \circ \alpha_{ap}$ is the same. The converse statement is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.13/Proposition 2.12/Corollary 2.6. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that G is amenable, A contains $A(G)$ and $\Delta(A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}) = \pi_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}(G)$. Then $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely bounded homomorphism if and only if $\varphi = j_\alpha$ for a continuous pw²-affine map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 1.16(i), φ has a unique Lebesgue decomposition $\varphi = \varphi_a + \varphi_s = j_{\alpha_a} + j_{\alpha_s}$ such that $\alpha_a : Y_a \rightarrow G$ is continuous and piecewise affine. Since $\varphi_s = \varphi - \varphi_a$ is completely bounded and singular, $\alpha_s : Y_s \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$ is continuous and piecewise affine by Proposition 3.6. Therefore, $\alpha = \alpha_a \cup \alpha_s$ is a continuous pw²-affine map. The converse is a special case of Proposition 2.13. \square

Remark 3.8. 1. Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.7 apply to all of the examples of A considered in Example 3.5. For instance, when G is a Euclidean- or p -adic-motion group, $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely bounded homomorphism if and only if α is a continuous pw²-affine map. However, the methods used to prove Theorem 3.7—partly based on the main theorem from [17]—cannot be used to characterize the completely positive and completely contractive homomorphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ in these cases; these homomorphisms will be fully described in Section 4.

2. With Example 2.14, we showed that it is easy to obtain examples of completely bounded homomorphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ such that α does not map into either G or \mathbb{A}_{z_F} . In Section 4 we will give completely positive and completely contractive examples for which this is the case.

4 Completely positive and completely contractive homomorphisms: necessary conditions

Unless stated otherwise, throughout this section $A = A_\pi$ is a closed unital translation-invariant subalgebra of $B(G)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a positive homomorphism. Then φ is automatically contractive and $\varphi = j_\alpha$ for some continuous map $\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ where H_α is an open subgroup of H and $\alpha(h^{-1}) = \alpha(h)^*$ for each $h \in H_\alpha$. Moreover, if $\alpha(e_H) \in \mathbb{A}_p$ for some projection $p \in \Delta(A)$, then $\alpha(e_H) = p$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.8, $\varphi = j_\alpha$ where $Y = \{h \in H : \varphi^*(h) \neq 0\}$ and $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is the restriction of φ^* to Y . Since φ is positive, $1_Y = \varphi(1_G)$ is positive definite, whence $Y = H_\alpha$ is an open subgroup of H by Corollary 1.4, and by Theorem 1.1(a), $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi^*\| = \|\varphi^*(e_H)\| = 1$ since $\varphi^*(e_H) \in \Delta(A)$. For each $x \in W^*(H)$, $\varphi^*(x^*) = \varphi^*(x)^*$ —also by Theorem 1.1(a)—so for each $h \in H_\alpha$, $\alpha(h^{-1}) = \alpha(h)^*$. Finally, suppose that $\alpha(e_H) \in \mathbb{A}_p$. Since $e_H \geq 0$, $\alpha(e_H) = \varphi^*(e_H) \geq 0$ and, under our hypothesis, $\alpha(e_H)^2 = \alpha(e_H)\alpha(e_H)^* = p$, so $\alpha(e_H) = p^{1/2} = p$. \square

In the following theorem and its corollaries, we assume $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a positive homomorphism, which—by Lemma 4.1—takes the form $\varphi = j_\alpha$ where $\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is a continuous map on an open subgroup H_α of H satisfying $\alpha(h^{-1}) = \alpha(h)^*$ for each $h \in H_\alpha$.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $\alpha(e_H)$ belongs to a subgroup of $\Delta(A)$, say $\alpha(e_H) \in \mathbb{A}_p$, and*

- (i) $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is completely positive, or
- (ii) $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is 4-positive and $\alpha(H_\alpha)$ is contained in $p\Delta(A)p$.

Then $\alpha(e_H) = p$,

$$H_p = \{h \in H_\alpha : \alpha(h) \in \mathbb{A}_p\}$$

is a subgroup of H_α , $\alpha : H_p \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_p$ is a continuous homomorphism, and

$$\alpha(hk) = \alpha(h)\alpha(k), \quad \alpha(kh) = \alpha(k)\alpha(h) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(h^{-1}) = \alpha(h)^*$$

for all $h \in H_\alpha$ and $k \in H_p$. When φ is completely positive, $\alpha(H_\alpha)$ is contained in $p\Delta(A)p$.

Proof. Suppose that φ is completely positive. By Lemma 4.1, for $k \in H_p$, $\alpha(k^{-1}) = \alpha(k)^* \in \mathbb{A}_p$, so $k^{-1} \in H_p$; moreover $\alpha(e_H) = p$, so $\varphi^*(k)^* \varphi^*(k) = p = \varphi^*(k^*k)$. Since φ is contractive,

$$\alpha(hk) = \varphi^*(hk) = \varphi^*(h)\varphi^*(k) = \alpha(h)\alpha(k)$$

whenever $h \in H_\alpha$ and $k \in H_p$ (and, similarly, $\alpha(kh) = \alpha(k)\alpha(h)$), by Theorem 1.1(c). In particular, $hk \in H_p$ whenever $h, k \in H_p$, so H_p is a subgroup of H_α and $\alpha : H_p \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_p$ is a homomorphism. Observe that for any $h \in H_\alpha$, $\alpha(h) = \alpha(h e_H) = \alpha(h)\alpha(e_H) = \alpha(h)p$ and $\alpha(h) = p\alpha(h)$, so α maps H_α into $p\Delta(A)p$ in this case. If condition (ii) holds, then φ^* maps H into the von Neumann subalgebra $pVN_\pi p$ of VN_π . By weak*-continuity of φ^* and weak*-density of the linear span of H in $W^*(H)$, φ^* maps $W^*(H)$ into $pVN_\pi p$ and $\varphi^*(e_H) = p$, the identity in $pVN_\pi p$. Hence, Theorem 1.1(c) can also be applied in this case, and the conclusion follows as before. \square

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.4, Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 4.2, we have the following corollary via the chain of implications (i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) φ is 4-positive and $\alpha(Y)$ is contained in a subgroup of $\Delta(A)$;
- (ii) φ is completely positive and $\alpha(Y)$ is contained in a subgroup of $\Delta(A)$;
- (iii) Y is an open subgroup H_α of H and $\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is a continuous homomorphism (into \mathbb{A}_p for some projection p in $\Delta(A)$).

The following result improves Proposition 3.6 in the completely positive case.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose that $A = A_\pi$ contains $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ and let $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) φ is completely positive and $\alpha(e_H) \in \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$;
- (ii) Y is an open subgroup of H and $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$ is a continuous homomorphism;
- (iii) φ is completely positive and $A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp}$ is contained in the kernel of φ .

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, $A_{\mathcal{F}} = z_F \cdot A$ and $A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp} = (\pi(e_G) - z_F) \cdot A$.

(i) implies (ii): By Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, $Y = H_\alpha$ is an open subgroup of H , $\alpha(e_H) = z_F$ and $\alpha(H_\alpha)$ is contained in $z_F\Delta(A)z_F$. By Corollary 3.2, \mathbb{A}_{z_F} is an ideal in $\Delta(A)$, so $\alpha(H_\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$. By Corollary 4.3, statement (ii) holds.

(ii) implies (iii): It is immediate from Corollary 4.3 that φ is completely positive. For $u \in A_{\mathcal{F}^\perp} = (\pi(e_G) - z_F) \cdot A$ and $h \in Y$,

$$\varphi(u)(h) = \langle \alpha(h), u \rangle = \langle \alpha(h)z_F, (\pi(e_G) - z_F) \cdot u \rangle = \langle \alpha(h)z_F(\pi(e_G) - z_F), u \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, $\varphi(u) = 0$.

(iii) implies (i): By Lemma 4.1, $e_H \in Y$ and, since φ is positive, $\alpha(e_H) = \varphi^*(e_H) \geq 0$; hence, $\alpha(e_H)z_F = z_F$ by Corollary 3.2. Taking any $u \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha(e_H), u \rangle &= \langle \alpha(e_H), (\pi(e_G) - z_F) \cdot u + z_F \cdot u \rangle \\ &= \varphi((\pi(e_G) - z_F) \cdot u)(e_H) + \langle \alpha(e_H)z_F, u \rangle = \langle z_F, u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\alpha(e_H) = z_F$. \square

In [39], critical idempotents p in $\Delta(B(G))$ are introduced and characterized. For instance, p is critical precisely when \mathbb{A}_p is open in $p\Delta(B(G))p$. Thus, if $\varphi : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive homomorphism and $\alpha(e_H) \in \mathbb{A}_p$ for some critical idempotent p , then $H_p = \alpha^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_p)$ is an open (and closed) subgroup of H_α —and therefore of H —by Theorem 4.2. We thus obtain the following immediate corollary to Theorem 4.2; it, and several of the other results that follow, is also valid for 4-positive homomorphisms $\varphi = j_\alpha$ for which $\alpha(H_\alpha)$ is contained in $p\Delta(B(G))p$. Examples of critical idempotents in $\Delta(B(G))$ are e_G and z_F .

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive homomorphism and $\alpha(e_H) = \varphi^*(e_H) \in \mathbb{A}_p$ for some critical idempotent p . If H_α is connected—e.g., $H_\alpha = H$ is connected when H is connected—then $\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_p$ is a continuous homomorphism.*

It might be tempting to conjecture that $\alpha(Y)$ must always be contained in a subgroup of $\Delta(A)$ (and α is a homomorphism) when $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is completely positive. (Of course, this condition is automatically satisfied when dealing with homomorphisms $j_\alpha : A(G) \rightarrow B(H)$.) Perhaps surprisingly, as a corollary to one of our main theorems, we shall see that this is only true when G is compact (Corollary 4.17). We proceed in this direction with some observations regarding the interplay between the different subgroups \mathbb{A}_p of $\Delta(A)$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ denote the projections, (equivalently idempotents), in $\Delta(A)$. Note that for $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$, $pq \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ exactly when $pq = qp$ and $q \leq p$ if and only if $pq = qp = q$. For a subset D of $\Delta(A)$, $D' = \{x \in \Delta(A) : xd = dx \text{ for all } d \in D\}$ is the commutant of D in $\Delta(A)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ and $pq = qp$. The following statements hold:*

- (i) $pq \in \mathbb{A}'_p \cap \mathbb{A}'_q$ if and only if $p \in \mathbb{A}'_q$ and $q \in \mathbb{A}'_p$.
- (ii) When (i) holds, $\mathbb{A}_p\mathbb{A}_q, \mathbb{A}_q\mathbb{A}_p \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{pq}$.
- (iii) If $q \leq p$ and $q \in \mathbb{A}'_p$, then $\mathbb{A}_p\mathbb{A}_q = \mathbb{A}_q\mathbb{A}_p = \mathbb{A}_q$.

Proof. This is readily established. □

Recall that S is called a Clifford semigroup if each element in the semigroup S belongs to a subgroup of S and that a commutative idempotent semigroup is called a semilattice.

Proposition 4.7. *If $\Delta(A)$ is a Clifford semigroup, then*

$$\Delta(A) = \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}} \mathbb{A}_p.$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ is contained in $Z(\Delta(A))$, the algebraic centre of $\Delta(A)$, then $\Delta(A)$ “graded” over the semilattice $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ in the sense that for each $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$, $\mathbb{A}_p\mathbb{A}_q, \mathbb{A}_q\mathbb{A}_p \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{pq}$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 4.6. □

Remark 4.8. 1. In [18], the authors showed that the spine compactification of G , $G^* = \Delta(A)$ where $A = A^*(G)$ is the spine of $B(G)$, is a Clifford semigroup with central idempotents; moreover, they provide a decomposition of G^* as a union of groups G_τ graded over a semilattice $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$. Proposition 4.7 thus gives an alternative description of the decomposition of G^* into the subgroups \mathbb{A}_p of $\Delta(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda^*})$ over the semilattice of projections $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ with its usual ordering. Moreover, letting $\chi : G^* \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ be the topological isomorphism described

- on page 286 of [18], for any $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, $\chi(e_\tau)$ is an idempotent in $\Delta(A)$, so $\chi(e_\tau) = p_\tau$ for some unique p_τ in $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$; since $\chi(G_\tau)$ is a subgroup of $\Delta(A)$ with identity p_τ , $\chi(G_\tau) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{p_\tau}$ by Proposition 2.4. As χ is one-to-one, $\chi(G_\tau) \cap \chi(G_{\tau'}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{p_\tau} \cap \mathbb{A}_{p_{\tau'}} = \emptyset$ for $\tau \neq \tau'$ and thus, since χ is also surjective, $\tau \mapsto p_\tau$ maps $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ onto $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ and χ maps each G_τ onto \mathbb{A}_{p_τ} as a topological isomorphism. For $\tau, \tau' \in \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$, $p_\tau p_{\tau'} = \chi(e_\tau e_{\tau'}) \in \chi(G_\tau G_{\tau'}) \subseteq \chi(G_{\tau \wedge \tau'}) = \mathbb{A}_{p_{\tau \wedge \tau'}}$, so $p_\tau p_{\tau'} = p_{\tau \wedge \tau'}$; thus $\mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ are isomorphic as semilattices.
2. Corollary 3.4 and Example 3.5 provide examples of this decomposition of $\Delta(A)$.
 3. Theorem 3.1 of [24] describes a class of analytic groups G for which the above decomposition of $\Delta(B(G))$ holds.
 4. Of course, $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ is contained in $Z(\Delta(A))$ whenever G is abelian.

In the next lemma and the proposition that follows, we assume that $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive homomorphism; thus, $\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is a continuous map defined on an open subgroup H_α of H . We further suppose that $\alpha(e_H) \in \mathbb{A}_p$, so that $H_p = \{k \in H_\alpha : \alpha(k) \in \mathbb{A}_p\}$ is a subgroup of H_α and the conclusions of Theorem 4.2, which shall be used without further explanation, hold. (One can instead assume that φ is 4-positive and $\alpha(H_\alpha) \subseteq p\Delta(A)p$, and replace conclusions of complete positivity with 4-positivity.)

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose that $y \in H_\alpha$ and $\alpha(y) \in \mathbb{A}_q$ for some $q \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$. Then:*

$$(i) \quad q \leq p, \alpha(yH_p) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_q^\ell = \{x \in \Delta(A) : xx^* = q\} \text{ and} \\ \alpha(H_p y) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_q^r = \{x \in \Delta(A) : x^*x = q\};$$

$$(ii) \quad \alpha(yH_p \cup H_p y) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_q \text{ if either (a) } yH_p = H_p y \text{ or (b) } q \in \alpha(H_p)'$$

Remark 4.10. Observe that (ii)(a) holds if y is central in H_α or H_p is normal in H_α —e.g., if H_α is abelian—and (ii)(b) holds if q is central in $\Delta(A)$ —e.g., if $A = A^*(G)$ or for any A when G is abelian.

Proof. We have $pq = \alpha(e_H)\alpha(y)\alpha(y)^* = \alpha(e_{Hy})\alpha(y)^* = q$ and for any $k \in H_p$,

$$\alpha(yk)\alpha(yk)^* = \alpha(y)\alpha(k)\alpha(k)^*\alpha(y)^* = \alpha(y)\alpha(kk^{-1})\alpha(y)^* = \alpha(ye_H)\alpha(y)^* = q;$$

similarly, $\alpha(H_p y) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_q^r$. We have established (i). If (ii)(a) holds, $\alpha(yH_p) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_q$ by (i) and if (ii)(b) holds, then for $k \in H_p$,

$$\alpha(yk)^*\alpha(yk) = \alpha(k)^*\alpha(y)^*\alpha(y)\alpha(k) = \alpha(k)^*q\alpha(k) = \alpha(k)^*\alpha(k)q = pq = q. \quad \square$$

For each $q \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ for which $\alpha^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_q)$ is nonempty, let

$$\alpha_q : H_\alpha \rightarrow \Delta(A) : h \mapsto q\alpha(h)q \quad \text{and} \quad H_q = \alpha_q^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_q).$$

Observe that since $\alpha(H_\alpha)$ is contained in $p\Delta(A)p$, $\alpha_p = \alpha$ and our definition of H_q is consistent with the definition of H_p introduced in the statement of Theorem 4.2.

The following proposition provides more general information about the behaviour of completely positive homomorphisms and will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.15 below. Lemma 4.9 and Remarks 4.10 provide sufficient conditions for when the assumption in statement (iii) holds.

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose that $\alpha^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_q)$ is nonempty. Then:*

(i) $q \leq p$, $j_{\alpha_q} : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive homomorphism, H_q is a subgroup of H_α such that $\alpha_q : H_q \rightarrow \Delta_q$ is a homomorphism and for each $h \in H_\alpha$, $k \in H_q$,

$$\alpha_q(hk) = \alpha_q(h)\alpha_q(k), \quad \alpha_q(kh) = \alpha_q(k)\alpha_q(h) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_q(h^{-1}) = \alpha_q(h)^*.$$

(ii) $\alpha^{-1}(\Delta_q) \subseteq H_q$ and α agrees with α_q on $\alpha^{-1}(\Delta_q)$; thus $\alpha_q|_{H_q}$ is a homomorphic extension of $\alpha|_{\alpha^{-1}(\Delta_q)}$ to the subgroup H_q .

(iii) If $\alpha(y_q H_p) \subseteq \Delta_q$ for some $y_q \in H_\alpha$, then $H_p \subseteq H_q$ and for every y such that $\alpha(y) \in \Delta_q$, $\alpha(y H_p \cup H_p y) \subseteq \Delta_q$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.11, j_{α_q} is a completely contractive homomorphism and by Lemma 4.9, $q \leq p$, so $\alpha_q(H_\alpha) = q\alpha(H_\alpha)q \subseteq qp\Delta(A)pq \subseteq qVN_\pi q$, where $A = A_\pi$. As with the proof of Theorem 4.2 under hypothesis (ii), it follows that $(j_{\alpha_q})^*$ maps $W^*(H)$ into the von Neumann subalgebra $qVN_\pi q$ of VN_π and $(j_{\alpha_q})^*(e_H) = \alpha_q(e_H) = q$, the identity element of $qVN_\pi q$. By Theorem 1.1(b), $(j_{\alpha_q})^*$, and therefore j_{α_q} , is a completely positive homomorphism. The rest of (i) follows immediately from Theorem 4.2.

(ii) If $\alpha(h) \in \Delta_q$, then $\alpha_q(h) = q\alpha(h)q = \alpha(h)$.

(iii) Suppose that $\alpha(y_q H_p) \subseteq \Delta_q$. Then for $k \in H_p$,

$$\alpha_q(k) = q\alpha(k)q = \alpha(y_q)^* \alpha(y_q) \alpha(k) q = \alpha(y_q)^* \alpha(y_q k) q \in \Delta_q,$$

so $k \in H_q$. Also,

$$\alpha(k y_q) = \alpha(k) \alpha(y_q) = \alpha(k) q \alpha(y_q) = \alpha(k) \alpha(y_q)^* \alpha(y_q)^2 = \alpha(y_q k^{-1})^* \alpha(y_q)^2 \in \Delta_q,$$

so $\alpha(H_p y_q) \subseteq \Delta_q$. Now for any $y \in H_\alpha$ such that $\alpha(y) \in \Delta_q$,

$$\alpha(yk) = \alpha(y) \alpha(k) = \alpha(y) q \alpha(k) = \alpha(y) \alpha(y_q)^* \alpha(y_q) \alpha(k) = \alpha(y) \alpha(y_q)^* \alpha(y_q k),$$

which belongs to Δ_q . Since we have shown that $\alpha(H_p y_q) \subseteq \Delta_q$, we similarly obtain $\alpha(H_p y) \subseteq \Delta_q$. \square

The next two lemmas will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.15.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$,*

$$L = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Delta_{p_i} \quad \text{and} \quad L_k = \bigcup_{i=k}^n \Delta_{p_i}.$$

Suppose that $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n$ and $p_{i+1} \in \Delta'_{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then each p_i is central in L , L is a semigroup with identity p_1 , and L_k is an ideal in L .

Proof. Let $x \in L$, say $x \in \Delta_{p_i}$. Since $p_1 \geq p_i$, $p_1 x = p_1(p_i x) = (p_1 p_i) x = p_i x = x$ and similarly, $x p_1 = x$. In particular, $p_1 \in L'$. Suppose that $p_1, \dots, p_k \in L'$. If $i \geq k+1$, then—as above— $p_{k+1} x = x = x p_{k+1}$ since $p_{k+1} \geq p_i$, so suppose $i \leq k$. By hypothesis, $p_k \in \Delta'_{p_i}$ and $p_i \geq p_k$, so $x p_k = p_k x \in \Delta_{p_k}$ by Lemma 4.6(iii). Since $p_k \geq p_{k+1}$ and $p_{k+1} \in \Delta'_{p_k}$, we therefore obtain

$$p_{k+1} x = (p_{k+1} p_k) x = p_{k+1} (p_k x) = (p_k x) p_{k+1} = (x p_k) p_{k+1} = x (p_k p_{k+1}) = x p_{k+1}.$$

Thus, each p_i is central in L . Hence, $p_j \in \Delta'_{p_i}$ for every i and j , so $\Delta_{p_i} \Delta_{p_j} = \Delta_{p_i \vee p_j}$ by Lemma 4.6; the remaining statements quickly follow. \square

Lemma 4.13. *Let H_d denote the group H with the discrete topology, $\iota_d : B(H) \hookrightarrow B(H_d)$, $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ a linear map. If $\varphi_d = \iota_d \circ \varphi : A \rightarrow B(H_d)$ is completely positive, then φ is completely positive.*

Proof. Since $B(H)$ is closed in $B(H_d)$ [10, (2.24)], there is a representation $(\omega_H)^\perp$ of H_d , disjoint from ω_H (viewed here as a representation of H_d), such that $B(H_d) = A_{\omega_H} \oplus_1 A_{(\omega_H)^\perp}$ and $W^*(H_d) = VN_{\omega_H} \oplus_\infty VN_{(\omega_H)^\perp}$ [1, 3.12, 3.13, 3.18]. The dual map of ι_d is the *-homomorphism $\iota_d^* : W^*(H_d) \rightarrow W^*(H) : x \oplus z \mapsto x$, so for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in W^*(H)$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in A^* = VN_\pi$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n y_i^* \varphi^*(x_i^* x_j) y_j = \sum_{i,j=1}^n y_i^* (\varphi_d)^*((x_i \oplus 0)^*(x_j \oplus 0)) y_j.$$

By [37, Corollary IV.3.4], φ is completely positive when φ_d is completely positive. \square

We introduce some new terminology to help with the phrasing of the next several results:

Definition 4.14. Let $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ be such that $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n$ and $p_{i+1} \in \Delta'_{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. By a *compatible system of homomorphisms (affine maps)* for p_1, \dots, p_n , we shall mean a sequence

$$\beta_i : H_i \rightarrow \Delta_{p_i} \quad (\beta_i : E_i \rightarrow \Delta_{p_i})$$

of homomorphisms (affine maps) defined on subgroups H_i (cosets E_i) of H such that

$$H_1 < H_2 < \dots < H_n \quad (E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_n)$$

and for each $h \in H_i$ ($h \in E_i$),

$$\beta_{i+1}(h) = p_{i+1} \beta_i(h) = p_{i+1} \beta_i(h) p_{i+1}.$$

The map

$$\alpha = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_n,$$

where $\gamma_1 = \beta_1$ and $\gamma_i = \beta_i|_{H_i \setminus H_{i-1}}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, will be called the *fusion map* of β_1, \dots, β_n .

Theorem 4.15. *Let $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ be such that $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n$ and $p_{i+1} \in \Delta'_{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. If $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Delta_{p_i}$ is such that each $\alpha^{-1}(\Delta_{p_i})$ is nonempty, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is a completely positive homomorphism of A into $B(H)$;
- (ii) $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is a 4-positive homomorphism of A into $B(H)$ and $\alpha(e_H) \in \Delta_{p_1}$;
- (iii) α is continuous, Y is an open subgroup of H , and α is the fusion map of a compatible system of homomorphisms for p_1, \dots, p_n .

Proof. (i) implies (ii): By Lemma 4.1, $\alpha(e_H) = p_k$ for some k , and by Proposition 4.11, $p_i \leq p_k$ for each i . Hence, $p_k = p_1$.

(ii) implies (iii): By Lemma 4.1, $Y = H_\alpha$ is an open subgroup of H , α is continuous on H_α and $\alpha(e_H) = p_1$. As observed in Lemma 4.12, p_1 is the identity for the semigroup $L = \bigcup_1^n \Delta_{p_i}$, so $\alpha(H_\alpha)$ is contained in $p_1\Delta(A)p_1$; hence all of the conclusions of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.11 hold. For each i , letting

$$\alpha_i : H_\alpha \rightarrow L : h \mapsto p_i\alpha(h)$$

—equivalently, $\alpha_i(h) = p_i\alpha(h)p_i$, since each $p_i \in L' - H_i = \alpha_i^{-1}(\Delta_{p_i}) \leq H_\alpha$ and $\beta_i := \alpha_i|_{H_i} : H_i \rightarrow \Delta_{p_i}$ is a homomorphism by Proposition 4.11. Observe that $H_n = H_\alpha$, since $L_n = \Delta_{p_n}$ is an ideal in L , and

$$\alpha_{i+1}(h) = p_{i+1}\alpha(h) = p_{i+1}p_i\alpha(h) = p_{i+1}\alpha_i(h) \quad (h \in H_\alpha).$$

Hence, for $h \in H_i$, Lemma 4.6(iii) gives $\alpha_{i+1}(h) \in \Delta_{p_{i+1}}\Delta_{p_i} = \Delta_{p_{i+1}}$, and therefore $h \in H_{i+1}$; thus, $H_i \leq H_{i+1}$ and $\beta_{i+1}(h) = p_{i+1}\beta_i(h)$ for $h \in H_i$. Let $h \in H_\alpha = H_n$. If $h \in H_1$, then $\alpha(h) = \alpha_1(h) = \gamma_1(h)$, so suppose that $h \in H_k \setminus H_{k-1}$ for some $k \geq 2$. If $\alpha(h) \in \Delta_{p_j}$ for $j < k$, then $\alpha_j(h) = p_j\alpha(h) \in \Delta_{p_j}$, giving $h \in H_j \leq H_{k-1}$, a contradiction; if $\alpha(h)$ belongs to the ideal L_{k+1} of L , $\alpha_k(h) = p_k\alpha(h) \in L_{k+1}$, also a contradiction. It follows that $\alpha(h) \in \Delta_{p_k}$ and we obtain $\alpha(h) = p_k\alpha(h) = \alpha_k(h) = \gamma_k(h)$, as needed.

(iii) implies (i): For notational purposes, denote the empty set by H_0 . For each i , we know that $j_{\beta_i} : A \rightarrow B(H_d)$ is a (completely positive) homomorphism by Corollary 2.6. Also, $1_{H_i \setminus H_{i-1}}$ is an idempotent in $B(H_d)$, so $\mathbf{1}_i : B(H_d) \rightarrow B(H_d) : u \mapsto u1_{H_i \setminus H_{i-1}}$ is an automorphism of $B(H_d)$, and therefore

$$j_{\gamma_i} = \mathbf{1}_i \circ j_{\beta_i} : A \rightarrow B(H_d) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

is a disjoint sequence of homomorphisms. By Lemma 1.9, $\sum j_{\gamma_i} = j_{\cup \gamma_i} = j_\alpha$ is a homomorphism of A into $B(H_d)$. However, $H_n = Y$ is an open (and therefore closed) subgroup of H , and $\alpha : H_n \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is continuous, so it readily follows that $j_\alpha(u)$ is continuous on H for any $u \in A$; therefore $j_\alpha(u)$ belongs to $B(H)$ by [10, (2.24)]. Thus, $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a homomorphism.

By Lemma 4.13, it now suffices for us to show that $\varphi_d = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H_d)$ is completely positive. To this end, we *claim* that $\varphi_d^* : W^*(H_d) \rightarrow A^* = VN_\pi$ satisfies

$$\varphi_d^*(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - p_{i+1})j_{\beta_i}^*(x)(p_i - p_{i+1}) \quad (x \in W^*(H_d)), \quad (8)$$

where $p_{n+1} = 0$. By linearity, wk*-continuity and wk*-density of $\langle H_d \rangle$ in $W^*(H_d)$, it suffices to establish (8) for $h \in H_d$. Obviously both sides of (8) are zero when $h \in H \setminus H_n$, so suppose

that $h \in H_k \setminus H_{k-1}$ for some k . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - p_{i+1}) j_{\beta_i}^*(h) (p_i - p_{i+1}) &= \sum_{i=k}^n (p_i - p_{i+1}) \beta_i(h) (p_i - p_{i+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (p_i \beta_i(h) - p_{i+1} \beta_i(h)) + p_n \beta_n(h) \\
&= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (\beta_i(h) - \beta_{i+1}(h)) + \beta_n(h) \\
&= \beta_k(h) = \alpha(h) = \varphi_d^*(h),
\end{aligned}$$

as required. Since each $j_{\beta_i}^*$ is completely positive, conjugation by an operator is completely positive, and each $p_i - p_{i+1}$ is a projection, it follows from (8) that φ_d^* is completely positive. \square

Example 4.16. Let $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ be such that $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n$ and $p_{i+1} \in \mathbb{A}'_{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Let H be any group containing a sequence of proper subgroups $H_1 < H_2 < \dots < H_n$, and let $\beta : H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{p_1}$ be any homomorphism. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, define $\beta_i : H_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{p_i}$ by putting $\beta_i(h) = p_i \beta(h)$. Since \mathbb{A}_{p_i} is an ideal in $\mathbb{A}_{p_1} \cup \mathbb{A}_{p_i}$ and $p_i \in \mathbb{A}'_{p_1}$ (Lemma 4.12), β_i is a homomorphism of H_i into \mathbb{A}_{p_i} and, since $p_{i+1} \leq p_i$, $\beta_{i+1}(h) = p_{i+1} \beta_i(h)$ for $h \in H_i$. Hence, β_1, \dots, β_n is a compatible system of homomorphisms for p_1, \dots, p_n . If, further, H_1, \dots, H_n are open in H (they are trivially so if H is discrete) and we choose β to be continuous (e.g., the trivial homomorphism), then the fusion map γ is clearly continuous. Thus, by (iii) implies (i) of Theorem 4.15, it is easy to construct completely positive homomorphisms $j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ where α does not map into a subgroup of $\Delta(A)$.

The following corollary improves on [19, Corollary 5.2].

Corollary 4.17. *Let G be a locally compact group. For any disconnected locally compact group H , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) G is compact;
- (ii) for any completely bounded homomorphism $j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$, α maps into a subgroup of $\Delta(B(G))$;
- (iii) for any completely positive homomorphism $j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$, α maps into a subgroup of $\Delta(B(G))$.

Proof. If G is compact, $\Delta(B(G)) = G$, so (i) implies (ii) is trivial, as is (ii) implies (iii). If G is non-compact, then, by Corollary 3.2, e_G and z_F are distinct central idempotents in $\Delta(B(G))$ with $e_G \geq z_F$. Let H_1 be a proper open subgroup of H , $\beta : H \rightarrow G$ the trivial homomorphism, $\beta_1 = \beta|_{H_1} : H_1 \rightarrow G$, $\beta_2 : H \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{z_F} : h \mapsto z_F \beta(h) = z_F$. By Example 4.16, if α is the fusion map of β_1 and β_2 , then $j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive homomorphism such that α maps into both G and \mathbb{A}_{z_F} . \square

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.15, we can also characterize the completely contractive homomorphisms $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$:

Theorem 4.18. *Let $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ be such that $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n$ and $p_{i+1} \in \Delta'_{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. If $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Delta_{p_i}$ is such that each $\alpha^{-1}(\Delta_{p_i})$ is nonempty, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is a completely contractive homomorphism of A into $B(H)$;
- (ii) α is continuous, Y is an open coset in H , and there exists an element $y_0 \in \alpha^{-1}(\Delta_{p_1})$ and $x_0 \in \Delta_{p_1}$ such that

$$\alpha(y) = x_0 \gamma(y_0^{-1}y) \quad (y \in Y)$$

where γ is the fusion map of a compatible system of homomorphisms for p_1, \dots, p_n .

- (iii) α is continuous, Y is an open coset in H , and α is the fusion map of a compatible system of affine maps for p_1, \dots, p_n .

Proof. (i) implies (ii): Assuming (i), $1_Y = \varphi(1_G)$ is a norm-one idempotent, so Y is an open coset in H by Corollary 1.4. Take $y_0 \in \alpha^{-1}(\Delta_{p_1})$ and define γ on the open subgroup $H_\alpha := y_0^{-1}Y$ of H by

$$\gamma : H_\alpha \rightarrow L = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Delta_{p_i} : h \mapsto \alpha(y_0)^* \alpha(y_0 h).$$

By Lemma 2.11, $j_\gamma : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely contractive homomorphism, and $j_\gamma^*(e_H) = \gamma(e_H) = \alpha(y_0)^* \alpha(y_0) = p_1$. Moreover, $j_\gamma^*(H)$ is contained in $L \cup \{0\} = p_1(L \cup \{0\})p_1$, so j_γ^* is a completely contractive identity-preserving linear mapping of $W^*(H)$ into the von Neumann algebra $p_1 V N_\pi p_1$. By Theorem 1.1, j_γ^* is completely positive, so by Theorem 4.15, γ is the fusion map of a compatible system of homomorphisms for p_1, \dots, p_n . Finally, for any $y = y_0 h \in y_0 H_\alpha = Y$,

$$\alpha(y_0) \gamma(y_0^{-1}y) = \alpha(y_0) \alpha(y_0)^* \alpha(y_0 h) = p_1 \alpha(y) = \alpha(y).$$

- (ii) implies (iii): Suppose that γ is the fusion map of homomorphisms $\beta_i : H_i \rightarrow \Delta_{p_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). For each i , let $E_i = y_0 H_i$,

$$\alpha_i : E_i \rightarrow \Delta_{p_i} : y \mapsto x_0 \beta_i(y_0^{-1}y).$$

Equivalently, $\alpha_i(y) = x_0 p_i \beta_i(y_0^{-1}y)$ and, since $x_0 \in \Delta_{p_1}$, $x_0 p_i \in \Delta_{p_i}$; we conclude that each α_i is affine. The p_i are central in L , so $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a compatible system of affine maps for p_1, \dots, p_n , and it is easy to see that α is the associated fusion map.

- (iii) implies (ii): Suppose that α is the fusion map of $\alpha_i : E_i \rightarrow \Delta_{p_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), choose $y_0 \in E_1$ and let $x_0 = \alpha(y_0)$. For each i , let $H_i = y_0^{-1}E_i$,

$$\beta_i : H_i \rightarrow \Delta_{p_i} : h \mapsto x_0^* \alpha_i(y_0 h).$$

Then it is easy to see that β_1, \dots, β_n is a compatible system of homomorphisms for p_1, \dots, p_n and, letting γ denote the associated fusion map, one can also readily check that $\alpha(y) = x_0 \gamma(y_0^{-1}y)$ for each $y \in E_n = Y$.

(ii) implies (i): It follows from (ii) that γ is defined on the open subgroup $H_\alpha = y_0^{-1}Y$ of H and $\gamma(h) = x_0^* \alpha(y_0 h)$, so γ is continuous. By Theorem 4.15, $j_\gamma : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive (and therefore completely contractive) homomorphism. By Lemma 2.11, we conclude that $\varphi = j_\alpha = \ell_{y_0^{-1}} \circ j_\gamma \circ \ell_{x_0}$ is a completely contractive homomorphism of A into $B(H)$. \square

Remark 4.19. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.18, we showed that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely contractive homomorphism exactly when it has a Cohen-type factorization $\varphi = \ell_{y_0} \circ j_\gamma \circ \ell_{x_0}$ where γ is the fusion map of a compatible system of homomorphisms, $y_0 \in H$ and $x_0 \in \Delta(A)$; cf. the main results concerning (completely) contractive homomorphisms in [13], [17] and [27]. As well, we have the following general statement, which is a consequence of Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 4.18.

Corollary 4.20. *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) φ is completely contractive and $\alpha(Y)$ is contained in a subgroup of $\Delta(A)$;
- (ii) there is an open subgroup H_0 of H , a continuous homomorphism $\gamma : H_0 \rightarrow \Delta(A)$, and elements $x_0 \in \mathbb{A}_{\gamma(e_H)}$ and $y_0 \in H$ such that $Y = y_0 H_0$ and $\alpha(y) = x_0 \gamma(y_0^{-1} y)$, ($y \in Y$);
- (iii) Y is an open coset in H and $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \Delta(A)$ is a continuous affine mapping of Y into some subgroup of $\Delta(A)$.

For several groups G and subalgebras A of $B(G)$, the hypotheses of Theorems 4.15 and 4.18 are always satisfied and we thus obtain the full characterization of *all* completely positive and completely contractive homomorphisms in these situations.

Corollary 4.21. *Let A be any closed, translation-invariant unital subalgebra of $B(G)$ for which the projections in $\Delta(A)$, $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$, are central in $\Delta(A)$ and linearly ordered, $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ is finite, and*

$$\Delta(A) = \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}} \mathbb{A}_p.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive (completely contractive) homomorphism;
- (ii) α is continuous, Y is an open subgroup (coset) in H , and α is the fusion map of a compatible system of homomorphisms (affine maps) for some subset of $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$.

Some cases in which the hypotheses are satisfied are:

- $A = B(G)$ when G is either the Euclidean motion group $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(n)$ for some positive integer $n \geq 2$, or G is the p -adic motion group $\mathbb{Q}_p^n \rtimes SL(n, \mathbb{O}_p)$ for some positive integer n and some prime p ;
- $A = A^*(G)$, the spine of $B(G)$, when G contains a compact normal subgroup K for which G/K is topologically isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Z} ; the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p for some prime number p ; any minimally weakly almost periodic group such as $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(n)$; the $ax + b$ -group.
- $A = A_{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ for any locally compact group G .

Proof. The equivalence is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.15 and 4.18, so we only need to observe that the hypotheses of the corollary are satisfied in the specified cases: As noted in Example 3.5, except for when $A = A^*(G)$ and G is the $ax + b$ -group, in each case $\Delta(A) = G \cup G^{ap} = \mathbb{A}_{e_G} \cup \mathbb{A}_{z_F}$ and $e_G \geq z_F$. When $A = A^*(G)$, we observed in Remark 4.8 that A takes the form described in Proposition 4.7 with $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ central in $\Delta(A)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{nq}(G)$ as semilattices. In the case that G is the $ax + b$ -group, $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A^*(G))} = \{e_G, p, z_F\}$ with $e_G \geq p \geq z_F$ by [18, Theorem 6.6]. \square

Remark 4.22. 1. Let A be any of the examples from Corollary 4.21 for which $\Delta(A) = G \cup G^{ap}$, and let $\varphi = j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a completely positive homomorphism. Then $Y = H_\alpha$ is an open subgroup of H , $\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow G \cup G^{ap}$ and, by Corollary 4.21, exactly one of the following three statements holds:

- (a) $\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow G^{ap}$ is a continuous homomorphism, (by Corollary 4.4, this happens if and only if $\alpha(e_H) \in G^{ap}$);
- (b) $\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow G$ is a continuous homomorphism;
- (c) there is a proper subgroup H_a of H_α and continuous homomorphisms

$$\alpha_a : H_a \rightarrow G \quad \text{and} \quad \beta : H_\alpha \rightarrow G^{ap}$$

such that for $h \in H_a$, $\beta(h) = \alpha_a(h)e_{ap}$ —equivalently, $\beta|_{H_a} = \delta^{ap} \circ \alpha_a$ by Corollary 3.2(ii)—and $\alpha = \alpha_a \cup \alpha_s$ where $\alpha_s = \beta|_{H_\alpha \setminus H_a}$.

Conversely, if α takes any of the forms described in statements (a), (b) and (c), then $j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive homomorphism.

One can similarly provide explicit descriptions of all possible formulations of α when φ is a completely contractive homomorphism. When G is the $ax + b$ -group and $j_\alpha : A^*(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a completely positive/completely contractive homomorphism, explicit descriptions of all possible formulations of α can also be readily formulated from Corollary 4.21.

2. It can happen that $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)}$ is not linearly ordered, even when $\Delta(A)$ takes the form described in Proposition 4.7. For example, this is the case when $A = A^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $n \geq 2$ [18, Theorem 6.3].

3. Suppose that $\Delta(A)$ takes the form described in Proposition 4.7 and $j_\alpha : A \rightarrow B(H)$ is completely positive. If $\alpha(e_H) \in \mathbb{A}_p$ and we at least know that $\mathcal{P}_{\leq p} = \{q \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta(A)} : q \leq p\}$ is finite and linearly ordered, then by Proposition 4.11 and Theorem 4.15 α is a continuous fusion map of a compatible system of homomorphisms for some subset of $\mathcal{P}_{\leq p}$.

5 Spatial homomorphisms revisited

Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism such that $\alpha(Y)$ is contained in \mathbb{A}_p where p is a critical idempotent in $\Delta(B(G))$. Then \mathbb{A}_p is a locally compact group [39, Cor. to Proposition 7] and the embedding $\iota : \mathbb{A}_p \hookrightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ is a continuous homomorphism, so—by Proposition 2.5—

$$j_p := j_\iota : B(G) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{A}_p), \quad j_p u(x) = \langle x, u \rangle_{W^* - B(G)}$$

is a completely positive homomorphism and complete quotient mapping onto the closed, translation-invariant, point-separating, unital subalgebra

$$A_{\omega_p} = \{\xi *_{\omega_p} \eta : \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K} = p\mathcal{H}_{\omega_G}\}$$

of $B(\Delta_p)$, where ω_p is the continuous unitary representation of Δ_p defined by

$$\omega_p := \omega_t : \Delta_p \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K}) : x \mapsto p\mathcal{K}xE_{\mathcal{K}} (= px|_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

Observe that if we view \mathcal{K} as a subspace of \mathcal{H}_{ω_G} , each $u \in A_{\omega_p}$ can be written as

$$u(x) = \langle \omega_p(x)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \langle x\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\omega_G}} \quad (x \in \Delta_p),$$

and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}$ can be chosen so that $\|u\| = \|\xi\|\|\eta\|$. Letting

$$\alpha_p : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow \Delta_p : y \mapsto \alpha(y),$$

α_p is a continuous mapping of the set $Y \in \Omega(H)$ into the locally compact group Δ_p .

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism such that $\alpha(Y)$ is contained in Δ_p where p is a critical idempotent in $\Delta(B(G))$. The map $j_{\alpha_p} : A_{\omega_p} \rightarrow B(H)$ is a well-defined spatial homomorphism and we have the factorization $j_\alpha = j_{\alpha_p} \circ j_p$; i.e., the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(G) & \xrightarrow{\varphi=j_\alpha} & B(H) \\ j_p \downarrow & \nearrow j_{\alpha_p} & \\ B(\Delta_p) \supseteq A_{\omega_p} & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover, the spatial homomorphism j_{α_p} is positive/ contractive/ completely positive/ completely contractive/ completely bounded if and only if j_α is so.

Remark 5.2. Thus, when $\alpha(Y)$ is contained in Δ_p with p critical, the problem of studying $\varphi = j_\alpha$ is reduced to the problem of studying the spatial homomorphism $j_{\alpha_p} : A_{\omega_p} \rightarrow B(H)$.

Proof. Since Y is open and closed in H and $\alpha_p : Y \rightarrow \Delta_p$ is continuous, j_{α_p} defines a homomorphism of $B(\Delta_p)$ into $CB(H)$, the algebra of continuous bounded functions on H . It is easy to check that $j_\alpha = j_{\alpha_p} \circ j_p$, and $j_p(B(G)) = A_{\omega_p}$, so j_{α_p} is a homomorphism of A_{ω_p} into $B(H)$; since $B(H)$ is semisimple, j_α and j_{α_p} are automatically bounded. As noted in the proof of Propostition 2.5, j_p^* is a normal $*$ -isomorphism on VN_{ω_p} , so $\mathcal{N} = j_p^*(VN_{\omega_p})$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $W^*(G)$, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W^*(H) & \xrightarrow{j_\alpha^*} & \mathcal{N} \subseteq W^*(G) \\ j_{\alpha_p}^* \downarrow & \nearrow j_p^* & \\ VN_{\omega_p} & & \end{array}$$

commutes. The inverse map $(j_p^)^{-1}$ of $j_p^* : VN_{\omega_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is also a $*$ -isomorphism and we have $j_\alpha^* = j_p^* \circ j_{\alpha_p}^*$ and $(j_p^*)^{-1} \circ j_\alpha^* = j_{\alpha_p}^*$, so j_α^* is positive/ contractive/ completely positive/ completely contractive/ completely bounded if and only if $j_{\alpha_p}^*$ is so. \square*

With Corollaries 4.3 and 4.20, we characterized all completely positive and completely contractive homomorphisms $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ when α maps into a subgroup \mathbb{A}_p of $B(G)$. When p is further assumed to be a critical idempotent with \mathbb{A}_p contained in $G^\mathcal{E}$, the closure of G in $\Delta(B(G))$, we now observe that we can also sometimes describe when φ is positive, contractive, and completely bounded. Observe that every subgroup of $\Delta(B(G))$ is abelian—and therefore amenable—when G is abelian and in this case the locally compact subgroups of $G^\mathcal{E}$ all take the form \mathbb{A}_p for some critical idempotent p , and were characterized by Dunkl and Ramirez in [8]. As we have already stated, in both the abelian and nonabelian cases, little is known about homomorphisms of $B(G)$ into $B(H)$.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\varphi = j_\alpha : B(G) \rightarrow B(H)$ be a homomorphism such that $\alpha(Y)$ is contained in \mathbb{A}_p where p is critical, $\mathbb{A}_p \subseteq G^\mathcal{E}$, and A_{ω_p} has non-trivial intersection with $A(\mathbb{A}_p)$. Then:*

- (i) *if φ is positive/contractive, then Y is an open subgroup/coset in H and the continuous map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_p \subseteq \Delta(B(G))$ is either a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism/affine or anti-affine map;*
- (ii) *if \mathbb{A}_p is amenable and φ is completely bounded, then $\alpha : Y \subseteq H \rightarrow \Delta(B(G))$ is a continuous piecewise affine map.*

In both cases, j_{α_p} extends to the spatial homomorphism $j_{\alpha_p} : B(\mathbb{A}_p) \rightarrow B(H)$, $\varphi = j_\alpha$ factors as $j_\alpha = j_{\alpha_p} \circ j_p$ and $j_{\alpha_p} : B(\mathbb{A}_p) \rightarrow B(H)$ is positive/contractive/completely bounded when φ is so.

Proof. As noted above, A_{ω_p} is a closed, translation-invariant, point-separating, unital subalgebra of $B(\mathbb{A}_p)$ and—by Proposition 2.8— A_{ω_p} is conjugation invariant since \mathbb{A}_p is contained in $G^\mathcal{E}$. Hence, A_{ω_p} contains $A(\mathbb{A}_p)$ by [2, Corollary 2.3]. By Proposition 5.1, $\varphi = j_\alpha$ factors as $\varphi = j_{\alpha_p} \circ j_p$, where $j_{\alpha_p} : A_{\omega_p} \rightarrow B(H)$, $\alpha_p : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_p$, is a spatial homomorphism and j_{α_p} is positive/contractive in case (i), completely bounded in case (ii). It follows from Corollary 1.16—note that $A_{\omega_p} \supseteq A(\mathbb{A}_p)$ is required here—that Y and α_p (equivalently α) take the desired form in both cases. Moreover, $j_{\alpha_p} : B(\mathbb{A}_p) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a positive/contractive homomorphism in case (i) [27, Corollary 5.6] and a completely bounded homomorphism in case (ii) [17, Corollary 3.2]; these statements also follow from our general results in Section 2. \square

Remark 5.4. 1. One can similarly obtain a version of Corollary 5.3 for completely positive and completely contractive homomorphisms. However, Corollaries 4.3 and 4.20 already provide stronger results since they impose no restrictions on p .

2. One can replace the assumption in Corollary 5.3 that $\mathbb{A}_p \subseteq G^\mathcal{E}$ and A_{ω_p} has nontrivial intersection with $A(\mathbb{A}_p)$ with the assumption that $A_{\omega_p} \supseteq A(\mathbb{A}_p)$.

3. By Corollary 3.2(ii), θ_F has dense range in \mathbb{A}_{z_F} and, as noted on page 125 of [34], $z_F \in G^\mathcal{E}$. It follows that z_F is a critical idempotent such that \mathbb{A}_{z_F} is contained in $G^\mathcal{E}$. Moreover, since \mathbb{A}_{z_F} is compact and $A_{\omega_{z_F}}$ is nontrivial, $A_{\omega_{z_F}} = A(\mathbb{A}_{z_F})$ in this case.

Acknowledgements: The author is grateful to Nico Spronk for offering helpful points of clarification about the spine compactification. The author is also indebted to Aasaimani Thamizhazhagan for valuable discussions regarding examples satisfying the hypotheses of Corollary 4.21.

References

- [1] G. Arzac, Sur l'espace de Banach engendré par les coefficients d'une représentation unitaire, *Publ. Dép. Math. (Lyon)* 13 (1976), 1-101.
- [2] M.E.B. Bekka, A.T.-M. Lau and G. Schlichting, On invariant subalgebras of the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra of a locally compact group, *Math. Ann.* 294 (1992), no. 3, 513-522.
- [3] J.F. Berglund, H. Junghenn and P. Milnes, *Compact right topological semigroups and generalizations of almost periodicity*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 663, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [4] J.F. Berglund, H. Junghenn and P. Milnes, *Analysis on semigroups: function spaces, compactifications, representations*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [5] M.-D. Choi, A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on C*-algebras, *Illinois J. Math.*, 18 (1974), 565-574.
- [6] P. J. Cohen, On homomorphisms of group algebras, *Amer. J. Math* 82 (1960), 213-226.
- [7] H.G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automaticcontinuity*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, Volume 24, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (2000).
- [8] C.F. Dunkl and D.E. Ramirez, Locally compact subgroups of the spectrum of the measure algebra, *Semigroup Forum* 3 1971, no. 2, 95-107.
- [9] E.G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator Spaces*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [10] P. Eymard, L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 92 (1964), 181-236.
- [11] J.M.G. Fell and R.S. Doran, *Representations of *-algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach *-algebraic bundles Vol. 1*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 125. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [12] B. Forrest and P. Wood, Cohomology and the operator space structure of the Fourier algebra and its second dual, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 50 (2001), no. 3, 1217-1240.
- [13] I. Glicksberg, Homomorphisms of certain algebras of measures, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 167-191.
- [14] F.P. Greenleaf, Norm decreasing homomorphisms of group algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* 15 (1965), 1187-1219.
- [15] B. Host, Le théorème des idempotents dans $B(G)$, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 114 (1986), no. 2, 215-223.
- [16] M. Ilie, On Fourier algebra homomorphisms, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 213 (2004), 88-110.
- [17] M. Ilie and N. Spronk, Completely bounded homomorphisms of the Fourier algebra, *J. Funct. Anal.* 225 (2)(2005), 480-499.

- [18] M. Ilie and N. Spronk, The spine of a Fourier–Stieltjes algebra, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 94 (2007) 273-301.
- [19] M. Ilie and R. Stokke, Weak*-continuous homomorphisms of Fourier-Stieltjes algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 145 (2008), 107-120.
- [20] E. Kaniuth, *A course in commutative Banach algebras*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [21] E. Kaniuth and A.T.-M. Lau, *Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras on locally compact groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 231, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
- [22] E. Kaniuth, A.T.-M. Lau and G. Schlichting, Lebesgue-type decomposition of subspaces of Fourier–Stieltjes algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), no. 4, 1467-1490.
- [23] E. Kaniuth, A.T.-M. Lau and A. Ülger, The Rajchman algebra $B_0(G)$ of a locally compact group G , *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 140 (2016), no. 3, 273-302.
- [24] J.R. Liukkonen and M.W. Mislove, Fourier–Stieltjes algebras of compact extensions of nilpotent groups, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 325 (1981), 210-220.
- [25] T. Miao, Decomposition of $B(G)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 351 (1999), no. 11, 4675-4692.
- [26] V. Paulsen *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 78, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [27] H.L. Pham, Contractive homomorphisms of the Fourier algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* (2010) 42(5), 937-947.
- [28] W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 12 Wiley Interscience, New York-London 1962.
- [29] V. Runde, Amenability for dual Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* (1) 148 (2001), 47-66.
- [30] V. Runde, Applications of operator spaces to abstract harmonic analysis, *Expo. Math.* 22 (2004), no. 4, 317-363.
- [31] V. Runde and N. Spronk, Operator amenability of Fourier–Stieltjes algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 136 (2004), no. 3, 675-686.
- [32] V. Runde and N. Spronk, Operator amenability of Fourier-Stieltjes algebras II, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 39 (2007), no. 2, 194-202.
- [33] N. Spronk, Amenability properties of Fourier algebras and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras: a survey, *Banach algebras 2009*, 365-383, Banach Centre Publ., 91, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2010.
- [34] N. Spronk and R. Stokke, Matrix coefficients of unitary representations and associated compactifications of locally compact groups, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 62 (2013), no. 1, 99-148.

- [35] R. Stokke, Homomorphisms of convolution algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 261 (2011), no. 12, 3665-3695.
- [36] R. Stokke, Contractive homomorphisms of measure algebras and Fourier algebras, *Studia Math.* 209 (2012), no. 2, 135-150.
- [37] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences Vol. 124, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.
- [38] M. Walter, W^* -algebras and nonabelian harmonic analysis, *J. Funct. Anal.* 11 (1972), 17-38.
- [39] M. Walter, On the structure of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, *Pacific J. Math.* 58 (1975), no. 1, 267-281.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG, 515 PORTAGE AVENUE, WINNIPEG, MB, R3B 2E9, CANADA
email: r.stokke@uwinnipeg.ca